

Initial contact shapes the perception of friction

Laurence Willemet^{a,b,1}, Khoubeib Kanzari^a, Jocelyn Monnoyer^a, Ingvars Birznieks^{c,d}, and Michaël Wiertlewski^b

^a Institut des Sciences du Mouvement, Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, F-13288 Marseille, France; ^bCognitive Robotics Department, TU Delft, 2628 CD Delft, Netherlands; ^cNeuRA, Randwick, NSW 2031, Australia; and ^dSchool of Medical Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

Edited by Martin S. Banks, University of California, Berkeley, CA, and approved October 25, 2021 (received for review May 24, 2021)

Humans efficiently estimate the grip force necessary to lift a variety of objects, including slippery ones. The regulation of grip force starts with the initial contact and takes into account the surface properties, such as friction. This estimation of the frictional strength has been shown to depend critically on cutaneous information. However, the physical and perceptual mechanism that provides such early tactile information remains elusive. In this study, we developed a friction-modulation apparatus to elucidate the effects of the frictional properties of objects during initial contact. We found a correlation between participants' conscious perception of friction and radial strain patterns of skin deformation. The results provide insights into the tactile cues made available by contact mechanics to the sensorimotor regulation of grip, as well as to the conscious perception of the frictional properties of an object.

friction perception | touch | haptics | cutaneous | biomechanics

e lift glasses of water, regardless of whether they are empty or full and whether they are dry or wet. The sensorimotor mechanisms responsible for this astonishing performance are far from being understood. The grip forces required to lift an object are known to be unconsciously regulated to a value typically 20% above what would cause slippage (1). Remarkably, this regulation starts from the moment our fingers touch the surface. It has been shown that just a hundred milliseconds of contact with a surface are enough to start adjusting fingertip forces to friction. Humans provide larger grasping forces if the surface is made of slippery silk, but smaller if it is made of sandpaper since it provides better grasp (2, 3). It has been further demonstrated that it is friction, and not texture, that determines these adjustments (3). Since 1 mm of indentation of the fingertip is sufficient to reach 80% of the final gross contact area, and fingers often move faster than 10 mm/s toward an object, within this time frame, the sensorimotor system already should be able to extract some estimates of the frictional properties from the initial deformation of the finger pad, before any net load forces start developing.

On a physical level, the overall so-called "frictional strength" of the contact is given by the number of asperities in intimate contact and their individual shear strength (4–6). It is the measure of the maximum lateral force on the contact that will lead to slippage. This frictional strength is the main determinant in regulating grip force applied to lift an object of a given weight (3). Failure to properly assess the frictional strength of the surface at initial contact—due to the presence of gloves or anesthesia, for instance—is followed by larger-than-usual grip forces, consequently increasing the real area of contact (7–9).

Despite its crucial importance, the mechanical deformation that underpins the encoding of the frictional strength on initial contact remains unclear. It is well known that the timing of the impulses of tactile afferents encodes the information related to force direction (10), local curvature (11), edges (12), and shapes (13) and also contains information about the frictional strength (14, 15). One hypothesis suggests that, at the mechanical level, microslip events at the finger–object interface induce vibrations of the skin (16, 17). Another hypothesis postulates that the sensation of friction is mediated by a radial pattern of skin strain within the contact area. The magnitude of the strain induces internal stresses, which are 21% smaller on a slippery surface than on a high-friction surface (18).

Interestingly, roboticists have leveraged these findings to estimate friction on initial contact from the gradient of the lateral traction field. This metric is used to control the force applied by robotic grippers to soft and fragile objects (19–21). In haptic rendering, it is possible to produce tactile sensations by releasing the accumulated stress using ultrasonic friction modulation (22). However, the perception of the frictional strength with a single normal motion is not as salient. Khamis et al. (23) recently showed that participants were unable to differentiate a 73% reduction in friction of a glass plate when it was pressed against their fingertips by a robotic manipulator.

Friction is consciously perceived in a passive condition only when the plate starts sliding (24–26). The change in the frictional state from stuck to sliding is perceived after a global lateral displacement of 2.3 mm (27). This transition induces large deformations of the skin, along with a particular strain pattern (25, 28–30). These results suggest that large or rapid deformations can elicit a tactile sensation, but the quasistatic radial strain pattern is too subtle to induce a reliable percept.

