

# **HHS Public Access**

DNA Repair (Amst). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 April 22.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

DNA Repair (Amst). 2020 February ; 86: 102767. doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2019.102767.

# Biochemical reconstitution and genetic characterization of the major oxidative damage base excision DNA repair pathway in *Thermococcus kodakarensis*

Alexandra M. Gehring<sup>a</sup>, Kelly M. Zatopek<sup>a</sup>, Brett W. Burkhart<sup>b</sup>, Vladimir Potapov<sup>a</sup>, Thomas J. Santangelo<sup>b</sup>, Andrew F. Gardner<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>New England Biolabs, Inc., Ipswich, MA 01938, United States

<sup>b</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, United States

# Abstract

Reactive oxygen species drive the oxidation of guanine to 8-oxoguanine (8oxoG), which threatens genome integrity. The repair of 8oxoG is carried out by base excision repair enzymes in Bacteria and Eukarya, however, little is known about archaeal 8oxoG repair. This study identifies a member of the Ogg-subfamily archaeal GO glycosylase (AGOG) in *Thermococcus kodakarensis*, an anaerobic, hyperthermophilic archaeon, and delineates its mechanism, kinetics, and substrate specificity. TkoAGOG is the major 80xoG glycosylase in *T. kodakarensis*, but is non-essential. In addition to TkoAGOG, the major apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) endonuclease (TkoEndoIV) required for archaeal base excision repair and cell viability was identified and characterized. Enzymes required for the archaeal oxidative damage base excision repair pathway were identified and the complete pathway was reconstituted. This study illustrates the conservation of oxidative damage repair across all Domains of life.

# Keywords

Archaea; DNA repair; Base excision repair (BER); 80x0-guanine (8-0x0G); Nucleic acid enzymology; Genetics; DNA polymerase; DNA glycosylase; AP endonuclease

# 1. Introduction

All cells must cope with reactive oxygen species generated both endogenously as a byproduct of cellular metabolism and exogenously from the environment. Reactive oxygen

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. gardner@neb.com (A.F. Gardner).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Alexandra M. Gehring: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Kelly M. Zatopek: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Brett W. Burkhart: Resources, Investigation. Vladimir Potapov: Formal analysis, Investigation, Software. Thomas J. Santangelo: Formal analysis, Resources. Andrew F. Gardner: Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Project administration.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2019.102767.

species cause the oxidation of DNA bases leading to the formation of a wide variety of DNA lesions, including 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine (80xoG), a product of guanine oxidation and one of the most abundant DNA lesions present in the cell [1,2]. In addition to base pairing with its Watson-Crick base cytosine, 80xoG base pairs with adenine via a Hoogsteen interaction. Mispairing of adenine with 80xoG by a DNA polymerase during DNA replication results in G:C to T:A transversions. Therefore, persistence of 80xoG leads to increased mutational frequency and genome instability [1,3–6].

To repair 80x0G, organisms depend on the base excision repair (BER) pathway. BER is initiated by a DNA glycosylase recognizing and removing the damaged base creating an apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) site (reviewed in [7]). DNA glycosylases can be bifunctional with both glycosylase and AP lyase activities to cleave the phosphodiester backbone leaving a 5'-phosphate and either a 3'- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde (3'-UA) via  $\beta$ -elimination, or 3'-phosphate, via  $\beta$ , $\delta$ -elimination [7,8]. After recognition and removal of the damaged base by the glycosylase/lyase, an AP endonuclease converts the 3'-UA or 3'-phosphate to an extendable 3'-hydroxyl (3'-OH). A DNA polymerase synthesizes from the 3'-OH displacing the downstream strand, which is subsequently cleaved by a flap endonuclease or by a DNA polymerase 5'-3' exonuclease, and then sealed by a DNA ligase [7,9].

The bacterial and eukaryotic oxidative damage BER pathways have been extensively studied and are initiated via a bifunctional DNA glycosylase, either a <u>f</u>ormamidopyrimidine DNA glycosylase (Fpg) or a member of the 8-<u>o</u>xoguanine DNA glycosylase (Ogg) family, respectively. Bacteria typically rely on Fpg for initiating repair of 80xoG, while Archaea and Eukarya often rely on members of the Ogg family [10–12]. All Ogg enzymes belong to the helix-hairpin-helix (HhH) superfamily of DNA repair glycosylases [13,14]. Three distinct subfamilies of Ogg enzymes, Ogg1, Ogg2, and <u>a</u>rchaeal <u>GO</u> glycosylase (AGOG) share little sequence similarity [10]. Ogg1 is well-studied and is most commonly encoded in eukaryotes, including humans, and some bacterial species [13,15–22]. Ogg2 is most commonly found in Archaea but is also sparsely found in eukaryotic and bacterial genomes [23–29]. The distribution of AGOG, in contrast, is limited exclusively to archaeal species and AGOG is the least studied subfamily of Ogg enzymes [14].

Despite widespread distribution of AGOG in diverse archaeal lineages, only two representatives, from *Pyrobaculum aerophilum* (PaeAGOG) and *Thermococcus gammatolerans* (TgaAGOG), have been characterized *in vitro* [14,30–33], and very limited data regarding the *in vivo* importance of AGOG has been presented. The individual steps of archaeal BER of 80xoG have been proposed, yet a complete reconstitution of any archaeal BER pathway – from recognition by the DNA glycosylase through nick sealing by a DNA ligase – has not been demonstrated.

In this study, we examined 80x0G damage repair in *Thermococcus kodakarensis*, a hyperthermophilic, marine archaeon with a growth temperature range from 60 °C to 100 °C, and optimal growth at 85 °C [34]. The rate of spontaneous oxidation and formation of reactive oxygen species increases at higher temperatures [35,36], thus *T. kodakarensis* and other hyperthermophiles are predicted to encode robust oxidative DNA damage repair pathways to ensure genome stability [37,38]. 80x0G lesions in the template strand stall both

RNA and DNA polymerases, arguing that 80x0G must be efficiently removed to limit mutagenic effects [6,38]. BER is the dominant repair pathway of 80x0G in the *Thermococcales* [6,30] and here we characterize the complete pathway of oxidative damage repair in *T. kodakarensis* from 80x0G recognition by a DNA glycosylase to nick sealing by a DNA ligase. We detail the *in vivo* roles of AGOG in *T. kodakarensis*, characterize AGOG enzymatic properties, identify the essential AP endonuclease (TkoEndoIV) as a critical constituent of archaeal BER, and reconstitute the complete 80x0G BER pathway in Archaea.

# 2. Material and methods

#### 2.1. Preparation of DNA substrates

The oligonucleotides used in this study were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA) with 5'-FAM and 3'-ROX fluorophores for detection in capillary electrophoresis. The lesion containing substrates were prepared by annealing 1  $\mu$ M of the labeled 60-nt lesion containing oligo (5'-FAM-TGGAGATTTTGATCACGGTAA-CCXATCAGAATGACAACAAGCCCGAATTCACCCAGGAGG-ROX-3') where X is either 80xoG, 8-oxoadenine, deoxyuridine (dU), deoxyinosine (dI), or deoxyxanthosine (dX) to 1.25  $\mu$ M of the 60-nt complementary oligo (5'-CCTCCTGGGTGAATTCGGGCTTGTTGTCATTCTGATNGGTTACCGTGATCAAAATC TCCA-3') where N is a canonical base in 1x annealing buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 and 100 mM NaCl) at 85 °C for 5 min and allowing the reactions to slowly cool to room

temperature.

#### 2.2. T. kodakarensis growth and strain construction

*T. kodakarensis* strains were grown in artificial seawater supplemented with 5 g/L yeast extract, 5 g/L tryptone, and 2 g/L of sulfur at 85 °C [34]. Growth was measured by increases in optical density at 600 nm ( $OD_{600}$ ). The growth curve was performed with three independent biological replicates in triplicate.

Standard procedures were used to delete or attempt to delete TkoAGOG (encoded by TK0940) and TkoEndoIV (encoded by TK0170), respectively, from the genome of *T. kodakarensis* strain TS559 [39,40]. Briefly, a non-replicating plasmid was transformed into TS559 and was integrated into the genome at the loci of interest (TK0940 or TK0170) via recombination; transformants were identified by co-integration of a selectable marker restoring agmatine prototrophy. The intermediate strains containing the integrated plasmid were confirmed using diagnostic PCR on purified genomic DNA. The intermediate cells were then grown in the presence of agmatine as well as 6-methylpurine (the counter-selectable marker), and the plasmid was excised from the genomic loci. Again, diagnostic PCR using locus-specific primers on purified genomic DNA from these final strains was used to determine if the target locus was deleted from the genome or if the parent genome (TS559) had been restored. Whole genome sequencing using the PacBio RS II Sequencing platform (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA) further confirmed the deletion of TK0940 (Fig. S1). TkoEndoIV was determined to be essential after > 30 final strains from two distinct intermediate strains resulted in restoration of the parent genome (TS559) [39].

