



scientific report

Insights into kinetochore–DNA interactions from the structure of Cep3 Δ

Alan Purvis & Martin R. Singleton⁺

Macromolecular Structure and Function Laboratory, Cancer Research UK, London Research Institute, London, UK

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. This license does not permit commercial exploitation or the creation of derivative works without specific permission.

The CBF3 complex is an essential core component of the budding yeast kinetochore and is required for the centromeric localization of all other kinetochore proteins. We determined the crystal structure of a large section of the protein Cep3 from CBF3, which is the only component with obvious DNA-binding motifs. The protein adopts a roughly bilobal shape, with an extended dimerization interface. The dimer has a large central channel that is sufficient to accommodate duplex B-form DNA. The zincfinger domains emerge at the edges of the channel, and could bind to the DNA in a pseudo-symmetrical manner at degenerate half-sites in the centromeric sequence. We propose a mechanism for the modulation of DNA affinity by an acidic activator domain, which could be applicable to a wider family of transcription factors.

Keywords: kinetochore; transcription factor; CBF3 complex; centromere

EMBO reports (2008) 9, 56-62. doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7401139

INTRODUCTION

The kinetochore is a large proteinaceous complex that is responsible for linking chromatids to spindle microtubules during mitosis (Westermann *et al*, 2007). It transmits force from the mitotic spindle to the chromatids, generates motion through associated motor proteins and creates a checkpoint signal to prevent premature entry into anaphase. The kinetochore shows a high degree of functional conservation between species, although there is considerable sequence variability at the protein level (Meraldi *et al*, 2006). More than 65 proteins have been associated with the yeast kinetochore, of which many have been shown to form relatively stable sub-complexes (De Wulf *et al*, 2003). These associate in a hierarchical and tightly controlled manner on a

Received 30 August 2007; revised 29 October 2007; accepted 6 November 2007; published online 7 December 2007

specialized region of the chromosome known as the centromere. Despite recent advances (Wei *et al*, 2005) there are still relatively little high-resolution structural data available for kinetochore proteins. One area of interest is how the inner layer of the kinetochore binds to the appropriate centromeric DNA sequence. In budding yeast, the minimal essential DNA (CEN) required for kinetochore formation is only approximately 125 bp in length and has a defined sequence, which is both necessary and sufficient for kinetochore assembly (Clarke & Carbon, 1980). The centromere consists of three elements: CDEI, CDEII and CDEIII (Fitzgerald-Hayes et al, 1982; Fig 1A). The central CDEII element (78-86 bp) has a degenerate A/T-rich sequence, whereas CDEI (8 bp) and CDEIII (25 bp) are highly conserved. Deletions in either CDEI or CDEII degrade the fidelity of chromosome segregation but do not prevent it (Carbon & Clarke, 1984); by contrast, deletion of CDEIII entirely abolishes centromere activity (Ng & Carbon, 1987). The sequence of the CDEIII element shows partial dyad symmetry and contains a totally conserved CCG motif, in which point mutations cause a complete loss of CEN function (Jehn et al, 1991). Various proteins have been localized to the different CDE elements. The CDEIII element is bound by the CBF3 complex (Lechner & Carbon, 1991). This contains four proteins: Cep3 (Lechner, 1994; Strunnikov et al, 1995), Ctf13 (Doheny et al, 1993), Ndc10 (Goh & Kilmartin, 1993; Jiang et al, 1993) and Skp1 (Connelly & Hieter, 1996; Stemmann & Lechner, 1996). All four proteins are essential and formation of the complex is necessary for recruitment of all other kinetochore components (Sorger et al, 1994; He et al, 2001).