We hypothesize that the frictional strength can be perceived when actively touching the surface. Active exploration is known to promote acute sensitivity (31–33). We present evidence that during the first instant of contact between the finger and an object, a radial strain pattern exists. Its magnitude is affected by the interfacial friction and correlates with the perception of friction. Combined with the results of the motor-control literature, a picture emerges explaining the mechanical basis upon which friction is encoded.

Significance

Humans have the remarkable ability to manipulate a large variety of objects, regardless of how fragile, heavy, or slippery they are. To correctly scale the grip forces, the nervous system gauges the slipperiness of the surface. This information is present at the instant we first touch an object, even before any lateral force develops. However, how friction could be estimated without slippage only from the fingertip skin deformation is not understood, either in neuroscience or engineering disciplines. This study demonstrates that a radial tensile strain of the skin is involved in the perception of slipperiness during this initial contact. These findings can inform the design of advanced tactile sensors for robotics or prosthetics and for improving haptic human–machine interactions.

Author contributions: L.W., I.B., and M.W. designed research; L.W., K.K., and J.M. performed research; L.W. analyzed data; and L.W., I.B., and M.W. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

This article contains supporting information online at https://www.pnas.org/lookup/ suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2109109118/-/DCSupplemental.

Published December 2, 2021.

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: I.willemet@tudelft.nl.

Results

Fourteen participants were asked to actively press down on a glass plate and gauge its frictional resistance. The frictional resistance of the plate against the skin was controlled by ultrasonic lubrication (34), allowing for repeatable stimuli where the surface topography and physicochemistry remained unchanged.

The apparatus combining a friction plate and an optical system is shown in Fig. 1*A*, and construction details are presented in *Materials and Methods*. The movement of the participants was constrained by a linear guide attached to their finger, preventing any lateral movement (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). To validate the reduction in friction, we first asked participants to slide across the plate while the ultrasonic lubrication was modulated (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1*A*). When the finger was steadily sliding and the amplitude of the ultrasonic wave was changed from $\alpha = 10^{-3} \mu m$ to $\alpha = 3 \mu m$, the coefficient of friction varied from $\mu = 0.81$ down to $\mu = 0.18$, leading to a 78% relative reduction in friction (Fig. 1*B*).

Empirical Skin Deformation. In order to accurately measure the plate–fingertip interaction, we used a bespoke illumination apparatus that highlighted the topography of the skin, while synchronously showing the microjunctions that comprise the real area of contact. While participants were pressing down, the motion of individual points on the surface of the skin was tracked by using the images from the blue grazing illumination. The high-contrast images created with the coaxial red illumination show the microjunctions formed by the contact at the interface, providing a temporal reference of the instant when a particular point was in intimate contact. The interaction of the light sources at the skin–plate interface is illustrated in Fig. 1*C*, and the resulting images are shown in Fig. 1*D*.

We can estimate the expected strain with a simple geometrical model, described in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S4. Upon compression against a flat surface, the skin of the fingertip changes from a quasihemisphere to a flat disk. It ensues a volume reduction, which can build up stress at the interface if friction is high. Conversely, if the surface is slippery, a noticeable deformation is observed (Fig. 1*E*). This model estimates a 10% compressive strain when the fingertip is indented by 3 mm.

The mechanical behavior of the finger observed during the experiment was qualitatively consistent with the prediction of the geometrical model. Fig. 1F shows the evolution of the real area of contact constituted by the microjunctions and the movement of the skin in a high-friction and a low-friction condition for a typical trial. Notably, the real area of contact, shown against a white background, grows with increasing normal force, and its brightness depends significantly on the level of friction reduction. This observation is consistent with previous works and with the adhesive theory of friction, in which the sliding friction force is a function of the real area of contact made by all the individual asperities in intimate contact (34, 35). The displacement vector fields $\vec{u}(x, y)$ were computed from the difference in position between the final image and the moment when a particular point is detected to make contact. For typical trials a noticeable difference in skin movement between the high- and the lowfriction conditions was found (Fig. 1F and Movie S1).

