# MOZ is critical for the development of *MOZ/MLL* fusion–induced leukemia through regulation of *Hoxa9/Meis1* expression

Takuo Katsumoto,<sup>1</sup> Yoko Ogawara,<sup>1</sup> Kazutsune Yamagata,<sup>1</sup> Yukiko Aikawa,<sup>1</sup> Ryo Goitsuka,<sup>2</sup> Takuro Nakamura,<sup>3,4</sup> and Issay Kitabayashi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Hematological Malignancy, National Cancer Center Research Institute, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Division of Development and Aging, Research Institute for Biomedical Sciences, Tokyo University of Science, Chiba, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Division of Carcinogenesis, The Cancer Institute, Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, Tokyo, Japan; and <sup>4</sup>Department of Experimental Pathology, Institute of Medical Science, Tokyo Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

#### **Key Points**

- MOZ is critical for MOZ/MLL fusionmediated AML development, Meis1 induction by MOZ fusions, and Hoxa9/ Meis1 induction by MLL fusions.
- Endogenous MOZ is required to maintain MOZ-target and active histone modifications at the *Meis1* gene locus.

Monocytic leukemia zinc finger protein (MOZ, MYST3, or KAT6A) is a MYST-type acetyltransferase involved in chromosomal translocation in acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome. MOZ is established as essential for hematopoiesis; however, the role of MOZ in AML has not been addressed. We propose that MOZ is critical for AML development induced by MLL-AF9, MLL-AF10, or MOZ-TIF2 fusions. Moz-deficient hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (HSPCs) transduced with an MLL-AF10 fusion gene neither formed colonies in methylcellulose nor induced AML in mice. Moz-deficient HSPCs bearing MLL-AF9 also generated significantly reduced colony and cell numbers. Mozdeficient HSPCs expressing MOZ-TIF2 could form colonies in vitro but could not induce AML in mice. By contrast, Moz was dispensable for colony formation by HOXA9-transduced cells and AML development caused by HOXA9 and MEIS1, suggesting a specific requirement for MOZ in AML induced by MOZ/MLL fusions. Expression of the Hoxa9 and Meis1 genes was decreased in Moz-deficient MLL fusion-expressing cells, while expression of Meis1, but not Hoxa9, was reduced in Moz-deficient MOZ-TIF2 AML cells. AML development induced by MOZ-TIF2 was rescued by introducing Meis1 into Moz-deficient cells carrying MOZ-TIF2. Meis1 deletion impaired MOZ-TIF2-mediated AML development. Active histone modifications were also severely reduced at the Meis1 locus in Moz-deficient MOZ-TIF2 and MLL-AF9 AML cells. These results suggest that endogenous MOZ is critical for MOZ/MLL fusion-induced AML development and maintains active chromatin signatures at target gene loci.

# Introduction

Acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) is a hematological malignancy derived from hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and myeloid progenitors with acquired fusion genes or oncogenic mutations. Various fusion genes involving transcription factors and epigenetic modulators, such as AML1 (RUNX1), CBF $\beta$ , MLL1, or PML, have been found in AML. In addition, mutations in genes involved in transcription, such as *AML1*, *CEBPA*, *DNMT3A*, *TET2*, and *EZH2*, are also observed in AML. These findings imply that many cases of AML arise because of failure of transcription regulation caused by gene mutations or generation of fusion genes.<sup>1-5</sup>

Histone modifications, including acetylation, methylation, or ubiquitination of the histone *N*-terminal tail, are critical epigenetic gene expression regulatory mechanisms.<sup>6</sup> Histone modifications are crucial for

Submitted 28 September 2020; accepted 31 July 2022; prepublished online on *Blood Advances* First Edition 10 August 2022; final version published online 29 September 2022. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2020003490. ChiP-Seq data have been deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE208741). For

original data, please contact iktabay@ncc.go.jp.

The full-text version of this article contains a data supplement.

<sup>© 2022</sup> by The American Society of Hematology. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), permitting only noncommercial, nonderivative use with attribution. All other rights reserved.

cancer pathophysiology and molecular targets for therapies, including bromodomain and extraterminal motif or histone deacetylase inhibitors.<sup>7,8</sup> In *MLL* fusion leukemias, DOT1L methyltransferase is critical for leukemia development and induction of aberrant *HOXA9/MEIS1* gene expression.<sup>9</sup> These reports indicate that various histone modification factors are essential in hematological malignancy through target gene regulation.

Monocytic leukemia zinc finger protein (MOZ; also MYST3 or KAT6A) is one of the MYST-type histone acetyltransferases, which catalyze acetylation of histone H3K9 (H3 lysine 9),<sup>10</sup> H3K14 (lysine 14),<sup>11</sup> and H3K23 (lysine 23).<sup>12</sup> MOZ is a component of fusion genes, including MOZ-CREBBP and MOZ-TIF2, found in FAB M4/ M5 acute monocytic leukemia and interacts with the AML1 (RUNX1), PU.1, and p53 transcription factors, which are essential in leukemia development.<sup>13</sup> In addition, MOZ forms transcriptional complexes with the bromodomain and PHD finger-containing (BRPF) proteins, ING5, and MEAF6,<sup>14</sup> and is necessary for HSC self-renewal and appropriate hematopoietic cell lineage development.<sup>15-17</sup> Expression levels of the homeobox genes, Hoxa9 and Meis1, and cytokine receptors, c-Kit, c-Mpl, and c-Fms, are reduced in Moz-deficient hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (HSPCs) and B cells.<sup>16,18,19</sup> MOZ localizes to HOXA and MEIS1 loci in normal human CD34<sup>+</sup> HSCs and B cells,<sup>19,20</sup> while expression of the tumor suppressor gene,  $p16^{lnk4a}$ , is elevated in Moz-null HSCs, neural stem cells, and mouse embryonic fibroblasts.<sup>21,22</sup> In leukemia, aberrant expression of HOXA9 and MEIS1 is observed in MOZ, MLL, and NUP98 fusion leukemias and NPM1-mutated AML.3,23-25 HOXA9 is upregulated in approximately 50% of patients with AML and is essential for MLL fusioninduced transformation and AML development.<sup>26,27</sup> MEIS1 is also critical for *MLL* fusion carrying leukemia<sup>28</sup> through its roles in cell proliferation,<sup>29</sup> homing and engraftment,<sup>30</sup> and protection from oxidative stress.<sup>31</sup> Although endogenous MOZ is required for selfrenewal of HSCs and Hoxa9/Meis1 expression in normal hematopoietic cells, the roles of endogenous MOZ in MOZ/MLL fusion AML development and aberrant expression of Hoxa9/Meis1 in this context have not been addressed. Therefore, we analyzed the role of endogenous MOZ in MOZ/MLL fusion leukemia.

