JACC: BASIC TO TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCE © 2021 THE AUTHORS. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

CLINICAL RESEARCH

Platelet and Endothelial Activation as Potential Mechanisms Behind the Thrombotic Complications of COVID-19 Patients





HIGHLIGHTS

- The cytokine storm present in COVID-19 patients induces, together with the imbalance of endothelial functions, a massive cell activation with production of tissue factor, mainly by platelets, granulocytes, and MVs.
- Plasma MV-associated thrombin generation is present in patients despite prophylactic anticoagulation.
- COVID-19 plasma, added to the blood of healthy subjects, induces platelet activation similar to what is observed in vivo. This effect is blunted by preincubation with tocilizumab, giving insights into the IL-6-mediated platelet activation that triggers the hypercoagulable state in COVID-19, suggesting the potential effectiveness of anti-IL-6 antibodies and antiplatelet drugs.
- Our data provide the bench-to-clinic rationale behind the ongoing clinical trial assessing the potential effectiveness of antiplatelet drugs and IL-6R antagonists in the treatment of COVID-19 patients.

SUMMARY

The authors hypothesized that the cytokine storm described in COVID-19 patients may lead to consistent cellbased tissue factor (TF)-mediated activation of coagulation, procoagulant microvesicles (MVs) release, and massive platelet activation. COVID-19 patients have higher levels of TF⁺ platelets, TF⁺ granulocytes, and TF⁺ MVs than healthy subjects and coronary artery disease patients. Plasma MV-associated thrombin generation is present in prophylactic anticoagulated patients. A sustained platelet activation in terms of P-selectin expression and platelet-leukocyte aggregate formation, and altered nitric oxide/prostacyclin synthesis are also observed. COVID-19 plasma, added to the blood of healthy subjects, induces platelet activation similar to that observed in vivo. This effect was blunted by pre-incubation with tocilizumab, aspirin, or a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor. (J Am Coll Cardiol Basic Trans Science 2021;6:202-18) © 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND

license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

S ince the outbreak of the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, a growing amount of clinical data has documented how severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) may predispose patients to thrombotic disease, both in the venous and arterial vascular beds (1,2).

Patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia experience hypoxemia, not only due to inflammatory alveolar involvement, but also to endothelial dysfunction together with a systemic cytokine storm response, which ultimately leads to micro- and macrothrombosis in the pulmonary vessels, as well as in other organs. Abnormal coagulation parameters, that is, a significant rise of D-dimer levels, prolonged prothrombin time, and low platelet counts, reflect the coagulopathy that correlates well with disease severity in COVID-19 patients (3). Interestingly, the severe thrombotic complications may develop despite standard pharmacological prophylaxis with heparin.

It has been well documented that inflammation due to viral and bacterial infections can lead to the systemic activation of coagulation (4). D-dimer, an independent predictor of poor prognosis in COVID-19, is a biomarker of this activation that is triggered by tissue factor (TF), the key activator of the blood coagulation cascade. D-dimer, however, does not identify per se the underlying molecular mechanisms and/or the dysfunctional cell population involved in its production.

Endothelial injury, a common feature of viral infection, can alter hemostasis directly or indirectly (5). Viral or bacterial infections and inflammatory stimuli can indeed induce TF expression, not only in endothelial, but also in circulating, monocytes and granulocytes. These cells upon activation also release microvesicles (MVs) into the bloodstream. Among the circulating MVs, those expressing phosphatidylserine (PS) and TF are defined as procoagulant MVs and globally

contribute to the activation of the coagulation (6).

Severe imbalances of endothelial function during systemic infections with hyperinflammation can further alter hemostasis through the reduced production or action of mediators such as prostacyclin (PGI₂) and nitric oxide (NO), pivotal in the control of platelet activation (7). Platelets participate in inflammation and thrombotic responses in many viral infections (8). The low platelet count often described in COVID-19 patients indeed suggests increased consumption due to a massive platelet activation and thrombus formation. It should be mentioned in this regard that activated platelets also express a functionally active TF (9). Thus, within the hemostatic process, platelets not only aggregate providing the negatively charged phospholipid bilayer for the

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ADP = adenosine diphosphate

CAD = coronary artery disease COVID-19 = coronavirus

disease-2019

CRP = C-reactive protein

GPA = granulocyte-platelet aggregates

HS = healthy subject

IL = interleukin

IL-6R = interleukin-6 receptor

LMWH = low-molecularweight heparin

MPA = monocyte-platelet aggregates

MV = microvesicle

NO = nitric oxide

NOS = nitric oxide synthase

PGI₂ = prostacyclin

PLA = platelet-leukocyte aggregates

PS = phosphatidylserine

SARS-CoV-2 = severe acute respiratory syndromecoronavirus-2

TF = tissue factor

Manuscript received November 3, 2020; revised manuscript received December 15, 2020, accepted December 15, 2020.

From the ^aCentro Cardiologico Monzino IRCCS, Milan, Italy: ^bIstituto Auxologico Italiano IRCCS, Milan, Italy; and the ^cDepartment of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy. *Drs. Canzano and Brambilia contributed equally to this work and are joint first authors. †Drs. Tremoli and Camera contributed equally to this work, and are joint senior authors. The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

assembly of the coagulation factors, but they are able themselves to trigger the coagulation cascade.