Friction-Discrimination Performance. Participants were asked to compare the slipperiness of the same surface presented with different levels of friction. The friction of the plate was set by the amplitude of ultrasonic vibrations. The reference stimulus was the highest friction when the plate vibrated with an amplitude of 10^{-3} µm. The comparison stimuli covered the range of amplitudes from 0.5 to 3 µm at intervals of 0.5 µm, with each stimulus appearing 10 times. The reference and the comparison were presented in random order. After pressing twice on the surface, participants had to indicate which stimulus they felt was the



Fig. 1. (A) Experimental setup. The friction between the fingertip and glass plate is reduced in the presence of flexural ultrasonic waves. A dualillumination setup where blue light illuminates the skin at a 20° angle and red light is normally incident to the glass surface. (B) When a fingertip slides across the glass plate, the friction coefficient is reduced with increasing ultrasonic amplitude. The black line represents the median friction coefficient. (C) Close-up view of the illumination combining a dark-field blue light to highlight the fingerprint ridges and a red light, coaxially oriented with the camera, to illuminate only the asperities of the skin in intimate contact with the glass plate. (D) Typical images of the fingertip profile (Left) and the asperities in intimate contact (Right). (E) Presumed deformation of the skin when pressed against the surface in high- and low-friction conditions. Point trajectories are shown in red. The black arrows represent the pressure and traction exerted by each point on the surface. (F) Images of the intimate contact and skin deformation for increasing normal forces (top to bottom) and the highest (Left) and lowest (Right) friction. The white arrows show the displacement of reference points, scaled up 10-fold.

most slippery, following a typical two-alternative forced-choice protocol. The procedure is depicted in Fig. 24, and Movie S2 shows a typical trial.

We computed the probabilities of responding that the comparison stimulus was the most slippery, and the mean frictiondiscrimination performance for all subjects is reported in Fig. 2*B*.



Fig. 2. (*A*) Experimental protocol. Participants were asked to compare the slipperiness of two surfaces. The reference and comparison were presented in random order. (*B*) Probability of a participant perceiving the difference in friction as a function of the amplitude of vibration of the ultrasonic lubrication. The higher the amplitude of ultrasonic vibration is, the lower the friction coefficient. The dashed line represents the fit with a psychometric function. Individual performances are represented as gray dots. The chance level is represented by the dashed line. (*C*) Displacement and resulting strain of the skin for the cases of high friction (*Left*) and low friction (*Right*).

Despite the considerable intersubject variability, there was a significant effect of plate-vibration amplitude on the mean success rate [repeated-measures ANOVA, F(5,55) = 4.77, P = 0.0011]. The results were fitted with a psychometric function, from which we extracted the 75% detection threshold. Participants were able to discriminate the difference of friction, with differences in vibration amplitude as low as $1.13 \pm 0.69 \mu m$, which corresponds to a reduction of the real contact area of only 8%.

Contact and Friction Modulation. The contact between the finger and the glass plate initially started toward the center and expanded radially for all trials. In the low-friction condition, the center of the contact experienced ultrasonic levitation, creating areas where skin asperities were not in intimate contact with the plate. The real area of contact, which measures the amount of contact that contributes to frictional strength (34), was reduced by 38% for the maximal vibration amplitude of 3 μ m (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1*E*). As the number of asperities in contact decreased, the skin could freely expand in the lateral direction (Fig. 2*C*).

Friction Influences Skin Deformation. The contact area and displacement field in both high- and low-friction conditions are shown in Fig. 3*A*. In the low-friction case, the regions where the contact was virtually nonexistent matched the locations of the regions of maximal displacement of the tracked points. The amount of contact area was measured via the local brightness of a 10-pixel radius circle around each of the tracked points. The displacement of each point positively correlates with the local brightness and hence with the local density of asperities in intimate contact (Fig. 3*B*) (Spearman's coefficient of 0.58). This relation provides evidence that at the scale of fingertip features, friction does influence the lateral mobility.

The lateral displacements of the skin along the x and y axes are shown in Fig. 3C for the low- and high-friction cases. The projection along the central axis reveals that the center of the contact experienced a deformation gradient, whose value depends on the frictional state. To explore the effect of friction on the displacement field, we decomposed it into a constant field, a divergent field, and a rotational field (*SI Appendix*, Figs. S6 and S13). The mean divergence of these microdisplacements was computed such that:

$$\int_{S} \nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{u}(x, y) dS = \int_{S} \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} dS, \qquad [1]$$

where u_x and u_y are the x and y components of the displacement vector u(x, y), respectively, and S is the apparent area of contact.

