

ARTICLE

Received 2 Jun 2016 | Accepted 26 Oct 2016 | Published 12 Dec 2016

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms13715

OPEN

A programmable DNA origami nanospring that reveals force-induced adjacent binding of myosin VI heads

M. Iwaki^{1,2}, S.F. Wickham^{3,4,5}, K. Ikezaki⁶, T. Yanagida^{1,2,7} & W.M. Shih^{3,4,5}

Mechanosensitive biological nanomachines such as motor proteins and ion channels regulate diverse cellular behaviour. Combined optical trapping with single-molecule fluorescence imaging provides a powerful methodology to clearly characterize the mechanoresponse, structural dynamics and stability of such nanomachines. However, this system requires complicated experimental geometry, preparation and optics, and is limited by low data-acquisition efficiency. Here we develop a programmable DNA origami nanospring that overcomes these issues. We apply our nanospring to human myosin VI, a mechanosensory motor protein, and demonstrate nanometre-precision single-molecule fluorescence imaging of the individual motor domains (heads) under force. We observe force-induced transitions of myosin VI heads from non-adjacent to adjacent binding, which correspond to adapted roles for low-load and high-load transport, respectively. Our technique extends single-molecule studies under force and clarifies the effect of force on biological processes.

¹Quantitative Biology Center, RIKEN, OLABB, 6-2-3, Furuedai, Suita, Osaka 5650874, Japan. ² Graduate School of Frontier Biosciences, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 5650871, Japan. ³ Department of Cancer Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ⁴ Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ⁵ Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ⁶ School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 2778561, Japan. ⁷ Center for Information and Neural Networks, NICT, Suita, Osaka 5650874, Japan. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.I. (email: iwaki@fbs.osaka-u.ac.jp).

echanical forces regulate the function of numerous mechanosensory protein molecules including motor proteins¹, ion channels², cytoskeletal filaments³ and cadherins⁴. These molecules are involved in essential cellular behaviours such as cell growth, differentiation, shape formation and cell death⁵. Optical trapping (OT) provides a powerful methodology to explore the molecular mechanics and mechanoresponse of these biomolecules at the single-molecule level^{1,3,4,6-8}. OT functions by applying force to nano-sized molecules via optically trapped particles (generally, $0.2 \sim 1 \,\mu m$ in diameter). Although displacements with nanometre accuracy can be acquired using OT, it is difficult to determine intramolecular or subdomain motion within the molecule. Instead, single-molecule fluorescence (SMF) imaging provides a powerful method to monitor structural dynamics within biomolecules by attaching fluorophores to the subdomains⁹⁻¹¹. Therefore, simultaneous OT and SMF has been developed¹²⁻¹⁵, but it is technically difficult to apply due to a number of factors caused by large-scale devices that use a high-power infrared beam to trap microspheres including low throughput (that is, only one biomolecule can be analysed at a time) and complicated experimental geometry, preparation and optics that minimize dye photobleaching induced by the infrared trapping beam. Furthermore, the use of fluorescent quantum dots (QDs) or gold nanoparticles (GNPs) to circumvent dye bleaching issues is problematic due to their attraction to the trapping beam's focus point.

To overcome these issues, we developed a nanoscale forceapplication device using three-dimensional DNA origami^{16,17}. Our device is a programmable DNA nanostructure with a coil shape (nanospring) and we applied it to study the mechanoresponse of the actin-based processive motor protein, myosin VI. Myosin VI is a ubiquitously expressed mechanosensitive dimeric motor protein, which is thought to act as an anchor to maintain the structural integrity of many cellular structures such as stereocilia¹⁸ and the Golgi apparatus¹⁹. In addition, myosin VI is a vesicle transporter that moves cargo along cytoskeletal actin filaments coupled to the hydrolysis of ATP^{20,21}. It has been proposed that mechanical forces cause myosin VI to switch between these roles of anchor and transporter by slowing its ATP hydrolysis cycle at the strongly bound state with actin^{20,22}, as well as causing a transition from hand-over-hand to inchworm-like stepping²³. In inchworm-like stepping, step sizes of the motor domains (or heads) are 44 nm, suggesting the rear head becomes the lead head and forms adjacent binding state (that is, adjacent motor domains close together), which could better resist detachment due to an even distribution of load between the two heads, and therefore could be seen as an adaptation for anchor function. Therefore, Nishikawa et al.23 predicted that high load should lead to a higher fraction of adjacent binding states observed. To test this hypothesis, SMF imaging of myosin heads in the presence of load is required; however, combined OT and SMF imaging was difficult to implement for the study of molecular machines; therefore, no direct test has yet been reported.

An ideal nanospring for myosin VI would exhibit a relatively small spring constant at the stall force of the motor under investigation, which in the case of myosin VI, is reported to be ~ $2 \text{ pN}^{20,22}$; otherwise, only very few steps could be tracked close to the stall force. In addition, the nanospring should not be overly long (that is, $\gg 1 \mu \text{m}$) to avoid the requirement of extended motor run lengths that stretch the nanospring; this is problematic, as the average run length before spontaneous detachment of myosin VI is ~ 700 nm (ref. 24). Our programmable nanospring can fully satisfy the desired characteristics unlike natural elastic components such as double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). In addition, SMF imaging of the nanospringbiomolecule complex is superior to simultaneous OT and SMF imaging, in that we can visualize over ten complexes at a time using conventional total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM), and QDs and GNPs are also available. Furthermore, a nanospring can control the number and species of biomolecules in the complex and is compatible with other useful methods such as high-speed atomic force microscopy (AFM)²⁵, dark-field microscopy and electron microscopy without complicated optics or geometries.

Here we demonstrate SMF imaging of single- and doublecolour QDs attached to the heads of myosin VI dimers tagged with our nanospring and also the tug-of-war between myosin VI and myosin V (opposite directional motors). We find force and ADP synergistically trigger transitions from hand-over-hand to inchworm-like movement of myosin VI and stalling in the adjacent binding state, thus providing insights into the mechanism of the physiological dual function of myosin VI as a vesicle transporter and anchor.

Results

Design and mechanical properties of nanospring. Our nanospring consists of a two-helix bundle programmed with negative superhelical strain to form a coil structure (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Figs 1 and 2). To construct the nanospring, 7,308-nucleotide single-stranded DNA (ssDNA; scaffold) and >150 species of short (<50 nucleotide) ssDNA (staples; Supplementary Data) were self-assembled by hybridization under rapid heating followed by gradual cooling. To attach specific protein molecules to the ends of the nanospring, extra ssDNA handles were projected out from each end. The length or number of bundles and turns of the nanospring is programmable (Supplementary Fig. 2b), resulting in control of the spring constant.

