Two related superfamilies of putative helicases involved in replication, recombination, repair and expression of DNA and RNA genomes
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#### Abstract

In the course of systematic analysis of protein sequences containing the purine NTP-binding motif, a new superfamily was delineated which included 25 established or putative helicases of Escherichia coli, yeast, insects, mammals, pox- and herpesviruses, a yeast mitochondrial plasmid and three groups of positive strand RNA viruses. These proteins contained 7 distinct highly conserved segments two of which corresponded to the "A" and "B" sites of the NTP-binding motif. Typicel of the new auperfemily was an abridged consenaus for the "A" site, GxGKS/T, instead of the classical G/AxxxxGKS/T. Secondery structure predictions indicated that each of the conserved segments might constitute a separate structural unit centering at a $\beta$-turn. All previously characterized mutations impairing the function of the yeast helicase RAD3 in DNA repair mapped to one of the conserved segments. A degree of similarity was revealed between the consensus pattern of conserved ainino acid residues derived for the new superfamily and that of another recently described protein superfamily including a different set of prokaryotic, eukaryotic and viral (putative) helicases.


## INTRODUCTION

Molecular machineries utilized by cells and viruses for genome replication, recombination and repair, transcription and mRNA translation are replete with DNA- and RNA-dependent NTPases, at least some of which possess helicase activity, i.e. ability to promote DNA, RNA or DNA-RNA duplex unwinding (1-3). Most of these NTPases contain a common sequence motif consisting of two separate units ( $x$, any residue; hy, hydrophobic residue): G/AxxxxGKS/T ("A site") and ( $3 \mathrm{hy}, \mathrm{2x}$ ) D ("B" site). This motif is conserved not only in (putative) helicases but in a vast class of purine NTP-ulilizing enzymes (4-6). Where $X$-ray data have been reported, it was shown that each aite of the NTP-binding motif comprised a distinct structural unit of the $\beta$-strand- $\beta$-turn- $\alpha$-helix type cthe " $B$ " site sometimes lacking the $\alpha$-helix) directly involved in NTP binding (7-10).

Recently, we, and independently Hodgman, delineated, by sequence comparison, a superfamily of (putative) DNA and RNA helicases including E.coli proteins uvrD, rep, recB and recD,
yeast helicase PIF, and proteins involved in herpesvirus DNA and positive strand RNA virus RNA replication (11-13). Subsequently, several groups described a set of rather closely related (putative) RNA helicases (14-19) which was christened 'D-E-A-D' family, after the sequence conserved in the 'B' site of the NTP-binding motif (19). It was suggested that this family might constitute a subdivision within the above superfanily (17-20).

Here, we show that the ' D-E-A-D' family is in fact a subset of another distinct superfanily of (putative) helicases which, just like the first one, includes proteins of E.coli, eukaryotes, and DNA and RNA viruses. A distant but significant relationship could be established between the two superfamilies.

## METHODS

## Amino acid sequences

Amino acid sequences compared were those of CI proteins of potyviruses: tobacco vein mottling virus (TVMV) and tobacco etch virus (TEV): NS3 proteins of flaviviruses: yellow fever virus (YFV), West Nile virus (WNV), Dengue virus types 2 (DEN2) and 4 (DEN4), Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), Kunjin virus (KUN): polyprotein of bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV, a pestivirus): NTPases I (ORF 11 of the HindIII D fragment of genomic DNA) and II (ORF 6 of the same fragment) of vaccinia virus (VV, a poxvirus): ORF 4 of Kluyveromyces lactis mitochondrial plasmid pGKL2 (K2); herpesvirus proteins: gene 51 product (gp51) of varicella-zoster virus (VZV) and UL9 protein of herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV); murine proteins p68 and PL10: human translation initiation factor 4A (eIF-4AI, II); Drosophila melanogaster protein VASA; Escherichia coli proteins SrmB, recQ and uvrB; Saccharomyces cerevisiae proteins Tifi/Tif2 (translation initiation factor; Tif), MSS116 and RAD3. Sequences were from current literature; references are indicated in Fig.1A.

