

# Evolving perspectives on the treatment of HR+/HER2+ metastatic breast cancer

Mark Pegram, Richard Pietras, Chau T. Dang, Rashmi Murthy, Thomas Bachelot, Wolfgang Janni, Priyanka Sharma, Erika Hamilton and Cristina Saura

**Abstract:** Breast cancer (BC) with expression of the estrogen receptor (ER) and/or progesterone receptor (PR) protein and with overexpression/amplification of the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), termed hormone receptor-positive (HR+)/HER2+ BC, represents ~10% of all BCs in the United States. HR+/HER2+ BC includes HER2+ BCs that are ER+, PR+, or both ER+ and PR+ (triple-positive BC). Although the current guideline-recommended treatment combination of anti-HER2 monoclonal antibodies plus chemotherapy is an effective first-line therapy for many patients with HER2+ advanced disease, intratumoral heterogeneity within the HR+/HER2+ subtype and differences between the HR+/HER2+ subtype and the HR-/HER2+ subtype suggest that other targeted combinations could be investigated in randomized clinical trials for patients with HR+/HER2+ BC. In addition, published data indicate that crosstalk between HRs and HER2 can lead to treatment resistance. Dual HR and HER2 pathway targeting has been shown to be a rational approach to effective and well-tolerated therapy for patients with tumors driven by HER2 and HR, as it may prevent development of resistance by blocking receptor pathway crosstalk. However, clinical trial data for such approaches are limited. Treatments to attenuate other signaling pathways involved in receptor crosstalk are also under investigation for inclusion in dual receptor targeting regimens. These include cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6 (CDK4/6) inhibitors, based on the rationale that association of CDK4/6 with cyclin D1 may play a role in resistance to HER2-directed therapies, and others such as phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway inhibitors. Herein, we will review the scientific and clinical rationale for combined receptor blockade targeting HER2 and ER for patients with advanced-stage HR+/HER2+ disease.

**Keywords:** CDK4/6 inhibitors, HR+/HER2+ breast cancer, metastatic breast cancer, resistance, triple-positive breast cancer

Received: 21 December 2022; revised manuscript accepted: 21 June 2023.

## Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is a heterogeneous disease that is broadly classified into five major common clinical subtypes based on estrogen receptor (ER) expression, progesterone receptor (PR) expression, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) expression or gene amplification. These five subtypes are the following: (a) hormone receptor-positive (HR+; i.e. tumors expressing ER, PR, or both)/HER2-negative (HER2-) disease; (b) HR+/HER2+ disease

(which includes ER+/PR-/HER2+, ER-/PR+/HER2+, and triple-positive [ER+/PR+/HER2+] disease); (c) hormone receptor-negative (HR-)/HER2+ disease; (d) triple-negative (ER-/PR-/HER2-) disease; and (e) HER2-low, defined as immunohistochemical detection of HER2 protein at a 1+ or 2+ level in tumor cells, and lacking amplification of the gene encoding HER2, encompassing both HR+ and HR- patients.<sup>1,2</sup> Tumors classified as HR+/HER2-, which generally includes those that are HR+/HER2-low,<sup>3</sup> are

*Ther Adv Med Oncol*

2023, Vol. 15: 1–16

DOI: 10.1177/  
17588359231187201

© The Author(s), 2023.  
Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-  
permissions

Correspondence to:  
**Mark Pegram**

Stanford Comprehensive  
Cancer Institute, Stanford  
University School of  
Medicine, Lorry Lokey  
Building/SIM 1, 265  
Campus Drive, Ste G2103,  
Stanford, CA 94305-5456,  
USA.

[mpegam@stanford.edu](mailto:mpegam@stanford.edu)

**Richard Pietras**

Division of Hematology-  
Oncology, Department  
of Medicine, UCLA David  
Geffen School of Medicine,  
Los Angeles, CA, USA

Jonsson Comprehensive  
Cancer Center, University  
of California, Los Angeles,  
CA, USA

**Chau T. Dang**

Department of Medicine,  
Memorial Sloan Kettering  
Cancer Center, New York,  
NY, USA

Weill Cornell Medical  
College, New York, NY,  
USA

**Rashmi Murthy**

Department of Breast  
Medical Oncology, The  
University of Texas MD  
Anderson Cancer Center,  
Houston, TX, USA

**Thomas Bachelot**

Department of Medical  
Oncology, Centre Léon  
Bérard, Lyon, France

**Wolfgang Janni**

Department of Gynecology  
and Obstetrics, University  
Hospital Ulm, University of  
Ulm, Ulm, Germany

**Priyanka Sharma**

Department of Internal  
Medicine, Division of  
Medical Oncology,  
University of Kansas  
Medical Center, Kansas  
City, KS, USA

**Erika Hamilton**

Sarah Cannon Research  
Institute/Tennessee  
Oncology, Nashville, TN,  
USA



**Cristina Saura**  
Vall d'Hebron University  
Hospital and Vall d'Hebron  
Institute of Oncology  
(VHIO), Medical Oncology  
Service, Barcelona, Spain

the most common subtype, accounting for ~74% of all BCs.<sup>4-6</sup>

ER and PR are nuclear transcription factors that, in their canonical genomic signaling mode, dimerize following ligand binding in the cell cytoplasm and translocate to the nucleus, where they interact with steroid receptor co-activator and repressor molecules and other nuclear transcription factors on response elements (specific DNA sequences regulating gene transcription), resulting in the promotion of cell division, proliferation, and invasion.<sup>7</sup> It has become apparent that ER variants can also be associated with plasma membrane caveolae/lipid rafts where it can activate non-nuclear signaling, which is also termed rapid, nongenomic, or membrane initiated steroid signaling in a variety of cell types.<sup>8</sup> HER2 is a cell surface receptor tyrosine kinase that stimulates multiple intracellular signaling pathways that support cell proliferation, cell migration/invasion, tumorigenesis, and survival;<sup>9-11</sup> thus, HER2+ status, characteristic of ~15% of BCs in the United States, is associated with clinical aggressiveness if not treated with anti-HER2 therapy.<sup>4</sup>

HR+/HER2+ tumors account for ~10% of all BCs in the United States<sup>4-6</sup> and, compared with HR+/HER2- tumors, are more likely to be high grade and are more prevalent in younger patients.<sup>4</sup> However, an analysis of a large sample of US women with newly diagnosed stage IV BC (*N*=14,000) found that, likely owing to the robust efficacy of targeted anti-HER2 therapies, these aggressive HR+/HER2+ tumors are now actually associated with a lower risk of mortality than HR+/HER2- tumors.<sup>12</sup> A retrospective, population-based study of patients with HER2+ disease (in which information on endocrine therapy [ET] and HER2 therapy was not available) found that among all HER2+ tumors, HR+/HER2+ tumors are more likely than HR-/HER2+ tumors to be of lower grade, smaller size, and have less nodal involvement, and are less likely to be de novo stage IV.<sup>13</sup> Thus, the presence of HR+/HER2+ tumors is associated with superior overall patient survival compared with the presence of HR-/HER2+ tumors.<sup>13</sup> Within the HR+/HER2+ subtype, tumor behavior varies widely based on both patient-to-patient differences, as well as intratumoral heterogeneity, including the presence of other mutations, amplifications, and relative levels of HER2

overexpression along with variations in ER and/or PR expression.<sup>13-15</sup>

HR status is often not a primary consideration in treatment guidelines for HER2+ metastatic BC (mBC).<sup>16-18</sup> Current guidelines from the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO<sup>®</sup>), the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO), and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network<sup>®</sup> (NCCN<sup>®</sup>) recommend anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody therapies (i.e. trastuzumab plus pertuzumab) in combination with taxane chemotherapy as standard of care for first-line systemic therapy for HER2+ mBC.<sup>16-19</sup> Results of prespecified subgroup analyses of the phase 3 CLEOPATRA (NCT00567190) and PERUSE trials (NCT01572038) in women with HER2+ locally recurrent or metastatic BC demonstrated the efficacy of this combination in both the HR+ and HR- subgroups.<sup>20,21</sup> The antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1), which contains trastuzumab linked to a microtubule inhibitor, is a single agent previously preferred as second-line therapy for patients with HER2+ mBC who had received prior treatment with trastuzumab and a taxane.<sup>16,17,22</sup> T-DM1 was effective in both the HR+ and HR- subgroups in the phase 3 EMILIA trial (NCT00829166).<sup>23</sup> However, the ADC trastuzumab deruxtecan, which contains trastuzumab linked to a topoisomerase I inhibitor, is now the preferred second-line treatment in patients with HER2+ mBC who previously received anti-HER2-based therapy.<sup>18,19,24</sup> This recommendation is based on an interim analysis of the head-to-head phase 3 DESTINY-Breast03 trial (NCT03529110), which showed that trastuzumab deruxtecan provided significantly longer progression-free survival (PFS) than T-DM1 regardless of HR status.<sup>25</sup> Trastuzumab deruxtecan also showed antitumor activity against T-DM1-pretreated HER2+ mBC, irrespective of HR status in the phase 2 DESTINY-Breast01 trial (NCT03248492).<sup>26</sup> Finally, a recent phase 3 trial (DESTINY-Breast04, NCT03734029) involving patients with HER2-low mBC showed that trastuzumab deruxtecan resulted in significantly longer PFS and overall survival (OS) than physician's choice of chemotherapy, regardless of HR status.<sup>27</sup> These results portend a new treatment approach going forward for significant numbers of patients previously categorized as having HER2- BC, but who now may be recategorized as HER2-low.

