Main Effect QTL with Dominance Determines Heterosis for Dynamic Plant Height in Upland Cotton

Lianguang Shang,* Lingling Ma,* Yumei Wang,[†] Ying Su,* Xiaocui Wang,* Yuhua Li,* Abdugheni Abduweli,* Shihu Cai,* Fang Liu,[‡] Kunbo Wang,[‡] and Jinping Hua^{*,1}

*Laboratory of Cotton Genetics, Genomics and Breeding, College of Agronomy and Biotechnology, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China, [†]Institute of Cash Crops, Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wuhan 430064, China, and [‡]Institute of Cotton Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences/State Key Laboratory of Cotton Biology, Anyang 455000, China

ABSTRACT Plant height, which shows dynamic development and heterosis, is a major trait affecting plant architecture and has an indirect influence on economic yield related to biological yield in cotton. In the present study, we carried out dynamic analysis for plant height and its heterosis by quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping at multiple developmental stages using two recombinant inbred lines (RILs) and their backcross progeny. At the single-locus level, 47 QTL were identified at five developmental stages in two hybrids. In backcross populations, QTL identified at an early stage mainly showed partial effects and QTL detected at a later stage mostly displayed overdominance effects. At the two-locus level, we found that main effect QTL played a more important role than epistatic QTL in the expression of heterosis in backcross populations. Therefore, this study implies that the genetic basis of plant height in Upland cotton.

KEYWORDS

Upland cotton plant height QTL heterosis backcross population

Heterosis refers to the phenomenon where a hybrid has a better performance than its parents. The exploitation of heterosis has made a great contribution to agricultural production, and much has been done to explore its genetic basis in order to increase yield. Three classical hypotheses of heterosis have been developed, including dominance, overdominance, and epistasis. The dominance hypothesis argues that the superior performance of the hybrid results from the accumulation of more dominant alleles in the hybrid than in its parents (Jones 1917). The overdominance hypothesis assumes that heterozygosity is responsible for the superior performance over homozygous genotypes (Shull 1908; East 1908). The epistasis hypothesis attributes heterosis to positive epistatic interactions between nonallelic genes (Richey 1942; Yu *et al.* 1997).

The development of molecular markers accelerated our understanding of the genetic basis controlling trait and trait heterosis in crops (Paterson *et al.* 1988). In cotton, some segregation population designs including $F_{2:}$ 3, chromosome segment substitution lines (CSSLs), and "immortalized F_2 " (IF₂) populations were investigated to dissect the genetic basis of yield heterosis (Liu *et al.* 2012; Guo *et al.* 2013; Liang *et al.* 2015). These studies offered different explanations for hybrid vigor in different cotton genetic populations. The quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis for yield and yield heterosis was studied using the final yield trait at the maturation stage in cotton. These studies ignored the distinct QTL and heterotic QTL actions at different developmental stages.

Plant height is a major trait affecting plant architecture, and it directly determines the biomass and has an indirect influence on economic yield in cotton (Shang *et al.* 2015). In addition, plant height has significant heterosis, and it is a typical trait for studying heterosis (Schnable and Springer 2013). Shen *et al.* (2014) developed a set of 202 CSSLs of an elite rice hybrid to explore the genetic basis of heterosis for plant height at a single locus. The results showed dominance and epistasis to be the main contributors to heterosis for plant height in rice. Wei *et al.* (2015) developed a set of 203 single segment substitution lines (SSSLs) and the

Copyright © 2016 Shang et al.

doi: 10.1534/g3.116.034355

Manuscript received June 20, 2016; accepted for publication August 21, 2016; published Early Online August 26, 2016.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental material is available online at www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.116.034355/-/DC1.

¹Corresponding author: Department of Plant Genetics and Breeding, College of Agronomy and Biotechnology, China Agricultural University, No. 2, Yuanmingyuan West Rd, Haidian District, Beijing 100193, China. E-mail: jinping_hua@cau.edu.cn