Finally, the cytokine storm associated with severe COVID-19, which is considered a pathological underpinning for disease progression and multiorgan failure in these patients, is characterized by increased plasma concentrations of several cytokines including interleukin (IL)-6 (10). Preclinical studies seem to suggest the efficacy of anti-IL-6 receptor (IL-6R) blockade with tocilizumab (11,12). However, the effect of the cytokine release on platelet and endothelial activation in this clinical setting is almost completely unknown. Thus, whereas data are rapidly emerging about COVID-19-associated coagulopathy and thrombosis risk, there is little high-quality evidence to guide antithrombotic management.

On the basis of the findings collected so far, we hypothesized that the cytokine storm taking place in COVID-19 patients, deeply affecting endothelial functions, leads to consistent blood cell activation, resulting, not only in a generalized cell-based TFmediated activation of blood coagulation and release of procoagulant MVs, but also in a massive platelet activation. Thus, we carried out this study to assess in COVID-19 patients: 1) the level of TF expression among the circulating cells and MVs; 2) the residual plasma thrombin generation capacity despite heparin treatment; and 3) the extent of platelet and endothelial activation.

Finally, through an in vitro approach, we verified whether plasma from COVID-19 patients added to blood cells from healthy subjects (HSs) induced platelet activation similar to what is observed in vivo. In this experimental setting, we also assessed whether IL-6R blockade or antiplatelet drugs reverted platelet activation.

METHODS

PATIENT **SELECTION.** This prospective study recruited 46 consecutive COVID-19 patients admitted to San Luca Hospital, Istituto Auxologico Italiano IRCCS, Milan, between April 1st and 30th, 2020. Patients with a positive severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) polymerase chain reaction test and requiring oxygen supplementation were included. Criteria for hospital admission were defined as those requiring inpatient care as a result of the severity of illness based on laboratory and radiological parameters, as well as clinical findings. Study patients were divided into 2 groups according to their oxygen supplementation: patients needing low-flow oxygen supplementation only (with nasal cannulae or Venturi mask, group 1) and patients needing mechanical ventilation (invasive or noninvasive, group 2). Following admission, all patients received supportive care in line with best international practice. In particular, hospitalized COVID-19 patients were treated with: 1) lopinavir/ ritonavir or darunavir/cobicistat; 2) with/without hydroxychloroquine; 3) with/without antibiotic prophylaxis; or 4) with/without steroids. Moreover, hospitalized patients for COVID-19 received low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) thromboprophylaxis according to their body weight and renal function, unless contraindicated, as part of standard of care.

Biochemical variables, including inflammatory and thrombotic parameters (IL-6, C-reactive protein [CRP], lactate dehydrogenase [LDH], fibrinogen, D-dimer, procalcitonin) and arterial blood gas analysis (partial pressure of oxygen/fraction of inspired oxygen [pO₂/FiO₂] ratio, oxygen saturation), were recorded at hospital admission and immediately before or soon after oxygen supplementation, concomitantly with the blood sampling needed for the analysis described in the following text. Data from 46 HS (35% male, mean age 42 \pm 11 years) and 46 stable coronary artery disease (CAD) patients (91% male, mean age 67 \pm 10 years) with recent (<6 months) percutaneous coronary revascularization, and on dual antiplatelet therapy, previously recruited at Centro Cardiologico Monzino IRCCS, Milan, were analyzed for comparisons. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Institution (number 2020_06_16_18), and informed consent was obtained from all participants according to the principles of the declaration of Helsinki.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS. Quantitative variables are presented as median with 25th and 75th percentiles, being mostly log-normally distributed; within-group comparisons were made with the Wilcoxon signed rank test, and between-group comparisons were made with the Wilcoxon rank sum test. The normality of the variable distributions was assessed by the use of the D'Agostino-Pearson omnibus K2 test. Categorical variables are presented as n (%) and were compared by chi-square or Fisher's exact test, when appropriate. Associations between variables were assessed by Spearman's correlation coefficient (rs). A p value < 0.050 was considered statistically significant. p Values presented in this report have not been adjusted for multiplicity to protect type I error, and therefore, the