## Sequence comparisons

Amino acid sequences were compared by programs DIAGON (21) and OPTAL $(22,23)$, using the amino acid residue comparison matrix MDM78 (24). The program OPTAL, based on the Sankoff algorithm (25), performs optimal alignment of multiple amino acid sequences and its statistical evaluation by a Monte Carlo procedure. The significance of the obtained alignment is asaesaed by calculation of alignment score (AS): $A S=30-5 r / G$ where $S O$ is the score obtained upon alignment of real sequences, $S^{r}$ is the mean score for 25 random permutations of the same sequences, and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation (SD). AS is expressed as the number of SD above the mean. The final alignment of 25 protein sequences was generated by combining several pairwise and group alignments, using also results of DIAGON comparisons and visual inapection. To assess the statistical significance of the alignment thus obtained, approximate probability of the observed aimilerity between two protein sequences being fortuitous was calculated as

$$
p \approx 1_{1} * 12 * \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} p_{i}
$$




|  | $\underset{\substack{131 \\ * * * * * * * *}}{(11)}$ | 141 | 151 | 161 | $171$ <br> (111) <br> **** | $\begin{aligned} & 181 \\ & * * * * * * * * * \end{aligned}$ | 191 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1:$ | SpKYikMFVL | DEAdEML-Sr | GfKDQIYDif | QKL | Nsentavulls | ATMPSDVLE- | VTKKFMrDp- |
| 2 | SpKWikMFVL | DEAdEML-r 5 | GfKDQIYEIf | QKL | NtSIQUVLLS | ATMPTDVLE- | VTKKFMrDp- |
| 3 | RTDKikMFIL | DEAdEML-SG | Sfkeaiyoif | TLL | PPTTQUVLLS | ATMPNDVLE- | VTTKFMrNp- |
| 4 | NLRRt TYLUL | DEAdrML-DM | 6fEpQIRKiV | DQ1 | RPDrQTLMwS | ATwPkEVRQ- | LAEDFLKDy- |
| 5 | GLDFEkYLVL | DEAdr ML-DM | 日fepoIRRiv | EQD---tMpp | Kgurhtmmfs | ATfPkEIOm- | LARDFLDEy- |
| 6 : | TFEDtrFUVL | DEAdrML-DM | 6f sEDMRRIM | ThV-----tm | RPEHQTLMfS | ATfPEEIQr- | MAGEFLKNy- |
| 7 | FFRFVDYkVL | DEAdrLL-EI | 6fRDDLEtiS | gILNEKNsks | ADNIKTLL fS | ATLDDKVakı | anNIMnkkEc |
| 8 | DCRAvEtLIL | DEAdrML-DM | 6fAQdIEhiA |  | RwRkgTLLfS | TLEGDaIQd | AERLLEDP- |
| 9 | AhWNpVLL | DEAHCIS-Qu | PEyAA | LGQLRa | TLPFMALT | Ataddttrad | VLLG |
| 10 | YLPADGL | DEsH | GgmYRGDRAR | KETLUE 20 | ALapgtiy | ATPGNyELEk | sGgDVUDQU- |
| 11 : | EFDRYQFIIF | DEfHVLD-SN | AIAFRNLChE | y SyNGK | ----IIkVS | ATPPGRECD- | LTrayp---- |
| 12 1 | EUKTYDFVII | DECHUnd-as | AIAFRNLL $\ddagger$ E | hefegk | -VLkVE | ATPPGREVE- | FTTAFp---- |
| 13 | RUVNWEVIIM | DEAHfLD-pA | SIAaRGWaAh | RAraNE---- | --satILMT | ATPPGTSDE- | FphSn6---- |
| 14 | RUPNYNLFIM | DEAHfTD-pA | SIAaREYIAT | KVELGE | -aasIFMT | ATPPGTSDp- | FpESnA |
| 15 : | RUPNYNLIIM | DEAHfTD-pA | SIAaREYIST | RUEMGE | --aagIFMT | ATPP8SrDp- | FpQSnA---- |
| 16 | RUPNYNLIUM | DEAHfTD-pS | SVAaRGYIST | RVEMGE- | --aasIFMT | ATPPGaTDP- | FpQSns---- |
| 17 | RUPNYNLFUM | DEAHf TD-pA | SIAaRGYIAT | KVELGE- | -aaslFMT | ATPPGTTDp- | FpDSnA---- |
| 18 | RUPNYNLFUM | DEAHfTD-PA | SIAaRGYIST | RUELGE | aalfr | ATPPGTSDp- | FpESnA---- |
| 19 : | AMVEYSYIfL | DEyHCaT-pE | qLAIIGKIhR | fSEsIR |  | ATPAGSUtt | TGQKhp---- |
| 20 | DNVDYGLIIL | DEUHNLreSA | YrykliknkL |  | aNNSKILVIT | ATPaidskde | L-DSILSLtk |
| 21 : | NSKSrIcVII | DECHNFIskS | likedgkirp | TRSUYNfL 5 | 1 KNHKMICLS | ATPIVNSVQE | f-TMLUNLL |
| 22 : | SrYNNSIFIV | DEAHNIfGNN | TgELMTVIkN |  | KIPFLLLS | GSPITNTPNt | -6hIIDLMs |
| 23 | AIDSYDVLIL | DEVnsVIGQL | YspTMrRLSA | VDSLLyrLI- | NReSQIIAMd | ATVNSQfid- | Lisglrgden |
| 24 | ILNNYDVLVL | DEVASTLGQL | YsptMeqler | vDALMIrLI- | RICPRIIAMd | ATANAQLVD- | FLCglRGEkN |
| 25 : | EVsKDSIVIF | DEAHNID-NV | IESLSLDLT | TDALRR 192 | ERfSsVIItS | GTIspldMyp | rMINFKTVLQ |