Biochemical (Espelin *et al*, 1997) and atomic force microscopy (AFM; Pietrasanta *et al*, 1999) studies suggest that the functional CBF3 complex has a stoichiometry of 2:2:1:1 Cep3:Ndc10:Ctf13:Skp1, giving an overall molecular mass of approximately 450 kDa (Fig 1B). AFM images show that the complex adopts a dumb-bell shape on DNA, with the binding causing bending of the DNA. In common with higher eukaryotic centromeres, the presence of nucleosomes containing the histone H3 derivative Cse4^{CENP-A} is a conserved feature in budding yeast. In this regard, the exact role of CBF3 remains unclear. It is possible that it represents the basic DNA binding element, on which the rest of the kinetochore assembles (Meluh *et al*, 1998);

Macromolecular Structure and Function Laboratory, Cancer Research UK, London Research Institute, 44 Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PX, UK ⁺Corresponding author. Tel: +44 (0) 207 269 3148; Fax: +44 (0) 207 269 3258; E-mail: martin.singleton@cancer.org.uk



Fig 1 | Structure of the budding yeast centromere and organization of the CBF3 complex. (A) Schematic diagram of the budding yeast centromere element showing the location and scale of the CDE elements, as well as the consensus DNA composition in CDEIII. (B) Diagram of the four components of the CBF3 complex on DNA. (C) Schematic of the Cep3 sequence against Gal4 showing the locations of the conserved DNA-binding domain (yellow) and acidic patch (purple). The orange bar indicates the fragment described in this study. (D) Sequence alignment of members of the zinc-cluster domains from members of the Gal4-family of transcription factors against the amino-terminal of Cep3. The secondary structure elements are shown above the alignment, and totally conserved residues are shown in red.

alternatively it's main function might be to correctly position the Cse4-containing nucleosomes in an ordered array on which other, as yet unknown factors might then assemble (McAinsh *et al*, 2003).

The only kinetochore protein with a recognisable sequencespecific DNA-binding motif in CBF3, and indeed the whole budding yeast kinetochore, is Cep3. The short amino-terminal domain of this 71 kDa protein shows a high level of sequence identity to the Zn_2Cys_6 family of transcription factors (Schjerling & Holmberg, 1996; Fig 1C,D). This family of proteins includes a large number of transcriptional regulatory proteins of which Gal4 is the best-known example. Although these proteins bind to differing recognition sites, a characteristic of their cognate DNA recognition sequence is a CGG/CCG triplet, which is in contact with the protein in the major groove. This triplet is usually present in a pair, which might be in a tandem or inverted configuration, and might be separated by up to 18 bp. Commonly, each monomer of the transcription factor binds to one DNA half-site, thus the dyad is bound by a dimer, which might or might not be symmetrical (King *et al*, 1999). The DNA-binding domains of the GAL4 family contain a binuclear zinc cluster that is linked to the rest of the protein by a flexible linker. Sequence analysis (Schjerling & Holmberg, 1996) and structural data (Marmorstein *et al*, 1992) have shown that the linker is usually directly adjacent to a dimerization element, of which all examples so far are coiled-coils. Many members of the family also have a highly acidic carboxy-terminal patch, which is involved in transcriptional activation.

To better understand the structure and assembly of the CBF3 complex, and how the budding yeast kinetochore binds to DNA, we determined the crystal structure of a truncated version of the Cep3 protein (Cep3 Δ). Attempts to crystallise the full-length protein were unsuccessful, presumably owing to the highly mobile nature of the zinc-cluster domains and linkers. Therefore, we designed a truncation starting at residue 48 in the proposed linker region, using limited proteolysis data (Russell *et al*, 1999). The



Fig 2 | Crystal structure of the Cep3 Δ monomer and dimerization in solution. (A) Ribbon diagram of the Cep3 Δ monomer with domain 1 shown in pink and domain 2 in blue. (B) Superimposition of domain 2 of Cep3 (shown in orange) against three HEAT repeats from β -importin (Protein Data Bank i.d. 1qgk; shown in grey). (C) Gel filtration profiles of full-length Cep3 and Cep3 Δ on a calibrated column. The molecular weight standards are indicated above the trace.

