ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Characterization of Atrial Substrate to Predict the Success of Pulmonary Vein Isolation: The Prospective, Multicenter MASH-AF II (Multipolar Atrial Substrate High Density Mapping in Atrial Fibrillation) Study

Marco Bergonti ^(D), MD; Francesco Raffaele Spera, MD; Teba Gonzalez Ferrero ^(D), MD; Michelle Nsahlai ^(D), MD; Alice Bonomi ^(D), MSc; Maxime Tijskens, MD; Wim Boris, MSc; Johan Saenen, MD, PhD; Wim Huybrechts ^(D), MD; Hielko Miljoen ^(D), MD; Jose Ramón González-Juanatey, MD, PhD; Jose Luis Martínez-Sande, MD, PhD; Lien Vandaele ^(D), MSc; Anouk Wittock, MD; Hein Heidbuchel ^(D), MD, PhD; Miguel Valderrábano ^(D), MD; MO; Moises Rodríguez-Mañero, MD, PhD; Andrea Sarkozy ^(D), MD, PhD

BACKGROUND: Left atrial substrate may have mechanistic relevance for ablation of atrial fibrillation (AF). We sought to analyze the relationship between low-voltage zones (LVZs), transition zones, and AF recurrence in patients undergoing pulmonary vein isolation.

METHODS AND RESULTS: We conducted a prospective multicenter study on consecutive patients undergoing pulmonary vein isolation-only approach. LVZs and transition zones (0.5-1 mV) were analyzed offline on high-density electroanatomical maps collected before pulmonary vein isolation. Overall, 262 patients $(61\pm11 \text{ years}, 31\% \text{ female})$ with paroxysmal (130 pts) or persistent (132 pts) AF were included. After 28 months of follow-up, 73 (28%) patients experienced recurrence. An extension of more than 5% LVZ in paroxysmal AF and more than 15% in persistent AF was associated with recurrence (hazard ratio [HR], 4.4 [95% CI, 2.0–9.8], *P*<0.001 and HR, 1.9 [95% CI, 1.1–3.7], *P*=0.04, respectively). Significant association was found between LVZs and transition zones and between LVZs and left atrial volume index (LAVI) (both *P*<0.001). Thirty percent of patients had significantly increased LAVI without LVZs. Eight percent of patients had LVZs despite normal LAVI. Older age, female sex, oncological history, and increased AF recurrence characterized the latter subgroup.

CONCLUSIONS: In patients undergoing first pulmonary vein isolation, the impact of LVZs on outcomes occurs with lower burden in paroxysmal than persistent AF, suggesting that not all LVZs have equal prognostic implications. A proportional area of moderately decreased voltages accompanies LVZs, suggesting a continuous substrate instead of the dichotomous division of healthy or diseased tissue. LAVI generally correlates with LVZs, but a small subgroup of patients may present with disproportionate atrial remodeling, despite normal LAVI.

Key Words: atrial fibrillation 🔳 atrial volume 🗏 fibrosis 🔳 low-voltage zones 🔳 pulmonary vein isolation 🗏 scar 🗉 transition zone

trial fibrosis plays a role in atrial fibrillation (AF) pathophysiology through promoting inhomogeneous slow conduction and AF susceptibility.¹ Low-voltage zones (LVZs) identified by electroanatomical

voltage mapping have been used as a surrogate for atrial fibrosis. Multiple observational studies have described a correlation between the presence of atrial scarring and high recurrence rate after pulmonary vein isolation

Correspondence to: Andrea Sarkozy, MD, PhD, Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Antwerp, Drie Eikenstraat 655, 2650 Edegem, Belgium. Email: andreasarkozy@yahoo.ca

Supplemental Material is available at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.122.027795

For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 9.

^{© 2022} The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha

CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

What Is New

- The clinical relevance of spontaneous lowvoltage zones is different between patients with paroxysmal and persistent atrial fibrillation, likely reflecting the different underlying pathophysiological mechanisms: atrial myopathy versus electrical remodeling.
- Ten percent of patients with atrial fibrillation have a high scar burden in spite of normal atrial volume. This subset of patients is often female, with advanced age, previous stroke, normal left ventricular filling pressure, and low success rate after pulmonary vein isolation.
- A proportional area of moderately decreased voltages (transition zone) accompanies lowvoltage zones, suggesting a continuous substrate instead of the dichotomous division of healthy or diseased tissue.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Not all low- and intermediate-voltage zones carry the same arrhythmogenic properties. Better characterization of the atrial substrate is needed to improve the outcomes of substratebased ablation.
- Overcoming the dichotomous division between healthy/diseased tissue and accepting the concept of continuous substrate is necessary to move forward in the field of substrate-based ablation.

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

LAVI	left atrial volume	index
LVZ	low-voltage zone	

- PVI pulmonary vein isolation
- TrZ transition zone

(PVI).¹⁻⁴ Based on these observations, in an attempt to improve AF ablation outcomes, multiple strategies based on fibrosis ablation (encircling, homogenization or modification of fibrotic regions) have been proposed. After the initial promising results derived from observational studies and small-size single-center randomized controlled trials,^{2,5-9} more recent larger multicenter trials have cast doubts over the efficacy of this approach.¹⁰⁻¹³ In order to better understand the mechanistic relevance of atrial substrate in AF, better insights are urgently needed.

The LVZ burden threshold for prognostic relevance is unknown. The natural history of patients with different burdens of LVZ or transition zone (TrZ) undergoing the PVI-only approach has not been well characterized. Additionally, left atrial (LA) enlargement has been associated with worse outcome. Yet, the exact relation between atrial dilation and LVZ burden is incompletely understood.