Using *Moz*-deficient mouse cells transfected with *MOZ/MLL* fusion genes, we conducted in vitro serial colony replating and in vivo leukemogenesis assays, which demonstrated that endogenous MOZ is essential for *MLL* fusion-induced immortalization and AML development, as well as *MOZ* fusion-induced AML development. Further, gene expression analysis revealed notable decreases in *Hoxa9/ Meis1* expression in *Moz*-deficient *MLL* fusion cells and *Meis1* expression in *MOZ* fusion cells. Active histone marks at the *Meis1* locus were significantly reduced in *Moz*-deficient *MOZ/MLL* fusion AML cells. Moreover, the onset of AML development depended on aberrant *Meis1* expression in *MOZ* fusion AML. These results suggest that endogenous MOZ is a critical factor in *MOZ/MLL* fusion AML via its induction of aberrant *ME/S1* and *HOXA9* gene expression.

# Materials and methods

# Mice

 $Moz^{-/-}$  mice<sup>16</sup> were backcrossed with C57BL/6 mice more than 10 times. In addition, *Meis1* conditionally-deficient (*Meis1*<sup>1/f</sup>)<sup>32</sup> and ROSA26-Cre-ERT2 knock-in (*Cre-ERT2*) (TaconicArtemis GmBH;

Cologne, Germany) mice were maintained on a C57BL/6 background. C57BL/6J mice were purchased from CLEA Japan (Tokyo, Japan). Animals were kept under specific pathogen-free, temperature-controlled conditions, according to institutional guidelines. Written approval of all animal experiments was obtained from the local Animal Experiments Committee of the National Cancer Center Research Institute. Genotyping was performed using genomic DNA prepared from mouse tail or AML cells boiled in 50 mM NaOH solution at 96°C for 30 minutes. Primers used for genotyping are shown in supplemental Table 1 in the data supplement.

#### Reagents

Reagents used in this study were: APC-eFluor 780-conjugated streptavidin, anti-CD16/32 (93), anti-CD34-FITC (RAM34), anti-CD115-PE (AFS98), anti-B220-biotin (RA3-6B2), anti-CD11b-PE-Cy7 (M1/70), anti-CD117-APC (2B8), and anti-Gr-1-APC (RB6-8C5) (eBioscience; San Diego, CA); anti-CD3ɛ-biotin (145-2C11), anti-Gr-1-biotin (RB6-8C5), anti-TER119-biotin (TER-119), anti-CD127-biotin (A7R34), anti-CD16/32-PE-Cy7 (93), and anti-hNGFR-APC (ME20.4) (Biolegend; San Diego, CA); anti-Sca-1-PE (E13-161.7) (BD Biosciences; Franklin Lakes, NJ); polyclonal antibodies against Histone H3 (ab1791), H3K9ac (ab4441), H3K27ac (ab4729), and H3K79me2 (ab3594) (Abcam; Cambridge, United Kingdom); polyclonal antibodies against H3K4me3 (39159), H3K9ac (39137), and H3K23ac (39132) (Active Motif Inc.; Carlsbad, CA); polyclonal antibody against H3K23ac (07-355) (Merck Millipore; Billerica, MA); recombinant murine interleukin-3 (IL-3; 213-03), murine stem cell factor (SCF; 250-03), and murine granulocyte/monocyte-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF; 315-03) (PeproTech; Rocky Hill, NJ); recombinant murine oncostatin M (OSM; 495-MO-025) (R&D Systems; Minneapolis, MN); and tamoxifen (TAM), 4-OHT (4-hydroxy tamoxifen), corn oil, and rat serum-IgG (Sigma Aldrich; St. Louis, MO).

# Purification of HSPCs, c-Kit<sup>+</sup>, Sca-1<sup>+</sup> lineage<sup>-</sup> cells, and common myeloid progenitors

Fetal liver (FL) samples from E14.5  $Moz^{+/+}$ ,  $Moz^{+/-}$ , and  $Moz^{-/-}$ embryos were dispersed into single-cell suspensions in 2% fetal bovine serum in phosphate-buffered saline. Erythrocytes were lyzed with tris-buffered 0.83% ammonium chloride solution. Whole FL cells were incubated with anti-CD16/32 antibody or rat serum IgG to prevent nonspecific antibody binding. FL cells were then incubated with biotin-labeled antibodies against lineage markers (Ter119, CD3 $\varepsilon$ , B220, Gr-1, and CD127) (30 min, 4°C), followed by incubation with streptavidin-conjugated magnetic beads (Miltenyl Biotech; Bergisch, Gladbach, Germany) (20 min, 4°C). Cell suspensions containing magnetic bead-bound cells were loaded onto a magnetic column (Miltenyl Biotech) to remove lineage marker-positive magnetic bead-bound cells. The flowthrough of unbound cells was collected (lineage<sup>-</sup> HSPCs).

For purification of c-Kit<sup>+</sup>, Sca-1<sup>+</sup> lineage<sup>-</sup> (KSL), and chronic myeloid progenitor (CMP) fractions, lineage<sup>-</sup> HSPCs were incubated with streptavidin-APC-eFluor 780, c-Kit-APC, Sca-1-PE, PE-Cy7-CD16/32, and CD34-FITC (45 min, 4°C). KSLs and CMPs were distinguished as lineage<sup>-</sup>, c-Kit<sup>+</sup>, and Sca-1<sup>+</sup>, or lineage<sup>-</sup> c-Kit<sup>+</sup>, Sca-1<sup>-</sup>, CD16/32<sup>lo</sup>, and CD34<sup>+</sup>, respectively, using a JSAN flow cytometer (Bay Bioscience; Kobe, Japan). Sorted lineage<sup>-</sup> HSPCs, KSLs, and CMPs were cultured in StemPro34 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific; Waltham, MA) supplemented with recombinant murine SCF (50 ng/mL), IL-3 (10 ng/mL), OSM (10 ng/mL), tylosin (8  $\mu$ g/mL) (Sigma Aldrich), penicillin/streptomycin (100 U/mL) (Nacalai Tesque; Kyoto, Japan), and L-glutamine (2 mM; Sigma Aldrich).

#### In vitro serial colony formation assay

Human *MOZ-TIF2*, *MLL-AF9*, *MLL-AF10*, or *HOXA9* genes were introduced into cells using a retrovirus system and cultured for 5 days. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) marker-positive cells were sorted by JSAN flow cytometry. Sorted GFP<sup>+</sup> cells ( $5 \times 10^4$ ) were cultured in Methocult M 3234 methylcellulose medium (Stemcell Technologies; Vancouver, BC, Canada) containing IL-3 (20 ng/mL), SCF (50 ng/mL), GM-CSF (10 ng/mL), and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin. After 3 to 5 days, colonies and total cells were counted, and  $3 \times 10^4$  or  $1 \times 10^5$  cells were cultured in methyl-cellulose medium 3 or 5 times. Cultures were maintained at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> humidified atmosphere.

