RHEUMATOLOGY ADVANCES IN PRACTICE Letter to the Editor (Case report)

Rheumatology Advances in Practice 2021;00:1–3 doi: 10.1093/rap/rkab104 Advance Access Publication 20 December 2021

Clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis associated with anti-nuclear matrix protein 2 antibody

Key message

 Testing for myositis-specific antibodies could help in the diagnosis of atypical idiopathic inflammatory myopathies, such as clinically amyopathic DM associated with anti-nuclear matrix protein 2 antibodies.

DEAR EDITOR, In recent years, a number of novel myositisspecific antibodies (MSAs) have been identified to classify clinical subsets of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIMs) [1], although for diagnosis, anti-Jo-1 antibody is the only stated antibody in the EULAR/ACR classification criteria [2]. The anti-nuclear matrix protein 2 (NXP2) antibody, positive in 1.6–3.0% of adults with IIMs [3], is known to be associated with s.c. oedema and a severe muscle phenotype [1, 4–6]. Here, we report a rare case of clinically amyopathic DM (CADM) presenting with the anti-NXP2 antibody.

A 38-year-old woman, with a history of photosensitivity, presented with s.c. oedema and a rash that had been worsening over several months. The physical examination revealed lesions on her cheeks and left forearm, but not on the proximal limbs or the trunk (Fig. 1A and B). At the initial presentation, rashes were not found on her hands, nor was nailfold erythema. The laboratory test findings, such as CRP, creatinine kinase and eosinophil levels, were within normal limits. The IIF findings for ANAa and anti-cytoplasmic antibodies were negative, as were the disease-specific antibodies, including: the EIA findings for anti-aminoacyl transfer RNA synthetase (ARS) antibody; the ELISA findings for the antimelanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5), anti-transcriptional intermediary factor $1-\gamma$ (TIF1- γ) and anti-Mi-2 antibody; the chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay findings for anti-DNA antibody; and the double immunodiffusion method findings for anti-RNP and anti-SSA antibody; all the laboratory tests used were commercially available ones. The chest X-ray did not show any abnormalities. MRI of the most significant oedematous lesion showed fasciitis in the forearm but no sign of inflammation in any muscle. Examination by a dermatologist concluded that the rashes were not disease specific, such as heliotrope rash or the Gottron sign/papule, but biopsy of the skin lesion showed interface dermatitis with increased dermal mucin, a finding

corresponding to DM (Fig. 1C). Thus, we also performed electromyography and MRI of the proximal limbs; the results of both were normal. At that time, we could not make a definite diagnosis. During the 3 months after her first visit, the s.c. oedema continued to worsen, findings suggestive of oedematous myositis [7]. New rashes had also appeared, which were eventually determined to be the Gottron sign (Fig. 1D). Again, suspecting CADM, we additionally conducted tests for anti-small ubiquitin-like modifier-1 activating enzyme (SAE) and anti-NXP2 antibodies in the laboratory by immunoprecipitation followed by western blot analysis, which revealed positivity for the anti-NXP2 antibody (Fig. 1E). Finally, because of the delayed onset of the Gottron sign, we were able to diagnose CADM according to the EULAR/ACR IIMs criteria. Soon after the diagnosis was confirmed, the patient was given systemic glucocorticoids and the s.c. oedema improved rapidly. The disease was well controlled throughout the course, and no evidence of internal malignancy has occurred since the start of treatment.

The diagnosis of IIMs, especially CADM, can sometimes be challenging. This case highlights two important points related to the diagnosis of IIMs. First, it focuses on the utility of testing for MSAs. Knowing that anti-NXP2 antibody is significantly associated with severe muscular manifestation [4-6] and given the rarity of the clinically amyopathic phenotype [3, 6], we initially could not predict an anti-NXP2 antibody association in our patient, which led to our delayed testing for the antibody. Second, this case highlights a weakness of the present classification criteria. Even if we had known the patient's positivity for the anti-NXP2 antibody soon after her arrival, we could not have diagnosed CADM at that point because her typical DM rash appeared much later. Such cases are not rare according to a previously reported external performance validation of the classification criteria for IIMs, showing that several DM patients could not be diagnosed correctly on the basis of the criteria, although they were positive for MSAs [8]. Another study also showed that 25% of patients with skin-predominant DM fail to meet the present classification criteria, thus calling for a revision [9]. These studies point out the weakness of the present criteria, which might have to be reconsidered in terms of placing more emphasis on MSAs. Furthermore, the frequency of MSAs and the associated clinical phenotype among diverse ethnic backgrounds must also be discussed. The frequency of MSAs is known to vary according to ethnic background, especially for anti-MDA5 and anti-TIF1 γ antibodies [10]. The frequency of anti-NXP2 antibody also differs, having been reported in 1.6% in a Japanese cohort and in 11% in a Stanford University cohort [3, 6]. It remains unknown