Our aims were (1) to characterize the relationship between LVZ burden and AF recurrences after first procedural PVI-only approach in paroxysmal and persistent AF; (2) to analyze the relationship between LVZ and TrZ regions and their impact on outcomes; and (3) to analyze the relationship between LVZ and LA volume.

METHODS

Study Design and Study Population

We conducted a multicenter prospective study of consecutive patients undergoing first procedure PVI-only approach between 2017 and 2019 at the University Hospital of Antwerp (Belgium), the Complexo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago de Compostela (Spain), and the Houston Methodist Hospital (Houston, TX). High-density electroanatomical maps were prospectively collected before PVI and analyzed offline. The study flow chart is shown in Figure 1. The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided informed consent to participate, and the study protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the participating centers. Data used in the analysis will be made available upon reasonable request, pending the agreement of all the authors.

High-Density Electroanatomical Voltage Mapping and Pulmonary Vein Isolation

The mapping procedure and the map analysis workflow are described in detail in Data S1. Briefly, all patients underwent high-density automatic bipolar



Figure 1. Study flow-chart.

Study population stratified according to AF pattern and underlying rhythm during the mapping. AF indicates atrial fibrillation; AFL, atrial flutter; Parox, paroxysmal AF; Pers, persistent AF; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation; SR, sinus rhythm; and TOT, total.

voltage mapping with multipolar catheters. Three different cutoffs were used for LVZ, according to the underlying rhythm. In sinus rhythm, mapping LVZ cutoff was set at <0.5 mV,¹⁴ and TrZ range was between 0.5 and 1 mV.¹⁵ TrZ was evaluated only if mapping was in sinus rhythm. If mapping was in AF, LVZ cutoff was <0.24 mV and in atrial flutter <0.3 mV.¹⁶ LVZ was identified as an area of at least 1 cm² in area containing \geq 3 neighboring points with \leq 10mm distance. LA volume index (LAVI) was calculated by indexing the final LA volume on the electroanatomical map to the body surface area.

The catheter ablation technique used for PVI (wide antral circumferential ablation) has been previously described in detail and is discussed in the Data S1 and exemplary cases are shown in Figure S1.¹⁷ Briefly, all patients underwent ipsilateral circumferential wide antral PVI with the use of contact force sensing irrigated tip ablation catheter and automatic ablation annotation module. The primary outcome of the study was freedom from any arrhythmia recurrence (including AF, atrial flutter, and atrial tachycardia) lasting at least 30s after a blanking period of 3 months.¹⁸ During follow-up, patients were evaluated at 3, 6, and 12 months in the first year and subsequently every 6 to 12 months or if symptoms developed. At each visit, ambulatory 24to 48-hour Holter monitoring was performed. The follow-up strategy was the same in the 3 participating centers.

Statistical Analysis

The study power was calculated based on previous studies. We calculated the sample size assuming a distribution ratio of 3 to 1 of no-scar (LVZ <5%) to scar (LVZ >5%). The AF recurrence rate in patients with scar was set at 40% and 20% among patients without scar. It was calculated that the inclusion of 224 patients would allow for a statistical power of 80% with a type I error of 0.05 to detect an absolute difference of 20%. In order to account for dropout and patient missing at follow-up, a 10% margin was adopted, which led to the expected final population size of 246 patients. Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD or median and interguartile range as appropriate. Comparisons between groups were undertaken with parametric (Student's t test) or nonparametric (Mann-Whitney U test) test, respectively. The comparison between categorical variables was performed with the χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test. Event-free survival was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method using the log-rank test. Variables significantly related to AF recurrence according to previous literature were entered in a univariate and multivariable analysis (after testing for Cox proportional hazards assumption in the general population). Variance inflation factor was

used to assess collinearity, and in case this was present, univariate standardized hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated for comparison (methods of centering the variables). Thereafter, the variable with the greatest association was kept in the regression model and the other variables were excluded. Correlation between variables was assessed via Spearman or Pearson tests. Comparison between the 4 volume/LVZ groups was performed via 1-way ANOVA, and Bonferroni method was subsequently used to identify variables that characterized subgroup G4. The SPSS 23.0 software (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) was used for all statistical analysis.

RESULTS

Baseline Clinical Characteristics

A total of 262 patients (61 \pm 11years, 31.3% female) formed the final study population. The baseline clinical and procedural characteristics are shown in Table 1. Patients with <5% LVZ burden were significantly younger and more often male, had more frequently paroxysmal AF, lower CHA₂DS₂-VASc score, and smaller atrium (Table 1).

LVZ and Recurrence

Two patients (0.7%) were lost to follow-up. Over a median follow-up of 28 (interguartile range 16-46) months, 73 (28.1%) of the 260 patients experienced recurrence (Table S1). Kaplan-Meier analysis (Figure 2) shows higher recurrence rate for patients with LVZ >5%, as compared with <5%, in the overall population (Figure 2A and 2B) and in patients with paroxysmal AF (Figure 2C and 2F) but not in patients with persistent AF (Figure 2D). In multivariable analysis, in the overall population, after adjustment for body mass index, obstructive sleep apnea, age, AF pattern, atrial volume, and rhythm at the beginning of procedure, only LVZ <5% was independently and significantly associated with AF/atrial flutter/atrial tachycardia recurrence (HR, 0.6 [95% CI, 0.3-0.9], P=0.04) (Table 2). In patients with paroxysmal AF, female sex and >5% LVZ burden were associated with recurrence, at univariate analysis (Table 3). In patients with persistent AF, >15% LVZ burden and not >5% LVZ burden was significantly associated with the primary outcome, in univariate analysis (Table 3, Figure 2D and 2E). Analyzing the pattern of AF recurrence, patients who recurred with paroxysmal AF had mean LVZ of 8.9% ± 1.3 as compared with 17.0% ±3.0 among patients who recurred with persistent AF (P=0.004). Additionally, among patients with LVZ <5%, 70% of the recurrences were paroxysmal AF, as compared with patients with LVZ >5%, who experienced persistent AF recurrence in 58% of the cases