To estimate the force-extension curve, we applied force to the nanospring using OT (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 3). We attached a fluorescent polystyrene bead at the end of the nanospring and optically trapped it. The other end was fixed to a glass slide and moved back and forth (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Movie 1) to obtain a force-extension curve (Fig. 1d). The curve was reversible between stretching and relaxing phases (Supplementary Fig. 3f), and the change in the slope (spring constant) was more gradual than that of dsDNA over a range of several pN. We also confirmed the force-extension curve was not affected by the rate of stretching (Supplementary Fig. 3g). Our two-helix bundle nanospring exhibited a spring constant of $0.012 \pm 0.002 \text{ pN} \text{ nm}^{-1}$ (mean ± s.d., N = 19) and a length of 841 nm at 2 pN of force (contour length of 1.1 µm), which were estimated from the force-extension fit curve (Fig. 1d). For myosin VI, which takes a 36 nm step²⁰, these properties increases the force by 0.43 pN, which is a moderate change in force and useful for facilitating better resolution of the forcevelocity relation near the stall force. In contrast, a dsDNA spring of the same length would be far less sensitive. At 2 pN of force, a 1.1 µm contour length of dsDNA would exhibit a spring constant of ~ 0.09 pN nm⁻¹, or roughly an order of magnitude larger²⁶. To achieve a comparably compliant spring would require a dsDNA of length $\sim 5 \,\mu m$ (ref. 26), which would then impose a limitation of analysis to run lengths of that magnitude, which are very rare $(\sim 0.08\%)^{24}$.

Nanospring dynamics driven by a single myosin VI. Myosin VI is composed of an amino-terminal motor domain (head) and tail domain. The tail consists of four subdomains: the proximal, medial and distal tails and the carboxy-terminal cargo-binding



Figure 1 | Programmable DNA origami nanospring and the force-extension curve. (a) Upper: the three-dimensional structure of a two-helix bundle (2HB) nanospring produced by CanDo⁴³, which predicted a coil structure (13 turns, ~30 nm in diameter and ~300 nm in equilibrium length). Lower: a transmission electron microscopy image of a nanospring folded in 14 mM MgCl₂. Inset: expanded view of a typical nanospring. The shape was flexible enough to measure single myosin VI force but also showed expected loops. Scale bar, 100 nm. (b) Experimental setup for the OT assay. A 200 nm fluorescent bead tagged with a nanospring (bead-NS) was optically captured and the cover glass was then moved by a piezo actuator. (c) Trajectory of the bead (red line) and fix point of the 2HB nanospring on the cover glass (grey line). See also Supplementary Movie 1. (d) Averaged force-extension curve for the 2HB nanospring. *N* = 19. Error bars represent s.d. Blue line, fitted curve by a seventh-order polynomial. Green curve indicates a theoretical curve for a single dsDNA assuming a modified Marko-Siggia worm-like chain model²⁶.

domain²⁷. The proximal and medial tails can potentially act as a lever arm that contributes to force generation and the cargo-binding domain regulates dimerization^{24,27}. Myosin VI is thought to function as a dimer in cells²⁴ and adaptor proteins at the cargo-binding domain are necessary for regulating the equilibrium between the monomeric and dimeric forms²⁸. In the present study, to make a stable myosin VI dimer, we engineered a myosin VI monomer fusion protein in which the cargo-binding domain was replaced with SNAP-tag, whereas preserving all other myosin components (Fig. 2a). SNAP-tag can be efficiently conjugated to an oligonucleotide (oligo) that serves as an artificial dimerization domain²⁹. The myosin VI monomer was also fused to HaloTag at the N terminus of the head to enable fluorophore labelling. We covalently labelled 21-mer or 42-mer oligos to our myosin VI monomers and confirmed >90% labelling efficiency by a gel-shift assay (Fig. 2b). As the 42-mer oligo contains a complementary sequence to the 21-mer oligo, the mixture showed a dimer fraction due to hybridization. The remaining sequence in the 42-mer oligo retains a domain that captures the ssDNA handle in the nanospring (capture domain).

To observe the stepping dynamics of myosin VI under tension, we designed a myosin VI-nanospring complex (myosinVI-NS; Fig. 2c), where one end of the nanospring was attached to a DNA-coupled myosin VI dimer via the nanospring capture domain on the myosin VI 42-mer oligo, whereas the other end of the nanospring was attached to an immobilized myosin II dimer, which was chemically inactivated by *N*-ethylmaleimide and labelled with ssDNA. Immobile myosin II strongly binds to actin filaments in the presence of ATP and plays a role in fixing myosinVI-NS on the actin filament.

We used TIRFM to observe the dynamics of a fluorescently labelled nanospring driven by a single myosin VI (Fig. 2d). Thirteen unlabelled staples were replaced with fluorescently labelled (5'-end labelled staples was with TAMRA; Supplementary Data) that were homogeneously positioned in the nanospring. As the equilibrium length of the nanospring without tension is \sim 300 nm (that is, close to half the wavelength of the fluorescence emission), the fluorescence image is seen as a diffraction-limited circular spot (Fig. 2d, compressed). When myosin VI stretches the nanospring against tension, the shape becomes elliptical (Fig. 2d stretched and Supplementary Movie 2). By fitting the images to a two-dimensional (2D) Gaussian distribution³⁰, we were able to determine the centre position and length of the nanospring to an accuracy of $14\pm 2\,\mathrm{nm}$ (mean \pm s.d., N = 11) when extended longer than 500 nm total spring length (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. 4). Typically, myosin VI stretched the nanospring ~ 800 nm and stalled. In this state, it eventually detached from the actin and the nanospring returned to the compressed state after the release of tension. This sequence of events was repeatedly observed. We quantified



Figure 2 | Nanospring-motor protein complex to measure single-molecule dynamics under load. (a) Monomeric myosin VI constructs. (b) A gel-shift assay confirmed the labelling of oligos and DNA-induced dimerization. M, marker; lane 1, myosin VI monomer; lane 2, myosin VI monomer labelled with 21 mer oligo (myosin VI-21 mer oligo); lane 3, myosin VI monomer labelled with 42 mer oligo (myosin VI-42 mer oligo); lane 4, myosin VI monomer labelled with 42 mer oligo (myosin VI-42 mer oligo); lane 4, myosin VI-21 mer oligo mixed with myosin VI-42 mer oligo. The black arrowhead indicates a dimer fraction. (c) Myosin VI tethered to a two-helix bundle (2HB) nanospring moves unidirectionally along actin against the load of the nanospring. (d) Visualization of the stretch/compression dynamics of the nanospring by myosin VI at 2 mM ATP + 100 μ M ADP. The kymograph shows repetitive stretching and compressing of the TAMRA-labelled nanospring. (e) Trajectory of the centre position of the fluorescence image of the nanospring (orange circles). The displacement was multiplied by 2, to measure the spring extension from which the force was calculated (grey circles). (f) Averaged force-velocity curve at 2 mM ATP + 100 μ M ADP. Error bars represent s.e.m. Velocities at 0 pN force were measured by tracking myosin VI-NS in the absence of immobile myosin II (open circle, N = 28). (g) A stall force histogram. Stall over 2 s were included in the analysis. The red line marks a a Gaussian function fit (2.1 ± 0.6 pN (mean ± s.d.)).

the tension using a force-extension curve (Fig. 1d). From this curve, we estimated the stall force to be 2.1 ± 0.6 pN (mean \pm s.d., N = 126; Fig. 2f,g), which is in agreement with previous force measurements using conventional optical tweezers^{20,22}.

