

Article

An Analysis of Exhaust Emission of the Internal Combustion Engine Treated by the Non-Thermal Plasma

Ming-Hsien Hsueh ^{1,*}, Chia-Nan Wang ¹, Meng-Chang Hsieh ², Chao-Jung Lai ³, Shi-Hao Wang ¹, Chia-Hsin Hsieh ¹, Tsung-Liang Wu ¹ and Jo-Hung Yu ¹

- ¹ Department of Industrial Engineering and Management, National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan; cn.wang@nkust.edu.tw (C.-N.W.); shwang@nkust.edu.tw (S.-H.W.); charlie820906@gmail.com (C.-H.H.); wut@nkust.edu.tw (T.-L.W.); henry@nkust.edu.tw (J.-H.Y.)
- ² Institute of Undersea Technology, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung 804, Taiwan; pedro@g-mail.nsysu.edu.tw
- ³ Department of Fashion Design and Management, Tainan University of Technology, Tainan 71002, Taiwan; t30129@mail.tut.edu.tw
- * Correspondence: mhhsueh@nkust.edu.tw

Academic Editors: Chiara Bisio and Monica Pica

Received: 18 November 2020; Accepted: 18 December 2020; Published: 21 December 2020



Abstract: Industries' air pollution causes serious challenges to modern society, among them exhaust gases from internal combustion engines, which are currently one of the main sources. This study proposes a non-thermal plasma (NTP) system for placement in the exhaust system of internal combustion engines to reduce the toxic contaminants (HC, CO, and NO_x) of exhaust gases. This NTP system generates a high-voltage discharge that not only responds to the ion chemical reaction to eliminate NO_x and CO, but that also generates a combustion reaction at the local high temperature of plasma to reduce HC. The NTP system was designed on both the front and rear of the exhaust pipe to analyze the difference of different exhaust flow rates under the specified frequency. The results indicate that the NTP system can greatly reduce toxic contaminants. The NTP reactor placed in the front of exhaust pipe gave HC and CO removal efficiency of about 34.5% and 16.0%, respectively, while the NTP reactor placed in the rear of exhaust pipe gave NO_x removal efficiency of about 41.3%. In addition, the voltage and material directly affect the exhaust gases obviously. In conclusion, the proposed NTP system installed in the exhaust system can significantly reduce air pollutants. These results suggest that applying NTP to the combustion engine should be a useful tool to simultaneously reduce both emissions of NO_x and CO.

Keywords: non-thermal plasma (NTP); exhaust emission; internal combustion engine; ion chemical reaction

1. Introduction

The last few years have witnessed rapid development in industrial technology, with an increasing demand for fuel-based energy. The combustion of fuel is the primary source of energy for vehicle engines, aircraft engines, and industrial machinery. Fuel combustion produces a combination of chemical energy, thermal energy, and exhaust gases. Portions of combustion gases harmful to the environment such as unburned hydrocarbon, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, and particulate matter are released into the environment. The exhaust gases emitted into the atmosphere cause air pollution due to the incomplete combustion of carbonaceous fuel. Inhaling gases are harmful to humans and cause health issues such as lung cancer, asthma, cardiovascular diseases [1–3]. Inhaling the



2 of 20

exhaust gases damages the airway and may impair their function, especially the lungs [4]. Therefore, with mounting environmental concerns, the purification of exhaust gases from the internal combustion engine is becoming increasingly urgent to address. Balki et al. (2014) investigated the exhaust emission of an SI engine using gasoline, ethanol, and methanol at different engine speeds and observed that the use of alcohol instead of gasoline as the fuel in a low power engine caused a decline mainly in NO_x, hydrocarbons (HC) and CO emissions. In addition, when methanol and ethanol were used in the combustion engine, the emissions of NO_x, CO, and HC were reduced by 49% and 47.6%, 22.6% and 21.25%, and 21.6% and 19.13%, respectively [5]. Hsueh et al. (2016) studied a fuel temperature control device using a thermoelectric module (TEC) chip to measure engine performance and exhaust emission at various fuel temperatures and air/fuel (A/F) ratios. The emission ratios of HC and CO decreased but that of NO_x increased as the fuel temperature increased [6]. Doğan et al. (2017) investigated ethanol-gasoline blends as fuel for a four-cylinder and four-stroke spark-ignition engine and found that ethanol added to gasoline in the combustion engine fuel caused a reduction in CO and NO_x emission ratios [7].