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics

	Total		LVZ <5%		LVZ >5%		
	n=262		<i>n=</i> 190	72.5%	n=72	27.4%	P value
Age, y	61.2±10.9		58.8±11.0		67.3±7.8		<0.001
Sex, female	82	31.3%	52	27.4%	30	41.6%	0.04
Hypertension	150	57.3%	76	40.0%	34	47.2%	0.3
Diabetes	30	11.4%	19	10.0%	11	15.2%	0.3
Previous transient ischemic attack or stroke	12	4.6%	6	3.2%	6	8.3%	0.1
Coronary artery disease	45	17.2%	30	15.7%	15	20.8%	0.4
CHA2DS2-VASc	1	0–2	1	0–2	2	1–3	<0.001
Body mass index	28.6±4.8		28.5±4.7		28.8±5.0		0.5
Estimated glomerular filtration rate <30mL	12	12 4.6%		7.8%	8	11.3%	0.3
Obstructive sleep apnea	23	8.8%	7	3.7%	5	7.0%	0.4
Persistent AF	132	50.4%	82	43.2%	50	69.4%	<0.001
Years of AF history	1.9	0.7–4.7	1.5	0.7-4.4	2.5	1.1–5.6	0.08
Ejection fraction, %	58.4±11.2		59.1±9.7		56.1±14.4		0.09
Left atrium diameter, mm	41.3±12.1		42.1±8.2		45.3±8.4		0.01
Electroanatomical voltage mapping data							
Left atrial volume index, mL/m ²	67.3±16.5		64.6±16.4		74.6±14.7		<0.001
Sinus rhythm at the beginning of procedure	174	66.4%	133	70.0%	41	56.9%	0.04
% Area of TrZ	7.0	2.6-14.3	5.7	1.5–9.8	21.8	11.1–28.2	<0.001
Area of TrZ, cm ²	8.9	2.8–17.6	6.4	1.9–11.6	25.8	14.2–35.0	<0.001

Continuous variables are shown as mean ± SD or median and interquartile range. Discrete variables are presented as numbers and percentages (%). AF indicates atrial fibrillation; LVZ, low-voltage zone (<0.5 mV in sinus rhythm, <0.3 mV in atrial flutter, <0.24 mV in AF); and TrZ, transition zone (0.5–1 mV for maps in sinus rhythm). Years of AF history stands for "years since the first diagnosis of AF."

(*P*<0.001). No center-related difference was found in terms of AF-recurrence free survival and distribution of persistent/paroxysmal AF (Figure S2).

LVZs and TrZs

A significant correlation was found between the area of LVZ and TrZ (Spearman correlation=0.74; P<0.001). Both LVZ and TrZ (area and percentage) were significantly associated with the primary outcome in univariate analysis (HR, 1.03 [95% Cl, 1.01–1.05], P=0.001; HR, 1.04 [95% Cl, 1.01–1.06], P=0.003; respectively). When corrected for LVZ via multivariable analysis, TrZ burden was nonsignificantly associated with recurrence (P=0.3). In a subanalysis of patients with <5% LVZ (171 patients), the presence of TrZ was not useful to further stratify patients at higher risk of recurrence (HR, 1.03, P=0.1).

LVZs and LAVI

There was a weak but significant correlation between burden of LVZ and LAVI (Spearman correlation=0.27; P<0.01) (Figure 3A). According to the presence or absence of >5% LVZ and the dimension of LAVI, the study population was divided into 4 groups. A discordant pattern between LAVI and % LVZ area (figure 3A) was observed in 100 (38%) patients. Comparing G1, G2, and G3 subgroups there was a significant stepwise increase in age, CHA₂DS₂-VASc score, grade of diastolic dysfunction, proportion of patients with hypertension, persistent AF, and increased left ventricle filling pressure (Table 4 and Figure 4). In contrast, G4 patients were more likely to be female, older, with higher CHA₂DS₂-VASc score and normal ventricular filling pressure. Kaplan–Meier analysis showed significantly higher recurrence rate in G3 and G4 patients as compared with G1 and G2 patients (Figure 3B).

DISCUSSION

Our study is the largest systematic prospective detailed evaluation of LA substrate using standardized high-density multipolar bipolar voltage mapping in patients undergoing first PVI-only catheter ablation. Our main results are as follows: (1) the presence of >5% LVZ area is a strong and independent predictor of recurrence in patients with paroxysmal AF; (2) in patients with persistent AF, only the presence of high-burden (>15%) LVZ is predictive of recurrence; (3) a correlation exists between the amount of LVZ and TrZ, and in patients without LVZ, the presence of TrZ does not predict recurrence; (4) a weak correlation exists between the extent of LVZ and indexed LA volume: in more than



Figure 2. Atrial arrhythmia recurrence-free survival in the overall population and subgroups.