| SEC | bbbb | taaaaa |  |  | bobob | buttt?? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ? | ?7? |
| CONS1-8 | $\underset{I}{++V L}$ | DEAD ML o | $\begin{gathered} \text { GFo } Q_{D}+\text { OI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $0 \quad+L+S$ | $\text { AT+POO+ } 0$ | $+00++\infty 0$ |
| CONS 11-19 | + OYOt+++ | + DE H+D | $+A R+0$ | - | $+\begin{aligned} & M T \\ & V S \end{aligned}$ | ATPP6oo |  |
| CONS20-22 | 00+00 ++1+ | + DE HN++000 | $+\begin{array}{r} \text { KIK } \\ R \end{array}$ | -0 | $\begin{array}{r} +\infty 0 K+L+L S \\ I \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATPI + NS } 00 \\ & \text { GS M DT } \end{aligned}$ | $+0_{L}^{1+0 L+0}$ |
| CONS | ++++ | $+D E \underset{D}{H}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 201 | 211 | 221 | 231 | 241 | $251$ | 261 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ** | ********* | ********* |
| 1 | ---IRILVKK | EELTLEgIRQ | FYinver-EE | WKLDTLCDLY | ---EtLTita | AVIFINTRRK | VDWLTEKM-h |
| 2 : | ---IRILvKK | EELTLEgIKO | FYINVET-EE | WKLDTLCDLY | ---EtLTiTQ | AVIFLNTRRK | VDWLTEKM-h |
| 3 : | ---VRILVKK | DELTLEgIKQ | FYUNUEE-EE | YKYEcLt DLY | ---dsiSvTa | AVIFCNTRRK | VEELTTKL-R |
| , | ---Ihiniga | Lelsanhnil | QIVDVCh-DV | EKDEKLIILM | EeInsEKENK | TIVFVETKRR | cDELTRKh-R |
| 5 | ---IfLAvGR | VGSTSEnITQ | KUVWVEE-AD | KRsfLLDILN | --atkGDSL | ILVFVETKKG | ADSLeDFL-Y |
| 6 : | ---VfVAigi | VGGAcsdVKa | TIyEVNk-yA | KRsKLIEiLs | -EQADG | TIVFVETKRG | ADFLASFL-S |
| 7 | LfLDtVDkNE | PEAhERIDQS | VVISEKfANS | IFaaVEhikk | QikErDSNyK | AIIFaPTUKF | TsFLeSILKN |
| 8 | ---VEVSANp | STRERKKIhQ | WYyRADD-LE | HKtaLLUhLL | ---kQpEATR | SIVFUrnRLE | AVCMSWaTGc |
| 9 |  | DPLIQISSFD | RRNIrym-LM | EKFKPLDQLM | Ryvqeqreks | IYCNSRaK | VEDTAAAL-Q |
| 10: | -UR | PTGLLDPIIE | vrpvata-vd | dLlseirara | -AINER | VLVtTITKRM | AEDLTEYLEE |
| 11 : | -ve | LLIEEQLslR | dFVDAgGtda | HADUVKKG-- | -DN | ilurvasyne | VDQLSKMLME |
| 12 : | -VK | LKIEEALSFQ | EFVSLQGTGA | NADVISCG-- | -DN | ILUYVaSYMD | VDSL6KLLVO |
| $13:$ | --EI | EDVQTDIPSE | pH--NTGhDw | ILADK | RP | TaWFLPSIRA | ANUHAASLRK |
| 14 | ---pI | SDMQTEIPDR | aH--NTGyEw | ItEYV | -gK | TVHFUPSUKM | GNEIALCLOR |
| 15 : | -pI | MDEEREIpER | SW--NS6hEn | VtDFK | -gK | TUWFVPSIKT | GNDIAACLRK |
| 16 | ----PI | EDIEREIPER | 8W--DT6fDw | It DY: | -gk | WFIPSIKA | 6NDIANCLRK |
| 17 | --------pI | hDL 0 EEIPDR | aW--sSGyEm | ItEYA | -gk | WFVaSUKM | ONEIAMcLar |
| 18 | --------pI | SDLQTEIPDR | aN--NSGyEw | ItEYI----- | -gk | TUWFUPSUKM | 6NEJALCLQR |
| 19 : | --IEEFIApE | UMKGEDLg-S | afldiablkI | pVDEMK---- | -gN | VFVPTRNM | avevakklka |
| 20 : | eTSrllfs-- | -ENkIDIkIS | Yugaeinget | LFLSEMKGqQ | 136 Eassk | Inafinsike | 6ELTVLFSfY |
| 21 | pgSLQhQsLf | -ENkRLVDEK | EVsKL6GLes | YIUNNEfSIF | D 69 EIATL | yndFkNSLRD | rEFSkSALDT |
| 22 | eetidFGell | SRGKKVIQTL | LNERGUNVLK | DLLKGRISyY | E 98 NIsSK | fkYfinriet | LNgkhFiYfS |
| 23 : | Intivetyag | VGf S6RTCTI | LRDMGIDTLU | RUIKRSPEhE | D 19 Qcthn | IcIfsstlsf | SELVAQFcAi |
| 24 | UhVUVGEyAM | PGfSARRCLf | LprLGTELLQ | AALRPgPps 6 | p 22 6660N | IcIFSstVsf | AEIVARFCRQ |
| 25 : | kSyaMtLAKK | SflpmiItkg | SDQVAIs-SR | FolRNDPSIV | R 11 ITpDG | MUVFfPEYLY | MESIVSMHAT |
| SEC |  |  |  |  | $b$ | bubbtttaaa | aaaaaaaa |
| CONSI-8 | + + | 00 |  | $0+L$ | 000 | +IF OTOO $v$ | - L o |
| CONS11-19 |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} W & 06 & 0 \\ F \end{array}$ |  |  | $\underset{Y}{++F V S+0}$ |  |
| CONS $20-22$ | EoStot ot+ | oNKO+I000 | + $\mathrm{Y} 00 \mathrm{o}+\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{O}$ | $++L_{V} 000+S++$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EISSK } \\ & N_{T} \end{aligned}$ | +o FINSIoo | E+o +++Fo |
| CONS |  | $\bigcirc$ | + |  |  | $F \mathrm{~S}$ | 0 |