Att and StandNameNameNameAge, yr.72 (S4-84)67 (S4-84)74 (63-84)0.028Male22 (G1)11 (G5)17 (G5)0.028In-baptal indraity10 (27)0.01010 (20)0.028In-baptal indraity0.02 (S4-20)41 (20, -4.30)0.028Interdwine, fagint41 (277)32 (24-37)170 (39-07)0.028CRP, mgld8.8 (64-12)4.7 (57.4)1.8 (0.197.1)0.028D-dmer, ggld8.8 (64-12)4.7 (57.4)1.8 (0.197.1)0.028Arctal gas analysis7.8 (24-37)94 (86-97)0.043pOp, structorion9.6 (5.97)9.7 (5.4 (3.9)0.028pOp, Gradu7.7 (24-34)36 (23-39.5)7.5 (7.4 (3.9)0.028pOp, Gradu7.5 (7.4 (3.9)7.5 (7.4 (3.9)0.0210.021poly Flor7.5 (7.4 (3.9)7.5 (7.4 (3.9)0.7 (7.4 (3.9)0.021poly Flor7.5 (7.4 (3.9)7.5 (7.4 (3.9)0.0140.021poly Flor7.5 (7.5 (7.5 (7.5 (7.5 (7.5 (7.5 (7.5 (TABLE 1 Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Enrolled COVID-19 Patients							
Apg. yrs 72 (38-84) 67 (38-81) 74 (63-94) 0.288 Male 28 (61) 11 (55) 17 (65) 0.182 in-hosptal mortality 10 (21.7) 0 (0) 10 (28.5) 0.031 Length of hosptalization, dys 40.5 (29.0-43.5) 40 (29.0-47.0) 41 (29.0-43.0) 0.662 CRP, mg/dt 8.8 (4.6-12.3) 4.7 (15.7-4) 11.8 (71-17.1) -0.001 D-dimer, µg/d 1.422 (774-21.39) 1.229 (774-1,727) 1.612 (981-2/877) 0.028 CPP, mg/dt 8.8 (4.6-12.3) 4.7 (15.7-4) 11.8 (71-17.1) -0.001 D-dimer, µg/d 1.422 (774-2139) 1.229 (774-1,727) 1.612 (981-2/877) 0.149 Respiratory rate 20 (16.2-4) 18 (16.20) 22 (18-27) 0.035 p0/2/FiO2 365 (33-44) 365 (35-39.5) 37.5 (22-4) 0.87 p1 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5)		All (N = 46)	Oxygen Therapy [Group 1] (n = 20)	Mechanical Ventilation [Group 2] (n = 26)	p Value			
Male 28 (61) 11 (53) 17 (65) 0.031 In-hospital mortality 10 (21,7) 0 (0) 10 (38.5) 0.031 Length of hospitalization, days 40 (23,0-47.0) 41 (29,0-43.0) 0.682 Interleakin-6, gg/ml 44 (27,77) 32 (24.37) 70 (39-107) 0.028 CRP, mg/dl 8.8 (4-61-23) 47 (15.74) 118 (71-17.1) 0.000 D-dimer, µg/d 1.422 (74-2,139) 1.229 (74-1,727) 1,612 (981-2,787) 0.019 Oxygen statuston 9.45 (92-97) 96 (93-97) 94 (88-97) 0.039 polyfilo ratio 177 (132-343) 362 (324-397) 160 (130-180) 0.000 polyfilo ratio 177 (132-343) 362 (234-397) 160 (130-180) 0.000 polyfilo ratio 177 (132-343) 362 (34-397) 160 (130-180) 0.000 polyfilo ratio 13 (8) 2 (10) 1 (4) 0.57 arcine smoker 6 (16) 3 (15) 3 (11) 0.16 polyfilo ratio 2 (6) 1 (65) 2 (10) 0.18 <th>Age, yrs</th> <th>72 (58-84)</th> <th>67 (58-81)</th> <th>74 (63-84)</th> <th>0.288</th>	Age, yrs	72 (58-84)	67 (58-81)	74 (63-84)	0.288			
In-haspital mortality 10 (21.7) 0 (0) 10 (28.5) 0.03 Length of hexpitalization, days 40.5 (29.0-43.5) 40 (29.0-47.0) 41 (29.0-43.0) 0.362 CRP, mg/d 8.8 (4.6-12.3) 4.7 (15.7-4) 11.8 (71-71) <0.028	Male	28 (61)	11 (55)	17 (65)	0.182			
Length of hospitalization, days 40 5 (29 0-43.5) 40 (29 0-47.0) 41 (20 -70.) 0.028 Interleakin-6, pg/ml 41 (27-7) 32 (24-37) 70 (39-107) -0.021 D-dimer, gg/l 8.8 (4 6-12.3) 4.7 (15-7.4) 11.8 (7.1-17.1) -0.001 D-dimer, gg/l 1.22 (774129) 1.52 (98-2.787) 0.162 (98-2.787) 0.149 Respiratory rate 20 (18-24) 18 (18-20) 22 (18-27) 0.033 pCO; 3.63 (3-44) 3.65 (35-39.5) 3.75 (32-44) 0.871 pCO; 3.63 (3-44) 3.65 (35-39.5) 3.75 (32-44) 0.871 pCO; 3.63 (3-44) 3.62 (224-397) 160 (10-18) 0.022 pH 7.5 (7.47.5) 7.5 (7.47.5) 7.5 (7.47.5) 0.269 Lactate 1.3 (0.9-1.6) 1.4 (0.7-1.5) 13 (10-1.7) 0.478 Smoking statis 3 (10) 2.0 (77) 0.579 2.616 0.00 2.68 0.979 Hypertension 3.2 (69) 13 (65) 13 (10.9 2.0 (77) 0.979 Dyplip	In-hospital mortality	10 (21.7)	0 (0)	10 (38.5)	0.031			
Interlexion-6, pay/ntl 44 (27-77) 32 (24-37) 70 (39-07) 0.028 CRP, mg/dt 88 (4.6-12.3) 4.7 (15-7.4) 11.8 (7.1-17.1) <0.001 O-dimer, igd/ 1.422 (774-2,139) 12.29 (774-1,727) 1512 (981-2,787) 0.149 Oxygen saturation 94.5 (92-97) 96 (93-97) 94 (88-97) 0.149 Oxygen saturation 94.5 (92-97) 95 (93-97) 37.5 (22-44) 0.871 pO/FiO; ratio 177 (13-243) 35.2 (32-39) 150 (130-180) 0.002 pd/ 75 (74-75) 75 (74-75) 75 (74-75) 0.249 Cardiovascular risk factors 3(8) 2 (10) 14 (0) 0.777 Gensing statis	Length of hospitalization, days	40.5 (29.0-43.5)	40 (29.0-47.0)	41 (29.0-43.0)	0.862			