A, Kaplan–Meier analysis comparing patients with and without low-voltage zones (LVZ \geq or <5%), in the overall population. **B**, Kaplan–Meier analysis comparing patients with LVZ <5%, LVZ between 5–15%, and LVZ >15%, in the overall population. **C**, Kaplan–Meier analysis comparing patients with and without LVZ <5%, in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF). **D**, Kaplan–Meier analysis comparing patients with and without LVZ <5%, in patients with persistent AF. **E**, Kaplan–Meier analysis comparing patients with and without LVZ <15%, in patients with persistent AF. **F**, Kaplan–Meier analysis comparing patients with and without LVZ <5% between paroxysmal and persistent AF illustrates the similar outcome between patients with persistent AF and paroxysmal AF with LVZ <5%. AF indicates atrial fibrillation; AFL, atrial flutter; AT, atrial tachycardia; and HR, hazard ratio.

		95% CI				95% CI	95% CI	
	HR	Lower	Upper	P value	HR	Lower	Upper	P value
Body mass index	1.02	0.97	1.07	0.391	1.01	0.96	1.07	0.621
Obstructive sleep apnea	1.81	0.89	3.89	0.814	1.21	0.55	2.67	0.634
Age	1.02	1.00	1.05	0.041	1.01	0.98	1.02	0.311
Persistent AF	2.13	1.48	3.07	<0.001	1.76	0.99	3.12	0.052
Left atrial volume index, mL/m ²	1.02	1.00	1.03	0.010	1.01	0.99	1.02	0.931
% left ventricle	1.02	1.01	1.03	<0.001	—			
area LVZ, cm ²	1.02	1.01	1.03	<0.001	-			
Sinus rhythm at the beginning of procedure	0.47	0.30	0.75	<0.001	0.65	0.39	1.11	0.114
%LVZ <5%	0.4	0.25	0.63	<0.001	0.57	0.33	0.96	0.042
%LVZ >15%	2.94	1.7	5.1	<0.001	-			
Standardized HR for related varia	lbles							
LVZ%	1.27	1.09	1.47	0.001				
LVZ area	1.29	1.12	1.49	<0.001				
LVZ>5%	1.52	1.87	1.23	<0.001				
LVZ>15%	1.44	1.19	1.73	<0.001				

Table 2. Overall Population, Predictors of Recurrence

Univariate (column on the left) and multivariable (on the right) Cox regression analysis for predictors of AF recurrence after pulmonary vein isolation.

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; HR, hazard ratio; and LVZ, low-voltage zone (<0.5 mV in sinus rhythm, <0.3 mV in atrial flutter, <0.24 mV in AF). Years of AF stands for "years since the first diagnosis of AF."

one-third of patients, LVZ occurs without significant LA dilatation or, despite significant LA dilatation, there is no LVZ; and (5) in a small subgroup of older, mostly female patients LVZ is present without significant LA dilatation, and this group is characterized by high recurrence following PVI.

LVZ as a Marker of Recurrence Risk Following PVI

In line with previous studies,^{3,4,13} our study confirms that the presence of LVZ in patients with paroxysmal AF is relatively infrequent (17%) but is associated with a 4 times higher risk of recurrence after first PVI-only procedure. The majority of previous studies have used the presence of LVZ as a binary parameter with cutoff values ranging from 0 to 5 cm² LVZ area.^{3,4} We report in the current study that 5% of LA surface area LVZ is likely the best cutoff in patients with paroxysmal AF for predicting recurrence after first PVI procedure. Above this value, the presence of LVZ is a strong predictor of lower ablation success. The presence of LVZ in paroxysmal AF patients is clinically relevant, as PVI-only approach performs poorly in these patients, with a recurrence rate similar to persistent AF. Our results confirm previous studies and suggest that patients with paroxysmal AF and LVZ deserve to be included in trials evaluating additional treatment strategies.

Our study shows for the first time that the extent of LVZ predictive of higher recurrence following PVI-only approach is different in patients with persistent and

paroxysmal AF. In persistent AF only high-burden LVZ (with cutoff >15% of LA area) stratifies adequately the risk of AF recurrence following PVI-only approach. A possible explanation for the difference may be that LVZ in paroxysmal AF is the marker of an underlying diffuse atrial myopathy, which continues to progress despite PVI. Conversely, in persistent AF, LVZ may be partially owing to the AF itself caused by reversible electrical remodeling. It is plausible that LVZ regions in patients with persistent AF overestimate the underlying irreversible structural myopathy. Our results have important clinical implications. It implies that targeting all LVZ areas in patients with low- and moderate-burden LVZ in persistent AF will likely not improve outcomes and that some LVZ areas are less arrhythmogenic than others. It may also explain the negative results of the recent randomized trial of LVZ targeted ablation.¹¹ We confirm in the current study that in patients with persistent AF without LVZ (62% of the patients), the recurrence-free survival after PVI-only approach is still suboptimal (67%). Despite absence of LVZ and similar structural atrial substrate to patients with paroxysmal AF, these patients experience more than double the rate of AF recurrence (15% versus 33%), suggesting that alternative targets should be evaluated.

Relation Between LVZs and TrZs

Yagishita et al conducted a study in 100 patients with paroxysmal AF. Based on a control group of 6 patients without AF, they defined the TrZ as a region with

	Univariate analysis					
		95% CI	95% CI			
	HR	Lower	Upper	P value		
Paroxysmal AF						
Female sex	2.26	1.04	4.88	0.041		
BMI	1.00	0.92	1.07	0.942		
Age	1.02	0.98	1.06	0.254		
LAVI, mL/m ²	0.99	0.96	1.02	0.625		
%LVZ	1.08	1.04	1.12	<0.001		
Area LVZ	1.07	1.04	1.10	<0.001		
%LVZ >5%	4.39	1.96	9.80	<0.001		
%LVZ >15%	3.99	1.36	11.73	0.012		
Persistent AF						
Female sex	1.16	0.61	2.21	0.642		
BMI	1.02	0.96	1.09	0.425		
Age	1.02	0.99	1.05	0.156		
Long-standing persistent AF	1.53	0.64	3.63	0.326		
LAVI	1.01	0.99	1.03	0.083		
%LVZ	1.01	0.99	1.02	0.313		
Area LVZ	1.01	0.99	1.01	0.243		
%LVZ <5%	0.70	0.39	1.25	0.233		
%LVZ >15%	1.93	1.01	3.66	0.041		

Table 3. Predictors of Recurrence According to AF Pattern Pattern

Univariate (column on the left) and multivariable (on the right) Cox regression analysis for predictors of AF recurrence after pulmonary vein isolation.