Table	1	Summary	/ statistics	on	plant	height	in	two	hybrids	

			Mean			Min			Max			ents		
Т	Е	RIL	BCF ₁	MPH	RIL	BCF ₁	MPH	RIL	BCF ₁	MPH	Q	ď	F ₁	СК
XZ h	ybrid													
t1	<i>E</i> 1	30.36	30.51	0.13	20.99	23.31	-7.06	41.56	37.75	7.53	31.81	25.97	30.03	35.63
	E2	24.62	26.73	-0.31	18.06	20.11	-5.64	30.81	31.81	3.88	26.78	21.19	23.00	29.25
t2	E1	48.57	48.73	0.60	32.82	38.69	-9.53	67.25	59.94	10.22	52.25	39.88	47.34	55.13
	E2	42.47	44.37	0.08	30.88	34.88	-4.88	51.69	52.00	6.44	44.53	35.75	40.34	46.75
t3	E1	84.69	85.17	1.33	65.30	73.19	-10.13	105.63	99.31	12.28	90.86	70.15	82.66	88.13
	E2	76.38	81.85	0.89	57.92	66.31	-6.09	88.06	94.19	9.03	81.16	68.41	76.84	80.13
t4	<i>E</i> 1	103.42	99.73	0.96	79.23	90.44	-7.25	126.25	112.13	10.75	105.88	85.69	95.59	100.72
	E2	101.67	109.87	1.10	78.47	87.94	-10.97	116.56	127.38	10.16	107.22	91.48	104.72	105.38
t5	<i>E</i> 1	111.97	105.87	1.11	85.14	96.00	-7.13	134.81	119.81	9.44	110.72	91.27	100.97	104.88
	E2	107.25	114.73	1.33	80.31	90.56	-10.56	124.00	135.38	11.16	110.91	93.78	109.91	109.44
XZV	hybrid													
t1	E1	35.94	36.01	0.21	28.81	29.81	-4.00	43.88	42.06	4.84	36.48	30.38	35.16	42.50
	E2	29.71	31.77	0.22	23.81	26.38	-3.69	35.69	37.38	5.77	29.78	26.00	29.63	33.69
t2	E1	53.21	59.29	0.94	42.50	50.94	-5.13	67.00	68.38	7.62	53.47	43.99	50.97	59.00
	E2	52.31	55.62	0.56	42.25	47.94	-4.84	61.00	64.81	7.07	52.09	48.13	53.72	55.03
t3	E1	86.87	90.10	1.44	71.35	77.50	-5.00	108.63	104.69	11.03	89.00	75.33	84.23	90.38
	E2	88.40	94.17	1.08	73.25	83.19	-6.53	101.69	104.13	9.66	89.97	79.09	87.34	87.22
t4	<i>E</i> 1	108.08	110.71	1.68	89.60	96.56	-5.19	129.76	122.25	11.00	109.72	93.21	103.16	105.19
	E2	110.88	118.96	1.28	93.94	106.45	-10.13	127.25	129.50	10.89	110.34	100.25	114.22	107.63
t5	E1	114.59	121.04	1.81	93.17	104.13	-6.16	135.81	133.00	9.25	116.09	99.80	111.34	110.75
	E2	111.14	120.44	1.80	92.76	106.75	-12.19	127.56	134.88	19.88	112.22	100.59	114.59	110.85

Plant height, measured in centimeters (cm). CK, Ruiza816. Environment: E1, Handan; E2, Cangzhou.

testcross population was used to identify heterotic loci for the plant height trait in maize. The results showed that heterosis and trait performance was controlled by different genetic mechanisms, and the single-locus overdominance effect was the main contributor to heterosis for plant height in maize. Li *et al.* (2015) identified a separate QTL for plant height (*qHT7.1*) near the genomic region harboring the known Dw3 gene using a RIL population. Where two loci have repulsion linkage between two inbreds, heterosis in the hybrid can appear as a single locus with an overdominance mode of inheritance (Lippman and Zamir 2007).

Our recent study of plant height showed that QTL were selectively expressed at different developmental stages and therefore analyzing the genetic basis of quantitative traits only at final maturity in Upland cotton is not representative (Shang et al. 2015). In addition, creating "immortalized" backcross populations from recombinant inbred line populations could allow repeated analysis of heterosis (Mei et al. 2005). Studies of heterosis using backcross populations were reported in different crops, such as rice (Xiao et al. 1995; Li et al. 2001, 2008), maize (Frascaroli et al. 2007), and rape (Radoev et al. 2008). In our previous study, two RIL populations and two corresponding backcross populations were studied to examine the genetic basis of yield and yield heterosis in Upland cotton. The results showed that partial dominance, overdominance, epistasis, and QTL by environment interactions contributed to the yield heterosis in Upland cotton (Shang et al. 2016a). However, QTL mapping for quantitative trait at final maturity showed mainly the cumulative effects of QTL. Plant height is a representative dynamic trait but there are no studies reporting on dynamic heterosis QTL for plant height. Therefore, it is important to explore the dynamics of heterotic QTL for plant height at different developmental stages.

In the present study, we used two previously constructed recombinant inbred line populations and their backcross progeny, and conducted QTL analysis for plant height and heterosis performance at five developmental stages in two different environments. The QTL and heterotic QTL were analyzed at the single-locus and two-locus levels. This study will provide new insights into our understanding of the genetic basis of dynamic heterosis in Upland cotton.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

Two hybrids were employed: one called "Xinza 1" (Liang *et al.* 2015; Shang *et al.* 2016b; hereafter referred to as the "XZ hybrid"), derived from a cross of "GX1135" and "GX100-2"; and the other has a common

Table 2 The results of ANOVA for plant height

	Source of	RIL	BCF ₁	RILV	BCVF ₁
Stage	Variation	F	F	F	F
t1	L	511.41**	233.94**	670.69**	380.68**
	G	3.05**	1.98**	1.47**	1.26*
	L*G	1.69**	0.84	1.06	0.68
t2	L	261.04**	150.99**	6.91**	96.57**
	G	3.40**	2.16**	1.73**	0.90
	L*G	1.68**	0.87	1.15	0.66
t3	L	227.94**	56.13**	6.91**	98.03**
	G	2.71**	2.36**	1.43**	1.59**
	L*G	1.21	1.21	1.16	0.87
t4	L	8.34**	477.91**	21.53**	373.20**
	G	2.74**	2.45**	1.92**	1.74**
	L*G	1.20	1.48**	1.03	1.05
t5	L	47.07**	334.61**	30.63**	1.76**
	G	2.47**	2.55**	1.89**	1.48**
	L*G	1.21	1.56**	1.18	0.91

Significance is shown at *P = 0.05, **P = 0.01, respectively. *L*, *G*, and *L***G* stand for environment, genotype, and environment and genotype interaction effects, respectively.