# 2.3. Analysis of mutational spectra

The wild-type and deletion *T. kodakarensis* genomic libraries were sequenced using PacBio RSII instrument. Following sequencing, the bioinformatics analysis of mutational events was done as described previously with modifications [41]. In brief, the high-accuracy consensus sequences were built using PacBio tools and mapped to a reference *T. kodakarensis* genome (GenBank NC\_006624) using BLASR aligner. A number of filtering steps were used to avoid sequencing artifacts. At least 15 passes were required to build consensus sequences and only high-quality bases were used in the analysis (Phred quality score of 93). The consensus reads were required to map unambiguously with the mapping quality score of 254 while all supplementary (chimeric) alignments were discarded. Additionally, clipped aligned consensus reads were discarded to avoid chimeric reads arising during PacBio library preparation.

#### 2.4. Cloning, expression, and purification of archaeal proteins

An *Escherichia coli* codon optimized version of the genes encoding TkoAGOG (GenBank BAD85129.1), and TkoEndoIV (GenBank BAD84359.1) from *T. kodakarensis* were synthetically constructed and cloned into pAII17 vector via the Ndel and BamHI sites (Genscript, Piscataway, NJ). The plasmid containing TkoAGOG was transformed into BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cells while the plasmid containing TkoEndoIV was transformed into T7 Express lysY/I<sup>q</sup> competent cells (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA).

The cells were grown at 37 °C in LB media supplemented with 0.1 mg/mL of ampicillin until an  $OD_{60}$  of 0.6 was reached. Protein expression was induced with 0.4 mM IPTG and cultures were allowed to continue growing at 37 °C for 3 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4500 × g for 20 min. The cell pellet was suspended in Buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl), lysed using a constant cell disruptor (Constant Systems LTD, Northants, UK), and heat treated at 80 °C for 20 min. The cell debris was removed via centrifugation at 35,000 × g for 15 min.

For TkoAGOG, the clarified cell lysate was passed through a DEAE column and the flowthrough was collected. The flow-through was loaded onto a HiPrep Heparin FF 16/10 column (GE Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA) and eluted in fractions with a buffer gradient from 50 mM to 1 M NaCl. TkoAGOG containing fractions were identified by SDS-PAGE, pooled and dialyzed to 100 mM KC1, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 50 % glycerol at pH 7.4.

For TkoEndoIV, the clarified cell lysate was passed through a DEAE column and the flowthrough was collected. The flow-through was loaded onto a HiPrep Heparin FF 16/10 column (GE Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA) and eluted in fractions with a buffer gradient from 50 mM to 1 M NaCl. Fractions containing TkoEndoIV were identified by SDS-PAGE, pooled and diluted to 50 mM NaCl and were again loaded onto a HiPrep Heparin FF 16/10 column. A final elution was done using a buffer gradient from 50 mM to 1 M NaCl. TkoEndoIV containing fractions were identified by SDS-PAGE, pooled and dialyzed to 100 mM KC1, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 50 % glycerol at pH 7.4. Other replication proteins, PCNA, RFC, DNA Ligase, Fen1, Pol B from *T. kodakarensis* and Pol D from *Thermococcus* sp. 9°N were purified as previously described [42–45].

#### 2.5. Glycosylase and AP lyase activity of AGOG

Bifunctional DNA glycosylases have base removal glycosylase activity and AP lyase activity that leading to a break in the DNA backbone leaving a 5'-phosphate and either a 3'-UA, via  $\alpha$ -elimination, or a 5'-phosphate via  $\beta$ , $\delta$ -elimination (Fig. 2A). To confirm the presence of glycosylase and AP lyase activity in AGOG, a 20  $\mu$  L reaction containing 20 nM 80xoG:C dsDNA and 100 nM AGOG in 1x Thermopol Buffer was incubated at 65 °C for 30 min. For a  $\beta$ , $\delta$ -elimination positive control, the above reaction was performed with Fpg instead of AGOG. For a  $\beta$ -elimination positive control, 20 nM dU:G dsDNA and 100 nM of Uracil DNA glycosylase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) and Endonuclease III (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) in IX Thermopol Buffer were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min [8,46]. All reactions were quenched by the addition of equal volume of 85 % formamide and 50 mM EDTA, followed by dilution in water to bring the final concentration of DNA to 2 nM. A 3730x1 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) was used for capillary electrophoresis and the resultant fluorescent peaks were analyzed using Peak Scanner software version 1.0 (Applied Biosystems) [42].

#### 2.6. Trapping of AGOG active site Schiff-base intermediate

Sodium borohydride trapping of the Schiff-base intermediate is a well-established technique to identify the amino acid residue responsible for formation of the Schiff-base intermediate, a covalent linkage between the DNA and enzyme [8,47]. Prior to the trapping of the Schiff-base intermediate, TkoAGOG was dialyzed into sodium acetate containing storage buffer (10 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5, 100 mM KC1, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 % Triton X-100, and 50 % glycerol). A 60-bp 80xoG:C dsDNA was prepared as described above in 10 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5 and 20 mM NaCl.

Immediately prior to trapping of the Schiff-base intermediate, a fresh solution of 200 mM NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN in sodium acetate buffer (10 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5 and 20 mM NaCl) was prepared. Equimolar DNA and enzyme (5  $\mu$ M final concentration) were mixed in sodium acetate buffer and NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (final concentration 33 mM) was added. The reaction was then heated to 65 °C for 1 h. After incubation the products were analyzed using a 4–20 % SDS polyacrylamide gel.

#### 2.7. Multiple turnover kinetics of TkoAGOG

To assess the turnover of TkoAGOG and determine if product release was the rate-limiting step of the reaction, a multiple-turnover kinetic assay was performed where the DNA substrate was in excess of TkoAGOG. A 200  $\mu$ L reaction was made in lx Thermopol buffer containing 100 nM of 60-bp 80xoG:C dsDNA and 5 nM TkoAGOG (20-fold excess substrate) and heated to 65 °C. 10  $\mu$ L aliquots were removed and stopped at the appropriate time points (15 s – 10 min) with equal volume 0.1 N NaOH, 0.25 % SDS and then neutralized with equal volume 1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5. The reactions were desalted using Optima DTR 96-well plates (EdgeBio, San Jose, CA) and analyzed using capillary electrophoresis as described above.

The concentration of product was determined and graphed as a function of time and fit to a linear equation to obtain the AGOG steady-state rate ( $k_{ss}$ ) using Kaleidagraph (Synergy Software, Reading, PA). The data were fit to a linear equation due to the lack of a pre-steady-state burst. All kinetic assays were performed at least 3 times to ensure reproducibility.

#### 2.8. Single turnover kinetics of AGOG

To determine the rate of TkoAGOG glycosylase base removal activity, single-turnover kinetic assays were performed with TkoAGOG in excess of the substrate. A control experiment with a 5-fold molar excess of TkoAGOG demonstrated that the substrate was saturated. A 200  $\mu$ L reaction in 1x Thermopol buffer containing 20 nM of 60-bp 80xoG:N dsDNA was then heated to 65 °C, and 100 nM TkoAGOG (final concentration) was added to start the reaction. 10  $\mu$ L aliquots were removed, quenched, cleaned-up, and analyzed as described above.

The concentration of product was graphed as a function of time and fit to a singleexponential equation (Eq. (1)) to obtain the AGOG pre-steady-state rate ( $k_{pss}$ ) using Kaleidagraph (Synergy Software, Reading, PA). All kinetic assays were performed at least 3 times to ensure reproducibility.

$$[Product] = A(1 - \exp(k_{obs} \times t)) \tag{1}$$

#### 2.9. Assessing 8-oxo-guanine activity in T. kodakarensis cell extract

Cell extracts from the parent strain, TS559, and AGOG were prepared by resuspending 1 g of cell paste in 1 mL of Buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl). The cells were then sonicated for 2 min and centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 x g to remove cell debris. Glycerol was added to the cell extract to a final concentration of 50 %. The amount of enzyme activity in cell extracts were normalized by detecting RNaseH2 activity as previously described [41]. The 60-bp 80xoG:C dsDNA substrate (40 nM) was incubated with *T. kodakarensis* TS559 or AGOG cell extracts in 1x ThermoPol buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM KC1, 2mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, pH 8.8 at 25 °C) at 65 °C for 30 min. As a control, 100 nM of purified recombinant TkoAGOG was added to the cell extract of AGOG. The reactions were quenched with 0.1 N NaOH and 0.25 % SDS and then neutralized with 1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5. The reactions were desalted using Optima DTR 96-well plates (EdgeBio, San Jose, CA) and analyzed using capillary electrophoresis.