Intuitively, the averaged divergence of a vector field captures its outward or inward flux. A positive divergence implies that the finger expands radially. Siophysics and Computational Biology

Fig. 3D plots the median across trials of the average divergence for increasing plate-vibration amplitude. The divergence grows



Fig. 3. (A) Typical images of the high- and low-friction trials. (B) Displacements of a grid of points plotted against the local brightness. Displacements and local brightness are positively correlated (Spearman's coefficient = 0.5761, P < 0.0001). (C) Displacements of this typical grid of points along the x and y axes. (D) Median divergence for each vibration amplitude. (E) The probability to answer comparison is the most slippery is plotted against the median divergence difference. Darker colors represent the smaller vibration amplitudes. (G) The strain-rate peaks after 0.4 N for each vibration amplitude. (H) Evolution of the strain energy for various coefficients of friction.

with the normal force. The rate of growth is positively correlated with the vibration amplitude (Spearman's coefficient = 0.115, P < 0.0001). The growth of the average divergence is notable at the early stage of fingertip compression and hits an inflection point after 1 N. After this inflection point, the dependence on friction is more pronounced. Above 2 N, the curves flatten, likely due to saturation of the compression of the fingertip pulp (36, 37). Despite the saturation above 2 N, the differences in average divergence are significant [ANOVA, F(6,1569) = 4.85, $P = 10^{-5}$], with values twice as large for the low-friction case (3 µm) than for the high-friction case (10⁻³ µm). Large divergence reflects that the skin moves significantly without friction. In the high-friction case, the low divergence values signal the presence of residual radially distributed stress of the skin.

Skin Deformation and Friction Perception. The global lateral displacement of the skin, computed from the median of the vector field at each time instant, and the peak force vector angle did not significantly influence the response of the participant (Spearman's correlation, P = 0.2 and P = 0.03, respectively) (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 C and D). Because every participant was free to actively press against the surface, the recorded normal forces (average [avg] = 5.5 ± 3.5 N) and the duration (avg = 1.47 ± 0.39 s) showed significant variations. We found no significant relationship between the friction discrimination and normal force or the duration of contact (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). However, we found a significant influence of the force rate on the participants' answers for the vibration amplitude $\alpha \leq 2 \,\mu m$ (Linear Mixed Model, P = 0.018) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5I). The kinematics of the exploratory procedure play a significant role for the low-vibration amplitudes.

Nonetheless, an ideal observer analysis shows that the average divergence of the skin deformation was the most salient predictor of participants' responses (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S11). Fig. 3*E* shows the difference in divergence between the reference stimulus and the comparison stimulus as a function of participants' discrimination performance. The probability of correctly identifying the most slippery stimulus was positively correlated with the amount of diverging skin deformation observed (Spearman's coefficient = 0.28, P = 0.009). While the correlation is weak, friction was unambiguously discriminated when the skin experienced the largest interstimulus difference in divergence.

Strain Energy and Mechanoreceptor Thresholds. It is worth considering whether the amount of skin deformation is enough to induce a supraliminal response. The finger asperity that experienced the maximal displacement moved with a speed of $1.93 \pm 2.8 \text{ mm/s}$ (mean \pm SD) (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S5K).

We estimate the stimulation of the mechanoreceptors by computing the strain components, according to the method described in *SI Appendix, Materials and Methods.* The data show that the participants' skin was subjected to a longitudinal strain, whose magnitude depended on the vibration amplitude (Spearman's coefficient $\rho = 0.17$, P < 0.0001) (Fig. 3F) The strain magnitude estimates fall between 2% and 4%, which is sufficient to change firing rate in fast-adapting (FA) and slow-adapting afferents (38). Similarly, the dynamics of the stimulation shows significant differences between friction condition (Fig. 3G). The strain rate peaks at $8.5 \pm 1.7\%/s$ when the normal force reaches 0.37 ± 0.7 N for all friction conditions. This is compatible with the evidence in the literature that a stimulation with a strain rate higher than 8%/s elicits a response in all afferent types (39).

Predictions from a Mechanical Model. We developed an axisymmetric spring-damper model, illustrated in Fig. 4*A*, to estimate the stress experienced by the skin. The model captures the large deformation of the skin, its viscoelastic behavior using Kelvin–Voigt material, and the local elastoplastic frictional

interaction at the interface. This model is detailed in *SI Appendix*, *Materials and Methods*.