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; BMI, body mass index; HR, hazard ratio; LAVI, left atrial volume index; and LVZ, low-voltage zone (<0.5 mV in sinus rhythm, <0.3 mV in atrial flutter, <0.24 mV in AF). Years of AF history stands for "years since the first diagnosis of AF."

voltages between 0.5 mV and 1.1 mV.¹⁵ However, the relationship between the amount of LVZ and TrZ has not been further analyzed previously. In the current study, for the TrZ we used a definition of bipolar voltage cutoff values from 0.5 mV to 1 mV. We report that for every cm² of LVZ, there is a large accompanying TrZ. Although the used voltage cutoffs are arbitrary, our finding suggests that the presence of LVZ could be seen as the tip of the "fibrosis iceberg" and as a marker of diffuse underlying atrial substrate. It is likely that a discrete bipolar voltage threshold to dichotomize normal tissue from tissue with atrial fibrosis does not exist. Atrial fibrosis is likely a continuum heterogeneously also present in normal hearts and by increasing with age and other upstream triggers (including AF) may reach a level in certain regions when it starts to be associated with measurable bipolar voltage decrease. Although the arrhythmogenicity of different types and extent of fibrosis is largely unknown, encircling LVZ regions may leave large areas of surrounding TrZ untreated. Yagishita et al reported





Figure 3. Relationship between low voltages and atrial volume.

A, The overall population was divided into 4 groups according to the presence or absence of LVZ >5% and significant left atrial dilation. G1 and G3 show a concordant pattern between LVZ and LAVI, whereas G2 and G4 show a discordant pattern: significant LA dilatation without LVZ or LVZ without significant LA dilatation. **B**, The Kaplan–Meier analysis in the lower panel shows how the outcome is entirely driven by the presence or absence of LVZ, more than the dimension of the LAVI. AFL indicates atrial flutter; AF, atrial fibrillation; AT, atrial tachycardia; LA, left atrial; LAVI, left atrial volume index; and LVZ, low-voltage zone.

that the extent of TrZ in patients without LVZ predicts recurrence in patients with paroxysmal AF. We report contrary findings; in the current study the presence of TrZ without LVZ did not predict worse outcome. The reasons behind this discrepancy may be the differences between the catheters used for mapping with different electrode size and interelectrode spacing and PVI techniques.

	G1 <5% LV nonsignific LAVI	/Z and antly dilated	G2 <5% LVZ and significantly dilated LAVI		G3 > 5%LVZ and significantly dilated LAVI		G4 >5%LVZ and nonsignificantly dilated LAVI		
	n=111 (42.4	ŀ%)	n=79 (30	n=79 (30.1%)		n=51 (19.5%)		n=21 (8.0%)	
Age,	57.4±12.6*		60.8±8.0		67.4±7.8		67.4±8.2		<0.001
Sex, male	77	69.4%	61	77.2%*	33	64.7%	9	42.8%	0.02
Body mass index	28.1±4.6		29.0±4.9		29.5±4.6	29.5±4.6		27.4±5.6	
Hypertension	39	35.1%	37	46.8%	28	54.9%	6	28.6%	0.03
Diabetes	11	9.9%	8	10.1%	7	13.7%	4	19.0%	0.5
CHA2DS2-VASc	1	0-2*	1	0–2	2	1-4	2	1–3	<0.001
eGFR <30 mL	3	2.7%	4	5.1%	3	5.9%	2	9.5%	0.5
Obstructive sleep apnea	5	4.5%	10	12.6%	7	13.7%	1	4.7%	0.1
Persistent AF	37	33.3%	45	56.9%	39	76.4%	11	5.23%	<0.001
Years of AF History	1.6	0.6-4.6	1.5	0.7–4.5	2.3	1.0-5.7	4.0	1.4–7.1	0.8
Oncological History	3	2.7%*	2	2.5%*	2	3.9%*	5	23.8%	<0.001
e'	8.0±2.3		6.6±1.9		6.2±1.5		7.6±1.6		<0.001
E/e'	10.9±3.9		13.6±4.7	13.6±4.7		ł	11.2±3.4		<0.001
E/A	1.5±0.5		1.7±0.6		2.1±0.8		1.6±0.7		<0.001
Grade of Diastolic Dysfunction	0.9±0.9		1.2±0.9		2.0±0.4*		1.2±1.0		<0.001
Increased LV Filling Pressure	21	27.2%	33	58.1%*	35	83.2%*	4	22.3%	<0.001
EF	59%±9.7		59%±9.8		54%±13.8		59%±14.4		0.2
EF <50%, n°	10	9.0%	4	5.0%	10	19.6%	2	11.9%	0.2
%LVZ	0.9±1.3*		0.9±1.5*		19.4±16.2		18.1±16.1		<0.001

Table 4.	Comparison of Baseline Clinical Characteristics Between 4 Subgroups of Patients With or Without >5% LVZ and
With or V	Vithout Significantly Dilated Left Atrium (G1, G2, G3, G4)

The table reports a comparison between 4 groups, identified according to the presence/absence of significant LVZ and the presence/absence of atrial dilation. A *P* value (which is the result of the ANOVA analysis) <0.05 signifies that there is a significant difference between at least 2 of the 4 groups. A further subanalysis, via Bonferroni method, allowed identification of the variable that significantly differed from G4. These variables are marked with *. AF indicates atrial fibrillation; EF, ejection fraction; LAVI, left atrial volume index; LV, left ventricle; and LVZ, low-voltage zone (<0.5 mV in sinus rhythm, <0.3 mV in atrial flutter, <0.24 mV in AF). Years of AF stands for "years since the first diagnosis of AF."