In recent years, the development of non-thermal plasma (NTP) has attracted high interest and is considered as the green strategy for exhaust pollutant remediation. It can be used to remove various air pollutants such as SO_2 , NO_x , HC, CO, and VOC [8,9]. In NTP, the electron temperature is higher than the gas temperature, which is close to room temperature. High energy electrons collide with surrounding gas molecules to produce reactive species such as dissociated molecules, ions, free radicals, and secondary electrons [10]. The reactive species actively combine with the environmental pollutants to form a decomposition product [11]. Various NTP systems such as the spark, dielectric barrier discharges, gliding arc, corona, microwave, and glow have been investigated for the direct conversion of exhaust gases [12–16]. The use of a plasma reactor with a catalyst to treat exhaust gases from gasoline engines was investigated by Dan et al. (2005), who observed that particulate matter removal efficiency ranged approximately from 25 to 57% [17]. Kim et al. (2017) investigated the effects of NTP on a lean premixed model gas turbine combustor of NO_x and CO by changing the mixing nozzle exit velocity and the equivalence ratio. The result showed a reduction in NO_x and CO emissions [18]. Adnan et al. (2017) tried to increase the rate of NTP decomposition by increasing the flow rates of the exhaust gases and could reduce the concentrations of CO, CO₂, HC, and NO_x by more than 95% [19].

In this study, the variation of toxic contaminants in the exhaust system of the internal gasoline engine was investigated by using the NTP system. The NTP reactor was placed in the front or rear of the exhaust pipe to treat exhaust gas and the variation of emission was analyzed. The exhaust gas variation of the engine was observed by controlling the position, voltage, and types of NTP reactors with different engine speeds.

2. Experimental Apparatus and Techniques

2.1. Experimental Setup

The schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1, which mainly includes three sections, the production of exhaust gas by the motorcycle engine, the device for exhaust emissions reduction by the NTP system, and the exhaust gas analyzer of the detection system. The engine used for this experimental test was the model GP-125 manufactured by KYMCO Co. Ltd. (Taiwan), and there was no catalyst inside the exhaust pipe. The specifications of the engine in the power and torque measurement test are mentioned in Table 1. The experimental control conditions of the engine are mentioned in Table 2. The engine speeds were set in the range of 4000–6000 rpm, a frequently used range for practical motorcycle engines. The detailed experimental setup of the NTP system is shown in Figure 2, which is consisted mainly of three parts, the NTP reactor, the voltage converter, and the NTP control device. The NTP reactors used for this experiment test were model CR8EGP and CR8EIX manufactured by NGK Spark Plug Co., Ltd. (Aichi, Japan). The specifications of NTP reactors are mentioned in Table 3. NTP reactor was placed in the model A, in the front of the exhaust pipe or model

B, in the rear of the exhaust pipe, as described in Figures 2 and 3. The voltage converter used for this experiment test was model 3051A-LGL3–900 (KYMCO Co. Ltd., Taiwan). The NTP control device used for this experiment test was model DP-30032 (HILA International Inc, Taiwan). The specifications of the NTP control device are mentioned in Table 4. Pulsed voltages with a frequency of 10 Hz and adjustable amplitudes from 2 to 5 V were applied to the electrodes to produce a spark. The exhaust gas analyzer used for this experiment test was model EF-306EN (Exford, Taiwan), which measures the range of each exhaust gas, as mentioned in Table 5. The exhaust gas was measured at the end of the exhaust pipe and the data were recorded for 5 min under normal conditions to calculate an average. The A/F ratio was maintained at 13.7 to fix the stable fuel supply condition and observe the change in engine power performance and emission.



Figure 1. Schematic of this experiment and setup location.

Table 1. The engine specification of motorcycle.

Parameter	Value	
Model	GP125	
Stoke	four-stoke	
Engine type	Single cylinder	
Displacement (c.c.)	124.6	
Bore \times Stoke (mm)	52.4×57.8	
Compression ratio	9.9:1	
Fuel	Unleaded gasoline	
Max power (kw/rpm)	7.8/7500	
Max torque (N-m/rpm)	10.3/5500	
Wheelbase (mm)	1220	
Air/fuel ratio (A/F)	13.7	

Parameter	Value
Engine speed (rpm)	4000, 5000, 6000
Fuel octane rating	95
Engine temperature (°C)	100-110
Intake air temperature (°C)	22-26

Table 2. The control conditions of engine.



Figure 2. The setup of non-thermal plasma (NTP) system.

Demonstration	Value			
Parameter	Type One	Туре Тwo		
Model	NGK-CR8EGP	NGK-CR8EIX		
Material	Platinum	Iridium alloy		
Discharging Gap (before used) (mm)	0.7	0.8		
Discharging Gap (after used) (mm)	0.7	0.7		
Center electrode diameter (mm)	0.8	0.8		
Outer electrode diameter (mm)	2.20	1.85		

Table 3. The types of NTP reactor.



Figure 3. Photographic view of the device set up on (**a**) model A and (**b**) model B of the experimental motorcycle.