#### In vivo leukemogenesis assay

Cells transduced with the MOZ/MLL fusion, human MEIS1, or human HOXA9/MEIS1 genes were prepared as described above. Cells (MOZ-TIF2, MLL-AF10, and HOXA9/MEIS1,  $2 \times 10^5$ ; MEIS1, 5  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup>) were transplanted into sublethally  $\gamma$ -irradiated (600 rad) recipient mice via the tail vein. MOZ-TIF2 + MEIS1 or mock-transduced cells were generated by the introduction of the MOZ-TIF2 gene into Moz<sup>+/-</sup> or Moz<sup>-/-</sup> FL HSPCs. After 3 days of culture, a vector expressing human MEIS1 or empty vector (Mock) was introduced into MOZ-TIF2-infected cells, and these cells were then cultured for 5 days. After infection, MOZ-TIF2 + MEIS1 or MOZ-TIF2 + Mock cells (5 × 10<sup>5</sup>) were transplanted into sublethally y-irradiated (600 rad) recipient mice. Peripheral blood was collected from recipient mice every 4 weeks and analyzed for populations of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells expressing HOXA9, MOZ, or MLL fusion genes and for Mac-1 (myeloid cell marker)-positive cells to monitor AML development, which was defined as a proportion of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells >80% in recipient mouse bone marrow (BM), with hepatosplenomegaly and lymphadenopathy.

# Statistical analysis

A 2-tailed unequal-variance *t* test was used to assess the significance of differences among samples. Differences in survival curves among groups were evaluated using the log-rank test in GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software; San Diego, CA).

#### Supplemental materials and methods

Materials and methods for immunofluorescent staining and flow cytometric analysis, retrovirus production and infection, RNA purification, quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay, ChIP-Seq analysis, and conditional deletion of the *Meis1* gene in AML cells in vitro and in vivo are provided as supplemental materials on the *Blood Advances* website.

# Results

# Endogenous MOZ is essential for MOZ/MLL fusion-induced immortalization and AML development

To explore the roles of endogenous MOZ in AML development, we used *Moz* knockout mice.<sup>16</sup> FL HSPCs from E14.5  $Moz^{+/+}$ ,

 $Moz^{+/-}$ , and  $Moz^{-/-}$  embryos were transduced with MOZ-TIF2, MLL-AF9, MLL-AF10, or HOXA9 using retrovirus vectors and their abilities to form colonies in vitro tested (Figure 1A). Moz<sup>-/-</sup> HSPCs transduced with either MOZ-TIF2 or HOXA9 serially formed colonies in methylcellulose medium  $\geq 5$  times, whereas  $Moz^{-/-}$ HSPCs transduced with MLL-AF10 formed fewer colonies and did not show continuous growth and, although Moz<sup>-/-</sup> HSPCs transduced with MLL-AF9 transiently formed colonies, their number decreased significantly with successive replating. In addition,  $Moz^{-/-}$  HSPCs expressing *MLL-AF9* generated fewer cell colonies than  $Moz^{+/+}$  and  $Moz^{+/-}$  HSPCs expressing *MLL-AF9*. To investigate the roles of endogenous MOZ in AML development,  $Moz^{+/+}$ ,  $Moz^{+/-}$ , and  $Moz^{-/-}$  FL HSPCs transduced with MOZ-TIF2, MLL-AF10, or HOXA9, together with MEIS1, were transplanted into  $\gamma$ -irradiated recipient mice (Figure 1B). Although  $Moz^{-/-}$  HSPCs expressing both HOXA9 and MEIS1 induced AML in recipient mice,  $Moz^{-/-}$  HSPCs with either MOZ-TIF2 or MLL-AF10 did not. Further, mice transplanted with Moz+/- MLL-AF10 cells survived longer than those transplanted with  $Moz^{+/+}$  MLL-AF10 cells. These results indicate that endogenous MOZ is essential for MOZ/MLL fusion-induced AML development.

# Endogenous MOZ is required for *MOZ/MLL* fusion-induced *Meis1* and *Hoxa9* expression, dependent on fusion gene and origin

To investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying the phenotypes observed in  $Moz^{-/-} MOZ$ -*TIF2*, *MLL-AF9*, and *MLL-AF10* AML cells, we analyzed *Hoxa9* and *Meis1* concentrations, which are aberrantly expressed in *MOZ/MLL* fusion AML. *Hoxa9/Meis1* concentrations were strikingly higher in *MOZ/MLL* fusion AML cells than in *AML1-ETO* AML cells that did not exhibit aberrant *Hoxa9/ Meis1* expression. *Hoxa9* and *Meis1* concentrations were similar between  $Moz^{+/+}$  and  $Moz^{+/-}$  AML cells and between *MOZ* and *MLL* fusion AML cells (Figure 2A). Although mean *Hoxa9* expression in  $Moz^{-/-} MOZ$ -*TIF2* AML cells was similar to that in  $Moz^{+/+}$  or  $Moz^{+/-} MOZ$ -*TIF2* AML cells, expression of the *Meis1* gene was dramatically lower in  $Moz^{-/-} MOZ$ -*TIF2* AML cells. Furthermore, *Hoxa9* and *Meis1* concentrations were significantly lower in  $Moz^{-/-} MLL$ -*AF9* AML cells than in  $Moz^{+/+}$  or  $Moz^{+/-} MLL$ -*AF9* AML cells (Figure 2A).

We also investigated *Hoxa9/Meis1* expression in  $Moz^{-/-}$  cells bearing *MLL-AF10* and found that both *Hoxa9* and *Meis1* concentrations were significantly lower in *MLL-AF10*-expressing  $Moz^{-/-}$  cells than those in *MLL-AF10*-expressing  $Moz^{+/+}$  or  $Moz^{+/-}$  cells, similar to our findings for *MLL-AF9* (Figure 2B). These results demonstrate that endogenous MOZ is essential for aberrant *Meis1* induction in *MOZ* fusion AML cells and both *Hoxa9* and *Meis1* expression in *MLL* fusion AML cells.

*MOZ or MLL* fusions can transform HSCs and myeloid progenitors, CMPs, and granulocyte monocyte progenitors,<sup>33</sup> implying that the roles of endogenous MOZ differ between HSCs and myeloid progenitors in *MOZ/MLL* fusion leukemia. Therefore, we sorted KSL (containing HSCs) and CMP fractions and transduced each with *MOZ-TIF2* and *MLL-AF9*. After transfection, we sorted *MOZ* or *MLL* fusion-expressing cells and subjected them to serial colony replating assays and gene expression analysis. Only *MOZ-TIF2*expressing *Moz<sup>-/-</sup>* cells derived from the KSL fraction could continuously form colonies, which those from CMPs could not.



