Effect of heat treatment of digestion-resistant fraction from soybean on retarding of bile acid transport *in vitro**

Sung-Hee Han^{1,2}, Seog-Won Lee³ and Chul Rhee^{4§}

¹Institute of Life Science and Natural Resources, Korea University, 1 Anam-dong 5-ka, Sungbuk-gu, Seoul 136-701, Korea ²Present post; Department of Applied Biological Chemistry, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kinki University, 3327-204 Naka-Machi, Nara, Nara 631-8505, Japan

³Department of Food and Nutrition, Yuhan College, 185-34 Goean-dong, Sosa-gu, Bucheon, Kyeonggi 422-749, Korea ⁴Division of Food Bioscience and Technology, College of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Korea University, 1 Anam-dong 5-ka, Sungbuk-gu, Seoul 136-701, Korea

Received January 29, 2009; Revised May 6, 2009; Accepted May 25, 2009

Abstract

In this study, we investigated the heat effect of digestion-resistant fraction (RF) from soybean on retarding bile acid transport *in vitro*. The RFs from soybean retarded bile acid transport. A raw, unheated RF of soybean (RRF-SOY) was significantly more effective than the heated RF of soybean (HRF-SOY). The RS1 which physically trapped in milled grains and inaccessible to digestive enzyme after 18 hrs incubation level of content in RRF-SOY was found to be as high as 24.1% and after heating the RS1 of HRF-SOY was significantly reduced to 16.8%. The X-ray diffraction pattern of RF from soybean was altered after heat treatment. The RFs from soybean were characterized by peak at diffraction angles of 12.0° and 20.0° corresponding to RS content. Cellulose contents of RRF-SOY was 5% higher than that of HRF-SOY, too. Whereas the hemicellulose content of RRF-SOY was 13% lower than HRF-SOY.

Key Words: Digestion-resistant fraction, thermal processing, resistant starch, xylose

Introduction

The digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) consisted of resistant starch (RS) and non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) have important implications for diabetes, colon cancer and blood lipid chemistry (Anderson *et al.*, 2000; Hinggins *et al.*, 2004; Leeuw *et al.*, 2004; Leu *et al.*, 2002). The potential mechanisms for this health include the promotion of beneficial microflora that uses NSP of RF as a substrate in colon. And the health benefits like RS is a reduced glycemic index. Particularly, RF was found to have great effects on various disease risks with resistant starch 1 (RS1), which is physically trapped in milled grains and inaccessible to digestive enzyme after 18 hrs incubation (Annison & Topping, 1994; He *et al.*, 2005). Also, the RF feeding had the increased the bile acid excretion in mice stool samples of apolipoprotein deficient mice after feeding RFs (Han *et al.*, 2006)

Several studies have shown that ungelatinized starch, resistant starch 2 (RS2) of RF, which is abundant in raw potato, banana, and high amylose maize starch, are not degraded by digestive enzymes after 4-5 hrs incubation *in vitro* (Mccleary & Monaghan, 2002). Heating of plant food alters the physical and physiological properties of RF, which, in turn, affects the quality and quantity of RS and NSP. RF from unheated source, so-called *Saeng-shik* in Korea, has more RS and shows more effectiveness in lowering diabetes and cardiovascular disease risk compared to RF from processed sources (Park *et al.*, 2003). Soybeans widely ground and consumed in various regions of the world, are rich and relatively inexpensive sources of proteins and carbohydrates. Its carbohydrates are composed of starch and nonstarch polysaccharides as resistant starch, cellulose, hemicellulose, and pentosan. It was known that they affected colon cancer, obesity and cardiovascular disease (Han *et al*, 2004; Lee *et al.*, 2006). However, the change in the amount of RS and NSP of soybean during heating may be partly attributed to the redistribution of RF. Also, the governing factors for the physiological functionality of RF have not been determined.

In the present study, the effects of change of functional factors (RS1 and NSP) of RF from soybean on bile acid transport were investigated.

^{*} This work was supported by a Korea University Grant.