Table 4. The specification of NTP control device.

Parameter	Value
Model	DP-30032
Main output voltage (V)	0–30
Main output current (A)	0–3
Fixed output voltage (V)	2.5/3.3/5
Fixed output current (A)	3
Resolution (mV/mA)	100/10
Precision	$\pm(1\% \text{ reading} + 2 \text{ digits})$
Power supply (V, Hz)	AC110/220 ± 10% selectable, 50/60
Dimensions (mm)	$250W \times 150H \times 310D$

Demonster	Value		
rarameter	Measuring Range	Tolerance	
HC (ppm)	0–2000	±12	
CO (%)	0–10	±0.06	
CO ₂ (%)	0–20	±0.5	
NO _x (ppm)	0-5000	±25	

Table 5.	The	range	of	each	exhaust	gas
----------	-----	-------	----	------	---------	-----

2.2. Experimental Procedure

The measurement equipment was adjusted and corrected before the experiment began. The preparation and measurement procedures were as follows:

- 1. The engine condition of the motorcycle, such as engine oil, air filter, and fuel was checked.
- 2. The exhaust gas analyzer was calibrated and warmed up.
- 3. The engine was initiated for 30 min for the temperature to reach $100 \,^{\circ}$ C.
- 4. The NTP system was checked and the type of NTP reactor was selected.
- 5. Before each experiment, a new NTP reactor was replaced.

In this study, the engine speed was 4000, 5000, and 6000 rpm, and the engine combustion produced exhaust gas when the engine started. The exhaust gas was discharged to the exhaust gas analyzer after passing through the NTP reactor. The data were directly recorded with the help of Microsoft Excel. The sparking frequency of the NTP control device was 10 Hz. The experimental procedure is presented in Figure 4. In these experiments, the different rpm of the engine were measured and compared to determine the optimal type and location of the NTP system. Based on the results of this experiment, the optimal condition of the NTP system was obtained and later applied to an actual vehicle.



Figure 4. The procedure of experimental.

The dissociation process of exhaust gas was defined as: The removal efficiency of pollutants (RE) for HC, CO, and NO_x:

$$\operatorname{RE}(\%) = \frac{[\operatorname{Gas}]_{\operatorname{off}} - [\operatorname{Gas}]_{\operatorname{on}}}{[\operatorname{Gas}]_{\operatorname{off}}} \times 100\%$$
(1)

The conversion efficiency of pollutants (CE) for CO₂:

$$CE (\%) = \frac{[Gas]_{on} - [Gas]_{off}}{[Gas]_{off}} \times 100\%$$
(2)

where [Gas]_{off} is the exhaust emission value without the NTP system; [Gas]_{on} is the exhaust emission value with the NTP system.

2.3. NTP Reactor

The spark is generated by the electrode gap of the NTP reactor. (Tables 3 and 4). The combustible gas is ignited in the exhaust gas. The exhaust gas composition of engine combustion includes HC, CO, CO_2 , NO_x , SO_2 , and PM2.5, etc. But the instrument used in this article can only measure HC, CO, CO_2 , and NO_x . Therefore, the major reactions in the exhaust gas reduction by the NTP reactor are listed below [20–23].

The short high current spark with excited atomic radicals, excited molecules, and ions are generated, and the temperature during the spark phase can be as high as 3000 K. Therefore, we considered the Zeldovich thermal mechanism (see the equations below) of NO_x generation.

$$N_2 + O \rightarrow NO + N$$
 (3)

$$N + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O \tag{4}$$

Oxygen molecules are broken down into oxygen radicals by the free electrons. The reactions of these oxygen molecules are listed below [20–23].

$$O_2 + e^- \to O + O \tag{5}$$

$$O + O \to O_2 \tag{6}$$

$$O_3 + e^- \to O_2 + O \tag{7}$$

$$O + O_2 \to O_3 \tag{8}$$

$$O + O_3 \rightarrow O_2 + O_2 \tag{9}$$

Under a higher energy electron collision, N_2 transforms into N radicals and the water molecules partially dissociate to form active species, such as OH and H radicals. The results of H_2O and N_2 molecules at higher energy electron collision reactions are listed below [21,23].

$$N_2 + e^- \to N + N \tag{10}$$

$$N + N \rightarrow N_2 \tag{11}$$

$$H_2O + e^- \to OH + H \tag{12}$$

$$H_2O + O \rightarrow OH + OH \tag{13}$$

$$OH + O \to O_2 + H \tag{14}$$

$$OH + O_3 \rightarrow HO_2 + O_2 \tag{15}$$

$$OH + HO_2 \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O \tag{16}$$

The mechanism for the destruction of CO_2 in a non-thermal plasma involves the electron-induced dissociation of CO_2 to give CO and O followed by recombination of the oxygen atoms to give the final products, CO and O_2 . CO can also recombine with atomic oxygen to reform CO_2 . The reactions are listed below [19,20].