|  |  | 271 | 281 | 291 | 301 | 311 | 321 | 331 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | **** | ********* | ******** |  |  |
| , | : | AR--DFTVSA | MHGDMDQKER | dvIMREFRSG | SsRVLITTDL | LArgiduag | SLVINYDL-- |  |
| 2 | : | AR--DFTUSA | LHGDMDQKER | dvIMREFRS6 | SsRVLITTDL | Largidvegu | SLVINYDL-- |  |
| 3 | : | Nd--KFTVSA | Iy SDLPQQER | dtIMKEFRS6 | SsRILISTDL | LArgidveev | SLVINYDL-- |  |
| 4 | : | Rd--6Wp AM6 | IH6DkSQeER | dwVLNEFKhG | KapILIATDV | ASr GLDVEDV | KFVINYDy-- |  |
| 5 | 1 | He--bYActs | IHGDrSQRDR | eEaLhafrs | KspilvataV | AArgldisNV | KHVINFDL-- |  |
| 6 | : | EK--EFpTTS | IHGDrLaSQR | eQaLRDFKNG | SHKVLIATSV | ASr ${ }^{\text {CLDISNI }}$ | KHVIMYDH-- |  |
| 7 | : | EfKkDLpILE | FHEKITANKR | TsLVKRFKKD | EsGILVetDV | BArgMDFPNV | HEVLQIBU-- |  |
| 8 | : | An--6INNeY | LEGEMVQGKR | nEaIKRLTEG | RUNVLVATDV | AArGIDIPDV | SHVFNFDH-- |  |
| 9 | : | SK--GISAAA | YHAGLENNVR | a DVQEKFQRD | DLQIVVATVA | fGMGINkPNV | RFVVhFDI-- |  |
| 10 | : | H---gERURY | LHSDIDTVER | MEIIRDLRLG | EFDULVGiNL | LrEBLDMPEV | SLVaILDAdk | - |
| 11 | : | R---GFLVTK | VDGRTMKLgg | VEIITKGSSi | KKHFIVATNI | IeNGUTL-DV | DUVVDFGLkV | VPnldsdnR- |
| 12 | : | K---GYkVSK | IDGRTMKSgg | telltegisv | KKHFIVATNI | IeNGUTI-DI | DUVVDF6tkV | vPVIdvdnR- |
| 13 | : | A---6KSUVV | LNRKTfERE- | ---YptIKaK | KpDFILATDI | AeMbaNL-cV | ErVLDertaf | KPVIvdegR- |
| 14 | : | A---8KkVId | LNRKSYETE- | ---YpKcKND | DWDFUYTTDI | sembanf-KA | SrvidsrksV | KPTiieegds |
| 15 | 1 | N---6Krvia | LSRKTfDSE- | ---YUKTRTN | DUDFUVTTDI | seMganf-KA | Ervidpren | KPViltdgee |
| 16 | 1 | s---6kkVIQ | LSRKTfDTE- | ---YpKTKLT | DWDFUVTTDI | seMGaNF-RA | GrVIDprrcl | KPVilpdgpe |
| 17 | : | A---6KkVIQ | LNRKSyDTE- | ---YpKcKN6 | DWDFVITTDI | seliganf-gA | SrVIDerksV | KPTileege6 |
| 18 | : | A---6KkV10 | LNRKSyETE- | ---YpKcKND | DWDFUVTTDI | semganf-KA | SrvidsrksV | KPTiitege6 |
| 19 | : | K---6YN--- | -S6yyySbed | pANLRVVTSQ | SpyVIVATNA | Ies6VTLPDL | DTVIDtGLke | EkrvrvssKi |
| 20 | : | UKR-6IDFTS | SULESIgyk 3 | 32 SIaNIKgD | NIHILLGSSV | LSEsITLyRU | KHLHIIsp-- |  |
| 21 | : | fKR-GELLEG | DaSAaDisL 70 | 70 QESNTNgE | cIKtcVFSSs | 66EbISFfsI | NDIFILDM-- |  |
| 22 | : | NstyGgLVIK | YIMLSNgys 3 | 39 SpENDDgS | QLaFLFSSNI | MSEsyTLKEV | RHIWfMtI-- |  |
| 23 | : | -----FTDSI | LILNSTrP-- | --LCNUNEwK | hfrvLuytiv | VTVGLSF-DM | AHfHsMfayl | KPMsy----- |
| 24 | : | -----FTDRV | LLLhSLTP-- | --LgDVTTMG | QYRUVIYTTV | VTVGLSF-Dp | LHfdghfayd | KPANY----- |
| 25 | : | Mgildevukh | kLILVETPD | 9 ATYRKAcSN | gRGaILISVA | r ǴEGIDFFy | RTVLMIGIpF | QytEsrilkA |
| SEC |  |  |  |  | bubbbbub |  |  |  |
| CONS |  |  | + G0 QOOR | 0 +00F006 | $0 \text { VLI TOV }$ IV L | $R 6+D+o V$ | - $V+N+D+$ |  |
| CONS |  | 6 ov | +0 00+0 | + + 00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FV } \\ \mathrm{I} \end{gathered} \underset{\mathbf{N}}{\text { TDI }}$ | $E 60^{+}$ | $0 V+D+$ | $P+00$ |
| CONS |  | +00 6 L+ | O++ SobYo | - ONoobo | OI +L+ Sot | +SESIT++oV | OHI+++0+ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{L}^{\text {TO+ }}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{l}$ | - + |  |
| CONS |  |  |  | 0 | - +++ $\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60+ \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 0 ++ |  |




