

Multiple factors maintain assembled trans-SNARE complexes in the presence of NSF and αSNAP

Eric A Prinslow^{1,2,3}, Karolina P Stepien^{1,2,3}, Yun-Zu Pan^{1,2,3}, Junjie Xu^{1,2,3}, Josep Rizo^{1,2,3}*

¹Department of Biophysics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, United States; ²Department of Biochemistry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, United States; ³Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, United States

Abstract Neurotransmitter release requires formation of trans-SNARE complexes between the synaptic vesicle and plasma membranes, which likely underlies synaptic vesicle priming to a release-ready state. It is unknown whether Munc18-1, Munc13-1, complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 are important for priming because they mediate trans-SNARE complex assembly and/or because they prevent trans-SNARE complex disassembly by NSF- α SNAP, which can lead to de-priming. Here we show that trans-SNARE complex formation in the presence of NSF- α SNAP requires both Munc18-1 and Munc13-1, as proposed previously, and is facilitated by synaptotagmin-1. Our data also show that Munc18-1, Munc13-1, complexin-1 and likely synaptotagmin-1 contribute to maintaining assembled trans-SNARE complexes in the presence of NSF- α SNAP. We propose a model whereby Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 are critical not only for mediating vesicle priming but also for precluding de-priming by preventing trans-SNARE complex disassembly; in this model, complexin-1 also impairs de-priming, while synaptotagmin-1 may assist in priming and hinder de-priming. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.001

***For correspondence:** Jose.Rizo-Rey@UTSouthwestern. edu

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 25

Received: 03 June 2018 Accepted: 17 January 2019 Published: 18 January 2019

Reviewing editor: Axel T Brunger, Stanford University, United States

© Copyright Prinslow et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

The release of neurotransmitters by Ca^{2+} -triggered synaptic vesicle exocytosis is a central event for interneuronal communication and involves multiple steps. Synaptic vesicles first dock at specialized sites on the plasma membrane called active zones, undergo one or more priming reactions that leave the vesicles ready for release, and fuse with the plasma membrane upon Ca^{2+} influx evoked by an action potential (Südhof, 2013). Extensive research has shown that these steps are exquisitely regulated by a sophisticated protein machinery and has led to defined models for the functions of key components from this machinery (Brunger et al., 2018; Jahn and Fasshauer, 2012; Rizo, 2018; Südhof and Rothman, 2009). The soluble N-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptors (SNAREs) synaptobrevin, syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25 form a parallel four-helix bundle called the SNARE complex that brings the synaptic vesicle and plasma membranes together and is key for membrane fusion (Hanson et al., 1997; Poirier et al., 1998; Söllner et al., 1993; Sutton et al., 1998). N-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor (NSF) and soluble NSF attachment proteins (SNAPs; no relation to SNAP-25) disassemble SNARE complexes after release to recycle the SNAREs for another round of fusion (Banerjee et al., 1996; Mayer et al., 1996; Söllner et al., 1993). Munc18-1 and Munc13s orchestrate SNARE complex assembly in an NSF-SNAP-resistant manner (Ma et al., 2013) that improves the fidelity of parallel assembly (Lai et al., 2017). The underlying mechanism involves binding of Munc18-1 to a self-inhibited 'closed conformation' of syntaxin-1 (Dulubova et al., 1999; Misura et al., 2000) and to synaptobrevin, thus forming a template to assemble the SNARE complex

CC

(Baker et al., 2015; Parisotto et al., 2014; Sitarska et al., 2017), while Munc13s bridge the vesicle and plasma membranes (*Liu et al., 2016*) and help to open syntaxin-1 (*Ma et al., 2011*; *Richmond et al., 2001*; Yang et al., 2015). Synaptotagmin-1 acts as the major Ca²⁺ sensor that triggers release through interactions with phospholipids (*Fernández-Chacón et al., 2001*) and the SNARE complex (*Brewer et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2017*), in a tight interplay with complexins (*Giraudo et al., 2006; Schaub et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2006*).

Despite these and other crucial advances, fundamental guestions remain about how trans-SNARE complexes that bridge the vesicle and plasma membranes are formed, about the interplay between Munc18-1, Munc13-1, NSF and αSNAP in promoting trans-SNARE complex assembly or disassembly, and about the nature of the primed state(s) of the release machinery in the readily-releasable pool (RRP) of vesicles. The primed state is believed to include trans-SNARE complexes that are partially formed, with the N-terminal half assembled and at least part of the C-terminal, membrane proximal portion unassembled (e.g. Sørensen et al., 2006; Walter et al., 2010), but it is unclear which other components are bound to the SNAREs in this state. Reconstitution assays showing that the fusion between synaptobrevin-containing liposomes and syntaxin-1-SNAP-25-containing liposomes observed in the presence of synaptotagmin-1 and Ca^{2+} is abolished by NSF- α SNAP, but occurs efficiently upon further addition of Munc18-1 and a Munc13-1 fragment, led to the notion that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 mediate a pathway for trans-SNARE complex assembly that is resistant to NSF-αSNAP (Liu et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2013), explaining the essential nature of Munc18-1 and Munc13s for vesicle priming (Aravamudan et al., 1999; Richmond et al., 1999; Varoqueaux et al., 2002; Verhage et al., 2000). This interpretation arose in part because NSF-aSNAP disassemble not only cis-SNARE complexes but also syntaxin-1-SNAP-25 heterodimers (Hayashi et al., 1995), thus preventing trans-SNARE complex formation by the SNAREs alone, and because of evidence suggesting that NSF- α SNAP cannot disassemble trans-SNARE complexes (Weber et al., 2000). However, studies of yeast vacuolar fusion showed that the NSF- α SNAP homologues Sec18-Sec17 disassemble trans-SNARE complexes and that disassembly is prevented by HOPS, a tethering complex that includes the Munc18-1 homologue Vps33 and coordinates SNARE complex formation (Mima et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2010). Moreover, recent reports showed that at least a fraction of neuronal trans-SNARE complexes can be disassembled by NSF- α SNAP in vitro (Yavuz et al., 2018) and that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 are critical to prevent de-priming of readily-releasable synaptic vesicles in neurons, but such requirement can be bypassed by the NSF inactivating agent N-ethylmaleimide (He et al., 2017).

These findings suggest that the cytoplasmic environment of a presynaptic terminal favors disassembly of all kinds of SNARE complexes and hence that the trans-SNARE complexes formed after priming must be protected against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP. However, the mechanisms underlying such protection are unknown. The results of He et al. (2017) indicate that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 play key roles in such protection, in addition to mediating an NSF- α SNAP-resistant pathway of trans-SNARE complex assembly, but this hypothesis has not been tested, and Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 are often assumed to be dispensable after mediating assembly. Moreover, it is plausible that protection against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP depends also on other proteins such as synaptotagmin-1 and complexins, which bind to SNARE complexes and have also been proposed to facilitate trans-SNARE complex formation (Diao et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017). In this context, while initial studies suggested that synaptic vesicle priming is not altered in neurons from synaptotagmin-1 knockout (KO) mice (Geppert et al., 1994) and in complexin-1/2 double knockout (DKO) mice (Reim et al., 2001), subsequent analyses revealed that absence of these proteins does decrease the RRP of vesicles (Bacaj et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2010). Such decreases were not as dramatic as those observed in Munc18-1 KO mice (Verhage et al., 2000) and Munc13-1/2 DKO mice (Varoqueaux et al., 2002), where priming is totally abrogated, but do suggest that synaptotagmin-1 and complexins are involved in priming or perhaps in maintenance of the RRP. Thus, while it is known that the existence of an RRP of vesicles depends on Munc18-1, Munc13-1, synaptotagmin-1 and complexins, it is still unclear to what extent the roles of these various factors arise because they mediate priming by facilitating trans-SNARE complex assembly and/or because they stabilize primed vesicles by protecting against trans-SNARE complex disassembly by NSF-SNAPs.

The study presented herein was designed to address these questions and understand the interplay between these proteins in trans-SNARE complex assembly and disassembly, using a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay. Our data show that trans-SNARE complex assembly in the presence of NSF- α SNAP requires Munc18-1 and Munc13-1, as expected from our previous reconstitution experiments (*Ma et al., 2013*), but does not require complexin-1. Moreover, we find that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 synergistically help to maintain assembled trans-SNARE complexes in the presence of NSF- α SNAP, which is strongly enhanced by Ca²⁺, and that trans-SNARE complexes are protected against disassembly by complexin-1. Synaptotagmin-1 facilitates NSF- α SNAP-resistant trans-SNARE complex assembly and may contribute to stabilizing trans-SNARE complexes, but its effects are less marked. We propose a model whereby Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 play key roles not only in priming synaptic vesicles to a readily-releasable state but also in protecting them against de-priming by NSF-SNAPs, while synaptotagmin-1 plays a less critical role in both priming and maintenance of the RRP, and complexin-1 does not mediate priming but stabilizes primed vesicles.

Results

A sensitive assay to monitor trans-SNARE complex assembly and disassembly

In order to investigate the factors that influence trans-SNARE complex assembly and disassembly, membrane fusion must be prevented to avoid the conversion of trans-SNARE complexes into cis complexes that are well known to be disassembled by NSF-αSNAP (Söllner et al., 1993). To set up a trans-SNARE complex assembly assay without interference from membrane fusion, we used a similar approach to that described recently by Yavuz et al. (2018), which was published during the course of this work and used a mutation at the C-terminus of the synaptobrevin SNARE motif to prevent C-terminal assembly of the SNARE complex. For our assay, we designed a SNAP-25 mutant bearing two single residue substitutions (M71D,L78D) that replace buried hydrophobic residues with negatively charged residues at the C-terminus of the SNARE four-helix bundle (Figure 1A), and thus are also expected to strongly hinder C-terminal zippering of the SNARE complex. To verify this expectation, we used a membrane fusion assay that simultaneously measures lipid and content mixing between synaptobrevin-containing liposomes and syntaxin-1-SNAP-25-containing liposomes in the presence of NSF, α SNAP, Munc18-1 and a fragment containing the C₁, C₂B, MUN and C₂C domains of Munc13-1 (Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017). This fragment, which we refer to as $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, spans the entire highly conserved C-terminal region of Munc13-1 and is sufficient to efficiently rescue neurotransmitter release in Munc13-1/2 DKO neurons (Liu et al., 2016). As expected, we observed highly efficient, Ca²⁺-dependent membrane fusion in experiments performed with wild type (WT) SNAP-25; however, content mixing was abolished and lipid mixing was very inefficient when SNAP-25m was used in the assays instead of WT SNAP-25 (Figure 1B,C), demonstrating that the M71D,L78D mutation in SNAP-25 indeed prevents membrane fusion. Note that the small amount of lipid mixing that we observed might arise from lipid transfer without membrane merger (Rizo, 2018) and that some lipid mixing was observed in reconstitution assays even when long flexible linkers were introduced between the synaptobrevin SNARE motif and transmembrane region (McNew et al., 1999).

To test for formation of trans-SNARE complexes, we developed a FRET assay based on attachment of donor (Alexa488) and acceptor (tetramethylrhodamine, TMR) fluorescent probes on singlecysteine mutants of full-length synaptobrevin (L26C) and syntaxin-1 (S186C), respectively (all native cysteines were mutated to serine or hydrophobic residues). Residues L26 of synaptobrevin and S186 of syntaxin-1 were chosen to place the fluorescent probes because they closely precede the N-termini of the SNARE motifs in the four-helix bundle (*Sutton et al., 1998*), that is residue 29 of synaptobrevin and 190 of syntaxin-1 (*Figure 1A*). Hence, SNARE complex assembly is not expected to be perturbed by attachment of fluorescent probes to these residues but should bring the fluorescent probes into close proximity for efficient FRET, while disassembly by NSF- α SNAP should eliminate the FRET (*Figure 2A*). Attachment of fluorescent probes to residue 26 of synaptobrevin and 186 of syntaxin-1 is also expected to have no effect on binding of both proteins to NSF- α SNAP, complexin-1, synaptotagmin-1 or Munc18-1 based on the three-dimensional structural information available on the 20S complex formed by NSF, α SNAP and the SNAREs (*Zhao et al., 2015*), on the complexin-SNARE complex (*Chen et al., 2002*), on three synaptotagmin-1-SNARE complexes (*Brewer et al.*,



Figure 1. Design of a SNAP-25 mutation that abrogates its ability to support membrane fusion. (**A**) Ribbon diagram of the crystal structure of the SNARE complex (PDB accession code 1SFC) (**Sutton et al., 1998**). Synaptobrevin is red, syntaxin-1 yellow and SNAP-25 green, with the side chains of the two residues that were mutated to aspartate (M71 and L78) shown as pink spheres. Note that the side chains are pointing toward the hydrophobic interior of the four-helix bundle. Hence, mutating these residues to aspartate is expected to prevent C-terminal zippering of the SNARE complex. The residue numbers of the two mutated residues and of the N-termini of synaptobrevin and syntaxin-1 SNARE motifs are indicated. (**B**,**C**) The SNAP-25 M71D,L78D mutation abrogates membrane fusion in reconstitution assays. Lipid mixing (**B**) between V- and T-liposomes was monitored from the fluorescence de-quenching of Marina Blue lipids and content mixing (**C**) was monitored from the increase in the fluorescence signal of Cy5-streptavidin trapped in the V-liposomes caused by FRET with PhycoE-biotin trapped in the T-liposome fusion. The assays were performed in the presence of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C, NSF and α SNAP with T-liposomes that contained syntaxin-1 and wild type (WT) SNAP-25 or SNAP-25 M71D,L78D mutant (SNAP-25m). Experiments were started in the presence of 100 μ M EGTA and 5 μ M streptavidin, and Ca²⁺ (600 μ M) was added at 300 s.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.002

2015; Zhou et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2017), on the Munc18-1-closed syntaxin-1 complex (*Misura et al., 2000*), and on the vacuolar Vps33-Nyv1 complex (*Baker et al., 2015*), which most likely provides a reliable model for the homologous Munc18-1-synaptobrevin complex (*Sitarska et al., 2017*). Since in the experiments described below we relied on the donor fluorescence emission to monitor FRET, we tested whether the emission spectrum of liposomes containing Alexa488-synaptobrevin is affected by various proteins used in this study, including Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C, NSF, α SNAP, complexin-1 and a soluble fragment of synaptotagmin-1 spanning the two C₂ domains that form most of its cytoplasmic region (C₂AB) and include its Ca²⁺-binding sites (*Fernandez et al., 2001*; *Sutton et al., 1995*; *Ubach et al., 1998*). None of these proteins substantially affected the fluorescence spectrum except for a slight increase in fluorescence caused by Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1*) that did not affect the conclusions derived from our data.