#### 2.10. Characterization of TkoEndolV

The activity of TkoEndoIV was characterized as follows. To generate an AP-site containing dsDNA, a 60-bp deoxyuridine:G dsDNA was pretreated with UDG for 30 min at 37 °C in 1x Thermopol buffer to produce an AP site. A 100  $\mu$ L reaction was made containing 1x Thermopol buffer, 100 nM of substrate DNA, and 20 nM TkoEndoIV. The total reaction was heated to 65 °C, and 10  $\mu$ L aliquots were taken at the appropriate time points (15 s – 30 min). The reactions were stopped with equal volume 50 mM EDTA and analyzed using capillary electrophoresis as described above.

# 2.11. Reconstitution of the 8-oxo-guanine BER pathway

80xoG BER pathway reconstitution reactions were performed by pre-incubating 2.5 nM 60bp 80xoG:C dsDNA with 10 nM each of TkoAGOG and TkoEndoIV in 1x Thermopol buffer at 65 °C for 30 min. Following pre-incubation, PCNA-1 (20 nM), RFC (10 nM), Pol B exo- (2.5 nM), Pol D exo- (2.5 nM), Fen1 (25 nM), and DNA ligase (25 nM), ATP (2 mM), dNTPs (0.1 mM), and MgSO<sub>4</sub> (8mM) were added and incubated for 5 — 15 min. All proteins are from *T. kodakarensis* except Pol D exo- which is from *Thermococcus* sp. 9°N [42,43,48]. The reaction was then incubated at 65 °C, stopped with equal volume 50 mM EDTA, and analyzed using capillary electrophoresis as previously described.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Identification and biochemical characterization of AGOG from T. kodakarensis

The three distinct subfamilies of Ogg enzymes (Ogg1, Ogg2, and AGOG) share limited sequence similarity [10]. To identify an 80xoG-specific DNA glycosylase in *T. kodakarensis*, we searched sequence databases for putative homologs of known archaeal Ogg2 and AGOG enzymes, as well as eukaryotic Ogg1. The *T. kodakarensis* genome lacks obvious Ogg1 or Ogg2 homologs, but gene TK0940 encodes a putative AGOG. TK0940p (hereafter termed TkoAGOG) is similar to AGOG enzymes from other *Thermococcales* and is 30 % identical to the previously described AGOG from *P. aerophilum* [14] (Fig. 1). The overall sequence homologs from *T. kodakarensis* and *P. aerophilum*, however the HhH motif and the active site lysine and aspartic acid are sufficiently conserved to identify AGOG homologs [14,31,32,37] (Fig. 1).

To confirm the activity of TK0940 as an 80x0G DNA glycosylase, we recombinantly expressed and purified TkoAGOG for detailed characterization. Incubation of TkoAGOG with the dual-labeled 80x0G:C dsDNA substrate led to cleavage of the lesion containing strand, confirming TkoAGOG both removes the 80x0G base and breaks the DNA backbone via DNA glycosylase and AP lyase activity, respectively (Fig. 2). To define the products of TkoAGOG AP lyase activity, two controls were performed. Endo III cleaves AP sites via  $\beta$ -elimination to produce a gap with a 3'-UA and a 5'-phosphate [46] while Fpg cleaves 80x0G:C dsDNA via  $\beta$ , $\delta$ -elimination to produce a gap with both 3'-and 5'-phosphates [8,12] (Fig. 2). The products left by TkoAGOG are identical to those of Endo III, demonstrating TkoAGOG undergoes only  $\beta$ -elimination producing a gap with 3'-UA and a 5'-phosphate. Therefore, TkoAGOG and the previously described PaeAGOG and TgaAGOG are bifunctional glycosylases, capable of removing the 80x0G damaged base via glycosylase activity and cleaving the DNA backbone via AP lyase  $\beta$ -elimination activity [14,30,32,33] (Fig. 2).

It is important to note that the 3'-UA  $\beta$ -elimination product can spontaneously (not enzymatically) undergo  $\delta$ -elimination, and we routinely observe a small fraction, < 5 %, of TkoAGOG 3'-UA product converted to the 3'-phosphate. Because of this conversion, all quantitation of AGOG activity was completed using the 3'-ROX labeled strand.

Additionally, the proposed TkoAGOG mechanism goes through a Schiff-base intermediate involving a covalent attachment of the active site lysine to the DNA (Fig. 2A). Incubation of TkoAGOG with the dual-labeled 80xoG:C dsDNA substrate in the presence of sodium cyano-borohydride reduced the Schiff-base intermediate. TkoAGOG remained covalently attached to the DNA, as visualized by denaturing PAGE, providing confirmation of the Schiff-base intermediate and further support of the enzymatic mechanism [47] (Fig. S2).

A kinetic characterization of TkoAGOG was performed to understand substrate preference and rates. Varying ratios of TkoAGOG and the 60-nt 80xoG:C dsDNA substrate were incubated at 65 °C and quenched at various time points with NaOH and SDS to convert all sites lacking an 80xoG base to strand breaks (Fig. 3A,B). Characterization of all bacterial and eukaryotic 80xoG DNA glycosylases by steady-state multiple turnover kinetics ([substrate] >> [enzyme]) suggests that product release is the rate limiting step due to the presence of a pre-steady-state burst of activity. Therefore, we first aimed to determine the rate limiting step of the TkoAGOG mechanism. However, under steady-state multiple turnover conditions, similar to those used to characterize eukaryotic and bacterial 80xoG glycosylases, we did not observe a pre-steady-state burst, but rather a linear line, where the slope represents the steady-state rate ( $k_{ss}$ ) (0.76 ± 0.22 min<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 3C). The absence of a burst of product formation under steady-state conditions suggests that the rate of glycosylase base removal or a step prior, such as substrate binding, is rate limiting.

To measure the rates leading up to and including glycosylase base removal, we performed pre-steady-state single turnover kinetics ([enzyme] >> [substrate]). The pre-steady-state rate ( $k_{pss}$ ) of AGOG is 1.21 ± 0.18 min<sup>-1</sup> on dsDNA containing 80xoG:C (Fig. 3E) and is three-fold slower on ssDNA containing 80xoG (0.41 ± 0.04min<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 1). Furthermore, the  $k_{pss}$  and  $k_{ss}$  are similar and within error of each other confirming the rate of glycosylase base removal or a step prior, such as substrate binding, is rate limiting.

80xoG base-pairs with either cytosine, the canonical base, or with adenine via a Hoogsteen base-pair. Ogg1 family enzymes have a strong substrate preference for cleaving 80xoG opposite cytosine compared to 80xoG paired with adenine, guanosine or thymine [21,31,49,50]. In contrast, Ogg2 and AGOG family enzymes cleave 80xoG:C and 80xoG:A with similar rates (within two-fold). To determine if TkoAGOG discriminates between different 80xoG base-pairs, substrates containing 80xoG across from either adenine, cytosine, thymine, or guanine were tested under single turnover conditions. Although TkoAGOG removes 80xoG across from all DNA lesions, a two-fold preference for 80xoG base-paired with cytosine, thymine or guanine was observed compared to 80xoG paired with adenine. The k<sub>pss</sub> of TkoAGOG for all of the DNA substrates is similar to that of PaeAGOG (Table 1) [14].

We next determined the range of DNA lesions cleaved by TkoAGOG. Incubation of TkoAGOG with AP site containing dsDNA substrate resulted in the formation of the  $\beta$ -elimination product, suggesting the AP lyase activity of TkoAGOG can be uncoupled from the glycosylase activity (Fig. S3). TkoAGOG was further tested against a suite of DNA substrates containing a variety of DNA lesions including 8-oxo-adenine, dU, dI, or dX but no activity was detected even after extended incubation times (Fig. S3). Therefore,

TkoAGOG, has a very narrow substrate specificity for only 80xoG and AP site containing DNA. These results are consistent with previous experiments using PaeAGOG [32] and in contrast to bacterial Fpg which removes a wide variety of DNA lesions including 8-oxoadenine, fapy-guanine, methyl-fapy-guanine, fapy-adenine, aflatoxin B1-fapy-guanine, 5-hydroxy-cytosine and 5-hydroxy-uracil [51].