$$CO_2 + e^- \rightarrow CO + O$$
 (17)

$$CO_2 + e^- \to C + O_2 \tag{18}$$

$$CO + O \rightarrow CO_2$$
 (19)

$$CO + e^- \rightarrow C + O$$
 (20)

$$CO + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2 \tag{21}$$

$$C + O_3 \rightarrow CO + O_2 \tag{22}$$

The process of NO_x removal mainly occurs through the oxidation pathway. Once N and O radicals are formed, the most important reactions of the oxidation of NO to NO₂ are listed below [21–23].

$$N + O \rightarrow NO$$
 (23)

$$N + O_3 \rightarrow NO + O_2 \tag{24}$$

$$NO + e^- \rightarrow N + O$$
 (25)

$$NO_2 + e^- \rightarrow N + O + O \tag{26}$$

$$NO + O \rightarrow NO_2$$
 (27)

$$NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2 \tag{28}$$

$$NO + N \rightarrow N_2 + O \tag{29}$$

$$NO + H_2O \rightarrow NO_2 + 2H \tag{30}$$

$$NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2 \tag{31}$$

$$NO_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow HNO_3 + O \tag{32}$$

$$NO_2 + OH \rightarrow HNO_3$$
 (33)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. HC

Figures 5–8 present the HC emission value and the removal efficiency using different materials (Table 3) and at various locations (Figures 2 and 3) of the NTP reactor. The HC emissions are known to be a result of the poor combustion of the fuel [24]. We found that the control voltage of 2 to 5 V in the NTP system could reduce the HC emissions at different engine speeds. The minimum HC removal efficiency was 1.2% at the control voltage 2 V for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The control voltage 1 V exhibited little change in the HC removal efficiency, possibly because the voltage and the spark were too small. Therefore, it does not show in the figure. The control voltage 0 V indicates the original exhaust gas without the NTP system. Our results show that HC removal efficiency increased with increasing voltage because of the increase in shape and density of the spark with increasing voltage. With the increase in the contact area of the large spark and high density with the HC exhaust gas, the re-burning probability of the HC exhaust gas increased. Particularly, the shape and density of the spark approached the critical value at the control voltage 4 V, and the spark variation was small from the control voltage of 5 to 4 V.



Figure 5. The HC (**a**) emission values and (**b**) removal efficiency (RE) using platinum in the front of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 6. The HC (a) emission values and (b) RE using iridium alloy in the front of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 7. The HC (a) emission values and (b) RE using platinum in the rear of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 8. The HC (a) emission values and (b) RE using iridium alloy in the rear of the exhaust pipe.

Figures 9–11 show the HC emission value and removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. Table 6 shows the maximum and minimum values of HC removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The maximum HC removal efficiency was 34.5% for iridium alloy (type two) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). The minimum HC removal efficiency was 11.9% for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for the reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). These results indicate that HC exhaust gas concentration increases with the increase in engine speed. This is because, with the increase in the engine speed, the gasoline fuel gets no time to burn in the engine. The NTP reactor materials of type one and type two reduce the HC exhaust gas concentration and type two exhibited a better effect than the type one. This is because the low resistance and small electrode area of type two material cause the formation of a larger spark with a higher internal density. With the increase in the contact area of large spark and high density with the HC exhaust gas, the re-burning probability of HC exhaust gas increased. The NTP reactor location in models A and B reduced the HC exhaust gas concentration. In model A, the HC removal efficiency increased with decreasing engine speed because of the slow flow rate of exhaust gas with decreasing

engine speed. The re-burning probability of HC exhaust gas increased with the increase in contact time between the spark and HC exhaust gas. In model B, the HC removal efficiency increased with an increase in engine speed. This is because the flow rate of exhaust gas was almost the same in model B. The re-burning probability of HC exhaust gas increased with an increase in HC gas flow per unit area. The reduction in HC removal efficiency of model B was higher than that of model A. This is because of the larger cross-sectional area in model B, which leads to the complete release of spark and slow gas flow rate, increasing the re-burning probability of HC exhaust gas. Therefore, HC exhaust gas emissions get reduced.



Figure 9. The HC (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using platinum.



Figure 10. The HC (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using iridium alloy.



Figure 11. The HC RE at control voltage 5 V.

Table 6. The maximum and minimum values of HC removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V.