Fig.1. (A) Alignment of conserved regions of (putative) helicases of the new superfamily.
Abbreviations of viruses stand for respective proteins (see Methods), and VV1 and VV2 for NTPases I and II of VV, respectively. CONS1-8,11-19,20-22 are consensus amino acid residue patterns for the 'D-E-A-D' family (entries 1-8), the family of RNA viral proteins (entries 11-19), and that of DNA viral/plasmid proteins (entries 20-22). CONS is the joint consensus derived as the overlap of the three patterns. ${ }^{+}$, hydrophobic residues (I,L,V,M,F,Y,W); O, charged or polar residues (S,T,D,E,N,O,K,R). Where single symbols are indicated, one exception was allowed. For positions where two residues were observed, only pairs of similar residues were included in the consensus patterns. Residues belonging to one of the following groups were counted as similar: L,V,I,M: G,A; S,T; K,R; D,E,N, Q; F,Y,W. Residues having no identical or similar matches in sequences of other families or individual proteins outside the families are shown in lower case. Dashes designate gaps introduced for optimal alignment. The numbers of amino acid residues in terminal regions of all proteins and in inserts available in some of the proteins are indicated. Question marks indicate that precise distances to the protein termini are unknown. For BVDV, polyprotein fragment from residue 1898 to 2223 is shown. The alignment of the 'D-E-A-D' proteins was from (19), with minor modifications. The residue numbering above the alignment is arbitrary, beginning from the first aligned residue. Conserved segments are numbered I to VI. Asteriske denote residues used for statistical analysis. Where gaps were introduced into conserved segments, those segments of the respective sequences were omitted from calculations. secondary structure prediction: a, $\alpha$-helix; b, $\beta$-strand; $t, \beta$ -turn; ?, prediction ambigous. Sites of amino acid substitutions in RAD3 (see text) are underlined. Arrowa indicate insertions of 3 and 2 residues in segment $V$ of RAD3. Source references are in parentheses preceding each of the aligned sequences.
(B) Alignment of selected sequence stretches from different conserved segments of the proteins of the new superfamily. Amino acid residues having identical or similar counterparts in 'heterologous' segments are shown in upper case.

