SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 27 June 2016 Accepted: 08 December 2016 Published: 20 January 2017

OPEN Development of an efficient screening system to identify novel bone metabolism-related genes using the exchangeable gene trap mutagenesis mouse models

Syuji Kurogi^{1,*}, Tomohisa Sekimoto^{1,*}, Taro Funamoto¹, Tomomi Ota¹, Shihoko Nakamura¹, Takuya Nagai¹, Mai Nakahara², Kumiko Yoshinobu², Kimi Araki², Masatake Araki² & Etsuo Chosa¹

Despite numerous genetic studies on bone metabolism, understanding of the specific mechanisms is lacking. We developed an efficient screening system to identify novel genes involved in bone metabolism using mutant mouse strains registered with the Exchangeable Gene Trap Clones (EGTC) database. From 1278 trap clones in the EGTC database, 52 candidate lines were selected in the first screening, determined based on "EST profile", "X-gal", "Related article", and "Novel gene". For the second screening, bone morphometric analysis, biomechanical strength analysis, bone X-gal staining, etc. were performed on candidate lines. Forty-two male trap lines (80.8%) showed abnormalities with either bone morphometric analysis or biomechanical strength analysis. In the screening process, X-gal staining was significantly efficient (P = 0.0057). As examples, Lbr and Nedd4 trap lines selected using the screening system showed significant bone decrease and fragility, suggesting a relationship with osteoblast differentiation. This screening system using EGTC mouse lines is extremely efficient for identifying novel genes involved in bone metabolism. The gene trap lines identified as abnormal using this screening approach are highly likely to trap important genes for bone metabolism. These selected trap mice will be valuable for use as novel bio-resources in bone research.

Osteoporosis is a serious metabolic bone disease, affecting an increasing number of patients owing to the aging populations worldwide. In Europe, the number of osteoporosis patients is reported to be 27.5 million, with 37 billion Euros spent annually on treatment¹. The population of Japan is also aging rapidly, with Yoshimura et al. estimating the number of osteoporosis patients in Japan at over 12.8 million². The Japanese Orthopaedic Association has defined conditions wherein mobility functions are declined due to locomotive organ impairment, including osteoporosis and osteoarthritis, as "locomotive syndrome"3, and is proactively committed to taking preventive measures and promoting awareness of locomotive syndrome.

For the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis, a better understanding of bone metabolism is essential. Until now, many genes involved in bone metabolism and their functions have been identified, such as runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2)⁴, old astrocyte specifically-induced substance (OASIS)⁵, and receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL)⁶. Currently, the anti-RANKL antibody denosumab (PRALIA[®]) is used for the clinical treatment of osteoporosis and has contributed to fracture prevention. Understanding bone metabolism is helpful for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis, but more remains to be understood.

Complete genome sequences of individual organisms have been mapped, as seen with the completion of the Human Genome Project⁷. However, base sequence information alone is not enough to extrapolate all the biological functions of the encoded genes and other parts of the coding regions. Furthermore, many proteins

¹Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Department of Medicine of Sensory and Motor Organs, Faculty of Medicine, University of Miyazaki, Japan. ²Institute of Resource Development and Analysis, Kumamoto University, Japan. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.S. (email: sekkin@med.miyazaki-u.ac.jp)



Figure 1. (a) Screening system outline. EST: Expressed Sequence Tag, *X-gal staining was performed in detail only on bone in the second screening. (b) Biomechanical strength analysis and bone morphometric analysis results from male trap lines in the second screening. Lines inside and outside the frame indicate those with and without an observed difference in the second screening, respectively. Selection reasons in the first screening are indicated by colour. Bold and italic fonts indicate positive and negative X-gal staining in the second screening, respectively. *A positive line in the growth curve, [§]A positive line in the biochemical blood test (Supplementary Table S2).

show diverse functions; for examples, Runx2 (Cbfa1) was originally identified as a T lymphocyte-specific transcriptional regulator⁴, OASIS as a transcriptional factor in astrocytes and gliotic tissue⁸, and RANKL as a growth factor of T-cells⁶. Therefore, analysis of genetically modified mice *in vivo* is an essential method to determine the functions of novel genes, or the unknown functions of known genes, to improve the annotation of our genome sequences.

Co-authors Araki *et al.* developed an exchangeable gene trap method⁹⁻¹¹ and have disclosed trap clone information on the Exchangeable Gene Trap Clones (EGTC) database (http://egtc.jp)^{12,13}. Information on 1278 trapped embryonic stem (ES) cell clones has been registered with the EGTC database (as of September 2016), and 490 gene trap lines have been extensively established from trapped ES clones, which allows us to easily search a target trap gene in the database.

To date, little has been reported on a screening method using mutant mouse strains to identify causative molecules in metabolic bone disease¹⁴. In the current study, we report on the development of an efficient screening system to identify novel genes involved in bone metabolism using mutant mouse strains established with the exchangeable gene trap method based on the EGTC database (Fig. 1a).

Results

First screening. In the first screening, 52 trap lines that were considered novel bone metabolism-related trap genes were selected from the EGTC database. Although the EGTC database includes important known genes related to bone metabolism, trapped genes that have been studied enough and already linked to bone metabolism *in vivo* were excluded from the first screening. Table 1 shows gene trap line information selected in the first screening. A total of 52 trap lines for the second screening were determined as follows: 20 lines from "Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) profile", eight lines from "Novel gene", seven lines from "Related article (RA)" (Supplementary Table S1), four lines from "X-gal", 10 lines from both "EST profile" and "X-gal", two lines from "X-gal" and "Novel gene", and one line from "RA" and "Novel gene". Eleven lines are "novel genes with no prior mutant mice (NPM)" and 41 lines are "known genes with a prior mutant mouse, but no bone phenotype reported (NBR)" (Table 1).

Second screening. To discover novel genes involved in bone metabolism *in vivo*, the 52 gene trap lines selected in the first screening (Table 1) were investigated in the second screening. Homozygous (Homo) mice were used for all screenings, but when these were not available, because of embryonic lethality, heterozygous (Hetero) mice were used and analysed with wild-type (WT) littermate mice. Analyses of growth curves, biochemical blood