Parameter		HC RE Value		
		Minimum		
Platinum and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model A)		11.9%		
Iridium alloy and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model A)		14.3%		
Platinum and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model B)		20%		
Iridium alloy and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model B)		24.6%		

3.2. CO

Figures 12–15 present the CO emission value and removal efficiency of the different types and locations of NTP reactor. The control voltage of 2 to 5 V in the NTP system could reduce the CO emissions at different engine speeds. The minimum CO removal efficiency was 1.2% at the control voltage 2 V for Platinum (type one) and for in the front of the exhaust system (model A) at 6000 rpm. The results show that the removal efficiency of CO increases with increasing voltage. This is because with increasing voltage, the shape of the spark gets larger, leading to an increase in the production of free radicals. Abundant reactive radicals and higher combustion reaction temperature caused the increase in the dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas.











Figure 14. The CO (a) emission values and (b) RE using platinum in the rear of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 15. The CO (a) emission values and (b) RE using iridium alloy in the rear of the exhaust pipe.

Figures 16–18 present the CO emission value and removal efficiency of control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. Table 7 shows the maximum and minimum values of CO removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The maximum CO removal efficiency was 16.0% for iridium alloy (type two) at 5000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). The minimum CO removal efficiency was 3.3% for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The NTP reactor material of types one and type two reduced the CO exhaust gas concentration. The effect of type two NTP reactor material was found to be better than that of type one because, low resistance forms larger spark and high internal density. The production of free radicals increases by increasing the spark size. The larger amount of radicals and higher combustion reaction temperature caused an increase in the dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas. The dissociation of CO is broadly divided into two parts. In the first part, the dissociation of CO gives carbon and oxygen atoms. Carbon atoms get deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor, as shown in Figure 19. The second part involves the recombination of CO and oxygen atoms to form the CO₂. The location of the NTP reactor in models A and B reduce the CO exhaust gas concentration. In model A, the CO removal efficiency increased with a decrease in the engine speed, which caused the flow rate of exhaust gas to be slow. The dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas increased with the increase in contact time between the spark and CO exhaust gas. The CO removal efficiency was the highest in model B at 5000 rpm because the flow rate of exhaust gas was almost the same in model B. The dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas increased with an increase in CO gas flow per unit area. The reduction in CO removal efficiency of model B is higher than that of model A. This is because in model B, the dissociation of free radical and CO exhaust gas has a larger space, and the flow rate of exhaust gas was slow. This reduced the CO exhaust gas emissions. However, the amount of change in the CO exhaust gas measurement is small, there may be random errors in this experiment which may be caused by ambient temperature, humidity and dust. The random errors of CO exhaust values is about 0.1.



Figure 16. The CO (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using platinum.



Figure 17. The CO (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using iridium alloy.



Figure 18. The CO RE at control voltage 5 V.

Table 7. The maximum and minimum values of CO removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V.

Parameter		CO RE Value		
		Minimum		
Platinum and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model A)		3.3%		
Iridium alloy and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model A)		5.9%		
Platinum and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model B)		10.1%		
Iridium alloy and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model B)		13.2%		



Figure 19. A photographic view of NTP reactor (**a**) platinum and (**c**) iridium alloy before this experiment and (**b**) platinum and (**d**) iridium alloy after this experiment.

3.3. CO₂

Figures 20–23 present the CO_2 emission value and conversion efficiency using the different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The control voltage of 2 to 5 V in the NTP

system could increase the CO₂ emissions at different engine speeds. The maximum CO₂ conversion efficiency was 0.9% at the control voltage 2 V for platinum (type one) at 4000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The results show that CO₂ conversion efficiency increases with decreasing voltage. This is because decreasing voltage leads to a smaller shape of spark and this reduction causes a decline in the production of free radicals. Ultimately, this reduces the dissociation probability of CO₂ exhaust gas.

Figures 24-26 show the CO₂ emission value and conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. Table 8 shows the maximum and minimum values of CO₂ conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The maximum CO₂ conversion efficiency was 0.6% for platinum (type one) at 4000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The minimum CO_2 conversion efficiency was -0.3% for iridium alloy (type two) at 4000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). Most of the NTP reactor materials of type one and type two increase CO_2 exhaust gas concentration, while the type one exhibits a better effect than the type two. The reduced production of free radicals causes the dissociation probability of CO₂ exhaust gas to decrease. CO₂ is also obtained from the combination of CO and oxygen atoms. The dissociation of CO₂ is divided into two parts. The first part involves the dissociation of CO_2 to give CO and oxygen atoms. In the second part, the dissociation of CO₂ gives carbon and oxygen atoms. Carbon atoms get deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor (Figure 19). Most of the NTP reactor locations of model A and model B increase the CO_2 exhaust gas concentration. This is because the amount of CO_2 dissociation is less than the amount of recombination of CO and oxygen atoms. This result is similar to that of CO exhaust gas emissions. However, the amount of change in the CO_2 exhaust gas measurement was small, thus, there may be errors in measurement.