**Figure 1. Endogenous MOZ** is essential for *MOZ/MLL* fusion-induced leukemia development. (A) Serial colony replating assays were performed in  $Moz^{+/+}$ ,  $Moz^{+/-}$ , and  $Moz^{-/-}$  HSPCs bearing MOZ-TIF2, MLL-AF9, MLL-AF10, or HOXA9. A scheme of the experimental workflow is shown at the top. First,  $Moz^{+/+}$ ,  $Moz^{+/-}$ , or  $Moz^{-/-}$  FL lineage<sup>-</sup> HSPCs (2 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells) were transduced with MOZ-TIF2, MLL-AF10, MLL-AF9, or HOXA9 and cultured in a liquid medium. Subsequently, GFP<sup>+</sup> cells (5 × 10<sup>4</sup>) were sorted and cultured in a methylcellulose medium. Every 4 to 5 days, colony and cell numbers (× 10<sup>5</sup> cells) were counted, and then 1 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells were serially replated 5 times. Mean values were calculated from 3 to 4 independent experiments. Error bars represent mean ± standard deviation (SD). (B) Overall survival of recipient mice transplanted with  $Moz^{+/+}$ ,  $Moz^{+/-}$ , or  $Moz^{-/-}$  HSPCs (2 × 10<sup>5</sup>) bearing MOZ-TIF2, MLL-AF10, or HOXA9 and MEIS1 (MOZ-TIF2,  $Moz^{+/+}$  and  $Moz^{-/-}$  [n = 6],  $Moz^{+/-}$  [n = 6],  $Moz^{+/+}$  [n = 6],  $Moz^{+/-}$  [n = 10],  $Moz^{-/-}$  [n = 4]; HOXA9 + MEIS1, [n = 6]). Differences in survival were compared using the log-rank test; \*P < .05, \*\*P < .01, and \*\*\*P < .005.



**Figure 2. Endogenous MOZ is critical for MOZ/MLL fusion-mediated induction of target gene expression.** (A) Gene expression concentrations of the *Hoxa9/ Meis1* genes in *MOZ-TIF2*, *MLL-AF9*, *MLL-AF10*, and *AML1-ETO* AML cells. Colonies of Moz<sup>+/+</sup>, *Moz<sup>+/-</sup>*, or *Moz<sup>-/-</sup>* AML cells that were serially replated >3 times were harvested and analyzed for *Hoxa9*, *Meis1*, and *β-actin* expression by quantitative reverse transcriptase-PCR (qRT-PCR). Expression levels of *Hoxa9* and *Meis1* were normalized to those of *β-actin* (n = 4 to 8). Error bars represent mean ± SD. Expression levels were compared using the Student *t* test; \**P* < .05 and \*\*\**P* < .005. N.T., not tested. (B) Expression of the *Hoxa9/Meis1* genes in *Moz<sup>+/+</sup>*, *Moz<sup>+/-</sup>*, or *Moz<sup>-/-</sup>* cells bearing *MLL-AF9* or *MLL-AF10*. The experimental scheme is shown at the top. *Moz<sup>+/+</sup>*, *Moz<sup>+/-</sup>*, or *Moz<sup>-/-</sup>* FL lineage<sup>-</sup> HSPCs were transduced with *MLL-AF9*, *MLL-AF10*, or empty vector (Mock) and cultured in a liquid medium. GFP<sup>+</sup> cells were

Although  $Moz^{-/-}$  *MLL-AF9* cells derived from KSLs formed colonies in the first round, colony-forming activity was markedly decreased with each successive generation. Further,  $Moz^{-/-}$  *MLL-AF9*-expressing cells derived from CMPs could not generate colonies (Figure 2C), while  $Moz^{-/-}$  *MLL-AF9*-expressing cells derived from HSPCs only showed colony formation activity in the first round, when they were replated at  $3 \times 10^4$  cells (supplemental Figure 1). Gene expression analysis showed that induction of both *Meis1* and *Hoxa9* was decreased in *MOZ-TIF2*- or *MLL-AF9*-expressing *Moz^{-/-}* cells derived from CMPs (Figure 2D), whereas only *Meis1* expression was markedly reduced in KSL-derived *MOZ-TIF2*- or *MLL-AF9*-expressing cells (Figure 2D). These results indicate that endogenous MOZ is also essential for immortalization and induction of the *Hoxa9* gene by the *MOZ/MLL* fusion in myeloid progenitors.

#### Endogenous MOZ is required for the maintenance of an active chromatin landscape at target gene loci

To reveal the molecular mechanisms underlying the involvement of endogenous MOZ in fusion gene induction of target gene expression, we analyzed the acetylation of H3K9 and H3K23 (target sites for acetylation by MOZ) at the Hoxa cluster and Meis1 loci in MOZ-TIF2 AML cells by ChIP-Seq analysis. In Moz-1- MOZ-TIF2 AML cells, both H3K9 and H3K23 acetylation were reduced at the Meis1 gene locus but not at the Hoxa gene cluster (Figure 3A and supplemental Figure 2A). Other types of active histone marks (H3K4me3, H3K27ac, and H3K79me2) were significantly reduced at the Meis1 gene locus and modestly decreased at the HoxA9 locus in Moz-/- MOZ-TIF2 AML cells (Figure 3B). In Moz<sup>-/-</sup> MLL-AF9 AML cells, active histone marks were remarkably reduced at the Meis1 locus. In addition, H3K4me3 modification was strikingly decreased at the Hoxa9 locus. Moreover, concentrations of H3K23ac, H3K4me3, and H3K27ac modification were higher in Moz<sup>+/+</sup> MLL-AF9 AML cells than in Moz+/- and Moz-/- MLL-AF9 AML cells at the Hoxa9/ Meis1 loci (supplemental Figure 2B).

These data suggest that endogenous MOZ is essential for maintaining an active chromatin landscape at the *Meis1* gene locus in *MOZ-TIF2* and *MLL-AF9* AML cells.

# MEIS1 is a critical factor for *MOZ* fusion-induced AML development but not for immortalization

To confirm whether the failure to develop AML in  $Moz^{-/-} MOZ$  fusion AML cells was because of an inability to induce aberrant *Meis1* expression, we investigated the role of MEIS1 in *MOZ* fusion-mediated AML development. Both the *MOZ-TIF2* fusion and human *MEIS1* genes were introduced into  $Moz^{-/-}$  HSPCs, and

these cells were then transplanted into recipient mice. Expression of the endogenous Meis1 and ectopic MEIS1 genes were significantly higher in HSPCs transduced with MOZ-TIF2 + MEIS1 than those transduced with MOZ-TIF2 + mock vector (supplemental Figure 3A). Most MOZ-TIF2-transduced Moz-/- cells coexpressing the MEIS1 gene caused AML development in recipient mice (Figure 4A). In Moz<sup>+/-</sup> MOZ-TIF2 AML cells, MEIS1 overexpression did not affect the onset of AML development in recipient mice, similar to that in mice transplanted with Moz+/-MOZ-TIF2 AML cells transduced with the empty vector (Mock control) (supplemental Figure 3B). MEIS1-overexpressing Moz<sup>-/-</sup> MOZ-TIF2 AML cells expressed myeloid markers, such as Mac-1, Gr-1, and M-CSFR (supplemental Figure 3C). Further, recipient mice transplanted with Moz+/- or Moz-/- HSPCs transduced with MEIS1 did not develop AML, as shown in previous reports<sup>34-36</sup> (supplemental Figure 3D).