[§] Corresponding Author: Chul Rhee, Tel. 82-2-3290-3023, Fax. 82-2-928-1351, Email. rhee2@korea.ac.kr

Material and Methods

Preparation of RFs

Soybeans [Glycine max(L.) Merr.] was purchased from Sunhan Nonghyup in Korea. Fat of soybean were defatted before preparation of digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) by hexane. RFs were prepared using a modification of Goni et al. (1996). Soybeans were finely ground before heat treatment and autoclaved under standard conditions (121°C, 15 min, 1 atm). Heat-treated and raw, unheated samples were then processed further. First, proteins in 10 g of samples were digested by incubation with pepsin in 1000 mL of KCI-HCl buffer (pH 1.5) for 60 min at 40°C. After protein digestion, carbohydrates were digested by adding 900 mL of a-amylase solution (4 mg/100 mL) in tris-maleate buffer (25.5 g/ 550 mL, pH 6.9) for 18 hrs at 40°C. After two digestion reactions, the samples were centrifuged (3000 \times g, 15 min), the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was washed three times with distilled water. The pellet was then incubated in a series of alkaline and acidic solutions for 30 min at room temperature (KOH [67.3 g/ 300 mL], followed by HCl [14.0 mL/ 550 mL]). The solution was further incubated with sodium acetate buffer (9.8 g/ 300 mL, pH 4.75). Finally, the residuals were digested with amyloglucosidase (Sigma A-7255) for 1 hr at 60°C. Each digestion reactions were performed with appropriate shaking. The final sample was washed, centrifuged (3000 \times g, 15 min), and the lyophilized pellet was used as an RF in the experiments. Two types of RFs were prepared: a raw, unheated RF (RRF) and a heated RF (HRF) from soybean (SOY). The RFs were designated as RRF-SOY, and HRF-SOY, respectively.

Retarding effect of digestion-resistant fraction in vitro on bile acid transport

Retarding effects of digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) on *in vitro* bile acid transport were investigated by dialysis (Sigma D7884: M.W. cut-off<1,200) using a glucose transport model. 0.2 g of RF in 6 mL of phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) with sodium azide (0.65 g) and taurocholic acid (0.05 g) were added to a dialysis tube. The dialysis bag was then placed in a 150 mL capped cylindrical container containing 100 mL of sodium azide and shaken at 75 rpm in a 37 °C water bath for 24 hrs. Aliquots of 1 mL of the dialysate were removed at regular intervals, and assayed for taurocholic acid content according to others (Ju *et al.*, 2003; Lee *et al.*, 1996; Lee *et al.*, 2003).

X-ray diffraction

X-ray diffraction analysis for digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) was performed with X-ray diffraction image processor (Bruker D8 discover, Germany). Analysis parameters were 40 kV and 40 mA; Cuk radiation $\lambda = 0.154$ nm. The samples were scanned

though 2θ range from 5° to 40°.

Content of cellulose and hemicellulose

Cellulose was analyzed according to Kim *et al.* (1986). Digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) were hydrolyzed by a cellulase mixture [*Trichoderma viride* cellulase: *Aspergillus niger* cellulase, 10:1 (W/W)]. One hundred twenty mg of the cellulase mixture were added to 500 mg of RFs and 15 mL of sodium acetate buffer (0.49 g/15 mL, pH 4.8). The mixtures were allowed to react in a 50°C shaking water bath (120 rpm) for 1 hr. Then the mixtures were centrifuged (3,500 rpm, 20 min) and analyzed for reducing sugars.

Cellulose content of RFs was determined by calculation of reducing sugar content using a DNS method (Lee *et al.*, 2005). In brief, 0.5 mL of supernatant and 1.5 mL of DNS reagent (1,000 mL distilled water + 7.5 g dinitrosalicylic acid + 14 g NaOH + 216 g potassium sodium tartrate + 5.4 mL phenol + 5.9 g Na₂S₂O₅) were mixed well. After mixing, the samples were allowed to stand for 5 min in boiling water, and then cooled to room temperature in ice water. The absorbance of the samples was measured at 550 nm and the cellulose of samples was calculated according to the following equation:

Cellulose (%) =
$$\frac{B}{A} \times 100$$

- A: the absorbance of reducing sugar produced from reagentgrade cellulose.
- B: the absorbance of reducing sugar produced from samples

Hemicelluloses in RFs were measured according to others (Lee *et al.*, 2004; Nagata *et al.*, 2001). First, to remove the amount of protein in RFs from soybean, One hundred mL of sodium chloride (5 g/ 95 mL) were added to 1 g of sample and homogenized for 20 min. The samples were then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. 100 mL of alcohol (80 mL/ 100 mL) were added in the precipitate, extracted, and filtrated. Alcohol-insoluble RFs were extracted by KOH (22.4 g/ 100 ml) and centrifuged. The pH of the supernatant was then adjusted to pH 4 with hydrochloric acid. The residue of hemicelluloses was lyophilized and the yield was calculated.

Pentosans

The total pentosans were analyzed according to others (Hashimoto *et al.*, 1987; Delcour *et al.*, 1999) with some modification. The samples were weighed (10 mg) and 2 mL of HCl (14.0 mL/ 550 ml) were added. The mixtures were then hydrolyzed at 100°C for 3 hr. After cooling, the samples were neutralized by adding 2 mL of sodium carbonate (0.21 g/ml). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast (25 mg/mL of sodium phosphate buffer [0.16 g/ mL], pH 7.0) was added and the samples were incubated in a shaking water bath at 37°C for 1 hr. The mixture

was then centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 10 min. The supernatant was analyzed by the Orcinol-HCl method (Albaum & Umbreit, 1947).

Analysis of monosaccharides in digestion-resistant fractions (RFs)

Monosaccharide composition of digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) from soybean was determined by using of a high performance anion exchange chromatography (HPAEC) (Bio-LC; Dionex Co., Sunnyvale, California, U.S.A). Ten mg of RFs were hydrolyzed in 1 mL of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, [57.2 mg/mL]) for 2.5 hr at 100°C. An analytical column for carbohydrate detection (CarboPac PA1; Dionex Co., Sunnyvale, California, USA) and an electrochemical detector (ED50A; Dionex Co., Sunnyvale, California, USA) were used. Separation of the various monosaccharides was achieved using eluent A (NaOH [0.72 g / mL]) followed by application of a linear gradient for 30 min using eluent B (NaOH [8 g/ mL]) (Seo *et al.*, 2004)

Statistical analysis

The results are expressed as mean \pm standard division (n=3). An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed, and the differences among the samples were determined by Duncan's Multiple Range Test using the Statistical Analysis System (Version 9.13, SAS Institute, GA, USA). *P* values<0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Chemical composition of digestion-resistant fractions (RFs)

Raw, unheated (R) and heat-treated (H) digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) were prepared from soybean (SOY). The yield of RF was approximately 5 g per 100 g unheated materials. However, the yield was markedly reduced after the heat treatment (2.4 g per 100 g of soybean). The composition of two types of RFs was shown in Table 1. Carbohydrate, protein, and lipid

Table 1. Composition of digestion-resistant fractions from soybean(%, w/w)

Components	Digestion-resistant fraction (resistant starch and fibers)			
	Raw	Heat- treated		
Moisture	11.10 ± 0.01	9.00 ± 0.02		
Carbohydrate	0.00 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00		
Protein	0.99 ± 0.02	0.66 ± 0.07		
Ash	2.10 ± 0.03	2.03 ± 0.02		
Lipid	0.22 ± 0.02	0.28 ± 0.05		
Resistant fraction	85.59	88.03		
- Non-starch soluble fiber	30.17 ± 0.15	31.91 ± 0.08		
- Non-starch insoluble fiber	31.32 ± 0.05	39.32 ± 0.05		
- Resistant starch (RS1)	24.10 ± 0.50	16.80 ± 0.36		



Fig. 1. Retarding effects of digestion-resistant fraction on bile acid transport in vitro. RRF-SOY: RF from raw soybean; HRF-SOY: RF from heated soybean.

contents of RFs were nearly zero. The RF from raw, unheated soybean had relatively high level of resistant starch (RS) compared to that of heated sample. After heating, the content of RS reduced, but the fiber was vice versa.