## Nucleic Acids Research

Here, $1_{1}$ and 12 are the lengths of the two compared sequences, and $p_{i}$ are double matching probabilities calculated for each of $n$ conserved segments aligned without gaps, using the algorithm of McLachlan (26). To obtain the upper limit estimate for $P$, it was accepted $1_{1}=1_{2}=1000$ which is sowewhat above the maximal
length of the compared proteins, and the spacing of the conserved segments was not taken into account. The program DIAGON was written in the $C$ programming language and run on a WicatS150 computer. The program OPTAL was written in FORTRAN 77 and run on IBM PC AT. Secondary structure prediction was by the Chou and Fesman method (27).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Formation of a now euparianily of putative helicages
Sequence comparison of NTP-binding motif containing proteins revealed several diatinct familiea $[(5,6,12,13,23,28)$, and manuscript in preparation]. For two of such families, one including putative NTP-binding domains of replicative proteins of three groups of positive strand RNA viruses, and the other NTPases of vaccinia virus and a yeast mitochondrial plasmid-encoded protein, consensus patterns of conserved amino acid residues resembling that of the 'D-E-A-D' family were derived. The sequences of the three families were aligned so as to maximize the overlap between these patterns. This allowed delineation of 7 conserved segments (Fig.iA). Most striking was the similarity between the 'D-E-A-D' family and RNA viral proteins confirmed by pairwise alignments some of which yielded high AS values (e.g. app. 7 SD for CI protein of TEV Vs. eIF-4AI). All available sequences of other NTP-binding motif-containing proteins (6, and manuscript in preparation) were screened for complete or partial correspondence to the joint consensus pattern derived upon comparison of the three families. As the reault, a set of 25 proteins was delineated (Fig.1A). E.coli protein reca diaplayed an unexpectedly high similarity to the 'D-E-A-D' family, with AS of app. 11 SD for comparisons with eIF-4A and p68 sequences. High local similarities were also observed between this family and uvr $B$, despite two insertions in the latter protein. For two herpesvirus proteins and yeast helicase RAD3, more modest segmental similarities were observed, the spacer lengths between the 7 conaerved segments varying significantiy (Fig.iA).