No.	EGTC ID	Gene Symbol	Chr	hr Accession Staining Pro		EST Profile	1st Screening Decision	NPM/ NBR
1	18-44	Bptf	11	AB212668	ND	388	EST profie	NBR
2	21-103	New	4	AB187255	ND	ND	Novel gene	NPM
3	21-106	New	5	AB187257	ND	ND	Novel gene	NPM
4	21-129	EST	5	AB191465	ND	0	Novel gene	NPM
5	21-B120	Apobec1	6	AB247461	ND	153	EST profie	NBR
6	21-B135	Reep3	10	AB252053	ND	248	EST profie	NBR
7	21-B186	Trp53cor1	17	AB273634	ND	ND	RA ³⁵	NBR
8	21-B206	Lpar5	6	AB264309	ND	29	RA ³⁶	NBR
9	21-KBT38	Ankrd11	8	AB491281	ND	418	EST profie	NBR
10	21-KBW122	Lima1	15	AB462912	bone ⁻	410	EST profie	NBR
11	21-KBW180	Cnn3	3	AB621934	+	300	X-gal + EST profile	NBR
12	21-KBW205	Wdr11	7	AB624508	+	44	X-gal	NBR
13	21-T152	Tctn1	5	AB290806	ND	58	RA ³⁷	NBR
14	21-T167	2500002B13Rik: lincRNA	8	AB291807	ND	ND	RA ³⁸ + Novel gene	NPM
15	21-T188	EST	17	AB292813	ND	ND	Novel gene	NPM
16	21-T2	Msi2	11	AB285199	ND	80	RA ³⁹	NBR
17	21-T239	Wdfv1	1	AB307034	ND	446	EST profie	NBR
18	21-T269	Nedd4	9	AB325690	+	835	X-gal + EST profile	NBR
19	21-T278	Tmem161a	8	AB332319	ND	153	EST profie	NBR
20	21-T297	Mark3	12	AB330742	ND	190	EST profie	NBR
21	21-T322	Cyh5h	8	AB325891	ND	344	EST profie	NBR
21	21-T34	EST	2	AB265217	ND	ND	Novel gene	NPM
22	21-T354	Pkia	2	AB364965	ND	43	RA ⁴⁰	NBR
23	21-T54	Brd1	15	AB284376		168	X-gal + FST profile	NBR
25	21-154	Ebro17	7	AB278133	ND	117	FST profie	NBR
25	21-137	4033407K13Dik: uncDNA	v	AB284377	ND	ND	Novel gene	NDM
20	21-175	Esh4 112	10	AD204577	ND	102	EST profie	NIPD
27	21-W105	Lp04.112	10	A P460152	ND	ND	Novel gene	NDK
20	21-W115	Circh La	0	AD409133	hono-	244	FST profie	NPD
29	21-W110	Nau	0	AD407270	ND	344 ND	Novel gene	NDM
21	21-W203	Etf1	10	AB503799	ND	674	V col + EST profile	NPD
22	21-W234	Elj1	5	AD504294	+ ND	0/4 ND	A-gal + EST prome	NPD
32	21-W200	I whag	1	AD505804	ND	65	RA D A 42	NPD
24	21-W207	I he	1	AD505805	ND	615	NA V cal + EST masfile	NDR
25	21-W208	LUT	1	AD510929	+	015	X-gal + EST profile	NDK
35	21-W302	Umps	10	AD508947	ND	522	EST profie	NDK
30	21-W319	KCII	19	AB514155	ND	4/6	ES1 prone	NBK
3/	21-W321	1600020E01Rik; lincRNA	6	AB550825	+	/	X-gal + Novel gene	NPM
38	21-W361	Dym	18	AB525///	+	44	X-gal	NBR
39	21-W367	Ptpn11	5	AB525/81	ND	33/	ES1 profie	NBR
40	21-W3/3	SIC38a2	15	AB525/85	+	549	X-gal + ES1 profile	NBR
41	21-W406	Naufaf1	2	AB51/99/	ND	153	ES1 profie	NBR
42	21-W426	D19Wsu162e	19	AB533339	ND	520	EST profie	NBR
43	21-W456	Ppat	5	AB562513	+	226	X-gal + EST profile	NBR
44	21-W473	Sic38a4	15	AB570398	+	7	X-gal	NBR
45	21-W474	EST	19	AB665561	+	ND	X-gal + Novel gene	NPM
46	21-W494	P4hb	11	AB571318	+	4152	X-gal + EST profile	NBR
47	21-W536	Osbpl8	10	AB575992	+	73	X-gal	NBR
48	21-W560	Rbm12	2	AB601831	+	1342	X-gal + EST profile	NBR
49	21-W588	Itpr1	6	AB576002	+	117	X-gal + EST profile	NBR
50	21-W6	Ctnnd1	2	AB427136	ND	513	EST profie	NBR
51	21-W76	Srr	11	AB435414	ND	336	EST profie	NBR
52	R	RhoA	9	I —	ND	754	EST profie	NBR

Table 1. List of gene trap lines selected in the first screening. EGTC: Exchangeable Gene Trap Clones, Chr: Chromosome, ND: not determined, +: positive X-gal staining, bone-: X-gal staining without bone, EST: Expressed Sequence Tag, RA: related articles, Novel gene: EST + New, NPM: novel gene with no prior mutant mice, NBR: known gene with a prior mutant mouse, but no bone phenotype reported, "R" line has not been registered with the EGTC database.

tests, micro-computed tomography (μ CT), bone morphometric analyses (BMA), biomechanical strength analyses (BSA), and bone X-gal staining were performed in the second screening. A case was determined as "positive" when at least one parameter was >2.0 standard deviations (SD) from the WT mean [WT mean \pm 2.0 SD].

Growth curve and biochemical blood test. Body weight measurements from 2 to 10 weeks after birth and biochemical blood tests were performed for the 52 lines selected from the first screening. In male lines, six lines (11.5%) showed a positive weight increase, and 24 lines (46.2%) showed a positive weight decrease. Thirty-eight lines (73.1%) were positive following biochemical blood tests and 45 lines (86.5%) were positive for either body weight measurements or following biochemical blood tests (Table 2, Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table S2). In female lines, eight lines (15.4%) showed a positive weight increase, and 25 lines (48.1%) showed a positive weight decrease. Twenty-six lines (50.0%) were positive following biochemical blood tests 25 lines (50.0%) were positive following biochemical blood tests (Supplementary Table S2).

Micro-computed tomography. μ CT was performed in all 52 trap lines. Marked morphological abnormalities, such as osteogenesis imperfecta, anomalies, and pathological fractures, were not observed in any of the 52 lines (data not shown).

Bone morphometric analysis. BMA was performed in the 52 trap lines selected from the first screening. Thirty-six male lines (69.2%) were positive in bone mineral density (BMD) analysis, cortical bone analysis, or trabecular bone analysis. Twelve (23.1%) were positive in both trabecular bone analysis and cortical bone analysis, and only three lines (5.8%) were positive in cortical bone analysis alone (Ayu21-W105/Ayu21-W373/Ayu21-KBW180) (Table 2, Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table S2). Thirty-six (69.2%) female lines were positive in BMA, and six lines (11.5%) were positive in both trabecular bone analysis and cortical bone analysis (Supplementary Table S2).

Biomechanical strength analysis. BSA was performed for the 52 lines selected from the first screening. Twenty-eight male lines (53.8%) were positive for maximum load (M.load), maximum stress (M.stress), maximum displacement (M.dip), maximum work (M.work), or elastic modulus (E.M). For M.load, which is an indicator of bone strength, greater bone strength was observed in 11 lines (21.2%) compared with WT mice, while lesser bone strength was observed in 17 lines (32.7%). For E.M, which is an indicator of the flexibility of bone, 11 lines (21.2%) were positive, and of these, three lines (27.3%) showed an increase in male trap mice (Ayu21-W367/Ayu21-W321/Ayu21-W203) (Table 2, Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table S2). Thirty-one female lines (59.6%) were positive in BSA. Eleven lines (21.2%) showed greater bone strength compared with WT mice, and 20 lines (38.5%) showed lesser strength (Supplementary Table S2).

These results demonstrated that 42 male (80.8%) and 44 female (84.6%) trap lines showed abnormalities in either BMA or BSA (Table 2, Supplementary Table S2).

Bone X-gal staining. Because the EGTC database does not include the results of bone X-gal staining in all trap lines, X-gal staining was performed on femurs of all lines in the second screening. WT mice of all 52 trap lines were compared for use as controls. X-gal staining was observed in trabecular bone or cartilage of the femoral head in 36 lines (69.2%), while staining was not observed in 16 lines (30.8%) compared with WT mice (Tables 2 and 4b, Supplementary Table S2).

Correlation between the first screening and second screening. The usefulness of the first screening was evaluated for the discovery of novel genes involved in bone metabolism using the results of BMA and BSA in the second screening, which directly reflect bone metabolism. The correlation between the first screening and the second screening was investigated. In male trap lines, "X-gal" was significantly efficient in the first screening (P = 0.0161) (Table 3). Femoral bone X-gal staining in the second screening was positive in 36 male trap lines (69.2%), and BMA or BSA were positive in 33 (91.7%) of these lines (P = 0.0057) (Table 4). As the second screening results from male samples were more significant than female samples (Supplementary Table S3), we have presented the results from the male trap lines in the following analyses.

Classification by bone morphometric analysis and biomechanical strength analysis. From the results of the second screening in male lines, trap lines which showed positive in BMA and BSA were classified (Fig. 1b). Twenty-two lines (42.3%) were positive in both BMA and BSA, six lines (11.5%) were positive only in BSA, 14 lines (26.9%) were positive only in BMA, and 42 lines (80.8%) were positive in either analysis.

Correlation between maximum load and bone morphometric parameters. To investigate the correlation between bone strength, which is the most important parameter for abnormality in bone metabolism, and bone morphometric parameters, a correlation coefficient was obtained using the gene trap mouse and WT mouse ratio (GT/WT) of M.load and GT/WT of bone morphometric parameters for all lines (Supplementary Table S4). As expected¹⁵, the BMD parameters (trabecular bone mineral density (Tb.BMD), trabecular bone mineral content (Tb.BMC), and cortical bone mineral content (Ct.BMC)) showed high correlation coefficients of 0.595 to 0.730. Cortical bone parameters (cortical volume (Ct.V), cortical thickness (Ct.Th), and external line length (Ex. Ln.Le)) also showed high correlation coefficients of 0.559 to 0.705. Although trabecular bone parameters showed high correlation coefficient was relatively low for marrow space star volume (V*m.space).

