



Article Gene Network Analysis for Osteoporosis, Sarcopenia, Diabetes, and Obesity in Human Mesenchymal Stromal Cells

Yilan Jin ^{1,2}, Dowan Kim ^{3,4}, Yong Jun Choi ^{1,2}, Insun Song ^{1,2} and Yoon-Sok Chung ^{1,2,*}

- Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon 16499, Korea; jinyilan520@hanmail.net (Y.J.); colsmile@hanmail.net (Y.J.C.); song1009@gmail.com (I.S.)
 Ainy Institute on Asian Asian Asian Medical Contra Contra 16400 Korea
 - Ajou Institute on Aging, Ajou University Medical Center, Suwon 16499, Korea
 - Ajou Translational OMICS Center, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon 16499, Korea; kdowan@ajou.ac.kr
- ⁴ Department of Medical Sciences, Ajou University Graduate School of Medicine, Suwon 16499, Korea
- Correspondence: yschung@ajou.ac.kr

3

Abstract: The systemic gene interactions that occur during osteoporosis and their underlying mechanisms remain to be determined. To this end, mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) were analyzed from bone marrow samples collected from healthy individuals (n = 5) and patients with osteoporosis (n = 5). A total of 120 osteoporosis-related genes were identified using RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software. In order to analyze these genes, we constructed a heatmap of one-way hierarchical clustering and grouped the gene expression patterns of the samples. The MSCs from one control participant showed a similar expression pattern to that observed in the MSCs of three patients with osteoporosis, suggesting that the differentiating genes might be important genetic determinants of osteoporosis. Then, we selected the top 38 genes based on fold change and expression, excluding osteoporosis-related genes from the control participant. We identified a network among the top 38 genes related to osteoblast and osteoclast differentiation, bone remodeling, osteoporosis, and sarcopenia using the Molecule Activity Predictor program. Among them, 25 genes were essential systemic genes involved in osteoporosis. Furthermore, we identified 24 genes also associated with diabetes and obesity, among which 10 genes were involved in a network related to bone and energy metabolism. The study findings may have implications for the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis.

Keywords: osteoporosis; gene network analysis; mesenchymal stromal cells

1. Introduction

Osteoporosis is a common, but severe, systemic skeletal disease caused by low bone mass, which results in bone fragility and results in increased risk of hip, spine, wrist, and other bone fractures [1,2]. Risk factors such as aging, low body weight, smoking, alcoholism, and a history of fracture contribute to osteoporosis development [3]. Osteoporosis is characterized by reduced bone mineral density (BMD), deteriorated bone tissue microarchitecture, and a low amount and lack of variety in altered bone proteins [4]. However, systemic gene interactions and molecular mechanisms underlying osteoporosis remain unelucidated.

Obesity is a global health concern. In 2016, over 650 million adults worldwide were classified as obese, with high-energy food intake and physical inactivity considered as the main causes of obesity and overweight [5]. Numerous studies have reported that certain genes affect the progression of obesity, including peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (*PPARG*), CCAAT enhancer binding protein α (*C*/*EBP* α), and interleukin 6 (*IL6*) [6–8]. Moreover, adiponectin, a protein secreted by adipocytes that is involved in regulating glucose levels and lipid metabolism, can be regulated by osteoblasts [9]. Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) can be isolated from various tissues and differentiated into multiple mesenchymal families, such as osteogenic, chondrogenic, adipogenic, and



Citation: Jin, Y.; Kim, D.; Choi, Y.J.; Song, I.; Chung, Y.-S. Gene Network Analysis for Osteoporosis, Sarcopenia, Diabetes, and Obesity in Human Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. *Genes* 2022, *13*, 459. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/genes13030459

Academic Editor: Allison D. Ebert

Received: 30 December 2021 Accepted: 24 February 2022 Published: 3 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). neurogenic cells [10,11]. In addition, the transcriptional profile of osteoporotic stem cells contains numerous genes that predispose individuals to osteoporosis [12]. Osteogenesis and adipogenesis are controlled by the Wnt signaling pathway [13], and nuclear receptor subfamily 4A (*NR4A*) is commonly associated with osteoporosis and obesity [14].

Osteoporosis is a common complication of diabetes mellitus, and patients with diabetes usually have skeletal disorders [15–17]. Diabetic osteopathy, an important comorbidity of both type-1 and type-2 diabetes mellitus, increases the risk of bone fracture [18]. Mutation in the gene encoding lipoprotein receptor-related protein 6 (*LRP6*), a co-receptor in the Wnt signaling pathway, is associated with osteoporosis, diabetes mellitus, and coronary artery disease [19].

Although previous studies have investigated the individual mechanisms responsible for the development of osteoporosis, obesity, and diabetes, the common molecular mechanisms underlying the development of these conditions have not yet been determined. In addition, systematic genetic analyses of endocrine diseases need to be performed. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to identify the genetic network related to osteoporosis using next generation sequencing to analyze MSCs isolated from skeletal bone marrow samples collected from healthy individuals with normal bone mineral density (BMD) and patients with osteoporosis. Subsequently, we aimed to discover the network comprised of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) related to osteoporosis, diabetes, and obesity using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis, thus elucidating the relationship of these genes with osteogenesis and adipogenesis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Subjects

Ten subjects aged 40 to 85 years, regardless of gender and with and without osteoporosis, were enrolled in the present study. MSCs were obtained from samples of femur bone marrow collected from participants during total hip or knee arthroplasty due to osteoarthritis, hip fracture, or traffic-related accident. Subjects who presented with other metabolic disorders and secondary causes of osteoporosis were excluded. BMD was measured using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (iDXA, GE Lunar, Madison, WI, USA). Subjects with osteoporosis had vertebral fractures or hip fractures and low BMD (T-scores of the lumbar spine, femoral neck, and total hip were ≤ -2.5). The five subjects in the control group had no prior fractures and normal BMD (T-scores of the lumbar spine, femoral neck, total hip, and whole BMD were ≥ -1.0). The obesity criterion was BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ based on the guidelines of the Korean (Asian) Society for the Study of Obesity [20]. Subjects with osteoporosis and type-2 diabetes mellitus were also enrolled in the study if the onset of diabetes was >30 years of age and supported by medical history/records.