We simulated the interaction with a flat surface under four different coefficients of friction from 0.1 to 0.6, with a normal force of 3 N applied to the bone element. The simulation was initiated before contact and ran until it reached static equilibrium. Movie S3 shows the results of the simulation for the highand low-friction conditions. The simulated displacements and interfacial pressure in response to an external normal force of 1, 2, and 3 N for $\mu = 0.1$ and $\mu = 0.6$ are shown in Fig. 4 *B* and *C*, respectively. Fig. 4D shows the simulated divergence of skin displacement for all friction coefficients. The divergence varies from $\nabla u = 0.02$ for a coefficient of friction $\mu = 0.6$ to $\nabla u = 0.04$ for $\mu = 0.1$. The model predicts a trend quantitatively similar to the experimental data.

These observed lateral displacements can be explained by the stress acting on each element, caused by friction. The normal component of the interfacial pressure remains identical across frictional conditions. However, the lateral component directly depends on the friction, with the high-friction case seeing 40%larger tangential stress (Fig. 4E). The maximum of the stress is located on the center of the contact area and is consistent with the traction observed in refs. 18 and 21. In the low-friction case, the low tangential traction results in a free lateral displacement of the skin as the fingertip flattens in contact with the plate. In this case, every point moves outward, such that the contact length approaches the initial curved length of the fingertip (dashed line). Conversely, in the high-friction case, the tangential traction constrains the motion of skin in contact. The elements are secured in place once they touch the plate, resulting in little displacement and a 40% increase in stored elastic stress compared with the lowfriction case.

Discussion and Conclusion

A short, 1-s haptic normal force contact was sufficient to allow participants to discriminate the frictional strength of a surface. The results demonstrate that no gross lateral motion of the whole contact area was necessary to elicit the perception of friction. The observers fundamentally relied on cutaneous cues, involving a particular spatiotemporal pattern following an outward expansion quantified by the divergence of the skin deformation.



Fig. 4. (*A*) The finite-difference model of the fingertip. (*B* and *C*) Surfacedeformation profiles when in contact with a low-friction (*B*) and a highfriction (*C*) surface for 1, 2, and 3 N. Point trajectories are shown in red. The black arrows represent the pressure and traction exerted by each point when brought in contact with the surface. (*D*) Total divergence of the contacted skin as a function of the normal force for friction coefficients varying from 0.1 to 0.6. (*E*) Stress profiles at 3 N of normal force for a high- and a lowfriction condition.

The results indicate that a causal relationship exists between the lateral deformation during compression and the observed real area of contact. Under low-friction conditions, fewer asperities are in intimate contact, and, therefore, they cannot hold the lateral force, inducing local slippage. Conversely, in highfriction conditions, the asperities make sufficiently large contact and thus restrain lateral relaxation of the skin, which causes an accumulation of the elastic stress. These friction-dependent skindeformation changes can be described by a biomechanical model. Psychophysics experiments demonstrated that the magnitude of friction-reduction effect correlates with the likelihood of subjects identifying the most slippery surface. As there were no net lateral forces present, the pattern of outward skin expansion characterized by divergence was the decisive factor to assess friction when other cues were not available.

We estimate that the action of pressing down against a highfriction surface stores ~ 0.3 mJ of potential elastic energy in the skin (Fig. 3H). This amount is 10 times lower than what was found when detecting slippage during relative motion, where the strain can reach 25%. This result suggests that information about the frictional strength is available well before slippage is detected (27, 28).

The amount of lateral skin deformation during pressing is sufficient to trigger a significant difference in the activation of all types of tactile afferent (15, 40, 41). In this study, the relative speed between the skin and the glass plate at the periphery of the contact was larger than 10 mm/s. This speed, combined with the spatial nature of the deformation pattern, suggests that FA afferents predominantly contribute to the encoding of friction upon initial contact.

An early estimation of the frictional strength has been associated with an early adjustment of the grip force during precision grasping tasks. Evidence obtained in the current study extends these findings, showing that in a well-controlled perceptual task, by abolishing all additional contributing factors like lateral force and texture cues, friction discrimination was possible perceptually. This indicates that information about the initial skin-deformation pattern can be sufficient to obtain frictional information. However, during object manipulation beyond initial touch, when load forces develop, more sensory signals become available, improving force coordination and making overall adjustments to friction more accurate (15, 18). Gloves and other mechanical filters are well known to affect the regulation of grip forces, resulting in an overcompensation of the safety margin increases, regardless of the friction of the surface (8, 14, 18). The presence of these mechanical filters might remove the ability to gauge the divergence of the field during the first instant of contact, hence defaulting motor control to a more robust grasping state.