We also checked the requirement for MEIS1 in *MOZ* fusioninduced AML development using a *Meis1* conditional deficient mouse. *Meis1*<sup>ff+</sup> or *Meis1*<sup>fff</sup> *Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TIF2* AML cells were transplanted into recipient mice. One week after transplantation, recipient mice were intraperitoneally administered corn oil or TAM to induce Cre recombinase-mediated excision of the *Meis1* allele, resulting in alteration of *Meis1* genetic status in *MOZ-TIF2* AML cells from *Meis1* floxed (*Meis1*<sup>ff</sup>) to *Meis1* deficient (*Meis1*<sup>Δ/Δ</sup>). With transplantation of *Meis1*<sup>fff</sup> *Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TIF2* AML cells, AML development was significantly delayed or absent in recipient mice injected with TAM compared with those administered with corn oil (Figure 4B). Recipient mice transplanted with *Meis1*<sup>fff</sup> *Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TIF2* AML cells and treated with TAM showed no sign of AML development and had only 18.46% GFP<sup>+</sup> cells in their BM at 120 days after transplantation (Figure 4B).

We also checked the Meis1 genotypes of BM cells from recipient mice transplanted with Meis1<sup>##</sup> Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TIF2 AML cells and injected with TAM. GFP<sup>+</sup> BM cells from the recipient mice showed no sign of AML development, and only  $Meis1^{\Delta/\Delta}$  MOZ-TIF2 AML cells were detected. In 1 mouse that developed AML, the BM contained  $Meis1^{\Delta/\Delta}$  and  $Meis1^{f/f}$  MOZ-TIF2 AML cells. By contrast, only Meis1<sup>f/f</sup> MOZ-TIF2 AML cells were detected in another mouse, indicating that MOZ-TIF2 AML cells that escaped deletion of the Meis1 gene were mainly responsible for AML development. GFP<sup>+</sup> BM cells from the recipient mice showed no sign of AML development, and only  $Meis1^{\Delta/\Delta}$  MOZ-TIF2 AML cells were detected except in 1 sample (Figure 4B). Furthermore, AML development was observed in recipient mice transplanted with Meis1<sup>f/+</sup> Cre-ERT2 MOZ fusion AML cells treated with either corn oil or TAM (supplemental Figure 3E). These results indicate that MEIS1 is essential for MOZ fusion-mediated AML development.

**Figure 2 (continued)** then sorted, and the expression levels of *Hoxa9*, *Meis1*, and  $\beta$ -actin were analyzed by qRT-PCR (n = 3 to 4). Expression levels of *Hoxa9* and *Meis1* were normalized to those of  $\beta$ -actin. Error bars represent mean ± SD. Expression levels were compared using the Student *t* test; \**P* < .05 and \*\*\**P* < .005. (C) Colony formation of *Moz*<sup>+/+</sup>, *Moz*<sup>+/-</sup>, or *Moz*<sup>-/-</sup> KSLs/CMPs bearing *MOZ*-*TIF2* or *MLL*-*AF9*. The experimental scheme is shown at the top. First, *Moz*<sup>+/+</sup>, *Moz*<sup>+/-</sup>, or *Moz*<sup>-/-</sup> KSLs/CMPs (1 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells) were transduced with *MOZ*-*TIF2* or *MLL*-*AF9* fusion genes and cultured in a liquid medium. Subsequently, GFP<sup>+</sup> cells (5 × 10<sup>4</sup>) were sorted and cultured in a methylcellulose medium. Colony numbers were counted every 4 to 5 days, and then 3 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells were subsequently serially replated 3 times. The mean numbers of colonies formed by *MOZ*-*TIF2*- or *MLL*-*AF9*-expressing *Moz*<sup>+/+</sup>, *Moz*<sup>+/-</sup>, and *Moz*<sup>-/-</sup> cells derived from KSL or CMP fractions were calculated from 3, 4, or 5 independent experiments. (D) *Hoxa9* and *Meis1* expression in *MOZ*-*TIF2*-expressing cells derived from KSLs or CMPs. *Moz*<sup>+/+</sup>, *Moz*<sup>+/-</sup>, or *Moz*<sup>-/-</sup> KSLs and CMPs were transduced with the *MOZ*-*TIF2* or *MLL*-*AF9* fusion genes and cultured in a liquid medium. Then GFP<sup>+</sup> cells were sorted, and gene expression levels of *Hoxa9*, *Meis1*, and *β*-actin were analyzed by qRT-PCR (n = 3 to 5). Expression levels of *Hoxa9* and *Meis1* were normalized to those of *β*-actin. Error bars represent mean ± SD; \**P* < .05, \*\**P* < .01, and \*\*\**P* < .005.



**Figure 3. Endogenous MOZ is required for active histone modifications at the** *Meis1* **locus.**  $Moz^{+/+}$ ,  $Moz^{+/-}$ , or  $Moz^{-/-}$  MOZ-TIF2 and *MLL-AF9* AML cells were fixed with formalin, and ChIP-Seq or ChIP-qPCR assays were performed. (A) ChIP-Seq analysis of Histone H3 K9 and K23 acetylation at the *Hoxa* cluster and *Meis1* loci in  $Moz^{+/+}$  and  $Moz^{-/-}$  MOZ-TIF2 AML cells. (B) Active histone modifications at the *Meis1*, *Hoxa9* loci, and a mouse chromosome 3 desert (Chr. 3 desert) (negative control) loci in MOZ-TIF2 AML cells. Histone modifications at *Meis1*, *Hoxa9* loci, and a Chr. 3 desert loci were measured by quantitative PCR analysis. Representative results of ChIP assays are shown. Seven independent experiments were conducted in MOZ-TIF2 AML cells. Primer sets used to amplify the *Meis1* and *Hoxa9* loci are indicated at the bottom. Levels of each histone modification were normalized to input DNA and total histone H3 concentrations. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD.