Figure 20. The CO_2 (**a**) emission values and (**b**) CE at platinum in the front of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 21. The CO₂ (a) emission values and (b) CE using iridium alloy in the front of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 22. The CO_2 (a) emission values and (b) CE using platinum in the rear of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 23. The CO₂ (a) emission values and (b) CE using iridium alloy in the rear of the exhaust pipe.







Figure 25. The CO₂ (a) emission values and (b) CE of control voltage 5 V using iridium alloy.



Figure 26. The CO₂ CE at control voltage 5 V.

Table 8. The maximum and minimum values of CO₂ conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V.

Parameter		CO ₂ CE Value	
		Minimum	
Platinum and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model A)		0.3%	
Iridium alloy and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model A)		0.3%	
Platinum and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model B)		-0.1%	
Iridium alloy and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model B)		-0.3%	

3.4. NO_x

Figure 27 presents the oil temperature of the engine at different engine speeds. Figures 28–31 present the NO_x emission value and the removal efficiency at different types and locations of the NTP reactor. The principal source of NO_x emissions is the oxidation of atmospheric nitrogen. We found that a control voltage between 2 to 5 V in the NTP system, could reduce NO_x emissions at different engine speeds. The maximum NO_x removal efficiency was 41.3% at the control voltage 4 V for iridium alloy (type two) at 3000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The minimum NO_x removal efficiency was 4.7% at the control voltage 2 V for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). The results show that NO_x removal efficiency increased with increasing voltage, however, NO_x removal efficiency began decreasing after reaching control voltage 4 V. This is because the change in the spark of control voltage 5 V to the control voltage 4 V was small. The free radicals and exhaust gas degradation appeared to be similar, but the combustion reaction temperature increased. With the increase in combustion reaction temperature, the amount of diatomic nitrogen dissociated from the monatomic nitrogen increased and finally resulted in high NO_x.



Figure 27. The oil temperature of the engine at different engine speeds.



Figure 28. The NO_x (**a**) emission values and (**b**) RE using platinum in the front of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 29. The NO_x (a) emission values and (b) RE using iridium alloy in the front of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 30. The NO_x (a) emission values and (b) RE using platinum and in the rear of the exhaust pipe.



Figure 31. The NO_x (**a**) emission values and (**b**) RE using iridium alloy in the rear of the exhaust pipe.

Figures 32–34 present NO_x emission value and removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. Table 9 shows the maximum and minimum values of NO_x removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The maximum NO_x removal efficiency was 37.6% for iridium alloy (type two) at 4000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The minimum

 NO_x removal efficiency was 7.5% for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). The results show an increase in NO_x exhaust gas concentration with an increase in engine speed, which then causes the temperature of the combustion chamber to increase. At high temperatures, nitrogen and oxygen atoms easily recombine to form NO_x . The NTP reactor materials of type one and type two reduce the NO_x exhaust gas concentration. The effect of type two material was found to be better than type one. The production of free radicals increased by increasing the spark size and the area of contact between the free radicals and NO_x exhaust gas also increased. This enhanced the probability of dissociation of NO_x exhaust gas to give nitrogen and oxygen atoms.

The NTP reactor location in models A and B reduced the NO_x exhaust gas concentration. Moreover, the NO_x removal efficiency increased with decreasing engine speed because of the slower the flow rate of exhaust gas and the lower temperature of exhaust gas. The NO_x removal efficiency of model A was better among the two models because the products of NO_x dissociation can easily recombine to form the NO_x at high temperatures. The combination possibility of nitrogen and oxygen atoms is lower at the faster flow rate of the exhaust gas. Therefore, the NO_x exhaust gas emissions are reduced.

Table 9. The maximum and minimum values of NO_x removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V.

Devenuetor		NO _x RE Value		
rarameter	Maximum	Minimum		
Platinum and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model A)		9.8%		
Iridium alloy and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model A)		18%		
Platinum and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model B)		7.5%		
Iridium alloy and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model B)		17%		



Figure 32. The NO_x (**a**) emission values and (**b**) RE of control voltage 5 V using platinum.



Figure 33. The NO_x (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using iridium alloy.



Figure 34. The NO_x RE at control voltage 5 V.

4. Conclusions

In this study, a motorcycle engine was examined using the NTP system to measure exhaust gas emission using different materials, voltage, and locations of the NTP reactor.