The skin deformation increases with the applied normal force, and its rate of increase is a function of the friction of the surface. Despite growing at different rates, the divergence of the displacement field reaches a plateau at 2 N of normal force for all friction conditions, which is similar to the level of grasping force at which friction starts to influence the rate of grip-force increase (2). This result suggests that during the first instant of contact, grasp control may rely on the measured divergence of the skin deformation.

Interestingly, the perception of the softness of an object during active touch is correlated with the rate of growth of the contact surface (42). Since friction influences the rate of change in the elastic energy, we can conjecture that cross-coupling might exist between softness and friction, with slippery surfaces appearing more compliant to the touch.

Despite having similar levels of friction variations and observed skin displacement up to 0.2 mm in magnitude, previous studies in which participants passively perceived the stimuli showed that the discrimination of friction is a challenging task (23). In contrast, the active exploration procedure of this study, even if constrained, resulted in a fundamentally more successful discrimination of the frictional conditions. The stark difference could be explained by using predictive coding theory (43). To determine friction, the observer has to assess the total deformation separating at least these two components, one encoding the indentation magnitude and another related to the lateral deformation encoding the frictional strength. In the active case, observers possess an efferent copy, based on which they could predict the dynamics of gross deformation of the fingertip. The ability to predict sensory consequences of own actions (reafference) would enable the nervous system to better extract and isolate sensory signal features related specifically to the diverging deformation pattern and thus focus attention to frictional cues.

Alternatively, it is possible that a difference in indentation speed may have played the major role determining detectability of the frictional differences. Khamis et al. (23) report a force rate of 1.7 ± 0.3 N/s, whereas in this study, the force rate is 3.6 ± 3 N/s, which would provide a more potent activation of FA afferents (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S51).

This study establishes the link between skin deformation and performance in a friction-discrimination task. Similar to the suggestion in ref. 28, an artificial tactile stimulation stretching the skin radially while the user is pressing down could indicate the amount of friction. These cues could facilitate the manual control of teleoperated devices or render a virtual sensation of slipperiness. The biomechanics can also inspire the control of robotic grippers and prostheses based on radial lateral skin stretch (19).

Materials and Methods

Ultrasonic Lubrication. The friction-reduction device used a flexural standing wave to induce micrometric levitation of the skin of the fingertip. The device was composed of a rectangular glass plate vibrating at a frequency of 29.194 kHz in the 1×0 mode, with dimensions of $67 \times 50 \times 5$ mm³. The plate was mounted onto an aluminum frame attached to a six-axis force sensor (ATI Nano 43) to measure forces exerted by the finger with 10-mN accuracy.

Participants and Protocol. Fourteen right-handed volunteers (3 females and 11 males), ranging from 19 to 55 y old, participated in the study. They were naive to the purpose of the experiments and had no previous experience with haptic devices. None of them reported having any skin conditions or perceptual deficits. The study was conducted with the approval of Aix-Marseille Université's Ethics Committee (2019-14-11-003), and the participants gave their informed consent prior to the procedure. Participants sat in a chair in darkness and wore noise-canceling headphones projecting pink noise, blocking any visual or auditory cues. The last phalanx of their left index finger was connected to a vertical linear guide, preventing any lateral movement (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2A). The approach angle of the finger was maintained at 30°. The entire session was composed of two blocks of 20 min, separated by a 10-min break. Participants stated which stimulus was the most slippery, a correlate of the friction coefficient.

Data Analysis. Force data were synchronized to the images, interpolated to match the time vector of the images, and denoised with a zero-lag, 50-Hz, second-order, low-pass filter. The global displacement was computed for each trial by summing all the displacements in the apparent contact area. Trials in which the global displacement exceeded 0.3 mm were removed from the divergence analysis to prevent participants from using this cue. A total of 79 trials out of 840 were removed.

The denoised and illumination-corrected images were thresholded by using Otsu's method to measure the number of asperities in intimate contact. The contact surface in square millimeters was computed by summing the number of white pixels scaled by pixel resolution in millimeters/pixel (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3A).

The 700 most salient features of the fingerprint were tracked from the start until the normal force reached 3 N. The displacements of these features were interpolated on a uniformly sampled rectangular grid (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3B) to compute the divergence. The evolution of the median of the divergence field quantified the observed expansion.