2.2. Human MSC Isolation and Culture

Human MSCs were isolated by negative immunoselection (RosetteSep Isolation Kit, STEMCELL Technologies Inc., Vancouver, BC, Canada) according to manufacturer's instructions. Bone marrow samples were incubated at room temperature (20–22 °C) for 25 min with a depletion cocktail of tetrameric antibodies. The samples were then diluted in $1 \times$ phosphate-buffered saline and isolated by density-gradient centrifugation (CR3-22, Jouan, Saint Herblain, France). Isolated human MSCs were seeded into vented 25 cm² tissue culture flasks (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) containing Complete MesenCult[®] Medium (human) and MesenCult[®] MSC Basal Medium (human) mixed with Mesenchymal Stem Cell Stimulatory Supplements (human) (STEMCELL Technologies Inc.) and streptomycin (Welgene, Gyeongsan, Korea). The flasks were incubated in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37 °C, and half of the medium was replaced with fresh medium every 3 days. When cells reached 90% confluence, the adherent cells were subcultured in a 100 mm² culture dish (first passage) and re-seeded into three 100 mm² culture dishes (second passage). Once cells reached confluence at the end of the second or third passage, they were used immediately or cryo-preserved [21].

2.3. RNA Isolation

Total RNA content was extracted from second or third passaged human MSCs using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions and quantified using a Nanadrop1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). The resulting complete RNA was used for RNA-sequencing.

2.4. RNA Library Preparation and Sequencing

To construct cDNA libraries, 1 µg of total RNA was used with the TruSeq strandspecific mRNA library kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) to achieve polyA-selected RNA extraction, RNA fragmentation, random hexamer primed reverse transcription, and 100 nt paired-end sequencing using the HiSeq 4000 sequencing system (Illumina Inc.). The cDNA libraries were quantified by using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) according to the qPCR Quantification Protocol Guide, and library quality was assessed using the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

To estimate expression levels, RNA-seq reads were mapped to the human genome using TopHat version 1.3.3 software (accessed on 2 August 2018) [22]. The human reference genome sequence (hg19) and annotation data were downloaded from the University of California Santa Cruz Genome Browser website (http://genome.uscs.edu, accessed on 2 August 2018). The transcript counts were calculated at the gene level, the relative transcript abundance was reported in terms of fragments per kilobase of exon per million (FPKM), and fragments were mapped using Cufflinks version 1.2.1 software [23].

2.5. Analysis of Gene Expression

Relative gene abundance was calculated in Read Count using StringTie. Statistical analysis was performed to identify DEGs using the estimates of abundance for each gene in the sample. Sample genes that had Read Count values of one more than zero were excluded. The statistical significance of the DEG set was assessed using the edgeR package in Bioconductor and fold change with a null hypothesis showed that no difference existed between groups.

2.5.1. Hierarchical Clustering

Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed using complete linkage and Euclidean distance as a measure of similarity to show the expression patterns of DEGs that satisfied the conditions of | fold change $| \ge 2$ and raw p < 0.05.

2.5.2. Network Analysis

To construct pathways between genes of interest and biofunctions, we used the Path Explorer tool in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software (QIAGEN Inc., Hilden, Germany) (https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis, accessed on 2 June 2021). Pathways were connected by adding intermediate molecules from the QIAGEN Knowledge Base. The Molecule Activity Predictor tool was used to identify downstream effects. Red and pink nodes indicated increased expression of DEGs, while green nodes indicated reduced expression. Orange nodes indicated predicted gene activation and inhibition, whereas blue nodes indicated biofunctions and diseases. The degree of expression or prediction was indicated by node color intensity. Solid lines indicated direct interaction, whereas dashed lines suggested possible gene interaction.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

We performed statistical analyses with R software, version 4.0.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Participant data of clinical characteristics were converted to standardized score (Z-score) and then calculated by the univariate linear regression model with age. To translate the data converted to Z-score to the original scale, an age-adjusted value was multiplied by the sample standard deviation of raw data, and the average value of raw data was added.

3. Results

3.1. Clinical Characteristics of Study Participants

We compared MSCs extracted from the bone marrow of five patients with osteoporosis (osteoporosis group) with those extracted from the bone marrow of five healthy individuals with normal BMD (control group) (Table 1 (a). The mean weights and BMI values of the osteoporosis group were also lower than those of the control group. Additionally, most participants in the osteoporosis group were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes. There was a significant difference between control and osteoporosis patients with lumbar spine, femur neck, total hip, and whole-body BMD values in age-adjusted analysis data (Table 1 (b)). Other variables including sex, diabetes, obesity, and menopause did not show any significance.

Table 1. (a) Clinical characteristics of study participants. (b) Age-adjusted analysis of bone mineral densities.