#### 3.2. In vivo role of TkoAGOG

The entire gene encoding TkoAGOG (gene TK0940) was marker-lessly deleted from the *T. kodakarensis* genome using established genetic techniques demonstrating TK0940 is a nonessential gene [39,40]. Further, under standard growth conditions, no apparent phenotypic consequence was observed when TkoAGOG was deleted (Fig. 4A). Potassium bromate specifically induces oxidative DNA damage while having minimal effect on other cellular processes [52,53]. There was no observable difference in cell susceptibility to potassium bromate between the parent strain, TS559, and AGOG (Fig. 4B).

TkoAGOG is the only AGOG family member and sole annotated DNA glycosylase encoded in the *T. kodakarensis* genome specific for 80xoG repair. To probe if other redundant enzymes recognize and repair 80xoG (in addition to TkoAGOG), cellular extracts from both parental TS559 and AGOG strains were assayed for activity on the dsDNA 80xoG:C substrate. As expected, extracts prepared from parental TS559 cells (containing TkoAGOG) cleaved the majority 80xoG:C DNA substrates while extracts derived from cells lacking TkoAGOG (AGOG) had minimal cleavage activity (less than 10 %). These data confirm that TkoAGOG is a major 80xoG repair enzyme in *T. kodakarensis* (Fig. 4C). The remaining residual 80xoG cleavage activity in the AGOG extract suggests *T. kodakarensis* encodes at least one other enzyme capable of acting on 80xoG.

#### 3.3. Mutational spectra of T. kodakarensis strains

In *E. coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains lacking oxidative repair enzymes, 80x0G:A mismatches accumulate and result in G to T and C to A transversions [54–57]. To analyze the genome-wide mutational spectra in *T. kodakarensis* strains TS559 (parent) and AGOG, both genomes were sequenced and the total number of nucleotide substitution events was calculated as compared to the reference. Of these substitution events (39 in AGOG and 35 in TS559), 8 % in AGOG and 20 % in TS559 were G to T or C to A transversions (Table 2). Furthermore, for all substitution events, the mutational spectra between TS559 and AGOG strains were similar (Table 2). These results suggest that cells lacking TkoAGOG do not accumulate 80x0G in the genome and likely have another mechanism that facilitates removal of 80xoG.

# 3.4. Identification of the T. kodakarensis AP endonuclease

In Bacteria and Eukarya, an AP endonuclease is required to convert the resulting DNA glycosylase product to a 3'-OH, allowing extension by a DNA polymerase [58–61]. Using a homology-based search [62–67], we identified the major AP endonuclease in *T. kodakarensis* encoded by TK0170, herein named TkoEndoIV. TkoEndoIV shares all nine of the conserved metal-binding residues with other EndoIV homologs from both bacteria and archaea (Fig. S4). *T. kodakarensis* encodes an additional AP endonuclease homolog,

encoded by TK1165, however this enzyme had no detectible activity on AP site containing DNA (data not shown). This is consistent with results from *Pyrococcus furiosus* [62].

TkoEndoIV efficiently cleaves AP sites to produce a 3'-OH and 5'-deoxyribose-phosphate (5'-dRP) (Fig. 5). Importantly, we confirmed that TkoEndoIV also converts the 3'-UA left by TkoAGOG to a 3'-OH. (Fig. 6). Consistent with other archaeal EndoIV enzymes, TkoEndoIV showed no detectible activity on deamination substrates including dU, dl, or dX (Fig. S3) [62,66].

To probe the *in vivo* role of TkoEndoIV, genetic knockouts were attempted. Using established genetic techniques, the gene encoding TkoEndoIV could not be deleted from the genome and is essential for cell viability, confirming TkoEndoIV plays a crucial role *in vivo* [39,40]. TkoEndoIV likely plays a role in many other DNA repair pathways including deamination and depurination which depend on AP site cleavage [68].

Studies in Eukarya demonstrate the AP endonuclease (APE1), homologous in function to TkoEndoIV, increases the turnover rate of Ogg1 and may increase oxidative damage repair efficiency [25,69]. Unlike eukaryotic APE1, TkoEndoIV does not increase turnover of TkoAGOG (Fig. S5). Therefore, TkoAGOG and TkoEndoIV function independently, however both enzymes are required for oxidative damage BER.

#### 3.5. In vitro reconstitution of the 80xoG base excision repair pathway

As shown by genetic and biochemical data, TkoAGOG is the major enzyme in T. kodakarensis required to initiate 80x0G damage repair. Experiments were performed to determine the *Thermococcus* enzymes required for complete 80x0G repair *in vitro*. The 80xoG dsDNA substrate was pre-incubated with TkoAGOG and TkoEndoIV to remove 80xoG and create a 1-nt gap with a 3'-OH and a 5'-phosphate termini (Fig. 7). When incubated with DNA polymerases B (Pol B) and D (Pol D), PCNA, RFC, Fen1 and DNA ligase, full repair was observed by the formation of a dual FAM and ROX labeled 60-mer product. In the absence of Pol D, the formation of repaired product was not changed, however in the absence of Pol B, repaired product was not observed. Because of strong Pol B strand displacement activity, a significant percentage of the 5'-FAM fragment is extended to the end of the 60-nt template before Fen1 cleavage or ligation can occur. Furthermore, in the absence of either Fen1 or DNA ligase, only the strand displaced product, not the repaired product was observed. The presence of PCNA and RFC had no effect on the formation of either the repaired or strand displaced product (Fig. S6). The results obtained demonstrate that for complete 80x0G repair, TkoAGOG excises 80x0G and cleaves the DNA backbone, TkoEndoIV converts the 3'-UA to a 3'-OH, strand displacement synthesis by Pol B creates a 5' flap structure that is cleaved by Fen1 to create a nick that is sealed by DNA ligase.

# 4. Discussion

The extreme environment in which *T. kodakarensis* thrives requires that cells survive constant bombardment of DNA by innumerable DNA damaging agents including reactive oxygen species. 80xoG is generated by reactive oxygen species and is one of the most common DNA lesions [1,2]. To cope with the presence of 80xoG in genomic DNA, cells

have efficient DNA repair pathways, including BER, to prevent DNA damage and genome instability.

Here we identified the major 80x0G BER glycosylase, TkoAGOG, as well as the major AP endonuclease, TkoEndoIV, in *T.* kodakarensis. Our kinetic investigation shows the rate limiting step of 80x0G removal by TkoAGOG is glycosylase base removal or a step prior. For all other kinetically characterized Ogg enzymes, product release is rate-limiting. In Eukarya, slow Ogg1 product release is stimulated by APE1 and may be crucial for coordination of Ogg with downstream BER enzymes [69,70]. In contrast, TkoAGOG is not stimulated by TkoEndoIV (Fig. S5) and the independent activities of TkoAGOG and TkoEndoIV suggest a unique mechanism for TkoAGOG initiated BER in *T. kodakarensis.* The possibility of coordination of TkoAGOG with other BER enzymes warrants further investigation.

The 3'-UA left by TkoAGOG  $\beta$ -elimination cannot be extended by a DNA polymerase thus must be converted to 3'-OH by TkoEndoIV. Likewise, additional enzymes in bacteria (ExoIII or EndoIV) and eukaryotes (APE1) convert the non-extendable 3'-termini to 3'-OH [12]. TkoEndoIV is crucial for TkoAGOG initiated BER, and may prove critical for all DNA glycosylase initiated BER in *T. kodakarensis* as cell viability is absolutely dependent on the presence of TkoEndoIV. The combined genetic and biochemical data suggest that TkoEndoIV, like other EndoIV homologs, may have critical roles not only in BER but likely in resolving a variety of 3' modified termini resulting from DNA breaks or other repair pathways [58,59,64].

Both TkoAGOG and TkoEndoIV are necessary for BER of 80xoG, but the *in vitro* reconstitution studies demonstrate complete repair is also dependent on a DNA polymerase, Fen1, and DNA ligase suggesting that long-patch, not short-patch, BER is the likely the preferred BER pathway in *T. kodakarensis.* In short-patch BER, a single nucleotide is incorporated prior to ligation and does not require the action of Fen1 or 5'-3' exonuclease activity. Conversely, in long-patch BER, DNA polymerase strand displacement synthesis incorporates multiple nucleotides and is dependent upon either Fen1 or 5'-3' exonuclease activity to remove the displaced downstream DNA flap. *T. kodakarensis* cells lacking Pol B exhibit a significant growth defect when exposed to DNA damaging agents suggesting Pol B is the DNA polymerase, or Fen1 is essential in *T. kodakarensis* [71–73] supporting the presence of redundant pathways to complete repair.