Correlation between maximum load and cortical bone mineral content. From the results in Supplementary Table S4, a correlation was identified as a regression line by plotting GT/WT of M.load and

						Bone Morphometric Analysis															
					Dene	BMD Analysis Cortical Bone Analysis Trabecular Bone Analysis				nalysis	Biomechanical Strength Analysis										
No	Gene Symbol	Genotype	Growth Curve	Blood Test	X-gal Staining	Tb. BMD	Tb. BMC	Ct. BMD	Ct. BMC	Ct. V	Ct. Th	Ex. Ln.Le	BV	Tb.Th	Tb.N	V*m. space	M. load	M. stress	M. dsp	M. work	E.M.
1	Bptf	WT/Het	\downarrow	+	+			\downarrow	\downarrow	Ļ		↓									↓
2	New	WT/Hom			+													Î			
3	New	WT/Hom				Î			\downarrow	\downarrow		Ļ	\downarrow	\downarrow	↓	Î					
4	EST	WT/Hom	Ŷ	+			1 1						Î					\downarrow		Ŷ	
5	Apobec1	WT/Hom		+	+			↓								Ŷ		↓			
6	Reep3	WT/Hom		+													Ŷ			Ŷ	
7	Trp53cor1	WT/Hom	Ŷ	+																	
8	Lpar5	WT/Hom	\downarrow	+																	
9	Ankrd11	WT/Het		+	+											Ŷ					
10	Lima1	WT/Hom	\downarrow	+		Ļ	↓	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	\downarrow	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ		Ŷ	Ļ			Ļ	
11	Cnn3	WT/Het		+	+						Ŷ										
12	Wdr11	WT/Hom	\downarrow		+															Ļ	
13	Tctn1	WT/Het			+		1 1						Î		Ŷ				Ļ		
14	2500002B13 Rik; lincRNA	WT/Hom		+	+				Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ										
15	EST	WT/Hom		+																	
16	Msi2	WT/Hom	↓	+	+	\downarrow	\downarrow		Ļ	Ļ		\downarrow	Ļ	Ļ	↓	Î	\downarrow		Ļ	↓	↓
17	Wdfy1	WT/Hom	\downarrow		+											Ŷ			Î		
18	Nedd4	WT/Het	\downarrow	+	+				\downarrow	Ļ											
19	Tmem161a	WT/Hom	↑		+						Î						Î	Î			
20	Mark3	WT/Het	\downarrow	+	+						\downarrow					Î					
21	Cyb5b	WT/Het		+																	
22	EST	WT/Hom	\downarrow	+				\downarrow					↓								
23	Pkig	WT/Hom	1 1	+	+		↑		↑	1		Ŷ	Î	Î	↑				↑	Î	
24	Brd1	WT/Het	\downarrow	+	+			\downarrow													
25	Fbxo17	WT/Hom		+																Ŷ	
26	4933407K13 Rik; uncRNA	WT/Het		+																	
27	Epb4.1l2	WT/Hom	\downarrow	+	+							Ļ					\downarrow				↓
28	New	WT/Hom			+																
29	Cirh1a	WT/Het		+																	
30	New	WT/Hom	\rightarrow	+	+	Î	\uparrow			↑ (Ŷ	\rightarrow	Î	Ŷ		Ŷ			Ŷ	Ŷ
31	Etf1	WT/Het		+	+			\downarrow			↓								Ŷ		↓
32	Ywhag	WT/Het		+	+																
33	Hdac4	WT/Het	\downarrow													Ļ					
34	Lbr	WT/Hom	\downarrow	+	+	Ļ	Ļ		Ļ	Ļ		Ļ		Ļ		Ŷ	Ļ	Ļ			↓
35	Umps	WT/Het			+											Ŷ					
36	Rcl1	WT/Het			+										↑						
37	1600020E01 Rik; lincRNA	WT/Het		+	+							Ŷ				Ŷ					Ŷ
38	Dym	WT/Hom		+	+				Ļ	Ļ								Ļ			Ļ
39	Ptpn11	WT/Het	Ŷ	+	+								Ŷ		Ŷ	Ļ		Ļ			Ŷ
40	Slc38a2	WT/Hom	↓	+	+						Ļ										Ļ
41	Ndufaf1	WT/Het	Ŷ	+				Ļ			↓								Ļ	Ļ	
42	D19Wsu162e	WT/Hom	Ļ	+	+																
43	Ppat	WT/Het	↓	+	+			Î													
44	Slc38a4	WT/Het	\downarrow	+	+														Ļ	Ļ	
45	EST	WT/Het	Ļ	+	+							Ļ		↑				Ŷ			
46	P4hb	WT/Hom	\downarrow	+	+		Ļ		Ļ	Ļ		Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ŷ	Ļ				
47	Osbpl8	WT/Hom	Ļ		+		Ŷ				Ļ		Ŷ				Ļ			↓	
48	Rbm12	WT/Hom		+	+								↓								
49	Itpr1	WT/Het	Ļ		+						Ļ			Ļ							
50	Ctnnd1	WT/Het	Ļ	+	+														\uparrow		
51	Srr	WT/Hom	↓ ↓													<u> </u>		Ļ			Ţ
52	RhoA	WT/Het														· ·					

Table 2. Second screening results in male trap lines. WT: wild-type, Het: Heterozygote, Hom: Homozygote, Tb.BMD: trabecular bone mineral density, Tb.BMC: trabecular bone mineral content, Ct.BMD: cortical bone mineral density, Ct.BMC: cortical bone mineral content, Ct.V: cortical bone volume, Ct.Th: cortical bone thickness, Ex.Ln.Le: external line length, BV: trabecular bone volume, Tb.Th: trabecular bone thickness, Tb.N: trabecular bone number, V*m.space: marrow space star volume, M.load: maximum load, M.stress: maximum

stress, M.dsp: maximum displacement, M.work: maximum work, E.M: elastic modulus. +: abnormal blood test results, positive X-gal staining, \uparrow : > [WT mean + 2.0 SD], \downarrow : < [WT mean - 2.0 SD], "R" line has not been registered with the EGTC database.

	1 st screening selected lines	2 nd screening positive lines	BSA positive lines	BMA positive lines	2 nd screening negative lines	P value
EST profile	30	26	16 (53.3)	23 (76.7)	4	0.1828
X-gal	16	16	10 (62.5)	14 (87.5)	0	0.0161
RA	8	5	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)	3	0.1712
Novel gene	11	8	5 (45.5)	7 (63.6)	3	0.3533

Table 3. Correlation between the first screening items and the second screening results (BMA or BSA) in male trap lines. Biomechanical strength analysis (BSA) and bone morphometric analysis (BMA) indicate the number of positive lines (%). One-tailed Fisher's exact test was calculated for lines with a difference observed for each selected item in the first and second screening (BMA or BSA). EST: Expressed Sequence Tag, RA: related article, Novel gene: EST + New.

Ct.BMC (correlation coefficient 0.730; $P = 3.25 \times 10^{-9}$), typical parameters of BSA and BMA, on the scatter diagram (Fig. 2). A correlation was observed between M.load ratio and Ct.BMC ratio as expected¹⁵, and those lines negative in BMA and BSA were plotted around the reference point.

First and second screening results of Ayu21-W268, Ayu21-T269, and Ayu21-W203. As examples of the trap lines selected by the first and second screening, the screening results of Ayu21-W268, Ayu21-T269, and Ayu21-W203 lines are presented in Figs 3 and 4. Ayu21-W268 (red in Fig. 2), Ayu21-T269 (orange in Fig. 2), and Ayu21-W203 lines (green in Fig. 2) were all distinct from the reference point in Fig. 2.

Ayu21-W268 is a Lamin B receptor (*Lbr*) gene trap line. Trabecular bone was strongly stained with "X-gal" in the first screening (Fig. 3a), and "EST profile" showed a high value of 615 in bone tissue (Table 1). In the second screening of male mice, decreased body weights were recorded at all measurement points, and a low alkaline phosphatase (ALP) value was detected with biochemical blood tests (Table 2, Supplementary Table S2). Additionally, there was loss of trabeculae on μ CT images, decreased bone density of the primary spongiosa around the growth plate on BMD images (Fig. 3b), and a number of bone morphometric parameters >[WT mean \pm 2.0 SD], including Tb.BMD, Tb.BMC, Ct.BMC, Ct.V, Ex.Ln.Le, Tb.Th, and V*m.space (Fig. 3c). BSA also indicated strength parameters >[WT mean \pm 2.0 SD] for M.load, M.stress, and E.M (Fig. 3d). Bone X-gal staining was consistent with that of the first screening (data not shown).