						(a)						
						Bone Mine	ral Density					
Subjects	no.	Age (yrs)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	Lumbar Spine (g/cm²)	Femur Neck (g/cm²)	Total Hip (g/cm²)	Whole BMD (g/cm²)	ASMI (kg/m ²)	LBM (kg)	BMI (kg/m²)	Diabetes
Control $(n = 5)$	1	70.0	154.5	75.2	1.023	0.865	0.920	1.068	6.728	37.088	32	No
Mean	2 3 4 5	42.0 64.0 55.0 71.0 60.4	164.0 150.1 172.0 150.0 158.12	88.1 55.2 70.6 70.3 71.9	$\begin{array}{c} 1.279 \\ 1.016 \\ 1.269 \\ 1.016 \\ 1.121 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.023 \\ 1.022 \\ 1.102 \\ 0.905 \\ 0.983 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.102 \\ 0.989 \\ 1.157 \\ 1.134 \\ 1.060 \end{array}$	1.277 0.970 1.380 1.201 1.179	7.745 6.133 6.946 6.938 6.898	47.568 31.741 47.762 39.237 40.679	33 25 24 31 28.77	No No No
Osteoporosis $(n = 5)$	6	85.0	160.0	49.1	0.713	0.519	0.625	0.760	4.339	30.890	19	Yes
Mean	7 8 9 10	71.0 79.0 80.0 72.0 77.4	$151.0 \\ 152.0 \\ 151.0 \\ 141.0 \\ 151.0$	46.6 59.0 54.0 54.8 52.7	0.775 0.922 0.810 0.794 0.803	$\begin{array}{c} 0.461 \\ 0.558 \\ 0.636 \\ 0.696 \\ 0.574 \end{array}$	0.520 0.579 0.623 0.728 0.615	0.743 0.878 0.836 0.804	5.472 4.613 5.384 4.952	33.145 28.831 28.872 30.435	20 26 24 28 23.28	Yes Yes Yes No
						(b)						
							Bon	e Mineral De	nsity			
Sub	jects		no.	Lumba (g/o	ar Spine cm ²)	Femu (g/c	r Neck m²)	Tota (g/c	l Hip 2m ²)		Whole BME (g/cm ²))
Contro	bl (n = 5)		1 2 3 4	1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1)24 139)82)10	0.8 0.9 1.0 0.8	366 909 976 392	0.9 0.9 1.0 0.9	921 978 048 928		1.073 1.131 1.045 1.107	
M Osteopor	ean osis ($n = 5$	5)	5 6 7 8	1.1 1.1 0.1 0.1 0.1)84)68 532 328 960	0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9	960 940 153 504 589	1. 1.(0.) 0.)	194 014 554 567 513		1.278 1.127 0.678 0.804	
М	ean		9 10	0.4 0.5 0.5	363 995 356	0.e 0.e 0.e	579 360 517	0.0 0.9 0.0	570 906 562		$1.098 \\ 0.841 \\ 0.855$	
<i>p</i> -v	alue			0.0	141	0.0	039	0.0	026		0.0194	

ASMI: appendicular skeletal muscle mass index; LBM: lean body mass; BMI: body mass index.

3.2. Comparison of Gene Expression Patterns between Control and Osteoporosis Groups

To determine the essential systemic genes related to osteoporosis, DEG analysis was performed on MSCs isolated from each participant. A total of 120 DEGs were identified, and a heatmap of one-way hierarchical clustering was constructed (Figure 1A). Subsequently, 120 DEGs were regrouped by similarity of expression pattern via hierarchical clustering analysis of significant genes. According to the heatmap, three participants in the osteoporosis group (no. 6, 8, and 9) demonstrated similar gene expression patterns, which were similar to that displayed by participant no. 3 in the control group (Figure 1B). This result suggested that the differentiating genes between control subject no. 3 and those commonly upregulated and downregulated (i.e., similar expression patterns) among the other four participants in the control group could be used as genetic factors to classify participant no. 3 as the control subject in further analysis.



Figure 1. Heatmap of DEG of mesenchymal stromal cells from control and osteoporosis groups. (**A**) Heatmap of one-way hierarchical clustering of all samples showing 120 differentially expressed genes complying with $|FC| \ge 2$, independent t-test raw *p*-value < 0.05, and Z-score for normalized value (log2 based). (**B**) Heatmap grouped by similarity of gene expression pattern via hierarchical clustering analysis of significant genes (distance measure = Euclidean distance; linkage method = completeness).

3.3. RNA Analysis of Bone Remodeling Epigenome

To compare the gene expression pattern observed for control subject no. 3 with that of the other participants in the control (excluding the chemical molecules registered in IPA), nine genes related to bone remodeling were selected among the top 120 genes (marked in red in Figure 1A). To connect these nine genes with the bone remodeling epigenome, intermediate molecules expressed in the MSCs of all participants in the control group were added to produce 38 genes. The expression levels of these 38 genes for the participants in the control group are listed in Tables 2 and S1. The expression of some genes was upregulated for control subject no. 3 compared to that of the other control group participants, while that of other genes was downregulated (Table S2). Specifically, the expressions of BCL2 apoptosis regulator (BCL2), bradykinin receptor B2 (BDKRB2), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), IL6, PPARG coactivator 1 α (PPARGC1A), transferrin (TF), and 19 other genes were upregulated for control subject no. 3 compared to that of other control group participants, while the expressions of insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2), interleukin 1 receptor type 1 (IL1R1), and PPARG were downregulated. In contrast, the expression of myocyte enhancer factor 2C (MEF2C) was upregulated for control subject no. 3 but downregulated for the other participants in the control group (Table 3). Direct and indirect relationship networks of the 38 genes related to osteoblasts, osteoclasts, bone remodeling, osteoporosis, and sarcopenia were subsequently generated (Figures 2 and S1). Based on these data, we identified 25 commonly upregulated genes that might be essential systemic genes involved in normal bone remodeling and osteoporosis prevention.

Table 2. Expression log2 fold change values of 38 genes related to osteoblasts, osteoclasts, bone remodeling, osteoporosis, and sarcopenia in mesenchymal stromal cells from participants in the control group based on RNA-sequencing data.

Gene Symbol	no. 1	no. 2	no. 3	no. 4	no. 5
ACKR3	6.855	1.439	1.303	3.895	15.063
AGT	-2.107	1.005	4.004	-1.015	3.397
BCL2	-1.472	1.542	2.091	1.187	-1.068
BDKRB2	27.36	3.53	1.683	12.459	17.274
BMP2	8.682	-1.198	1.278	2.626	6.76
C3AR1	1.315	3.404	1.158	2.263	1.045
C5AR1	-2.64	1.496	1.305	-1.334	-2.939
CCL5	5.637	2.635	1.199	1.268	4.472
CFB	9.544	1.4	1.361	2.173	3.967
CSF1	4.442	2.414	1.685	2.024	3.503
CXCL2	9.746	1.278	2.029	2.115	3.785
CXCL3	81.438	1.572	2.468	10.269	20.713
DKK1	2.336	-4.204	-2.932	-1.544	1.073
EFNB2	1.194	1.937	1.172	2.068	-1.052
HGF	1.221	2.207	1.339	1.817	1.562
HSD11B1	30.422	4.501	1.147	18.48	29.309
ID1	2.283	1.614	1.799	1.486	5.361
IGF1	9.016	1.147	1.068	1.793	14.374
IGF2	1.476	3.942	-1.069	5.632	4.821
IL1R1	3.726	2.233	-1.03	2.565	3.842
IL6	5.484	1.272	1.155	1.505	1.657
MDK	1.975	1.966	1.07	2.106	3.017
MEF2C	-2.282	-1.75	1.051	-2.7	-2.127
NTN1	8.152	2.264	1.058	7.593	9.835
OASL	7.487	3.377	1.48	2.564	1.796
PCSK1	58.887	6.653	2.041	21.927	77.419