The reconstitution of archaeal BER demonstrates the overall mechanism for oxidative damage BER is conserved across all Domains (Fig. 8). In each Domain, repair is initiated by recognition of 80x0G by a DNA glycosylase cleaving the DNA backbone and leaving either a 3'-UA (Archaea and Eukarya) or a 3'-phosphate (Bacteria) [10,12,20]. An AP endonuclease converts the 3' termini to a hydroxyl which is then extended by a DNA polymerase. In Eukarya and Archaea long-patch BER, synthesis by DNA polymerase is strand-displacing and requires cleavage of downstream DNA by Fen1 [12,74]. While in Bacteria, Pol I functions as both the DNA polymerase and the 5'-3' exonuclease [75]. In all Domains, the final step is nick sealing by DNA ligase.

Presently, TkoAGOG is the only 80x0G DNA glycosylase described in *T. kodakarensis*. The deletion of TkoAGOG from the genome of *T. kodakarensis* results in a significant decrease, but not the complete loss of 80x0G glycosylase activity in cellular extract. In *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae*, deletion strains lacking oxidative repair enzymes are viable yet accumulate 80x0G:A mismatches that result in G to T and C to A transversions [54–57]. Therefore, if AGOG is the only 80x0G repair enzyme in *T. kodakarensis*, G to T and C to A transversion mutations should occur more frequently in the AGOG strain. However, the mutational spectra of the parent and AGOG strains are similar (Table 2) suggesting in the absence of AGOG redundant DNA repair pathways maintain genome integrity.

Redundant oxidative damage repair pathways are present in both Bacteria and Eukarya. For example, an additional DNA glycosylase, MutY, cleaves adenine in 80xoG:A mismatch formed during replication to allow accurate repair [12,17,76,77]. In Archaea, no homologs of bacterial MutY, nor other enzymes removing adenine from a 80xoG:A mismatch have been identified. If Archaea truly lack a dedicated enzyme to repair 80xoG:A mismatches, it is likely the repair of 80xoG occurs rapidly and prior to replication, thus preventing mispairing by DNA polymerases.

In addition to oxidative damage in dsDNA, the cellular nucleotide pool is also susceptible to oxidative damage. dGTP is more prone to oxidative damage compared to dG embedded in DNA [2]. Importantly, 8-oxo-dGTP can be incorporated by DNA polymerases during replication, further increasing genome instability. To deplete 8-oxo-dGTP in the cellular nucleotide pool, dedicated 8-oxo-dGTPases in Bacteria (MutT) and Eukarya (MTH1) specifically degrade 8-oxo-dGTP to 8-oxo-dGMP [25,77,78]. Archaea lack MutT homologs, and likely depend on BER to initiate 80xoG repair. In Bacteria and Eukarya, these redundant pathways, BER, mismatch repair, and specific GTPases, target 80xoG in the DNA or in the nucleotide pool and efficiently protect the genome against oxidative damage. Future work aims to uncover novel and redundant 80xoG DNA repair enzymes in Archaea.

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Danielle Fuchs and Laurie Mazzola for technical assistance, Zvi Kelman for providing *T. kodakarensis* replication proteins, and Don Comb for fostering a supportive research environment.

#### Funding

This work was supported by funding from the NIH (GM100329) and the Department of Energy (DE-SC0014597) (to T.J.S). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. This study was also privately funded by New England Biolabs, Inc. Authors A.M.G., K.M.Z., V.P. and A.F.G. are employees of New England Biolabs, Inc. New England Biolabs is a manufacturer and vendor of molecular biology reagents, including several enzymes and buffers used in this study.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The A.M.G, K.M.Z., V.P. and A.F.G. are employed and funded by New England Biolabs, Inc., a manufacturer and vendor of molecular biology reagents, including DNA replication and repair enzymes. This affiliation does not

affect the authors' impartiality, objectivity of data generation or its interpretation, adherence to journal standards and policies or availability of data.

# Abbreviations:

BER	base excision repair
80G	8-oxoguanine
Fpg	formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosylase
Ogg	8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase
AGOG	archaeal GO glycosylase
AP	apurinic/apyrimidinic
3'-UA	3'-α, $β$ -unsaturated aldehyde
3'-ОН	3'-hydroxyl
HhH	helix-hairpin-helix
5'-dRP	5'-deoxyribose-phosphate
Pol B	DNA polymerase B
Pol D	DNA polymerase D

## References

- [1]. Grollman AP, Moriya M, Mutagenesis by 8-oxoguanine: an enemy within, Trends Genet. 9 (1993) 246–249, 10.1016/0168-9525(93)90089-Z. [PubMed: 8379000]
- [2]. Markkanen E, Not breathing is not an option: how to deal with oxidative DNA damage, DNA Repair (Amst.) 59 (2017) 82–105, 10.1016/j.dnarep.2017.09.007. [PubMed: 28963982]
- [3]. Kuchino Y, Mori F, Kasai H, Inoue H, Iwai S, Miura K, Ohtsuka E, Nishimura S, Misreading of DNA templates containing 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine at the modified base and at adjacent residues, Nature 327 (1987) 77–79, 10.1038/327077a0. [PubMed: 3574469]
- [4]. Shibutani S, Takeshita M, Grollman AP, Insertion of specific bases during DNA synthesis past the oxidation-damaged base 8-oxodG, Nature 349 (1991) 431–434, 10.1038/349431a0. [PubMed: 1992344]
- [5]. Wood ML, Esteve A, Morningstar ML, Kuziemko GM, Essigmann JM, Genetic effects of oxidative DNA damage: comparative mutagenesis of 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine and 7,8dihydro-8-oxoadenine in Escherichia coli, Nucleic Acids Res. 20 (1992) 6023–6032. [PubMed: 1461734]
- [6]. Killelea T, Palud A, Akcha F, Lemor M, L'haridon S, Godfroy A, Henneke G, The interplay at the replisome mitigates the impact of oxidative damage on the genetic integrity of hyperthermophilic Archaea, Elife 8 (2019), 10.7554/eLife.45320.
- [7]. Schermerhorn KM, Delaney S, A chemical and kinetic perspective on base excision repair of DNA, Acc. Chem. Res 47 (2014) 1238–1246, 10.1021/ar400275a. [PubMed: 24646203]
- [8]. Sun B, Latham KA, Dodson ML, Lloyd RS, Studies on the catalytic mechanism of five DNA glycosylases: probing for enzyme-DNA imino intermediates, J. Biol. Chem 270 (1995) 19501– 19508, 10.1074/jbc.270.33.19501. [PubMed: 7642635]
- [9]. Grasso S, Tell G, Base excision repair in Archaea: back to the future in DNA repair, DNA Repair (Amst.) 21 (2014) 148–157, 10.1016/j.dnarep.2014.05.006. [PubMed: 25012975]