Relationship Between LA LVZ Extension and Atrial Dilatation

Several previous studies have shown an association between larger LA size and presence of LVZ.^{1,19} However, no study analyzed the exact relationship yet. Based on our findings, there seem to be 2 different "fibrotic pathways" present in patients with AF. In the majority of patients, during the time course of AF a stepwise dilation of the atrium is present, followed later by diffuse fibrosis. It is still unclear if progression in AF is a bystander marker of this process or has a casual role. On the other side, it seems that in a small subgroup of frequently older female patients sometimes with oncological history, extensive fibrosis precedes significant LA dilatation. These patients have high recurrence rate following PVI. It is interesting to notice that patients with LVZ >5% and nonsignificantly dilated LAVI have a significantly lower prevalence of diastolic dysfunction and lower filling pressure, as compared with patients with dilated LAVI (Table 4). This might point toward a different etiological process causing atrial remodeling. This observation is limited by the low number of patients in G4 and should be further investigated.

Our findings have important clinical implications. They may suggest that in younger, especially male, patients with a significantly dilated left atrium, traditionally considered poor candidates for PVI, the procedure may have better than expected outcomes. On the contrary, in older female patients with a high CHA₂DS₂-VASc score, extensive fibrosis may already be present, even with a nonsignificantly dilated left atrium, and outcome may be worse.

Limitations

Spontaneous low-voltage regions are a surrogate marker for atrial fibrosis, but histological validation is missing. Spatial distribution and extent of LVZ depend largely on spontaneous rhythm and site and frequency of atrial pacing, as well as the mapping catheter and interelectrode distance. However, all our patients were mapped in spontaneous rhythm without pacing, using catheters with same electrode size, interelectrode distance, and automatic acquisition setting validated in our previous studies. As the correlation between bipolar voltage in AF and sinus rhythm becomes less accurate above 0.5 mV, to analyze the impact of the TrZ,



Figure 4. Two fibrotic pathways.

The 2 different hypothetical "fibrotic pathways" are shown. On one side, in the majority of patients, first progressive atrial dilatation occurs and is followed later by extensive fibrosis. On the other side, progressive fibrosis occurs without preceding left atrial dilatation. AF indicates atrial fibrillation; LV, left ventricle; and LVZ, low-voltage zones.

only sinus maps were used. Multipolar catheters may be prone to suboptimal contact in several LA regions. However, meticulous attempts were made to ensure that only points in close proximity to the anatomical shell were collected. We acknowledge that the 68 mL/m² cutoff used to identify patients with significantly dilated atrium based on the median LAVI on electroanatomical mapping is arbitrary. The LAVI cutoff for significant LA dilatation may appear larger than the correspondent conventional echocardiographic parameter. A more adequate comparison for electroanatomical mapping LAVI may be the upper limit of normal LAVI in cardiac computer tomography examination reported at 63 mL/m².²⁰

CONCLUSIONS

In patients undergoing first PVI, the impact of LVZ on outcomes occurs with lower LVZ burden in paroxysmal (>5%) than persistent AF (>15%), suggesting that not all LVZs have prognostic implications in persistent AF. A proportional area of moderately decreased voltage zone is present around LVZ, suggesting the presence of a more widespread atrial myopathy associated with commonly visualized LVZ regions and arguing against the dichotomous definition of healthy/diseased tissue. The significance of moderately decreased voltage zones in the absence of LVZ remains uncertain. In general, LA dilatation correlates with the presence of LVZ. However, in more than one-third of patients, significantly dilated left atrium is present without LVZ or LVZ is present without significant LA dilation. In the majority of male patients LA dilatation seem to precede extensive fibrosis. In a small subgroup of frequently older female patients, fibrosis is present without significant LA dilatation and predicts high recurrence following PVI.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received August 11, 2022; accepted November 4, 2022.

Affiliations

Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium (M.B., F.R.S., M.T., W.B., J.S., W.H., H.M., L.V., H.H., A.S.); Complexo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago de Compostela (CHUS) SERGAS, Santiago de Compostela, Spain (T.G.F., J.R.G., J.L.M., M.R.); Department of Cardiology, DeBakey Heart and Vascular Center, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, TX (M.N., M.V.); Department of Clinical Science and Community Health, University of Milan at Centro Cardiologico Monzino, Milan, Italy (A.B.); Department of Anesthesiology, University Hospital Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium (A.W.); and Department GENCOR, University of Antwerp, Edegem, Antwerp, Belgium (H.H., A.S.).

Sources of Funding

The study has been financially supported by an investigator-initiated research grant by Biosense Webster (Study ID – IIS-532) (M.R.M.).

Disclosures

None.