Gene Symbol	no. 1	no. 2	no. 3	no. 4	no. 5	
PPARG	1.233	2.796	-1.014	2.469	2.021	
PPARGC1A	19.933	3.017	3.13	12.553	32.307	
PPL	10.936	9.128	2.676	19.03	19.77	
PTGER4	-1.016	2.245	2.538	3.785	5.318	
PTPN22	8.385	3.023	1.19	4.239	7.19	
RAPGEF3	2.288	2.067	1.051	2.22	4.005	
SFRP1	6.398	6.344	2.657	6.847	6.662	
SOD2	12.349	1.219	1.229	2.142	3.923	
STAT1	1.141	-1.865	-1.121	-2.134	-1.723	
STC1	12.298	1.987	1.49	2.41	5.001	
TF	9.482	8.842	2.214	4.156	5.078	
TNFRSF11B	2.63	-2.201	-4.728	-1.36	-1.556	

Table 2. Cont.

Entrez gene names and *p*-values are listed in Table S1.

Table 3. Commonly upregulated or downregulated genes in mesenchymal stromal cells from participants in the control group.

Commonly Upregulated for Control Subject no. 3 Compared to Other Participants in Control Group (25)	Commonly Downregulated for Control Subject no. 3 Compared to Other Participants in Control Group (0)	Downregulated for Control Subject no. 3 and Upregulated for Other Participants in Control Group (3)	Upregulated for Control Subject no. 3 and Downregulated for Other Participants in Control Group (1)
ACKR3	-	IGF2	MEF2C
BDKRB2	-	IL1R1	-
C3AR1	-	PPARG	-
CCL5	-	-	-
CFB	-	-	-
CSF1	-	-	-
CXCL2	-	-	-
CXCL3	-	-	-
HGF	-	-	-
HSD11B1	-	-	-
ID1	-	-	-
IGF1	-	-	-
IL6	-	-	-
MDK	-	-	-
NTN1	-	-	-
OASL	-	-	-
PCSK1	-	-	-
PPARGC1A	-	-	-
PPL	-	-	-
PTPN22	-	-	-
RAPGEF3	-	-	-
SFRP1	-	-	-
SOD2	-	-	-
STC1	-	-	-
TF	-	-	-



Figure 2. Functional relationship network of genes involved in osteoporosis pathogenesis. Functional relationship network for control subject no. 3 compared with three participants in the osteoporosis group (no. 6, 8, and 9).

3.4. Genetic Relationship among Osteoporosis, Obesity and Diabetes

To clarify the related pathways among osteoporosis, obesity, and diabetes, the DEGs in obesity-related and diabetes-related networks were identified among the MSCs of all participants in the control group genes using IPA software. A total of 24 osteoporosis-related genes, including *IGF1*, *IL6*, pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 4 (*PDK4*), *PPARGC1A*, and *TF*, were also associated with obesity and diabetes. All 24 genes were highly expressed with direct or indirect relationships established via intermediate molecules such as calcitriol, colony stimulating factor 2 (*CSF-2*), interferon- γ (*IFN-\gamma*), *IL1*, *IL4*, transforming growth factor- α (*TGF-\alpha*), transforming growth factor- β (*TGF-\beta*), and tumor necrosis factor (*TNF*) (Tables 4 and S3). The relationship networks are illustrated in Figures 3 and S2, and they demonstrate that 24 candidate genes reduced diabetes and increased obesity. These results suggested that the 24 candidate genes might be involved in the development of osteoporosis as well as obesity and diabetes.

Gene Symbol	no. 1	no. 2	no. 3	no. 4	no. 5
C1QTNF1	16.527	3.107	1.901	3.946	7.916
CFB	9.544	1.4	1.361	2.173	3.967
CHI3L1	15.654	2.156	1.85	2.939	16.257
СР	14.028	15.237	2.171	10.585	40.727
CSF1	4.442	2.414	1.685	2.024	3.503
CXCL2	9.746	1.278	2.029	2.115	3.785
GNA14	8.773	4.354	1.338	7.26	47.7
HSD11B1	30.422	4.501	1.147	18.48	29.309
IGF1	9.016	1.147	1.068	1.793	14.374
IL6	5.484	1.272	1.155	1.505	1.657

Table 4. Expression log2 fold change values of 24 genes related to osteoporosis, obesity, and diabetes in mesenchymal stromal cells from participants in the control group based on RNA-sequencing data.

Gene Symbol	no. 1	no. 2	no. 3	no. 4	no. 5
KCNJ2	13.25	8.057	1.156	6.693	4.853
KYNU	21.468	5.505	3.764	17.825	35.813
LBP	47.991	98.045	3.718	66.303	84.066
MME	15.395	3.207	1.072	6.601	3.175
NR4A2	16.509	4.202	1.354	6.798	7.023
NTN1	8.152	2.264	1.058	7.593	9.835
OAS1	8.047	3.939	2.701	3.431	7.107
PCSK1	58.887	6.653	2.041	21.927	77.419
PDK4	10.246	1.569	2.154	1.917	10.588
PLIN2	6.437	1.52	1.065	1.003	1.366
PPARGC1A	19.933	3.017	3.13	12.553	32.307
PTGDS	9.258	5.15	4.065	13.654	3.19
SOD2	12.349	1.219	1.229	2.142	3.923
TF	9.482	8.842	2.214	4.156	5.078

Table 4. Cont.

Entrez gene names and *p*-values are listed in Table S3.



Figure 3. Functional relationship networks of osteoporosis-related genes involved in the canonical pathways of obesity and diabetes development. Functional relationship networks for control subject no. 3 compared with three participants in the osteoporosis group (no. 6, 8, and 9).