Ayu21-T269 is a neural precursor cell expressed, developmentally down-regulated gene 4 (*Nedd4*) gene trap line. Cortical and trabecular bones and the femoral head were strongly stained with "X-gal" in the first screening (Fig. 4a), and "EST profile" showed a high value of 835 in bone tissue (Table 1). In the second screening of male mice, decreased body weight at 10 weeks of age and a high ALP value were detected (Table 2, Supplementary Table S2). Additionally, loss of trabeculae on μ CT images, decreased bone density around the growth plate on BMD images (Fig. 4b), and bone morphometric parameters (Ct.BMC and Ct.V) > [WT mean \pm 2.0 SD] (Fig. 4c) were observed. Bone X-gal staining was consistent with that of the first screening (data not shown).

Ayu21-W203 is a "Novel gene" trap line. This line was selected from "New" in the first screening (Table 1). In the second screening of male mice, decreased body weight was recorded at 10 weeks of age, and high K and Mg values and a low Cl value were detected with biochemical blood tests (Table 2, Supplementary Table S2). Additionally, overgrowth of trabeculae on μ CT images, increased bone density of the primary spongiosa around the growth plate on BMD images (Fig. 4f), and a number of bone morphometric parameters >[WT mean ± 2.0 SD], including Tb.BMD, Tb.BMC, Ct.V, Ex.Ln.Le, BV, Tb.Th, and Tb.N, were observed(Fig. 4g). BSA also indicated strength parameters >[WT mean ± 2.0 SD] for M.load, M.work, and E.M (Fig. 4h). Trabecular bone around the growth plate of the femur was strongly stained with X-gal (Fig. 4e).

Mouse line analyses of Ayu21-W268 and Ayu21-T269 trap lines after screening. To verify the usefulness of our screening system, the results of mouse line analyses of Ayu21-W268 (*Lbr*) and Ayu21-T269 (*Nedd4*) trap mice are presented as examples of representative lines. These trapped genes have not been previously reported to be associated with bone metabolism.

Lbr trap mice showed decreased body size, sparseness of hair, scaly skin (ichthyosis) most predominantly on the tail, and syndactyly characterized by soft tissue fusion, consistent with previous reports (Fig. 5a)^{16,17}. *Lbr* trap mouse line analysis confirmed a significant decrease in bone volume for almost all parameters of BMD analysis, cortical bone analysis, and trabecular bone analysis in BMA, and also indicated significant bone fragility in BSA (Fig. 5b,c). Real-time PCR showed that although the osteoclast differentiation markers nuclear factor of activated T-cells, cytoplasmic 1 (*NFATc1*), and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (*TRAP*) were similarly expressed compared with WT mice, expression of the osteoblast differentiation markers collagen, type I, alpha 1 (*Col1a1*), *ALP*, and osteocalcin (*OCN*) significantly decreased compared with WT mice (Fig. 5d).

Similarly, *Nedd4* trap mice showed decreased body size and frequent embryonic lethality, consistent with previous reports (Fig. 5e)^{18,19}. *Nedd4* trap mouse line analysis confirmed a significant decrease in bone volume

	X-gal st	aining		
	(+)	(—)	Total	P-value
2 nd screening positive lines	33 (78.6)	9 (21.4)	42	0.0057
2 nd screening negative lines	3 (30.0)	7 (70.0)	10	0.0037

Table 4. Correlation between the second screening results (BMA or BSA) and bone X-gal staining in the second screening in male trap lines. One-tailed Fisher's exact test was used to confirm the correlation between the second screening results (BMA or BSA) and bone X-gal staining in the second screening. BMA: bone morphometric analysis, BSA: biomechanical strength analysis.

Ct.BMC ratio



Figure 2. Correlation of cortical bone mineral content (Ct.BMC) and maximum load (M.load) ratios. The abscissa of the scatter diagram shows the gene trap and wild-type mouse ratio (GT/WT) of M.load of biomechanical strength analysis, and the ordinate represents the GT/WT of the Ct.BMC of bone morphometric analysis. BMA: bone morphometric analysis, BSA: biomechanical strength analysis. Each EGTC ID is indicated around the plotted point.

for almost all parameters in BMD analysis, cortical bone analysis, and trabecular bone analysis in BMA, and also indicated significant bone fragility in BSA (Fig. 5f,g). Real-time PCR showed that expression of the osteoblast differentiation markers *Col1a1* and *ALP* significantly decreased compared with WT mice (Fig. 5h).

Discussion

Bone remodelling involves a delicate balance between bone formation by osteoblasts and bone resorption by osteoclasts²⁰. A number of molecules are either directly or indirectly related with the pathology of osteoporosis, but not all have been identified. In the current study, to search for novel genes involved in bone metabolism in the first screening, 52 gene trap lines (Table 1) likely to be associated with bone metabolism were selected from mouse lines registered with the EGTC database^{12,13}. The list of trapped genes in Table 1 presents those that have not been previously reported to be associated with bone metabolism *in vivo*. Using these gene trap mice, multiple parameters were used to evaluate bone phenotypes, such as the presence of skeletal/morphometric abnormalities



Figure 3. First and second screening results of Ayu21-W268. (a) Left: X-gal staining results in the first screening of the Ayu21-W268 (Lamin B receptor (Lbr) gene trap mouse line) in the EGTC. (1) ear, (2) cerebral base, (3) eye, (4) brain, (5) tongue-lung, oesophagus, (6) heart, (7) lung (slice), (8) thymus, (9) liver, (10) spleen, pancreas, (11) adrenal gland, (12) kidney, (13) muscle, (14) stomach, (15) duodenum, (16) rectum, (17) genital tract, adipose tissue, (18) bladder, (19) brown adipose tissue, (20) femur. Bar: 20 mm; left: heterozygote, right: negative control. Right: X-gal femoral staining in the first screening. Bar: 4 mm (http://egtc.jp). (b) Micro-computed tomography (μ CT) image in the second screening. Left: CT image, right: bone mineral density (BMD) image. Colour scale indicates BMD; 100 mg/cm3 (blue) and 1550 mg/cm3 (yellow). Bar: 1 mm. (c) Graphs showing the results of bone morphometric analysis. Tb.BMD: trabecular bone mineral density, Tb.BMC: trabecular bone mineral content, Ct.BMD: cortical bone mineral density, Ct.BMC: cortical bone mineral content, Ct.V: cortical bone volume, Ct.Th: cortical bone thickness, Ex.Ln.Le: external line length, BV: trabecular bone volume, Tb.Th: trabecular bone thickness, Tb.N: trabecular bone number, V*m.space: marrow space star volume. (d) Three-point bending test results on femurs of $Lbr^{+/+}$ and $Lbr^{GT/GT}$ mice in the second screening. M.load: maximum load, M.stress: maximum stress, M.dsp: maximum displacement, M.work: maximum work, E.M: elastic modulus. Black points show each value, red points show mean of $Lbr^{+/+}$ and *Lbr^{GT/GT}* mice, and red bars show 1.0 and 2.0 SD of *Lbr*^{+/+} mice.

and bone strength, in the second screening (Table 2, Supplementary Table S2). There were 45 trap lines (86.5%) in male mice and 44 lines (84.6%) in female mice that were positive for either body weight measurements or following biochemical blood tests (Table 2, Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table S2), indicating that the first screening method was useful for the detection of abnormal body weight and biochemical blood test results. For BMA and BSA in the 52 lines selected from the first screening, 42 male (80.8%) and 44 female (84.6%) trap lines showed abnormalities in either BMA or BSA (Table 2, Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table S2). Based on these findings, we considered our screening system (Fig. 1a) to be very efficient.

Osteoporosis was defined as "a skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength predisposing a person to an increased risk of fracture" at a 2001 National Institute of Health consensus conference, and after the concept of bone quality was introduced, was further defined as "bone strength primarily reflects the integration of bone density and bone quality"²¹. Saito *et al.* defined bone quality by material characteristics and structural characteristics²². In this screening, a relatively simple and efficient system for the selection of abnormal bone metabolism mouse lines indicating various phenotypes was developed using several screening approaches.