Although treatment guidelines for HER2+ mBC mention ET, the level of supporting evidence is low based on the lack of well-controlled phase 3 trials.<sup>16–18</sup> Thus, ET tends to be reserved for patients who do not tolerate chemotherapy or for post-chemotherapy maintenance, particularly in the first line with trastuzumab and pertuzumab.<sup>14,18,21</sup> Approved maintenance ET following chemotherapy discontinuation in PERUSE was used by 21% of patients,<sup>21</sup> and 23% of patients in CLEOPATRA who discontinued study treatment received maintenance ET.<sup>20</sup>

As described above, the substantial heterogeneity of HR+/HER2+ mBCs and their distinct molecular characteristics (e.g. variations in levels of ER and PR expression and HER2 overexpression, presence of other mutations) present both clinical challenges and opportunities regarding treatment optimization.<sup>15</sup> While HER2+ mBC remains for the most part an incurable disease (rare “exceptional responders” with durable complete clinical responses notwithstanding), there remains an unmet need for more tailored treatment approaches to maximize patient outcomes in HR+/HER2+ mBC, and to prevent overtreatment in some patients.<sup>14</sup> Resistance to treatment may develop through a variety of mechanisms, including crosstalk between treatment-attenuated HER2 signaling (kinase inhibitor- or antibody-based) and uninhibited HRs,<sup>28</sup> as well as between inhibited HRs and uninhibited HER2 signaling.<sup>29</sup> NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines<sup>®</sup>) currently recommend continued suppression of HER2 pathways after disease progression on anti-HER2 therapy.<sup>19</sup> A new area of interest in HR+/HER2+ mBC treatment is the addition of other inhibitors of these signaling pathways in the form of novel combination regimens. For instance, as association of cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6 (CDK4/6) with cyclin D1 appears to have a role in resistance to HER2-directed therapies, studies are now investigating the safety and efficacy of adding CDK4/6 inhibitors to combination regimens directed against HER2.<sup>30</sup> The aim of this review is to explore clinical and preclinical data supporting the use of tailored treatment regimens for individuals with HR+/HER2+ mBC.

### Rationale for dual HR and HER2 pathway targeting in HR+/HER2+ mBC treatment

It is hypothesized that the heterogeneity of HR+/HER2+ mBC is such that the main oncogenic

driver will vary among patients, with subsets of patients showing differential sensitivity to HER2 pathway targeting and HR pathway targeting, in turn, supporting the use of dual pathway targeting for optimal clinical benefit.<sup>31</sup> Further, evidence from *in vitro* and preclinical studies suggests that targeted blockade of HER2 alone may lead to HR activation *via* complex interactions and crosstalk between HR and HER2 signaling pathways, providing tumor cells with an escape route that results in anti-HER2 therapy resistance.<sup>28,32–34</sup> HR+/HER2+ tumors may therefore initially respond to HER2-targeted therapies but develop resistance over time.

Indeed, evidence from *in vitro* and preclinical studies found that ER-dependent genes were differentially upregulated in ER+/HER2+ BC cells resistant to lapatinib, a small molecule anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)/HER2 tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), implicating ER signaling in acquired lapatinib resistance.<sup>32</sup> A combination of lapatinib and anti-estrogen therapy prevented the development of acquired lapatinib resistance in ER+/HER2+ BC cells, providing a rationale for dual pathway targeting with ET and anti-HER2 therapies.<sup>32,33</sup> One of these studies further demonstrated that ERs became the primary drivers of tumor cell survival and proliferation in ER+/HER2+ BC cells with acquired resistance to trastuzumab and lapatinib.<sup>33</sup> In mouse xenograft models bearing ER+/HER2+ BC tumors treated with lapatinib alone or in combination with trastuzumab, anti-HER2 resistance was associated with increased ER expression/activity.<sup>28</sup> In another study, in the presence of HER2, ER activation stimulated the activity of EGFR, HER2, and other growth factor receptors, activating kinase cascades implicated in resistance, such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)/ protein kinase B (AKT), leading to cell migration and upregulation of the chemokine receptor CXCR4.<sup>34</sup>

This HR/HER2 crosstalk-mediated resistance is bidirectional, as targeted blockade of HR alone also leads to changes in HER2 signaling.<sup>35</sup> Evidence from *in vitro* and preclinical studies suggests that HER2 overexpression can promote resistance to ET. For example, BC cell lines with acquired resistance to the ER antagonist tamoxifen showed increased mRNA and protein levels of HER2 and EGFR and increased HER2 and EGFR activity *via* phosphorylation; cell

growth was also inhibited by treatment with trastuzumab or the anti-EGFR TKI gefitinib, further implicating HER2 and EGFR signaling in acquired tamoxifen resistance.<sup>29</sup> In mouse ER+/HER2+ BC xenograft models, acquired ET resistance was associated with activation of HER2 and MAPK and was delayed with concomitant gefitinib treatment.<sup>36,37</sup>

Clinical data also support the involvement of HR/HER2 crosstalk in treatment resistance. Results of a secondary analysis of the phase 3 HERA trial (NCT00045032) in women with early-stage HER2+ BC who had undergone at least four rounds of adjuvant chemotherapy suggested that a subgroup of HER2+ tumors that express ER (measured by lower fluorescent *in situ* hybridization ratios or highest estrogen receptor 1 [*ESR1*] expression) may be less responsive to subsequent adjuvant trastuzumab therapy than those without ER expression, implying a relationship between ER signaling and resistance to trastuzumab.<sup>38</sup> In addition, in a retrospective analysis of patients with advanced HER2+ BC, those who had tumors with high ER expression ( $\geq 30\%$  of cells) had a reduced probability of response to trastuzumab plus chemotherapy (multivariate odds ratio 0.422;  $p=0.009$ ) compared with patients who had tumors without high ER expression.<sup>39</sup>

An analysis of tumor biopsies from patients with HER+ BC who had received neoadjuvant treatment with lapatinib found that some HER2+ tumors that were initially ER- by diagnostic criteria became ER+ after treatment.<sup>28</sup> In the phase 3 ExteNET trial (NCT00878709) in early HER2+ BC, administration of neratinib, a TKI with activity against EGFR, HER2, and HER4, to achieve continuous HER blockade after adjuvant trastuzumab-based treatment improved disease-free survival in the subset of women with ER+/HER2+ BC when administered in combination with ET.<sup>40</sup> Notably, this benefit of neratinib was not observed in women with HR-/HER2+ BC.<sup>40</sup> Therefore, ER signaling in ER+/HER2+ tumors may be involved in the development of acquired resistance to anti-HER2 therapies.

