

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Dexmetomidine, fentanyl and esmolol on prevention of the hemodynamic effects of laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. a prospective, randomized, double-blind study

M Mavri¹, K Rellos¹, I Pantazopoulos², C Kampolis³, I Floros⁴, N Iacovidou⁵, T Xanthos⁶, C Pantazopoulos^{4*}

From ESICM LIVES 2015

Berlin, Germany. 3-7 October 2015

Intr

The hemodynamic effects of laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation are well known. A variety of drugs, like fentanyl, esmolol and lately dexmetomidine, have been used in order to attenuate the stress response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. Esmolol is an ultrashort acting beta 1 selective adrenergic antagonist, while dexmetomidine is an alpha 2 adrenergic receptor agonist. Fentanyl is a short-acting opioid.

Objectives

The scientific objective of this study, was to compare the efficacy of dexmetomidine, fentanyl and esmolol for attenuation of sympathetic response during laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation.

Methods

The present study included sixty (60) elective surgery patients with ASA 1 and 2, aged 20-60 years, who needed endotracheal intubation. Patients were randomly allocated into 3 groups. Each group included twenty patients (n = 20). Patients in group D (Dexmetomidine) received 1mcg/kg dexmetomidine with infusion in 10 min, patients in group F (Fentanyl) received 2 mcg/kg fentanyl, while in group E (Esmolol), patients received 2 mg/kg esmolol two min before induction. All patients had Mallampati and Cormack-Lehane Grades 1 or 2. All patients were intubated in less than 30 sec. Systolic, diastolic, mean arterial pressures and heart rates were measured before induction, before intubation and 1, 2, 4 and 10 min after intubation.

⁴Laiko General Hospital of Athens, University of Athens, Intensive Care Unit, Athens, Greece

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Results

When recorded values before induction and intubation were compared to the measurements of the groups, it was found that 4 and 10 min after intubation, heart rate in group D and systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressures in group E, were lower than the other measurements. (p < 0.05).

Conclusions

Dexmedetomidine was superior than the other drugs in preventing laryngoscopy and intubation-related tachycardia. On the other hand, esmolol was superior in preventing systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure increases following laryngoscopy and intubation.

Authors' details

¹Central Clinic of Athens, Research and Treatment Medical Center, Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Athens, Greece. ²Evangelismos General Hospital of Athens, Intensive Care Unit, Athens, Greece. ³Laiko General Hospital of Athens, University of Athens, Pathophysiology Clinic, Athens, Greece. ⁴Laiko General Hospital of Athens, University of Athens, Intensive Care Unit, Athens, Greece. ⁵University of Athens, Medical School, Neonatology, Athens, Greece. ⁶University of Athens, Medical School, MSc Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation, Athens, Greece.

Published: 1 October 2015

References

1. Yavascaoglu B, Kaya FN, Baykara M, et al: A comparison of esmolol and dexmedetomidine for attenuation of intraocular pressure and haemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2008, **25**:517-19.
2. Atlee JL, Dhamee MS, Olund TL, et al: The use of esmolol, nicardipine, or their combination to blunt hemodynamic changes after laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. *Anesth Analg* 2000, **90**:280-5.
3. Adachi YU, Satomoto M, Higuchi H, et al: Fentanyl attenuates the hemodynamic response to endotracheal intubation more than the response to laryngoscopy. *Anesth Analg* 2002, **95**:233-7.

doi:10.1186/2197-425X-3-S1-A210

Cite this article as: Mavri *et al.*: Dexmetomidine, fentanyl and esmolol on prevention of the hemodynamic effects of laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. a prospective, randomized, double-blind study. *Intensive Care Medicine Experimental* 2015 **3**(Suppl 1):A210.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com