Figure 4. First and second screening results of Ayu21-T269 and Ayu21-W203. (a) Femur X-gal staining results in the first screening of Ayu21-T269 (Neural precursor cell expressed, developmentally down-regulated gene 4 (*Nedd4*) gene trap mouse line) in the EGTC database. Left: negative control, right: heterozygote. Bar: 2 mm (http://egtc.jp). (b) Micro-computed tomography (μ CT) image in the second screening. Left: CT image, right: BMD image. Colour scale indicates BMD; 100 mg/cm³ (blue) and 1550 mg/cm³ (yellow). Bar: 1 mm. (c) Graphs showing the results of bone morphometric analysis. (d) Three-point bending test results on femurs of *Nedd4^{+/+}* and *Nedd4^{GT/+}* mice in the second screening. (e) Femur X-gal staining results in the second screening of Ayu21-W203 (*Novel gene* trap mouse line). Left: negative control, right: homozygote. Bar: 1 mm. (f) μ CT image in the second screening. Left: CT image, right: BMD image. Colour scale indicates I mage, right: BMD image. Colour scale indicates I mage in the second screening. (e) Femur X-gal staining results in the second screening of Ayu21-W203 (*Novel gene* trap mouse line). Left: negative control, right: homozygote. Bar: 1 mm. (f) μ CT image in the second screening. Left: CT image, right: BMD image. Colour scale indicates BMD; 100 mg/cm³ (blue) and 1550 mg/cm³ (yellow). Bar: 1 mm. (g) Graphs showing the results of BMA in the second screening. (h) Three-point bending test results on femurs of *Novel^{GT/GT}* mice in the second screening. Black points show each value, red points show mean, and red bars show 1.0 and 2.0 SD.

In this study, screening was performed using the EGTC database, which was developed by co-authors Araki *et al.* The principle behind gene trapping involves randomly inserting the trap vector containing a drug-resistant gene into the ES cell genome and disrupting only those genes with the inserted vector. This method has better efficiency for novel gene-mutant mouse production compared with other methods. An improved "exchangeable gene trap method" is characterized by: (1) trapped genes can be completely disrupted with a high frequency of the trap vector being inserted around the start codon; and (2) by applying the Cre/*lox* site-specific recombination system, the reporter gene can be replaced by any gene, which is applicable to the Cre-expressing mouse^{11,13}. Data on trapped clones are available in the EGTC database, and established mouse lines are available for almost all registered clones. As at September 2016, 1278 gene trap clones have been isolated. Among the trap clones, novel genes related to bone metabolism, those trapped genes that have been studied enough and previously reported to be associated with bone metabolism *in vivo* were excluded from the first screening in the current study, such as Ayu21-KBW225 (BMP receptor, type 1A: *Bmpr1a*)²³, Ayu21-KBW114 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3: *Stat3*)²⁴, Ayu21-W71 (protein inhibitor of activated STAT 2: *Pias2*)²⁵, Ayu21-T320 (Tnf receptor-associated factor 3: *Traf3*)²⁶, and Ayu21-KBW156 (GLI-Kruppel family member GLI2: *Gli2*)²⁷.



Figure 5. Mouse line analyses of Ayu21-W268 and Ayu21-T269 trap lines after screening. (a) Upper: $Lbr^{+/+}$ and $Lbr^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. The $Lbr^{GT/GT}$ mouse shows sparseness of hair, presence of scales most predominantly on the tail, and decreased body size. Bar:10 mm. Lower: photo and micro-computed tomography (μ -CT) images of hind paw. The $Lbr^{GT/GT}$ mouse shows syndactyly characterized by soft tissue fusion between digits three and four (arrows). Bar: 1 mm. (b) Three dimensional structural indices of femurs of $Lbr^{+/+}$ and $Lbr^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old in bone morphometric analysis. (c) Three-point bending test results on femurs of $Lbr^{+/+}$ and $Lbr^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. (d) Real-time PCR analysis of bone metabolism-related genes of femurs of $Lbr^{+/+}$ and $Lbr^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. (e) Transparent skeletal specimen of $Nedd4^{+/+}$ and $Nedd4^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. (f) Three dimensional structural indices of femurs of $Nedd4^{+/+}$ and $Nedd4^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. (h) Real-time PCR analysis of bone morphometric analysis. (g) Three-point bending test results on femurs of $Nedd4^{+/+}$ and $Nedd4^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. (h) Real-time PCR analysis of bone metabolism-related genes of femurs of bone metabolism-related genes of femurs of $Nedd4^{+/+}$ and $Nedd4^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. (h) Real-time PCR analysis of bone metabolism-related genes of femurs of $Nedd4^{+/+}$ and $Nedd4^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. (h) Real-time PCR analysis of bone metabolism-related genes of femurs of $Nedd4^{+/+}$ and $Nedd4^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. (h) Real-time PCR analysis of bone metabolism-related genes of femurs of $Nedd4^{+/+}$ and $Nedd4^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. (h) Real-time PCR analysis of bone metabolism-related genes of femurs of $Nedd4^{+/+}$ and $Nedd4^{GT/GT}$ mice at 4 weeks old. Relative expression levels were normalized to the ratio of an internal β -actin standard and wild-type mouse gene expression levels. Results are mean

.....

Therefore, it is expected that a large number of unknown important genes involved with bone metabolism will be included in the EGTC database.

Bassett *et al.* reported nine novel genes related to bone metabolism from 100 randomly selected lines of gene-knockout mice from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute Mouse Genetics Project, and their method was determined to be extremely efficient¹⁴. In the present study, we selected 52 gene trap lines in the first screening that were considered to have high bone tissue specificity using "EST profile", "X-gal", "Related article", and "Novel gene". Abnormalities were confirmed in 42 male (80.8%) and 44 female (84.6%) trap lines in the second screening (BMA or BSA) (Tables 1 and 2, Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table S2). When male lines were compared for second screening results and selection reasons in the first screening (Table 3), "EST profile", "Related article", and "Novel gene" were noted to be similar for lines that were negative in BMA and BSA, but all lines selected by "X-gal" in the first screening were positive in either BMA or BSA in the second screening. Statistical analyses of correlations between results of the first and second screenings (BMA or BSA) indicated that "X-gal" was significantly efficient (P = 0.0161) (Table 3). This is the first time that this correlation has been reported. This may be because β -geo is used in the trap vector, and if X-gal staining is positive in bone, it indirectly indicates that the trapped endogenous gene is expressed in bone¹¹. Examining the tissue specificity of trapped genes using "X-gal" staining of bone in the first screening, it is thought that better results would have been achieved. In fact, bone X-gal staining in the

second screening was positive in 36 trap lines (69.2%), and BMA or BSA were positive in 33 (91.7%) of these lines (P = 0.0057) (Table 4). This correlation is also reported for the first time in this study. Conversely, in female lines, no significant correlation between the first and the second screening was detected (Supplementary Table S3). This may be because of the influence of the female sex hormone, which affects bone metabolism. The correlation was not observed between "EST profile" and bone X-gal staining in the second screening (P = 0.327; data not shown), which indicates that "EST profile" cannot be used as a substitute for "X-gal" in the first screening. Because EST profiles represent partial sequences originating from a cDNA library of bone and bone marrow, including complementary sequences and areas without an open reading frame, it is possible that the expression patterns of trapped endogenous genes are incompletely monitored. As for "Novel gene", the functions of genes and non-coding domains cannot be determined using only base sequences. It therefore appears that trapped gene expression in bone or factors that participate in embryogenesis and bone formation, as described in previously reported articles, are necessary based on analysis results of Ayu21-W474, Ayu21-W321, and Ayu21-T167 (Table 1, Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table S1). With regard to "Related article", although genes encoding molecules associated with bone metabolism showed a tendency to be positive in the second screening (Supplementary Table S1), a greater number of trap lines are needed for more accurate analysis.