N-terminal of the truncated protein partly overlaps the homologous region of structurally characterised Gall4 binuclear zinc cluster, which allows an approximate mapping of the DNA-binding domains.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Overall structure

The crystal structure was solved using a combination of singlewavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD) from a selenomethioninesubstituted protein, together with heavy-atom derivatives at a resolution of 2.5 Å. The refined structure shows good electron density for most of the structure with the exception of two disordered loops.

The overall structure of the protein is predominantly α -helical with dimensions of approximately $60 \times 70 \times 30$ Å that comprise a roughly bilobal shape (Fig 2A). The monomer is divided into two domains, with domain 1 (residues 48–335) consisting of a helical barrel surrounding a central three-helix bundle, and domain 2 (residues 336–608) forming a larger, more open surface.

We compared the fold of the intact structure and individual domains to the protein databank. No extended regions of similarity to any other protein were seen, however small areas of structural homology were found with a wide variety of proteins containing helical bundles. The largest single section of homology (R.M.S.D of 3.7 Å over 135 residues) was seen between domain 2 and HEAT repeat domains (Fig 2B). HEAT repeats are often involved in protein–protein interaction surfaces (Andrade & Bork, 1995) suggesting that this domain is involved in contacts within the CBF3 complex.

Dimerization

The structure gives us a new insight into the multimeric state of Cep3. Hydrodynamic data (Russell *et al*, 1999) and considerations based on the overall mass of the complex as determined by AFM (Pietrasanta *et al*, 1999) suggest that CBF3 contains two copies of Cep3. Many other members of the GAL4 family of transcription factors form functional dimers. However, the peculiar fact that there is only one CCG half site in the CDEIII DNA consensus sequence argues that a homodimer might not be the actual state in the CBF3 complex (Lechner, 1994), and that only a single zinc-finger domain binds to a single triplet, as seen in the zinc-cluster repressor, ARGR2 (De Rijcke *et al*, 1992). Conversely, mutational studies (Jehn *et al*, 1991) have shown that a second site in the CDEIII sequence, 5' of the core CCG triplet, has a significant effect on the rates of chromosome transmission fidelity.

Gel filtration experiments with both the full length and truncated protein clearly show a stable dimer in solution (Fig 2C). In the



Fig 3 | Structure of the Cep3 Δ dimer and electrostatic properties. (A) The proposed biological dimer, formed around a crystallographic twofold axis. A space filling view, rotated by 90 ° shows the groove on the surface. (B) Electrostatic surface representations of the dimer on the concave (left) and convex (right) surfaces.

crystal structure, a symmetrical dimer is present around a crystallographic twofold axis, primarily involving domain 1 (Fig 3A). This is in contrast to the classic coiled-coil dimerization interface found in members of the GAL4 family (Marmorstein *et al*, 1992) and does not involve the heptad-repeat sequence located in the centre of the protein (Lechner, 1994; Strunnikov *et al*, 1995). The buried surface area of the Cep3 dimer interface is approximately 3240 Å², which is indicative of a biologically relevant interface (Jones & Thornton, 1996). We suggest that the active form of the protein is indeed a homodimer, with the requisite asymmetry only present at the level of the protein–DNA contacts. Precedents for this are seen in structures such as the E47 transcription factor (Ellenberger *et al*, 1994) in which a symmetrical dimer binds to an asymmetrical recognition sequence.

The dimer has a crescent shape with the concave surface forming a groove with an approximate diameter of 30 Å. The N-termini of each monomer exit the structure at the opposite corners of this groove. Despite a short region of sequence that overlaps with the structure of Gal4 (residues 48–60), this region is highly mobile so we were unable to model exactly the location of the zinc cluster using direct superimposition. It is likely that the domains have considerable freedom of movement, but their global position must be constrained by the length of the linkers.