CRP, mg/d1 8.8 (4.6-T2.3) 4.7 (15.7.4) 11.8 (7.1-7.1) -0.001 D-dmer, gg/L 1.42 (774-2,139) 1.29 (774-1,727) 1.612 (981-2,787) 0.192 Arterial gas analysis	Interleukin-6, pg/ml	41 (27-77)	32 (24-37)	70 (39-107)	0.028			
b-dmc, µg/t 1,422 (74-2,139) 1,229 (74-1,22) 1,612 (981-2,787) 0.192 Arterial gas analysis	CRP, mg/dl	8.8 (4.6-12.3)	4.7 (1.5-7.4)	11.8 (7.1-17.1)	<0.001			
Arterial gas analysis U Orgen saturation 94.5 (92-97) 96 (88-97) 9.1 (99 Respiratory rate 20 (18-24) 18 (18-20) 22 (18-27) 0.035 pCp: 36.5 (33-44) 36.5 (35-39.5) 37.5 (32-44) 0.871 pdy/F0; ratio 177 (132-343) 36.2 (324-397) 160 (130-180) 0.002 pdi 75.7 (74-75) 7.5 (74-75) 7.5 (74-75) 0.269 Lactate 1.3 (0.9-1.6) 1.4 (0.7-1.5) 1.3 (1.0-1.7) 0.478 Smoking status - - - - - Artive smoker 6 (6) 3 (15) 3 (11) - - Dyslipidemia 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (18) 0.40 - - Cardiovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (10) 5 (8) - - - Cardiovascular diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.668 - - - Cardiovascular diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.669	D-dimer, µg/l	1,422 (774-2,139)	1,229 (774-1,727)	1,612 (981-2,787)	0.192			
Oxygen saturation 94.5 (92-97) 96 (93-97) 94 (88-97) 0.149 Respiratory rate 20 (18-24) 18 (18-20) 22 (18-27) 0.035 pCQ. 355 (35-344) 355 (35-35) 7.75 (32-44) 0.871 pO/FlO ₂ ratio 177 (132-343) 362 (324-397) 160 (130-180) 0.002 pH 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 0.269 Lactate 13 (0.9-1.6) 14 (0.7-1.5) 1.3 (1.0-1.7) 0.478 Soliton status	Arterial gas analysis							
Respiratory rate 20 (18-24) 18 (18-20) 22 (18-27) 0.035 pC0; 36.5 (33-44) 36.5 (33-34.3) 37.6 (130-180) 0.002 pD//F0; ratio 77 (7.2-343) 36 (23.443) 36.2 (23.437) 16 (01:01-180) 0.020 pL 75 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 0.269 Lactate 1.3 (0.9-1.6) 1.4 (0.7-1.5) 1.6 (1.0) 0.478 Cardiovascular rik factors	Oxygen saturation	94.5 (92-97)	96 (93-97)	94 (88-97)	0.149			
pC02 36.5 (33-44) 36.5 (35-39.5) 37.5 (32-44) 0.871 pD/FIG. ratio 177 (132-43) 362 (324-397) 100 (130-180) 0.002 Lactate 1.3 (0.9-1.6) 1.4 (0.7-1.5) 13 (1.0-1.7) 0.478 Cardiovascular risk factors S S 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.6 (7.4-7.5) 0.478 Smoking status S S 1.4 (0.7-1.5) 13 (1.0-1.7) 0.478 Smoking status S S 2.0 (0) 2.6 (0) 2.6 (0) 2.6 (0) 0.3 (18) 0.348 Disbleformino 32 (69) 13 (65) 19 (73) 0.348 0.348 Diabetes 4.1 (0) 0.0 (0) 2.6 (0) 0.6 (2) 0.979 Cardiovascular diseases 7 (18) 2.0 (0) 2.0 (77) 0.979 Cardiovascular diseases 10 (27) 4.(20) 6 (2) 0.809 Endocrinologic diseases 8 (21) 3 (15) 5 (2) 0.979 Pharmacologic diseases 8 (21) 3 (13) 2 (0) 0.612 <tr< td=""><td>Respiratory rate</td><td>20 (18-24)</td><td>18 (18-20)</td><td>22 (18-27)</td><td>0.035</td></tr<>	Respiratory rate	20 (18-24)	18 (18-20)	22 (18-27)	0.035			
pO ₂ /FlO ₂ ratio 177 (132-343) 362 (324-397) 160 (130-180) 0.002 pH 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.5-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 0.269 Lactate 1.3 (0.9-1.6) 1.4 (0.7-1.5) 1.5 (1.0-1.7) 0.2478 Cardiovascular risk factors Smöling status 3 (8) 2 (10) 1 (4) .0.577 Active smoker 3 (8) 2 (10) 1 (4) .0.579 Hypertension 32 (69) 13 (65) 3 (11) .0.588 Diabetes 4 (11) 0 (0) 4 (8) .0.388 Cardiovascular diseases 33 (87) 13 (65) 20 (77) .0.968 Endocrinologic diseases 3 (21) 3 (15) 5 (22) .0.888 Respiratory diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) .0.968 Endocrinologic diseases 2 (25) 0 (0) 2 (8) .0.161 Active asses 2 (25) 0 (0) 2 (8) .0.161 Active asses 3 (13) 2 (28	pCO ₂	36.5 (33-44)	36.5 (35-39.5)	37.5 (32-44)	0.871			