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Rheumatology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Fig. 1 Skin involvements and detection of anti-nuclear matrix protein 2 antibody in the present case

(A) Facial rash. The patient's left cheek was more swollen than the right one. (B) Subcutaneous oedema with rash on the left forearm. (C) The skin biopsy findings showed basal cell vacuolization, a feature of interface dermatitis. (D) The Gottron sign, which appeared several months later than her forearm s.c. oedema. (E) Band image of immunoprecipitation followed by western blot analysis (IP-WB) for detection of anti-NXP2 antibody. Lane 1, molecular weight marker (Precision Plus Protein Standards Dual Color, Bio-Rad); lane 2, anti-NXP2 antibody-positive serum; lane 4, anti-MDA5 antibody-positive serum; lane 5, sera from this patient. The arrow indicates the molecular weight of NXP2. MDA5: melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5; NXP2: nuclear matrix protein 2; TIF1- γ : transcriptional intermediary factor 1- γ .

whether the associated clinical phenotype, such as the controversially reported risk of malignancy, differs among diverse ethnicities [1, 3, 6]. For a better understanding of the heterogeneity of MSAs, investigation in a highly diverse population is required.

In conclusion, we have reported a case of CADM associated with anti-NXP2 antibody, which has shed light on the value of testing for MSAs.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms Flaminia Miyamasu, Medical English Communications Center, University of Tsukuba, for proofreading the manuscript.

Funding: No specific funding was received from any bodies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors to carry out the work described in this article.

Disclosure statement: The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Consent: The patient presented in this report gave her written informed consent before her inclusion.

Data availability statement

Data are available upon reasonable request by any qualified researchers who engage in rigorous, independent scientific research, and will be provided following review and approval of a research proposal and Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) and execution of a Data Sharing Agreement (DSA). All data relevant to the study are included in the article.

Saori Abe¹, Hiroto Tsuboi¹, Hirofumi Toko¹, Fumika Honda¹, Mizuki Yagishita¹, Shinya Hagiwara¹, Yuya Kondo¹, Risa Konishi², Mari Okune², Yuki Ichimura², Naoko Okiyama² and Isao Matsumoto¹

¹Department of Internal Medicine and ²Department of Dermatology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

Accepted 13 December 2021

Correspondence to: Hiroto Tsuboi, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba-city, Ibaraki 305-8575, Japan. E-mail: hiroto-tsuboi@md.tsukuba.ac.jp

References

- Betteridge Z, McHugh N. Myositis-specific autoantibodies: an important tool to support diagnosis of myositis. J Intern Med 2016;280:8–23.
- 2 Lundberg IE, Tjärnlund A, Bottai A et al. 2017 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76: 1955–64.
- 3 Ichimura Y, Matsushita T, Hamaguchi Y et al. Anti-NXP2 antibodies in adult patients with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies: possible association with malignancy. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:710–3.
- 4 Albayda J, Pinal-Fernandez I, Huang W et al. Antinuclear matrix protein 2 autoantibodies and edema, muscle disease, and malignancy risk in dermatomyositis patients. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2017;69:1771–6.
- 5 Ichimura Y, Konishi R, Shobo M et al. Anti-nuclear matrix protein 2 antibody-positive inflammatory myopathies represent extensive myositis without dermatomyositis-specific rash. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2021; doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keab518.

- 6 Rogers A, Chung L, Li S *et al.* The cutaneous and systemic findings associated with nuclear matrix protein-2 antibodies in adult dermatomyositis patients. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2017;69:1909–14.
- 7 Duchesne M, Leonard-Louis S, Landon-Cardinal O et al. Edematous myositis: a clinical presentation first suggesting dermatomyositis diagnosis. Brain Pathol 2020; 30:867–76.
- 8 Jinnin M, Ohta A, Ishihara S *et al.*; Research Team for Autoimmune Diseases, The Research Program for Intractable Disease of the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. First external validation of sensitivity and specificity of European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR)/ American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for idiopathic inflammatory myopathies with a Japanese cohort. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:387–92.
- 9 Concha JSS, Pena S, Gaffney RG *et al.*; Skin Myositis Delphi Group. Developing classification criteria for skinpredominant dermatomyositis: the Delphi process. Br J Dermatol 2020;182:410–7.
- 10 Gupta L, Naveen R, Gaur P *et al.* Myositis-specific and myositis-associated autoantibodies in a large Indian cohort of inflammatory myositis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2021;51:113–20.