A potential problem with FRET assays to monitor trans-SNARE complex formation is that only a small subset of the SNAREs may form these complexes, leading to low FRET efficiency and hindering quantification of the degree of SNARE complex assembly (or disassembly). To maximize the amount of observable FRET based on the decrease in donor fluorescence emission intensity, we employed liposomes containing Alexa488-labeled synaptobrevin at a low protein-to-lipid (P/L) ratio (1:10,000) (V-liposomes) and used a large excess of liposomes containing TMR-syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25m at higher P/L ratio (1:800) (T-liposomes) in our FRET assays. Mixing the V- and T-liposomes at a 1:4 ratio led to a very slow decrease in donor fluorescence intensity (*Figure 2—figure supplement 2A*) that shows that trans-SNARE complex assembly is very inefficient under these conditions, most likely

Cell Biology | Neuroscience



Figure 2. An assay to measure assembly of trans-SNARE complexes and disassembly by NSF- α SNAP. (A) Diagram illustrating the assay used to monitor trans-SNARE complex assembly and disassembly. V-liposomes containing synaptobrevin labeled with a FRET donor (Alexa488, green star) at residue 26 are mixed with T-liposomes containing SNAP-25m and syntaxin-1 labeled at residue 186 with a FRET acceptor (TMR, red star) in the presence of different factors. After monitoring the decrease in donor fluorescence intensity resulting from trans-SNARE complex formation under diverse conditions, NSF and α SNAP are added to test for disassembly of trans SNARE complexes. Synaptobrevin is red, SNAP-25m green and syntaxin-1 orange (N-terminal H_{abc} domain) and yellow (SNARE motif). Although an excess of SNAP-25m was used in preparing the syntaxin-1-SNAP-25m liposomes, the majority of syntaxin-1-SNAP-25m complexes are expected to have a 2:1 stoichiometry such that the second syntaxin-1 SNARE molecule occupies the position of the synaptobevin SNARE motif in the SNARE four-helix bundle (bottom left diagram), hindering SNARE complex formation (reviewed in Rizo and Südhof, 2012). In some of the experiments, trans-SNARE complex assembly was facilitated by inclusion of the Syb49-93 peptide, which spans the C-terminal part of the synaptobrevin SNARE motif and displaces the second syntaxin-1 molecule from the syntaxin-1-SNAP-25m heterodimer, yielding the intermediate shown between brackets. Because Syb49-93 lacks the N-terminal half of the synaptobrevin SNARE motif, it can readily be displaced by full-length synaptobrevin to form trans-SNARE complexes (Pobbati et al., 2006). In other experiments, NSF-αSNAP were added from the beginning to investigate trans-SNARE complex assembly in their presence. (B) Fluorescence emission spectra (excitation at 468 nm) of a mixture of V-liposomes containing Alexa488-synaptobrevin and T-liposomes containing TMR-syntaxin-1-SNAP-25m (1:4 V- to T-liposome ratio) that had been incubated for five hours with Syb49-93 (black trace), and of the same sample after adding NSF- α SNAP plus ATP and Mg²⁺ (red trace). The blue curve shows a control spectrum obtained by adding spectra acquired separately for V- and T-liposomes at the same concentrations. (C) Fluorescence emission spectra acquired under conditions similar to those of (B), with pre-incubated mixtures of Syb49-93 with V- and T-liposomes before (black curve) or after addition of NSF- α SNAP plus ATP and EDTA (green curve) or NSF- α SNAP plus ATP γ S and Mg²⁺ (red curve). (D,E) Fluorescence emission spectra acquired under similar conditions to those of (B), except that for the green curve WT aSNAP was replaced with the aSNAP FS (D) or KE (E) mutant. The red, black and blue curves are the same as in panel (B). All spectra were corrected for dilution caused by addition of reagents.

Figure 2 continued on next page



Figure 2 continued

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.003

The following figure supplements are available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Control experiments acquired to assess the effects of various factors on the fluorescence emission spectra of V-liposomes

containing Alexa488-synaptobrevin. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.004

Figure supplement 2. Syb49-93 strongly accelerates trans-SNARE complex assembly.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.005

Figure supplement 3. Representative cryo-EM image of a mixture of V- and T-liposomes (1:4 ratio) that had been incubated with Syb49-93 for 5 hours before rapid freezing (scale bar, 100 nm).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.006

Figure supplement 4. Additional supporting fluorescence emission spectra.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.007

because SNARE complex assembly is hindered by formation of syntaxin-1-SNAP-25m heterodimers where synaptobrevin is replaced by a second syntaxin-1 molecule, leading to a 2:1 stoichiometry (*Figure 2A*). To overcome this problem, we used a synaptobrevin peptide spanning residues 49–93 (Syb49-93), which is expected to facilitate SNARE complex formation by displacing the second syntaxin-1 molecule (*Pobbati et al., 2006*). Indeed, inclusion of Syb49-93 strongly accelerated the rate of decrease in donor fluorescence intensity upon mixing V- and T-liposomes (*Figure 2—figure supplement 2A*). No further decrease in FRET was observed after five hours of incubation at 37°C, indicating that this time was sufficient to maximize the formation of trans-SNARE complexes. Cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM) images obtained for samples prepared under these conditions revealed well-dispersed liposomes that often exhibited close contacts with one or two other liposomes but did not form large clusters (*Figure 2—figure supplement 3*), as expected because of the use of low synaptobrevin-to-lipid ratios in the V-liposomes.

Comparison of the fluorescence emission spectrum acquired after incubating V- and T-liposomes with Syb49-93 for five hours with a control spectrum obtained by adding the spectra of separate samples of V- and T-liposomes confirmed a clear decrease in donor fluorescence, showing that efficient FRET developed as a result of trans-SNARE complex formation (Figure 2B, black and blue curves, respectively). The efficient FRET suggests that most of the accessible synaptobrevin molecules were incorporated into SNARE complexes, as only half of the Alexa488-labeled synaptobrevin molecules are expected to be accessible on the surface of the liposomes. Further addition of NSF- α SNAP led to a substantial but not complete recovery of the donor fluorescence (Figure 2B, red curve). These results show that NSF- α SNAP disassembled a fraction of the trans-SNARE complexes (estimated to be about 50%) while the remaining complexes were resistant to NSF- α SNAP, in agreement with the results of Yavuz et al. (2018). It is worth noting that in these experiments there was a small degree of direct excitation of the large excess of acceptor probes used (see Figure 2-figure supplement 4A), even though the excitation wavelength corresponded to the donor. As expected, the acceptor fluorescence increased with respect to the V + T control upon incubating V- and T-liposomes for five hours, due to trans-SNARE complex formation (Figure 2B, black and blue curves). However, the acceptor fluorescence exhibited only a small increase upon addition of NSF- α SNAP (Figure 2B, red curve). This finding arises because the acceptor fluorescence is considerably affected by NSF-aSNAP, in contrast to the donor fluorescence (see below and Figure 5-figure supplements 2 and 3). Hence, the donor fluorescence provides a more reliable parameter than the acceptor fluorescence to assess the degree of trans-SNARE complex disassembly by NSF- α SNAP.

Disassembly of trans-SNARE complexes required ATP hydrolysis by NSF, as no disassembly was observed when Mg²⁺ was replaced by EDTA in the reaction, or NSF was bound to ATP γ S rather than ATP (*Figure 2C*). A similar amount of disassembly was observed in parallel assays performed with WT SNAP-25 instead of SNAP-25m in the presence of ATP and Mg²⁺ (*Figure 2—figure supplement 4B*), showing that the reaction is not affected by the M71D,L78D mutation. We also examined whether trans-SNARE complex disassembly is affected by a K122E,K163E (KE) mutation in α SNAP that impairs SNARE binding (*Zhao et al., 2015*) and by an F27S,F28S (FS) mutation in an N-terminal loop of α SNAP that impairs disassembly of membrane-anchored cis-SNARE complexes because it disrupts binding of α SNAP to membranes (*Winter et al., 2009*). Both α SNAP mutations strongly

impaired the recovery of donor fluorescence observed when trans-SNARE complexes were disassembled by NSF in the presence of wild type (WT) α SNAP (compare green and red curves in *Figure 2D,E*), showing that interactions of α SNAP with both the membranes and the SNAREs are critical for trans-SNARE complex disassembly.

Interplay between NSF, α SNAP, Munc18-1, Munc13-1, synaptotagmin-1 and complexin-1 in trans-SNARE complex assembly-disassembly

Our previous reconstitution studies showing that fusion between synaptobrevin-liposomes and syntaxin-1-SNAP-25-liposomes in the presence of NSF- α SNAP requires Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 led us to propose that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 organize trans-SNARE complex assembly in an NSF- α SNAP resistant manner (*Liu et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2013*). However, trans-SNARE complex assembly was not directly monitored in these studies and it was unclear whether Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 were dispensable after trans-SNARE complex assembly. The finding that trans-SNARE complexes can be disassembled by NSF- α SNAP raises the question as to whether, in addition to providing an NSF- α SNAP-resistant pathway for trans-SNARE complex assembly, Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 protect assembled trans-SNARE complexes from disassembly by NSF- α SNAP. To address this question and also investigate the roles of synaptotagmin-1 and complexin-1 in trans-SNARE complex assembly and protection against disassembly, we performed kinetic experiments where we used our FRET assay, monitoring the decrease in donor emission fluorescence associated with trans-SNARE complex assembly in the presence of NSF- α SNAP and various combinations of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C, the synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB fragment and complexin-1. Ca²⁺ was added after 750 s to test its effects on assembly.

In the presence of Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, we observed some slow trans-SNARE complex assembly before Ca^{2+} addition and assembly was dramatically enhanced by Ca^{2+} , while there was almost no assembly in reactions with Munc18-1 alone or Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C alone (Figure 3A). Complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB were unable to support trans-SNARE complex assembly in the presence of NSF- α SNAP even after Ca²⁺ addition, and did not appear to enhance the rate of trans-SNARE complex assembly supported by Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ (Figure 3B). These results confirm our proposal that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 organize trans-SNARE complex assembly in an NSF-αSNAP resistant manner based on liposome fusion assays (Liu et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2013) but note that, in those assays, fusion might ensue quickly, in a concerted fashion, upon trans-SNARE complex formation without a chance for disassembly. Because in our FRET assays of trans-SNARE complex formation fusion is prevented by the mutation in SNAP-25m, the efficient decrease in donor fluorescence observed in the presence of Ca^{2+} , Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C (Figure 3A) suggests that these factors prevent disassembly of trans-SNARE complexes in addition to mediating NSF- α SNAP-resistant assembly. Note however that we cannot completely rule out the possibility that, instead of physically preventing disassembly, Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C mediate fast re-assembly of trans-SNARE complexes after they are disassembled. For simplicity, below we use terms like 'prevent' or 'protect against disassembly' to reflect the observation that a particular factor(s) increases the amount of assembled trans-SNARE complexes observed in the presence of NSF- α SNAP, but it is important to keep in mind both possible interpretations (see discussion).

Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ mediate some Ca^{2+} -independent assembly of trans-SNARE complexes (*Figure 3A*), suggesting that these proteins also protect against disassembly in the absence of Ca^{2+} . However, it is unclear whether such protection is as efficient as that occurring in the presence of Ca^{2+} because of the low level of Ca^{2+} -independent assembly observed in the time scale of these assays. To overcome this problem, we performed additional assays where Ca^{2+} was added early to promote efficient trans-SNARE complex assembly, and EGTA was added afterwards to test whether, in the absence of Ca^{2+} , Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ could keep the trans-SNARE complexes assembled. EGTA caused some recovery of the donor fluorescence intensity, but the recovery leveled off with time, and the later EGTA was added, the lower was the donor fluorescence at the latest time point, showing that we did not reach equilibrium in these assays (*Figure 3C*). Nevertheless, the observation that the donor fluorescence intensity at the end is markedly lower than the initial intensity shows that a population of trans-SNARE complexes remained assembled, suggesting that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 indeed protect trans-SNARE complexes from disassembly by NSF- α SNAP in the absence of Ca^{2+} . Using a similar approach, we tested



Figure 3. Influence of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C, complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 on trans-SNARE complex assembly-disassembly in the presence of NSF- α SNAP. (A,B) Kinetic assays monitoring trans-SNARE complex assembly between V- and T-liposomes (1:4 ratio) in the presence of NSF- α SNAP from the decrease in the donor fluorescence emission intensity. The experiments were performed in the absence of other proteins (T + V) or in the presence of different combinations of Munc18-1 (M18). Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C (M13), complexin-1 (Cpx), synaptotagmin-1 C2AB and Syb49-93, as indicated by the colors. Experiments were started in 100 μM EGTA and Ca²⁺ (600 μM) was added after 750 s. (C) Analogous kinetic assays performed in the presence of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C, NSF-αSNAP and 100 μM EGTA, but adding 240 μM Ca²⁺ at 2 min to stimulate trans-SNARE complex assembly and adding 500 μ M EGTA at different times to chelate the Ca²⁺ and interrogate whether there is trans-SNARE complex disassembly. An experiment that was also started in 100 μ M EGTA but without addition of Ca²⁺ or EGTA at later times (gray trace) is shown for comparison. (D) Experiments analogous to those of (C), with addition of 240 μ M Ca²⁺ at 2 min and 500 μ M EGTA at 17 min, performed in the absence or presence of complexin-1 and/or synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB. (E) Kinetic assays monitoring trans-SNARE complex assembly between VSyt1- and T-liposomes (1:4 ratio) in the presence of NSF- α SNAP and different combinations of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C, complexin-1 and Syb49-93, as indicated by the colors. Experiments were started in 100 μ M EGTA and Ca²⁺ (600 μ M) was added after 750 s. (F) Kinetic assays analogous to those of (E) performed in the presence of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, NSF- α SNAP and 100 μ M EGTA, but adding 240 μ M Ca²⁺ at 2 min to stimulate trans-SNARE complex assembly and adding 500 μ M EGTA at different times to chelate the Ca²⁺ and interrogate whether there is trans-SNARE complex disassembly. An experiment that was also started in 100 μ M EGTA but without addition of Ca²⁺ or EGTA at later times (gray trace) is shown for comparison. The light blue trace shows an additional experiment started in 100 µM EGTA in the presence of complexin-1, with addition of 240 µM Ca^{2+} at 2 min and 500 μ M EGTA at 17 min. All experiments were performed in the presence of Mg²⁺ and ATP. For all traces shown in (A–F), fluorescence emission intensities were normalized with the intensity observed in the first point and corrected for the dilution caused by the addition of reagents.