Data Availability. Source code of the finger-skin model data has been deposited in GitHub (https://github.com/lwillemet/Finger-skin-model). Data from this study are available at the 4TU.ResearchData Repository (https://doi.org/10.4121/17001130.v1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Alessandro Moscatelli and Vincent Hayward for their precious insights. This work was supported by Agence

- B. B. Edin, G. Westling, R. S. Johansson, Independent control of human finger-tip forces at individual digits during precision lifting. J. Physiol. 450, 547–564 (1992).
- R. S. Johansson, G. Westling, Roles of glabrous skin receptors and sensorimotor memory in automatic control of precision grip when lifting rougher or more slippery objects. *Exp. Brain Res.* 56, 550–564 (1984).
- G. Cadoret, A. M. Smith, Friction, not texture, dictates grip forces used during object manipulation. J. Neurophysiol. 75, 1963–1969 (1996).
- O. Ben-David, S. M. Rubinstein, J. Fineberg, Slip-stick and the evolution of frictional strength. Nature 463, 76–79 (2010).
- B. Dzidek, S. Bochereau, S. A. Johnson, V. Hayward, M. J. Adams, Why pens have rubbery grips. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 10864–10869 (2017).
- 6. S. Nam, Y. Vardar, D. Gueorguiev, K. J. Kuchenbecker, Physical variables underlying tactile stickiness during fingerpad detachment. *Front. Neurosci.* **14**, 235 (2020).
- A. G. Witney, A. Wing, J. L. Thonnard, A. M. Smith, The cutaneous contribution to adaptive precision grip. *Trends Neurosci.* 27, 637–643 (2004).
- A. S. Augurelle, A. M. Smith, T. Lejeune, J. L. Thonnard, Importance of cutaneous feedback in maintaining a secure grip during manipulation of hand-held objects. J. Neurophysiol. 89, 665–671 (2003).
- J. Monzée, Y. Lamarre, A. M. Smith, The effects of digital anesthesia on force control using a precision grip. J. Neurophysiol. 89, 672–683 (2003).
- I. Birznieks, P. Jenmalm, A. W. Goodwin, R. S. Johansson, Encoding of direction of fingertip forces by human tactile afferents. J. Neurosci. 21, 8222–8237 (2001).
- R. S. Johansson, I. Birznieks, First spikes in ensembles of human tactile afferents code complex spatial fingertip events. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 170–177 (2004).
- J. A. Pruszynski, J. R. Flanagan, R. S. Johansson, Fast and accurate edge orientation processing during object manipulation. *eLife* 7, e31200 (2018).
- R. L. Klatzky, S. J. Lederman, Identifying objects from a haptic glance. Percept. Psychophys. 57, 1111–1123 (1995).
- H. A. Khamis, S. J. Redmond, V. G. Macefield, I. Birznieks, "Tactile afferents encode grip safety before slip for different frictions" in 2014 36th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2014), pp. 4123–4126.
- H. Khamis, S. J. Redmond, V. Macefield, I. Birznieks, "Classification of texture and frictional condition at initial contact by tactile afferent responses" in *Proceedings* of the 9th International Conference on Human Haptic Sensing and Touch Enabled Computer Applications, M. Auvray, C. Duriez, Eds. (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, Berlin, 2014), vol. 8618, pp. 460–468.
- C. Schwarz, The slip hypothesis: Tactile perception and its neuronal bases. Trends Neurosci. 39, 449–462 (2016).
- Y. Hiramatsu, D. Kimura, K. Kadota, T. Ito, H. Kinoshita, Control of precision grip force in lifting and holding of low-mass objects. *PLoS One* **10**, e0138506 (2015).
- R. S. Johansson, J. R. Flanagan, Coding and use of tactile signals from the fingertips in object manipulation tasks. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 345–359 (2009).
- X. Lin, M. Wiertlewski, Sensing the frictional state of a robotic skin via subtractive color mixing. *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.* 4, 2386–2392 (2019).
- W. Chen et al., "An eight-legged tactile sensor to estimate coefficient of static friction" in 2015 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC) (IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2015), pp. 4407–4410.
- T. Maeno, T. Kawamura, S. C. Cheng, Friction estimation by pressing an elastic fingershaped sensor against a surface. *IEEE Trans. Robot. Autom.* 20, 222–228 (2004).
- J. Monnoyer, E. Diaz, C. Bourdin, M. Wiertlewski, Perception of ultrasonic switches involves large discontinuity of the mechanical impedance. *IEEE Trans. Haptics* 11, 579–589 (2018).