pH 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 7.5 (7.4-7.5) 0.269 Lactate 1.3 (0.9-1.6) 1.4 (0.7-1.5) 1.3 (1.0-1.7) 0.478 Cardiovascular risk factors	pO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	177 (132-343)	362 (324-397)	160 (130-180)	0.002			
Lactate 1.3 (0.9-1.6) 1.4 (0.7-1.5) 1.3 (1.0-1.7) 0.478 Cardiovascular risk factors U U Smoking status 3 (8) 2 (10) 1 (4) 0.577 Former smoker 6 (16) 3 (15) 3 (11) U Dyslipidemia 2 (25) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.979 Hypertension 32 (69) 13 (65) 19 (73) 0.348 Diabetes 4 (11) 0 (0) 4 (18) 0.138 Past medical history Cardiovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (10) 5 (8) 0.681 Cardiovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (10) 5 (8) 0.248 Cardiovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (10) 5 (8) 0.248 Read diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 0 (28) 0.248 Pharmaclogical therapy U U 0 (0) 2.28 LMMH treatment, dose 36 (80) 22 (88) 14 (70) 0.162 G.000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 05 (27)	pH	7.5 (7.4-7.5)	7.5 (7.5-7.5)	7.5 (7.4-7.5)	0.269			
Cardiovascular risk factors Smoking status Active smoker 3 (8) 2 (10) 1 (4) 0.577 Former smoker 6 (16) 3 (15) 3 (11) Dyslipidemia 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.9379 Hypertension 32 (69) 13 (65) 19 (73) 0.348 Diabetes 4 (11) 0 (0) 4 (18) 0.318 Past medical history Cardiovascular diseases 3 (87) 13 (65) 20 (77) 0.979 Cardiovascular diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.968 Respiratory diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.9897 Rend diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.400 Pharmacological therapy L L UMWH treatment, dose 36 (80) 22 (88) 14 (70) 0.161 4,000 U 5 (11.1) 3 (12) 2 (10) $< < 2.010$ $< < 2.010$ $< < 0.001$ $< < 0.001$ $< < 0.001$ $< < 0.001$ $< < 0.001$ $< < 0.$	Lactate	1.3 (0.9-1.6)	1.4 (0.7-1.5)	1.3 (1.0-1.7)	0.478			
Smoking status S	Cardiovascular risk factors							
Active smoker 3 (8) 2 (10) 1 (4) 0.577 Former smoker 6 (16) 3 (15) 3 (11) Dyslipidemia 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.379 Hypertension 32 (69) 13 (65) 19 (73) 0.348 Diabetes 4 (11) 0 (0) 4 (18) 0.138 Past	Smoking status							
Former smoker 6 (16) 3 (15) 3 (11) Dyslipidemia 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.979 Hypertension 32 (69) 13 (65) 19 (73) 0.348 Diablets 2 (69) 13 (65) 19 (73) 0.348 Past medical history - - - 0.979 Cardiovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (07) 0.979 Cerebrovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (10) 5 (8) 0.621 Respiratory diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.986 Endocrinologic diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.240 Pharmacological therapy - - - - LMWH treatment, dose 36 (80) 2 (88) 14 (70) 0.161 4,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) - 6,000 U ×2 11 (24.4) 6 (24) 9 (30) - 6,000 U ×2 13 (30) 4 (20) 9 (30) - 6,000 U ×2 13	Active smoker	3 (8)	2 (10)	1 (4)	0.577			
Dyslipidemia 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.979 Hypertension 32 (69) 13 (65) 19 (73) 0.348 Diabetes 4 (1) 0 (0) 4 (18) 0.348 Diabetes 4 (1) 0 (0) 4 (18) 0.348 Diabetes 33 (87) 13 (65) 20 (77) 0.979 Cerebrovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (10) 5 (8) 0.681 Respiratory diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.968 Endocrinologic diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.240 Pharmacological therapy 14 (70) 0.611 LMWH treatment, dose 36 (80) 2 (88) 14 (70) 0.6161 4,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) - 163 6,000 U 2 (11 (24.4) 6 (24) 5 (25) - - 4,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) - - - - - - - - - <td>Former smoker</td> <td>6 (16)</td> <td>3 (15)</td> <td>3 (11)</td> <td></td>	Former smoker	6 (16)	3 (15)	3 (11)				