Tug-of-war between myosin V and myosin VI via nanospring. Myosin VI has been proposed to function as an anchor and a transporter, whereas myosin V has been proposed to function exclusively as a transporter³¹. Our DNA nanospring afforded us the opportunity for direct comparison of their motile properties by a tug-of-war between single myosin V and VI (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Movie 3). As expected, myosin V and VI (indicated by ATTO647N and Cy3 fluorescence, respectively) moved processively along the same actin filament but in opposite directions^{32,33}. The nanospring was stretched further and further until one myosin VI to detach less than half as frequently as myosin V (Fig. 3b), consistent with the dual role proposed for myosin VI. Detection of head motion in myosin VI dimer under load. Next we observed the stepping dynamics of QD-labelled myosin VI in the absence and presence of the nanospring using TIRFM at ~ 2 nm and 30 Hz spatio-temporal resolution^{23,30}. In the absence of the nanospring and at saturating ATP concentration (2 mM), our DNA-coupled myosin VI dimer moved processively along actin filaments (Supplementary Fig. 5 and Supplementary Movie 4). The step-size distribution for the myosin head (Supplementary Fig. 5b) was fit to a three Gaussian function with peaks at 75 nm (large forward steps), 43 nm (short forward steps) and -40 nm (backward steps), consistent with previous reports^{23,34}. The distribution of dwell times just before the forward steps (Supplementary Fig. 5c) were well fit to the formula $tk^2 \exp(-kt)$ if assuming two rate-limiting steps having the same rate constant, k. We obtained $k = 4.8 \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is also consistent with previous reports^{20,22,23}. In conclusion, we confirmed the fusion of SNAP-tag and DNA to induce dimerization did not alter the stepping dynamics or coordination between heads. Further, we also examined the stepping dynamics of myosinVI-NS (Supplementary Fig. 6a,b)



Figure 3 | **Dual colour imaging of single-molecule tug-of-war and nanoimaging of myosin VI head domain under load.** (a) Typical trajectory for dual colour imaging of tug-of-war between myosin V and VI at 2 mM ATP + 100 μ M ADP. Red circles, the ATTO647N position, which indicates myosin V motion; orange circles, the Cy3 position, which indicates myosin VI motion. Black triangles indicate detachment events. (b) Detachment frequency during tug-of-war for myosin V (red) and myosin VI (orange). Error bars indicate s.e.m. N = 47 myosin-NS complexes, ***P*<0.01 (two-sided *t*-test). (c) Trajectories of a QD (red circles) attached to the head of a myosin VI, the centre position of a TAMRA nanospring (orange circles) and two times the displacement of the centre position of the TAMRA nanospring (grey line) at 2 mM ATP + 100 μ M ADP. Recording rate, 10 Hz. (d-f) Trajectories at 2 mM ATP and 0 pN (d), 2 mM ATP + 100 μ M ADP and 0.4-2 pN (e), and stall force (f). Recording rate, 30 Hz. The red Gaussian fits in g represent step sizes at 2 mM ATP and 1-2 pN load (-41.8 ± 15 , 42.5 ± 11 and 72.1 ± 11 nm) and in h at 2 mM ATP + 100 μ M ADP and 1-2 pN load (-38.0 ± 13 , 40.0 ± 13 and 75.3 ± 10 nm). Error indicates s.d. (i) The distribution of large (blue), short (red) and backward (dark green) steps at different loads and ADP concentrations estimated from the Gaussian fits.

and confirmed the labelling of nanospring also did not alter the motion.

When a myosinVI-NS was linked with an actin filament via immobile myosin II, myosin VI exhibited processive walking, stalling and detachment coupled with the extension and retraction of the nanospring (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Movie 5). The QD-myosin VI trajectory (red circles in Fig. 3c) was in agreement with the nanospring displacement (grey line in Fig. 3c) and stall forces calculated from QD displacements (Supplementary Fig. 6c) were consistent with previous reports^{20,22} and those estimated from nanospring displacements (Fig. 2g). Further, we could clearly measure the step sizes of individual myosin VI heads at 30 Hz recording rate (Fig. 3d–f). In the absence of ADP at 1-2 pN load (Fig. 3g), the stepping behaviour was similar to that in the absence of the nanospring (that is, 0 pN load) and large forward steps (75 nm) were the most frequent step type. However, when we added 100 μ M ADP (physiological nucleotide concentration), the most frequent step type changed to short forward steps (43 nm) and the frequency of backward steps (-40 nm) slightly increased (Fig. 3h). Figure 3i shows a summary of the distribution of large forward, short forward and backward steps as a function of load and ADP

concentration (ATP concentration was held constant at 2 mM). Large forward steps were dominant both with and without $100 \,\mu\text{M}$ ADP at no load (Fig. 3d), which is in agreement with a previous report²³. We found a similar distribution at 1–2 pN load in the absence of ADP. However, the distribution shifted to short forward steps at 1–2 pN load and 100 μM ADP (Fig. 3e), and at stall force (~2 pN) only short forward and backward steps were observed (Fig. 3f). Thus, our results show a transition in the myosin VI step size distribution in response to ADP and load.

Dynamics of both heads of a myosin VI dimer under load. To fully clarify the stepping behaviour, we monitored both heads of a myosin VI dimer by attaching different colour QDs to them. Simultaneous nanometric observation^{23,34,35} of the heads allowed us to determine the coordination and distance between them. We confirmed that the large forward steps we observed were caused by a hand-over-hand movement (Fig. 4a, dashed-line box) and the short forward steps were caused by inchworm-like movement^{23,34} (Fig. 4a, dashed-line box); therefore, our results show a transition in myosin VI stepping behaviour in response to ADP and load. Further, the results indicated two binding states: the distant binding state, where the two heads span the actin helical pitch (36 nm) when bound to the actin filament (typically observed in hand-over-hand steps), and the adjacent binding state, where the two head are close (<10 nm apart) when bound to the filament (typically observed in inchworm-like steps). These two states are consistent with previous reports^{23,34}. In the stalled state, we observed that myosin VI repeatedly transitioned between the distant binding and adjacent binding states (Fig. 4b, dashed-line box). The probabilities of short forward and backward steps were almost equal (Fig. 3i), similar to the stalled state of the Brownian ratchet, showing myosin VI is not completely locked onto the actin filament at the stalled state. This dynamic binding and release of the head cannot be observed in conventional force measurement systems such as optical tweezers, as these assays monitor the tail position^{20,22}.