A model for Cep3–DNA interactions

In members of the GAL4 family with known structures, dimerization occurs through a coiled-coil interaction, immediately after the zinc-cluster domains and linkers (Marmorstein *et al*, 1992). The termini of the coiled-coils lie in the centre of the dyad site, and the linkers run outwards towards the half-sites. By contrast, in Cep3 Δ , the N-termini of the protein are at opposite corners of the dimer and the linker regions must run inwards to ensure that the zinc clusters can reach the appropriate DNA half-site. On the basis of the shape of the protein, surface electrostatics (Fig 3B) and constraints on the linker length, we propose that the DNA lies along the central channel of the dimer as shown in Fig 4A. This would allow zinc clusters to reach their cognate DNA sites and bind in the major groove in the same way as Gal4. The centre of the pseudo-dyad would lie exposed at the centre of the protein, and would therefore be able to make contact with other components of CBF3. Although our model is by nature approximate, it is consistent with that proposed on the basis of crosslinking studies (Espelin et al, 1997), which show that Cep3 makes contact with CDEIII at the canonical CCG triplet and a second TGT triplet, 12 bp CDEII-proximal, whereas Ctf13 is shown to make contact with the centre of the pseudo-dyad. This model suggests that the main interaction between Cep3 and DNA occurs through the zinc-cluster domains. To test this, we carried out DNA-binding studies with full-length Cep3 and Cep3 Δ (Fig 4D,E). Electrophoretic mobility-shift assays (EMSAs) show that full-length Cep3 is able to shift a native CDEIII sequence, whereas Cep3 Δ shows no detectable binding, confirming that the zinc clusters are the main DNA-binding sites. The binding might be successfully out competed by the native sequence, but mutations in the CCG motif (site 1) are unable to do so. However, mutations in the TGT motif (site 2) are still able to compete against the native sequence showing that site 1 is the main contributor to the protein-DNA affinity. This is consistent with genetic data (Jehn et al, 1991) showing that mutations in site 2 have a far lower rate of chromosome loss than those in the core CCG triplet.

The conserved nature of the recognition triplet for the GAL4 family means that recognition of the zinc clusters alone does not confer specificity for a particular DNA sequence. Instead, this is



Fig 4 | Cep3–DNA interactions. (A) Proposed model for DNA binding. An ideal B-form duplex has been placed in the cleft, with the triplet half-sites (green) equidistant from the amino-terminal of the protein. The potential location of the linkers and zinc clusters are shown schematically. (B) Model of how Cep3 and Ctf13 could simultaneously bind to and encircle the duplex. (C) The 33 base pair DNA sequences used for binding studies. All four unlabelled DNAs were used as competitors against the FAM6 fluorescently labelled native CDEIII probe. Mutations of the native CDEIII sequence are italicised and restricted to the proposed Cep3 binding sites. (D) Electrophoretic mobility-shift assay (EMSA) performed with 4.2 μ M fluorescent probe with increasing amounts (4.2, 8.3, 12.7 μ M) of Cep3 Δ (lanes 2–4), Cep3^{1–608} (lanes 5–7), or 12.7 μ M Cep3^{1–608} with increasing amounts (3, 6, 12 × probe concentration) native CDEIII competitor (lanes 8–10). (E) EMSA performed with 4.2 μ M fluorescent probe and 12.7 μ M Cep3^{1–608} (lane 1) with increasing amounts (3, 6, 12 × probe concentration) of site 2 mutant competitor (lane 2–4), sites 1 mutant competitor (lane 5–7), or sites 1 and 2 mutant competitor (lanes 8–10).

achieved by variation in the spacing between the half-sites (Marmorstein *et al*, 1992), as specified by the linker section of the protein (Reece & Ptashne, 1993). Assuming that the TGT triplet at -12 relative to the CCG represents the second binding site, a similar mode of recognition could occur in Cep3. The 'outside-in' orientation of the linkers in our model would confer specificity for

the half-site spacing, and they might also make direct contacts with the DNA outside the triplet pair. The location of the binding sites relative to the DNA place the entire Cep3 dimer within the CDEIII element, as predicted from footprinting results of the intact CBF3 complex (Lechner & Carbon, 1991). The relatively weak electrostatic interaction between the dimer channel and



Fig 5 | Proposed function for carboxy-terminal acidic motif. (A) The acidic patch in the amino-terminal of $Cep3\Delta$ forms a disordered loop (dotted purple line). The N-terminal of the protein is coloured pink and the approximate location of the zinc cluster (ZC) indicated. (B) The acidic loop might directly contact the zinc cluster in response to binding by other factors and modulate target affinity.

the DNA backbone suggests that there is very little non-specific DNA affinity, as the binding experiments with the truncated protein confirm.