Figure 3 continued on next page



Figure 3 continued

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.008

The following figure supplements are available for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. Complexin-1 increases the efficiency of Ca^{2+} -independent trans-SNARE complex assembly between VSyt1- and T-liposomes in the presence of NSF- α SNAP.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.009

Figure supplement 2. Ca²⁺-dependent fusion between VSyt1- and T-liposomes. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.010

whether the synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB or complexin-1 protect against the disassembly of trans-SNARE complexes observed upon addition of EGTA. Including complexin-1 completely prevented such disassembly, whereas synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB had no effect (*Figure 3D*). Note that complexin-1 seemed to enhance the assembly rate in these assays, in contrast to those of *Figure 3B*; thus, it is unclear from these data whether complexin-1 assists in assembly.

The use of the soluble synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB fragment allowed us to directly compare the assembly and disassembly of trans-SNARE complexes in the absence and presence of the synapto-tagmin-1 C₂ domains with the same V-liposomes, but in vivo synaptotagmin-1 is anchored on synaptic vesicles. To investigate how membrane anchoring of synaptotagmin-1 influences trans-SNARE complex assembly-disassembly, we performed FRET experiments analogous to those described above but using liposomes that contained the same low P/L ratio of Alexa488-labeled synaptobrevin (1:10,000) and synaptotagmin-1 incorporated at a 1:1,000 P/L [comparable to physiological ratios for sybaptotagmin-1; (Takamori et al., 2006) (referred to below as VSyt1-liposomes). Trans-SNARE complex formation between VSyt1- and T-liposomes was again stimulated strongly by the Syb49-93 peptide (Figure 2—figure supplement 2B) and fluorescence spectra acquired after a long incubation with Syb49-93 revealed efficient formation of trans-SNARE complexes, while addition of NSF- α SNAP disassembled about 55% of these complexes (Figure 2—figure supplement 4C), similar to the results obtained with V-liposomes (Figure 2B).

No trans-SNARE complex assembly between VSyt1- and T-liposomes was observed in kinetic experiments performed in the presence of NSF-aSNAP together with Syb49-93, Syb49-93 plus complexin-1, Munc18-1 alone or Munc13-1 alone (Figure 3E). However, considerable trans-SNARE complex assembly was observed in the presence of Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, which was strongly accelerated by Ca²⁺ (Figure 3E, red trace). These results show again that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C are critical for trans-SNARE complex assembly in the presence of NSF- α SNAP, as observed in the experiments performed with V- and T-liposomes (Figure 3A,B). Interestingly, Ca²⁺-independent assembly was more efficient with the VSyt1-liposomes than with V-liposomes, suggesting that membrane-anchored synaptotagmin-1 facilitates the NSF- α SNAP-resistant assembly mediated by Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$. To test whether, in addition, membrane anchored synaptotagmin-1 helps to protect trans-SNARE complexes once they are formed, we again performed kinetic assays where we added Ca²⁺ shortly after mixing the VSyt1- and T-liposomes, and EGTA was added afterwards at different time points. We again observed partial recovery of the donor fluorescence intensity upon EGTA addition (Figure 3F), but the overall amount of trans-SNARE complexes that remained assembled was higher than in the experiments performed with V- and T-liposomes (Figure 3C). These results indicate that membrane-anchored synaptotagmin-1 may help to protect trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP once they are formed.

In parallel experiments including complexin-1, no donor fluorescence recovery was observed when EGTA was added, showing again that complexin-1 protects against disassembly, and the overall efficiency of assembly was higher (*Figure 3F*). It is also worth noting that, in our standard assembly assays where Ca²⁺ was added at 750 s, Ca²⁺-independent assembly was slower in the presence of complexin-1 than in its absence, but did not appear to level off at this time, as did the reaction without complexin-1 (*Figure 3E*, red and blue curves). Indeed, at longer time periods Ca²⁺-independent assembly was more efficient in the presence of complexin-1 even though it was lower in the beginning (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1*). These results suggest that, in the absence of Ca²⁺, complexin-1 partially inhibits assembly of trans-SNARE complexes between VSyt1- and T-liposomes but increases the overall assembly efficiency because it protects trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP, which was further supported by additional experiments described below.

The substantial amount of Ca^{2+} -independent trans-SNARE complex assembly between VSyt1and T-liposomes observed in our FRET assays in the presence of NSF- α SNAP, Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ contrasts with the absence of content mixing that we commonly observed in fusion assays performed with V- or VSyt1-liposomes using a synaptotabrevin-to-lipid ratio of 1:500 and incorporating WT SNAP-25 in the T-liposomes (*Figure 1C* and *Liu et al., 2016*). To verify the latter result with the same synaptobrevin density used for the trans-SNARE complex assembly assays, we performed fusion assays using VSyt1-liposomes with the same synaptobrevin-to-lipid ratio (1:10,000). We did not observe any fusion in the absence of Ca²⁺ while lipid and content mixing were efficient but slow upon Ca²⁺ addition (*Figure 3—figure supplement 2*), in contrast with both the substantial Ca²⁺-independent trans-SNARE complex assembly and the fast Ca²⁺-dependent assembly observed in our FRET assays (*Figure 3E*). These data illustrate that trans-SNARE complex assembly does not necessarily lead to membrane fusion under these conditions, as observed previously in other reconstitution assays (e.g. *Zick and Wickner, 2014*; reviewed in *Rizo, 2018*).

Multiple factors stabilize trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP

The kinetic assays of Figure 3 show how different factors influence trans-SNARE complex assembly in the presence of NSF- α SNAP and provide some information on which of these factors protect trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly. However, since only a fraction of trans-SNARE complexes formed by SNAREs alone are disassembled by NSF- α SNAP (*Figure 2B*), it is plausible that the absence of disassembly during our kinetic assays arises from formation of NSF- α SNAP-resistant trans-SNARE complexes, rather than because the various proteins actively protect against disassembly. To gain further insights into whether Munc18-1, Munc13-1, synaptotagmin-1 and complexin-1 can prevent disassembly of trans-SNARE complexes by NSF- α SNAP, we performed similar kinetic assays where trans-SNARE complex assembly between V- and T-liposomes was monitored by FRET in the presence of various combinations of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C, synaptotagmin-1 C_2AB and complexin-1, but adding NSF- α SNAP at the end of the reaction, rather than the beginning. For experiments with complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB, we included the Syb49-93 peptide to facilitate trans-SNARE complex assembly, but the peptide was not included for experiments with Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ because these proteins presumably can overcome at least in part the inhibition arising from formation of 2:1 syntaxin-1-SNAP-25 heterodimers (Ma et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2013). We note that we did not attempt to monitor the kinetics of disassembly upon addition of NSF- α SNAP, because disassembly generally occurred rapidly while reagents were added to multiple parallel reactions (see Materials and methods).

The rate of trans-SNARE complex assembly was similar in assays started in the absence of Ca^{2+} with or without synaptotagmin-1 C_2AB , and the recovery of donor fluorescence upon addition of NSF- α SNAP was also comparable (*Figure 4A*, green and black curves), showing that C_2AB does not alter assembly or disassembly in the absence of Ca^{2+} . However, assembly was dramatically accelerated by C_2AB in the presence of Ca^{2+} (*Figure 4A*, blue curve), likely because C_2AB can bridge two membranes together (*Araç et al., 2006*), and addition of NSF- α SNAP led to only a small amount of donor fluorescence recovery, suggesting that Ca^{2+} -bound C_2AB markedly protected trans-SNARE complex assembly (*Figure 4A*, red curve), consistent with previous results (*Diao et al., 2013*), and appeared to partially prevent disassembly by NSF- α SNAP. The contrast of these results with those of *Figure 3B* most likely arises because syntaxin-1-SNAP-25m heterodimers constitute the starting point for trans-SNARE complex assembly facilitated by complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 C_2AB , but this pathway is blocked in the presence of NSF- α SNAP because they disassemble the heterodimers.

Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C alone or Munc18-1 alone were unable to promote trans-SNARE complex assembly, but together they did mediate trans-SNARE complex assembly that was slow in the absence of Ca²⁺ and was strongly accelerated upon Ca²⁺ addition (*Figure 4B*). Addition of NSF- α SNAP consistently led to a slight further decrease in donor fluorescence intensity (*Figure 4B*, red curve), supporting the notion that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C protect against trans-SNARE complex disassembly by NSF- α SNAP, and suggesting that they in fact cooperate with NSF- α SNAP in formation of trans-SNARE complexes. This notion is further supported by the observation



Figure 4. Influence of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 on trans-SNARE complex assembly in the absence of NSF- α SNAP and on protection against disassembly upon addition of NSF- α SNAP. (**A**) Kinetic assays monitoring trans-SNARE complex assembly upon mixing V- and T-liposomes (1:4 ratio) in the presence of Syb49-93 and disassembly upon addition of NSF- α SNAP (indicated by the arrows), from the changes in the donor fluorescence emission intensity. The experiments included Syb49-93 alone (black trace) or together with complexin-1 (Cpx) (red trace), synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB (green trace) and synaptotagmin-1 plus Ca²⁺ (blue trace). (**B**) Kinetic assays analogous to those of (**A**) but performed in the absence of Syb49-93 and the presence of Munc18-1 (M18), Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C (M13) or both (blue, green and red traces, respectively). Experiments were started in 100 µM EGTA and Ca²⁺ (600 µM) was added after 700 s. (**C**) Analogous kinetic assays monitoring trans-SNARE complex assembly between VSyt1- and T-liposomes (1:4 ratio) in the presence of Syb49-93 alone (black trace) or together with Ca²⁺ (blue trace) or together with Ca²⁺ (blue trace) or and ca²⁺ (blue trace) and addition of NSF- α SNAP.

Figure 4 continued

SNAP at the end (black arrow). In the experiments shown in (A–C), we stopped monitoring the donor fluorescence intensity to add the reagents for disassembly, and a few minutes elapsed until we started to monitor the reaction again (indicated by the double slanted bars on the traces and on the x axis). For all traces shown in (A–C), fluorescence emission intensities were normalized with the intensity observed in the first point and corrected for the dilution caused by the addition of reagents.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.011

The following figure supplement is available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. The SNARE motif of synaptobrevin does not affect the results observed upon addition of NSF- α SNAP to trans-SNARE complexes formed in the presence of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C and Ca²⁺. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.012

that trans-SNARE complex assembly was more efficient in the experiments performed with Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ when NSF- α SNAP were present from the beginning (*Figure 3A*, red curve). These results most likely arise because, in the former experiments, Munc18-1 must displace the SNAP-25m bound to syntaxin-1 in the T-liposomes to initiate the Munc18-1-closed syntaxin-1 pathway. Such displacement is slow and is accelerated when NSF- α SNAP are added from the beginning because they disassemble the syntaxin-1-SNAP-25m heterodimers, facilitating binding of Munc18-1 to closed syntaxin-1 and initiating the NSF- α SNAP-resistant pathway of trans-SNARE complex assembly.

We also performed assays where we monitored formation of trans-SNARE complexes between VSyt1- and T-liposomes, including Syb49-93 to facilitate assembly and adding NSF- α SNAP at the end to test for disassembly. We observed similar rates of assembly and similar amounts of disassembly in the absence and presence of Ca^{2+} (*Figure 4C*), which indicates that synaptotagmin-1 by itself does not protect against disassembly and contrasts with the results obtained with V- and T-liposomes in the presence of synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB (Figure 4A; see discussion). Including complexin-1 decreased the assembly rate but enhanced the overall efficiency of assembly and strongly hindered disassembly of trans-SNARE complexes by NSF- α SNAP (*Figure 4C*, red curve), in correlation with the results obtained in kinetic experiments performed with NSF- α SNAP from the beginning (Figure 3E, F, Figure 3—figure supplement 1). We did not pursue these kinetic experiments further because, although they suggested that Munc18-1, Munc13-1, synaptotagmin-1 and complexin-1 have differential abilities to protect trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly NSF- α SNAP, it is difficult to quantify these abilities from these assays because of the different extent of trans-SNARE complex assembly under the various conditions, because of a small amount of photobleaching occurring during the experiments, and because it is unclear to what extent trans-SNARE complexes that are intrinsically resistant to NSF- α SNAP were formed under the various conditions.