Table 3 Phenotypic correlations between RIL, BCF₁, and MPH data in two hybrids

		Between RILs and BCF ₁		Between RIL	s and MPH	Between BC	Between BCF_1 and MPH		
Stage	Env.	XZ	XZV	XZ	XZV	XZ	XZV		
t1	<i>E</i> 1	0.19*	0.44**	0.00	-0.03	0.66**	0.55**		
	E2	0.54**	0.39**	0.21**	0.09	0.59**	0.63**		
t2	<i>E</i> 1	0.20**	0.51**	-0.08	-0.01	0.65**	0.50**		
	E2	0.52**	0.23**	0.28**	0.01	0.45**	0.63**		
t3	<i>E</i> 1	0.18*	0.51**	-0.18*	0.06	0.58**	0.59**		
	E2	0.32**	0.23**	0.13	0.01	0.35**	0.62**		
t4	<i>E</i> 1	0.28**	0.50**	-0.16*	0.04	0.46**	0.59**		
	E2	0.34**	0.35**	0.04	-0.02	0.39**	0.58**		
t5	<i>E</i> 1	0.33**	0.53**	-0.22**	0.06	0.37**	0.53**		
	E2	0.32**	0.30**	0.06	-0.04	0.41**	0.63**		

Significance is shown at *P = 0.05, **P = 0.01, respectively.

female parent with "Xinza 1," derived from a cross between "GX1135" and "VGX100-2" (Shang *et al.* 2016a; hereafter referred to as the "XZV hybrid"). "VGX100-2" was selected from "GX100-2" and has significantly different agronomy performance compared with "GX100-2."

In total, four populations were used based on the experimental design Supplementary Material (Figure S1). The first population was an RIL population. One hundred and seventy-seven RILs of F_{10} generation by single seed descent were developed from an F_1 individual of the XZ hybrid (Xinza 1). The second population was an RILV population from the XZV hybrid. One hundred and eighty RILs were developed through 10 consecutive selfing generations as well. The third population was a backcross population (BCF₁) developed from a RIL population of the XZ hybrid. One hundred and seventy-seven BCF₁ hybrids were developed from a cross where one RIL was used as the female parent and the common parent, GX1135, was used as the male parent, respectively. The fourth population was another backcross population (XZV). One hundred and eighty BCVF₁ hybrids were developed from crosses between RILs from the RILV population used as the female parent and the common parent, GX1135, used as the male parent, respectively.

In the BC(V)F₁ population, six-row plots were used which included the BC(V)F₁ hybrids RIL(V)' × GX1135 in the middle, and its corresponding female RIL(V)' and the recurrent parent, GX1135. The trait values for each BC(V)F₁ hybrid, RIL(V)' × GX1135, were calculated based on the corresponding female RIL(V)' and the recurrent parent, GX1135, in each plot to control the error. Each line in the RIL(V)' population was used as the female parent in the BC(V)F₁ population and was the same as that in the RIL(V) population. For ease of description, we will refer to the RIL(V)s in the BC(V)F₁ population as the RIL(V)' population, respectively. Therefore, in the BC(V)F₁ population experiments, in both populations 3 (BCF₁) and 4 (BCVF₁), each plot consisted of two rows of the female RIL(V)', BC(V)F₁ hybrids and GX1135, respectively.

The commercial hybrid of Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) was used as a control in our study. This F_1 hybrid "Ruiza 816" was used as a control (CK) at two locations, Handan (E1) and Cangzhou (E2). It was bred by the Cotton Research Institute of Dezhou (Shandong Province, China) and Biotechnology Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, and was released as a cultivar in Shandong Province and Yellow River Region, China in 2007.

Additionally, two special plots, each consisting of two rows of the XZ hybrid, "Xinza 1" F_1 , and its parents GX1135 and GX100-2, respectively, were used as controls in the population 1 and 3 experiments. Similar controls were set for the population 2 and 4 experiments; each plot consisted of the XZV hybrid F_1 and its parents GX1135 and VGX100-2.

Field trials and phenotypic evaluation

The four populations, control, and control hybrids were planted at two locations in 2012: sowing was carried out on April 27, 2012 at the Quzhou Experimental Station of the China Agricultural University, Handan (E1) (36°78'N, 114°92'E), Hebei Province; and on May 4, 2012 at the Guoxin Seed Company Limited, Cangzhou (E2), Hebei Province. Two-row plots were 80 and 50 cm row spacing alternately in E1 and E2. The lengths of plots were 4 m in E1 and 3 m in E2 (Shang et al. 2016b). As described above, the hybrid "Ruiza 816" was used as a control (CK) in E1 and E2. In the population 1 and 2 experiments, tworow plots were used with each line; however, in population 3 and 4 experiments, six-row plots were used with each plot consisting of two rows of the BC(V)F₁ hybrid [RIL(V) × GX1135], and two of each of the corresponding parents: the female RIL(V)' and GX1135. The field planting followed a randomized complete block design with two replications at each location. The plant density was about 51,000 individuals per hectare in both E1 and E2. Field management followed the local standard field practices.