The significance of the final alignment was assessed by calculating the probability of simultaneous chance occurence of all 7 segments for each pair of sequences es described under Methods. These celculations showed that all aligned proteins were linked into a single network by highly significant matches, with the possible exception of Rad3 (Fig.2). However, numerous data on mutagenesis of this protein are available (see below), corroborating our identification of segments important for its function.

## Characteriantion of the conencrud searenter

The final elignment contained 6 invarient amino acid residues distributed among 7 conserved segments (Fig.1A). Of these residues, 2 were observed in segment $I$, 2 in segment II,


Fig.2. A schematic representation of the relationships between the members of the new superfamily of (putative) helicases. Squares enclose proteins of similar origin (cellular, RNA viral and DNA viral). Names of virus groups (flavi, poty, pesti and herpes) enclosed into small circles stand for respective sets of closely related proteins. The large circles link proteins constituting groups delineated by sequence comparison (probability of chance similarity p<10-9). The diameters of these circles are in approximate reverse proportion to the degree of similarity between the members of each group. Solid straight lines indicate significant connections between the groups ( $\mathrm{P}<10^{-7}$ ). Of the ' $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ family, only the sequences of eIF-4AI and p8s were used for calculations. For any two groups only one best connection is shown. Dashed lines correspond to $10^{-3}<p<10^{-5}$.
and 2 in segment VI. Segment I corresponded to the "A" site of the NTP-binding motif. The N-terminal G/A fixed in the "A" consensus was replaced by a bulky residue in 12 proteins of the new superfamily (position 8 in Fig.iA). Another G residue was conserved in position 10, presumbly maintaining the flexible loop conformation typical of this site (7-10). Segment II corresponded to the "B" site of the NTP-binding motif thought to interact with the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ cation of $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{NTP}$ through the conserved D residue (7-10). Segment VI, the 3rd most conserved

(B)

segment in the proteins of the new superfamily, might be a apecial kind of nucleic acid binding site, provided the abundance of positively charged residues. A similar motif has recently been implicated in RNA binding in several nuclear proteins (29). A correlation between the conserved patterns of segments II and VI might be of interest. In segment II, most proteins of the superfamily outside the 'DEAD' family had the signature 'DExH'. In segment VI, the signature of the 'DEAD' family was 'HxxGRxxR', and that of other proteins 'OxxGRxxR', suggesting a sort of compensation. Sequence motifs revealed in segments Ia and III to $V$ were less strictly conserved, and only degenerate forms of some of them could be identified in certain proteins (Fig.1A). A degree of similarity could be revealed between different segments, suggesting they might be considered imperfect repeats (Fig.1B).

Secondary structure predictions indicated that each of the conserved segments centered at a $\beta$-turn usually flanked from