- [10]. Faucher F, Doublié S, Jia Z, 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylases: one lesion, three subfamilies, Int. J. Mol. Sci 13 (2012) 6711–6729, 10.3390/ijms13066711. [PubMed: 22837659]
- [11]. Michaels ML, Miller JH, The GO system protects organisms from the mutagenic effect of the spontaneous lesion 8-hydroxyguanine (7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine), J. Bacteriol 174 (1992) 6321– 6325. [PubMed: 1328155]
- [12]. Boiteux S, Coste F, Castaing B, Repair of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells: properties and biological roles of the Fpg and OGG1 DNA N-glycosylases, Free Radic. Biol. Med 107 (2017) 179–201, 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.11.042. [PubMed: 27903453]
- [13]. Denver DR, Swenson SL, Lynch M, An evolutionary analysis of the helix-hairpin-Helix superfamily of DNA repair glycosylases, Mol. Biol. Evol 20 (2003) 1603–1611, 10.1093/molbev/ msg177. [PubMed: 12832627]
- [14]. Sartori AA, Lingaraju GM, Hunziker P, Winkler FK, Jiricny J, Pa-AGOG, the founding member of a new family of archaeal 8-oxoguanine DNA-glycosylases, Nucleic Acids Res. 32 (2004) 6531–6539, 10.1093/nar/gkh995. [PubMed: 15604455]
- [15]. Robey-Bond SM, Barrantes-Reynolds R, Bond JP, Wallace SS, Bandaru V, *Clostridium acetobutylicum*8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (Ogg) differs from eukaryotic Oggs with respect to opposite base discrimination, Biochemistry 47 (2008) 7626–7636, 10.1021/bi800162e. [PubMed: 18578506]
- [16]. Aburatani H, Hippo Y, Ishida T, Takashima R, Matsuba C, Kodama T, Takao M, Yasui A, Yamamoto K, Asano M, Cloning and characterization of mammalian 8-hydroxyguanine-specific DNA glycosylase/apurinic, apyrimidinic lyase, a functional mutM homologue, Cancer Res. 57 (1997) 2151–2156. [PubMed: 9187114]
- [17]. Arai K, Morishita K, Shinmura K, Kohno T, Kim S-R, Nohmi T, Taniwaki M, Ohwada S, Yokota J, Cloning of a human homolog of the yeast OGG1 gene that is involved in the repair of oxidative DNA damage, Oncogene 14 (1997) 2857–2861, 10.1038/sj.onc.1201139. [PubMed: 9190902]
- [18]. Bjoras M, Luna L, Johnsen B, Hoff E, Haug T, Rognes T, Seeberg E, Opposite base-dependent reactions of a human base excision repair enzyme on DNA containing 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine and abasic sites, EMBO J. 16 (1997) 6314–6322, 10.1093/emboj/16.20.6314. [PubMed: 9321410]
- [19]. Nagashima M, Sasaki A, Morishita K, Takenoshita S, Nagamachi Y, Kasai H, Yokota J, Presence of human cellular protein(s) that specifically binds and cleaves 8-hydroxyguanine containing DNA, Mutat. Res 383 (1997) 49–59. [PubMed: 9042419]
- [20]. Radicella JP, Dherin C, Desmaze C, Fox MS, Boiteux S, Cloning and characterization of hOgg1, a human homolog of the OGG1 gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 94 (1997) 8010–8015. [PubMed: 9223305]
- [21]. Roldán-Arjona T, Wei YF, Carter KC, Klungland A, Anselmino C, Wang RP, Augustus M, Lindahl T, Molecular cloning and functional expression of a human cDNA encoding the antimutator enzyme 8-hydroxyguanine-DNA glycosylase, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 94 (1997) 8016–8020. [PubMed: 9223306]
- [22]. Rosenquist TA, Zharkov DO, Grollman AP, Cloning and characterization of a mammalian 8oxoguanine DNA glycosylase, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 94 (1997) 7429–7434. [PubMed: 9207108]
- [23]. Bruner SD, Nash HM, Lane WS, Verdine GL, Repair of oxidatively damaged guanine in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by an alternative pathway, Curr. Biol 8 (1998) 393–403. [PubMed: 9545197]
- [24]. Nash HM, Bruner SD, Schärer OD, Kawate T, Addona TA, Spooner E, Lane WS, Verdine GL, Cloning of a yeast 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase reveals the existence of a base-excision DNArepair protein superfamily, Curr. Biol 6 (1996) 968–980. [PubMed: 8805338]
- [25]. Hazra TK, Hill JW, Izumi T, Mitra S, Multiple DNA glycosylases for repair of 8-oxoguanine and their potential in vivo functions, Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol 68 (2001) 193–205, 10.1016/ S0079-6603(01)68100-5. [PubMed: 11554297]

- [26]. Im EK, Hong CH, Back JH, Han YS, Chung JH, Functional identification of an 8-oxoguanine specific endonuclease from Thermotoga maritime, J. Biochem. Mol. Biol 38 (2005) 676–682. [PubMed: 16336782]
- [27]. Faucher F, Duclos S, Bandaru V, Wallace SS, Doublié S, Crystal structures of two archaeal 8oxoguanine DNA glycosylases provide structural insight into guanine/8-oxoguanine distinction, Structure 17 (2009) 703–712, 10.1016/j.str.2009.03.007. [PubMed: 19446526]
- [28]. Faucher F, Wallace SS, Doublié S, The C-terminal lysine of Ogg2 DNA glycosylases is a major molecular determinant for guanine/8-oxoguanine distinction, J. Mol. Biol 397 (2010) 46–56, 10.1016/j.jmb.2010.01.024. [PubMed: 20083120]
- [29]. Fujii M, Hata C, Ukita M, Fukushima C, Matsuura C, Kawashima-Ohya Y, Tomobe K, Kawashima T, Characterization of a thermostable 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase specific for GO/N mismatches from the thermoacidophilic archaeon *Thermoplasma volcanium*, Archaea 2016 (2016) 1–10, 10.1155/2016/8734894.
- [30]. Barbier E, Lagorce A, Hachemi A, Dutertre M, Gorlas A, Morand L, Saint-Pierre C, Ravanat J-L, Douki T, Armengaud J, Gasparutto D, Confalonieri F, Breton J, Oxidative DNA damage and repair in the radioresistant archaeon *Thermococcus gammatolerans*, Chem. Res. Toxicol 29 (2016) 1796–1809, 10.1021/acs.chemrestox.6b00128. [PubMed: 27676238]
- [31]. Lingaraju GM, Sartori AA, Kostrewa D, Prota AE, Jiricny J, Winkler FK, A DNA glycosylase from Pyrobaculum aerophilum with an 8-oxoguanine binding mode and a noncanonical helixhairpin-helix structure, Structure 13 (2005) 87–98, 10.1016/j.str.2004.10.011. [PubMed: 15642264]
- [32]. Lingaraju GM, Prota AE, Winkler FK, Mutational studies of Pa-AGOG DNA glycosylase from the hyperthermophilic crenarchaeon Pyrobaculum aerophilum, DNA Repair (Amst.) 8 (2009) 857–864, 10.1016/j.dnarep.2009.03.009. [PubMed: 19410520]
- [33]. Zhang L, Li Y, Shi H, Zhang D, Yang Z, Oger P, Zheng J, Biochemical characterization and mutational studies of the 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase from the hyperthermophilic and radioresistant archaeon Thermococcus gammatolerans, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol 103 (2019) 8021–8033. [PubMed: 31372707]
- [34]. Atomi H, Fukui T, Kanai T, Morikawa M, Imanaka T, Description of Thermococcus kodakaraensis sp. nov., a well studied hyperthermophilic archaeon previously reported as Pyrococcus sp. KOD1, Archaea. 1 (2004) 263–267. [PubMed: 15810436]
- [35]. Abele D, Heise K, Pörtner HO, Puntarulo S, Temperature-dependence of mitochondrial function and production of reactive oxygen species in the intertidal mud clam Mya arenaria, J. Exp. Biol 205 (2002) 1831–1841. [PubMed: 12077159]
- [36]. Fedyaeva AV, Stepanov AV, Lyubushkina IV, Pobezhimova TP, Rikhvanov EG, Heat shock induces production of reactive oxygen species and increases inner mitochondrial membrane potential in winter wheat cells, Biochemistry 79 (2014) 1202–1210, 10.1134/ S0006297914110078. [PubMed: 25540005]
- [37]. Fukui T, Atomi H, Kanai T, Matsumi R, Fujiwara S, Imanaka T, Complete genome sequence of the hyperthermophilic archaeon Thermococcus kodakaraensis KOD1 and comparison with Pyrococcus genomes, Genome Res. 15 (2005) 352–363, 10.1101/gr.3003105. [PubMed: 15710748]
- [38]. Bruskov VI, Malakhova LV, Masalimov ZK, Ghernikov AV, Heat-induced formation of reactive oxygen species and 8-oxoguanine, a biomarker of damage to DNA, Nucleic Acids Res. 30 (2002) 1354–1363. [PubMed: 11884633]
- [39]. Gehring AM, Sanders TJ, Santangelo TJ, Markerless gene editing in the hyperthermophilic archaeon Thermococcus kodakarensis, Bio-Protocol 7 (2017), 10.21769/BioProtoc.2604.
- [40]. Hileman TH, Santangelo TJ, Genetics techniques for Thermococcus kodakarensis, Front. Microbiol 3 (2012) 195, 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00195. [PubMed: 22701112]
- [41]. Potapov V, Ong JL, Examining sources of error in PCR by single-molecule sequencing, PLoS One 12 (2017), 10.1371/journal.pone.0169774.
- [42]. Greenough L, Kelman Z, Gardner AF, The roles of family B and D DNA polymerases in Thermococcus species 9°N okazaki fragment maturation, J. Biol. Chem 290 (2015) 12514– 12522, 10.1074/jbc.M115.638130. [PubMed: 25814667]