The correlation between GT/WT of M.load and Ct.BMC of each line, typical parameters of BSA and BMA, respectively, was also examined in the second screening (Fig. 2). The diagram shows that as bone strength increased, the M.load ratio value exceeded 1.0 and plotted to the right, and as bone strength decreased, it plotted to the left. Furthermore, the ratio value plotted higher as Ct.BMC increased and lower as Ct.BMC decreased. This indicates that as the distance increases between the reference point (where the M.load ratio and Ct.BMC ratio were both 1.0) and the plotted point, there is a high possibility of an abnormal bone phenotype. The correlation between M.load ratio and Ct.BMC ratio was confirmed (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table S4) as expected¹⁵. There were, however, several outliers such as Ayu21-W456, T167, W361, T2, and W234. Although the Ct. BMC of the outliers showed significant differences compared with the WT, the M.load ratio did not correlate with the Ct.BMC ratio. These findings suggest the presence of biomechanical factors independent of Ct.BMC, such as collagen and other bone matrix proteins. On the other hand, some lines were positive after screening, even though they plotted around the reference point of 1.0 for both the M.load ratio and the Ct.BMC ratio, because abnormal values were observed in parameters other than M.load and Ct.BMC, such as M.work, E.M., Tb.N, or V*m.space (Ayu21-W267, W321, W319, T239, and T57).

Since known genes related to bone metabolism were excluded from the screening, as examples, Ayu21-W268 and Ayu21-T269, which were positive in the second screening (Table 2, Figs 1b, 3 and 4, Supplementary Table S2) and distinct from the reference point in Fig. 2, were analysed to demonstrate the usefulness of this screening system. Ayu21-W268 and Ayu21-T269 lines are trapped Lbr and Nedd4 genes, respectively, and were selected in the first screening based on the results of "EST profile" and "X-gal" (Table 1, Figs 3 and 4). In the second screening of male mice, mice showed weight loss, abnormal ALP values, and other phenotypes that have been reported¹⁶⁻¹⁹ in both Lbr and Nedd4 trap lines (Table 2, Fig. 5a,e, Supplementary Table S2). These trapped genes have not been previously reported on bone metabolism. µCT images, BMA, and BSA suggested a loss of trabeculae and a number of abnormal BMA and BSA parameters (Figs 3 and 4). Growth curves and biochemical blood tests revealed clear abnormalities in lines with significantly abnormal bone phenotypes like these lines and, therefore, may be very useful in the screening approach. However, they had no correlation with BMA and BSA, and further examination is needed. After screening, analysis of the Lbr trap mouse line confirmed a significant decrease in bone volume for almost all parameters of BMD analysis, cortical bone analysis, and trabecular bone analysis in BMA, and also showed bone fragility in BSA (Fig. 5b,c), as did the second screening (Fig. 3). Expression of the osteoblast differentiation markers Col1a1, ALP, and OCN were significantly decreased compared with WT mice (Fig. 5d), which suggested involvement of abnormal osteoblast differentiation in the phenotype of the Lbr gene trap mouse. Similarly, analysis of the Nedd4 trap mouse line showed a significant decrease in bone volume in BMA and bone fragility in BSA (Fig. 5e,f). Expression of Col1a1 and ALP mRNA significantly decreased compared with WT mice (Fig. 5g), which also suggested involvement of abnormal osteoblast differentiation in the Nedd4 gene trap line. These results suggest that such as Ayu21-W203 (Novel gene) trap line selected by our screening system as shown in Fig. 4 would also be related to bone metabolism as well as Ayu21-W268 (Lbr) and Ayu21-T269 (Nedd4). In Fig. 2, Ayu21-W268, Ayu21-T269, and Ayu21-W203 all plotted distinct from the reference point (Fig. 2). Therefore Fig. 2 is useful for the selection of gene trap lines for metabolic bone analyses. Furthermore, this screening approach can be easily applied to more detailed mouse line analyses both in vivo and in vitro. This novel genetic screening system to identify novel bone metabolism-related genes using the trap mice established by the exchangeable gene trap method is extremely efficient, and the mouse lines found to be abnormal in this screening are highly likely to trap important genes for bone metabolism. These exchangeable gene trap mice are suggested to be very useful as novel bio-resources and are expected to advance various bone metabolism research.

Bassett *et al.* compared each line of gene-knockout mice with normal reference mean data obtained from 77 WT mice¹⁴. In the current study, we placed emphasis on standardizing the background of the trapped genes among the genotypes in each line and then compared littermate mice in our screening. For this reason, our analysis used three to eight samples (except Ayu21-KBW122/Ayu21-W203) for each genotype, and each parameter was evaluated using [WT mean \pm 2.0 SD] as a reference in the second screening (Table 2, Supplementary Table S2). Although 24 trap lines were analysed using Hetero mice in the second screening (Table 2), because a bone phenotype does not always emerge clearly enough with Hetero mice, additional analyses, such as histological examination, detection of gene expression related to bone metabolism, and embryo analysis, might be also necessary in second screening.

This study demonstrates that our screening system (Fig. 1a) is highly efficient, useful, and creative. Discovery of novel bone metabolism-related genes that have not been analysed and their functional analysis using gene trap mice allow for determination of the pathogenesis of metabolic bone diseases, such as osteoporosis, and will

contribute greatly to the development of novel therapeutic drugs in the future. This screening system will be applicable to numerous research fields, not only bone metabolism.

Materials and Methods

Ethical considerations. All experiments using mice were performed with the approval of the Animal Care and Use Committee and the Genetic Modification Safety Committee of Kumamoto University and the University of Miyazaki, and were in accordance with guidelines for animal experiments and safety management rules for genetic modification of Kumamoto University and the University of Miyazaki.

Exchangeable gene trap method and Exchangeable Gene Trap Clones database. Gene trapping is a proven method in which reporter genes with no promoter are transfected into ES cells as a trap vector using electroporation. Drug resistance only occurs when the vector is inserted downstream of the promoter of an endogenous gene, and the clone can then be isolated. Araki *et al.* improved on this gene trap method by developing an "exchangeable gene trap method", which does more than just create a gene-disruption type mutation⁹⁻¹¹. The vector contains the fusion gene β -geo, formed from beta-galactosidase and neomycin-resistance genes. The trapped gene is easily isolated and identified by rapid amplification of 5′ complementary DNA ends (5′ RACE) or the plasmid rescue method. Because expression of the *LacZ* reporter gene is observed time- and tissue-specifically under the control of the trapped gene promoter, the expression pattern *in vivo* can be easily detected with X-gal staining. In addition, by applying the Cre/*lox* site-specific recombination system, the reporter gene can be replaced by any gene (post-insertional modification).

Chimeric mice were produced by aggregation of ES cells with eight-cell embryos of ICR mice (NipponClea) or C57BL/6 females (NipponClea) mated with BDF1 males (NipponClea). Chimeric male mice were mated with C57BL/6 females to obtain F1 Hetero mice. The DNA from F1 Hetero males underwent Southern blotting to confirm that the band pattern matched that of DNA from the original trap ES clones. The clones isolated by the exchangeable gene trap method have been registered and are available in the EGTC database (http://egtc.jp)^{12,13}. The EGTC database provides information on the trap vector used, the ES cell line, and the 5' RACE sequence as well as the characteristics of the trapped gene (Table 1). X-gal staining data of systemic organs are available for several lines. In addition, information on the insert position of the trapped genes by linking to National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Entrez Gene, Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI, Jackson Lab), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG GENES), International Gene Trap Consortium (IGTC), UniGene, and Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) profile can be easily collected. To date, chimeric mice have been produced from approximately 490 of 1278 ES clones in the EGTC database, which have been established as mouse lines and deposited with the CARD R-BASE (Center for Animal Resources and Development, Resource Database in Kumamoto University)^{12,13}.

First screening. In the first screening, all trap clones from the EGTC database were screened using the approaches below (Fig. 1a).

Determination of tissue specificity by Expressed Sequence Tag profile: "EST profile". Highly expressing lines on bone and bone marrow (score > 100) in the EST profile from the Unigene database (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/unigene) were selected.

Determination of tissue specificity by X-gal staining: "X-gal". From the results of X-gal staining performed on EGTC, lines with positively-stained bone and cartilage in trap mice were selected.

Previously reported articles: "Related article". A search was conducted for articles related to the trapped genes. Those lines that trapped known genes involved in bone and cartilage metabolism *in vivo* were excluded. Identified lines with trapped genes that are likely to affect bone metabolism, such as angiogenesis-related genes or cell-cell adhesion-related genes, were selected as well as lines with trapped genes that have not been reported *in vivo* to be associated with bone and cartilage metabolism-related diseases in humans.