Retarding effect of digestion-resistant fraction in vitro on bile acid

The digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) from soybean were retarded bile acid transport (Fig. 1). In only bile acid solution (control) was dialyzed and its transport continually increased up to 18 hrs. But samples of RFs including were significantly retarded the bile acid transport. Also, digestion-resistant fraction from raw, unheated soybean (RRF) induced low level of bile acid transport than that of digestion-resistant fraction from heated soybean (HRF) up to the limit time, although level of transport increased rapidly in early stage of dialysis (<4 hrs). The decrease in transport level brought by adding RRF-SOY and HRF-SOY were 26.7 and 48.2% for bile acid. This retarding effect is in accordance with results of previous *in vivo* experiments (Lee *et al.*, 2003). As shown the Table 1, heat treatment changed compositions of RF. So it seems to be that the composition of RFs from soybean affected the functionality of RF.

X-ray diffraction patterns

The X-ray diffraction patterns of digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) were showed in Fig. 2. The RFs from soybean were characterized by peak at different angles (2θ) corresponding to resistant starch (RS) content. The structures of RFs were influenced by heating. The peak of raw soybean starch as a control of X-ray diffraction had the strong intensity at diffraction angles of 12.0 and 20.0°. The RF from unheated soybean (RRF-SOY) showed similar the peak intensity to raw soybean



Fig. 2. Digestion-resistant fractions X-ray pattern of raw and heated soybean. Control: soybean starch; RRF-SOY: RF from raw soybean; HRF-SOY: RF from heated soybean.

starch, control. However, the RF from heated soybean (HRF-SOY) was characterized by a peak at a diffraction angle (2θ) of 19.8°. The X-ray pattern of HRF-SOY were reduced peaks at diffraction angles of 12 and 20° compare to RRF- SOY.

Cellulose and hemicellulose

Cellulose and Hemicellulose content of digestion-resistant fractions (RFs) from unheated and heated soybean was shown in Fig. 3. The RFs of two samples had cellulose over 20%. The RF from unheated soybean (RRF-SOY) had significantly high level of cellulose content than RF from heated soybean (HRF-SOY). However, in case of hemicellulose, the hemicellulose content of RRF-SOY and HRF-SOY showed different trend compared to the results of cellulose of RFs. Hemicellulose of RFs from soybean had over 30%. Hemicellulose of RRF-SOY was low level than HRF-SOY.



Fig. 3. Celluloses (A) and hemicelluloses (B) content of digestion-resistant fraction from raw and heated soybean. RRF-SOY: RF from raw soybean; HRF-SOY: RF from heated soybean.



Fig. 4. Pentosans of digestion-resistant fractions from raw and heated soybean. RRF-SOY: RF from raw soybean; HRF-SOY: RF from heated soybean.



Fig. 5. HPAEC analysis of the monosaccharide composition of RRF-SOY (A) and HRF-SOY (B). The peaks correspond to rhamnose (5), arabinose (6), galactose (7), glucose (8), xylose (9), mannose (10), and fructose (11).

Pentosans of digestion-resistant fractions from soybean

effect of RF on bile acid in vitro.

Pentosan content of digestion-resistant fraction (RF) from unheated and heated soybean was shown in Fig. 4. Pentosan content of RF from unheated soybean (RRF-SOY; 29.6%) was significantly higher value than RF from heated soybean (HRF-SOY; 25.1%). This was similar trend like those of RS and cellulose in RRF-SOY. Pentosan is a polymer of various pentoses, arabinose, xylose, and ribose and that sort of things. Particularly, arabinose and xylose were well known to have the physiological functional components (Marlett & Fischer, 2003). So, this result could be explains a correlation with the retarding

Monosaccharides in digestion-resistant fractions

Peaks for rhamnose, arabinose, galactose, glucose, xylose, mannose, and fructose in digestion-resistant fraction (RF) from unheated soybean (RRF-SOY) and RF from heated soybean (HRF-SOY) were assigned by comparison with standard (Fig. 5 and Table 2). In RRF-SOY, glucose, galactose, and arabinose were found to have the highest levels at 32.5, 25.2, and 15.0%, respectively. Whereas in HRF-SOY sample had glucose, galactose, and arabinose levels at 1.9, 30.1, and 31.3%. On the