Supplemental Material

Data S1 Table S1 Figures S1–S2

REFERENCES

- Verma A, Wazni OM, Marrouche NF, Martin DO, Kilicaslan F, Minor S, Schweikert RA, Saliba W, Cummings J, Burkhardt JD, et al. Preexistent left atrial scarring in patients undergoing pulmonary vein antrum isolation: an independent predictor of procedural failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:285–292. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.10.035
- Rolf S, Kircher S, Arya A, Eitel C, Sommer P, Richter S, Gaspar T, Bollmann A, Altmann D, Piedra C, et al. Tailored atrial substrate modification based on low-voltage areas in catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2014;7:825–833. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCEP.113.001251
- Masuda M, Fujita M, Iida O, Okamoto S, Ishihara T, Nanto K, Kanda T, Tsujimura T, Matsuda Y, Okuno S, et al. Left atrial low-voltage areas predict atrial fibrillation recurrence after catheter ablation in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Int J Cardiol.* 2018;257:97–101. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.12.089
- Vlachos K, Efremidis M, Letsas KP, Bazoukis G, Martin R, Kalafateli M, Lioni L, Georgopoulos S, Saplaouras A, Efremidis T, et al. Low-voltage areas detected by high-density electroanatomical mapping predict recurrence after ablation for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2017;28:1393–1402. doi: 10.1111/jce.13321
- Jadidi AS, Lehrmann H, Keyl C, Sorrel J, Markstein V, Minners J, Park C-I, Denis A, Jaïs P, Hocini M, et al. Ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation targeting low-voltage areas with selective activation characteristics. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2016;9.
- Schreiber D, Rieger A, Moser F, Kottkamp H. Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation with box isolation of fibrotic areas: Lessons on fibrosis distribution and extent, clinical characteristics, and their impact on long-term outcome. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2017;28:971–983. doi: 10.1111/ jce.13278
- Kircher S, Arya A, Altmann D, Rolf S, Bollmann A, Sommer P, Dagres N, Richter S, Breithardt O-A, Dinov B, et al. Individually tailored vs. standardized substrate modification during radiofrequency catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation: a randomized study. *Europace*. 2018;20:1766–1775.
- Kottkamp H, Berg J, Bender R, Rieger A, Schreiber D. Box isolation of fibrotic areas (BIFA): a patient-tailored substrate modification approach for ablation of atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2016;27:22– 30. doi: 10.1111/jce.12870
- Yang G, Yang B, Wei Y, Zhang F, Ju W, Chen H, Li M, Gu K, Lin Y, Wang B, et al. Catheter ablation of nonparoxysmal atrial fibrillation using electrophysiologically guided substrate modification during sinus rhythm after pulmonary vein isolation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2016;9:e003382.
- Lee JM, Shim J, Park J, Yu HT, Kim T-H, Park J-K, Uhm J-S, Kim J-B, Joung B, Lee M-H, et al. The electrical isolation of the left atrial

posterior wall in catheter ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2019;5:1253–1261. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2019.08.021

- Yang B, Jiang C, Lin Y, Yang G, Chu H, Cai H, Lu F, Zhan X, Xu J, Wang X, et al. STABLE-SR (electrophysiological substrate ablation in the left atrium during sinus rhythm) for the treatment of nonparoxysmal atrial fibrillation: a prospective, multicenter randomized clinical trial. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2017;10:e005405.
- Bisbal F, Benito E, Teis A, Alarcón F, Sarrias A, Caixal G, Villuendas R, Garre P, Soto N, Cozzari J, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging-guided fibrosis ablation for the treatment of atrial fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol.* 2020;13:e008707. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.120.008707
- Masuda M, Asai M, Iida O, Okamoto S, Ishihara T, Nanto K, Kanda T, Tsujimura T, Matsuda Y, Okuno S, et al. Additional low-voltage-area ablation in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: results of the randomized controlled VOLCANO trial. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020;9:e015927.
- Sim I, Bishop M, O'Neill M, Williams SE. Left atrial voltage mapping: defining and targeting the atrial fibrillation substrate. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol.* 2019;56:213–227. doi: 10.1007/s10840-019-00537-8
- Yagishita A, Sparano D, Cakulev I, Gimbel JR, Phelan T, Mustafa H, De Oliveira S, Mackall J, Arruda M. Identification and electrophysiological characterization of early left atrial structural remodeling as a predictor for atrial fibrillation recurrence after pulmonary vein isolation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2017;28:642–650. doi: 10.1111/jce.13211
- Rodríguez-Mañero M, Valderrábano M, Baluja A, Kreidieh O, Martínez-Sande JL, García-Seara J, Saenen J, Iglesias-Álvarez D, Bories W, Villamayor-Blanco LM, et al. Validating left atrial low voltage areas during atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter using multielectrode automated electroanatomic mapping. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*. 2018;4:1541–1552. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2018.08.015
- Tijskens M, Bergonti M, Spera F, Ascione C, Saenen J, Huybrechts W, Miljoen H, Riva S, Wittock A, Heidbuchel H, et al. Etiology and outcome of catheter ablation in patients with onset of atrial fibrillation <45 years of age. *Am J Cardiol.* 2022;166:45–52. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2021.11.030
- Calkins H, Hindricks G, Cappato R, Kim Y-H, Saad EB, Aguinaga L, Akar JG, Badhwar V, Brugada J, Camm J, et al. 2017 HRS/EHRA/ ECAS/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm.* 2018;20:e1–e160.
- Kirstein B, Neudeck S, Gaspar T, Piorkowski J, Wechselberger S, Kronborg MB, Zedda A, Hankel A, El-Armouche A, Tomala J, et al. Left atrial fibrosis predicts left ventricular ejection fraction response after atrial fibrillation ablation in heart failure patients: the fibrosis-HF study. *Europace*. 2020;22:1812–1821. doi: 10.1093/europace/euaa179
- Fuchs A, Mejdahl MR, Kühl JT, Stisen ZR, Nilsson EJP, Køber LV, Nordestgaard BG, Kofoed KF. Normal values of left ventricular mass and cardiac chamber volumes assessed by 320-detector computed tomography angiography in the Copenhagen general population study. *Eur Hear J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;17:1009–1017. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jev337

Supplemental Material

Data S1.