Protein-protein interactions and CBF3 assembly

We have analysed the structure of the dimer to look for potential protein-protein interaction surfaces. Previous work (Espelin et al, 1997) proposes that Cep3, Ndc10 and Ctf13 make direct contact with the CEN DNA. One model for the assembly of the CBF3 complex (Russell et al, 1999) requires that an initial association of Ctf13 and Skp1 occur, followed by binding to the Cep3 dimer, and subsequently Ndc10 and centromeric DNA. The interaction between Cep3 and Ctf13 seems to be essential to stabilize Ctf13, which is otherwise rapidly degraded. Previous work (Espelin et al, 1997) has shown that Ctf13 makes contact with the base exactly halfway between the two half-sites on the bottom strand. If this interaction were to occur in our model, Ctf13 would make contact with the opposite face of the duplex to Cep3, and so totally encircle the DNA (Fig 4B). This toroidal architecture would suggest an extremely low off-rate for the complex, and indeed measurements on CBF3 (Espelin et al, 1997) show a half-life on DNA of approximately 2 h. A simple model for the assembly of the complex would involve an initial binding of the Cep3 dimer to CDEIII, which is then stabilized by subsequent binding of Ctf13/ Skp1 and Ndc10. Our biochemical data show that the binding of Cep3 alone is relatively weak, but we would expect this to be enhanced in the intact complex.

Although this topological interface would be extremely stable, it seems likely to be the sole connection between the spindle and chromosome. It seems likely that there are additional interactions between the kinetochore and chromatin, probably mediated by Cse4-containing nucleosomes (McAinsh *et al*, 2003).

Acidic activation domain

The GAL4 family often contain short sequences enriched in acidic residues near the C-terminus (Schjerling & Holmberg, 1996). The function of these domains is to modulate transcription, which is achieved by the recruitment of other proteins (Ma & Ptashne, 1987). Cep3 also has a strongly acidic patch near the C-terminus, the location and overall charge of which are similar to other members of the GAL4 family (Fig 1C).

The structure of the acidic patch in transcription factors remains unknown. The motif has been described as an amphipathic α -helix (Giniger & Ptashne, 1987), a β -strand (Van Hoy *et al*, 1993) or totally disordered (Sigler, 1988), although it is possible that the particular structure of the motif might be protein or context specific. One difficulty with studying this has been the lack of structural information for full-length transcription factors. This is probably due to the high degree of disorder found in these proteins (Liu et al, 2006), which reflects their diverse regulatory roles. The acidic domain in Cep3 has been shown to be essential for CBF3 activity (Lechner, 1994). Analysis of the Cep3 structure shows that this motif forms a disordered loop between residues 570 and 587, the base of which is directly adjacent to the N-terminal of the protein, where the linker and zinc cluster emerge (Fig 5A). Therefore, it is possible for a direct interaction to occur between the acidic domain and the DNA-binding elements. It seems likely that this loop becomes ordered on binding by another protein, for example one of the other members of CBF3. The ordering of this loop could then directly affect the conformation of the zinc-cluster domain, with associated modulation of the DNA affinity (Fig 5B). We suggest that this mechanism could apply more generally to transcription factors with an acidic domain and that, in addition to a recruitment role, the motif might participate in intra-molecular interactions.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data provide a new insight into the mechanism of DNAbinding by the Cep3 protein and suggest how the intact CBF3 complex might form. The homology to transcription factors provides an unexpected insight into the role of acidic activator domains, which might be of wider relevance. Structural data from the intact CBF3–CEN complex would undoubtedly provide further surprises.