 Table 2. HPAEC analysis of the monosaccharide composition of RRF-SOY and HRF-SOY

RFs	Number	Retention time (min)	Peak name	Height nC	Area (nC·min)	Relative area (%)
RRF-SOY ¹⁾	1	1.56	UK. ³⁾	88.96	18.94	7.85
	2	2.49	UK.	5.35	0.74	0.31
	3	4.66	UK.	12.40	1.98	0.82
	4	4.97	UK.	20.12	3.60	1.49
	5	7.71	rhamnose	4.44	1.05	0.44
	6	9.10	arabinose	138.79	36.08	14.96
	7	12.05	galactose	168.26	60.73	25.18
	8	12.92	glucose	202.02	78.46	32.53
	9	13.60	xylose	6.23	2.12	0.88
	10	14.32	mannose	16.83	7.35	3.05
	11	16.33	fructose	58.39	25.89	10.73
	12	18.35	UK	3.46	2.28	0.95
	13	22.43	UK	1.60	1.14	0.47
	14	25.22	UK	0.47	0.35	0.15
	15	26.51	UK	0.30	0.22	0.09
	16	29.25	UK	0.36	0.28	0.11
HRF-SOY ²⁾	1	1.45	UK	196.51	39.52	21.56
	2	3.47	UK	2.20	1.45	0.79
	3	4.55	UK	29.56	5.66	3.09
	4	6.78	UK	0.74	0.20	0.11
	5	7.50	rhamnose	7.84	1.98	1.08
	6	8.84	arabinose	203.95	57.36	31.28
	7	11.63	galactose	159.62	56.47	30.08
	8	12.46	glucose	10.13	3.49	1.90
	9	13.13	xylose	3.76	1.05	0.57
	10	13.80	mannose	35.27	13.22	7.21
	11	15.87	UK	1.46	1.13	0.61
	12	17.73	UK	1.46	0.78	0.42
	13	25.07	UK	0.76	0.80	0.44
	14	28.99	UK	0.36	0.26	0.14

¹⁾ RRF-SOY: RF from raw soybean

²⁾ HRF-SOY: RF from heated soybean

other hand, arabinose is a component of hemicellulose. But the hemicellulose of HRF-SOY was higher than did it RRF-SOY. These results indicate that the functional factors of RF from soybean on retarding effect on bile acid might be not much influenced by arabinose. And the retarding effect of RF on bile acid transport might be big influenced by glucose as a component part of RS. Also, xylose content of RRF-SOY was higher 35.2% than that of HRF-SOY.