Based on this crosstalk between HR and HER2 signaling pathways, combined HR and HER2 blockade should be considered in the treatment of HR+/HER2+ BC. Clinical trials that have investigated combined HR and HER2 blockade in postmenopausal women with HR+/HER2+

BC are outlined in Table 1. The phase 3 TAnDEM (NCT03517540) and eLEcTRA (NCT05386108) trials evaluated the combination of trastuzumab and an aromatase inhibitor (AI) as first-line therapy.<sup>41,42</sup> Another phase 3 trial evaluated the combination of lapatinib and letrozole as first-line therapy.<sup>43</sup> The phase 3 ALTERNATIVE trial (NCT01160211) assessed dual HER2 blockade with lapatinib and trastuzumab plus ER blockade with an AI in patients who had received prior trastuzumab and ET,<sup>44</sup> and the phase 2 PERTAIN trial (NCT01491737) assessed dual HER2 blockade with pertuzumab plus trastuzumab plus ER blockade with an AI in patients with no prior systemic nonhormonal anticancer therapy in the advanced setting.<sup>45</sup> Recently, in the open-label, noninferiority, phase 3, randomized controlled SYSUCC-002 trial (NCT01950182) performed at nine hospitals in China, 392 patients were randomly assigned to receive trastuzumab plus ET or trastuzumab plus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for HER2+ mBC.<sup>46</sup> After a median follow-up of 30.2 months, the median PFS was 19.2 months in the ET group and 14.8 months in the chemotherapy group (hazard ratio, 0.88;  $p_{\text{noninferiority}} < 0.0001$ ). Moreover, a significantly higher prevalence of toxicity was observed in the chemotherapy group compared with the ET group. Further, in a nonrandomized “real-world” analysis of National Cancer Database patients with HR+/HER2+ mBC who were treated between 2010 and 2015, among 6234 patients analyzed, 3770 (60.5%) of whom received ET and 2464 (39.5%) of whom received chemotherapy, multivariate analysis suggested that patients receiving ET plus anti-HER2 experienced improved OS compared with those receiving chemotherapy plus anti-HER2 (hazard ratio, 0.74;  $p=0.004$ ).<sup>47</sup> Taken together, these studies suggest the potential utility of combined receptor blockade targeting HER2 and ER as a chemotherapy-free option in selected patients with HR+/HER2+ tumors. These trials also signal a need for further randomized studies testing this treatment paradigm, particularly in light of the fact that the SYSUCC-002 trial did not include the use of pertuzumab, and the National Cancer Database real-world analysis could have been biased by nonrandomized patient treatment assignment (e.g. in this study, patient assignment to ET plus anti-HER2 therapy was associated with older age, grade 1/2 disease, no visceral involvement, higher comorbidity scores, and being White).<sup>46,47</sup>

**Table 1.** Clinical trials of ET plus single or dual HER2-targeting approaches in postmenopausal women with HR+/HER2+ mBC.

Combination (Trial)	Phase	Cohort size	Key findings (Primary endpoint)
Trastuzumab + anastrozole <i>versus</i> anastrozole alone (TAnDEM) <sup>42</sup>	3	207	Median PFS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trastuzumab + anastrozole: 4.8 months</li> <li>• Anastrozole alone: 2.4 months</li> <li>• Hazard ratio (95% CI): 0.63 (0.47–0.84)</li> <li>• <math>p=0.0016</math></li> </ul>
Trastuzumab + letrozole <i>versus</i> letrozole alone (eLEcTRA) <sup>41</sup>	3	57	Median TTP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trastuzumab + letrozole: 14.1 months</li> <li>• Letrozole alone: 3.3 months</li> <li>• Hazard ratio (95% CI): 0.67 (0.35–1.29)</li> <li>• <math>p=0.23</math></li> </ul>
Lapatinib + letrozole <i>versus</i> placebo + letrozole <sup>43</sup>	3	219	Median PFS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lapatinib + letrozole: 8.2 months</li> <li>• Placebo + letrozole: 3.0 months</li> <li>• Hazard ratio (95% CI): 0.71 (0.53–0.96)</li> <li>• <math>p=0.019</math></li> </ul>
Lapatinib + trastuzumab + AI <i>versus</i> trastuzumab + AI <i>versus</i> lapatinib + AI (ALTERNATIVE) <sup>44</sup>	3	355	Median PFS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lapatinib + trastuzumab + AI: 11 months</li> <li>• Trastuzumab + AI: 5.6 months</li> <li>• Hazard ratio<sup>a</sup> (95% CI): 0.62 (0.45–0.88)</li> <li>• <math>p=0.0063</math></li> <li>• Lapatinib + AI: 8.3 months</li> <li>• Hazard ratio<sup>b</sup> (95% CI): 0.85 (0.62–1.17)</li> <li>• <math>p=0.3159</math></li> </ul>
Trastuzumab + pertuzumab + AI <i>versus</i> trastuzumab + AI (PERTAIN) <sup>45</sup>	2	258	Median PFS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trastuzumab + pertuzumab + AI: 18.9 months</li> <li>• Trastuzumab + AI: 15.8 months</li> <li>• Hazard ratio (95% CI): 0.65 (0.48–0.89)</li> <li>• <math>p=0.007</math></li> </ul>

AI=aromatase inhibitor; ET=endocrine therapy; HER2=human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR=hormone receptor; mBC=metastatic breast cancer; PFS=progression-free survival; TTP=time to progression.

<sup>a</sup>Lapatinib + trastuzumab + AI *versus* trastuzumab + AI.

<sup>b</sup>Lapatinib + trastuzumab + AI *versus* lapatinib + AI.

### Role of cyclin D1 and CDK4/6 inhibitors in resistance of HR+/HER2+ mBC

Under normal conditions, mitogenic growth factors trigger cells to exit quiescence (G0) and enter the pre-DNA synthesis (G1) phase of the cell cycle before passing through the DNA synthesis (S), predivision (G2), and cell division (M) phases. Regulatory checkpoints throughout the cell cycle prevent unnecessary or erroneous cell division. In the early G1 phase, the cyclin D1–CDK4 kinase holoenzyme phosphorylates the retinoblastoma protein and other related proteins,<sup>48</sup> ultimately leading to the activation of E2F family transcription factors that facilitate S phase entry. Preclinical data have demonstrated that both the initiation and maintenance of the growth of HER2+ BCs require the presence of cyclin D1 and its activation of CDK4.<sup>48–50</sup> Activation of the oncogenes *Ras*

and *Neu* (the rodent homologue of human HER2) upregulates cyclin D1 mRNA expression, as do ligand-activated ERs (cyclin D1 is a direct transcriptional target of ER), which indicates a key role for cyclin D1–CDK4/6 complexes in promoting breast tumorigenesis.<sup>49,51,52</sup> Moreover, amplification of the gene encoding cyclin D1 has also been identified in ~15%–20% of human BCs,<sup>53</sup> promoting uncontrolled cell proliferation.<sup>51,54</sup>

*In vitro* treatment of a panel of 44 human BC cell lines with palbociclib, a highly selective CDK4/6 inhibitor, found that ER+ and HER2+ cell lines were the most sensitive; inhibition resulted in prevention of proliferation and successive cell cycle arrest, supporting dependence of these tumors on CDK signaling.<sup>53</sup> Tamoxifen-resistant, ER+ xenografts and cancer cell lines (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Approved CDK4/6 inhibitors and their US indications in HR+/HER2- mBC.

Drug name	FDA-approved indication
Palbociclib (IBRANCE) <sup>55</sup>	HR+/HER2- advanced or mBC in combination with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An AI as initial ET in postmenopausal women or in men</li> <li>• Fulvestrant in patients with disease progression following ET</li> </ul>
Ribociclib (KISQALI) <sup>56</sup>	HR+/HER2- advanced or mBC in combination with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An AI as initial ET</li> <li>• Fulvestrant as initial ET or following disease progression on ET in postmenopausal women or in men</li> </ul>
Abemaciclib (VERZENIO) <sup>57</sup>	HR+/HER2- early BC with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ET (tamoxifen or an AI) for the adjuvant treatment of adult patients with node-positive early BC at high risk of recurrence</li> </ul> HR+/HER2- advanced or mBC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In combination with an AI as initial ET in adult patients</li> <li>• In combination with fulvestrant in patients with disease progression following ET</li> <li>• As monotherapy in patients with disease progression following ET and chemotherapy in the metastatic setting</li> </ul>

AI, aromatase inhibitor; ASCO, American Society of Clinical Oncology; BC, breast cancer; CDK4/6, cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6; ET, endocrine therapy; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; mBC, metastatic breast cancer; US, United States.

Cyclin D1 overexpression has been shown to mediate resistance to HER2-targeted therapies,<sup>30</sup> potentially through cyclin D1-facilitated ER transcriptional activity,<sup>51</sup> which reinforces the dependence of HR+ BC on cyclin D1. The observation that tumor cells surviving HER2 blockade retain high expression of cyclin D1 implies that inhibition of CDK4/6 could re-sensitize them to HER2-targeted therapy. Persistent CDK4/6 activity despite anti-HER2 therapy could sustain ongoing catalysis of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), a cyclin-CDK downstream protein complex involved in the translation of proteins that allow cells to grow and proliferate, providing another means for ongoing S phase progression, and hence drug resistance. Combined CDK4/6 inhibition with HER2 blockade demonstrated synergistic activity in preclinical models of HER2+ BC resistant to HER2-targeted therapies.<sup>30</sup> This effect appears to be mediated through increased suppression of mTORC1.<sup>30</sup> As inhibition of CDK activity represents a highly selective anti-cancer strategy,<sup>50</sup> the addition of CDK4/6 inhibitors to standard anti-HER2 therapeutic regimens could represent a beneficial treatment strategy.