To overcome these problems and have a common benchmark that can give a quantitative idea of the protecting activity of the various proteins, we again followed the approach of pre-forming trans-SNARE complexes by incubation of V- and T-liposomes (1:4 ratio) in the presence of Syb49-93 for five hours, after which there are no further changes in the fluorescence spectrum [note that Syb49-93 is released from the syntaxin-1-SNAP-25 complexes upon trans-SNARE complex assembly (Yavuz et al., 2018) and hence should not interfere in the measurement of protection against disassembly]. Different aliquots of the same reaction mixture where then incubated with synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB, complexin-1, Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C in different combinations, with or without Ca²⁺ whenever C₂AB and/or C₁C₂BMUNC₂C were present. Fluorescence emission spectra of the resulting samples were acquired before and after addition of NSF- α SNAP to quantify the changes in FRET caused by NSF-aSNAP (Figure 5A and Figure 5-figure supplement 1). We also acquired control fluorescence spectra of separate samples where we preformed trans-SNARE complexes between V-liposomes that contained the donor probe and T-liposomes lacking the acceptor probe (referred to as V^*+T), as well as analogous trans-SNARE complexes that contained the acceptor probe but not the donor probe $(V + T^*)$; both sets of liposomes were also incubated with various proteins and fluorescence spectra were acquired before and after addition of NSF-aSNAP (Figure 5-figure supplements 2 and 3). The control spectra showed that none of the proteins substantially affect the donor fluorescence, except for a slight but consistent increase caused by Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, while NSF- α SNAP did cause a considerable decrease of the acceptor fluorescence



Figure 5. Quantitative analysis of how Munc18-1, Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 protect pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP. (A) Fluorescence emission spectra of mixtures of V-liposomes containing Alexa488-synaptobrevin and T-liposomes containing TMR-syntaxin-1-SNAP-25m (1:4 V- to T-liposome ratio) that were incubated for five hours with Syb49-93; Munc18-1 (M18), *Figure 5 continued on next page*

Figure 5 continued

Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C (M13), complexin-1 (Cpx), synaptotagmin-1 C2AB (C2AB) and Ca²⁺ were then added and, after an additional incubation for five minutes, spectra were acquired before (black trace) or after (red trace) addition of NSF- α SNAP. (B) Bar diagram illustrating the ability of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C, complexin-1, synaptotagmin-1 C_2AB and Ca^{2+} to protect pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF-αSNAP. As in (A), V- and T-liposomes were incubated for five hours with Syb49-93 to preform trans-SNARE complexes and then they were incubated for five minutes with different combinations of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, complexin-1, synaptotagmin-1 C_2AB and Ca^{2+} . Fluorescence emission spectra were acquired before and after addition of NSF- α SNAP and the ratio r between the donor fluorescence intensities at 518 nm measured after and before NSF-aSNAP addition was calculated. Representative examples of the spectra acquired under different conditions are shown in Figure 5-figure supplement 1. (C) Bar diagram illustrating the ability of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C, complexin-1 and Ca²⁺ to protect pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes between VSyt1- and T-liposomes against disassembly by NSF-αSNAP. Similar to (B), VSyt1- and T-liposomes were incubated with Syb49-93 (but for 24 hr at 4°C) to preform trans-SNARE complexes, and then they were incubated for five minutes with different combinations of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C, complexin-1 and Ca²⁺. Fluorescence emission spectra were acquired before and after addition of NSF- α SNAP and the ratio r between the donor fluorescence intensities at 518 nm measured after and before NSF-aSNAP addition was calculated. Representative examples of the spectra acquired under different conditions are shown in Figure 5-figure supplement 4. In (B,C), 'No additions' indicates experiments where none of these factors were included before addition of NSF- α SNAP. Control experiments with no additions and replacing ATP with ATP γ S or replacing Mg²⁺ with EDTA were also performed. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Values indicate means ±standard deviations. A few examples of statistical significance are indicated to illustrate which differences among the r values obtained under different conditions are meaningful. Statistical significance and P values were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Holm-Sidak test (*p<0.05; ***p<0.001).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.013

The following figure supplements are available for figure 5:

Figure supplement 1. Representative fluorescence emission spectra used in the experiments of **Figure 5B** to obtain a quantitative measurement of how Munc18-1, Munc13-1, complexin-1, synaptotagmin-1 and Ca²⁺ in different combinations protect pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.014

Figure supplement 2. Control spectra acquired to assess the effects of various factors on the fluorescence emission spectra of V-liposomes incorporated into trans-SNARE complexes in the absence of FRET. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.015

Figure supplement 3. Control spectra acquired to assess the effects of various factors on the fluorescence emission spectra of T-liposomes incorporated into trans-SNARE complexes in the absence of FRET. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.016

Figure supplement 4. Representative fluorescence emission spectra used in the experiments of **Figure 5C** to obtain a quantitative measurement of how Munc18-1, Munc13-1, complexin-1 and Ca^{2+} in different combinations protect pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes between VSyt1- and T-liposomes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.017

Figure supplement 5. Complexin-1 concentration dependence of protection of trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.018

in the V + T * controls that was prevented by Munc18-1. Hence, we focused on the donor fluorescence to quantitate the protection against disassembly.

The fluorescence spectra obtained after incubation of the preformed trans-SNARE complexes with different combinations of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, synaptotagmin-1 C_2AB and complexin-1 before addition of NSF- α SNAP were very similar for all samples, indicating that the amount of trans-SNARE complexes was not affected by the incubations. However, substantial differences were observed in the donor emission intensities in the spectra obtained after addition of NSF- α SNAP (*Figure 5—figure supplement 1*), indicating different extents of SNARE complex disassembly. To derive a quantitative idea of how much the different combinations of proteins protect against disassembly, we calculated the ratio *r* between the donor fluorescence intensity after adding NSF- α SNAP and that before addition of NSF- α SNAP (*Figure 5B*). This value was variable in experiments

performed with different liposome preparations and depended on the extent of trans-SNARE complex assembly achieved, but the relative changes in *r* values obtained in the presence of different factors were comparable for the different preparations.

The r values measured showed that Ca^{2+} -free synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB provided no protection but Ca²⁺-bound C₂AB prevented disassembly considerably. Complexin-1 afforded similar protection as Ca^{2+} -bound C₂AB. In experiments with Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C alone in the absence or presence of Ca^{2+} , r was slightly larger than that observed in the control with no additions, which can be attributed to the slight increase in donor fluorescence caused by Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ on the V*+T control (Figure 5-figure supplement 2C) and shows that there is no protection against disassembly under these conditions. The r value observed with Munc18-1 alone was slightly smaller than 1.3; although the difference with respect to the controls with no additions was not statistically significant, there was a significant difference between the (smaller) r value observed in experiments with Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ in the absence of Ca^{2+} and the control with no additions. A dramatic decrease in r was observed when Ca^{2+} was included with Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C. Adding complexin-1 or of C2AB together with Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, with or without Ca^{2+} , also decreased the corresponding r values, and the smallest r was observed in experiments that included all these components (Figure 5B), showing almost complete protection under these conditions (Figure 5A). Overall, these results support the notion that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C can protect trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly to a moderate extent in the absence of Ca^{2+} and that such protection is increased by Ca^{2+} , in correlation with the results of the kinetic assays (Figure 3). In addition, these data also indicate that complexin-1 also protects against disassembly and that Ca^{2+} -free synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB alone does not prevent disassembly but can help to protect against disassembly.

To investigate how protection of trans-SNARE complexes is influenced by membrane-anchored synaptotagmin-1, we performed additional experiments where we preformed trans-SNARE complexes between VSyt1- and T-liposomes in the presence of Syb49-93, and we incubated the resulting samples with different combinations of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, complexin-1 and Ca^{2+} before adding NSF-αSNAP to test for disassembly. Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C, complexin-1 and Ca²⁺ did not significantly alter the fluorescence spectra acquired before addition of NSF- α SNAP, but markedly affected the spectra obtained after such addition (black and red curves, respectively, in the different panels of Figure 5-figure supplement 4). The ratio r between the donor fluorescence emission intensities observed after and before addition of NSF-aSNAP without other proteins was 1.46 and, surprisingly, addition of Ca²⁺ did not lead to protection against disassembly (Figure 5C), which contrasts with the protection provided by Ca^{2+} -bound synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB in experiments with V-liposomes (Figure 5B) and suggests that the latter result might arise from excessive accumulation of C2AB molecules at the membrane-membrane interface (Arac et al., 2006). Munc18-1 alone again appeared to have a tendency to prevent disassembly, compared to the control with no additions, but the difference was not statistically significant, and Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C alone provided no protection. Together, Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C did provide moderate protection in the absence of Ca^{2+} and strong protection in its presence. Interestingly, complexin-1 alone afforded robust protection against disassembly (Figure 5C) that appeared to be stronger than that observed with V- and T-liposomes (Figure 5B), suggesting that membrane-anchored synaptotagmin-1 can cooperate with complexin-1 in protecting trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly. Maximal protection of the trans-SNARE complexes between VSyt1and T-liposomes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP was again observed when all components (Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C, complexin-1 and Ca²⁺) were included (*Figure 5C*).

The levels of protection afforded by different proteins in these experiments need to be examined with caution, as they are expected to depend on their concentrations. This is exemplified by the lower protection provided by complexin-1 as we decreased its concentration from 2 μ M (used in our standard assays, *Figure 5B,C*) to 0.2 μ M (*Figure 5—figure supplement 5*). Note also that we kept the concentration of Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C at 0.3 μ M because higher concentrations sometimes led to sample precipitation, but in vivo the local concentrations of Munc13-1 at the active zone may be highly increased due to binding to RIMs (see discussion). Nevertheless, the overall results presented in *Figures 3–5* provide strong evidence that Munc18-1, Munc13-1, complexin-1 and likely synaptotagmin-1 contribute to protect trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP.

Disassembly of cis-SNARE complexes

To further investigate the functional interplay between NSF, α SNAP, Munc18-1, Munc13-1, complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 in the SNARE complex assembly-disassembly cycle, we performed kinetic assays where we analyzed the assembly and disassembly of cis-SNARE complexes mixing V-liposomes containing Alexa488-synaptobrevin with SNAP-25m and a soluble fragment spanning the cytoplasmic region of syntaxin-1 (residues 2–253) labeled with TMR at residue 186. Cis-SNARE complex assembly was efficient in the presence of Syb49-93 but was abolished if NSF- α SNAP were



Figure 6. Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C, complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 C2AB do not decrease the overall amount of cis-SNARE complex disassembly caused by NSF- α SNAP. (A) Kinetic assays monitoring changes in the donor fluorescence emission intensity due to cis-SNARE complex formation upon mixing V-liposomes containing Alexa488-synaptobrevin with an excess of TMR-labeled syntaxin-1 (2–253) and SNAP-25m in the presence of NSF- α SNAP with no additions (ctrl) (light gray trace) or with different combinations of Munc18-1 (M18), Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C (M13), complexin-1 (Cpx) and synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB as indicated. Ca²⁺ was added at 550 s. For comparison purposes, the dark gray trace shows a cis-SNARE complex assembly reaction performed in the presence of Syb49-93 and absence of NSF- α SNAP. (B) Kinetic assays of cis-SNARE complex assembly analogous to those of (A), but performed in the absence of NSF- α SNAP and the presence of Syb49-93 alone (black trace) or together with complexin-1 (Cpx) (red trace) or synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB plus Ca²⁺ (blue trace). NSF- α SNAP were added when the reactions reached a plateau (black arrow) to monitor cis-SNARE complex disassembly. (C) Kinetic assays analogous to those in (B), but in the presence of Munc18-1 (M18) and Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C (M13) without (red trace) or with (dark gray trace) Syb49-93. Ca²⁺ was added after 950 s. (D) Kinetic assays where cis-SNARE complex formation was initially catalyzed by Syb49-93 and, after reaching a plateau, Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C, complexin-1, synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB and Ca^{2+} were added (red arrow); after five minutes, NSF- α SNAP were added to test for disassembly (red trace). The black trace shows a control experiment where the four proteins were not included before adding NSF-αSNAP. In the experiments shown in (C–D), we stopped monitoring the donor fluorescence intensity to add the reagents for disassembly, and a few minutes elapsed until we started to monitor the reaction again (indicated by the double slanted bars on the traces and on the x axis). For all traces of (A–D), fluorescence emission intensities were normalized with the intensity observed in the first point and corrected for the dilution caused by the addition of reagents.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.019

The following figure supplement is available for figure 6:

Figure supplement 1. Munc18-1, Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$, complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 C_2AB do not protect cis-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.020

included from the beginning (*Figure 6A*, dark and light gray curves, respectively). Munc18-1 plus Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ or complexin-1 plus synaptotagmin-1 C_2AB , or the four proteins together, were unable to support cis-SNARE complex formation in the presence of NSF- α SNAP even upon addition of Ca²⁺ (*Figure 6A*). These results are in stark contrast to the efficient formation of trans-SNARE complexes observed in the presence of NSF- α SNAP when Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ were included (*Figure 3A*).

In experiments performed initially without NSF-aSNAP, cis-SNARE complex assembly was strongly stimulated by complexin-1 or by Ca^{2+} -bound synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB, but most of the donor fluorescence was recovered upon addition of NSF- α SNAP at the end of the reaction due to disassembly of the cis-SNARE complexes (Figure 6B). Munc18-1 and the Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C fragment were unable to support cis-SNARE complex assembly even after addition of Ca²⁺ (Figure 6C, red curve), and they partially inhibited cis-SNARE complex assembly catalyzed by Syb49-93, without protecting against disassembly upon addition of NSF-αSNAP (Figure 6C, gray curve). We also performed additional experiments where we preformed cis-SNARE complexes in the presence of Syb49-93 and tested whether incubation of these complexes with Munc18-1, Munc13-1, synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB, complexin-1 and Ca²⁺ for five minutes protected against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP, but disassembly was as efficient as a control experiment where the four proteins were not added (Figure 6D). We note that these results are not necessarily inconsistent with the finding that complexin-1 slows down the kinetics of cis-SNARE complex disassembly by NSF- α SNAP (*Choi et al.*, 2018; Winter et al., 2009), as we did not attempt to measure the kinetics of disassembly in our experiments (see Materials and methods). Nevertheless, to test for potential effects on the overall extent of disassembly arising from different relative concentrations of complexin-1 versus α SNAP, or perhaps from the mutation in SNAP-25m, we performed additional experiments where we incubated pre-formed cis-SNARE complexes with different concentrations of complexin-1 or we replaced SNAP-25m with WT SNAP-25. We observed comparable, nearly complete levels of disassembly in all of these experiments (Figure 6-figure supplement 1). Overall, the contrast of the results obtained with cis-SNARE complexes with those observed with trans-SNARE complexes provides a dramatic demonstration of how the apposition of two membranes tilts the balance in favor of SNARE complex assembly, whereas disassembly dominates on a single membrane.