The adjacent binding state contributed more to the stalled state than did the distant binding state (Fig. 4c). This is in contrast to the bound state in the absence of load, where the distant binding state is dominant (Fig. 4d). The adjacent binding state may evenly distribute the external load to both heads, which could reduce the risk of diffusing away from the actin. Supporting this notion, we found only 26% of detachments from actin occurred in the adjacent binding state (12 observations/46 detachment events). Consequently, efficient anchoring of myosin VI can be promoted by both the load dependence of the ATP hydrolysis cycle^{20,22} and by the load dependence of the bias towards the adjacent binding state.

Discussion

The combination of our DNA nanospring technology with SMF imaging reveals that higher forces induce a transition from hand-over-hand to inchworm-like motion in myosin VI. Furthermore, the adjacent binding state was observed to be predominant at stall force, which is consistent with myosin VI anchoring in the cell. These two stepping patterns (or bound states) and the transition between them can only be distinguished by independent tracking of the two head domains under load. The results demonstrate that our DNA nanospring system can reveal the force-dependent functional changes of molecular machines.

We summarize the stepping mechanism of myosin VI under varying loads in Fig. 5. At low load, the hand-over-hand mechanism, where non-adjacent binding states are occupied, is

used for the efficient transport of cargos in the cell, because it converts the chemical energy of one ATP into a large forward step (~72 nm head trajectory or 20-40 nm tail trajectory/ATP molecule hydrolysed³²) (Fig. 5b). In contrast, an inchworm-like mechanism, where adjacent binding states are occupied half the time, would be inefficient for transport at low load, because it consumes two ATP molecules to produce two short forward steps (43 nm head trajectory each step) to obtain a 20-40 nm tail trajectory^{23,34} (Fig. 5c). At higher loads, the stepping mechanism transitions to inchworm-like steps, which may be more efficient for transport, because Brownian ratchets can oppose larger forces when steps are smaller. At the stall force, the myosin heads continue to bind and release, visiting both adjacent and distant binding states (Fig. 4a,b). This is unexpected in terms of the physiological role as an anchor, because robust binding to actin is ideal for anchoring and, in fact, some invertebrate smooth muscles robustly catch actin over long periods with little energy expenditure³⁶. We speculate the dynamic binding and release observed in myosin VI at stall force is useful as an adaptation to changes in membrane tension. This feature is similar to skeletal muscle, where dynamic binding and release is thought to allow for quick rearrangement of the binding sites in response to a sudden change in length, reducing or recovering tension³⁷.

How does the observed transition to inchworm-like stepping occur at physiological ADP and loading condition? We know that ADP affinity of the heads increases with load²⁰, and that when in the ADP bound state, the front head prefers the non-tilted-forward lever arm state²⁵ (state ii in Fig. 5c and reported by Menetrey *et al.*³⁸). Thus, under load, the front head is more likely to be in the ADP bound state, which is less likely to tilt forward, and it has been suggested that this leads to more frequent inchworm-like steps²³. This mechanism is consistent with our observation of a transition to inchworm-like stepping under load with physiological ADP.

The nanospring is a bottom-up force-application nanoscale device, which does not require large-scale equipment, unlike conventional force-application biophysical tools, such as OT, AFM and fluidic chambers. Because of this, our system provides a simple and flexible approach to study single-molecule events under variable force. Our assay system can be extended to other actin-based and microtubule-based motor proteins (myosin II, kinesin and dynein and so on) so long as the ssDNA is labelled to the target proteins. For microtubule-based motors, mutant kinesin³⁹ may be used as the anchor for the microtubule. Furthermore, our technique is compatible with other single molecule observation systems (for example, high-speed AFM, dark-field microscopy and electron microscopy), which significantly expands the range of potential biophysical experiments. For example, high-speed AFM observation²⁵ of macromolecules tethered with a nanospring could directly elucidate the force dependence of their structural dynamics and stability. Similarly, dark-field imaging of GNPs tagged with a macromolecule^{23,40} and the nanospring would enable us to observe the subdomain motion of proteins perturbed by force with high spatio-temporal resolution. In addition, our nanospring is highly compatible with other DNA origami nanostructures, such as synthetic cargo (or 'chassis')⁴¹, which can be used to control motor protein assembly, and could be used to investigate the load-dependent mechanical properties of such assemblies. More generally, our DNA nanospring has a tunable spring constant and can be attached to various types of molecules, allowing for the control of species, number, spacing and orientation during force-dependent measurements (for example, Supplementary Fig. 7). The programmability of nanospringmotor protein complexes could also be the basis for nanoactuators to study other mechanosensory proteins or be



Figure 4 | Simultaneous observation of both heads under load. (a) Typical trajectory of QDs attached to both heads showing hand-over-hand steps and inchworm-like steps (dashed-line box). (b) Typical trajectory of QDs attached to both heads in the stall state (dashed-lined box). The grey and light grey bars indicate the binding state of myosin VI onto actin (grey, adjacent binding states; light grey, distant binding states). Recording rate, 10 Hz for (a) and 30 Hz for (b), respectively. Nucleotide condition, 2 mM ATP + 100 μ M ADP. The arrowhead shows detachment from actin. (c,d) Distance between heads at stalled state (c) and at unloaded state (d). The distribution fit to three Gaussian functions (solid and broken lines) with peaks at 37 ± 9.3 nm, -1.5 ± 13 nm and -39 ± 8 nm for c, and 36 ± 11 nm, 2.4 ± 11 nm and -34 ± 12 nm for d. The peak around 0 nm is interpreted as a convolution of positive and negative distances for the adjacent binding state. Error indicates s.d.

used as components for synthetic nanoscale devices that require dynamic buildup of mechanical potential energy.

Methods

DNA origami spring (nanospring). Nanosprings were designed using caDNAno software (Supplementary Fig. 1, http://cadnano.org and ref. 42) and three-dimensional structures were produced by CanDo, which is a free online resource to predict the 3D solution shape of DNA origami (Fig. 1a, http://cando-dna-origami.org/ and ref. 43).

To fold the spring, 10 nM of scaffold was mixed with 100 nM of core staples (Supplementary Data). Oligos were obtained from Hokkaido System Science or IDT. The folding reaction was carried out in Folding buffer (5 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA and 2–30 mM MgCl₂) with rapid heating to 80 °C and cooling in single degree increments to 60 °C over 2 h followed by additional cooling in single degree increments to 25 °C over another 2 h.