Discussion

RFs are known to have an affect on various diseases. Particularly, effect of RFs from soybean on cholesterol metabolism was reported (Han *et al.*, 2004). In this study, we examined the retarding effect of heat treatment of digestion-resistant fraction from soybean on retarding of bile acid transport *in vitro*. In consequence, heat treatment had affected to RFs content and it's functionality on retarding bile acid. After heating, RS in RFs content were markedly reduced. And the levels of cellulose and pentosan in RFs from unheated soybean were higher, whereas the levels of RS in RFs from heated soybean were reduced. The retarding effect showed difference with different RFs content. We conformed reduction of RS in RFs after heating by X-ray diffraction. The peak of RS was reduced in RF from soybean so that NSP peak was distinguished after heating, relatively. These results seem to be that the RS in RFs was melted by heating. The X-ray diffraction depended on the chain lengths making up the amylopectin lattice, amylose and the density of packing within the granules. Therefore, X-ray diffraction had affect heat treatment (Sajilata et al., 2006; Xie et al., 2006). And Sievert and et al reported that effect of autoclaving and autoclaving-cooling cycles of RS. After autoclaving, the peak of X-ray diffraction was lower than those native starches and control. Also, autoclave cycle was increased as the peak of X-ray diffraction was decreased (Siever et al., 1991). Therefore HRF-SOY may have also to be more affected by NSP than RRF-SOY because RS was melted by heat treatment (Ruan et al., 2004). These were supported by result of cellulose, hemicallulose and pentosan. In case of hemicellulose, that of RRF-SOY was lower level than HRF-SOY. Whereas cellulose content of RRF-SOY was higher level than HRF-SOY. So the RRF-SOY of low hemicellulose content or high cellulose content will affect on the physiological function (Takahashi et al). The chemical structure of cellulose is different. Cellulose is linear polymer of β-1,4-linked glucose units. Hydrogen bonding between sugar residues in adjacent chains imparts a crystalline microfibril structure. Hemicelluloses are a cell wall polysaccharides containing backbones of B-1,4-linked pyranosides sugars, but differ from cellulose in that they are smaller in size, contain a variety of sugars, and are usually branched. Moreover, the researcher reported that these structure characteristic of hemicellulose from soybean influenced on serum antibody levels and activation of microphage in rats (Nagata et al., 2001). And cellulose seems to play a role in bile acid-binding when it stabilizes the cell wall architecture after the digestion process (Dongowski 2007). Also, different sugar content in RFs supported that effect of thermal processing of soybean on the sugar composition of RFs (Periago et al., 1997). In sugar composition, the glucose and xylose content of RF from unheated soybean was higher than the RF from heated soybean. The xylooligosaccarides is well known to have functional monosaccharide on retarding effect of bile acid. Moreover, the retarding effect of RF on bile acid also had been affected by the difference of sugar content (Vazquez et al., 2000).

The level of RS, pentosan, glucose, and xylose were inversely correlated to that of the *in vitro* retarding effect on bile acid. Therefore, this study suggests that heating soybean has affected to the RF of functional components. Also, we think that the RS and the monosaccharide composition like xylose are important factors for retarding effect on bile acid transport.

³⁾ Unknown peak

Literature cited

- Albaum HG & Umbreit WW (1947). Differntataion between ribose-3-phosphate and ribose-5-phosphate by means of the orcinol-pentose reaction. *J Biol Chem* 167:369-376.
- Anderson JW, Hanna TJ, Peng X & Kryscio RJ (2000). Whole grain foods and heart disease risk. J Am Col Nutr 19:291S-299S.
- Annison G & Topping DL (1994). Nutritional role of resistant starch: chemical structures vs physiological function. *Annu Rev Nutr* 85:1461-1465.
- Delcour JA, Van Win H & Grobet PJ (1999). Distribution and structual varitation of arabinoxylans in commn wheat mill streams. *J Agric Food Chem* 47:271-275.
- Dongowski G (2007). Intractions between dietary fiber-rich preparations and glycoconjugated bile acids in vitro. *Food Chem* 104:390-397.
- Goni I, Garcia-Diz L, Manas E & Saura-Calixto F (1996). Anaysis of resistant starch: a method for foods and food products. *Food Chem* 56:445-449.
- Han KH, Sekikawa M, Shimada KI, Sasaki K, Ohba K & Fukushima M (2004). Resistant starch fraction prepare from kintoki bean affects gene expression of gene associated with cholesterol metabolism in rat. *Exp Biol Med* 229:787-792.
- Han SH, Chung MJ, Lee SJ & Rhee Chul (2006). Digestion-resistant fraction from soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merrill] induces hepatic LDL receptor and CYP7A1 expression in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice. J Nutr Biochem 17:682-688.
- Hashimoto S, Shogren MD & Pomeranz Y (1987) Cereal pentosans : Their estimation and significance. I. Pentosan in wheat and milled wheat products. *Cereal Chem* 64:30-34.
- He M, Hong J, Yang YX & Shen X (2005). Influence of resistant starch on colon for a of mice. *Wei Sheng Yan Jiu* 34:85-87.
- Higgins JA (2004). Resistant starch : Metabolic effects and potential health benefits. *J AOAC Int* 84:761-768.
- Ju IO, Jung GT, Ryu J & Kim YS (2003). Effect of heat treatment on physical properties and in vitro glucose, bile acid, and cadmium transport retardation of wax ground (Benincase hispida). *Korean J Food Sci Technol* 35:1117-1123.
- Kim DW, Yang JH & Jung YG (1986). Hydrolysis of cellulose by enzyme mixture. *Korean J of Chem Eng* 24:407-413.
- Lee CH, Oh SH, Yang EJ & Kim YS (2006). Effects of raw, cooked, and germinated small black soybean powders on dietary content and gastrointestinal functions. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 15:635-638.
- Lee KS & Kee SR (1996). Retarding effect of dietary fibers on the glucose and bile acid movement across a dialysis membrane in vitro. *Korean J Food Sci Technol* 29:738-746.
- Lee MY, Kim MK, Shin JG & Kim SD (2004). Dietary effect of hemicellulose from soy fiber on blood glucose and cholesterol content in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat. J Food Sci Nutr 33:1119-1125.
- Lee SW, Lee JH, Han SH, Lee JW & Rhee C (2005). Effects of various processing methods on the physical properties of cooked