Expressed Sequence Tag/New: "Novel gene". In the EGTC database, when there was no corresponding gene in the MGI, NCBI, or UCSC databases, but EST (including non-coding RNA) obtained by 5' RACE existed in UCSC, the trapped genes were classified as "EST". When there was no corresponding gene and EST, the trapped genes were classified as "New". Lines selected from "EST" or "New" were considered "Novel genes" and were defined as "not generated and phenotyped before in the literature".

Second screening. All lines selected in the first screening were produced by transplanting preserved frozen embryos into a foster parent, and Hetero male mice were mated with Hetero females. All mice were housed in a pathogen-free environment on a 12-h light cycle at 22 ± 2 °C, were fed standard chow, and had free access to water. From the obtained WT and Hetero or Homo mice, between three and eight male and female mice (except Ayu21-KBW122/Ayu21-W203) were selected to map growth curves and for biochemical blood tests. Body weights were measured from 2 to 10 weeks after birth to generate growth curves, and blood samples were collected from 12 to 16 weeks after birth for biochemical blood tests using a chemistry analyser (FUJI DRI-CHEM 4000; Fujifilm Corp., Tokyo, Japan). Levels of sodium (Na, mEq/L), potassium (K, mEq/L), chlorine (Cl, mEq/L), calcium (Ca, mg/dL), inorganic phosphorus (IP, mg/dL), magnesium (Mg, mg/dL), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP, U/L) were measured. After the biochemical blood test, each mouse was euthanized and the femurs were excised. Femurs were stored at -20 °C until experimentation. Homo mice were used for all screenings, but when

these were not available because of embryonic lethality, Hetero mice were used and analysed with WT littermate mice. In this study, both male and female mice were tested in the second screening (Supplementary Table S2). The usefulness of the first screening for the discovery of novel genes involved in bone metabolism was investigated using the results of BMA and BSA in the second screening, which directly reflect bone metabolism.

Micro-computed tomography. For μ CT scan preparation, soft tissues surrounding the femur were removed. μ CT was performed using a μ CT system (ScanXmate-L090H; Comscantecno, Kanagawa, Japan) as described²⁸⁻³⁰.

Bone morphometric analysis. BMA was performed by creating trabecular bone and cortical bone models for evaluation based on data obtained from μ CT scans (TRI/3D-BON; RATOC System Engineering, Tokyo, Japan)²⁸⁻³⁰. The trabecular bone model was cut to a 2.0-mm width proximally from the distal growth plate of the femur, and the cortical bone model was cut to a 0.5-mm width towards the narrowest part of the cortical bone in the middle of the femur. A total of 11 parameters were measured, in accordance with reported articles³¹⁻³³: four for bone mineral density (BMD) analysis (trabecular bone mineral density; Tb.BMD (mg/cm³), trabecular bone mineral content; Tb.BMC (mg), cortical bone mineral density; Ct.BMD (mg/cm³), cortical bone mineral content; Ct.BMC (mg)); three for cortical bone analysis (cortical volume; Ct.V (mm³), cortical thickness; Ct.Th (μ m), external line length; Ex.Ln.Le (μ m)); and four for trabecular bone analysis (trabecular bone volume; BV (mm³), trabecular thickness; Tb.Th (μ m), trabecular number; Tb.N (1/mm), marrow space star volume; V*m. space (mm³)).

Biomechanical strength analysis. For BSA, the mouse femur was set at the centre of the experimental bench and a three-point bending test (EZ-test S; Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan) was conducted. The distance between fulcrums was 6 mm, and maximum load (M.load, N), maximum stress (M.stress, N/mm²), maximum displacement (M.dsp, mm), maximum work (M.work, J), and elastic modulus (E.M, N/mm2) were measured until the sample broke at a test speed of 1 mm/min. For measurement of M.stress, the cross-sectional area was determined by Ex.Ln.Le (μ m) of the cortical bone obtained from BMA, and stress was calculated as an approximate value using the following formula:

Stress = Load/Cross-sectional area(N/mm²), where Cross-sectional area = $(Ex.Ln.Le)^2/4\pi$

Bone X-gal staining. Bone X-gal staining was performed using the method of Allen *et al.*³⁴. The distal segment of the femur sample was cut in the sagittal plane for observation of trabecular bone. The excised sample was placed in fixative (1% formaldehyde, 0.2% glutaraldehyde, and 0.02% NP-40 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)) at room temperature. After 30 min of agitation, the sample was washed with PBS and then transferred into staining solution (5 mM potassium ferricyanide, 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% X-gal in PBS) and left in staining solution at room temperature overnight. After staining, the sample was washed thoroughly with PBS and fixed in 10% formalin.

Ayu21-W268 and **Ayu21-T269** trap mouse line analyses, **B6;CB**-*Lbr*^{Gt}(*pU*-21W)268Card (*Lbr*^{GT}) and **B6;CB**-*Nedd4*^{Gt}(*pU*-21T)269/meg(*Nedd4*^{GT}). To verify the usefulness of this screening system, the results of mouse line analyses of Ayu21-W268 (red in Fig. 2) and Ayu21-T269 (orange in Fig. 2) selected in the first and second screening are shown as examples of representative lines, which were distinct from the reference point in Fig. 2. Ayu21-W268 is a Lamin B receptor (*Lbr*) gene trap line and Ayu21-T269 is a neural precursor cell expressed, developmentally down-regulated gene 4 (*Nedd4*) gene trap line.

Establishment of Ayu21-W268 and Ayu-T269 mouse lines. Ayu21-W268 and Ayu21-T269 clones were isolated using the exchangeable gene trap vectors pU-21W and pU-21T, respectively, and the feeder-free ES cell line KTPU8 derived from the TT2 ES cell line. The TT2 ES cell line is widely used in Japan, and was established from an F1 embryo of C57BL/6 and CBA mice. The produced chimeric male mice were mated with C57BL/6 (Nippon CLEA) females to obtain F1 Hetero mice, and Ayu21-W268 and Ayu21-T269 mouse lines were established¹³.

Evaluation of bone morphometric analysis, biomechanical strength analysis, and gene expression. BMA and BSA of $Lbr^{+/+}$ and $Lbr^{GT/GT}$ mice (n = 5) and $Nedd4^{+/+}$ and $Nedd4^{GT/GT}$ mice (n = 5) at 4 weeks old were performed as described above. The excised femur sample was cleared of adherent soft tissue and preserved in RNAlater (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 4 °C overnight. The femur was then crushed and dissolved using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Real-time polymerase chain reaction (real-time PCR) (Stepone Plus, Applied Biosystems Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA) was performed on each sample (n = 5) for the expression of the following bone and cartilage metabolism-related genes: bone morphogenetic protein 2 (*BMP2*), *Runx2*, *ALP*, *Col1a1*, *OCN*, *RANKL*, *NFATc1*, and *TRAP*. The oligonucleotides used are listed in Supplementary Table S5. Endogenous β -actin gene levels were used for normalization and the expression level of each gene was determined using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method.

Statistical analysis. For growth curves, biochemical blood tests, BMA, and BSA performed in the second screening, a case was determined as "positive" when at least one parameter was >2.0 SD from the WT mean ([WT

mean \pm 2.0 SD]), and a case was determined as "negative" when all the parameters were <[WT mean \pm 2.0 SD]. After screening, the Student's t-test was used for all mouse line analyses, and a case was considered significant at P < 0.05. Correlations between biomechanical strength and bone morphometric parameters were evaluated using the Pearson's correlation test. Correlations between the selected reasons in the first screening and positive lines in the second screening, correlations between the results of the second screening and bone X-gal staining, and correlations between "EST profile" and bone X-gal staining in the second screening were considered positive if the P-value was <0.05 using the Fisher's one-tailed test.