METHODS

The structure of recombinant Cep3 Δ was solved using SAD and multiple isomorphous replacement (MIR) methods on selenomethionine-substituted protein combined with heavy atom data from mercury and silver derivatives. The final model of Cep3 Δ contains 4,254 protein atoms, 73 water molecules, 1 β -mercaptoethanol and 1 cacodylate molecule and has an R-factor of 22.0 (R_{free} 24.6%). DNA-binding assays were performed using the EMSA Accessory Kit (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) with a FAM6 fluorescently labelled CDEIII dsDNA (33 base pairs) probe to observe DNA-protein binding in the presence of Cep3¹⁻⁶⁰⁸, Cep3 Δ and unlabelled competitor DNA. Full details of the structural determination and DNA-binding assays are given in supplementary information online. The coordinates and structure factors have been submitted to the Protein Data Bank (PDB code 2veq).

Supplementary information is available at *EMBO reports* online (http://www.emboreports.org).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank N. McDonald, H. Walden and D. Wigley for useful discussions. This work was funded by Cancer Research UK.

REFERENCES

- Andrade M, Bork P (1995) HEAT repeats in the Huntington's disease protein. Nat Genet **11:** 115–116
- Carbon J, Clarke L (1984) Structural and functional analysis of a yeast centromere (CEN3). J Cell Sci Suppl 1: 43–58
- Clarke L, Carbon J (1980) Isolation of a yeast centromere and construction of functional small circular chromosomes. *Nature* **287:** 504–509
- Connelly C, Hieter P (1996) Budding yeast SKP1 encodes an evolutionarily conserved kinetochore protein required for cell cycle progression. *Cell* **86:** 275–285
- De Rijcke M, Seneca S, Punyammalee B, Glansdorff N, Crabeel M (1992) Characterization of the DNA target site for the yeast ARGR regulatory complex, a sequence able to mediate repression or induction by arginine. *Mol Cell Biol* **12:** 68–81
- De Wulf P, McAinsh A, Sorger P (2003) Hierarchical assembly of the budding yeast kinetochore from multiple subcomplexes. *Genes Dev* **17**: 2902–2921
- Doheny K, Sorger P, Hyman A, Tugendreich S, Spencer F, Hieter P (1993) Identification of essential components of the *S. cerevisiae* kinetochore. *Cell* **73**: 761–774

Ellenberger T, Fass D, Arnaud M, Harrison S (1994) Crystal structure of transcription factor E47: E-box recognition by a basic region helix-loophelix dimer. *Genes Dev* **8:** 970–980

- Espelin C, Kaplan K, Sorger P (1997) Probing the architecture of a simple kinetochore using DNA-protein crosslinking. *J Cell Biol* **139:** 1383–1396
- Fitzgerald-Hayes M, Clarke L, Carbon J (1982) Nucleotide sequence comparisons and functional analysis of yeast centromere DNAs. *Cell* **29:** 235–244
- Giniger E, Ptashne M (1987) Transcription in yeast activated by a putative amphipathic α -helix linked to a DNA binding unit. *Nature* **330:** 670–672
- Goh P, Kilmartin J (1993) NDC10: a gene involved in chromosome segregation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Cell Biol **121**: 503–512
- He X, Rines D, Espelin C, Sorger P (2001) Molecular analysis of kinetochoremicrotubule attachment in budding yeast. *Cell* **106**: 195–206