Plant height was recorded by measuring the main-stem height of individuals and used to map QTL. A total of eight plants, including four consecutive individuals starting from the second plant in both rows in each block, were measured at intervals of 12 d from June 9 to July 27, respectively (*t1*: June 9, *t2*: June 21, *t3*: July 3, *t4*: July 15, and *t5*: July 27).

DNA isolation and genotype analysis

Young leaves were collected. Extraction of individual genomic DNA and population genotype analysis were carried out following the methods of Liang *et al.* (2013). The PCR conditions were 95° for 3 min, 30 cycles of 95° for 45 s, 55° for 45 s, 72° for 60 s, and 1 cycle at 72° for 10 min. PCR products were run on 8% polyacrylamide gels. A total of 48,836 pairs of SSR primer were used to screen polymorphic loci between three parents. In total, 653 polymorphic loci for the XZ hybrid and 400 for the XZV hybrid were acquired and used to conduct genotype analysis of the populations.

Data analysis

Basic statistical analysis was implemented using the software SPSS version 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago). The genotype for each $BC(V)F_1$ was deduced on the basis of the RIL(V)'s genotype used as the parent for the cross. Correlation analysis between genotype heterozygosity and trait performance in the backcross population was carried out. MAP-MAKER 3.0 was used to construct a genetic linkage map using the *Kosambi* mapping function (Lander *et al.* 1987). For XZ and XZV hybrids, QTL analysis was carried out separately for the RIL(V) and

Table 4 Correlation between genotypic heterozygosity and dynamic trait performance

		BC	F ₁	MPH					
	<i>E</i> 1		E2		E	1	E		
Stage	XZ	XZV	XZ	XZV	XZ	XZV	XZ	XZV	
t1	0.15	-0.18*	-0.05	0.00	0.15	0.10	0.04	0.23**	
t2	0.12	-0.04	-0.11	0.07	0.18*	0.14	0.02	0.13	
t3	0.03	0.04	-0.15*	0.08	0.12	0.17*	0.00	0.11	
t4	-0.01	0.11	-0.20**	0.09	0.13	0.13	-0.02	0.11	
t5	-0.02	0.10	-0.25**	0.03	0.12	0.12	-0.12	0.03	

Significance is shown at *P = 0.05, **P = 0.01, respectively.

 $BC(V)F_1$ populations. For the RIL(V) and RIL(V)' populations, the mean trait values from two replications were used as raw data in each location. For each of the $BC(V)F_1$ populations, the mean trait values of the BC(V)F₁s and midparent heterosis (MPH) were used independently as raw data in three locations. Single-locus QTL were conducted using composite interval mapping by WinQTL Cartographer 2.5 in RIL(V)', RIL(V), BC(V)F₁, and MPH data (Zeng 1994; Wang et al. 2005). A stringent LOD threshold of 2.5 was used to declare suggestive QTL, whereas the same QTL in another environment or population with LOD of at least 2.0 was considered to be a common QTL (Liang et al. 2013). The graphic representation of the linkage group and QTL marked was created by Map Chart 2.2 (Voorrips 2002). QTL nomenclature used in rice was employed (McCouch et al. 1997). The QTL detected simultaneously in the different data sets allowed an assessment of the degree of dominance (Radoev et al. 2008). At the single-locus level, the genetic effects in $BC(V)F_1s$ were defined as follows: a =(P1P1 - P2P2)/2; MPH = $d = [BC(V)F_1 - (P1P1 + P2P2)/2]$ and $BC(V)F_1 = (a + d)$ (P1 is the recurrent parent). QTL detected only in co-identified RIL(V) and $BC(V)F_1$ and not for MPH were considered as additive. QTL with $d/a \le 1$ were referred to as being complete or partial dominant loci. QTL with d/a > 1 or only detectable for MPH data were referred to as overdominant loci. Two-locus analysis that tests the main effect QTL (M-QTL), and digenic epistatic QTL (E-QTL) and their environmental interactions (QTL × environment, QE), was conducted using RIL(V) and BC(V)F₁ data by the software ICIMapping 4.0 (www. isbreeding.net). A threshold of LOD ≥ 2.5 was used for declaring the presence of M-QTL and LOD \geq 5.0 E-QTL was used for declaring the presence of E-QTL.

Data availability

All of our raw data are available as Table S8 and Table S9, which include genotypes and traits of two hybrids.