**Figure 4. MEIS1 is essential for MOZ fusion-mediated AML development but not for immortalization.** (A) AML development of  $Moz^{-/-}$  cells bearing MOZ fusion and ME/S1 genes. The experimental scheme is shown at the top.  $Moz^{-/-}$  FL lineage<sup>-</sup> HSPCs were infected with the MOZ-TIF2 gene, followed by the ME/S1 gene or empty vector (Mock), and cultured in a liquid medium. After 5 days, these cells ( $5 \times 10^5$ ) were transplanted into recipient mice, and their survival was analyzed (n = 5 to 8 per group). Survival was compared using the log-rank test. (B-C) Conditional deletion of the *Meis1* gene in MOZ-TI/F2 AML cells. (B) Survival of *Meis1*-deleted MOZ-TI/F2 AML cells. The experimental scheme is shown at the top; *Meis1<sup>tiff</sup> Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TI/F2* AML cells were transplanted into recipient mice, and then tamoxifen (TAM; 80 mg/Kg) or the same dose of corn oil, administered intraperitoneally (n = 8 to 9 per group). Differences in survival were compared using the log-rank test. The lower right panel shows PCR analysis of *Meis1* genotypes in BM samples or BM GFP<sup>+</sup> cells from mice that did and did not develop AML following transplantation with *Meis1<sup>tiff</sup> Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TI/F2* AML cells and treatment with TAM. The lower left graph shows the GFP<sup>+</sup> cell population in the BM of recipient mice in which no AML development was observed 120 days after transplantation with *Meis1<sup>tiff</sup> Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TI/F2* AML cells and treatment with TAM. Values indicate the mean numbers of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the BM. (C) Colony formation by *Meis1*-deleted *MOZ-TI/F2* AML cells. After replating 3 times, *Meis1<sup>tiff</sup> Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TI/F2* AML cells were treated with 4-hydroxy TAM (4-OHT; 100 nM) or the same dose of ethanol (EtOH) and then serially cultured in methylcellulose medium 3 times. The mean number of colonies was calculated from the results of 3 independent experiments. The lower panel shows genotypes of colonies of *Meis1<sup>tiff</sup> Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TI/F2* AML cells treated with EtOH or 4-OHT. (D) Summary of the finding

Next, we analyzed the effects of *Meis1* deletion on the maintenance of proliferation or immortalization of *MOZ* fusion AML cells. *Meis1*<sup>t/f</sup> *MOZ-TIF2* AML cells were treated with 4-OHT to induce Cre recombinase activation, resulting in the conversion of the *Meis1*<sup>t/+</sup> genotype to *Meis1*-heterozygous-deleted (*Meis1*<sup>Δ/+</sup>) and of *Meis1*<sup>t/f</sup> to *Meis1*-deleted (*Meis1*<sup>Δ/Δ</sup>). *Meis1* deletion did not affect the proliferation and immortalization activity of *MOZ-TIF2* AML cells in vitro (Figure 4C). We confirmed that the *Meis1* gene was deleted entirely in *Meis1*<sup>t/f</sup> *Cre-ERT2 MOZ-TIF2* AML cells treated with 4-OHT. This result suggests that MEIS1 is unnecessary for the maintenance of *MOZ* fusion AML cells to maintain proliferation and immortalization. These findings provide further evidence that  $Moz^{-/-} MOZ$  fusion cells failed to promote AML development because aberrant *Meis1* expression could not be induced.

# **Discussion**

This study revealed that endogenous MOZ was essential for AML development and aberrant *Meis1* expression induced by the *MOZ/MLL* fusion and for immortalization and robust *Hoxa9* expression caused by *MLL* fusion genes (Figure 4D). Furthermore, ChIP analysis showed that endogenous MOZ was critical for maintaining an active chromatin landscape at the *Meis1* gene locus. Also, conditional deletion of *Meis1* in *MOZ* fusion AML cells resulted in the absence of AML development or prolonged survival of recipient mice, while *Meis1* overexpression rescued AML development from *Moz*-deficient cells harboring a MOZ fusion.

Previous reports have shown that MOZ and MOZ-related factor (MORF), which share significant sequence similarity, preferentially bind to H3K9ac and H3K14ac sites through their double PHD fingers.<sup>37,38</sup> Furthermore, the MOZ transcriptional complex components, BRPF1 and ING5, colocalize at H3K4me3-enriched Hoxa loci via the ING5 PHD finger.<sup>39</sup> Consistently, expression of Hoxa9 and Meis1 genes is decreased in Brpf1<sup>-/-</sup> HSPCs<sup>40</sup> and MOZ fusion AML cells with Brpf1 knocked down.41 Moreover, the binding of MOZ fusion proteins at the Hoxa9 locus is reduced in Brpf1 knockdown MOZ fusion AML cells.<sup>41</sup> These results suggest that recruitment of a MOZ fusion complex at a target gene locus requires interaction between active histone modifications and the chromatin reader domains of the MOZ transcriptional complex. In addition, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac in Moz+/- and Moz-/- MOZ-TIF2 AML cells were modestly reduced at the HoxA9 locus (Figure 3B). Considered together with our findings that HoxA9 expression is decreased in Moz-deficient MLL fusion-expressing cells but not in Moz-deficient MOZ-TIF2 AML cells. These findings suggest that a certain concentration of active histone modifications may be required to recruit the MOZ complex at a target gene locus and to induce aberrant HoxA9 expression in MOZ-TIF2 AML cells.

Endogenous *Moz* was also required for transformation and AML development by *MLL-AF10*, and for proliferation and colony formation activity by *MLL-AF9*, through aberrant *Hoxa9/Meis1* expression. Also, the onset of *MLL-AF10*–induced leukemia was slower in mice transplanted with  $Moz^{+/-}$  *MLL-AF10* cells than in those transplanted with  $Moz^{+/+}$  *MLL-AF10* cells, suggesting that the effect of endogenous *Moz* in MLL fusion-mediated leukemia is dose-dependent.

Although replating of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells  $Moz^{-/-}$  MLL-AF9 AML cells resulted in successive colony formation activity, there was a significantly decreased cell number of colonies (Figure 1A). By contrast, MLL-AF9 cells derived from Moz<sup>-/-</sup> KSLs and HSPCs did not show continuous colony formation when replated at 3  $\times$ 10<sup>4</sup> cells (Figure 2D and supplemental Figure 1). These results suggest that a large number of cells may be required for the maintenance of continuous colony formation activity of Moz<sup>-/-</sup> MLL-AF9 cells in culture media because of a need for intercellular support, for example, via paracrine (cytokine or chemokine) signaling. While HoxA9 expression was similar among MLL-AF9expressing KSLs with different Moz genotypes (Figure 2D), it was significantly decreased in Moz<sup>-/-</sup> MLL-AF9 AML cells (Figure 2A). These data suggest that endogenous *Moz* is required to maintain aberrant HoxA9 expression in MLL fusion AML cells. Taken together, our findings indicate that endogenous Moz is also essential for MLL fusion leukemia development.

Previous studies have demonstrated that H3K9 sites at the *HOXA/ MEIS1* loci are hyperacetylated in *MLL* fusion AML cells.<sup>42</sup> Indeed, as part of an *MLL* fusion complex, the Yaf9, ENL, AF9, Taf14 and Sas5 (YEATS) domain of eleven nineteen leukemia (ENL) binds to acetylated H3K9 and is required for AML development.<sup>43-45</sup> H3K9ac and other active histone marks were significantly decreased at the *Meis1* locus in *Moz<sup>-/-</sup> MLL-AF9* AML cells. Thus, it is conceivable that endogenous MOZ is required for *MLL* fusionmediated AML development to facilitate H3K9 acetylation at the *MEIS1* locus. By contrast, although *Hoxa9* expression was remarkably decreased in *Moz<sup>-/-</sup> MLL* fusion AML cells, modification of H3K4me3 was only reduced at the *Hoxa9* locus in *Moz<sup>-/-</sup>* MLL-AF9 AML cells. H3K4me3 modification by MLL1 is essential for *Hoxa9* expression<sup>46,47</sup>; hence, H3K4me3 appears to be critical for aberrant *Hoxa9* expression in *MLL-AF9* AML cells.