The folded nanospring was purified by agarose gel electrophoresis. Structures were loaded into 2% agarose gels and run at 50 V for 3 h in TBE buffer (45 mM Tris, 45 mM boric acid and 1 mM EDTA) supplemented with 11 mM MgCl₂. The highest migration bands (see Supplementary Fig. 2) were excised, crushed and spun through a Freeze and Squeeze column (Bio-Rad) for 10 min at 20,000 g and 4 °C. The nanospring was stored at 4 °C.

The purified nanospring was adsorbed for 5 min onto glow discharged formvar- and carbon-coated copper grids, stained for 1 min with 2% uranyl formate and 25 mM NaOH. Images were acquired at 80 kV under low-dose conditions in a Tecnai T12 equipped with a LaB₆ filament and a 4k × 4k CCD (charge-coupled device) camera. The nominal magnification was × 50,000 for a pixel size of 3.66 Å at the sample level.

Bead-nanospring conjugation. To estimate the force-extension curve of the nanospring, biotin-modified staples and digoxigenin (DIG)-modified staples



Figure 5 | Force-dependent transport and anchoring mechanisms of myosin VI. (a) Examples of myosin VI transport and anchor function in cells. Endocytic vesicle transport and the maintenance of stereocillia are shown as examples. (b) Hand-over-hand motion is used for transport, which occurs under low loads. Here, one head in a myosin VI dimer undergoes one 72 nm step per two successive ATP hydrolysis cycles³⁰, whereas the tail moves 20-40 nm per ATP hydrolysis cycle³². (c) Inchworm-like motion occurs at high loads. Here, one head undergoes 43 nm steps per one ATP hydrolysis cycle (states i and ii). In the adjacent binding state (state iii), either head can take the forward step with equal probability³⁴, which results in a synergistic lever arm swing (state v to vi) to produce 20-40 nm tail motion. At stall force, myosin VI remains at state iii, iv or v. Transitions from state iv to iii or v to iv should result from spontaneous detachment of the front head⁴⁹. Transitions from state iii to ii would be possible at over stall force (>2.5 pN), resulting in backward motion by the tail²².

(Supplementary Data) were added to the 100 nM core staples, which were then folded to attach biotin and DIG at opposite ends of the nanospring.

Carboxylate-modified polystyrene beads (0.2 μ m in diameter, Invitrogen) were crosslinked to anti-DIG polyclonal antibody (Roche) and BSA using the Polylink Protein Coupling Kit (Polysciences, Inc.). One microlitre of anti-DIG antibody-coated beads (~3 nM) and 10 μ l of nanospring (~1 nM) were mixed and incubated for 1 h on ice before use in an optical tweezers assay.

A single flow chamber was made using double-sided transparent tape (Scotch) and coverslips (Matsunami). Five microlitres of neutravidin (5 mg ml⁻¹, Invitrogen) was flowed into a chamber and incubated for 3 min. Unbound neutravidin was washed out by Assay buffer (AB; 20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.8, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂ and 1 mM EGTA) and 10 µl of biotin-coated bead (~3 pM and 0.2 µm in diameter, Invitrogen) was flowed into the cell, which was then incubated for 5 min. Twenty microlitres of bead-nanospring (bead-NS) was diluted ten times in AB plus an oxygen scavenger system⁴⁴ and flowed into the cell, which was then sealed with nail polish. The chamber was observed by our microscope after 5 min.

Optical tweezers assay. Fluorescence images of bead-NS were detected by an electron modifying CCD (EMCCD) camera (DL658M-NIT or DU860D-CS0, Andor) at a 30 Hz or 300 Hz recording rate. Bead-NS underwent tethered Brownian motion and an isotropically diffusing bead-NS was chosen (see Supplementary Fig. 3c) for analysis, to avoid the effects of nonspecific interactions with the glass surface. The bead-NS motion was recorded for 500 frames by the EMCCD camera and the fluorescence images were fitted by a 2D Gaussian distribution to precisely determine the centre position of the fluorescent bead with nanometre accuracy by FIONA³⁰ and a laboratory-written Labview programme (National Instruments). We estimated the fix point of bead-NS on the glass surface as the mean value of the probability density distribution of the bead position.

When the OT centre was positioned in the vicinity of the fix point, the trapping laser (J15-BL-RW, Spectra-Physics Lasers, Inc.) was switched on to capture the bead (Supplementary Fig. 3d, left). A piezo-driven stage (p-733.3DD, Physik

Instrumente) was moved by a triangle wave (frequency, 0.2 Hz or 10 Hz; amplitude, 2.5 $\mu m)$ in one axis and the trapped bead image was tracked by FIONA. We obtained the force-extension curve according to the procedure described in Supplementary Fig. 3.

Design of myosin constructs. Human myosin Va complementary DNA (Kazusa Product ID ORK07567) was truncated at Gly924. This fragment included the motor domain, lever arm domain (IQ1-6) and a small part of the coiled-coil domain (11 a.a., SVERYKKLHIG). HaloTag (Promega) was attached at the N-terminal via a linker (15 a.a., LRRPTRPAMDPPSK). For oligo labelling and protein purification, SNAP-tag (NEB) and $6 \times$ His-tag were attached at the C-terminal via linkers (2 a.a., RA). This Halo-hMyosinVa-SNAP-His fragment and the human calmodulin gene (Met1-Lys149, Kazusa Product ID ORK01403) were introduced downstream of the PH and p10 promoters of the Baculovirus expression vector pFastBac Dual, respectively.

Human myosin VI cDNA (Kazusa Product ID ORK01080) was truncated at Ala1021. This fragment included the motor domain, calmodulin-binding domain, and the proximal, medial and most of the distal tail domain (41 a.a., IQAEVEAQLARQKEEESQQQAVLEQER RDRELALR IAQSEA). For QD labelling, HaloTag was attached at the N-terminal via a linker (15 a.a., LRRRPTRPAMDPPSK). For oligo labelling and protein purification, SNAP-tag (NEB) and $6 \times$ His-tag were attached at the C-terminal via linkers (2 a.a., RA). This Halo-hMyosinVI-SNAP-His fragment and the human calmodulin gene (Met1-Lys149, Kazusa Product ID ORK01403) were introduced downstream of the PH and p10 promoters of the Baculovirus expression vector pFastBac Dual, respectively.