Discussion

Extensive research has yielded a wealth of information on the mechanism of neurotransmitter release, including the notions that assembly of the trans-SNARE complex four-helix bundle between the synaptic vesicle and plasma membranes is crucial for membrane fusion, that NSF- α SNAP disassemble cis-SNARE complexes after fusion to recycle the SNAREs, and that priming of synaptic vesicles to a readily releasable state involves formation of partially assembled trans-SNARE complexes, which is organized by Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 in an NSF- α SNAP-resistant manner. However, the nature of the primed state of synaptic vesicles remained enigmatic and recent reports indicating that NSF-SNAPs also disassemble trans-SNARE complexes (Yavuz et al., 2018) and can de-prime synaptic vesicles (He et al., 2017) raised the question of how trans-SNARE complexes are protected to prevent vesicle de-priming. More generally, it was unclear how the functions of Munc18-1 and Munc13-1, as well as those of other proteins that have been implicated in vesicle priming such as synaptotagmin-1 and complexin-1, are related to roles in promoting trans-SNARE complex assembly and/or in preventing their disassembly by NSF- α SNAP. The results presented here now show that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 are crucial to form trans-SNARE complexes in the presence of NSF- α SNAP, as expected, and help to maintain trans-SNARE complexes assembled. Complexin-1 does not appear to play a role in NSF- α SNAP-resistant trans-SNARE complex assembly, but protects against disassembly, while synaptotagmin-1 may play a role in both assembly and protection. These results raise the possibility that Munc18-1, Munc13-1, synaptotagmin-1 and complexin-1 form macromolecular assemblies with trans-SNARE complexes that constitute the core of the primed state of synaptic vesicles.

Our FRET data showing that trans-SNARE complexes can be disassembled by NSF- α SNAP agree with recent results obtained by **Yavuz et al. (2018)** using a similar approach, and with earlier studies of yeast vacuolar fusion showing that Sec18-Sec17 disassemble trans-SNARE complexes (**Xu et al., 2010**). However, our FRET assays and those of **Yavuz et al. (2018**) also show that a substantial

fraction of trans-SNARE complexes is resistant to disassembly by NSF- α SNAP, which might explain the finding that NSF- α SNAP inhibited lipid mixing between synaptobrevin- and syntaxin-1-SNAP-25 liposomes if added from the beginning but not if added after the liposomes were pre-incubated at low temperature (Weber et al., 2000). NSF-αSNAP resistant, tightly docked liposomes were attributed to the formation of large, flat interfaces between the liposomes (Yavuz et al., 2018). Our cryo-EM images also revealed extended interfaces between liposomes but the interfaces were generally smaller (Figure 2—figure supplement 3), perhaps because we used a much lower synaptobrevin-tolipid ratio. It is unclear whether such extended interfaces are physiologically relevant, as inclusion of other key components of the release machinery favors the formation of point contacts between liposomes over extended interfaces (Gipson et al., 2017). These observations emphasize the difficulty of reconstituting with a few components the steps that lead to synaptic vesicle fusion, particularly the formation of the primed state, because of the metastable, transient nature of this state and because off-pathway, kinetically trapped states can be formed in the absence of some components that are important for vesicle priming (e.g. RIM and CAPS in our assays, see (Rizo and Südhof, 2012). We speculate that the population of trans-SNARE complexes that can be disassembled by NSF- α SNAP in our assays is more closely related to the partially assembled trans-SNARE complexes present in primed synaptic vesicles. This proposal is supported by electrophysiological studies showing that readily-releasable vesicles can be de-primed and that de-priming is prevented by N-ethylmaleimide, an agent that inactivates NSF (He et al., 2017). Although N-ethylmaleimide could potentially alter other proteins in vivo, the correlation with the finding that trans-SNARE complexes can be disassembled by NSF- α SNAP in vitro strongly supports the notion that de-priming is mediated by NSF. Since NSF-SNAPs can also disassemble syntaxin-1-SNAP-25 heterodimers (Hayashi et al., 1995), there is little doubt that the cytoplasm provides an environment that favors SNARE complex disassembly in general, and hence that trans-SNARE complexes need to be protected to maintain vesicles primed.

The decreases in the RRP of primed vesicles observed in mice lacking Munc18-1, Munc13-1, complexins or synaptotagmin-1/7 (Bacaj et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2018; Rosenmund et al., 2002; Verhage et al., 2000; Xue et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2010) could arise because they mediate vesicle priming and/or because they protect against de-priming. With the underlying hypothesis that trans-SNARE complex assembly in our in vitro assays recapitulates at least to some extent the process of vesicle priming, we used different types of assays to dissect the contributions of Munc18-1, Munc13-1, synaptotagmin-1 and complexin-1 to assembling trans-SNARE complexes in the presence of NSF- α SNAP and to protecting these complexes against disassembly once they are formed. Our assays that included NSF- α SNAP from the beginning clearly show that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ are essential to assemble trans-SNARE complexes in the presence of NSF- α SNAP (Figure 3A, B, E), as expected from the results of our previous liposome fusion assays (Liu et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2013). The progressive formation of trans-SNARE complexes observed in these assays suggests that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ prevent their disassembly, in addition to mediating assembly, but we could not rule out that the assembled trans-SNARE complexes are NSF-αSNAP resistant and Munc18-1 and/or Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C become dispensable after assembly, particularly in the absence of Ca^{2+} . The experiments where we added EGTA after allowing efficient Ca²⁺-dependent assembly show that at least a population of the trans-SNARE complexes formed could be disassembled by NSF- α SNAP, but a substantial amount of complexes remained assembled even after addition of EGTA (Figure 3C,F). These data suggest that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C do protect trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF-αSNAP to some extent, and that Ca^{2+} enhances the protective activity. This conclusion was further supported by experiments where we preformed trans-SNARE complexes in the absence of NSF- α SNAP and monitored disassembled by NSF- α SNAP in the presence of Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C (Figure 5B,C).

An alternative interpretation of these results is that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ do not prevent disassembly but instead mediate fast re-assembly of trans-SNARE complexes after they are disassembled by NSF- α SNAP. Although we cannot completely rule out this possibility, multiple arguments support the notion that that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ directly or indirectly hinder the disassembly reaction. First, this mechanism makes more sense from an energetic point of view, as it does not involve futile cycles of disassembly and re-assembly. Second, in kinetic assays where trans-SNARE complexes were assembled in the presence of Munc18-1, Munc13-1

Cell Biology | Neuroscience

 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ and Ca^{2+} , and NSF- α SNAP were added at the end (*Figure 4B*), we observed similar results if NSF-aSNAP were added together with an excess of the synaptobrevin SNARE motif (Figure 4-figure supplement 1). If continued disassembly and re-assembly of SNARE complexes occurred under these conditions, the gradual incorporation of the soluble synaptobrevin fragment into SNARE complexes would be expected to decrease the observed FRET, but no such decrease was observed. Third, the finding that both Munc18-1 and Munc18-2 can mediate priming but only Munc18-1 prevents de-priming by NSF in neurons (He et al., 2017) suggests that both isoforms can mediate trans-SNARE complex assembly but only Munc18-1 prevents disassembly. Fourth, α SNAP was reported to strongly inhibit liposome lipid mixing by binding to trans-SNARE complexes (Park et al., 2014). Hence, fusion might be arrested after Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C organize trans-SNARE complex assembly unless they block α SNAP binding. And fifth, Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 exhibit weak interactions with SNARE complexes in solution that are strengthened by membranes (Dulubova et al., 2007; Guan et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2007; Weninger et al., 2008), and could compete with binding of aSNAP to the SNARE four-helix bundle. Indeed, α SNAP covers much of the surface of the SNARE four-helix bundle in the cryo-EM structure of the 20S complex formed by NSF, αSNAP and the SNAREs (Zhao et al., 2015) (Figure 7A), and therefore almost any protein that interacts with the SNARE four-helix bundle might compete with α SNAP for binding. Note also that Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C was recently proposed to bridge the vesicle and plasma membranes (Liu et al., 2016), which might provide an additional mechanism to protect trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP by imposing steric constraints that hinder formation of the 20S complex. Moreover, Ca^{2+} -binding to the Munc13-1 C_2B domain is expected to change the orientation of Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C with respect to the plasma membrane, bringing the two membranes into closer proximity (Xu et al., 2017) and potentially increasing the steric constraints that impair 20S complex assembly. This model can explain why Ca²⁺ increases



Figure 7. Models illustrating the different geometric constraints of cis- and trans-SNARE complex disassembly. (**A**, **B**) Models showing ribbon diagrams of the cryo-electron microscopy structure of the 20S complex (PDB accession code 3J96) (**Zhao et al., 2015**) assembled on a cis-SNARE complex on one membrane (**A**) or on a trans-SNARE complex between two membranes (**B**). Synaptobrevin is in red, syntaxin-1 in yellow, SNAP-25 in green, NSF in gray and the four molecules of α SNAP in cyan, orange, blue and pink. The positions of the α SNAP N-terminal hydrophobic loops (N-loops) are indicated. The orientation of the 20S complex in (**A**) was chosen to favor simultaneous interactions of the N-loops of the four α SNAP molecules with the membrane. In (**B**), the orientation of the 20S complex is arbitrary and is meant to illustrate the difficulty of simultaneous interactions of the N-loops from the four α SNAP molecules with membranes in the trans configuration. Note that, at the same time, the apposition of both membranes may enhance the affinity of Munc18-1, Munc13-1, synaptotagmin-1 and complexin-1 for SNARE complexes in the trans configuration, while the SNARE four-helix bundle is likely to be only partially assembled, which may weaken binding to α SNAP.

the ability of Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ (together with Munc18-1) to protect trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP (*Figure 5B,C*).

Overall, the crucial nature of Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ for trans-SNARE complex assembly provides a clear explanation for the complete abrogation of synaptic vesicle priming observed in mice lacking Munc18-1 or Munc13-1/2 (*Varoqueaux et al., 2002*; *Verhage et al., 2000*), while the finding that Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ maintain trans-SNARE complexes assembled in the presence of NSF- α SNAP can explain the key importance of Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 to prevent de-priming of the RRP (*He et al., 2017*). These correlations support the physiological relevance of our in vitro results. It is also worth noting that the strong Ca²⁺-dependent stimulation of the ability of Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C₂B domain to mediate NSF- α SNAP-resistant trans-SNARE complex assembly (*Figure 3A,E*) and to maintain trans-SNARE complexes assembled (*Figure 5B,C*) likely underlies at least in part the importance of Ca²⁺ binding to the Munc13 C₂B domain for facilitating release during repetitive stimulation, when there is a strong demand to rapidly refill the RRP to prevent its depletion (*Shin et al., 2010*).

Synaptotagmin-1 and complexin-1 are not essential to form trans-SNARE complexes in the presence of NSF- α SNAP, but they do enhance the amount of trans-SNARE complexes formed (*Figure 3*). These findings correlate with the observation that deletion of synaptotagmin-1 or complexins leads to decreases in the RRP of vesicles (Bacaj et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2010), but not as dramatic as those observed in Munc18-1 KO and Munc13-1/2 DKO neurons. Complexin-1 appeared to inhibit in the initial states of Ca²⁺-independent trans-SNARE complex assembly between VSyt1- and T-liposomes in the presence of Munc18-1, Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ and NSF- α SNAP, but increased the overall efficiency of assembly at longer time scales (Figure 3E, Figure 3-figure supplement 1). Moreover, complexin-1 clearly protected against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP in analogous experiments where EGTA was added after efficient Ca^{2+} -dependent assembly (*Figure 3D,F*), and in experiments where NSF- α SNAP were added after trans-SNARE complexes were pre-formed (Figure 5B,C). These results suggest that complexin-1 does not assist in synaptic vesicle priming but protects the RRP against de-priming. Conversely, membrane-anchored synaptotagmin-1 accelerated Ca²⁺-independent trans-SNARE complex assembly (Figure 3A,E), suggesting a role in priming, but did not protect by itself against disassembly of pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes (Figure 5C). Moreover, Ca²⁺-free synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB did not protect pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly and the protection provided by Ca^{2+} -bound C_2AB may not be meaningful (see above) (*Figure 5B*). However, C_2AB appeared to enhance the protection against disassembly provided by complexin-1 and by Munc18-1 plus Munc13-1 in the absence of Ca^{2+} (Figure 5B), and membrane-anchored synaptotagmin-1 also seemed to enhance the protection of pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes afforded by complexin-1 (Figure 5C), as well as the levels of trans-SNARE complexes formed in the presence of NSF- α SNAP, Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C (Figure 3A,C,E,F). These results suggest that synaptotagmin-1 cooperates with Munc18-1-Munc13-1 in priming and may also help in preventing de-priming.

Mechanistically, it is not surprising that complexin-1 can hinder formation of the 20S complex, preventing disassembly of trans-SNARE complexes, as it binds to SNARE complexes with nanomolar affinity (*Pabst et al., 2002*) through a binding mode (*Chen et al., 2002*) that is incompatible with formation of the 20S complex. The various binding modes that have been observed between synaptotagmin-1 and the SNARE complex (*Brewer et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2017*) are also incompatible with α SNAP binding. Although the affinities of these interactions are in the micromolar range, binding could be enhanced by the localization of synaptotagmin-1 on the vesicle membrane and by cooperativity with complexin-1 binding (*Zhou et al., 2017*) as well as with interactions of synaptotagmin-1 with one or two membranes (*Bai et al., 2004; Brewer et al., 2015*). It is also plausible that trans-SNARE complexes bound to complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 form macromolecular assemblies with Munc18-1 and Munc13-1, and that all underlying interactions cooperate with each other. In such an assembly, Munc18-1 and/or Munc13-1 may not interact directly with the SNAREs, but the cooperativity of the interactions and the resulting geometry might block access of α SNAP and NSF to the SNARE four-helix bundle and thus prevent its disassembly.