Hypertension 32 (69) 13 (65) 19 (73) 0.348 Diabetes 4 (11) 0 (0) 4 (18) 0.138 Past medical history - - - - Cardiovascular diseases 33 (87) 13 (65) 20 (77) 0.979 Cerebrovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (10) 5 (8) 0.681 Respiratory diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.968 Endocrinologic diseases 8 (21) 3 (15) 5 (22) 0.897 Renal diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (2) 0.897 Pharmacological therapy	Dvslipidemia	2 (5)	0 (0)	2 (8)	0.979			
Diabetes 4 (11) 0 (0) 4 (13) 0.138 Past medical history 0.138 Past medical history 0.138 Cardiovascular diseases 33 (87) 13 (65) 20 (77) 0.979 Cerebrovascular diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.968 Respiratory diseases 8 (21) 3 (15) 5 (22) 0.897 Real diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.400 Pharmacological therapy 0.0161 4,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0.623 6,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) 6,000 U ×2 11 (24.4) 6 (24) 5 (25) <	Hypertension	32 (69)	13 (65)	19 (73)	0.348			
Past medical history Constraints Constraints Cardiovascular diseases 33 (87) 13 (65) 20 (77) 0.979 Cerebrovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (10) 5 (8) 0.681 Respiratory diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.968 Endocrinologic diseases 8 (21) 3 (15) 5 (22) 0.897 Renal diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.240 Pharmacological therapy U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0.623 6,000 U 5 (11.1) 3 (12) 2 (10) 4000 U 2 (24.4) 2 (8) 0 (0) 4,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) 6,000 U 2 10 (4) 0.00 4,010 U ×2 11 (24.4) 6 (24) 5 (25) 0 (0) <0.001	Diabetes	4 (11)	0 (0)	4 (18)	0.138			
Cardiovascular diseases 33 (87) 13 (65) 20 (77) 0.979 Cerebrovascular diseases 7 (18) 2 (10) 5 (8) 0.681 Respiratory diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.968 Endocrinologic diseases 8 (21) 3 (15) 5 (22) 0.897 Renal diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.240 Pharmacological therapy 14 (70) 0.161 0.001 0.623 6,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0.623 6,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) 6 (00) 4,000 U ×2 11 (24.4) 6 (24) 5 (25) 0 (0) Antiplatelet agents 5 (11) 5 (25) 0 (0) <0.01	Past medical history	. ()	- (-)	. (,				
Cerebrovascular diseases 7 (R) 2 (O) 1 (N) 0.681 Respiratory diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.968 Endocrinologic diseases 8 (21) 3 (15) 5 (22) 0.897 Renal diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.240 Pharmacological therapy 14 (70) 0.161 4,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0.623 6,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) 6 (00) 4,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) 6 (00) Antiplatelet agents 5 (11) 5 (25) 0 (0) <0.001	Cardiovascular diseases	33 (87)	13 (65)	20 (77)	0.979			
Respiratory diseases 10 (27) 4 (20) 6 (23) 0.968 Endocrinologic diseases 8 (21) 3 (15) 5 (22) 0.897 Renal diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.240 Pharmacological therapy LMWH treatment, dose 36 (80) 22 (88) 14 (70) 0.161 4,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) .623 6,000 U 5 (11.1) 3 (12) 2 (10) . 4,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) . 6,000 U ×2 11 (24.4) 6 (24) 5 (25) . . 8,000 U ×2 2 (4.4) 2 (8) 0 (0) . . . Attiplatelet agents 5 (11) 5 (25) 0 (0) Biochemical parameters 5 (11) 5 (25) 0 (0) Ferritin, µg/l 857 (498-1,686) 498 (358-1,758)	Cerebrovascular diseases	7 (18)	2 (10)	5 (8)	0.681			
Endocrinologic diseases 8 (21) 3 (15) 5 (22) 0.897 Renal diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.240 Pharmacological therapy U U 0.161 0.200 0.210 0.210 LMWH treatment, dose 36 (80) 22 (88) 14 (70) 0.161 4,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0.623 6,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) 0 4,000 U ×2 11 (24.4) 6 (24) 5 (25) 5 (00) 8,000 U ×2 2 (4.4) 2 (8) 0 (0) <0.001	Respiratory diseases	10 (27)	4 (20)	6 (23)	0.968			