Previous reports showed that MEIS1 is essential for *MLL* fusioninduced transformation of HSPCs and *Hoxa9* expression.<sup>31,36</sup> In this study, we demonstrate that *Meis1* is required for AML development (Figure 4A,B) but not for the colony-forming activity or aberrant *Hoxa9* expression in *MOZ-TIF2* AML cells (Figures 1B, 2A, and 4C). These data suggest that *Meis1* is not critical for *MOZ* fusion-mediated maintenance of colony-forming activity or *Hoxa9* expression. A previous report showed that both *Meis1*- and *Moz*deficient cells exhibit increased expression of the tumor suppressor  $p16^{lnk4a}$  and reactive oxygen species (ROS).<sup>21,22,31,48</sup> Introduction of MOZ-TIF2 into HSPCs represses  $p16^{lnk4a}$  expression.<sup>49</sup> These data suggest that MOZ-TIF2 can suppress transcription induction of the  $p16^{lnk4a}$  gene and ROS production in *Meis1*-deleted *MOZ*-*TIF2* AML cells, resulting in maintenance of their colony-forming activity.

In the present study, the role of MOZ in the maintenance of AML cells was not addressed. Conditional deletion of *Moz* in adult hematopoietic cells only engenders defects in the maintenance of quiescent HSCs and lymphocytes,<sup>50</sup> in contrast to the severe defects observed in fetal hematopoiesis in  $Moz^{-/-}$  mice.<sup>13</sup> Further, a MOZ/MORF inhibitor has recently been shown to block Eµ-Myc-driven B-lymphoma development and induction of  $p16^{lnk4a}$  expression.<sup>51</sup> These results suggest that the role of MOZ in the maintenance of AML cells may differ from its function in AML development. Additional analyses are now required, using a *Moz* conditional deletion system or an inhibitor, to further explore the

role of MOZ in effects on target gene expression in maintaining AML.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Mika Shino and Noriko Aikawa for their support in conducting animal experiments. The authors are also grateful to all members of our laboratory for their critical discussion and helpful suggestions.

This work was partly supported by Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), Grant number 23791094 (T.K.). In addition, I.K. was funded by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), Grant number 19390268, and Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas, Grant number 22130006.

# **Authorship**

Contribution: T.K., Y.O., K.Y., and I.K. designed the experiments; T.K., Y.O., K.Y., and Y.A. performed experiments; R.G. and T.N. provided materials; and T.K. and I.K. analyzed and interpreted the data and wrote the paper.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: R.G., 0000-0002-1137-9760; T.N., 0000-0002-0419-7547; I.K., 0000-0002-8409-0407.

Correspondence: Issay Kitabayashi, Division of Hematological Malignancy, National Cancer Center Research Institute, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo, 104-0045, Japan; email: ikitabay@ncc.go.jp.

# References

- 1. Scandura JM, Boccuni P, Cammenga J, Nimer SD. Transcription factor fusions in acute leukemia: variations on a theme. Oncogene. 2002;21(21): 3422-3444.
- 2. Martens JH, Stunnenberg HG. The molecular signature of oncofusion proteins in acute myeloid leukemia. FEBS Lett. 2010;584(12):2662-2669.
- 3. Cheung N, So CW. Transcriptional and epigenetic networks in haematological malignancy. FEBS Lett. 2011;585(13):2100-2111.
- 4. Shima Y, Kitabayashi I. Deregulated transcription factors in leukemia. Int J Hematol. 2011;94(2):134-141.
- 5. Shih AH, Abdel-Wahab O, Patel JP, Levine RL. The role of mutations in epigenetic regulators in myeloid malignancies. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2012;12(9): 599-612.
- 6. Berger SL. The complex language of chromatin regulation during transcription. *Nature*. 2007;447(7143):407-412.
- 7. Vu LP, Luciani L, Nimer SD. Histone-modifying enzymes: their role in the pathogenesis of acute leukemia and their therapeutic potential. *Int J Hematol.* 2013;97(2):198-209.
- 8. Audia JE, Campbell RM. Histone modifications and cancer. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2016;8(4):a019521.
- 9. Chen CW, Armstrong SA. Targeting DOT1L and HOX gene expression in MLL-rearranged leukemia and beyond. Exp Hematol. 2015;43(8):673-684.
- Voss AK, Collin C, Dixon MP, Thomas T. Moz and retinoic acid coordinately regulate H3K9 acetylation, Hox gene expression, and segment identity. *Dev Cell*. 2009;17(5):674-686.
- 11. Kitabayashi I, Aikawa Y, Nguyen LA, Yokoyama A, Ohki M. Activation of AML1-mediated transcription by MOZ and inhibition by the MOZ-CBP fusion protein. *EMBO J.* 2001;20(24):7184-7196.
- Huang F, Paulson A, Dutta A, et al. Histone acetyltransferase Enok regulates oocyte polarization by promoting expression of the actin nucleation factor spire. Genes Dev. 2014;28(24):2750-2763.
- Katsumoto T, Yoshida N, Kitabayashi I. Roles of the histone acetyltransferase monocytic leukemia zinc finger protein in normal and malignant hematopoiesis. Cancer Sci. 2008;99(8):1523-1527.
- 14. Doyon Y, Cayrou C, Ullah M, et al. ING tumor suppressor proteins are critical regulators of chromatin acetylation required for genome expression and perpetuation. *Mol Cell*. 2006;21(1):51-64.
- 15. Thomas T, Corcoran LM, Gugasyan R, et al. Monocytic leukemia zinc finger protein is essential for the development of long-term reconstituting hematopoietic stem cells. *Genes Dev.* 2006;20(9):1175-1186.
- 16. Katsumoto T, Aikawa Y, Iwama A, et al. MOZ is essential for maintenance of hematopoietic stem cells. Genes Dev. 2006;20(10):1321-1330.
- 17. Perez-Campo FM, Borrow J, Kouskoff V, Lacaud G. The histone acetyl transferase activity of monocytic leukemia zinc finger is critical for the proliferation of hematopoietic precursors. *Blood.* 2009;113(20):4866-4874.
- Aikawa Y, Katsumoto T, Zhang P, et al. PU.1-mediated upregulation of CSF1R is crucial for leukemia stem cell potential induced by MOZ-TIF2. Nat Med. 2010;16(5):580-585, 581p following 585.
- 19. Sheikh BN, Lee SC, El-Saafin F, et al. MOZ regulates B-cell progenitors and, consequently, Moz haploinsufficiency dramatically retards MYC-induced lymphoma development. *Blood.* 2015;125(12):1910-1921.
- Paggetti J, Largeot A, Aucagne R, et al. Crosstalk between leukemia-associated proteins MOZ and MLL regulates HOX gene expression in human cord blood CD34+ cells. Oncogene. 2010;29(36):5019-5031.
- Perez-Campo FM, Costa G, Lie-A-Ling M, Stifani S, Kouskoff V, Lacaud G. MOZ-mediated repression of p16(INK) (4) (a) is critical for the self-renewal of neural and hematopoietic stem cells. Stem Cells. 2014;32(6):1591-1601.
- 22. Sheikh BN, Phipson B, El-Saafin F, et al. MOZ (MYST3, KAT6A) inhibits senescence via the INK4A-ARF pathway. Oncogene. 2015;34(47):5807-5820.