RESULTS

Phenotypic analysis of plant height

The data for plant height for RIL(V) and BC(V)F₁ at five stages in XZ and XZV hybrids are shown in Table 1. For XZ and XZV hybrids, the parent GX1135 has higher trait value than GX100-2 and VGX100-2 for plant height at five stages. Apparent heterosis for plant height was observed in the two hybrids. Moreover, the plant height showed different levels of hybrid vigor at different stages. The means of the BC(V) F₁ population were higher than the RIL(V) population at most stages. The level of heterosis at the final stage was larger than that of early stages. Some extreme lines in the RIL(V) and BC(V)F₁ populations exceeded hybrids and CK at five stages. Meanwhile, many lines showed higher MPH in the BC(V)F₁ population than that of the two hybrids (Table 1). An analysis of variance was carried out and significant ge-

		•								
		XZ	hybrid		XZV hybrid					
Trait	А	PD	OD	Sum	А	PD	OD	Sum		
t1	3	3	1	7	1	1	0	2		
t2	6	3	1	10	0	2	0	2		
t3	4	2	0	6	1	1	0	2		
t4	3	1	2	6	2	2	2	6		
t5	3	0	1	4	3	0	2	5		
Total	19	9	5	33	7	6	4	17		

A, additive effect; PD, partial dominant effect; OD, overdominant effect.

notypic variances and environmental variances for plant height were observed at five stages (Table 2). The heritability showed different levels at different developmental stages (Table S1). These results indicated that plant height in populations showed a big variation and this was conducive for QTL analysis.

Correlations between RIL(V)s and BC(V)F $_1$ performance and midparent heterosis

The correlation analyses revealed that different factors determined the backcross hybrid performance (Table 3). Significant high positive correlations between MPH and BC(V)F₁s performance were observed for all the stages in two environments. It indicated that the levels of heterosis contributed to the variation in the backcross hybrid performance. In addition, all of the trait values of the RIL(V)s and that of their BC(V) $F_{1}s$ showed significant positive correlation in two hybrids. This result showed that the variation of the backcross hybrid performance was largely determined by the variation of the mean performance of the RIL(V) parents at five stages. Negative correlations were observed between the RIL(V)s and MPH for all stages.

Relationship between heterozygosity and trait performance

Most of the correlation coefficients are not significant between the heterozygosity of molecular markers and the dynamic performance of $BC(V)F_1$ and MPH data for plant height at five stages (Table 4). Overall genome heterozygosity of molecular markers alone had little effect on dynamic plant height performance. Backcross hybrid performance and heterosis might derive from a small amount of genome heterozygosity at not only the early stage but also the final stage. The low correlation coefficients may be attributed to the results of maps with low-density markers and only half of whole-genome heterozygosity existed in backcross populations.

Single-locus QTL controlling plant height

Genetic maps for the two hybrid populations were constructed based on the polymorphic loci identified (Figure S2). For the XZ hybrid, 623 loci were mapped to 32 linkage groups and the genetic map spanned 3889.9 cM. For the XZV hybrid, 308 loci were mapped to 39 linkage groups and the genetic map spanned 3048.4 cM (Shang *et al.* 2016a). Singlelocus QTL detected for plant height are shown in Table S2 using composite interval mapping. The numbers of different QTL identified by composite interval mapping at five stages in two backcross populations are given in Table 5.

In the XZ hybrid, a total of 26 QTL were identified. Of 26 QTL, 17 QTL were detected in more than two developmental stages or environments or populations. Interestingly, we observed six QTL for plant height, which were detected at all five stages. In total, 18, 22, 21, 19, and 18 QTL were detected at stages *t*1, *t*2, *t*3, *t*4, and *t*5, respectively. In

Table 6 Summary of M-QTL and E-QTL detected by inclusive composite interval mapping

Stage		RIL			BCF ₁			RILV			BCVF ₁	
M-QTL	n	P(A)	P(AE)	n	P(A)	P(AE)	n	P(A)	P(AE)	n	P(A)	<i>P</i> (AE)
t1	9	3.76	1.06	6	5.20	0.77	8	2.35	2.39	2	2.13	2.79
t2	4	7.10	0.66	6	6.11	0.34	4	2.12	3.39	3	2.24	3.01
t3	6	4.64	0.34	7	3.02	0.77	5	2.47	3.06	3	2.18	1.35
t4	6	3.58	0.59	5	2.56	1.10	6	2.87	2.33	5	2.04	1.54
t5	6	3.70	0.16	6	1.72	1.30	6	2.84	2.34	7	2.28	1.16
Mean	6.2	4.56	0.56	6.0	3.72	0.86	5.8	2.53	2.70	4.0	2.18	1.97
E-QTL	n	P(AA)	P(AAE)	n	P(AA)	P(AAE)	n	P(AA)	P(AAE)	n	P(AA)	P(AAE)
t1	10	2.26	1.76	3	2.78	0.99	2	2.85	1.05	0	0.00	0.00
t2	5	2.19	1.72	0	0.00	0.00	1	4.97	0.08	0	0.00	0.00
t3	2	2.71	1.76	2	3.02	1.66	0	0.00	0.00	3	5.42	0.25
t4	3	2.60	2.69	7	3.24	2.06	0	0.00	0.00	2	3.58	0.97
t5	1	3.43	0.96	5	3.56	2.17	2	4.30	0.07	1	5.09	0.00
Mean	4.2	2.64	1.78	3.4	2.52	1.38	1.0	2.42	0.24	1.2	2.82	0.25

n, the number of QTL identified. P, (in %) was the mean of trait phenotypic variances explained by a single M-QTL or E-QTL.

the backcross population, 19 QTL with an additive effect, nine QTL with a partial dominant effect, and five QTL with an overdominant effect were observed.