- [43]. Greenough L, Menin JF, Desai NS, Kelman Z, Gardner AF, Characterization of Family D DNA polymerase from Thermococcus sp. 9°N, Extremophiles 18 (2014) 653–664, 10.1007/ s00792-014-0646-9. [PubMed: 24794034]
- [44]. Pan M, Santangelo TJ, Li Z, Reeve JN, Kelman Z, Thermococcus kodakarensis encodes three MCM homologs but only one is essential, Nucleic Acids Res. 39 (2011) 9671–9680, 10.1093/nar/gkr624. [PubMed: 21821658]
- [45]. Ladner JE, Pan M, Hurwitz J, Kelman Z, Crystal structures of two active proliferating cell nuclear antigens (PCNAs) encoded by Thermococcus kodakaraensis, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 108 (2011) 2711–2716, 10.1073/pnas.1019179108. [PubMed: 21270332]
- [46]. Kow YW, Wallace SS, Mechanism of action of Escherichia coli endonuclease III, Biochemistry 26 (1987) 8200–8206, 10.1021/bi00399a027. [PubMed: 3327518]
- [47]. Dodson ML, Michaels ML, Lloyd RS, Unified catalytic mechanism for DNA glycosylases, J. Biol. Chem 269 (1994) 32709–32712. [PubMed: 7806489]
- [48]. Schermerhorn KM, Gardner AF, Pre-steady-state kinetic analysis of a family D DNA polymerase from *Thermococcus* sp. 9°N reveals mechanisms for archaeal genomic replication and maintenance, J. Biol. Chem 290 (2015) 21800–21810, 10.1074/jbc.M115.662841. [PubMed: 26160179]
- [49]. Zharkov DO, Rosenquist TA, Gerchman SE, Grollman AP, Substrate specificity and reaction mechanism of murine 8-oxoguanine-DNA glycosylase, J. Biol. Chem 275 (2000) 28607–28617, 10.1074/jbc.M002441200. [PubMed: 10884383]
- [50]. van der Kemp PA, Thomas D, Barbey R, de Oliveira R, Boiteux S, Cloning and expression in Escherichia coli of the OGG1 gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, which codes for a DNA glycosylase that excises 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine and 2,6-diamino-4-hydroxy-5-Nmethylformamidopyrimidine, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 93 (1996) 5197–5202, 10.1073/ pnas.93.11.5197. [PubMed: 8643552]
- [51]. Tchous J, Bodepudi V, Shibutani S, Millers J, Grollmanll AP, Johnson F, Substrate specificity of Fpg protein, J. Biol. Chem 269 (1994) 15318–15324, 10.1021/bi1014453. [PubMed: 7515054]
- [52]. Ballmaier D, Epe B, Oxidative DNA damage induced by potassium bromate under cell-free conditions and in mammalian cells, Carcinogenesis 16 (1995) 335–342, 10.1093/carcin/16.2.335.
  [PubMed: 7859366]
- [53]. Kawanishi S, Murata M, Mechanism of DNA damage induced by bromate differs from general types of oxidative stress, Toxicology 221 (2006) 172–178, 10.1016/J.TOX.2006.01.002.
   [PubMed: 16457930]
- [54]. Singh KK, Inactivation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae OGG1 DNA repair gene leads to an increased frequency of mitochondrial mutants, Nucleic Acids Res. 29 (2001) 1381–1388, 10.1093/nar/29.6.1381. [PubMed: 11239005]
- [55]. Kuipers GK, Slotman BJ, Poldervaart HA, Reitsma-Wijker CA, Lafleur MVM, The influence of combined Fpg-and MutY-deficiency on the spontaneous and γ-radiation-induced mutation spectrum in the lacZα gene of M13mp10, Mutat. Res. - DNA Repair 461 (2000) 189–195, 10.1016/S0921-8777(00)00050-1. [PubMed: 11056290]
- [56]. de Padula M, Slezak G, van Der Kemp P. Auffret, Boiteux S, The post-replication repair RADI 8 and RAD6 genes are involved in the prevention of spontaneous mutations caused by 7,8dihydro-8-oxoguanine in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Nucleic Acids Res. 32 (2004) 5003–5010, 10.1093/nar/gkh831. [PubMed: 15388802]
- [57]. Blaisdell JO, Hatahet Z, Wallace SS, A novel role for Escherichia coli endonuclease VIII in prevention of spontaneous G-T transversions, J. Bacteriol 181 (1999) 6396–6402. [PubMed: 10515930]
- [58]. Levin JD, Demple B, Analysis of class II (hydrolytic) and class I (β-lyase) apurinic/apyrimidinic endonucleases with a synthetic DNA substrate, Nucleic Acids Res. 18 (1990) 5069–5075, 10.1093/nar/18.17.5069. [PubMed: 1698278]
- [59]. Doetsch PW, Cunningham RP, The enzymology of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonucleases, Mutat. Res. Repair Rep 236 (1990) 173–201, 10.1016/0921-8777(90)90004-O.

- [60]. Wilson DM, Takeshita M, Grollman AP, Demple B, Incision activity of human apurinic endonuclease (Ape) at abasic site analogs in DNA, J. Biol. Chem 270 (1995) 16002–16007, 10.1074/jbc.270.27.16002. [PubMed: 7608159]
- [61]. Seki S, Hatsushika M, Watanabe S, Akiyama K, Nagao K, Tsutsui K, cDNA cloning, sequencing, expression and possible domain structure of human APEX nuclease homologous to Escherichia coli exonuclease III, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1131 (1992) 287–299, 10.1016/0167-4781(92)90027-w. [PubMed: 1627644]
- [62]. Kiyonari S, Tahara S, Shirai T, Iwai S, Ishino S, Ishino Y, Biochemical properties and base excision repair complex formation of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease from Pyrococcus furiosus, Nucleic Acids Res. 37 (2009) 6439–6453, 10.1093/nar/gkp720. [PubMed: 19734344]
- [63]. Sartori AA, Jiricny J, Enzymology of base excision repair in the hyperthermophilic archaeon Pyrobaculum aerophilum, J. Biol. Chem 278 (2003) 24563–24576, 10.1074/jbc.M302397200.
   [PubMed: 12730226]
- [64]. Wang W-W, Zhou H, Xie J-J, Yi G-S, He J-H, Wang F-P, Xiao X, Liu X-P, Wang WW, Zhou H, Xie J-J, Yi G-S, He J-H, Wang F-P, Xiao X, Liu X-P, Thermococcus eurythermalis endonuclease IV can cleave various apurinic/apyrimidinic site analogues in ssDNA and dsDNA, Int. J. Mol. Sci 20 (2018) 69, 10.3390/ijms20010069.
- [65]. Schomacher L, Smolorz S, Ciirdaeva E, Ber S, Kramer W, Fritz HJ, Helix-hairpin-helix protein MJ1434 from Methanocaldococcus jannaschii and EndoIV homologue TTC0482 from Thermus thermophilus HB27 do not process DNA uracil residues, Nucleic Acids Res. 38 (2010) 5119– 5129, 10.1093/nar/gkq270. [PubMed: 20410075]
- [66]. Yan Z, Huang Q, Ni J, Shen Y, Distinct catalytic activity and in vivo roles of the ExoIII and EndoIV AP endonucleases from Sulfolobus islandicus, Extremophiles 20 (2016) 785–793, 10.1007/s00792-016-0867-1. [PubMed: 27457080]
- [67]. Back JH, Chung JH, Park YI, Kim KS, Han YS, Endonuclease IV enhances base excision repair of endonuclease III from Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum, DNA Repair (Amst.) 2 (2003) 455–470, 10.1016/S1568-7864(02)00243-4. [PubMed: 12713807]
- [68]. Daley JM, Zakaria C, Ramotar D, The endonuclease IV family of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonucleases, Mutat. Res 705 (2010) 217–227, 10.1016/j.mrrev.2010.07.003. [PubMed: 20667510]
- [69]. Vidal AE, Hickson ID, Boiteux S, Radicella JP, Mechanism of stimulation of the DNA glycosylase activity of hOGG1 by the major human AP endonuclease: bypass of the AP lyase activity step, Nucleic Acids Res. 29 (2001) 1285–1292, 10.1093/nar/29.6.1285. [PubMed: 11238994]
- [70]. Hill JW, Hazra TK, Izumi T, Mitra S, Stimulation of human 8-oxoguanine-DNA glycosylase by AP-endonuclease: potential coordination of the initial steps in base excision repair, Nucleic Acids Res. 29 (2001) 430–438, 10.1093/nar/29.2.430. [PubMed: 11139613]
- [71]. Kushida T, Narumi I, Ishino S, Ishino Y, Fujiwara S, Imanaka T, Higashibata H, Pol B, a Family B DNA Polymerase, in Thermococcus kodakarensis is Important for DNA Repair, but not DNA Replication, Microbes Environ. 34 (2019) 316–326. [PubMed: 31353332]
- [72]. Burkhart BW, Cubonova L, Heider MR, Kelman Z, Reeve JN, Santangelo TJ, The GAN exonuclease or the flap endonuclease Fen1 and RNase HII are necessary for viability of Thermococcus kodakarensis, J. Bacteriol 199 (2017), 10.1128/JB.00141-17.
- [73]. Cubonova L, Richardson T, Burkhart BW, Kelman Z, Connolly BA, Reeve JN, Santangelo TJ, Archaeal DNA polymerase D but not DNA polymerase B is required for genome replication in Thermococcus kodakarensis, J. Bacteriol 195 (2013) 2322–2328, 10.1128/JB.02037-12. [PubMed: 23504010]
- [74]. Fujikane R, Ishino S, Ishino Y, Forterre P, Genetic analysis of DNA repair in the hyperthermophilic archaeon, Thermococcus kodakaraensis, Genes Genet. Syst 85 (2010) 243– 257. [PubMed: 21178304]
- [75]. Dianov G, Lindahl T, Reconstitution of the DNA base excision-repair pathway, Curr. Biol 4 (1994) 1069–1076, 10.1016/s0960-9822(00)00245-1. [PubMed: 7535646]