3.5. Common Related Genes in Osteoporosis, Sarcopenia, Diabetes, and Obesity

The IPA results revealed 10 common candidate genes (*CFB*, *CXCL2*, *HSD11B1*, *IGF1*, *IL6*, *NTN1*, *PCSK1*, *PPARGC1A*, *SOD2*, and *TF*) that were inhibited in osteoporosis, sarcopenia, and diabetes but activated in obesity for all participants in the control group (Figure 4). Furthermore, IPA data suggested that activation of *HSD11B1* and *PPARGA1A* resulted in obesity, but the other eight candidate genes were not significantly associated with obesity.



Figure 4. Functional relationship networks shared among osteoporosis-, sarcopenia-, obesity-, and diabetes-related genes. Control group participants no. (**A**) 1, (**B**) 2, (**C**) 3, (**D**) 4, and (**E**) 5.

4. Discussion

MSCs are multipotent cells with the potential to differentiate into multiple lineages, including osteoblasts, adipocytes, and chondroblasts [24,25]. Therefore, MSCs were employed in the present study to investigate the relationship between bone and fat cells. RNA-seq was performed to identify DEGs in MSCs collected from healthy individuals and subjects with osteoporosis [26–29]. Previous studies have pooled numerous samples together to obtain DEG data during investigations of the overall trends of disease [14,30,31]. In the traditional pooling system, individual specific genes may not be selected or lost by other control samples. In the current study, each of the five samples from the control and osteoporosis groups was analyzed separately to determine the minimum individual character gene groups involved in osteoporosis.

Using IPA software, we identified 25 important systemic genes related to bone remodeling and 24 candidate osteoporosis-related genes associated with obesity and diabetes. Moreover, 10 common genes that influence metabolic disorders such as osteoporosis, sarcopenia, diabetes, and obesity were identified. Most of the candidate genes were related to bone remodeling and/or sarcopenia, diabetes, and obesity, as supported by the results of previous studies. Complement factor B (CFB) plays a significant role in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy [32], and there might be a relationship between CFB and diabetes onset. Furthermore, CFB plays an important role in the differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes in CFB transgenic mice [33], and CFB and IL6 are associated with the rapid metabolism of glucagon in metabolically compromised subjects [34]. Additionally, *IL6* individually controls osteoblast differentiation and induces bone resorption [35]. Since hypertrophied white adipose tissue produces chemokines, CXCL2 expression is increased in osteoclastogenesis [36] compared with osteoblastogenesis [37], and white adipose tissue is activated by CXCL2 to prevent the onset of obesity [38]. HSD11B1 might be related to BMD [39] and is associated with the onset of type 2 diabetes [40,41]. *IGF-1* is known to enhance muscle mass and alleviate sarcopenia [42], and low serum IGF-1 levels could increase the risk of idiopathic osteoporosis [43,44]. Low IGF-1 levels not only affect BMD but also results in the onset of type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus [45,46]. NTN1 is an essential factor involved in the differentiation of osteoclasts [47] and is also involved in osteoblast differentiation [48]. Low PCSK1 expression might be related to obesity and diabetes [49], and PPARGC1A expression can increase obesity risk [50]. SOD2 increases osteoblast differentiation and bone formation [51,52] and protects neurons in diabetic neuropathy [53]. The expression of SOD2 is higher in patients with obesity than in healthy individuals [54]. TF can repress alkaline phosphatase activities in osteoblasts due to high oxidative stress [55], and increased TF expression increases the risk of type 1 and/or type 2 diabetes [56]. Taken together, these findings support that the 10 candidate genes identified in the current study are associated with metabolic disorders.

Osteoporosis commonly occurs with other endocrine system-related diseases, such as obesity and diabetes. Bone and fat cells are known to have a common origin [57], and osteoporosis and diabetes can both be induced by vitamin D deficiency [58]. Moreover, insulin signaling pathway-associated proteins can induce both osteoporosis and diabetes [59]. However, studies that include osteoporosis, sarcopenia, obesity, and diabetes are extremely rare.

In the current study, we analyzed individual differences in MSC gene expression among participants in the control and osteoporosis groups to overcome the disadvantage of previous studies that investigated overall expression trends. Another merit of the present study is that using MSCs to identify osteoporosis-related DEGs enabled us to evaluate the genetic relationship among osteoporosis, sarcopenia, obesity, and diabetes using cells from which osteoblasts and adipocytes commonly originate. Nevertheless, this study has some limitations. First, the mean age difference between the control and osteoporosis groups is statistically significant. The subjects in the control group underwent surgery due to unexpected accidents; therefore, no medical history was available and the statistical difference was unavoidable. Second, we analyzed transcriptional differences associated with osteoporosis-related genes (mRNA). The process of mRNA translation is not always successful; thus, a mechanistic study of these genes is needed. The molecular mechanisms of the identified genes will be explored in future studies.