It is interesting to note the dramatic effects that the membrane topology has on SNARE complex assembly and on protection against disassembly: Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ mediate efficient formation of trans-SNARE complexes but not of cis-SNARE complexes in the presence of NSF- α SNAP (*Figures 3A* and *6A*), and pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes remain assembled in the

presence of NSF- α SNAP when Munc18-1, Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C, complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1 are included (Figure 5B,C), unlike cis-SNARE complexes (Figure 6D). These differences must arise from distinct balances among the interactions of these proteins with the SNAREs and the membranes. In the cis configuration, up to four α SNAP molecules interact with much of the surface of the SNARE four-helix bundle (Zhao et al., 2015) and at the same time a hydrophobic N-terminal loop from all α SNAP molecules, which is known to strongly stimulate disassembly of membrane-anchored cis-SNARE complexes (Winter et al., 2009), can bind simultaneously to the membrane, likely with high cooperativity (*Figure 7A*). Interactions of α SNAP with the SNAREs and the membranes are also important for disassembly of trans-SNARE complexes by NSF- α SNAP (*Figure 2D,E*), but the geometry of the system (Figure 7B) is expected to hinder simultaneous binding of all α SNAP molecules to membranes, and incomplete assembly of the SNARE four-helix bundle may also limit the extent of α SNAP-SNARE interactions (perhaps enhancing other interactions). Hence, NSF- α SNAP are expected to be less active in disassembling trans- than cis-SNARE complexes. Conversely, the transconfiguration favors simultaneous binding of Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C to the apposed membranes, which is likely key for its activity in promoting trans-SNARE complex assembly (Liu et al., 2016) and is impossible in the cis-configuration. The protection of trans- but not cis-SNARE complexes by complexin-1 under the conditions of our experiments may arise simply because complexin-1 binds tighter to the former than NSF- α SNAP, while the opposite is true for the latter. This model also explains that complexin-1 does hinder the speed of disassembly of cis-SNARE complexes (Choi et al., 2018; Winter et al., 2009), which we did not analyze in our experiments.

As mentioned above, the levels of protection of trans-SNARE complex against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP that we observed (*Figure 5B,C*) are also expected to depend on the experimental conditions, including protein concentrations (e.g. *Figure 5—figure supplement 5*), and hence need be examined with caution. Moreover, other proteins that were not included in this study may also influence the protection of trans-SNARE complexes directly and/or by enhancing the local concentrations of protecting factors. For instance, RIMs are intrinsic components of pre-synaptic active zones that bind to Munc13-1 (*Betz et al., 2001; Dulubova et al., 2005*), an interaction that is important for optimal vesicle priming (*Camacho et al., 2017*) and can dramatically increase the local concentrations of Munc13-1 at release sites. Thus, more systematic studies of how the components of the release machinery protect trans-SNARE complexes against disassembly in vitro and against de-priming of the RRP in neurons will be required to better understand the nature of the primed state of synaptic vesicles. Based on the available data, we propose that the core of this primed state is formed by a macromolecular assembly that includes trans-SNARE complexes, Munc18-1, Munc13-1, complexin-1 and synaptotagmin-1.

Materials and methods

Recombinant proteins

The following constructs were used for protein expression in E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells: Full-length rat syntaxin-1A, rat syntaxin 2–253, full-length rat SNAP-25A (C84S, C85S, C90S, C92S), full-length rat synaptobrevin, rat synaptobrevin 49–93, rat synaptotagmin-1 57–421 (C74S, C75A, C77S, C79I, C82L, C277S) (a kind gift from Thomas Sollner), rat synaptotagmin-1 C2AB (131-421 C277A), fulllength rat complexin, full-length Chinese hamster NSF (a kind gift from Minglei Zhao), full-length Bos Taurus α SNAP, full length rat Munc18-1, and a rat Munc13-1 fragment spanning the $C_1C_2BMUNC_2C$ regions (529–1725 Δ 1408–1452). Expression and purification of the corresponding proteins were performed as previously reported (Chen et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2002; Dulubova et al., 1999; Liang et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015) with the modifications described below. His₆-full-length syntaxin-1A was induced with 0.4 mM IPTG and expressed overnight at 25°C. Purification was done using Ni-NTA resin (Thermo Fisher) in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 8 mM imidazole, 2% Triton X-100, and 6M urea followed by elution in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 400 mM imidazole, and 0.1% DPC. The His₆ tag was removed using thrombin cleavage, followed by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column (GE 10/300) in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 125 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 0.2% DPC (Liang et al., 2013). GST-syntaxin-1A 2-253 was induced with 0.4 mM IPTG and expressed overnight at 25°C. Purification was done using glutathione sepharose resin (GE) followed by

thrombin cleavage of the GST-tag and anion exchange chromatography on a HiTrap Q column (GE) in 25 mM Tris pH 7.4, 1 mM TCEP using a linear gradient from 0 mM to 1000 mM NaCl. GST-Syb49-93 was induced with 0.4 mM IPTG and expressed overnight at 23°C. Purification was done using glutathione sepharose resin (GE) followed by cleavage of the GST-tag and size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 75 column (GE 16/60) in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 125 mM NaCl. His₆-full-length complexin-1 was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and expressed for 4 hr at 37°C. Purification was done using Ni-NTA resin followed by TEV cleavage of the His₆-tag and size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 75 column (GE 16/60) in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 125 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP. His₆-full-length NSF was induced with 0.4 mM IPTG and expressed overnight at 20°C. Purification was done in 5 steps (Zhao et al., 2015): i) Ni-NTA affinity chromatography; ii) size exclusion chromatography of hexameric NSF on a Superdex S200 column (GE 16/60) in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol; iii) TEV cleavage of the His₆-tag and monomerization with apyrase during 36 hr dialysis with nucleotide-free buffer; iv) three rounds of size exclusion chromatography to separate monomeric and hexameric NSF (re-injecting the latter) on a Superdex S200 column (GE 16/60) in 50 mM NaPi pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP; and v) reassembly of the NSF monomers and size exclusion chromatography of reassembled hexameric NSF on a Superdex S200 column (GE 16/60) in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol. For experiments requiring the use of a non-hydrolyzable analog of ATP, reassembly of monomeric NSF was done in the presence of ATPyS followed by size exclusion chromatography of the hexamer in a similar buffer as before substituting ATP γ S for ATP. His₆-Munc13-1 C1C2BMUNC2C (529–1725 ∆1408–1452) was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and expressed overnight at 16°C. Purification was done using Ni-NTA resin (Thermo Fisher) followed by thrombin cleavage of the His₆-tag and anion exchange chromatography on a HiTrap Q column (GE) in 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 10% glycerol, 1 mM TCEP using a linear gradient from 0 to 500 mM NaCl.

Mutant proteins

All mutations were performed using QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene). These include the S186C mutation in full length syntaxin-1A (C145A, C271A, C272A) and in syntaxin-1A 2–253 (C145A), the M71D,L78D mutation in full-length SNAP-25A (C84S, C85S, C90S, C92S), the L26C mutation in full-length synaptobrevin (C103A), and the F27S,F28S and K122E,K163E mutations in α SNAP. For synaptobrevin L26C, the construct was cloned into a pet28A vector with an N-terminal His₆ tag for soluble expression. All mutant proteins were purified as the wild type proteins.

Labeling proteins with Alexa Fluor 488 and tetramethylrhodamine

Single cysteine mutants were labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (Alexa488, for full length synaptobrevin L26C) or with tetramethylrhodamine (TMR, for full-length syntaxin-1A S186C and syntaxin-1A 2-253 S186C) using maleimide reactions (Thermo Fisher). Full length synaptobrevin L26C was first buffered exchanged to 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 1% octyl β-glucopyranoside (β-OG) using a PD Miditrap G25 column to provide buffer conditions that allow the reactive thiol group to be sufficiently nucleophilic so that they exclusively react with the dye. Buffered exchanged proteins at a concentration of 75 μ M were incubated with a 20-fold excess of dye for 2 hr at room temperature. Unreacted dye was separated from the labeled protein through cation exchange chromatography on a HiTrap SP column (GE) in 25 mM NaAc pH 5.5, 1 mM TCEP, 1% β -OG using a linear gradient from 0 to 1000 mM NaCl. Full length Syntaxin S186C and Syntaxin 2-253 S186C were tagged with tetramethylrhodamine (Thermo Fisher) using a similar protocol. After labeling full length syntaxin-1A S186C, unreacted dye was separated from the labeled protein though anion exchange chromatography on a HiTrap Q column (GE) in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 1 mM TCEP, 0.1% DPC using a linear gradient from 0 to 1000 mM NaCl. After labeling syntaxin-1A 2–253 L26C, unreacted dye was separated from the labeled protein using multiple PD Miditrap G25 columns. The concentration of fluorescently tagged proteins was determined using UV-vis absorbance and a Bradford assay.

Simultaneous lipid mixing and content mixing assays

Assays that simultaneously monitor lipid and content mixing (*Figure 1B,C*) were performed as described in detail in *Liu et al. (2017)* except for a few modifications. Briefly, V-liposomes with full length synaptobrevin contained 39% POPC, 19% DOPS, 19% POPE, 20% cholesterol, 1.5% NBD-PE,

and 1.5% Marina Blue DHPE. T-liposomes with full-length syntaxin-1A and full-length SNAP25 (WT or M71D,L78D mutant) contained 38% POPC, 18% DOPS, 20% POPE, 20% cholesterol, 2% PIP2, and 2% DAG. Dried lipid mixtures were re-suspended in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 KCl, 1 mM TCEP, 10% glycerol, 2% β-OG. Purified SNARE proteins and fluorescently labeled content mixing molecules were added to the lipid mixtures to make the syntaxin-1:SNAP25:lipid ratio 1:5:800 and Phycoerythrin-Biotin (4 μ M) for T-liposomes, and the synaptobrevin:lipid ratio 1:500 and Cy5-Streptavidin (8 μ M) for V-liposomes. The mixtures were incubated at room temperature and dialyzed against the reaction buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP, 10% glycerol) with 2 g/ L Amberlite XAD-2 beads (Sigma) 3 times at 4°C. Proteoliposomes were purified by floatation on a three-layer histodenz gradient (35%, 25%, and 0%) and harvested from the topmost interface. To simultaneously measure lipid mixing from de-quenching of Marina Blue lipids and content mixing from the development of FRET between Phycoerythrin-Biotin trapped in T-liposomes and Cy5-streptavidin trapped in V-liposomes, T-liposomes (0.25 mM lipid) were mixed with V-liposomes (0.125 mM lipid) in a total volume of 200 μ L. Acceptor T-liposomes were first incubated with 0.8 μ M NSF, 2 μ M α SNAP, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP, 0.1 mM EGTA, and 1 μ M Munc18-1 at 37°C for 25 min. They were then mixed with donor V-liposomes, 0.5 μ M Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C, and 1 μ M excess SNAP25. All experiments were performed at 30°C and 0.6 mM Ca²⁺ was added at 300 s. The fluorescence signal from Marina Blue (excitation at 370 nm, emission at 465 nm) and Cy5 (excitation at 565 nm, emission at 670 nm) were recorded to monitor lipid and content mixing, respectively. At the end of the reaction, 1% β -OG was added to solubilize the liposomes and the lipid mixing data were normalized to the maximum fluorescence signal. Most experiments were performed in the presence of 5 µM streptavidin, and control experiments without streptavidin were performed to measure the maximum Cy5 fluorescence after detergent addition for normalization of the content mixing data. Analogous procedures were followed for the lipid and content mixing assays of Figure 3-figure supplement 2, except that we used Vsyt1-liposomes instead of V-liposomes. The V-Syt1 liposomes contained 41% POPC, 6.8% DOPS, 29.2% POPE, 20% cholesterol, 1.5% NBD-PE, and 1.5% Marina, as well as full-length synaptobrevin and synaptotagmin-1 (57-421) at 1:10,000 and 1:1000 ratios with the lipids, respectively. Fusion reactions were performed with a 1:4 ratio of VSyt1to T-liposomes as the trans-SNARE complex assembly assays of Figure 3E.

FRET assays to monitor trans-SNARE complex assembly and disassembly

Reconstituted liposomes were made similarly to those used for the lipid and content mixing assay. V-liposomes with full-length synaptobrevin L26C-Alexa488 contained 42% POPC, 19% DOPS, 19% POPE, and 20% cholesterol. VSyt1-liposomes with Synaptotagmin 57-421 and full length synaptobrevin L26C-Alexa488 contained 43% POPC, 6.8% DOPS, 30.2% POPE, and 20% cholesterol. T-liposomes with full-length syntaxin-1A S186C-TMR and full length SNAP25-A M71D, L78D mutant contained 38% POPC, 18% DOPS, 20% POPE, 20% cholesterol, 2% PIP2, and 2% DAG (note that for selected experiments WT SNAP-25 was used instead of the mutant). Dried lipid mixtures were resuspended in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 KCl, 1 mM TCEP, 2% β-OG. Purified SNARE proteins were added to the lipid mixtures to make the syntaxin-1:SNAP25:lipid ratio 1:5:800 for T-liposomes, the synaptobrevin:lipid ratio 1:10,000 for V-liposomes, and the synaptotagmin-1:synaptobrevin:lipid ratio 1:0.1:1000 for VSyt1-liposomes. The mixtures were incubated at room temperature and dialyzed against the reaction buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP) with 2 g/L Amberlite XAD-2 beads (Sigma) 3 times at 4°C. All FRET experiments were performed at 37°C on a PTI Quantamaster 400 spectrofluorometer (T-format) equipped with a rapid Peltier temperature controlled four-position sample holder. All slits were set to 1.25 mm. A GG495 longpass filter (Edmund optics) was used to filter scattered light. SNARE complex formation was measured by the development of FRET between Alexa488-Synaptobrevin on V- or VSyt1-liposomes and TMR-syntaxin-1 on T-liposomes or TMR-syntaxin-1 (2-253). Typically, four parallel reactions were monitored simultaneously, which allowed performance of multiple experiments under various conditions (each in triplicate) with the same fresh liposome preparations in the same day.