Protein expression and purification with oligonucleotide labeling. Monomeric forms of myosin V and VI were expressed and purified as follows. Recombinant viruses for myosin V or VI heavy chain and calmodulin were produced by homologous recombination using the Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus Expression System (Life Technologies). Sf9 insect cells were maintained in a monolayer culture in

ventilated 175 cm² flasks at 28 °C with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1 × Antibiotic-Antimycotic liquid/Sf-900 II SFM (Life Technologies). After 60 h of incubation for recombinant proteins expression, cells were collected by centrifugation at 6,000 *g* for 5 min and stored at - 80 °C. Frozen cells were suspended and sonicated in 2 ml Lysis buffer (30 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM ATP and 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) containing Complete, EDTA-free protease inhibitors cocktail tablet (Roche Diagnostics) per flask of cells. After ultracentrifugation at 35,000 *g* for 20 min, soluble fractions were mixed with pre-washed 100 µl Ni-NTA Agarose (QIAGEN) for 40 min. Afterwards, wash buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ATP, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 20 mM imidazole pH 8.0) was added into the column, to remove unbound proteins. Myosin was eluted by Elution buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 300 mM imidazole pH 8.0) and myosin V or VI recombinants were obtained (0.3–1 mg ml⁻¹).

Oligo labelling reactions were performed just after His-tag affinity purification. Amine-modified DNA oligos (Hokkaido System Science) (Oligo A*, B*, C* and D* in Supplementary Data) were linked to the SNAP substrate, benzylguanine (BG; NEB), and 25 μ M of BG-oligonuculeotides was labelled with \sim 1 μ M myosin V or VI containing an C-terminal SNAP_f tag (NEB) in His tag affinity Elution buffer for 30 min at room temperature. Oligo-labelled myosin V and VI were purified by actin filament affinity, aliquoted and stored at - 80 °C until use. Just before the experiment, \sim 1 μ M oligo-labelled monomeric myosin V or VI was mixed and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C, to form DNA-hybridized myosin VI were estimated by a gel-shift assay (4–15% gradient gel, Biorad) and determined as >90 and >30%, respectively.

Immobilization of myosin II. Myosin II was extracted and purified from rabbit skeletal muscle⁴⁴. Heavy meromyosin (HMM) was obtained by enzymatically digesting myosin with α -chymotrypsin⁴⁵. Amine-modified DNA oligo (100 μ M; Hokkaido System Science; Oligo D* in Supplementary Data) was mixed with 50 mM sulfo-SMCC (Thermo Scientific) and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Excess SMCC was removed three times by gel filtration (Micro BIO-SPIN P-6, Biorad). HMM was mixed with SMCC-oligo at the stoichiometry 1:10, incubated overnight at 4°C and then mixed with *N*-ethylmaleinide at 1:2 for 30 min at 4°C. Excess SMCC-oligo D*-labelled HMM was aliquoted and stored at - 80 °C until use. Labelling efficiency was estimated to be ~50% by a gel-shift assay. Immobilization was confirmed by the *in vitro* motility assay⁴⁶.

Myosin-QD conjugation. Qdot 565 amine-derivatized polyethylene glycol (PEG) conjugates (4 μ M; Life Technologies) was mixed with 50 mM HaloTag succinimidyl Ester (O4) ligand (Promega) and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Excess HaloTag ligand was removed three times by gel filtration (Micro BIO-SPIN P-6, Biorad) and the HaloTag ligand-QD conjugation was stored at 4 °C.

Myosin VI dimer (2 µl; ~500 nM) was mixed with 1 µl HaloTag ligand-QD conjugation (~2 µM) and incubated for 3 h on ice before use for single-molecule imaging experiments. In the case of dual colour imaging, myosin VI monomer was used when mixing with QD. Then, just before the experiment, ~500 nM oligo-labelled monomeric myosin VI with Qdot 565 or 655 was mixed together and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C to form DNA-hybridized myosin VI dimers labelled with different colour QDs.

Myosin-NS conjugation. For the myosin-NS conjugation, handle staples (Supplementary Data) were added to the 100 nM core staples, which were then folded to attach optional 21 bp ssDNA to both ends of the nanospring. One microlitre of DNA-hybridized myosin dimer or immobile myosin II ($0.5 \sim 1 \mu$ M) with anti-handle oligos was mixed with 10 μ l of nanospring (~ 10 nM condensed by ultrafiltration (Amicon Ultra 100 K, Merck Millipore)) and incubated for 30 min on ice.

Single-molecule imaging. To avoid nonspecific interactions of myosin-NS with the glass surface, a glass coverslip was coated with functional PEG according to Schroeder *et al.*⁴⁷ with some modifications. Briefly, coverslips were cleaned with a plasma cleaner for 10 min (FEMTO, Diener electronic). The coverslips were then soaked in freshly prepared 2% (3-Aminopropyl) trimethoxysilane (KBM-603, Shin-etsu Chemical) in acetone for 45 min with gentle shaking at room temperature and rinsed with ddH₂O. Coverslips were dried and 30 µl of PEG solution (100 mg ml⁻¹ (PEG 5000 (ME-0500HS, NOF Corp.):PEG 3400 (DE-034HS, NOF Corp.):biotin-PEG (BI-050TS, NOF Corp.) = 100:10:1) in freshly prepared 0.1 M bicarbonate buffer pH 8.3) was put between a pair of coverslips and incubated for 3 h. After rinsing with ddH₂O, 20 µl of sulfodisuccinimidylartrate (Solteck Ventures) solution (30 mg ml⁻¹ in freshly prepared 1 M bicarbonate buffer pH 8.3) was put between a pair of coverslips and incubated for 45 min. The coverslips were rinsed, dried and stored at - 80 °C in a vacuum desiccator with desiccant.

A single flow chamber was made using double-sided transparent tape (Scotch) and PEG-coated coverslips. Five microlitres of neutravidin (Invitrogen,

0.25 mg ml ⁻¹) was flowed into a chamber and incubated for 3 min. Unbound neutravidin was washed out by AB. Ten microlitres of biotinylated actin filament solution (0.01 mg ml ⁻¹, 10% biotinylation) was flowed into the cell and incubated for 5 min. Unbound actin was washed out by AB and 10 µl of Blocking buffer (10 mg ml ⁻¹ BSA, 0.1% Tween 20 in 5 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 0.3 M NaCl and 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.5) was flowed into the chamber, which was then incubated for 2 min. The chamber was then washed with 30 µl of Motility buffer (AB plus 2 mM ATP (Oriental Yeast); 0.436% ADP contaminants ~8 µM in 2 mM ATP) and an oxygen scavenger system⁴⁴. For 0 µM ADP experiments, stocked ATP was purified by liquid chromatography²². An ATP regeneration system⁴⁸ was added in the 0 µM ADP experiments and 100 µM ADP was added in the 100 µM ADP experiments. Ten microlitres of myosin-NS or myosin-QDs-NS diluted 10 or 100 times in Motility buffer was flowed into the cell. The chamber was sealed with nail polish and observed immediately.