- [76]. Hazra TK, Hill JW, Izumi T, Mitra S, Multiple DNA glycosylases for repair of 8-oxoguanine and their potential in vivo functions, Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol 68 (2001) 193–205.[PubMed: 11554297]
- [77]. Tajiri T, Maki H, Sekiguchi M, Functional cooperation of MutT, MutM and MutY proteins in preventing mutations caused by spontaneous oxidation of guanine nucleotide in Escherichia coli, Mutat. Res 336 (1995) 257–267, 10.1016/0921-8777(94)00062-b. [PubMed: 7739614]
- [78]. Sekiguchi M, Tsuzuki T, Oxidative nucleotide damage: consequences and prevention, Oncogene 21 (2002) 8895–8904, 10.1038/sj.onc.1206023. [PubMed: 12483507]

Gehring et al.



#### Fig. 1.

*T. kodakarensis* AGOG is a member of the AGOG subfamily of Ogg enzymes. A, Unrooted phylogenetic tree of Ogg family enzymes with the 3 distinct subfamilies highlighted: Ogg1 (blue), Ogg2 (green), and AGOG (yellow). The tree was generated using Geneious v11.0.2. B, Amino acid sequence alignment of members of the AGOG subfamily. The conserved Helix-hairpin-Helix (HhH) is marked in blue, the catalytic lysine residue in orange, and the active site aspartic acid in green. The species abbreviations and GenBank ascension numbers used are as follows: Archaea: Afu, *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* (Ogg2, AAB90876); Ape,

Aeropyrum pernix (AGOG, BAA79686); Mja, Methanocaldococcus jannaschii (Ogg2, AAB98720); Mma, Methanococcus maripaludis (AGOG, CAF29860); Neq, Nanoarchaeum equitans (AGOG, AAR39356); Mka, Methanopyrus kandleri (AGOG, AAM01756); Pae, Pyrobaculum aerophilum (AGOG, AAL64050); Pfu, Pyrococcus furiosus (AGOG, AAL81028); Sso, Saccharolobus solfataricus (Ogg2, AAK41186); Tga. Thermococcus gammatolerans (AGOG, ACS34155); Tko, Thermococcus kodakarensis (AGOG, BAD85129); Tvo, Thermoplasm volcanium (Ogg2, WP\_010916318); Bacteria: Cac, Clostridium acetobutylicym (Ogg1, NP\_349313); Tma, Thermotoga maritima (Ogg2, AGL50755); Eukarya: Hsa, Homo sapiens (Ogg1, NP\_002533); Sce, Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Ogg1, NP\_013651).

Gehring et al.



# Fig. 2.

TkoAGOG is a bifunctional 80xoG DNA glycosylase. *A*, Proposed enzymatic mechanism of a bifunctional 80xoG DNA glycosylase, where 80xoG nucleobase loss is followed by a Schiff base intermediate that undergoes  $\beta$ -elimination and for some DNA glycosylases such as Fpg further  $\delta$ -elimination. The confirmed TkoAGOG mechanism is boxed in grey *B*, A 60-nt, 5'-FAM (blue), 3'-ROX (red) labeled dsDNA substrate with a centralized 80xoG:C (or dU:G) was incubated for 30 min with either TkoAGOG at 65 °C, Fpg at 37 °C, or UDG/ EndoIII at 37 °C and quenched with Formamide + EDTA, allowing for visualization of the base excision glycosylase and AP lyase activities. *C*, The conversion of 60-nt substrate to the 5'-FAM and 3'-ROX products after incubation with TkoAGOG, Fpg, or UDG/EndoIII.

Gehring et al.



# Fig. 3.

Steady-state and pre-steady-state kinetics of TkoAGOG. *A*, A 60-nt, 5'-FAM (blue), 3'-ROX (red) labeled dsDNA substrate with a centralized 80xoG was incubated with TkoAGOG at 65 °C and quenched with NaOH + SDS allowing for visualization. *B*, The conversion of the 60-nt substrate to the 36-nt 3'-ROX product by TkoAGOG over time. *C*, A representative graph of a TkoAGOG steady-state multiple turnover experiment ([DNA] > > [TkoAGOG]). The amount of product formed was calculated using the 3'-ROX labeled fragment, and data was fit to a linear line. *D*, A representative graph of a TkoAGOG presteady-state single turnover experiment ([TkoAGOG] > [DNA]). The product formed was calculated using 3'-ROX labeled fragment, and data was fit to Eq. (1).

Gehring et al.



# Fig. 4.

TkoAGOG is the major 80xoG BER enzyme. *A*, Deletion of TkoAGOG (open circles, dashed line) does not affect cellular growth compared to the parent strain TS559 (closed circles, solid line). *B*, Deletion of TkoAGOG does not result in any phenotypic difference following exposure to potassium bromate. *C*, Cleavage of dsDNA containing 80xoG by *T. kodakarensis* cellular extracts. As a positive control, recombinant TkoAGOG was added to the AGOG extract.

Gehring et al.





#### Fig. 5.

TkoEndoIV cleaves DNA at an AP site. *A*, A 60-nt, 5'-FAM (blue), 3'-ROX (red) labeled dsDNA substrate with a centralized AP site was incubated with TkoEndoIV at 65 °C and quenched with EDTA. *B*, Capillary electrophoresis traces showing the conversion of the 60-nt substrate to the 3'-ROX product after 10 min incubation with TkoEndoIV.

Gehring et al.



#### Fig. 6.

TkoAGOG and TkoEndoIV are both required to generate a 3'-OH from the site of an 80xoG lesion. *A*, A 60-nt, 5'-FAM (blue), 3'-ROX (red) labeled dsDNA substrate with a centralized 80xoG was incubated with either TkoAGOG, TkoEndoIV, or both TkoAGOG and TkoEndoIV at 65 °C and quenched with EDTA. *B*, Capillary electrophoresis traces highlighting the conversion of the 60-nt substrate to the 5'-FAM-labeled products, 3'-UA and 3'-OH after 30 min incubation with TkoAGOG and TkoEndoIV.

Gehring et al.



#### Fig. 7.

Archaeal 80x0G BER reconstituted *in vitro*. *A*, Schematic of the reaction products observed. *B*, Quantification of the amount of repaired product after 15 min. *C*, DNA substrates were pre-incubated with TkoAGOG and TkoEndoIV leaving a 3'-OH or a 3'-UA with a FAM (blue) label. DNA replication proteins were then added as shown on the left and incubated at 65 °C for 15 min. The repair was monitored by the appearance of the 60-nt dual-labeled FAM/ROX product (repaired) and the 60-nt FAM only labeled product (strand displaced).



# Fig. 8.

Models of 80x0G long-patch BER in each Domain of life. 80x0 BER is initiated by a DNA glycosylase (Ogg1, AGOG or Fpg). In Eukarya and Archaea, downstream repair is carried out by an AP endonuclease (APE1 or EndoIV), DNA polymerase, Fen1 and DNA ligase. In Bacteria, downstream repair requires ExoIII or EndoIV, Pol I, and DNA ligase.

#### Table 1

# TkoAGOG pre-steady-state rates.

Substrate	$\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{pss}}\left(\mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)^{a}$
ds8oxoG:C	$1.21\pm0.18$
ds8oxoG:T	$1.09\pm0.11$
ds8oxoG:A	$0.55\pm0.19$
ds8oxoG:G	$0.96 \pm 0.08$
ss8oxoG	$0.41\pm0.04$

<sup>*a*</sup>All reactions were minimally preformed in triplicate and  $\pm$  denotes standard deviation.

Mutational spectrum for the AGOG and TS559 strains of *T. kodakarensis*.

Strain	Total	Substitutions	$A{\rightarrow}G,T{\rightarrow}C$	$G{\rightarrow}A, C{\rightarrow}T$	$A{\rightarrow}T, T{\rightarrow}A$	$A{\rightarrow}C,T{\rightarrow}G$	G→C,C→G	G→T,C→A
AGOG	2,367,080	39	44 %	28 %	% 0	5 %	15 %	8 %
TS559	5,072,211	35	14 %	31 %	% 0	6 %	26 %	20 %