For kinetic traces, the fluorescence signal at 518 nm (excitation at 468 nm) was recorded to monitor the Alexa488 donor fluorescence intensity. The signal of donor V-or VSyt1-liposomes (0.0625 mM lipid) in reaction buffer containing 0.1 mM EGTA, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP and various additions was first recorded for 180 s to check for signal stability and then either acceptor T-liposomes

(0.25 mM lipid) or soluble acceptor TMR-syntaxin-1 2-253 (300 nM) were added. The initial additions included the following in different combinations as specified in the Figures and their legends: $10 \, \mu M$ Syb49-93, 2 μ M complexin-1, 1 μ M synaptotagmin-1 C₂AB, 0.6 mM Ca²⁺, 1 μ M Munc18-1, and 0.3 μ M Munc13-1 C₁C₂BMUNC₂C. For experiments where disassembly was tested after recording an assembly reaction (Figures 4 and 6B–D), 2 μ M α SNAP and 0.4 μ M NSF were added at the indicated time points. Because adding these reagents to four reactions running in parallel took more than one minute and disassembly reactions are relatively fast at this time scale, we did not attempt to monitor the kinetics of disassembly and focused on the overall amount of disassembly. For homogeneity among the reactions, we let a short amount of time pass before starting to monitor the donor fluorescence intensity again, which thus was re-initiated 2–5 min after the addition of NSF- α SNAP. For experiments designed to test the assembly of cis- or trans-SNARE complexes in the presence of NSF-αSNAP (Figures 3 and 6A), 0.1 mM EGTA, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP, 2 μM αSNAP and 0.4 μ M NSF were mixed with the V- or VSyt1-liposomes from the start, together with the corresponding additional proteins. For some experiments, Ca^{2+} (0.6 mM) was added at the time points indicated in the Figures. In the experiments of Figures 3C,D,F and 240 μ M Ca²⁺ was added at 2 min and 500 μ M EGTA at the indicated time points. Data points were collected (1 s acquisition) every 20 s for 30 min for reactions where saturation was reached, and for longer times for slower reactions. Only a small amount of photobleaching of the donor was observed under these conditions in control experiments with donor alone.

Pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes were made by incubating V-liposomes, T-liposomes, SNAP25m, Synaptobrevin 49–93, and 0.1 mM EGTA together for 5 hr at 37°C. For experiments with WT SNAP-25 or samples with VSyt1 liposomes, these reagents were mixed together for 24 hr at 4°C. Various combinations of the proteins listed above, as well as 2.5 mM MgCl₂ and 2 mM ATP, were added to the pre-formed trans-SNARE complex and incubated for 5 min at 37°C. An emission scan was then collected (excitation 468 nm, emission from 490 nm to 700 nm) to detect how much trans-SNARE complex was formed. Two μ M α SNAP and 0.4 μ M NSF were then added to each reaction and allowed to incubate for 5 min at 37°C to disassemble the trans-SNARE complex. A second wavelength scan was then collected to determine how much of the complex was disassembled. All experiments were repeated at least 3 times with a single preparation and the results were verified in multiple experiments with different preparations. For some control experiments, ATP\gammaS (2 mM) was used instead of ATP, or Mg²⁺ was replaced with EDTA (1 mM).

Cryo-electron microscopy

Samples of pre-formed trans-SNARE complexes between V- and T-liposomes were prepared by incubating them with Syb49-93 for five hours at 37°C as for the assays used to measure protection against disassembly by NSF- α SNAP. Cryo-EM grids were prepared by applying 3 μ L of the sample solution to a negatively glow discharged Lacey carbon copper grid (200-mesh; Electron Microscopy Sciences) and blotted for 4.0 s under 100% humidity at 4°C before plunge-freezing in liquid ethane using a Mark IV Vitrobot (FEI). Micrographs were acquired on a Talos Arctica microsope (FEI) operated at 200 kV with a K2 Summit direct electron detector (Gatan). A nominal magnification of 11,000 was used for imaging, and 20 dose-fractionation frames were recorded over a 10 s exposure at a dose rate of 2.1 electrons/ Å²/s for each micrograph. Motion correction was performed using the MotionCorr2 program (**Zheng et al., 2017**).

Acknowledgments

We thank Minglei Zhao for providing the plasmid to express NSF, and Bradley Quade for providing purified proteins. We also thank the reviewers of this paper for their constructive criticisms, which have helped to considerably improve its quality. Cryo-EM data were collected at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (UTSW) Cryo-Electron Microscopy (Cryo-EM) Facility that is funded in part by the CPRIT Core Facility Support Award RP170644. We thank Daniel Stoddard for training and maintenance of the UTSW Cryo-EM Facility. Eric Prinslow was supported by NIH Training Grant T32 GM008297. This work was supported by grant I-1304 from the Welch Foundation (to JR) and by NIH Research Project Award R35 NS097333 (to JR).

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Institute of Neurolo- gical Disorders and Stroke	R35 NS097333	Josep Rizo
Welch Foundation	I-1304	Josep Rizo
National Institute of General Medical Sciences	T32 GM008297	Eric A Prinslow

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Eric A Prinslow, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Karolina P Stepien, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing—review and editing; Yun-Zu Pan, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Junjie Xu, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology; Josep Rizo, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Project administration

Author ORCIDs

Josep Rizo i http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1773-8311

Decision letter and Author response Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.024 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.025

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Transparent reporting form DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38880.022

Data availability

Representative examples of all the data generated and analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files.

References

Araç D, Chen X, Khant HA, Ubach J, Ludtke SJ, Kikkawa M, Johnson AE, Chiu W, Südhof TC, Rizo J. 2006. Close membrane-membrane proximity induced by ca(2+)-dependent multivalent binding of synaptotagmin-1 to phospholipids. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 13:209–217. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb1056, PMID: 16491093

Aravamudan B, Fergestad T, Davis WS, Rodesch CK, Broadie K. 1999. Drosophila UNC-13 is essential for synaptic transmission. Nature Neuroscience 2:965–971. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/14764, PMID: 10526334

- Bacaj T, Wu D, Burré J, Malenka RC, Liu X, Südhof TC. 2015. Synaptotagmin-1 and -7 are redundantly essential for maintaining the capacity of the Readily-Releasable pool of synaptic vesicles. *PLOS Biology* 13:e1002267. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002267, PMID: 26437117
- Bai J, Tucker WC, Chapman ER. 2004. PIP2 increases the speed of response of synaptotagmin and steers its membrane-penetration activity toward the plasma membrane. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **11**:36–44. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb709, PMID: 14718921
- Baker RW, Jeffrey PD, Zick M, Phillips BP, Wickner WT, Hughson FM. 2015. A direct role for the Sec1/Munc18family protein Vps33 as a template for SNARE assembly. *Science* **349**:1111–1114. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.aac7906, PMID: 26339030
- Banerjee A, Barry VA, DasGupta BR, Martin TF. 1996. N-Ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor acts at a prefusion ATPdependent step in Ca2+-activated exocytosis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 271:20223–20226. PMID: 8702750

- Betz A, Thakur P, Junge HJ, Ashery U, Rhee JS, Scheuss V, Rosenmund C, Rettig J, Brose N. 2001. Functional interaction of the active zone proteins Munc13-1 and RIM1 in synaptic vesicle priming. *Neuron* **30**:183–196. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00272-0, PMID: 11343654
- Brewer KD, Bacaj T, Cavalli A, Camilloni C, Swarbrick JD, Liu J, Zhou A, Zhou P, Barlow N, Xu J, Seven AB, Prinslow EA, Voleti R, Häussinger D, Bonvin AM, Tomchick DR, Vendruscolo M, Graham B, Südhof TC, Rizo J. 2015. Dynamic binding mode of a Synaptotagmin-1-SNARE complex in solution. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 22:555–564. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3035, PMID: 26030874
- Brunger AT, Choi UB, Lai Y, Leitz J, Zhou Q. 2018. Molecular mechanisms of fast neurotransmitter release. Annual Review of Biophysics 47:469–497. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-070816-034117, PMID: 29792815
- Camacho M, Basu J, Trimbuch T, Chang S, Pulido-Lozano C, Chang SS, Duluvova I, Abo-Rady M, Rizo J, Rosenmund C. 2017. Heterodimerization of Munc13 C₂A domain with RIM regulates synaptic vesicle docking and priming. Nature Communications 8:15293. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15293, PMID: 28489077
- Chang S, Trimbuch T, Rosenmund C. 2018. Synaptotagmin-1 drives synchronous Ca²⁺-triggered fusion by C₂Bdomain-mediated synaptic-vesicle-membrane attachment. *Nature Neuroscience* **21**:33–40. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1038/s41593-017-0037-5, PMID: 29230057
- Chen X, Tomchick DR, Kovrigin E, Araç D, Machius M, Südhof TC, Rizo J. 2002. Three-dimensional structure of the complexin/SNARE complex. Neuron 33:397–409. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00583-4, PMID: 11832227
- Chen X, Araç D, Wang TM, Gilpin CJ, Zimmerberg J, Rizo J. 2006. SNARE-mediated lipid mixing depends on the physical state of the vesicles. *Biophysical Journal* 90:2062–2074. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.105. 071415, PMID: 16361343
- Choi UB, Zhao M, White KI, Pfuetzner RA, Esquivies L, Zhou Q, Brunger AT. 2018. NSF-mediated disassembly of on- and off-pathway SNARE complexes and inhibition by complexin. *eLife* 7:e36497. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 7554/eLife.36497, PMID: 29985126
- Diao J, Cipriano DJ, Zhao M, Zhang Y, Shah S, Padolina MS, Pfuetzner RA, Brunger AT. 2013. Complexin-1 enhances the on-rate of vesicle docking via simultaneous SNARE and membrane interactions. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **135**:15274–15277. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja407392n, PMID: 24083833
- Dulubova I, Sugita S, Hill S, Hosaka M, Fernandez I, Südhof TC, Rizo J. 1999. A conformational switch in syntaxin during exocytosis: role of munc18. *The EMBO Journal* 18:4372–4382. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18. 16.4372, PMID: 10449403
- Dulubova I, Lou X, Lu J, Huryeva I, Alam A, Schneggenburger R, Südhof TC, Rizo J. 2005. A Munc13/RIM/Rab3 tripartite complex: from priming to plasticity? *The EMBO Journal* **24**:2839–2850. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600753, PMID: 16052212
- Dulubova I, Khvotchev M, Liu S, Huryeva I, Südhof TC, Rizo J. 2007. Munc18-1 binds directly to the neuronal SNARE complex. PNAS 104:2697–2702. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611318104, PMID: 17301226
- Fernandez I, Araç D, Ubach J, Gerber SH, Shin O, Gao Y, Anderson RG, Südhof TC, Rizo J. 2001. Threedimensional structure of the synaptotagmin 1 C2B-domain: synaptotagmin 1 as a phospholipid binding machine. Neuron 32:1057–1069. PMID: 11754837
- Fernández-Chacón R, Königstorfer A, Gerber SH, García J, Matos MF, Stevens CF, Brose N, Rizo J, Rosenmund C, Südhof TC. 2001. Synaptotagmin I functions as a calcium regulator of release probability. *Nature* **410**:41–49. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35065004, PMID: 11242035
- Geppert M, Goda Y, Hammer RE, Li C, Rosahl TW, Stevens CF, Südhof TC. 1994. Synaptotagmin I: a major Ca2+ sensor for transmitter release at a central synapse. *Cell* **79**:717–727. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674 (94)90556-8, PMID: 7954835
- Gipson P, Fukuda Y, Danev R, Lai Y, Chen DH, Baumeister W, Brunger AT. 2017. Morphologies of synaptic protein membrane fusion interfaces. PNAS **114**:9110–9115. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708492114, PMID: 28739947
- Giraudo CG, Eng WS, Melia TJ, Rothman JE. 2006. A clamping mechanism involved in SNARE-dependent exocytosis. *Science* **313**:676–680. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1129450, PMID: 16794037
- Guan R, Dai H, Rizo J. 2008. Binding of the Munc13-1 MUN domain to membrane-anchored SNARE complexes. Biochemistry **47**:1474–1481. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/bi702345m, PMID: 18201107
- Hanson PI, Roth R, Morisaki H, Jahn R, Heuser JE. 1997. Structure and conformational changes in NSF and its membrane receptor complexes visualized by quick-freeze/deep-etch electron microscopy. Cell 90:523–535. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80512-7, PMID: 9267032
- Hayashi T, Yamasaki S, Nauenburg S, Binz T, Niemann H. 1995. Disassembly of the reconstituted synaptic vesicle membrane fusion complex in vitro. *The EMBO Journal* 14:2317–2325. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1995.tb07226.x, PMID: 7774590
- He E, Wierda K, van Westen R, Broeke JH, Toonen RF, Cornelisse LN, Verhage M. 2017. Munc13-1 and Munc18-1 together prevent NSF-dependent de-priming of synaptic vesicles. *Nature Communications* 8:15915. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15915, PMID: 28635948
- Jahn R, Fasshauer D. 2012. Molecular machines governing exocytosis of synaptic vesicles. *Nature* **490**:201–207. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11320, PMID: 23060190
- Lai Y, Choi UB, Leitz J, Rhee HJ, Lee C, Altas B, Zhao M, Pfuetzner RA, Wang AL, Brose N, Rhee J, Brunger AT. 2017. Molecular mechanisms of synaptic vesicle priming by Munc13 and Munc18. *Neuron* 95:591–607. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.07.004