Single-molecule imaging and analysis of the nanosprings or QDs was performed using TIRFM. Illumination was provided by 488 nm laser light (OBIS 488LS-100, Coherent), 532 nm laser light (Compass 315M-100, Coherent) or 640 nm laser light (OBIS 640LX-75, Coherent). The fluorescence of TAMRA labelled with nanospring or Qdot 565 labelled with myosin was passed through a dichroic mirror (FF552-Di02 or FF506-Di03, Semrock) and emission filter (FF01-585/29 or FF01-562/40, Semrock). In the case of dual colour imaging, the fluorescence of Cy3 and ATTO647N labelled with nanospring or Qdot 565/655 labelled with myosin was passed through a dichroic mirror (FF01-577/690-25 or FF552-Di02) and a dual-view apparatus (Hamamatsu) equipped with dichroic mirrors (DML630 nm or DML 600 nm, Asahi Spectra), and emission filters (FF01-585/29 or FF01-562/40 and FF655/15, Semrock) were put in front of the EMCCD camera (Andor, DV887ECS-BV). TAMRA-labelled nanosprings and QDs showing smooth processive motions were chosen for the analysis and a sufficient data set was collected from multiple preparations (flow chambers) to estimate the fit values.

Accurate and precise co-localization of different coloured fluorescent probes was achieved in accordance with SHREC³⁵. Briefly, multicolour fluorescent beads (Ultra Rainbow Fluorescent particles, 0.2 μ m, Spherotech) stuck on a coverslip were used as fiducial markers for each imaging channel. An alignment grid by the fluorescent beads was created with a piezo stage (Physik Instrumente, P-517. 3CD) and the fiducial registration error for each marker was calculated by MATLAB software and estimated to be <7 nm over 12 h, which ensured sufficient stability during the single-molecule experiments.

Photobleaching assay. To quantify the number of myosin VI attached to a nanospring, $10 \,\mu$ M HaloTag TMR ligand (Promega) was labelled with $\sim 1 \,\mu$ M myosin VI during the labelling process of 21-mer BG-oligo. Labelling efficiency was spectrophotometrically estimated to be >95%. The TMR and 21-mer oligo-labelled myosin VI was mixed with 42-mer oligo-labelled myosin VI and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C to form myosin dimers. Myosin-NS was prepared as described in Myosin-nanospring (myosin-NS) conjugation section and purified with Sephacryl S-500 HR resin (GE Healthcare) twice. The resulting myosin-NS was diluted in Motility buffer, flowed into the cell and observed by TIRFM.

Analysis. The force–velocity relation and the precision of the nanospring extension were determined using Origin 8.5 (Origin Lab.). For the force–velocity relation, individual displacements obtained from tracking a fluorescently labelled nanospirng were averaged to reduce Brownian noise. Line fits were made to successive 1 s time segments and the velocity for each segment was determined as well as the mean force during the segment. Data points were clustered at fixed intervals of force and the average velocities were plotted against average force. The s.e. of the force includes the error from the force–velocity curve was constructed from 38 processive motions.

To estimate the precision of the nanospring extension, traces obtained from a single 2D Gaussian fit of TAMRA nanospring images at low ATP concentration (20 μ M) were analysed. The precision was defined as the s.d. of the centre positions in a 1 s window. Traces at low load regimes (<500 nm extension) were excluded from the analysis, because the myosin VI stepping could have affected the precision (the stepping rate was $1.1 \, {\rm s}^{-1}$ at 20 μ M ATP and without load^23). We plotted the precision versus the nanospring extension (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Data availability. The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information files, or are available from the authors upon request.

References

- Laakso, J. M., Lewis, J. H., Shuman, H. & Ostap, E. M. Myosin I can act as a molecular force sensor. *Science* **321**, 133–136 (2008).
- Booth, I. R., Edwards, M. D., Black, S., Schumann, U. & Miller, S. Mechanosensitive channels in bacteria: signs of closure? *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 5, 431–440 (2007).