rice and on in vitro hydrolysis and blood glucose response in rat. *Starch/Stärke* 57:531-539.

- Lee YT, Yoo JW, Yoo MS, Choi KH, Kim JH & Seog HM (2003). Retarding effects of β-glucan separated from barley bran on in vitro transport. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 12:298-302.
- Leeuw JA, Tongbloed AW & Verstegen MWA (2004). Dietary fiber stabilizes blood glucose and insulin levels and reduces physical activity in sow (Susscrofa). J Nutr 134:1481-1486.
- Leu RKL, Hu LY & Young GP (2002). Effects of resistant starch and nonstarch polysaccarides on colonic luminal environment and genotoxin-induced apoptosis in the rat. *Carcinogenesis* 23:713-719.
- Marlett JA & Fischer MH (2003). Session : Physiological aspects of fiber. The active fraction of psyllium seed husk. Proc Nutr Soc 62:207-209.
- Mccleary BV & Monaghan DA (2002). Measurement of resistant starch. J AOAC Int 85:665-675.
- Nagata JI, Higashiuesato Y, Maeda G, Chnen I, Saito M, Iwabuchi K & Onoe K (2001) Effects of water-soluble hemicellulose from soybean hull on serum antibody levels and activation of microphages in rats. J Agric Food Chem 49:4965-4970.
- Park JY, Yang MZ, Jun HS, Lee JH, Bae HK & Park TS (2003). Effect of raw brown rice and Job's Tear supplemented diet on serum and hepatic lipid concentrations, antioxidative system, and immune function of rat. J Food Sci Nutr 32:197-206.
- Periago MJ, Ros G & Casas JL (1997). Non-Starch Polysaccharides and in Vitro Starch Digestibility of Raw and Cooked Chick Peas. J Food Sci 62:93-96.
- Ruan D, Zhang L, Zhou J, Jin H & Chen H (2004). Structure and properties of novel fibers spun from cellulose in NaOH/Thioura aqueous solution. *Macromol Biosci* 4:1105-1112.
- Sajilata MG, Singhal RS & Kulkarni PR (2006). Resistant starch-a review. Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety. *Institute of Food Technologists* 5:1-17.
- Seo EJ, Yoo SH, Oh KW, Cha JH, Lee HG & Park CS (2004). Isolation of an exopolycasaccharide-producing bacterium *Shingomonas* sp. CS101, which forms an unusal type of shingan. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 68:1146-1148.
- Sievert D, Czuchajowska Z & Pomeranz Y (1991). Enzyme-resistant starch. III. X-ray diffraction of autoclaved amylomaize VII starch and enzyme-resistant starch residues. *Cereal Chem* 68:86-91.
- Takahashi T, Karita S, Ogawa N & Goto M (2005). Crystalline cellose reduces plasma glucose concentrations and stimulates water absorption by increasing the digesta viscosity in rats. J Nutr 135:2405-2410.
- Vazquez MJ, Alonso JL, Dominguez H & Parajo JC (2000). Xylooligosaccharides: manufacture and applications. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 11:387-393.
- Xie X, Liu Q & Cui SW (2006). Studies on the granular structure of resistant starchs (type 4) from normal, high amylose and waxy corn starch citrates. *Food Res Intern* 39:332-341.