Nationale de la Recherche PHASE Grant 16-CE10-0003, the 4TU Soft Robotics Program, and Australian Research Council Grant DP170100064.

- H. Khamis *et al.*, Friction sensing mechanisms for perception and motor control: Passive touch without sliding may not provide perceivable frictional information. *J. Neurophysiol.* **125**, 809–823 (2021).
- 24. D. Gueorguiev, S. Bochereau, A. Mouraux, V. Hayward, J. L. Thonnard, Touch uses frictional cues to discriminate flat materials. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 25553 (2016).
- T. André, P. Lefèvre, J. L. Thonnard, A continuous measure of fingertip friction during precision grip. J. Neurosci. Methods 179, 224–229 (2009).
- M. A. Srinivasan, J. M. Whitehouse, R. H. LaMotte, Tactile detection of slip: Surface microgeometry and peripheral neural codes. J. Neurophysiol. 63, 1323–1332 (1990).
- A. Barrea, B. P. Delhaye, P. Lefèvre, J. L. Thonnard, Perception of partial slips under tangential loading of the fingertip. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 7032 (2018).
- B. Delhaye, A. Barrea, B. B. Edin, P. Lefèvre, J. L. Thonnard, Surface strain measurements of fingertip skin under shearing. J. R. Soc. Interface 13, 20150874 (2016).
- A. V. Terekhov, V. Hayward, The brain uses extrasomatic information to estimate limb displacement. Proc. R. Soc. B 282, 20151661 (2015).
- M. Tada, "How does a fingertip slip? Visualizing partial slippage for modeling of contact mechanics" in 2006 Proceedings of Eurohaptics (The EuroHaptics Society, Paris, France, 2006), pp. 415–420.
- C. Simöes-Franklin, T. A. Whitaker, F. N. Newell, Active and passive touch differentially activate somatosensory cortex in texture perception. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 32, 1067–1080 (2011).
- J. M. Loomis, S. J. Lederman, "Tactual perception" in *Handbook of Perception and Human Performances*, K. R. Boff, L. Kaufman, J. P. Thomas, Eds. (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 1986), vol. 2, p. 1–41.
- 33. J. J. Gibson, Observations on active touch. Psychol. Rev. 69, 477-491 (1962).
- M. Wiertlewski, R. Fenton Friesen, J. E. Colgate, Partial squeeze film levitation modulates fingertip friction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 113, 9210–9215 (2016).
- S. Bochereau, B. Dzidek, M. Adams, V. Hayward, Characterizing and imaging gross and real finger contacts under dynamic loading. *IEEE Trans. Haptics* 10, 456–465 (2017).
- E. R. Serina, E. Mockensturm, C. D. Mote Jr., D. Rempel, A structural model of the forced compression of the fingertip pulp. J. Biomech. 31, 639–646 (1998).
- D. T. Pawluk, R. D. Howe, Dynamic contact of the human fingerpad against a flat surface. J. Biomech. Eng. 121, 605–611 (1999).
- B. B. Edin, Quantitative analysis of static strain sensitivity in human mechanoreceptors from hairy skin. J. Neurophysiol. 67, 1105–1113 (1992).
- B. E. Edin, Quantitative analyses of dynamic strain sensitivity in human skin mechanoreceptors. J. Neurophysiol. 92, 3233–3243 (2004).
- B. P. Delhaye et al., High-resolution imaging of skin deformation shows that afferents from human fingertips signal slip onset. eLife 10, e64679 (2021).
- K. M. Hudson *et al.*, Effects of changing skin mechanics on the differential sensitivity to surface compliance by tactile afferents in the human finger pad. *J. Neurophysiol.* 114, 2249–2257 (2015).
- A. Bicchi, E. P. Scilingo, D. De Rossi, Haptic discrimination of softness in teleoperation: The role of the contact area spread rate. *IEEE Trans. Robot. Autom.* 16, 496–504 (2000).
- C. L. Buckley, T. Toyoizumi, A theory of how active behavior stabilises neural activity: Neural gain modulation by closed-loop environmental feedback. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 14, e1005926 (2018).