

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Practicalities of using an adaptive design for decision making within the optima trial: optimal personalized treatment of early breast cancer using multi-parameter tests

Janet Dunn^{1*}, Andrea Marshall¹, Amy Campbell¹, David Cameron², Helena Earl^{3,4}, Iain Macpherson⁵, Christopher Poole⁶, Daniel Rea⁷, Adele Francis⁸, Victoria Harmer⁹, Adrienne Morgan¹⁰, Nigel Stallard¹, Andreas Makris¹¹, Luke Hughes-Davies¹², Robert Stein^{13,14}

From 3rd International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference
Glasgow, UK. 16-17 November 2015

Background

Multi-parameter gene expression assays (MPA) to aid selection of chemotherapy in hormone-sensitive early breast cancer have not been prospectively validated. This field of personalised medicine is rapidly evolving. There is currently no “best test”. OPTIMA is an adaptive trial of MPA-based chemotherapy assignment in a largely node-positive breast cancer population.

Methods and results

OPTIMA prelim, the feasibility phase, recruited 302 patients to establish acceptability of the trial to patients and clinicians and evaluate the performance of MPAs to identify a suitable test(s) to be used in the main efficacy trial. Ethical approval was also gained for an additional 200 patient roll through before the opening of the main trial. The logistics of this design and practicalities of rolling through will be discussed. Whilst this design was efficient and minimises bureaucracy, the delays encountered with this type of design stem from the need to produce pilot results quickly and for funding bodies to make quick decisions about the feasibility of the main trial.

Conclusions

The success of OPTIMA not only relies on the integration of a multi-disciplinary team of methodologists, clinical experts and patients at all stages of the trial, but on its adaptive design. The complexities of using such

methodology and decision-making to roll forward into a main trial are challenging but provide the most efficient use of patients and costs.

Funding

Project funded by the NIHR HTA Programme (10/34/01). Views expressed are those of the authors and not those of the HTA programme, NIHR, NHS or the DoH.

Authors' details

¹University of Warwick, Coventry, UK. ²University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ³University of Cambridge Dept. of Oncology, Cambridge, UK. ⁴NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, Cambridge, UK. ⁵Beatson West of Scotland Cancer Centre, Glasgow, UK. ⁶University Hospital Coventry, Coventry, UK. ⁷University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK. ⁸University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK. ⁹Imperial College Healthcare Trust, London, UK. ¹⁰Independent Cancer Patients' Voice, London, UK. ¹¹Mount Vernon Hospital, Northwood, UK. ¹²Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK. ¹³University College London Hospital, London, UK. ¹⁴UCL NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK.

Published: 16 November 2015

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-16-S2-P212

Cite this article as: Dunn et al.: Practicalities of using an adaptive design for decision making within the optima trial: optimal personalized treatment of early breast cancer using multi-parameter tests. *Trials* 2015 16(Suppl 2):P212.

¹University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article