#### Ongoing clinical trials with CDK4/6 inhibitors in HR+/HER2+ BC

In an open-label phase 1/1b trial, the combination of palbociclib and T-DM1 was assessed as

second- or later-line therapy in patients with HER2+ mBC, 66% of which were HR+/HER2+, and it was determined to be safe, tolerable, and active (overall response rate [ORR], 33%; median PFS, 6 months; median OS, 44.5 months).<sup>58</sup> The phase 2 PATRICIA trial (NCT02448420) assessed the combination of palbociclib and trastuzumab in patients with HER2+ BC pretreated with 2–4 previous lines of anti-HER2 therapy randomized to receive treatment with or without letrozole. The 6 month PFS rates in the subset of patients with HR+/HER2+ mBC treated concomitantly with and without letrozole were 46.4% and 42.9%, respectively, suggesting that the combination of CDK4/6 inhibition and anti-HER2 therapy exhibits promising activity in pretreated patients with advanced HR+/HER2+ disease treated both with and without ET.<sup>59</sup> In addition, luminal disease defined by prediction analysis of microarray 50 (PAM50) was independently associated with longer median PFS compared with non-luminal disease (10.6 months *versus* 4.2 months, respectively; adjusted hazard ratio 0.40; *p* = 0.003), reinforcing that within the HER2+ population, patients with a luminal subtype may benefit most from this therapeutic strategy.<sup>59</sup> The randomized, open-label, phase 2 monarchHER trial (NCT02675231) investigated the combination of abemaciclib, fulvestrant, and trastuzumab in patients with

advanced HR+/HER2+ disease who had received at least two prior HER2-targeted therapies. The combination achieved a median PFS of 8.3 months *versus* 5.7 months with standard-of-care chemotherapy plus trastuzumab (hazard ratio, 0.67;  $p=0.051$ ).<sup>60</sup> Recently, final results from the monarchHER trial presented at the ESMO Congress 2022 demonstrated numerically improved OS with abemaciclib plus trastuzumab with or without fulvestrant (31.1 months and 29.2 months, respectively) compared with chemotherapy plus trastuzumab (20.7 months).<sup>61</sup> Additionally, similar to results from PATRICIA, this study showed that luminal subtype tumors were associated with longer PFS [8.6 months *versus* 5.4 months (hazard ratio 0.54, 95% CI: 0.38, 0.79)] and OS [31.7 months *versus* 19.7 months (hazard ratio 0.68, 95% CI: 0.46, 1.00)] compared with non-luminal tumors. These findings further suggest that a chemotherapy-free regimen, such as with a CDK4/6 inhibitor, anti-HER2 targeted therapy, and fulvestrant, could be a viable treatment option for patients with HR+/HER2+ tumors.

Early-phase clinical trials of CDK4/6 inhibitors in HR+/HER2+ mBC have shown these regimens to have antitumor activity and manageable safety profiles. A phase 1b/2 study evaluating tucatinib (an anti-HER2 TKI), palbociclib, and letrozole in women who previously received at least 2 HER2-targeted treatments (NCT03054363) showed encouraging antitumor activity.<sup>62</sup> Among 26 patients with measurable disease, 8 (31%) had a partial response and 16 (62%) had stable disease; the combination also had a manageable safety profile. Interim results from the phase 2 DAP-Her-01 trial (NCT04293276) of the investigational CDK4/6 inhibitor dalpiciclib combined with pyrotinib – a pan-HER TKI currently available only in China – showed promising antitumor activity in patients with HR+ disease who had not received >1 line of systemic therapy in an advanced setting and who had not received prior treatment with CDK4/6 or HER2 inhibitors.<sup>63</sup> In that trial, 10 of 18 (56%) women achieved an objective response. Adverse events (AEs) were manageable, with diarrhea, leukopenia, neutropenia, anemia, and nausea most commonly reported. This combination could offer a completely oral, chemotherapy-free regimen for patients with HR+/HER2+ metastatic disease.

A few ongoing clinical trials are investigating the combination of CDK4/6 inhibition, ET, and

anti-HER2 therapies in HR+/HER2+ mBC. The randomized, open-label, phase 3 PATINA trial (NCT02947685) is evaluating the role of CDK4/6 inhibition in HR+/HER2+ mBC following induction chemotherapy plus anti-HER2 therapy.<sup>64</sup> Eligible patients are randomized to receive standard anti-HER2 therapy (trastuzumab with or without pertuzumab) in combination with ET (AI or fulvestrant) with or without palbociclib as a maintenance strategy following completion of chemotherapy until disease progression. The primary endpoint is investigator-assessed PFS, with secondary endpoints of ORR, duration of response, clinical benefit, OS, safety, and quality of life; a comparison of PFS estimates according to *PIK3CA* mutation status is also included.<sup>64,65</sup> According to the most recently posted update, this trial has completed enrollment ( $N=496$ ), and results are awaited.

Finally, the randomized phase 3 Detect V/CHEVENDO trial (NCT02344472) is comparing chemotherapy *versus* ET in combination with dual HER2-targeted therapy (trastuzumab and pertuzumab) and ribociclib in patients with HR+/HER2+ mBC who have received no more than two prior chemotherapies and/or anti-HER2 therapies for metastatic disease.<sup>66</sup> In the chemotherapy arms, eligible patients receive trastuzumab and pertuzumab with chemotherapy (docetaxel, paclitaxel, or vinorelbine) initially, followed by maintenance ET and ribociclib. In the ET arms, eligible patients receive trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and ribociclib with ET (exemestane, fulvestrant, anastrozole, letrozole). The primary outcome is number of patients with AEs; other outcomes include quality-adjusted survival, PFS, ORR, AEs, OS, and occurrence of central nervous system metastases. As of this writing, the Detect V/CHEVENDO trial is recruiting patients.<sup>67</sup>

### Future directions

Our understanding of the interactions among HER2-targeted therapies, ET, and CDK4/6 inhibitors continues to evolve. Data suggest that immune evasion may contribute to the growth of HR+/HER2+ tumors and that the tumor microenvironment may influence sensitivity to systemic treatments. Thus, immune enrichment may promote an improved response to anti-HER2 therapies.<sup>68</sup> As an example, a retrospective analysis of the CLEOPATRA trial found that a higher level (>20%) of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs)

in pretreatment tumor samples was associated with improved OS.<sup>69</sup> Further, preclinical data suggest that CDK4/6 inhibitors may promote T-cell activation and enhance T-cell activity.<sup>70,71</sup> Studies have also suggested that TILs could have important roles in disease progression *via* ER signaling activation (reviewed by Segovia-Mendoza and Morales-Montor<sup>72</sup>), as well as estrogen-induced effects in other immune cell subpopulations.<sup>73</sup> This further highlights the critical importance of identifying

complementary treatment strategies to improve outcomes. Changes in proliferation, apoptosis, or both occur with combined CDK4/6 and HER2 inhibition,<sup>30</sup> lending support for therapeutic combinations including CDK4/6 inhibitors to influence cancer cell immunogenicity, apoptosis, and differentiation. As with all treatment combinations, safety must be carefully considered in addition to efficacy. Common safety signals for all discussed approved treatments can be found in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Safety signals for discussed treatments in advanced BC.