ARTICLE

- Hayakawa, K., Tatsumi, H. & Sokabe, M. Actin filaments function as a tension sensor by tension-dependent binding of cofilin to the filament. *J. Cell Biol.* 195, 721–727 (2011).
- Buckley, C. D. et al. Cell adhesion. The minimal cadherin-catenin complex binds to actin filaments under force. Science 346, 1254211 (2014).
- Vogel, V. & Sheetz, M. Local force and geometry sensing regulate cell functions. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 7, 265–275 (2006).
- Svoboda, K., Schmidt, C. F., Schnapp, B. J. & Block, S. M. Direct observation of kinesin stepping by optical trapping interferometry. *Nature* 365, 721–727 (1993).
- Finer, J. T., Simmons, R. M. & Spudich, J. A. Single myosin molecule mechanics: piconewton forces and nanometre steps. *Nature* 368, 113–119 (1994).
- Kaya, M. & Higuchi, H. Nonlinear elasticity and an 8-nm working stroke of single myosin molecules in myofilaments. *Science* 329, 686–689 (2010).
- Forkey, J. N., Quinlan, M. E., Shaw, M. A., Corrie, J. E. & Goldman, Y. E. Three-dimensional structural dynamics of myosin V by single-molecule fluorescence polarization. *Nature* 422, 399–404 (2003).
- Myong, S., Rasnik, I., Joo, C., Lohman, T. M. & Ha, T. Repetitive shuttling of a motor protein on DNA. *Nature* 437, 1321–1325 (2005).
- Tomishige, M., Stuurman, N. & Vale, R. D. Single-molecule observations of neck linker conformational changes in the kinesin motor protein. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 13, 887–894 (2006).
- 12. Ishijima, A. *et al.* Simultaneous observation of individual ATPase and mechanical events by a single myosin molecule during interaction with actin. *Cell* **92**, 161–171 (1998).
- Lang, M. J., Fordyce, P. M., Engh, A. M., Neuman, K. C. & Block, S. M. Simultaneous, coincident optical trapping and single-molecule fluorescence. *Nat. Methods* 1, 133–139 (2004).
- 14. Hohng, S. *et al.* Fluorescence-force spectroscopy maps two-dimensional reaction landscape of the holliday junction. *Science* **318**, 279–283 (2007).
- Brau, R. R., Tarsa, P. B., Ferrer, J. M., Lee, P. & Lang, M. J. Interlaced optical force-fluorescence measurements for single molecule biophysics. *Biophys. J.* 91, 1069–1077 (2006).
- Douglas, S. M. et al. Self-assembly of DNA into nanoscale three-dimensional shapes. Nature 459, 414–418 (2009).
- Dietz, H., Douglas, S. M. & Shih, W. M. Folding DNA into twisted and curved nanoscale shapes. *Science* 325, 725–730 (2009).
- Hasson, T. et al. Unconventional myosins in inner-ear sensory epithelia. J. Cell Biol. 137, 1287–1307 (1997).
- Warner, C. L. et al. Loss of myosin VI reduces secretion and the size of the Golgi in fibroblasts from Snell's waltzer mice. EMBO J. 22, 569–579 (2003).
- Altman, D., Sweeney, H. L. & Spudich, J. A. The mechanism of myosin VI translocation and its load-induced anchoring. *Cell* 116, 737–749 (2004).
- Aschenbrenner, L., Naccache, S. N. & Hasson, T. Uncoated endocytic vesicles require the unconventional myosin, Myo6, for rapid transport through actin barriers. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 15, 2253–2263 (2004).
- Chuan, P., Spudich, J. A. & Dunn, A. R. Robust mechanosensing and tension generation by myosin VI. J. Mol. Biol. 405, 105–112 (2011).
- Nishikawa, S. et al. Switch between large hand-over-hand and small inchwormlike steps in myosin VI. Cell 142, 879–888 (2010).
- 24. Mukherjea, M. *et al.* Myosin VI must dimerize and deploy its unusual lever arm in order to perform its cellular roles. *Cell Rep.* **8**, 1522–1532 (2014).
- Kodera, N., Yamamoto, D., Ishikawa, R. & Ando, T. Video imaging of walking myosin V by high-speed atomic force microscopy. *Nature* 468, 72–76 (2010).
- Wang, M. D., Yin, H., Landick, R., Gelles, J. & Block, S. M. Stretching DNA with optical tweezers. *Biophys. J.* 72, 1335–1346 (1997).
- Spink, B. J., Sivaramakrishnan, S., Lipfert, J., Doniach, S. & Spudich, J. A. Long single alpha-helical tail domains bridge the gap between structure and function of myosin VI. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 15, 591–597 (2008).
- Phichith, D. et al. Cargo binding induces dimerization of myosin VI. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106, 17320–17324 (2009).
- 29. Qiu, W. et al. Dynein achieves processive motion using both stochastic and coordinated stepping. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **19**, 193–200 (2012).
- 30. Yildiz, A. *et al.* Myosin V walks hand-over-hand: single fluorophore imaging with 1.5-nm localization. *Science* **300**, 2061–2065 (2003).
- Hammer, 3rd J. A. & Sellers, J. R. Walking to work: roles for class V myosins as cargo transporters. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 13, 13–26 (2012).
- Rock, R. S. et al. Myosin VI is a processive motor with a large step size. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 98, 13655–13659 (2001).
- Nishikawa, S. *et al.* Class VI myosin moves processively along actin filaments backward with large steps. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 290, 311–317 (2002).
- 34. Ikezaki, K. *et al.* Simultaneous observation of the lever arm and head explains myosin VI dual function. *Small* **8**, 3035–3040 (2012).
- Churchman, L. S., Okten, Z., Rock, R. S., Dawson, J. F. & Spudich, J. A. Single molecule high-resolution colocalization of Cy3 and Cy5 attached to

macromolecules measures intramolecular distances through time. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 1419–1423 (2005).

- 36. Yamada, A., Yoshio, M., Kojima, H. & Oiwa, K. An *in vitro* assay reveals essential protein components for the 'catch' state of invertebrate smooth muscle. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 6635–6640 (2001).
- 37. Howard, J. Mechanics of Motor Proteins and the Cytoskeleton (Sinauer Associates, Inc., 2001).
- Menetrey, J. et al. Processive steps in the reverse direction require uncoupling of the lead head lever arm of myosin VI. Mol. Cell 48, 75–86 (2012).
- Nakata, T. & Hirokawa, N. Point mutation of adenosine triphosphate-binding motif generated rigor kinesin that selectively blocks anterograde lysosome membrane transport. J. Cell Biol. 131, 1039–1053 (1995).
- Isojima, H., Iino, R., Niitani, Y., Noji, H. & Tomishige, M. Direct observation of intermediate states during the stepping motion of kinesin-1. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12, 290–297 (2016).
- 41. Derr, N. D. et al. Tug-of-war in motor protein ensembles revealed with a programmable DNA origami scaffold. Science 338, 662–665 (2012).
- 42. Douglas, S. M. et al. Rapid prototyping of 3D DNA-origami shapes with caDNAno. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, 5001–5006 (2009).
- Kim, D. N., Kilchherr, F., Dietz, H. & Bathe, M. Quantitative prediction of 3D solution shape and flexibility of nucleic acid nanostructures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 2862–2868 (2012).
- 44. Harada, Y., Sakurada, K., Aoki, T., Thomas, D. D. & Yanagida, T. Mechanochemical coupling in actomyosin energy transduction studied by in vitro movement assay. *J. Mol. Biol.* 216, 49–68 (1990).
- Margossian, S. S. & Lowey, S. Preparation of myosin and its subfragments from rabbit skeletal muscle. *Methods Enzymol.* 85 Pt B, 55–71 (1982).
- Harada, Y., Noguchi, A., Kishino, A. & Yanagida, T. Sliding movement of single actin filaments on one-headed myosin filaments. *Nature* 326, 805–808 (1987).
- 47. Paul, R. & Selvin, T.H. Single-Molecule Techniques. A Laboratory Manual (CSHL Press, 2008).
- Iwaki, M., Iwane, A. H., Shimokawa, T., Cooke, R. & Yanagida, T. Brownian search-and-catch mechanism for myosin-VI steps. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 5, 403–405 (2009).
- Ikezaki, K., Komori, T. & Yanagida, T. Spontaneous detachment of the leading head contributes to myosin VI backward steps. *PLoS ONE* 8, e58912 (2013).

Acknowledgements

We thank colleagues of the Riken Quantitative Biology Center and T. Komori for discussions, and P. Karagiannis for helpful comments on the manuscript. We especially thank R. Kawaguchi for technical help, and Y. Ke and N.D. Derr for technical advice. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (20118009, MEXT) (to M.I.), Grant-in-Aid for challenging Exploratory Research (24654139, JSPS) (to M.I.).

Author contributions

M.I. conceived the idea and planned the experiments. M.I. and W.M.S. designed the DNA origami. M.I. and S.F.W. constructed, purified and checked the DNA origami. K.I. constructed the myosin molecules. M.I. performed the single-molecule experiments and analysed the data. The manuscript was written by M.I., S.F.W. and W.M.S. M.I. discussed the experimental results with T.Y.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Iwaki, M. *et al.* A programmable DNA origami nanospring that reveals force-induced adjacent binding of myosin VI heads. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13715 doi: 10.1038/ncomms13715 (2016).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016