- Jehn B, Niedenthal R, Hegemann J (1991) *In vivo* analysis of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* centromere CDEIII sequence: requirements for mitotic chromosome segregation. *Mol Cell Biol* **11**: 5212–5221
- Jiang W, Lechner J, Carbon J (1993) Isolation and characterization of a gene (CBF2) specifying a protein component of the budding yeast kinetochore. J Cell Biol **121:** 513–519
- Jones S, Thornton J (1996) Principles of protein–protein interactions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93: 13–20
- King D, Zhang L, Guarente L, Marmorstein R (1999) Structure of a HAP1-DNA complex reveals dramatically asymmetric DNA binding by a homodimeric protein. *Nat Struct Biol* **6:** 64–71
- Lechner J (1994) A zinc finger protein, essential for chromosome segregation, constitutes a putative DNA binding subunit of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* kinetochore complex, Cbf3. *EMBO J* **13**: 5203–5211
- Lechner J, Carbon J (1991) A 240 kd multisubunit protein complex, CBF3, is a major component of the budding yeast centromere. *Cell* **64**: 717–725
- Liu J, Perumal N, Oldfield C, Su E, Üversky V, Dunker A (2006) Intrinsic disorder in transcription factors. *Biochemistry* **45:** 6873–6888
- Ma J, Ptashne M (1987) Deletion analysis of GAL4 defines two transcriptional activating segments. *Cell* **48:** 847–853
- Marmorstein R, Carey M, Ptashne M, Harrison S (1992) DNA recognition by GAL4: structure of a protein–DNA complex. *Nature* **356**: 408–414
- McAinsh A, Tytell J, Sorger P (2003) Structure, function, and regulation of budding yeast kinetochores. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol **19:** 519–539
- Meluh P, Yang P, Glowczewski L, Koshland D, Smith M (1998) Cse4p is a component of the core centromere of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Cell* **94**: 607–613
- Meraldi P, McAinsh A, Rheinbay E, Sorger P (2006) Phylogenetic and structural analysis of centromeric DNA and kinetochore proteins. *Genome Biol* **7:** R23.1–R23.21
- Ng R, Carbon J (1987) Mutational and *in vitro* protein-binding studies on centromere DNA from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol* **7**: 4522–4534
- Pietrasanta L, Thrower D, Hsieh W, Rao S, Stemmann O, Lechner J, Carbon J, Hansma H (1999) Probing the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* centromeric DNA (CEN DNA)-binding factor 3 (CBF3) kinetochore complex by using atomic force microscopy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**: 3757–3762
- Reece R, Ptashne M (1993) Determinants of binding-site specificity among yeast C6 zinc cluster proteins. *Science* **261**: 909–911
- Russell I, Grancell A, Sorger P (1999) The unstable F-box protein p58-Ctf13 forms the structural core of the CBF3 kinetochore complex. *J Cell Biol* **145:** 933–950
- Schjerling P, Holmberg S (1996) Comparative amino acid sequence analysis of the C6 zinc cluster family of transcriptional regulators. *Nucleic Acids Res* **24:** 4599–4607
- Sigler P (1988) Transcriptional activation. Acid blobs and negative noodles. *Nature* **333:** 210–212
- Sorger P, Severin F, Hyman A (1994) Factors required for the binding of reassembled yeast kinetochores to microtubules in vitro. J Cell Biol 127: 995–1008
- Stemmann O, Lechner J (1996) The Saccharomyces cerevisiae kinetochore contains a cyclin–CDK complexing homologue, as identified by *in vitro* reconstitution. *EMBO J* 15: 3611–3620
- Strunnikov A, Kingsbury J, Koshland D (1995) CEP3 encodes a centromere protein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Cell Biol **128**: 749–760
- Van Hoy M, Leuther K, Kodadek T, Johnston S (1993) The acidic activation domains of the GCN4 and GAL4 proteins are not α -helical but form β -sheets. *Cell* **72:** 587–594
- Wei R, Sorger P, Harrison S (2005) Molecular organization of the Ndc80 complex, an essential kinetochore component. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 5363–5367
- Westermann S, Drubin D, Barnes G (2007) Structures and functions of yeast kinetochore complexes. Annu Rev Biochem **76:** 563–591

EMBO reports is published by Nature Publishing Group on behalf of European Molecular Biology Organization This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/>