Drug category	Drug name	Common safety signals	
		Warnings and precautions	Most common AEs
CDK4/6 inhibitors			
Class: Kinase inhibitors	Palbociclib (IBRANCE) <sup>55</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Neutropenia</li> <li>• ILD/pneumonitis</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 10\%$ ) were neutropenia, infections, leukopenia, fatigue, nausea, stomatitis, anemia, alopecia, diarrhea, thrombocytopenia, rash, vomiting, decreased appetite, asthenia, and pyrexia
	Abemaciclib (VERZENIO) <sup>57</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Diarrhea</li> <li>• Neutropenia</li> <li>• ILD/pneumonitis</li> <li>• Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>• Venous thromboembolism</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 20\%$ ) were diarrhea, neutropenia, nausea, abdominal pain, infections, fatigue, anemia, leukopenia, decreased appetite, vomiting, headache, alopecia, and thrombocytopenia
	Ribociclib (KISQUALI) <sup>56</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ILD/pneumonitis</li> <li>• Severe cutaneous adverse reactions</li> <li>• QT interval prolongation</li> <li>• Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>• Neutropenia</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 20\%$ ) were neutropenia, nausea, infection, fatigue, diarrhea, leukopenia, vomiting, alopecia, headache, constipation, rash, and cough
EGFR inhibitor			
Class: TKI	Gefitinib (IRESSA) <sup>74</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ILD</li> <li>• Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>• Gastrointestinal perforation</li> <li>• Diarrhea</li> <li>• Ocular disorders including keratitis</li> <li>• Bullous and exfoliative skin disorders</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 20\%$ ) were skin reactions and diarrhea
Endocrine therapy			
Class: AI	Letrozole (FEMARA) <sup>75</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Osteopenia</li> <li>• Cholesterol</li> <li>• Fatigue</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 20\%$ ) were hot flashes, arthralgia, flushing, asthenia, edema, headache, dizziness, hypercholesterolemia, sweating increase, bone pain, and musculoskeletal pain
	Exemestane (AROMASIN) <sup>76</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Osteopenia</li> </ul>	Most common AEs for patients with advanced BC were hot flashes, nausea, fatigue, increased sweating, and increased appetite

(Continued)



**Table 3.** (Continued)

Drug category	Drug name	Common safety signals	
		Warnings and precautions	Most common AEs
	Anastrozole (ARIMIDEX) <sup>77</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cardiovascular events</li> <li>• Osteopenia</li> <li>• Cholesterol</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 20\%$ ) in patients with advanced BC were hot flashes, nausea, asthenia, pain, headache, back pain, bone pain, increased cough, dyspnea, pharyngitis, and peripheral edema
Class: SERM	Tamoxifen (NOLVADEX) <sup>78</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hypercalcemia</li> <li>• Uterine malignancies</li> <li>• Thromboembolic events</li> <li>• Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>• Effects on the eye</li> </ul>	Most common AE in patients with mBC was hot flashes; other infrequent AEs were hypercalcemia, peripheral edema, distaste for food, pruritus vulvae, depression, dizziness, light-headedness, headache, hair thinning and/or partial hair loss, and vaginal dryness
HER2 inhibitors			
Class: mAb	Trastuzumab (HERCEPTIN) <sup>79</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cardiomyopathy</li> <li>• Infusion reactions</li> <li>• Neutropenia</li> <li>• Pulmonary toxicity</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 10\%$ ) for patients with mBC were fever, chills, headache, infection, congestive heart failure, insomnia, cough, and rash
	Pertuzumab (PERJETA) <sup>80</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Left ventricular dysfunction</li> <li>• IARs/hypersensitivity reactions/anaphylaxis</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $>30\%$ ) in combination with trastuzumab and docetaxel were diarrhea, alopecia, neutropenia, nausea, fatigue, rash, and peripheral neuropathy
Class: ADC	T-DM1, ado-trastuzumab emtansine (KADCYLA) <sup>22</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pulmonary toxicity</li> <li>• IRRs/hypersensitivity reactions</li> <li>• Thrombocytopenia</li> <li>• Neurotoxicity</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 25\%$ ) for mBC were fatigue, nausea, musculoskeletal pain, thrombocytopenia, hemorrhage, headache, increased transaminases, constipation, and epistaxis
	Fam-trastuzumab deruxtecan-nxkl (ENHERTU) <sup>24</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ILD/pneumonitis</li> <li>• Neutropenia</li> <li>• Left ventricular dysfunction</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 20\%$ ) in patients with BC were nausea, decreased blood cell count, decreased hemoglobin, decreased neutrophil count, increased AST, fatigue, decreased lymphocyte count, vomiting, decreased platelet count, increased ALT, increased blood alkaline phosphatase, alopecia, constipation, hypokalemia, decreased appetite, diarrhea, musculoskeletal pain, increased transaminases, respiratory infection, headache, and abdominal pain
Class: TKI	Lapatinib (TYKERB) <sup>81</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Left ventricular dysfunction</li> <li>• Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>• Diarrhea</li> <li>• ILD/pneumonitis</li> <li>• QT interval prolongation</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 20\%$ ) in combination with letrozole were diarrhea, rash, nausea, and fatigue
	Neratinib (NERLYNX) <sup>81</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Diarrhea</li> <li>• Hepatotoxicity</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 5\%$ ) were diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain, fatigue, vomiting, rash, stomatitis, decreased appetite, muscle spasms, dyspepsia, AST or ALT increase, nail disorder, dry skin, abdominal distention, epistaxis, weight decrease, and urinary tract infection
	Tucatinib (TUKYSA) <sup>82</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Diarrhea</li> <li>• Hepatotoxicity</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 20\%$ ) were diarrhea, palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia, nausea, fatigue, hepatotoxicity, vomiting, stomatitis, decreased appetite, abdominal pain, headache, anemia, and rash

(Continued)

**Table 3.** (Continued)

Drug category	Drug name	Common safety signals	
		Warnings and precautions	Most common AEs
PI3K/AKT pathway inhibitors			
Class: TKI	Alpelisib (PIQRAY) <sup>82</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hypersensitivity reactions</li> <li>• Severe cutaneous reactions</li> <li>• Hyperglycemia</li> <li>• ILD/pneumonitis</li> <li>• Diarrhea</li> </ul>	Most common AEs ( $\geq 20\%$ ) were glucose increase, creatinine increase, diarrhea, rash, lymphocyte count decrease, GGT increase, nausea, ALT increase, fatigue, hemoglobin decrease, lipase increase, decreased appetite, stomatitis, vomiting, weight decrease, calcium decrease, glucose decrease, aPTT prolongation, and alopecia

ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; AE, adverse event; AI, aromatase inhibitor; AKT, protein kinase B; ALT, alanine transaminase; aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BC, breast cancer; CDK4/6, cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IAR, infusion-associated reaction; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IRR, infusion-related reaction; mAb, monoclonal antibody; mBC, metastatic breast cancer; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase; SERM, selective estrogen receptor modulator; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

As outlined above, ER activation, whether through mutations in ER or its downstream effectors, may lead to dysregulation of downstream PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathways and subsequent resistance to current treatment strategies. Mutations in the gene coding for ER (*ESR1*) have been found in up to 42% of HR+ advanced BCs, with the majority of these mutations being in a hotspot region within the ligand binding domain that functional studies have shown leads to constitutive, ligand-independent ER activation.<sup>83</sup> These mutations are enriched following AI treatment in the metastatic setting and are associated with shorter PFS, indicating they may lead to ET resistance and alterations in downstream signaling.<sup>83,84</sup>

The PI3K enzyme and its principal downstream target molecule, AKT, regulate the cell cycle, growth, proliferation, and modulate energy metabolism.<sup>85</sup> Hyperactivation of this pathway contributes to primary or acquired resistance to anti-HER2 therapy and represents an alternative survival pathway for cancer cells.<sup>86,87</sup> In a study of HER2+ BC tissue samples, 71% of trastuzumab-refractory tumors had activating mutations in the PI3K catalytic subunit (*PIK3CA*) and/or absent or reduced expression of tumor suppressor *PTEN* compared with 44% of a cohort of HER2+ BC not exposed to trastuzumab.<sup>88</sup> Mutations have also been identified in *PIK3CA* in ~17% of HER2+ and ~21% of HR+ BCs,<sup>89</sup> loss of function of *PTEN* also occurs in 13%–35% of BCs.<sup>87</sup> In the CLEOPATRA trial, patients harboring a *PIK3CA* mutation had a shorter PFS than those

with wild-type *PIK3CA*.<sup>90</sup> Inhibitors of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway could therefore be utilized as new agents to help avoid resistance to current therapies. The phase 3 SOLAR-1 trial (NCT02437318) compared the combination of the PI3K/AKT pathway antagonist alpelisib plus fulvestrant with placebo plus fulvestrant in patients with HR+/HER2- mBC with and without *PIK3CA* mutations. PFS with alpelisib plus fulvestrant was significantly improved compared with placebo plus fulvestrant (11.0 months *versus* 5.7 months, respectively;  $p < 0.001$ ) in patients with *PIK3CA* mutations.<sup>91</sup> The most common grade 3–4 AEs with alpelisib plus fulvestrant treatment were hyperglycemia and rash, with grade 3 diarrhea reported in 6.7% of patients compared with 0.3% in the placebo plus fulvestrant group. Other trials are now translating these findings to the HER2+ mBC setting; for example, the ongoing open-label, phase 1b IPATHER trial (NCT04253561), is assessing the safety and preliminary efficacy of ipatasertib, a PI3K/AKT pathway antagonist, in combination with trastuzumab and pertuzumab with or without ET in patients with HER2+ mBC with a *PIK3CA* mutation.<sup>92</sup> Results for six patients as of the data cutoff of February 2021 indicated that the combination was tolerable; in addition, one patient achieved a partial response and the other five had stable disease. Furthermore, the ongoing double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled EPIK-B2 phase 3 trial (NCT04208178) is evaluating the efficacy and safety of alpelisib with trastuzumab and pertuzumab maintenance therapy for HER2+ advanced BC.<sup>93</sup>