References

- Svedbom, A. *et al.* Osteoporosis in the European Union: a compendium of country-specific reports. *Arch Osteoporos* 8, 137 (2013).
 Yoshimura, N. *et al.* Prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, lumbar spondylosis, and osteoporosis in Japanese men and women: the
- research on osteoarthritis/osteoporosis against disability study. *J Bone Miner Metab* **27**, 620–628 (2009).
- Nakamura, K. & Ogata, T. Locomotive Syndrome: Definition and Management. *Clin Rev Bone Miner Metab* 14, 56–67 (2016).
 Komori, T. *et al.* Targeted disruption of Cbfa1 results in a complete lack of bone formation owing to maturational arrest of osteoblasts. *Cell* 89, 755–764 (1997).
- Murakami, T. et al. Signalling mediated by the endoplasmic reticulum stress transducer OASIS is involved in bone formation. Nat Cell Biol 11, 1205–1211 (2009).
- Yasuda, H. et al. Osteoclast differentiation factor is a ligand for osteoprotegerin/osteoclastogenesis-inhibitory factor and is identical to TRANCE/RANKL. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95, 3597–3602 (1998).
- 7. Stein, L. D. Human genome: end of the beginning. Nature 431, 915-916 (2004).
- Honma, Y. et al. Identification of a novel gene, OASIS, which encodes for a putative CREB/ATF family transcription factor in the long-term cultured astrocytes and gliotic tissue. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 69, 93–103 (1999).
- 9. Araki, K. et al. Exchangeable gene trap using the Cre/mutated lox system. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 45, 737-750 (1999).
- Araki, K., Araki, M. & Yamamura, K. Site-directed integration of the cre gene mediated by Cre recombinase using a combination of mutant lox sites. *Nucleic Acids Res* 30, e103 (2002).
- 11. Taniwaki, T. *et al.* Characterization of an exchangeable gene trap using pU-17 carrying a stop codon-beta geo cassette. *Dev Growth Differ* **47**, 163–172 (2005).
- Araki, M., Araki, K. & Yamamura, K. International Gene Trap Project: towards gene-driven saturation mutagenesis in mice. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 10, 221–229 (2009).
- Araki, M. et al. Database for exchangeable gene trap clones: pathway and gene ontology analysis of exchangeable gene trap clone mouse lines. Dev Growth Differ 56, 161–174 (2014).
- 14. Bassett, J. H. *et al.* Rapid-throughput skeletal phenotyping of 100 knockout mice identifies 9 new genes that determine bone strength. *PLoS Genet* **8**, e1002858 (2012).
- Voide, R., van Lenthe, G. H. & Müller, R. Bone morphometry strongly predicts cortical bone stiffness and strength, but not toughness, in inbred mouse models of high and low bone mass. J Bone Miner Res 23, 1194–1203 (2008).
- Shultz, L. D. et al. Mutations at the mouse ichthyosis locus are within the lamin B receptor gene: a single gene model for human Pelger-Huët anomaly. Hum Mol Genet 12, 61–69 (2003).
- Cohen, T. V. et al. The lamin B receptor under transcriptional control of C/EBPepsilon is required for morphological but not functional maturation of neutrophils. Hum Mol Genet 17, 2921–2933 (2008).
- Yang, B. et al. Nedd4 augments the adaptive immune response by promoting ubiquitin-mediated degradation of Cbl-b in activated T cells. Nat Immunol 9, 1356–1363 (2008).
- 19. Kawabe, H. et al. Regulation of Rap2A by the ubiquitin ligase Nedd4-1 controls neurite development. Neuron 65, 358-372 (2010).
- Manolagas, S. C. Birth and death of bone cells: basic regulatory mechanisms and implications for the pathogenesis and treatment of osteoporosis. *Endocr Rev* 21, 115–137 (2000).
- NIH Consensus Development Panel on Osteoporosis Prevention, D.a., and Therapy. Osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. JAMA 285, 785–795 (2001).
- Saito, M. & Marumo, K. Collagen cross-links as a determinant of bone quality: a possible explanation for bone fragility in aging, osteoporosis, and diabetes mellitus. Osteoporos Int 21, 195–214 (2010).
- Takeda, K. et al. Molecular cloning of rat bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) type IA receptor and its expression during ectopic bone formation induced by BMP. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 204, 203–209 (1994).
- Nishimura, R., Moriyama, K., Yasukawa, K., Mundy, G. R. & Yoneda, T. Combination of interleukin-6 and soluble interleukin-6 receptors induces differentiation and activation of JAK-STAT and MAP kinase pathways in MG-63 human osteoblastic cells. *J Bone Miner Res* 13, 777–785 (1998).
- Ali, M. M. *et al.* PIASxbeta is a key regulator of osterix transcriptional activity and matrix mineralization in osteoblasts. *J Cell Sci* 120, 2565–2573 (2007).
- Yao, Z., Xing, L. & Boyce, B. F. NF-kappaB p100 limits TNF-induced bone resorption in mice by a TRAF3-dependent mechanism. J Clin Invest 119, 3024–3034 (2009).
- 27. Miao, D. et al. Impaired endochondral bone development and osteopenia in Gli2-deficient mice. Exp Cell Res 294, 210–222 (2004).
- 28. Funamoto, T. *et al.* Roles of the endoplasmic reticulum stress transducer OASIS in fracture healing. *Bone* **49**, 724–732 (2011).
- Nishikawa, K. *et al.* Maf promotes osteoblast differentiation in mice by mediating the age-related switch in mesenchymal cell differentiation. *J Clin Invest* 120, 3455–3465 (2010).
 Nakashima, T. *et al.* Evidence for osteocyte regulation of bone homeostasis through RANKL expression. *Nat Med* 17, 1231–1234.
- Nakashima, T. et al. Evidence for osteocyte regulation of bone homeostasis through RANKL expression. Nat Med 17, 1231–1234 (2011).
- Dempster, D. W. et al. Standardized nomenclature, symbols, and units for bone histomorphometry: a 2012 update of the report of the ASBMR Histomorphometry Nomenclature Committee. J Bone Miner Res 28, 2–17 (2013).
- Bouxsein, M. L. et al. Guidelines for assessment of bone microstructure in rodents using micro-computed tomography. J Bone Miner Res 25, 1468–1486 (2010).
- Vesterby, A., Gundersen, H. J. & Melsen, F. Star volume of marrow space and trabeculae of the first lumbar vertebra: sampling efficiency and biological variation. Bone 10, 7–13 (1989).
- 34. Allen, N. D. et al. Transgenes as probes for active chromosomal domains in mouse development. Nature 333, 852–855 (1988).
- Garcia-Gonzalo, F. R. *et al.* A transition zone complex regulates mammalian ciliogenesis and ciliary membrane composition. *Nat Genet* 43, 776–784 (2011).
- 36. Hu, J. *et al.* Lysophosphatidic acid receptor 5 inhibits B cell antigen receptor signaling and antibody response. *J Immunol* **193**, 85–95 (2014).
- Sehgal, L. et al. 14-3-3
 -Mediated transport of plakoglobin to the cell border is required for the initiation of desmosome assembly in vitro and in vivo. J Cell Sci 127, 2174–2188 (2014).
- 38. Park, S. M. *et al.* Musashi-2 controls cell fate, lineage bias, and TGF-β signaling in HSCs. *J Exp Med* **211**, 71–87 (2014).
- Zhao, L. et al. Downregulation of cAMP-dependent protein kinase inhibitor gamma is required for BMP-2-induced osteoblastic differentiation. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 38, 2064–2073 (2006).

- Sharma, S. et al. Dephosphorylation of the nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) transcription factor is regulated by an RNAprotein scaffold complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108, 11381–11386 (2011).
- Evsikov, A. V. et al. Cracking the egg: molecular dynamics and evolutionary aspects of the transition from the fully grown oocyte to embryo. Genes Dev 20, 2713–2727 (2006).
- 42. Vega, R. B. et al. Histone deacetylase 4 controls chondrocyte hypertrophy during skeletogenesis. Cell 119, 555-566 (2004).

Acknowledgements

We thank W. Souma, I. Tsuchimochi, M. Nagata, A. Yuda, Y. Ozawa, A. Saito, Y. Kimachi, N. Koga, T. Keida, and K. Hori for their technical support in the laboratory. This study was supported by the Program for Promotion of Fundamental Studies in Health Sciences of the National Institute of Biomedical Innovation of Japan, grants from the Japan Orthopaedics and Traumatology Foundation, Inc. (No. 195 and 288), a Grant for Clinical Research from Miyazaki University Hospital, and JSPS KAKENHI (Grant No. 23592220, 24592271, 26462304, 26462305, 26670669, and 15K10485).

Author Contributions

S.K. and T.S. designed the study, analysed the screening data, and wrote the manuscript. S.K., T.F., T.O., S.N., and T.N. performed screening experiments. M.A., K.A., K.Y., and M.N. provided the trap mice and primary data. E.C. performed the study design and made editorial contributions. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Kurogi, S. *et al.* Development of an efficient screening system to identify novel bone metabolism-related genes using the exchangeable gene trap mutagenesis mouse models. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 40692; doi: 10.1038/srep40692 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017