In the XZV hybrid, a total of 21 QTL were detected. Of these 21 QTL, 18 were identified in more than two developmental stages or environments or populations. In total, 13, 10, 10, 15, and 14 QTL were identified at stages *t*1, *t*2, *t*3, *t*4, and *t*5, respectively. In the backcross population, seven QTL with an additive effect, six QTL with a partial dominant effect, and four QTL with an overdominant effect were observed.

Conditional QTL mapping was conducted based on adjacent stages from *t*-1 to *t* in two hybrid populations. A total of 31 and 24 conditional QTL for plant height were identified in XZ and XZV hybrids, respectively (Table S3). In the XZ hybrid, 24, 16, 16, and 10 conditional QTL were detected at stages $\Delta t1-2$, $\Delta t2-3$, $\Delta t3-4$, and $\Delta t4-5$, respectively. In the XZV hybrid, 11, 10, 12, and 10 conditional QTL were detected at stages $\Delta t1-2$, $\Delta t2-3$, $\Delta t3-4$, and $\Delta t4-5$, respectively. Two conditional QTL were simultaneously identified during the entire stage of growth. Most of the conditional QTL detected at certain stages showed that the QTL and heterotic QTL were selectively expressed at special stages during plant growth.

QTL and QE interactions resolved by two-locus analyses

A total of 61 and 49 main effect QTL (M-QTL) and their environmental interactions (QTL × environment interactions, QEs) were respectively detected by inclusive composite interval mapping (ICIM) at five stages of XZ and XZV hybrids (Table 6, Table S4, and Table S5). In the XZ hybrid, a total of 31 and 30 M-QTL and QEs were respectively detected in the RILs and BCF₁ populations at five developmental stages. On average, the M-QTL explained 4.56 and 3.72% of the phenotypic variation, and the QEs respectively explained 0.56 and 0.86% of the phenotypic variation in the RILs and BCF₁ populations. In the XZV hybrid, a total of 29 and 20 M-QTL and QEs were respectively detected in the RILVs and BCVF₁ populations at all developmental stages. On average, the M-QTL explained 2.53 and 2.18% of the phenotypic variation, and the QEs explained 2.70 and 1.97% of the phenotypic variation in the RILVs and BCVF₁ hybrid data, respectively.

In total, at the two-locus level, 38 and 11 epistatic QTL (E-QTL) and QEs were respectively detected by ICIM in XZ and XZV hybrids at five developmental stages (Table 6, Table S6, and Table S7). In the XZ hybrid, a total of 21 and 17 E-QTL and QEs were respectively detected in the RILs and BCF₁ hybrids. On average, the E-QTL explained 2.64 and 2.52% of the phenotypic variation, and the QEs explained 1.78 and

1.38% of the phenotypic variation in the RILs and BCF₁ hybrid data, respectively. In the XZV hybrid, a total of five and six E-QTL and QEs were detected in the RILVs and BCVF₁ hybrid data, respectively. On average, the E-QTL explained 2.42 and 2.82% of the phenotypic variation, and the QEs explained 0.24 and 0.25% of the phenotypic variation in the RILVs and BCVF₁ hybrid data, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Dynamic QTL for plant height

Biologically plant height refers to the sum of internode lengths above ground, reflecting the rate of vegetative growth in crops (Shang et al. 2015). The incremental values of plant height showed a dynamic development during plant growth in RIL and BC populations. The MPH of the backcross population gradually increases with plant growth, and the performance of heterosis displays a dynamic character. This dynamic performance was conducive for detecting the dynamic heterosis in Upland cotton. Recently, Wang et al. (2015) detected a total of 70 QTL for plant height at maturity using a large doubled haploid population containing 348 lines in rapeseed (Brassica napus). However, the genetic basis of dynamic heterosis is poorly understood in crop plants. Previous studies involving hQTL mapping provided information about cumulative effects at various stages (Luo et al. 2009; Tang et al. 2010; Zhou et al. 2012; Guo et al. 2014). Because they were based on the final value of a quantitative trait, the genetic effects of QTL at the different developmental stages were overlooked. In the present research, different numbers of QTL for plant height were identified at maturity and four other stages of development in two hybrid populations. It was revealed that many of the QTL detected at earlier stages were not detected at final maturity. Our results showed that the QTL and hQTL possessed temporal characteristics (Würschum et al. 2014; Shang et al. 2015).

Single-locus dominance contributes to dynamic heterosis for plant height

In the present study, two RIL populations and two corresponding backcross populations were employed to dissect the genetic basis of plant height at single-locus and two-locus levels in Upland cotton. The degree of dominance can be acquired by assessing the QTL detected in RIL(V)s, BC(V)F₁, and MPH data sets at the single-locus level (Radoev *et al.* 2008). Effects of QTL identified for plant height at various stages are different (Table 5). In the XZV hybrid, a total of one QTL with PD

effect and no QTL with OD effect were detected at the *t*1 stage. At the final *t*5 stage, a total of two QTL with PD effect and no QTL with OD effect were detected. These results implied that partial dominance seems more prevalent at an early developmental stage, while the opposite applies at the final maturation stage. In our study, the high level of heterosis for plant height was observed at later stages. The largest number of QTL displayed an overdominance effect at all stages measured. Our results for yield heterosis showed that the QTL for the trait with low heterosis had effects in the partial dominance to full dominance range and the QTL for the trait with high heterosis mainly existed in the full dominance to overdominance range (Shang *et al.* 2016a). There might be more genes involved in later developmental stages and therefore cumulative dominant effects may be more prevalent. The same results were observed in heterotic studies in maize and rapeseed (Frascaroli *et al.* 2007; Radoev *et al.* 2008).