- Li Y, Wang S, Li T, Zhu L, Xu Y, Ma C. 2017. A stimulation function of Synaptotagmin-1 in ternary SNARE complex formation dependent on Munc18 and Munc13. Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience 10:e256. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2017.00256
- Liang B, Kiessling V, Tamm LK. 2013. Prefusion structure of syntaxin-1A suggests pathway for folding into neuronal trans-SNARE complex fusion intermediate. PNAS **110**:19384–19389. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1314699110, PMID: 24218570
- Liu X, Seven AB, Camacho M, Esser V, Xu J, Trimbuch T, Quade B, Su L, Ma C, Rosenmund C, Rizo J. 2016. Functional synergy between the Munc13 C-terminal C1 and C2 domains. *eLife* 5:e13696. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.7554/eLife.13696, PMID: 27213521
- Liu X, Seven AB, Xu J, Esser V, Su L, Ma C, Rizo J. 2017. Simultaneous lipid and content mixing assays for in vitro reconstitution studies of synaptic vesicle fusion. *Nature Protocols* 12:2014–2028. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ nprot.2017.068, PMID: 28858288
- Ma C, Li W, Xu Y, Rizo J. 2011. Munc13 mediates the transition from the closed syntaxin-Munc18 complex to the SNARE complex. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 18:542–549. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2047, PMID: 21499244
- Ma C, Su L, Seven AB, Xu Y, Rizo J. 2013. Reconstitution of the vital functions of Munc18 and Munc13 in neurotransmitter release. *Science* **339**:421–425. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230473, PMID: 2325 8414
- Mayer A, Wickner W, Haas A. 1996. Sec18p (NSF)-driven release of Sec17p (alpha-SNAP) can precede docking and fusion of yeast vacuoles. *Cell* **85**:83–94. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81084-3, PMID: 8620540
- McNew JA, Weber T, Engelman DM, Söllner TH, Rothman JE. 1999. The length of the flexible SNAREpin juxtamembrane region is a critical determinant of SNARE-dependent fusion. *Molecular Cell* **4**:415–421. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80343-3, PMID: 10518222
- Mima J, Hickey CM, Xu H, Jun Y, Wickner W. 2008. Reconstituted membrane fusion requires regulatory lipids, SNAREs and synergistic SNARE chaperones. *The EMBO Journal* 27:2031–2042. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ emboj.2008.139, PMID: 18650938
- Misura KM, Scheller RH, Weis WI. 2000. Three-dimensional structure of the neuronal-Sec1-syntaxin 1a complex. Nature 404:355–362. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35006120, PMID: 10746715
- Pabst S, Margittai M, Vainius D, Langen R, Jahn R, Fasshauer D. 2002. Rapid and selective binding to the synaptic SNARE complex suggests a modulatory role of complexins in neuroexocytosis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 277:7838–7848. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109507200, PMID: 11751907
- Parisotto D, Pfau M, Scheutzow A, Wild K, Mayer MP, Malsam J, Sinning I, Söllner TH. 2014. An extended helical conformation in domain 3a of Munc18-1 provides a template for SNARE (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor) complex assembly. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289:9639–9650. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.514273, PMID: 24532794
- Park Y, Vennekate W, Yavuz H, Preobraschenski J, Hernandez JM, Riedel D, Walla PJ, Jahn R. 2014. α-SNAP interferes with the zippering of the SNARE protein membrane fusion machinery. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289:16326–16335. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.556803, PMID: 24778182
- Pobbati AV, Stein A, Fasshauer D. 2006. N- to C-terminal SNARE complex assembly promotes rapid membrane fusion. Science 313:673–676. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1129486, PMID: 16888141
- Poirier MA, Xiao W, Macosko JC, Chan C, Shin YK, Bennett MK. 1998. The synaptic SNARE complex is a parallel four-stranded helical bundle. *Nature Structural Biology* 5:765–769. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/1799, PMID: 9731768
- Reim K, Mansour M, Varoqueaux F, McMahon HT, Südhof TC, Brose N, Rosenmund C. 2001. Complexins regulate a late step in Ca2+-dependent neurotransmitter release. *Cell* **104**:71–81. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0092-8674(01)00192-1, PMID: 11163241
- Richmond JE, Davis WS, Jorgensen EM. 1999. UNC-13 is required for synaptic vesicle fusion in C. elegans. Nature Neuroscience 2:959–964. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/14755, PMID: 10526333
- Richmond JE, Weimer RM, Jorgensen EM. 2001. An open form of syntaxin bypasses the requirement for UNC-13 in vesicle priming. *Nature* **412**:338–341. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35085583, PMID: 11460165
- **Rizo J**, Südhof TC. 2012. The membrane fusion enigma: snares, Sec1/Munc18 proteins, and their Accomplices— Guilty as Charged? *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* **28**:279–308. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1146/annurev-cellbio-101011-155818
- Rizo J. 2018. Mechanism of neurotransmitter release coming into focus. Protein Science 27:1364–1391. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3445, PMID: 29893445
- Rosenmund C, Sigler A, Augustin I, Reim K, Brose N, Rhee JS. 2002. Differential control of vesicle priming and short-term plasticity by Munc13 isoforms. *Neuron* **33**:411–424. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02) 00568-8, PMID: 11832228
- Schaub JR, Lu X, Doneske B, Shin YK, McNew JA. 2006. Hemifusion arrest by complexin is relieved by Ca2+synaptotagmin I. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology **13**:748–750. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb1124, PMID: 16845390
- Shen J, Tareste DC, Paumet F, Rothman JE, Melia TJ. 2007. Selective activation of cognate SNAREpins by Sec1/ Munc18 proteins. Cell 128:183–195. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.12.016, PMID: 17218264
- Shin OH, Lu J, Rhee JS, Tomchick DR, Pang ZP, Wojcik SM, Camacho-Perez M, Brose N, Machius M, Rizo J, Rosenmund C, Südhof TC. 2010. Munc13 C2B domain is an activity-dependent Ca2+ regulator of synaptic

exocytosis. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology **17**:280–288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1758, PMID: 20154707

- Sitarska E, Xu J, Park S, Liu X, Quade B, Stepien K, Sugita K, Brautigam CA, Sugita S, Rizo J. 2017. Autoinhibition of Munc18-1 modulates synaptobrevin binding and helps to enable Munc13-dependent regulation of membrane fusion. *eLife* 6:e24278. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.24278, PMID: 28477408
- Söllner T, Bennett MK, Whiteheart SW, Scheller RH, Rothman JE. 1993. A protein assembly-disassembly pathway in vitro that may correspond to sequential steps of synaptic vesicle docking, activation, and fusion. *Cell* **75**:409–418. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90376-2, PMID: 8221884
- Sørensen JB, Wiederhold K, Müller EM, Milosevic I, Nagy G, de Groot BL, Grubmüller H, Fasshauer D. 2006. Sequential N- to C-terminal SNARE complex assembly drives priming and fusion of secretory vesicles. *The* EMBO Journal 25:955–966. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601003, PMID: 16498411
- Südhof TC, Rothman JE. 2009. Membrane fusion: grappling with SNARE and SM proteins. *Science* **323**:474–477. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1161748, PMID: 19164740
- Südhof TC. 2013. Neurotransmitter release: the last millisecond in the life of a synaptic vesicle. *Neuron* **80**:675–690. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.022, PMID: 24183019
- Sutton RB, Davletov BA, Berghuis AM, Südhof TC, Sprang SR. 1995. Structure of the first C2 domain of synaptotagmin I: a novel Ca2+/phospholipid-binding fold. Cell 80:929–938. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(95)90296-1, PMID: 7697723
- Sutton RB, Fasshauer D, Jahn R, Brunger AT. 1998. Crystal structure of a SNARE complex involved in Synaptic exocytosis at 2.4 A resolution. *Nature* **395**:347–353. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/26412, PMID: 9759724
- Takamori S, Holt M, Stenius K, Lemke EA, Grønborg M, Riedel D, Urlaub H, Schenck S, Brügger B, Ringler P, Müller SA, Rammner B, Gräter F, Hub JS, De Groot BL, Mieskes G, Moriyama Y, Klingauf J, Grubmüller H, Heuser J, et al. 2006. Molecular anatomy of a trafficking organelle. *Cell* **127**:831–846. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2006.10.030, PMID: 17110340
- Tang J, Maximov A, Shin OH, Dai H, Rizo J, Südhof TC. 2006. A complexin/synaptotagmin 1 switch controls fast synaptic vesicle exocytosis. Cell 126:1175–1187. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.08.030, PMID: 16 990140
- Ubach J, Zhang X, Shao X, Südhof TC, Rizo J. 1998. Ca2+ binding to synaptotagmin: how many Ca2+ ions bind to the tip of a C2-domain? *The EMBO Journal* **17**:3921–3930. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/17.14.3921, PMID: 9670009
- Varoqueaux F, Sigler A, Rhee JS, Brose N, Enk C, Reim K, Rosenmund C. 2002. Total arrest of spontaneous and evoked synaptic transmission but normal synaptogenesis in the absence of Munc13-mediated vesicle priming. PNAS 99:9037–9042. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.122623799, PMID: 12070347
- Verhage M, Maia AS, Plomp JJ, Brussaard AB, Heeroma JH, Vermeer H, Toonen RF, Hammer RE, van den Berg TK, Missler M, Geuze HJ, Südhof TC. 2000. Synaptic assembly of the brain in the absence of neurotransmitter secretion. *Science* 287:864–869. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5454.864, PMID: 10657302
- Walter AM, Wiederhold K, Bruns D, Fasshauer D, Sørensen JB. 2010. Synaptobrevin N-terminally bound to syntaxin-SNAP-25 defines the primed vesicle state in regulated exocytosis. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **188**: 401–413. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200907018, PMID: 20142423
- Weber T, Parlati F, McNew JA, Johnston RJ, Westermann B, Söllner TH, Rothman JE. 2000. SNAREpins are functionally resistant to disruption by NSF and alphaSNAP. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **149**:1063–1072. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.149.5.1063, PMID: 10831610
- Weninger K, Bowen ME, Choi UB, Chu S, Brunger AT. 2008. Accessory proteins stabilize the acceptor complex for Synaptobrevin, the 1:1 syntaxin/SNAP-25 complex. *Structure* **16**:308–320. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. str.2007.12.010, PMID: 18275821
- Winter U, Chen X, Fasshauer D. 2009. A conserved membrane attachment site in alpha-SNAP facilitates N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor (NSF)-driven SNARE complex disassembly. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 284:31817–31826. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.045286, PMID: 19762473
- Xu H, Jun Y, Thompson J, Yates J, Wickner W. 2010. HOPS prevents the disassembly of trans-SNARE complexes by Sec17p/Sec18p during membrane fusion. *The EMBO Journal* **29**:1948–1960. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2010.97, PMID: 20473271
- Xu J, Brewer KD, Perez-Castillejos R, Rizo J. 2013. Subtle interplay between synaptotagmin and complexin binding to the SNARE complex. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **425**:3461–3475. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2013.07.001, PMID: 23845424
- Xu J, Camacho M, Xu Y, Esser V, Liu X, Trimbuch T, Pan YZ, Ma C, Tomchick DR, Rosenmund C, Rizo J. 2017. Mechanistic insights into neurotransmitter release and presynaptic plasticity from the crystal structure of Munc13-1 C1C2BMUN. *eLife* 6:e22567. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.22567, PMID: 28177287
- Xue M, Craig TK, Xu J, Chao HT, Rizo J, Rosenmund C. 2010. Binding of the complexin N terminus to the SNARE complex potentiates synaptic-vesicle fusogenicity. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 17:568–575. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1791, PMID: 20400951
- Yang X, Kaeser-Woo YJ, Pang ZP, Xu W, Südhof TC. 2010. Complexin clamps asynchronous release by blocking a secondary ca(2+) sensor via its accessory α helix. *Neuron* **68**:907–920. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron. 2010.11.001, PMID: 21145004
- Yang X, Wang S, Sheng Y, Zhang M, Zou W, Wu L, Kang L, Rizo J, Zhang R, Xu T, Ma C. 2015. Syntaxin opening by the MUN domain underlies the function of Munc13 in synaptic-vesicle priming. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 22:547–554. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3038

- Yavuz H, Kattan I, Hernandez JM, Hofnagel O, Witkowska A, Raunser S, Walla PJ, Jahn R. 2018. Arrest of trans-SNARE zippering uncovers loosely and tightly docked intermediates in membrane fusion. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **293**:8645–8655. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.003313, PMID: 29666192
- Zhao M, Wu S, Zhou Q, Vivona S, Cipriano DJ, Cheng Y, Brunger AT. 2015. Mechanistic insights into the recycling machine of the SNARE complex. *Nature* 518:61–67. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14148, PMID: 25581794
- Zheng SQ, Palovcak E, Armache JP, Verba KA, Cheng Y, Agard DA. 2017. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nature Methods* 14:331–332. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1038/nmeth.4193, PMID: 28250466
- Zhou Q, Lai Y, Bacaj T, Zhao M, Lyubimov AY, Uervirojnangkoorn M, Zeldin OB, Brewster AS, Sauter NK, Cohen AE, Soltis SM, Alonso-Mori R, Chollet M, Lemke HT, Pfuetzner RA, Choi UB, Weis WI, Diao J, Südhof TC, Brunger AT. 2015. Architecture of the synaptotagmin–SNARE machinery for neuronal exocytosis. Nature 525: 62–67. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14975
- Zhou Q, Zhou P, Wang AL, Wu D, Zhao M, Südhof TC, Brunger AT. 2017. The primed SNARE-complexinsynaptotagmin complex for neuronal exocytosis. *Nature* **548**:420–425. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature23484, PMID: 28813412
- Zick M, Wickner WT. 2014. A distinct tethering step is vital for vacuole membrane fusion. *eLife* **3**:e03251. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03251, PMID: 25255215