Renal diseases 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (8) 0.240 Pharmacological therapy 0.161 4,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0.623 6,000 U 5 (11.1) 3 (12) 2 (10) 4,000 U × 2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35)	Endocrinologic diseases	8 (21)	3 (15)	5 (22)	0.897			
Pharmacological therapy LNN LNN LNN LMWH treatment, dose 36 (80) 22 (88) 14 (70) 0.161 4,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0.623 6,000 U 5 (11.1) 3 (12) 2 (10) 4 4,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) 6 6,000 U ×2 11 (24.4) 6 (24) 5 (25) 8 8,000 U ×2 2 (4.4) 2 (8) 0 (0) <0.001	Renal diseases	2 (5)	0 (0)	2 (8)	0.240			
LMWH reatment, dose 36 (80) 22 (88) 14 (70) 0.161 4,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0.623 6,000 U 5 (11.1) 3 (12) 2 (10)	Pharmacological therapy	,						
4,000 U 1 (2.2) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0.623 6,000 U 5 (11.1) 3 (12) 2 (10) 4 4,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) 5 6,000 U ×2 11 (24.4) 6 (24) 5 (25) 5 8,000 U ×2 2 (4.4) 2 (8) 0 (0) <0.01	LMWH treatment, dose	36 (80)	22 (88)	14 (70)	0.161			
6,000 U5 (11.1)3 (12)2 (10)4,000 U ×217 (37.8)10 (40)7 (35)6,000 U ×211 (24.4)6 (24)5 (25)8,000 U ×22 (4.4)2 (8)0 (0)Antiplatelet agents5 (11)5 (25)0 (0)Antiplatelet agents5 (11)5 (25)0 (0)Antiplatelet agents5 (11)5 (25)0 (0)Cortisone13 (30)4 (20)9 (34)0.922Biochemical parametersFerritin, µg/l857 (498-1,686)498 (358-1,758)946 (612-1,356)0.634AST, U/l35 (23-44)30 (18-40)38 (29-46)0.314ALT, U/l30 (20-57)24 (16-33)31 (23-66)0.117LDH, U/l326 (249-383)256 (181-314)348 (317-390)0.027S-Creatinine, mg/dl0.9 (0.7-1.0)0.8 (0.6-0.9)0.9 (0.8-1.1)0.016PT/INR1.22 (1.15-1.30)1.25 (1.13-1.34)1.19 (1.15-1.24)0.938PTT ratio1.35 (1.8+1.47)1.39 (1.19-1.56)1.33 (1.8+1.44)0.152TnT, ng/l26 (13-48)26 (17-37)26 (11-49)0.700ProBNP, ng/l197 (97-315)159 (60-207)315 (172-521)0.059Procacitionin, ng/ml0.1 (0.1-0.2)0.1 (0.0-0.1)0.2 (0.1-0.3)0.118Eibrinopen mg/dl546 (412-637)424 (372-627)546 (412-647)0.202	4.000 U	1 (2.2)	1 (4)	0 (0)	0.623			
A,000 U ×2 17 (37.8) 10 (40) 7 (35) 6,000 U ×2 11 (24.4) 6 (24) 5 (25) 8,000 U ×2 2 (4.4) 2 (8) 0 (0) Antiplatelet agents 5 (11) 5 (25) 0 (0) Antiplatelet agents 5 (11) 5 (25) 0 (0) Hydroxychloroquine 4 (12) 3 (15) 1 (4) 0.087 Cortisone 13 (30) 4 (20) 9 (34) 0.922 Biochemical parameters 5 5 (23-44) 30 (18-40) 38 (29-46) 0.634 AST, U/L 35 (23-44) 30 (18-40) 38 (29-46) 0.314 ALT, U/L 30 (20-57) 24 (16-33) 31 (23-66) 0.117 LDH, U/L 326 (249-383) 256 (181-314) 348 (317-390) 0.027 S-Creatinine, mg/dl 0.9 (0.7-1.0) 0.8 (0.6-0.9) 0.9 (0.8-1.1) 0.016 PT/INR 1.22 (1.15-1.30) 1.25 (1.13-1.34) 1.19 (1.15-1.24) 0.938 PTT ratio 1.35 (1.18-1.47) 1.39 (1.19-1.56) 1.33 (1.18-1.44)	6.000 U	5 (11.1)	3 (12)	2 (10)				
h (100 U ×2)11 (24.4)6 (24)5 (25)8,000 U ×22 (4.4)2 (8)0 (0)Antiplatelet agents5 (11)5 (25)0 (0)Hydroxychloroquine4 (12)3 (15)1 (4)0.087Cortisone13 (30)4 (20)9 (34)0.922Biochemical parameters57 (498-1,686)498 (358-1,758)946 (612-1,356)0.634AST, U/L35 (23-44)30 (18-40)38 (29-46)0.314ALT, U/L30 (20-57)24 (16-33)31 (23-66)0.117LDH, U/L326 (249-383)256 (181-314)348 (317-390)0.027S-Creatinine, mg/dl0.9 (0.7-1.0)0.8 (0.6-0.9)0.9 (0.8-1.1)0.016PT/INR1.22 (1.15-1.30)1.25 (1.13-1.34)1.19 (1.15-1.24)0.938PTT ratio1.35 (1.18-1.47)1.39 (1.19-1.56)1.33 (1.18-1.44)0.152TnT, ng/l26 (13-48)26 (17-37)26 (11-49)0.700ProBNP, ng/l197 (97-315)159 (60-207)315 (172-521)0.059Procalcitonin, ng/ml0.1 (0.1-0.2)0.1 (0.0-0.1)0.2 (0.1-0.3)0.118Eibrioopen mo/dl546 (412-637)4/4 (379-627)546 (474-647)0.302	4.000 U ×2	17 (37.8)	10 (40)	7 (35)				