Considering the HC exhaust gas emissions, the NTP system re-burns HC exhaust gas to reduce the HC exhaust gas concentration. The HC removal efficiency increases with increasing voltage. The shapes and density of the spark approached critical values at the control voltage 4 V. The HC exhaust gas emissions of model B were lower than model A. The effect of type two NTP reactor material was better than that of type one. The maximum reduction of HC removal efficiency obtained with the NTP system reached 34.5%, while the minimum HC removal efficiency was 1.2%.

Considering the CO exhaust gas emissions, the reaction between free radicals and CO exhaust gas gates mainly dissociated to obtain carbon and oxygen atoms. Carbon gets deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor and affects the life of the NTP reactor. Furthermore, CO and oxygen atoms also recombine to form CO₂. The CO removal efficiency increases with the increase in voltage. The CO removal efficiency of model B was found to be higher than that of model A. The effect of the type two NTP reactor material was better than type one. The maximum reduction of CO removal efficiency obtained with the NTP system reached 16.0%, while the minimum CO removal efficiency was 1.2%.

In terms of the CO_2 exhaust gas emissions, the CO_2 exhaust gas dissociates to form CO and oxygen atoms, and the dissociation of CO_2 obtains carbon atoms and oxygen atoms. Like CO, carbon gets deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor. However, most of the amount of dissociation was found to be less than the amount of HC re-burning and CO reduction. Therefore, the total amount of CO_2 increased and the CO_2 conversion efficiency increased with decreasing voltage. The CO_2 conversion efficiency of model A was higher than that of model B. The effect of type one NTP reactor material was better than that of type two. The maximum CO_2 conversion efficiency obtained with the NTP system reached 0.9%, while the minimum CO_2 conversion efficiency was -0.3%.

Considering the NO_x exhaust gas emissions, the NO_x gets dissociated by the NTP system to reduce the NO_x exhaust gas concentration. The removal efficiency NO_x increased with increasing voltage, but the NO_x exhaust gas removal efficiency began to decrease after reaching control voltage 4 V. As the combustion reaction temperature increased, the amount of diatomic nitrogen dissociated from the monatomic nitrogen increased and finally resulted in high NO_x. The NO_x exhaust gas emissions of model A were lower than that of model B. The effect of type two NTP reactor material was better than that of type one. The maximum reduction of NO_x exhaust gas emissions removal efficiency obtained with the NTP system reached 41.3%, while the minimum NO_x removal efficiency was 4.7%.

Finally, according to the above discussion, the effect of iridium alloy is better than platinum for HC, CO, CO_2 , and NO_x emission removal. The effect of the NTP reactor in the rear of the exhaust system is better than in the front of the exhaust system for HC, CO, and CO_2 emission removal. However, the NO_x emission removal for the reactor in front of the exhaust system is better than that in the rear of the exhaust system.

5. Patents

Taiwan Patent M526623.