Supplemental Methods

Supplementary Materials 1 - Definitions

Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF) was defined as AF that terminates spontaneously or with intervention within 7 days of onset. **Persistent** AF was diagnosed if an AF episode was continuously sustained beyond 7 days.(18) **Permanent** AF was diagnosed in patients in whom AF was accepted and no further attempts were made to restore or maintain sinus rhythm.(18)

When analyzing the left atrial volume index (LAVI) obtained with the electroanatomical mapping system, the median was used as the cut-off value for the volume/scar analysis. This value is similar to the normal reference value reported for cardiac computed tomography angiography.(21)

Supplementary Materials 2 – PVI procedure and Follow-up

All procedures were performed under general anesthesia with the CARTO electroanatomical mapping system (Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA, USA). After groin puncture, intravenous heparin was administered to maintain an activated clotting time (ACT) between 300 and 350 seconds throughout the procedure. A decapolar catheter was positioned in the coronary sinus. LA geometry (FAM) was constructed with a 20-pole Lasso catheter or Penta-Ray catheter with 1 mm electrode size and 2 mm interelectrode spacing (Biosense Webster) and merged with a peri-procedural computed tomography using the CARTO3 electroanatomical mapping system (Biosense Webster). Automatic mapping algorithm (Confidence module, Biosense Webster) was used with the following predefined settings: in SR and in atrial flutter atrial CL \pm 10% ms, in AF ventricular CL \pm 10% ms, mapping catheter position stability 1 or 2 mm, LAT stability in sinus rhythm and flutter 3 ms, density maximum or 1 mm. In AF, 400 ms window of interest was used excluding the QRS complex and LAT stability was turned off. At the end of the mapping the mitral annulus was tagged and drawn as an anatomical structure and point filtering to within 5 mm of the chamber surface geometry was applied. Mapping and color fill thresholds were set at 10 mm.

Irrigated tip ablation catheter with contact force-sensing technology (Thermocool SmartTouch catheter, Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, California) was used for ablation in all patients. RF lesions were placed in temperature-limited power control mode.

The ablation was guided by automatic ablation annotation and minimum ablation index values (Visi-Tag®, Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA, USA), local electrogram attenuation and impedance changes. RF applications were performed with a target numeric contact force value of >10g. \leq 5-millimeter distance between applications was targeted. Wide area bilateral circumferential PV isolation (PVI) was performed in the antrum of ipsilateral PVs with >1cm distance from the PV ostium except for the left superior PV and the left atrial appendage ridge. Entry and exit block of the PVs were assessed with and without intravenous adenosine administration and if needed touch-up applications were applied at gap sites to achieve block.

Supplementary Materials 3 – Map analysis workflow

Patients whose voltage maps were judged of inadequate density were excluded. All patients with previous history of LA ablation or undergoing PVI and additional LA lines or EGM based ablation

were also excluded. The LA anatomical maps and all acquired points were meticulously manually reviewed and annotated offline. Offline analysis was performed on a CARTO workstation by investigators blinded to the procedural outcome. The LVZ and TrZ area were measured by manually encircling the area with a measurement tool and was expressed in cm². Burden was calculated as the percentage of total left atrial surface area excluding the PV ostia and mitral valve area.

Anatomical structures: Mitral valve and PV ostia were marked as anatomical structures, and left ventricular and pulmonary vein volumes were removed (erase anatomical structure FAM). Thereafter all areas on the atrial side of the PV-s and the mitral valve were measured. Total volume, total area, mitral area, LIPV, LSPV, RIPV and RSPV area were entered in an electronic database. **Myocardial area** was considered as the total endocardial surface of the atrium. This parameter was calculated as the difference of the total LA area minus the sum of all anatomic structure area.

Voltages: The encircled area under the threshold bipolar voltage values containing ≥ 3 neighboring points with <10 mm distance were measured and entered in an electronic database. Only ≥ 1 cm² areas were included. The left atrium was divided in 7 segments. The number, size and the location of each low- or transition-voltage area in each segment was noted.

Table S1. Procedure and Outcomes.

	Total		<	5%	>59	p value	
	n=262		n=190	72.5%	n=72	27.5%	
Number of Points	587.2	± 54.4	500.0	± 36.3	800.0 ±	94.3	0.02
	n=260		n=189	72.7%	N=71	27.1%	
Lengths of Follow-up (months)	28.4	16.2- 46.1	31.1	18.1- 58.0	23.1	12.4- 33.6	0.01
AF Recurrences	73	28.1%	43	23.1%	30	42.3%	<0.001
Permanent AF	14	5.4%	5	2.6%	9	12.7%	0.03
Repeat AF ablation	33	12.7%	20	10.5%	13	18.1%	0.1

Continuous variables are shown as Mean ± Standard Deviation (SD) or Median and (Inter Quartile Range) (IQR). Discrete variables are presented as numbers and percentages (%).

AF atrial fibrillation.

Figure S1. Exemplary illustration of wide antral circumferential isolation (WACA), among 7 patents included in the study.



Figure S2. Study center specific analysis focusing on AF recurrence in the overall population (**Panel A**) **and in patients with persistent (Panel C) and paroxysmal AF (Panel D) is shown.** Additionally, distribution of paroxysmal and persistent AF in the different centers is depicted (Panel B). No significant difference was found in each analysis.