In summary, we identified the top 25 essential systemic genes involved in osteoporosis using IPA software and the Molecule Activity Predictor tool. Furthermore, we constructed a network of the top 24 genes also associated with diabetes and obesity to verify their association with osteoporosis. The study findings provide insight into the relationship among osteoporosis, obesity, and diabetes, suggesting potential targets for osteoporosis treatment and prevention.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/genes13030459/s1, Figure S1: Functional relationship networks of genes associated with the canonical pathways related to osteoporosis development in control group participants; Figure S2: Functional relationship networks of genes associated with the canonical pathways related to obesity and diabetes onset in control group participants; Table S1: RNA-sequencing data of 38 genes related to osteoblasts, osteoclasts, bone remodeling, osteoporosis, and sarcopenia in mesenchymal stromal cells among participants in the control group; Table S2: Genes commonly upregulated or downregulated for control subject no. 3 according to RNA-sequencing data, compared to control participants no. (A) 1, (B) 2, (C) 4, and (D) 5; Table S3: Expression of 24 genes related to obesity and diabetes in mesenchymal stromal cells among participants in the control group based on RNA-sequencing data.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: Y.J., Y.J.C., I.S. and Y.-S.C.; methodology, Y.J., D.K. and Y.J.C.; software, D.K.; validation, Y.J., Y.J.C. and Y.-S.C.; formal analysis, Y.J. and Y.J.C.; investigation, Y.J.; data curation, Y.J.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.J. and D.K.; writing—review and editing, Y.J. and Y.-S.C.; visualization, Y.J.; supervision, Y.-S.C.; project administration, Y.-S.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by grants from the National Research Foundation, Korea (NRF-2017R1D1A1B04030746; NRF-2019R1F1A1063188).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Ajou University Hospital (protocol code AJIRB-BMR-KSP-17-274, 14 September 2017).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are included in the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: We would like to show our appreciation for Ye-Yeon Won and Jung Taek Kim (Department of Orthopedic Surgery of Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon City, Gyeonggi-do, Korea) for providing human bone marrow samples, and Jae Youn Cheong (Ajou Translational OMICS Center, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon City, Gyeonggi-do, Korea) for obtaining IPA data. ORCID ID of authors: Yilan Jin 0000-0003-2488-5061; Dowan Kim 0000-0003-4243-8476; Yong Jun Choi 0000-0003-3960-8470; Insun Song 0000-0001-6418-6359; Yoon-Sok Chung 0000-0003-0179-4386.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Sambrook, P.; Cooper, C. Osteoporosis. Lancet 2006, 367, 2010–2018. [CrossRef]
- 2. Ensrud, K.E.; Crandall, C.J. Osteoporosis. Ann. Intern. Med. 2017, 167, ITC17–ITC32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Ibrahim, A.; Hassan, Z. Osteoporosis: Current Concepts. Joints 2018, 6, 122–127.
- 4. Lin, J.T.; Lane, J.M. Osteoporosis: A Review. Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res. 2004, 425, 126–134. [CrossRef]
- 5. Obesity and Overweight: Factsheet. Available online: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/ (accessed on 22 November 2018).
- Hatim, B.; Amanda, C.M.; Bradley, A.; Ou, C.N.; Mercedes, M.G.; Lourdes, G.S.; Fernando, C.; Francisco, J.T.; Inmaculada, M.S.; Manuel, M.G. Transcriptional Analysis of FOXO1, C/EBP-α and PPAR-γ2 Genes and Their Association with Obesity-Related Insulin Resistance. *Genes* 2019, 10, 706.
- 7. Hegele, R.A.; Cao, H.; Frankowski, C.; Mathews, S.T.; Leff, T. PPARG F388L, a Transactivation-Deficient Mutant, in Familial Partial Lipodystrophy. *Diabetes* **2002**, *51*, 3586–3590. [CrossRef]
- 8. Wallenius, V.; Wallenius, K.; Ahrén, B.; Rudling, M.; Carlsten, H.; Dickson, S.L.; Ohlsson, C.; Jansson, J.O. Interleukin-6-Deficient Mice Develop Mature-Onset Obesity. *Nat. Med.* **2002**, *8*, 75–79. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lee, N.K.; Sowa, H.; Hinoi, E.; Ferron, M.; Ahn, J.D.; Confavreux, C.; Dacquin, R.; Mee, P.J.; McKee, M.D.; Jung, D.Y.; et al. Endocrine Regulation of Energy Metabolism by the Skeleton. *Cell* **2007**, *130*, 456–469. [CrossRef]
- Salem, H.K.; Thiemermann, C. Mesenchymal Stromal Cells: Current Understanding and Clinical Status. *Stem Cells* 2010, 28, 585–596. [CrossRef]
- Chamberlain, G.; Fox, J.; Ashton, B.; Middleton, J. Concise Review: Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Their Phenotype, Differentiation Capacity, Immunological Features, and Potential for Homing. *Stem Cells* 2007, 25, 2739–2749. [CrossRef]
- 12. Benisch, P.; Schilling, T.; Klein-Hitpass, L.; Frey, S.P.; Seefried, L.; Raaijmakers, N.; Krug, M.; Regensburger, M.; Zeck, S.; Schinke, T.; et al. The Transcriptional Profile of Mesenchymal Stem Cell Populations in Primary Osteoporosis Is Distinct and Shows Overexpression of Osteogenic Inhibitors. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e45142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. James, A.W. Review of Signaling Pathways Governing MSC Osteogenic and Adipogenic Differentiation. *Scientifica* 2013, 2013, 684736. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Choi, Y.J.; Song, I.; Jin, Y.; Jin, H.S.; Ji, H.M.; Jeong, S.Y.; Won, Y.Y.; Chung, Y.S. Transcriptional Profiling of Human Femoral Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Osteoporosis and Its Association with Adipogenesis. *Gene* **2017**, *632*, 7–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Schwartz, A.V. Diabetes Mellitus: Does It Affect Bone? Calcif. Tissue Int. 2003, 73, 515–519. [CrossRef]
- 16. Leidig-Bruckner, G.; Ziegler, R. Diabetes Mellitus a Risk for Osteoporosis? *Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes* **2001**, 109 (Suppl. 2), S493–S514. [CrossRef]
- 17. Inzerillo, A.M.; Epstein, S. Osteoporosis and Diabetes Mellitus. Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord. 2004, 5, 261–268. [CrossRef]