Author Contributions: M.-H.H. guided the research direction, found the solutions and designed the approach to set up the testing facility; C.-N.W. wrote the initial draft of the paper; M.-C.H. analyzed the experimental results in this study; S.-H.W., C.-H.H., C.-J.L., T.-L.W., and J.-H.Y. conducted the experiments and collected the results. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This paper is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) and the National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology under MOST-106-2622-E-151-007-CC3.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like their thanks to the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) and the National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology for their financial support during this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Subrahmanyam, C.; Magureanu, M.; Renken, A.; Kiwi-Minsker, L. Catalytic abatement of volatile organic compounds assisted by non-thermal plasma Part 1. A novel dielectric barrier discharge reactor containing catalytic electrode. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2006**, *65*, 150–156. [CrossRef]
- 2. Durme, J.V.; Dewulf, J.; Leys, C.; Langenhove, H.V. Combining non-thermal plasma with heterogeneous catalysis in waste gas treatment: A review. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2008**, *78*, 324–333. [CrossRef]
- Saoud, W.A.; Assadi, A.A.; Guiza, M.; Bouzaza, A.; Aboussaoud, W.; Soutrel, I.; Ouederni, A.; Wolbert, D.; Rtimi, S. Abatement of ammonia and butyraldehyde under non-thermal plasma and photocatalysis: Oxidation processes for the removal of mixture pollutants at pilot scale. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2018, 344, 165–172. [CrossRef]
- Moldovan, M.; Palacios, M.A.; Gomez, M.M.; Morrison, G.; Rauch, S.; Mclcod, C.; Ma, R.; Caroli, S.; Alimonti, A.; Petrucci, F.; et al. Environmental risk of particulate and soluble platinum group elements released from gasoline and diesel engine catalytic converters. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2002, 296, 199–208. [CrossRef]
- 5. Balkı, M.K.; Sayın, C.; Canakci, M. The effect of different alcohol fuels on the performance, emissions and combustion characteristic of a gasoline engine. *Fuel* **2014**, *115*, 901–906. [CrossRef]
- 6. Hsueh, M.H.; Lin, D.F. The performance analysis and design optimization of fuel temperature control for the injection combustion engine. *J. Chin. Inst. Eng.* **2016**, *39*, 777–784. [CrossRef]
- 7. Doğan, B.; Erol, D.; Yaman, H.; Kodanli, E. The effect of ethanol-gasoline blends on performance and exhaust emissions of a spark ignition engine through exergy analysis. *Appl. Ther. Eng.* **2017**, *120*, 433–443. [CrossRef]
- 8. Roland, U.; Holzer, F.; Kopinke, F.D. Improved oxidation of air pollutants in a non-thermal plasma. *Catal. Today* **2002**, *73*, 315–323. [CrossRef]
- 9. Xiao, G.; Xu, W.; Wu, R.; Ni, M.; Du, C.; Gao, X.; Luo, Z.; Cen, K. Non-thermal plasmas for VOCs abatement. *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.* **2014**, *34*, 1033–1065. [CrossRef]
- Talebizadeh, P.; Rahimzadeh, H.; Babaie, M.; Anaghizi, S.J.; Ghomi, H.; Ahmadi, G.; Brown, R.; Roy, S. Evaluation of residence time on nitrogen oxides removal in non-thermal plasma reactor. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0140897. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kim, H.H.; Ogata, A.; Futamura, S. Atmospheric plasma-driven catalysis for the low temperature decomposition of dilute aromatic compounds. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **2005**, *38*, 1292–1300. [CrossRef]
- 12. Xu, W.; Li, M.W.; Xu, G.H.; Tian, Y.L. Decomposition of CO₂ using DC corona discharge at atmospheric pressure. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **2004**, *43*, 8310–8311. [CrossRef]
- Indarto, A.; Yang, D.R.; Choi, J.W.; Lee, H.; Song, H.K. Gliding arc plasma processing of CO₂ conversion. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2007, 146, 309–315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Reyes, P.G.; Mendez, E.F.; Osorio-Gonzalez, D.; Castillo, F.; Martinez, H. Optical emission spectroscopy of CO₂ glow discharge at low pressure. *Phys. Status Solidi C* **2008**, *5*, 907–910. [CrossRef]
- 15. Aerts, R.; Snoeckx, R.; Bogaerts, A. In-situ chemical trapping of oxygen after the splitting of carbon dioxide by plasma. *Plasma Process. Polym.* **2014**, *11*, 985–992. [CrossRef]
- 16. Uhm, H.S.; Kwak, H.S.; Hong, Y.C. Carbon dioxide elimination and regeneration of resources in a microwave plasma torch. *Environ. Pollut.* **2016**, *211*, 191–197. [CrossRef]
- 17. Dan, Y.; Dengshan, G.; Gang, Y.; Xianglin, S.; Fan, G. An investigation of the treatment of particulate matter from gasoline engine exhaust using non-thermal plasma. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2005**, *B127*, 149–155. [CrossRef]

- Kim, G.T.; Seo, B.H.; Lee, W.J.; Park, J.; Kim, M.K.; Lee, S.M. Effects of applying non-thermal plasma on combustion stability and emissions of NO_x and CO in a model gas turbine combustor. *Fuel* 2017, 194, 321–328. [CrossRef]
- Adnan, Z.; Mir, S.; Habib, M. Exhaust gases depletion using non-thermal plasma (NTP). *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 2017, *8*, 338–343. [CrossRef]
- 20. Mikoviny, T.; Kocan, M.; Matejcik, S.; Mason, N.J.; Skalny, J.D. Experimental study of negative corona discharge in pure carbon dioxide and its mixtures with oxygen. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **2004**, *37*, 64–73. [CrossRef]
- 21. Talebizadeh, P.; Babaie, M.; Brown, R.; Rahimzadeh, H.; Ristovski, Z.; Arai, M. The role of non-thermal plasma technique in NO_x treatment: A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2014**, *40*, 886–901. [CrossRef]
- 22. Haddouche, A.; Lemerini, M. Chemical analysis of NO_x removal under different reduced electric fields. *Plasma Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *17*, 589–594. [CrossRef]
- 23. Jiang, N.; Shang, K.F.; Lu, N.; Li, H.; Li, J.; Wu, Y. High-efficiency removal of NO_x from flue gas by multitooth wheel-cylinder corona discharge plasma facilitated selective catalytic reduction process. *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **2016**, *44*, 2738–2743. [CrossRef]
- 24. Mishra, P.C.; Gupta, A.; Kumar, A.; Bose, A. Methanol and petrol blended alternate fuel for future sustainable engine: A performance and emission analysis. *Measurement* **2020**, 155. [CrossRef]

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).