At the two-locus level, some digenic interactions were acquired in two hybrid populations. However, the number of M-QTL and the mean of phenotypic variances explained by M-QTL for plant height are much greater than those for E-QTL at most stages (Table 6). This indicated that single-locus dominance mainly contributed to the performance of hybrid vigor at different developmental stages in Upland cotton. The importance of dominance and overdominance in controlling heterosis at different developmental stages seemed different. Taken together, our results show that dynamic dominance and overdominance contributes to heterosis for the plant height trait during plant growth in Upland cotton.

Dynamic QTL with epistasis and QE effects

At the two-locus level, some digenic epistatic interactions and QTL × environment interactions were observed in XZ and XZV hybrids (Table 6). The results showed that digenic epistatic interactions and the genotype by environment interactions accounted for a different portion of the phenotypic variation at different developmental stages. QTL with epistasis and QE effects is dynamic at different developmental stages. This phenomenon is in accordance with dynamic growth characteristics for plant height. In the XZ hybrid, the QTL for plant height in backcross populations was more sensitive to the environment than that in RIL populations. Special environmental conditions were essential for hybrid performance in terms of height in backcross populations. More studies that test plant height in different environments are needed (Shang *et al.* 2016a). A previous study in rice also suggested that the QTL × environment interaction effect should be considered in marker assisted selection breeding (Xing *et al.* 2002).

A RIL population was previously used to identify QTL for plant height (Shang *et al.* 2015). In this study, two corresponding backcross populations based on two RIL populations were constructed in order to identify stable QTL. A total of 35 stable QTL were detected in more than one stage, environment, or population. QTL identified from the present study and the QTL from Shang *et al.* (2015) were compared, and 28 common QTL were observed (Table S2). A stable QTL, *qPH-Chr19-1*, which was previously identified was again detected in RIL', RIL, BCF₁, and MPH data sets at five developmental stages under two environments, and this QTL could contribute to 5.63– 42.66% of the phenotypic variation. These novel stable QTL for plant height detected in multiple stages, populations, and environments will be helpful in fine mapping studies in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Zhengsheng Zhang (Southwest University) for providing SWU and ICR SSR primers. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 31371666) to J.H. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author contributions: JH conceived the experiments, provided the experimental platform, and revised the manuscript. LS, LM, YS, XW, YL, AA, and SC performed the experiments. YW maintained the experimental platform. FL and KW supplied some of the markers and part of the experimental platform. LS performed the data analysis and prepared the draft manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- East, E., 1908 Inbreeding in corn, pp. 419–428 in Reports of the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station for Years 1907–1908. Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, New Haven, CT.
- Frascaroli, E., M. A. Cane, P. Landi, G. Pea, L. Gianfranceschi et al., 2007 Classical genetic and quantitative trait loci analyses of heterosis in a maize hybrid between two elite inbred lines. Genetics 176: 625–644.
- Guo, T., N. Yang, H. Tong, Q. Pan, X. Yang *et al.*, 2014 Genetic basis of grain yield heterosis in an "immortalized F₂" maize population. Theor. Appl. Genet. 127: 2149–2158.
- Guo, X., Y. Guo, J. Ma, F. Wang, M. Sun *et al.*, 2013 Mapping heterotic loci for yield and agronomic traits using chromosome segment introgression lines in cotton. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 55: 759–774.
- Jones, D. F., 1917 Dominance of linked factors as a means of accounting for heterosis. Genetics 2: 466–479.
- Lander, E. S., P. Green, J. Abrahamson, A. Barlow, M. J. Daly *et al.*, 1987 Mapmaker an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1: 174–181.
- Li, L., K. Lu, Z. Chen, T. Mu, Z. Hu et al., 2008 Dominance, overdominance and epistasis condition the heterosis in two heterotic rice hybrids. Genetics 180: 1725–1742.
- Li, X., X. Li, E. Fridman, T. T. Tesso, and J. Yu, 2015 Dissecting repulsion linkage in the dwarfing gene *Dw3* region for sorghum plant height provides insights into heterosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112: 11823–11828.
- Li, Z. K., L. J. Luo, H. W. Mei, D. L. Wang, Q. Y. Shu *et al.*, 2001 Overdominant epistatic loci are the primary genetic basis of inbreeding depression and heterosis in rice. I. Biomass and grain yield. Genetics 158: 1737–1753.
- Liang, Q., C. Hu, H. Hua, Z. Li, and J. Hua, 2013 Construction of a linkage map and QTL mapping for fiber quality traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum L.*). Chin. Sci. Bull. 58: 3233–3243.
- Liang, Q., L. Shang, Y. Wang, and J. Hua, 2015 Partial dominance, overdominance and epistasis as the genetic basis of heterosis in Upland Cotton. PLoS One 10: e0143548.
- Liu, R., B. Wang, W. Guo, Y. Qin, L. Wang *et al.*, 2012 Quantitative trait loci mapping for yield and its components by using two immortalized populations of a heterotic hybrid in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Mol. Breed. 29: 297–311.
- Lippman, Z., B., and D. Zamir, 2007 Heterosis: revisiting the magic. Trends Genet. 23: 60–66.
- Luo, X., Y. Fu, P. Zhang, S. Wu, F. Tian *et al.*, 2009 Additive and overdominant effects resulting from epistatic loci are the primary genetic basis of heterosis in rice. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 51: 393–408.
- McCouch, S. R., Y. G. Cho, M. Yano, E. Paul, M. Blinstrub *et al.*, 1997 Report on QTL nomenclature. Rice Genet. Newsl. 14: 11–13.
- Mei, H. W., Z. K. Li, Q. Y. Shu, L. B. Guo, Y. P. Wang *et al.*, 2005 Gene actions of QTLs affecting several agronomic traits resolved in a recombinant inbred rice population and two backcross populations. Theor. Appl. Genet. 110: 649–659.
- Paterson, A. H., E. S. Lander, J. D. Hewitt, S. Peterson, S. E. Lincoln *et al.*, 1988 Resolution of quantitative traits into Mendelian factors by using a complete linkage map of restriction length polymorphisms. Nature 335: 721–726.
- Radoev, M., H. C. Becker, and W. Ecke, 2008 Genetic analysis of heterosis for yield and yield components in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) by quantitative trait locus mapping. Genetics 179: 1547–1558.