Other ongoing studies are investigating novel combinations of HER2-targeted therapy with palbociclib and a selective ER degrader. Preliminary results from a study of neoadjuvant therapy with trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and palbociclib in combination with fulvestrant in women with HR+/HER2+ BC (the NA-PHER2 study; NCT02530424) indicate that this combination has a significant impact on Ki-67 expression 2 weeks after treatment.<sup>94</sup> The investigational anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody zanidatamab is being studied in locally advanced/mBC in combination with fulvestrant and palbociclib in a phase 2 trial that is currently recruiting patients (NCT04224272).

### Conclusions

The HR+/HER2+ BC subtype represents a distinct clinical entity from HR-/HER2+ BC, and as such, warrants individualized options to maximize clinical outcomes. Given the heterogeneity among HR+/HER2+ tumors and the pathway crosstalk-mediated acquisition of treatment resistance, combined receptor blockade targeting both HR and HER2 signaling pathways merits further investigation. Promising preclinical and early-phase clinical trial data suggest that combination treatment with anti-HER2 therapies and ET, with or without CDK4/6 inhibition, may provide superior efficacy compared with targeted HER2 blockade alone. Results from ongoing trials (e.g. PATRICIA, IPATHER) designed to test this hypothesis and to compare HR/HER2 blockade with CDK4/6 and HR/HER2 blockade are eagerly awaited to inform the future treatment of HR+/HER2+ mBC.

### Declarations

#### *Ethics approval and consent to participate*

Not applicable.

#### *Consent for publication*

Not applicable.

#### *Author contributions*

**Mark Pegram:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

**Richard Pietras:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

**Chau T. Dang:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

**Rashmi Murthy:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

**Thomas Bachelot:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

**Wolfgang Janni:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

**Priyanka Sharma:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

**Erika Hamilton:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

**Cristina Saura:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

### *Acknowledgements*

Medical writing support was provided by Stephanie Leinbach, PhD, for ICON (Blue Bell, PA, USA) and Lynsey Fettig, PhD, of Oxford PharmaGenesis Inc. (Newtown, PA, USA). Editorial support was provided by Courtney Rivera of Oxford PharmaGenesis Inc. Medical writing and editorial support was funded by Pfizer Inc.

### *Funding*

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was sponsored by Pfizer Inc.

### *Competing interests*

**Mark Pegram** has nothing to disclose.

**Richard Pietras** reports previous participation as a consultant for Pfizer, Roche, Genentech, AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, and Enlilibrium.

**Chau T. Dang** has nothing to disclose.

**Rashmi Murthy** has nothing to disclose.

**Thomas Bachelot** reports personal fees from Seagen, Pfizer, AstraZeneca/Daiichi Sankyo, Novartis, and Eli Lilly. Institutional financial interests (paid directly to his institution) include Seagen, Pfizer Inc, AstraZeneca/Daiichi, and Novartis, and non-financial support from Pfizer Inc outside the submitted work.

**Wolfgang Janni** has nothing to disclose.

**Priyanka Sharma** reports receiving consulting fees and/or honoraria from the following: Pfizer, Merck, Gilead, Seattle Genetics, Novartis, AstraZeneca, GSK; research support to the institution: Novartis, Bristol Meyers Squibb, Merck, Gilead.

**Erika Hamilton** has nothing to disclose.

**Cristina Saura** has served as a consultant, participated in advisory boards, served as an expert witness, or served on a steering committee for AstraZeneca, AX's Consulting SARL, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Meyers Squibb, Byondis B.V., Daiichi Sankyo, Eisai Europe Ltd., Exeter Pharma, LLC, F. Genentech, German Breast Group, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Inditex, InnoUp Farma, Lilly SAU, International Breast Cancer Study Group (IBCSG), MacroGenics, MediTech, Medsir, Menarini, Merck Sharp & Dohme España, Merus, Millenium, Netherlands Cancer Institute (NKI), Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Philips Health Works, Pierre Fabre, Puma Biotechnology Inc, Roche Farma, SA, SACE Medhealth SL, Sanofi, SeaGen Int., Simon Kucher & Partners SL, Solti, Synthron Biopharmaceuticals BV, Queen Mary (University of London), and Zymeworks.

*Availability of data and materials*

Not applicable.

**References**

- Gampenrieder SP, Rinnerthaler G, Tinchon C, *et al.* Landscape of HER2-low metastatic breast cancer (MBC): results from the Austrian AGMT\_MBC-Registry. *Breast Cancer Res* 2021; 23: 112.
- Yang XR, Chang-Claude J and Goode EL. Associations of breast cancer risk factors with tumor subtypes: a pooled analysis from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011; 103: 250–263.
- Shang J, Sun X, Xu Z, *et al.* Evolution and clinical significance of HER2-low status after neoadjuvant therapy for breast cancer. *Front Oncol* 2023; 13: 1086480.
- Howlader N, Altekruse SF, Li CI, *et al.* US incidence of breast cancer subtypes defined by joint hormone receptor and HER2 status. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2014; 106: dju055.
- Cancer Stat Facts: Female Breast Cancer Subtypes, <https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/breast-subtypes.html> (2022, accessed 29 June 2022).
- Noone AM, Cronin KA, Altekruse SF, *et al.* Cancer incidence and survival trends by subtype using data from the Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results Program, 1992–2013. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017; 26: 632–641.
- Kumar R, Gururaj AE, Vadlamudi RK, *et al.* The clinical relevance of steroid hormone receptor corepressors. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005; 11: 2822–2831.
- Arnal JF, Lenfant F, Metivier R, *et al.* Membrane and nuclear estrogen receptor alpha actions: from tissue specificity to medical implications. *Physiol Rev* 2017; 97: 1045–1087.
- Iqbal N and Iqbal N. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in cancers: overexpression and therapeutic implications. *Mol Biol Int* 2014; 2014: 852748.
- Pegram MD, Konecny G and Slamon DJ. The molecular and cellular biology of HER2/neu gene amplification/overexpression and the clinical development of herceptin (trastuzumab) therapy for breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Res* 2000; 103: 57–75.
- Ward TM, Iorns E, Liu X, *et al.* Truncated p110 ERBB2 induces mammary epithelial cell migration, invasion and orthotopic xenograft formation, and is associated with loss of phosphorylated STAT5. *Oncogene* 2013; 32: 2463–2474.
- Press DJ, Miller ME, Liederbach E, *et al.* De novo metastasis in breast cancer: occurrence and overall survival stratified by molecular subtype. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 2017; 34: 457–465.
- Han Y, Wu Y, Xu H, *et al.* The impact of hormone receptor on the clinical outcomes of HER2-positive breast cancer: a population-based study. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2022; 27: 707–716.
- Kay C, Martínez-Pérez C, Meehan J, *et al.* Current trends in the treatment of HR+/HER2+ breast cancer. *Future Oncol* 2021; 17: 1665–1681.
- Rye IH, Trinh A, Saetersdal AB, *et al.* Intratumor heterogeneity defines treatment-resistant HER2+ breast tumors. *Mol Oncol* 2018; 12: 1838–1855.
- Cardoso F, Paluch-Shimon S, Senkus E, *et al.* 5th ESO-ESMO international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (ABC 5). *Ann Oncol* 2020; 31: 1623–1649.
- Giordano SH, Temin S, Chandarlapaty S, *et al.* Systemic therapy for patients with advanced human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer: ASCO clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol* 2018; 36: 2736–2740.
- Gennari A, André F, Barrios CH, *et al.* ESMO clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, staging and treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2021; 32: 1475–1495.

19. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for Breast Cancer V.4.2023. © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guideline, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way, [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/breast.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf) (2022, accessed 5 May 2023).
20. Swain SM, Baselga J, Kim SB, *et al.* Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. *New Engl J Med* 2015; 372: 724–734.
21. Miles D, Ciruelos E, Schneeweiss A, *et al.* Final results from the PERUSE study of first-line pertuzumab plus trastuzumab plus a taxane for HER2-positive locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer, with a multivariable approach to guide prognostication. *Ann Oncol* 2021; 32: 1245–1255.
22. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: KADCYLA® (ado-trastuzumab emtansine), [https://www.gene.com/download/pdf/kadcyla\\_prescribing.pdf](https://www.gene.com/download/pdf/kadcyla_prescribing.pdf) (2022, accessed 29 June 2022).
23. Verma S, Miles D, Gianni L, *et al.* Trastuzumab emtansine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. *New Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 1783–1791.
24. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: ENHERTU® (fam-trastuzumab deruxtecan-nxki), [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2022/761139s017s020lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/761139s017s020lbl.pdf) (2022, accessed 29 June 2022).
25. Cortes J, Kim SB, Chung WP, *et al.* Trastuzumab deruxtecan versus trastuzumab emtansine for breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2022; 386: 1143–1154.
26. Modi S, Saura C, Yamashita T, *et al.* Trastuzumab deruxtecan in previously treated HER2-positive breast cancer. *New Engl J Med* 2020; 382: 610–621.
27. Modi S, Jacot W, Yamashita T, *et al.* Trastuzumab deruxtecan in previously treated her2-low advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2022; 387: 9–20.
28. Giuliano M, Hu H, Wang YC, *et al.* Upregulation of ER signaling as an adaptive mechanism of cell survival in HER2-positive breast tumors treated with anti-HER2 therapy. *Clin Cancer Res* 2015; 21: 3995–4003.
29. Knowlden JM, Hutcheson IR, Jones HE, *et al.* Elevated levels of epidermal growth factor receptor/c-erbB2 heterodimers mediate an autocrine growth regulatory pathway in tamoxifen-resistant MCF-7 cells. *Endocrinol* 2003; 144: 1032–1044.
30. Goel S, Wang Q, Watt AC, *et al.* Overcoming therapeutic resistance in her2-positive breast cancers with CDK4/6 inhibitors. *Cancer Cell* 2016; 29: 255–269.
31. Palmer AC and Sorger PK. Combination cancer therapy can confer benefit via patient-to-patient variability without drug additivity or synergy. *Cell* 2017; 171: 1678–1691.e13.
32. Xia W, Bacus S, Hegde P, *et al.* A model of acquired autoresistance to a potent ErbB2 tyrosine kinase inhibitor and a therapeutic strategy to prevent its onset in breast cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006; 103: 7795–7800.
33. Wang YC, Morrison G, Gillihan R, *et al.* Different mechanisms for resistance to trastuzumab versus lapatinib in HER2-positive breast cancers—role of estrogen receptor and HER2 reactivation. *Breast Cancer Res* 2011; 13: R121.
34. Sengupta S, Schiff R and Katzenellenbogen BS. Post-transcriptional regulation of chemokine receptor CXCR4 by estrogen in HER2 overexpressing, estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer cells. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009; 117: 243–251.
35. Arpino G, Wiechmann L, Osborne CK, *et al.* Crosstalk between the estrogen receptor and the HER tyrosine kinase receptor family: molecular mechanism and clinical implications for endocrine therapy resistance. *Endocr Rev* 2008; 29: 217–233.
36. Massarweh S, Osborne CK, Jiang S, *et al.* Mechanisms of tumor regression and resistance to estrogen deprivation and fulvestrant in a model of estrogen Receptor-Positive, HER-2/*neu*-Positive breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 2006; 66: 8266–8273.
37. Creighton CJ, Massarweh S, Huang S, *et al.* Development of resistance to targeted therapies transforms the clinically associated molecular profile subtype of breast tumor xenografts. *Cancer Res* 2008; 68: 7493–7501.
38. Loi S, Dafni U, Karlis D, *et al.* Effects of estrogen receptor and human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 levels on the efficacy of trastuzumab: a secondary analysis of the HERA trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2016; 2: 1040–1047.

39. Montemurro F, Rossi V, Cossu Rocca M, *et al.* Hormone-receptor expression and activity of trastuzumab with chemotherapy in HER2-positive advanced breast cancer patients. *Cancer* 2012; 118: 17–26.
40. Martin M, Holmes FA and Ejlertsen B. Neratinib after trastuzumab-based adjuvant therapy in HER2-positive breast cancer (ExteNET): 5-year analysis of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2017; 18: 1688–1700.
41. Huober J, Fasching PA, Barsoum M, *et al.* Higher efficacy of letrozole in combination with trastuzumab compared to letrozole monotherapy as first-line treatment in patients with HER2-positive, hormone-receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer - results of the eLEcTRA trial. *Breast* 2012; 21: 27–33.
42. Kaufman B, Mackey JR, Clemens MR, *et al.* Trastuzumab plus anastrozole versus anastrozole alone for the treatment of postmenopausal women with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive, hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer: results from the randomized phase III TANDEM study. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; 27: 5529–5537.
43. Johnston S, Pippen J Jr, Pivot X, *et al.* Lapatinib combined with letrozole versus letrozole and placebo as first-line therapy for postmenopausal hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; 27: 5538–5546.
44. Johnston SRD, Hegg R, Im SA, *et al.* Phase III, randomized study of dual human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) blockade with lapatinib plus trastuzumab in combination with an aromatase inhibitor in postmenopausal women with HER2-positive, hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer: updated results of ALTERNATIVE. *J Clin Oncol* 2021; 39: 79–89.
45. Rimawi M, Ferrero JM, de la Haba-Rodriguez J, *et al.* First-line trastuzumab plus an aromatase inhibitor, with or without pertuzumab, in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive and hormone receptor-positive metastatic or locally advanced breast cancer (PERTAIN): a randomized, open-label phase II trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2018; 36: 2826–2835.
46. Hua X, Bi XW, Zhao JL, *et al.* Trastuzumab plus endocrine therapy or chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with hormone receptor-positive and HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (SYSUCC-002). *Clin Cancer Res* 2022; 28: 637–645.
47. Statler AB, Hobbs BP, Wei W, *et al.* Real-world treatment patterns and outcomes in HR+/HER2+ metastatic breast cancer patients: a national cancer database analysis. *Sci Rep* 2019; 9: 18126.
48. Yu Q, Sicinska E, Geng Y, *et al.* Requirement for CDK4 kinase function in breast cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2006; 9: 23–32.
49. Yu Q, Geng Y and Sicinski P. Specific protection against breast cancers by cyclin D1 ablation. *Nature* 2001; 411: 1017–1021.
50. Choi YJ, Li X, Hydbring P, *et al.* The requirement for cyclin D function in tumor maintenance. *Cancer Cell* 2012; 22: 438–451.
51. O’Leary B, Finn RS and Turner NC. Treating cancer with selective CDK4/6 inhibitors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2016; 13: 417–430.
52. Peeper DS, Upton TM, Ladha MH, *et al.* Ras signalling linked to the cell-cycle machinery by the retinoblastoma protein. *Nature* 1997; 386: 177–181.
53. Finn RS, Dering J, Conklin D, *et al.* PD 0332991, a selective cyclin D kinase 4/6 inhibitor, preferentially inhibits proliferation of luminal estrogen receptor-positive human breast cancer cell lines in vitro. *Breast Cancer Res* 2009; 11: R77.
54. Prall OW, Sarcevic B, Musgrove EA, *et al.* Estrogen-induced activation of Cdk4 and Cdk2 during G1-S phase progression is accompanied by increased cyclin D1 expression and decreased cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor association with cyclin E-Cdk2. *J Biol Chem* 1997; 272: 10882–10894.
55. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: IBRANCE® (palbociclib), <https://labeling.pfizer.com/ShowLabeling.aspx?format=PDF&id=12921> (2022, accessed 9 November 2022).
56. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: KISQUALI® (ribociclib), [https://www.novartis.com/us-en/sites/novartis\\_us/files/kisquali.pdf](https://www.novartis.com/us-en/sites/novartis_us/files/kisquali.pdf) (2022, accessed 9 November 2022).
57. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: VERZENIO® (abemaciclib), <https://uspl.lilly.com/verzenio/verzenio.html#pi> (2023, accessed 16 May 2023).
58. Haley B, Batra K, Sahoo S, *et al.* A phase I/II trial of PD 0332991 (palbociclib) and T-DM1 in HER2-positive advanced breast cancer after trastuzumab and taxane therapy. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2021; 21: 417–424.
59. Ciruelos E, Villagrasa P, Pascual T, *et al.* Palbociclib and trastuzumab in HER2-positive