- Thrailkill, K.M.; Lumpkin, C.K., Jr.; Bunn, R.C.; Kemp, S.F.; Fowlkes, J.L. Is Insulin an Anabolic Agent in Bone? Dissecting the Diabetic Bone for Clues. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2005, 289, E735–E745. [CrossRef]
- Mani, A.; Radhakrishnan, J.; Wang, H.; Mani, A.; Mani, M.A.; Nelson-Williams, C.; Carew, K.S.; Mane, S.; Najmabadi, H.; Wu, D.; et al. LRP6 Mutation in a Family with Early Coronary Disease and Metabolic Risk Factors. *Science* 2007, 315, 1278–1282. [CrossRef]
- Oh, S.W.; Shin, S.A.; Yun, Y.H.; Yoo, T.; Huh, B.Y. Cut-Off Point of BMI and Obesity-Related Comorbidities and Mortality in Middle-Aged Koreans. *Obes. Res.* 2004, 12, 2031–2040. [CrossRef]
- Angelopoulou, M.; Novelli, E.; Grove, J.E.; Rinder, H.M.; Civin, C.; Cheng, L.; Krause, D.S. Cotransplantation of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells Enhances Human Myelopoiesis and Megakaryocytopoiesis in NOD/SCID Mice. *Exp. Hematol.* 2003, *31*, 413–420. [CrossRef]
- Trapnell, C.; Pachter, L.; Salzberg, S.L. TopHat: Discovering Splice Junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 25, 1105–1111. [CrossRef]
- Trapnell, C.; Williams, B.A.; Pertea, G.; Mortazavi, A.; Kwan, G.; Van Baren, M.J.; Salzberg, S.L.; Wold, B.J.; Pachter, L. Transcript Assembly and Quantification by RNA-Seq Reveals Unannotated Transcripts and Isoform Switching During Cell Differentiation. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2010, 28, 511–515. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dominici, M.; Le Blanc, K.; Mueller, I.; Slaper-Cortenbach, I.; Marini, F.; Krause, D.; Deans, R.; Keating, A.; Prockop, D.; Horwitz, E. Minimal Criteria for Defining Multipotent Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy Position Statement. *Cytotherapy* 2006, *8*, 315–317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Golpanian, S.; Wolf, A.; Hatzistergos, K.E.; Hare, J.M. Rebuilding the Damaged Heart: Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Cell-Based Therapy, and Engineered Heart Tissue. *Physiol. Rev.* **2016**, *96*, 1127–1168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Ozsolak, F.; Milos, P.M. RNA Sequencing: Advances, Challenges and Opportunities. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011, 12, 87–98. [CrossRef]
- 27. Wang, Z.; Gerstein, M.; Snyder, M. RNA-Seq: A Revolutionary Tool for Transcriptomics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2009, 10, 57–63. [CrossRef]
- Marioni, J.C.; Mason, C.E.; Mane, S.M.; Stephens, M.; Gilad, Y. RNA-Seq: An Assessment of Technical Reproducibility and Comparison with Gene Expression Arrays. *Genome Res.* 2008, 18, 1509–1517. [CrossRef]
- 29. Martin, J.A.; Wang, Z. Next-Generation Transcriptome Assembly. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011, 12, 671–682. [CrossRef]
- 30. Seeliger, C.; Karpinski, K.; Haug, A.T.; Vester, H.; Schmitt, A.; Bauer, J.S.; van Griensven, M. Five Freely Circulating miRNAs and Bone Tissue miRNAs Are Associated with Osteoporotic Fractures. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **2014**, *29*, 1718–1728. [CrossRef]
- Lee, K.G.; Lee, G.B.; Yang, J.S.; Moon, M.H. Perturbations of Lipids and Oxidized Phospholipids in Lipoproteins of Patients with Postmenopausal Osteoporosis Evaluated by Asymmetrical Flow Field-Flow Fractionation and Nanoflow UHPLC–ESI–MS/MS. *Antioxidants* 2020, 9, 46. [CrossRef]
- 32. Wang, J.; Yang, M.M.; Li, Y.B.; Liu, G.D.; Teng, Y.; Liu, X.M. Association of CFH and CFB Gene Polymorphisms with Retinopathy in type 2 Diabetic Patients. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2013, 2013, 748435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Matsunaga, H.; Iwashita, M.; Shinjo, T.; Yamashita, A.; Tsuruta, M.; Nagasaka, S.; Taniguchi, A.; Fukushima, M.; Watanabe, N.; Nishimura, F. Adipose Tissue Complement Factor B Promotes Adipocyte Maturation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2018, 495, 740–748. [CrossRef]
- Ortega, F.J.; Moreno-Navarrete, J.M.; Sabater, M.; Ricart, W.; Frühbeck, G.; Fernández-Real, J.M. Circulating Glucagon Is Associated with Inflammatory Mediators in Metabolically Compromised Subjects. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* 2011, 165, 639–645. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Grey, A.; Mitnick, M.A.; Masiukiewicz, U.; Sun, B.H.; Rudikoff, S.; Jilka, R.L.; Manolagas, S.C.; Insogna, K. A Role for Interleukin-6 in Parathyroid Hormone-Induced Bone Resorption In Vivo. *Endocrinology* **1999**, *140*, 4683–4690. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ha, J.; Choi, H.S.; Lee, Y.; Kwon, H.J.; Song, Y.W.; Kim, H.H. CXC Chemokine Ligand 2 Induced by Receptor Activator of NF-κB Ligand Enhances Osteoclastogenesis. J. Immunol. 2010, 184, 4717–4724. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.; Zhou, X.; Li, Y.; Chen, A.; Liang, W.; Liang, G.; Huang, B.; Li, Q.; Jin, D. CXCL2 Attenuates Osteoblast Differentiation by Inhibiting the ERK1/2 Signaling Pathway. J. Cell Sci. 2019, 132, jcs230490. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rouault, C.; Pellegrinelli, V.; Schilch, R.; Cotillard, A.; Poitou, C.; Tordjman, J.; Sell, H.; Clément, K.; Lacasa, D. Roles of Chemokine ligand-2 (CXCL2) and Neutrophils in Influencing Endothelial Cell Function and Inflammation of Human Adipose Tissue. *Endocrinology* 2013, 154, 1069–1079. [CrossRef]