- 23. Collins CT, Hess JL. Role of HOXA9 in leukemia: dysregulation, cofactors and essential targets. Oncogene. 2016;35(9):1090-1098.
- Alcalay M, Tiacci E, Bergomas R, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia bearing cytoplasmic nucleophosmin (NPMc+ AML) shows a distinct gene expression profile characterized by up-regulation of genes involved in stem-cell maintenance. *Blood.* 2005;106(3):899-902.
- Gough SM, Slape CI, Aplan PD. NUP98 gene fusions and hematopoietic malignancies: common themes and new biologic insights. *Blood*. 2011; 118(24):6247-6257.
- Ayton PM, Cleary ML. Transformation of myeloid progenitors by MLL oncoproteins is dependent on Hoxa7 and Hoxa9. Genes Dev. 2003;17(18): 2298-2307.
- 27. Collins CT, Hess JL. Deregulation of the HOXA9/MEIS1 axis in acute leukemia. Curr Opin Hematol. 2016;23(4):354-361.
- 28. Kumar AR, Li Q, Hudson WA, et al. A role for MEIS1 in MLL-fusion gene leukemia. Blood. 2009;113(8):1756-1758.
- 29. Wong P, Iwasaki M, Somervaille TC, So CW, Cleary ML. Meis1 is an essential and rate-limiting regulator of MLL leukemia stem cell potential [published correction appears in *Genes Dev.* 2007;21(22):3017]. *Genes Dev.* 2007;21(21):2762-2774.
- Yokoyama T, Nakatake M, Kuwata T, et al. MEIS1-mediated transactivation of synaptotagmin-like 1 promotes CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling and leukemogenesis. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(5):1664-1678.
- 31. Roychoudhury J, Clark JP, Gracia-Maldonado G, et al. MEIS1 regulates an HLF-oxidative stress axis in MLL-fusion gene leukemia. *Blood.* 2015; 125(16):2544-2552.
- 32. Ariki R, Morikawa S, Mabuchi Y, et al. Homeodomain transcription factor Meis1 is a critical regulator of adult bone marrow hematopoiesis. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(2):e87646.
- Huntly BJ, Shigematsu H, Deguchi K, et al. MOZ-TIF2, but not BCR-ABL, confers properties of leukemic stem cells to committed murine hematopoietic progenitors. Cancer Cell. 2004;6(6):587-596.
- Thorsteinsdottir U, Kroon E, Jerome L, Blasi F, Sauvageau G. Defining roles for HOX and MEIS1 genes in induction of acute myeloid leukemia. Mol Cell Biol. 2001;21(1):224-234.
- **35.** Wang GG, Pasillas MP, Kamps MP. Persistent transactivation by meis1 replaces hox function in myeloid leukemogenesis models: evidence for co-occupancy of meis1-pbx and hox-pbx complexes on promoters of leukemia-associated genes. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2006;26(10):3902-3916.
- Li Z, Chen P, Su R, et al. PBX3 and MEIS1 cooperate in hematopoietic cells to drive acute myeloid leukemias characterized by a core transcriptome of the MLL-rearranged disease. Cancer Res. 2016;76(3):619-629.
- Ali M, Yan K, Lalonde ME, et al. Tandem PHD fingers of MORF/MOZ acetyltransferases display selectivity for acetylated histone H3 and are required for the association with chromatin. J Mol Biol. 2012;424(5):328-338.
- 38. Dreveny I, Deeves SE, Fulton J, et al. The double PHD finger domain of MOZ/MYST3 induces α-helical structure of the histone H3 tail to facilitate acetylation and methylation sampling and modification. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014;42(2):822-835.
- 39. Lalonde ME, Avvakumov N, Glass KC, et al. Exchange of associated factors directs a switch in HBO1 acetyltransferase histone tail specificity. *Genes Dev.* 2013;27(18):2009-2024.
- 40. You L, Li L, Zou J, et al. BRPF1 is essential for development of fetal hematopoietic stem cells. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(9):3247-3262.
- Shima H, Yamagata K, Aikawa Y, et al. Bromodomain-PHD finger protein 1 is critical for leukemogenesis associated with MOZ-TIF2 fusion. Int J Hematol. 2014;99(1):21-31.
- 42. Chen CW, Koche RP, Sinha AU, et al. DOT1L inhibits SIRT1-mediated epigenetic silencing to maintain leukemic gene expression in MLL-rearranged leukemia. *Nat Med.* 2015;21(4):335-343.
- 43. Erb MA, Scott TG, Li BE, et al. Transcription control by the ENL YEATS domain in acute leukaemia. Nature. 2017;543(7644):270-274.
- 44. Wan L, Wen H, Li Y, et al. ENL links histone acetylation to oncogenic gene expression in acute myeloid leukaemia. Nature. 2017;543(7644):265-269.
- 45. Okuda H, Stanojevic B, Kanai A, et al. Cooperative gene activation by AF4 and DOT1L drives MLL-rearranged leukemia. J Clin Invest. 2017;127(5): 1918-1931.
- 46. Yagi H, Deguchi K, Aono A, Tani Y, Kishimoto T, Komori T. Growth disturbance in fetal liver hematopoiesis of Mll-mutant mice. *Blood.* 1998;92(1): 108-117.
- Jude CD, Climer L, Xu D, Artinger E, Fisher JK, Ernst P. Unique and independent roles for MLL in adult hematopoietic stem cells and progenitors. Cell Stem Cell. 2007;1(3):324-337.
- Kocabas F, Zheng J, Thet S, et al. Meis1 regulates the metabolic phenotype and oxidant defense of hematopoietic stem cells. *Blood*. 2012;120(25): 4963-4972.
- 49. Largeot A, Perez-Campo FM, Marinopoulou E, Lie-a-Ling M, Kouskoff V, Lacaud G. Expression of the MOZ-TIF2 oncoprotein in mice represses senescence. *Exp Hematol.* 2016;44(4):231-237.e4.
- 50. Sheikh BN, Yang Y, Schreuder J, et al. MOZ (KAT6A) is essential for the maintenance of classically defined adult hematopoietic stem cells. *Blood*. 2016;128(19):2307-2318.
- Baell JB, Leaver DJ, Hermans SJ, et al. Inhibitors of histone acetyltransferases KAT6A/B induce senescence and arrest tumour growth. Nature. 2018;560(7717):253-257.