Fig.3. Comparison of the proteins constituting the two (putative) helicase superfamilies.
(A) Correspondence between the conserved amino acid residue patterns of SFi (upper) and SF2 (lower). Additional abbreviations: PVX, potato virus X (a potexvirus): IBV, infectious bronchitis virus (a coronavirus); PIF, yeest mitochondrial helicase. For SF1, the data are from en updated version of the published alignment (13), and for SF2 from the alignment shown in Fig.1A. Asterisks designate conserved segments numbered as in Fig.i. Their positioning in the proteins designated by dashed horizontel lines is shown to scale. For each superfamily, representative sampling was generated including proteins of different origin (i.e. RNA viral, DNA viral, prokaryotic and eukaryotic) to show the entire length span of the apacers separating the conserved segments. The boundaries of the IBV protein were predicted from the analysis of putative cleavage sites (A.E.G.et el., subnitted). $f$, approximate frequency of the consensus residues. The designation system for the consensus patterns is from (66), with modifications. Colons highlight complete correspondence between the two consensus patterns, and dots partial correspondence. Other designations are as in Fig.1A. (B) Location of the putative helicase domains of the two superfamilies in multidomein proteins of poeitive atrand RMA viruses.
Multidomain proteins (dashed lines) and the conserved regions of the putative helicases (HEL) and of the RNA polymerases (POL) are shown to scele. For tobamo-, alpha- and potyviruses, more detailed schemes heve been published (23). For potexviruses, the data are from $(67,68)$, for tymovirumes from (69), for flaviviruses from (54-59), and for pestiviruses from (70). For potex- and furoviruaes having each two putative helicases, only those embodied in multidomain proteins are shown.
the $N$-side by a $\beta$-strand, and only in segment VI by an $\alpha$ -helix (Fig.1A).

Implications for protein functions
The sequence, and presumably structural, similarity between the proteins of the new superfamily suggests they should be similar to some extent also functionally. The best guess is that their common activity might be that of a nucleic acid-dependent NTPase, possibly a helicase. This had been documented for only a few proteins, but what is known of the functions of the others supports to some extent, or at least does not contradict this proposal. RNA helicase activity has been revealed in p68 (14), SrmB (16) and eIF-4A (30). RAD3 is a DNA helicase involved in yeast DNA repair, and possibly replication ( 31,32 ). UvrB is a subunit of uvrAB helicase (33) displaying, under certain conditions, ATPase activity (34).
DNA-dependent ATPase activity was described for the two vaccinia proteins (35,36). Reca is a component of the recF recombination pathway in E.coli whose specific activity is unknown (37). UL9 protein of HSV specifically binds to the virus DNA replication origin (38). RNA viral proteins are poorly studied but for flavivirus NS3 involvement in RNA replication is strongly suggested (39). A survey of spontaneous and artificial mutants of RAD3 (32,40-42) showed that all the numerous mutations impairing its activity in excision DNA repair and/or its essential function fell exactly within the conserved segments $I$ to $V$ identified here (Fig.iA). This lends strong support for the involvement of these segments in the helicase function of RAD3 and, by implication, of other proteins of the new superfamily.
Comparison of the two helicase superfenililes
It was of interest to compare the pattern of conserved structural elements of the putative helicase superfamily described here (hereafter SF2) with that of the superfamily identified previously (SF1). Proteins of both groups have 7 conserved segments of which most are probably similar at the level of secondary structure (cf. 13 and Fig.1A). Superposition of these segments revealed a number of coincidences beyond the NTP-binding motif proper, particularly in segments $I, I I, V$ and VI. For other segments which were more variable within each superfamily, the similarity was not that obvious (Fig.3A). The lengths of spacers separating the conserved segments in the proteins of the two superfamilies overlapped in each case (Fig. 3 A). Interestingly, the putative NTPases of both superfamilies occupied similar locations in multidomein proteins of positive atrand RNA viruses relative to conserved RNA polymerase domains (Fig.3B). Taken together, it could be concluded that the two superfamilies were distinct but distantly related.

Previously, the correspondence between segments I, Ia (18,43), II, V and VI (18) has already been established for some of the proteins now included into SF1 and SF2. In other works, superpositions which are now to be regarded as partially erratic have been presented $(17,20,44)$. Preaumably, this could be due to scant representation of SF2.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

Hopefully, identification of the two (putative) helicase superfamilies and demonstration of a distant relationship between them may initiate formation of a conceptual framework for further studies of these important enzymes. There are several well characterized helicases which could not be included neither in SF1 nor in SF2 (unpublished observations). These include SV40 $T$ antigen (45) whose sequence is related to those of NSi proteins of parvoviruses (28), E.coli proteins recA (46), dnaB (47) and rho (48), and some others. Thus, conservation of the sequence motifs typical of SF1 and/or SF2 is not obligatory for a helicase. Revelation of functional constraints leading to this conservation is a tantalizing goal for future studies.
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