Richey, F. D., 1942 Mock-dominance and hybrid vigor. Science 96: 280–281.

- Schnable, P. S., and N. M. Springer, 2013 Progress toward understanding heterosis in crop plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 64: 71–88.
- Shang, L., F. Liu, Y. Wang, A. Abduweli, S. Cai et al., 2015 Dynamic QTL mapping for plant height in Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). Plant Breed. 134: 703–712.
- Shang, L., Y. Wang, S. Cai, X. Wang, Y. Li *et al.*, 2016a Partial dominance, overdominance, epistasis and QTL by environment interactions contribute to the heterosis in two Upland cotton hybrids. G3 6: 499–507.
- Shang, L., Q. Liang, Y. Wang, Y. Zhao, K. Wang et al., 2016b Epistasis together with partial dominance, over-dominance and QTL by environment interactions contribute to yield heterosis in upland cotton. Theor. Appl. Genet. 129: 1429–1446.
- Shen, G., W. Zhan, H. Chen, and Y. Xing, 2014 Dominance and epistasis are the main contributors to heterosis for plant height in rice. Plant Sci. 215: 11–18.
- Shull, G. H., 1908 The composition of a field of maize. J. Hered. 4: 296–301.
- Tang, J., J. Yan, X. Ma, W. Teng, W. Wu *et al.*, 2010 Dissection of the genetic basis of heterosis in an elite maize hybrid by QTL mapping in an immortalized F_2 population. Theor. Appl. Genet. 120: 333–340.
- Voorrips, R. E., 2002 MapChart: software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs. J. Hered. 93: 77–78.
- Wang, S., C. Basten, and Z. Zeng, 2005 Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5. Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.

- Wang, X., H. Wang, Y. Long, L. Liu, Y. Zhao *et al.*, 2015 Dynamic and comparative QTL analysis for plant height in different developmental stages of *Brassica napus* L. Theor. Appl. Genet. 128: 1175–1192.
- Wei, X., B. Wang, Q. Peng, F. Wei, K. Mao et al., 2015 Heterotic loci for various morphological traits of maize detected using a single segment substitution lines test-cross population. Mol. Breed. 35: 1–13.
- Würschum, T., W. Liu, L. Busemeyer, M. R. Tucker, J. C. Reif *et al.*, 2014 Mapping dynamic QTL for plant height in triticale. BMC Genet. 15: 59.
- Xiao, J. H., J. M. Li, L. P. Yuan, and S. D. Tanksley, 1995 Dominance is the major genetic-basis of heterosis in rice as revealed by QTL analysis using molecular markers. Genetics 140: 745–754.
- Xing, Y., Y. Tan, J. Hua, X. Sun, C. Xu *et al.*, 2002 Characterization of the main effects, epistatic effects and their environmental interactions of QTLs on the genetic basis of yield traits in rice. Theor. Appl. Genet. 105: 248–257.
- Yu, S., J. Li, C. Xu, Y. Tan, Y. Gao *et al.*, 1997 Importance of epitasis as the genetic basis of heterosis in an elite rice hybrid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94: 9226–9231.
- Zeng, Z. B., 1994 Precision mapping of quantitative trait loci. Genetics 136: 1457–1468.
- Zhou, G., Y. Chen, W. Yao, C. Zhang, W. Xie *et al.*, 2012 Genetic composition of yield heterosis in an elite rice hybrid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109: 15847–15852.

Communicating editor: D. Zamir