- advanced breast cancer: results from the phase II SOLTI-1303 PATRICIA trial. *Clin Cancer Res* 2020; 26: 5820–5829.
60. Tolaney SM, Wardley AM, Zambelli S, *et al.* Abemaciclib plus trastuzumab with or without fulvestrant versus trastuzumab plus standard-of-care chemotherapy in women with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (monarcHER): a randomised, open-label, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2020; 21: 763–775.
  61. André F, Nadal JC, Denys H, *et al.* LBA18 final overall survival (OS) for abemaciclib plus trastuzumab +/- fulvestrant versus trastuzumab plus chemotherapy in patients with HR+, HER2+ advanced breast cancer (monarcHER): a randomized, open-label, phase II trial. *Ann Oncol* 2022; 33: S1386–S1387.
  62. Shagisultanova E, Gradishar W, Brown-Glaberman U, *et al.* Abstract PS10-03: interim safety and efficacy analysis of phase IB/II clinical trial of tucatinib, palbociclib and letrozole in patients with hormone receptor and HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 2021; 81: PS10-03.
  63. Yan M, Niu L, Lv H, *et al.* 276P dalpiciclib, a novel CDK4/6 inhibitor, combined with pyrotinib for HER2+ advanced breast cancer: interim results of a phase II trial. *Ann Oncol* 2021; 32: s484.
  64. Loibl S, Metzger O, Mandrekar SJ, *et al.* PATINA: a randomized, open label, phase III trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of palbociclib + anti-HER2 therapy + endocrine therapy (ET) vs. Anti-HER2 therapy + ET after induction treatment for hormone receptor positive (HR+)/HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (MBC). *Ann Oncol* 2018; 29: viii121.
  65. Randomized, open label, clinical study of the targeted therapy, palbociclib, to treat metastatic breast cancer (PATINA), <https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02947685> (2022, accessed 21 November 2022).
  66. Detect V/CHEVENDO (Chemo vs. Endo), <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/record/NCT02344472> (2022, accessed 21 November 2022).
  67. National Library of Medicine (U.S.). DETECT V/CHEVENDO A multicenter, randomized phase III study to compare chemo- versus endocrine therapy in combination with dual HER2-targeted therapy of Herceptin® (trastuzumab) and Perjeta® (pertuzumab) plus Kisqali® (ribociclib) in patients with HER2 positive and hormone-receptor positive metastatic breast cancer, 2015–2021.
  68. Triulzi T, Forte L, Regondi V, *et al.* HER2 signaling regulates the tumor immune microenvironment and trastuzumab efficacy. *Oncoimmunology* 2019; 8: e1512942.
  69. Luen SJ, Salgado R, Fox S, *et al.* Tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes in advanced HER2-positive breast cancer treated with pertuzumab or placebo in addition to trastuzumab and docetaxel: a retrospective analysis of the CLEOPATRA study. *Lancet Oncol* 2017; 18: 52–62.
  70. Deng J, Wang ES and Jenkins RW. CDK4/6 inhibition augments antitumor immunity by enhancing T-cell activation. *Cancer Discov* 2018; 8: 216–233.
  71. Goel S, DeCristo MJ, Watt AC, *et al.* CDK4/6 inhibition triggers anti-tumour immunity. *Nature* 2017; 548: 471–475.
  72. Segovia-Mendoza M and Morales-Montor J. Immune tumor microenvironment in breast cancer and the participation of estrogen and its receptors in cancer physiopathology. *Front Immunol* 2019; 10: 348.
  73. Márquez-Garbán DC, Deng G, Comin-Anduix B, *et al.* Antiestrogens in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors in breast cancer immunotherapy. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2019; 193: 105415.
  74. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: IRESSA® (gefitinib), <https://medicalinformation.astrazeneca-us.com/home/prescribing-information/iressa.html> (2022, accessed 9 November 2022).
  75. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: FEMARA® (letrozole), [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2014/020726s0271bl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2014/020726s0271bl.pdf) (2022, accessed 9 November 2022).
  76. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: AROMASIN® (exemestane), <https://labeling.pfizer.com/showlabeling.aspx?id=523> (2022, accessed 11 November 2022).
  77. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: ARIMIDEX® (anastrozole), [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2011/020541s0261bl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/020541s0261bl.pdf) (2022, accessed 11 November 2022).
  78. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: NOLVADEX® (tamoxifen citrate), [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2005/17970s0531bl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2005/17970s0531bl.pdf) (2022, accessed 11 November 2022).

79. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: HERCEPTIN® (trastuzumab), [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2010/103792s5250lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2010/103792s5250lbl.pdf) (2022, accessed 9 November 2022).
80. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: PERJETA® (pertuzumab), [https://www.gene.com/download/pdf/perjeta\\_prescribing.pdf](https://www.gene.com/download/pdf/perjeta_prescribing.pdf) (2022, accessed 9 November 2022).
81. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: NERLYNX® (neratinib), <https://nerlynxhcp.com/pdf/full-prescribing-information.pdf> (2022, accessed 9 November 2022).
82. HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: PIQRAY® (alelisib), [https://www.novartis.com/us-en/sites/novartis\\_us/files/piqray.pdf](https://www.novartis.com/us-en/sites/novartis_us/files/piqray.pdf) (2022, accessed 9 November 2022).
83. Schiavon G, Hrebien S, Garcia-Murillas I, *et al.* Analysis of ESR1 mutation in circulating tumor DNA demonstrates evolution during therapy for metastatic breast cancer. *Sci Transl Med* 2015; 7: 313ra182.
84. Fuqua SA, Gu G and Rechoum Y. Estrogen receptor (ER)  $\alpha$  mutations in breast cancer: hidden in plain sight. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014; 144: 11–19.
85. Miricescu D, Totan A, Stanescu-Spinu II, *et al.* PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway in breast cancer: from molecular landscape to clinical aspects. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; 22: 173.
86. Pernas S and Tolaney SM. HER2-positive breast cancer: new therapeutic frontiers and overcoming resistance. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* 2019; 11: 1758835919833519.
87. Martorana F, Motta G, Pavone G, *et al.* AKT inhibitors: new weapons in the fight against breast cancer? *Front Pharmacol* 2021; 12: 662232.
88. Chandarlapaty S, Sakr RA, Giri D, *et al.* Frequent mutational activation of the PI3K-AKT pathway in trastuzumab-resistant breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012; 18: 6784–6791.
89. Stemke-Hale K, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Lluch A, *et al.* An integrative genomic and proteomic analysis of PIK3CA, PTEN, and AKT mutations in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 2008; 68: 6084–6091.
90. Baselga J, Cortés J, Im SA, *et al.* Biomarker analyses in CLEOPATRA: a phase III, placebo-controlled study of pertuzumab in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive, first-line metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2014; 32: 3753–3761.
91. André F, Ciruelos E, Rubovszky G, *et al.* Alpelisib for PIK3CA-mutated, hormone receptor-positive advanced breast cancer. *New Engl J Med* 2019; 380: 1929–1940.
92. Oliveira M, Ciruelos E, Morales S, *et al.* Abstract P1-18-34: Solti-1507 IPATHER - a phase Ib study of ipatasertib (IPAT) and dual anti-HER2 therapy with pertuzumab and trastuzumab (HP) in patients with HER2-positive (HER2+) advanced breast cancer (ABC) and a PIK3CA mutation (mut): results from the first safety cohort. *Cancer Res* 2022; 82: 1–18.
93. Hurvitz SA, Chia SKL, Ciruelos EM, *et al.* 352TiP EPIK-B2: a phase III study of alpelisib (ALP) as maintenance therapy with trastuzumab (T) and pertuzumab (P) in patients (pts) with PIK3CA-mutated (mut) human epidermal growth factor receptor-2-positive (HER2+) advanced breast cancer (ABC). *Ann Oncol* 2020; 31: S389–S90.
94. Gianni L, Bisagni G, Colleoni M, *et al.* Neoadjuvant treatment with trastuzumab and pertuzumab plus palbociclib and fulvestrant in HER2-positive, ER-positive breast cancer (NA-PHER2): an exploratory, open-label, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2018; 19: 249–256.