- Hwang, J.Y.; Lee, S.H.; Kim, G.S.; Koh, J.M.; Go, M.J.; Kim, Y.J.; Kim, H.C.; Kim, T.H.; Hong, J.M.; Park, E.K.; et al. HSD11B1 Polymorphisms Predicted Bone Mineral Density and Fracture Risk in Postmenopausal Women Without a Clinically Apparent Hypercortisolemia. *Bone* 2009, 45, 1098–1103. [CrossRef]
- 40. Gathercole, L.L.; Stewart, P.M. Targeting the Pre-Receptor Metabolism of Cortisol as a Novel Therapy in Obesity and Diabetes. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2010, 122, 21–27. [CrossRef]
- Nair, S.; Lee, Y.H.; Lindsay, R.S.; Walker, B.R.; Tataranni, P.A.; Bogardus, C.; Baier, L.J.; Permana, P.A. 11β-Hydroxysteroid Dehydrogenase Type 1: Genetic Polymorphisms Are Associated with Type 2 Diabetes in Pima Indians Independently of Obesity and Expression in Adipocyte and Muscle. *Diabetologia* 2004, 47, 1088–1095. [CrossRef]
- 42. Ascenzi, F.; Barberi, L.; Dobrowolny, G.; Villa Nova Bacurau, A.; Nicoletti, C.; Rizzuto, E.; Rosenthal, N.; Scicchitano, B.M.; Musarò, A. Effects of IGF-1 isoforms on muscle growth and sarcopenia. *Aging Cell* **2019**, *18*, e12954. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Shangguan, Y.F.; Wen, Y.X.; Tan, Y.; Qin, J.; Jiang, H.Q.; Magdalou, J.; Chne, L.B.; Wang, H. Intrauterine Programming of Glucocorticoid–Insulin-Like Growth Factor-1 Axis–Mediated Developmental Origin of Osteoporosis Susceptibility in Female Offspring Rats with Prenatal Caffeine Exposure. Am. J. Pathol. 2018, 188, 2863–2876. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Sun, L.; Su, J.; Wang, M.M. Changes of serum IGF-1 and ET-1 levels in patients with osteoporosis and its clinical significance. *Pak. J. Med. Sci.* **2019**, *35*, 691–695. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moyer-Mileur, L.J.; Slater, H.; Jordan, K.C.; Murray, M.A. IGF-1 and IGF-Binding Proteins and Bone Mass, Geometry, and Strength: Relation to Metabolic Control in Adolescent Girls with type 1 Diabetes. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 2008, 23, 1884–1891. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ardawi, M.S.; Akhbar, D.H.; AlShaikh, A.; Ahmed, M.M.; Qari, M.H.; Rouzi, A.A.; Ali, A.Y.; Abdulrafee, A.A.; Saeda, M.Y. Increased Serum Sclerostin and Decreased Serum IGF-1 Are Associated with Vertebral Fractures Among Postmenopausal Women with type-2 Diabetes. *Bone* 2013, *56*, 355–362. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mediero, A.; Ramkhelawon, B.; Perez-Aso, M.; Moore, K.J.; Cronstein, B.N. Netrin-1 Is a Critical Autocrine/Paracrine Factor for Osteoclast Differentiation. J. Bone Miner. Res. 2015, 30, 837–854. [CrossRef]
- Sato, T.; Kokabu, S.; Enoki, Y.; Hayashi, N.; Matsumoto, M.; Nakahira, M.; Sugasawa, M.; Yoda, T. Functional Roles of netrin-1 in Osteoblast Differentiation. *In Vivo* 2017, 31, 321–328. [CrossRef]
- 49. Frank, G.R.; Fox, J.; Candela, N.; Jovanovic, Z.; Bochukova, E.; Levine, J.; Papenhausen, P.R.; O'Rahilly, S.; Farooqi, I.S. Severe Obesity and Diabetes Insipidus in a Patient with PCSK1 Deficiency. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* **2013**, *110*, 191–194. [CrossRef]
- 50. Ridderstråle, M.; Johansson, L.E.; Rastam, L.; Lindblad, U. Increased Risk of Obesity Associated with the Variant Allele of the PPARGC1A Gly482Ser Polymorphism in Physically Inactive Elderly Men. *Diabetologia* **2006**, *49*, 496–500. [CrossRef]
- 51. Gao, J.; Feng, Z.; Wang, X.; Zeng, M.; Liu, J.; Han, S.; Xu, J.; Chen, L.; Cao, K.; Long, J.; et al. SIRT3/SOD2 Maintains Osteoblast Differentiation and Bone Formation by Regulating Mitochondrial Stress. *Cell Death Differ*. **2018**, *25*, 229–240. [CrossRef]
- 52. Kim, H.; Lee, Y.D.; Kim, H.J.; Lee, Z.H.; Kim, H.H. SOD2 and Sirt3 Control Osteoclastogenesis by Regulating Mitochondrial ROS. J. Bone Miner. Res. 2017, 32, 397–406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vincent, A.M.; Russell, J.W.; Sullivan, K.A.; Backus, C.; Hayes, J.M.; McLean, L.L.; Feldman, E.L. SOD2 Protects Neurons from Injury in Cell Culture and Animal Models of Diabetic Neuropathy. *Exp. Neurol.* 2007, 208, 216–227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Madkour, M.I.; El-Serafi, A.T.; Jahrami, H.A.; Sherif, N.M.; Hassan, R.E.; Awadallah, S.; Faris, M.A.E. Ramadan Diurnal Intermittent Fasting Modulates SOD2, TFAM, Nrf2, and Sirtuins (SIRT1, SIRT3) Gene Expressions in Subjects with Overweight and Obesity. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2019, 155, 107801. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, Q.; Jian, J.; Abramson, S.B.; Huang, X. Inhibitory Effects of Iron on Bone Morphogenetic Protein 2–Induced Osteoblastogenesis. J. Bone Miner. Res. 2011, 26, 1188–1196. [CrossRef]
- 56. Ellervik, C.; Mandrup-Poulsen, T.; Andersen, H.U.; Tybjærg-Hansen, A.; Frandsen, M.; Birgens, H.; Nordestgaard, B.G. Elevated Transferrin Saturation and Risk of Diabetes: Three Population-Based Studies. *Diabetes Care* **2011**, *34*, 2256–2258. [CrossRef]
- 57. Rosen, C.J.; Klibanski, A. Bone, Fat, and Body Composition: Evolving Concepts in the Pathogenesis of Osteoporosis. *Am. J. Med.* **2009**, *122*, 409–414. [CrossRef]
- Al Anouti, F.; Taha, Z.; Shamim, S.; Khalaf, K.; Al Kaabi, L.; Alsafar, H. An Insight into the Paradigms of Osteoporosis: From Genetics to Biomechanics. *Bone Rep.* 2019, 11, 100216. [CrossRef]
- 59. Li, B.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Ma, J.; Li, Y. Altered Gene Expression Involved in Insulin Signaling Pathway in type II Diabetic Osteoporosis Rats Model. *Endocrine* **2013**, *43*, 136–146. [CrossRef]