8,000 U ×22 (4.4)2 (8)0 (0)Antiplatelet agents5 (11)5 (25)0 (0)<0.001	6.000 U ×2	11 (24.4)	6 (24)	5 (25)				
Antiplatelet agents5 (11)5 (25)0 (0)<0.001Hydroxychloroquine4 (12)3 (15)1 (4)0.087Cortisone13 (30)4 (20)9 (34)0.922Biochemical parametersFerritin, μg/l857 (498-1,686)498 (358-1,758)946 (612-1,356)0.634AST, U/l35 (23-44)30 (18-40)38 (29-46)0.314ALT, U/l30 (20-57)24 (16-33)31 (23-66)0.117LDH, U/l326 (249-383)256 (181-314)348 (317-390)0.027S-Creatinine, mg/dl0.9 (0.7-1.0)0.8 (0.6-0.9)0.9 (0.8-1.1)0.016PT/INR1.22 (1.15-1.30)1.25 (1.13-1.34)1.19 (1.15-1.24)0.938PTT ratio1.35 (1.18-1.47)1.39 (1.19-1.56)1.33 (1.18-1.44)0.152TnT, ng/l26 (13-48)26 (17-37)26 (11-49)0.700Procalcitonin, ng/nl0.1 (0.1-0.2)0.1 (0.0-0.1)0.2 (0.1-0.3)0.118Eibrinogen m/dl546 (412-637)424 (379-627)546 (474-647)0.302	8.000 U ×2	2 (4.4)	2 (8)	0 (0)				
Hydroxychloroquine4 (12)3 (15)1 (4)0.087Gortisone13 (30)4 (20)9 (34)0.922Biochemical parametersFerritin, μg/l857 (498-1,686)498 (358-1,758)946 (612-1,356)0.634AST, U/l35 (23-44)30 (18-40)38 (29-46)0.314ALT, U/l30 (20-57)24 (16-33)31 (23-66)0.117LDH, U/l326 (249-383)256 (181-314)348 (317-390)0.027S-Creatinine, mg/dl0.9 (0.7-1.0)0.8 (0.6-0.9)0.9 (0.8-1.1)0.016PT/INR1.22 (1.15-1.30)1.25 (1.13-1.34)1.19 (1.15-1.24)0.938PTT ratio1.35 (1.18-1.47)1.39 (1.19-1.56)1.33 (1.18-1.44)0.152TnT, ng/l26 (13-48)26 (17-37)26 (11-49)0.700ProBNP, ng/l197 (97-315)159 (60-207)315 (172-521)0.059Procalcitonin, ng/ml0.1 (0.1-0.2)0.1 (0.0-0.1)0.2 (0.1-0.3)0.118Eibrinogen mg/dl546 (412-637)424 (379-627)546 (474-647)0.302	Antiplatelet agents	5 (11)	5 (25)	0 (0)	<0.001			
Cortisone 13 (30) 4 (20) 9 (34) 0.922 Biochemical parameters	Hydroxychloroquine	4 (12)	3 (15)	1 (4)	0.087			
Biochemical parameters Ferritin, μg/l 857 (498-1,686) 498 (358-1,758) 946 (612-1,356) 0.634 AST, U/l 35 (23-44) 30 (18-40) 38 (29-46) 0.314 ALT, U/l 30 (20-57) 24 (16-33) 31 (23-66) 0.117 LDH, U/l 326 (249-383) 256 (181-314) 348 (317-390) 0.027 S-Creatinine, mg/dl 0.9 (0.7-1.0) 0.8 (0.6-0.9) 0.9 (0.8-1.1) 0.016 PT/INR 1.22 (1.15-1.30) 1.25 (1.13-1.34) 1.19 (1.15-1.24) 0.938 PTT ratio 1.35 (1.18-1.47) 1.39 (1.19-1.56) 1.33 (1.18-1.44) 0.152 TnT, ng/l 26 (13-48) 26 (17-37) 26 (11-49) 0.700 ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procalcitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Eibringagen mn/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	Cortisone	13 (30)	4 (20)	9 (34)	0.922			
Ferritin, µg/l 857 (498-1,686) 498 (358-1,758) 946 (612-1,356) 0.634 AST, U/l 35 (23-44) 30 (18-40) 38 (29-46) 0.314 ALT, U/l 30 (20-57) 24 (16-33) 31 (23-66) 0.117 LDH, U/l 326 (249-383) 256 (181-314) 348 (317-390) 0.027 S-Creatinine, mg/dl 0.9 (0.7-1.0) 0.8 (0.6-0.9) 0.9 (0.8-1.1) 0.016 PT/INR 1.22 (1.15-1.30) 1.25 (1.13-1.34) 1.19 (1.15-1.24) 0.938 PTT ratio 1.35 (1.18-1.47) 1.39 (1.19-1.56) 1.33 (1.18-1.44) 0.152 TnT, ng/l 26 (13-48) 26 (17-37) 26 (11-49) 0.700 ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procacitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Eibringeng m/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	Biochemical parameters		. ()					
AST, U/l 35 (23-44) 30 (18-40) 38 (29-46) 0.314 ALT, U/l 35 (23-44) 30 (18-40) 38 (29-46) 0.314 ALT, U/l 30 (20-57) 24 (16-33) 31 (23-66) 0.117 LDH, U/l 326 (249-383) 256 (181-314) 348 (317-390) 0.027 S-Creatinine, mg/dl 0.9 (0.7-1.0) 0.8 (0.6-0.9) 0.9 (0.8-1.1) 0.016 PT/INR 1.22 (1.15-1.30) 1.25 (1.13-1.34) 1.19 (1.15-1.24) 0.938 PTT ratio 1.35 (1.18-1.47) 1.39 (1.19-1.56) 1.33 (1.18-1.44) 0.152 TnT, ng/l 26 (13-48) 26 (17-37) 26 (11-49) 0.700 ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procacitonin, ng/nl 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Eibringegen m/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	Ferritin. µg/l	857 (498-1.686)	498 (358-1.758)	946 (612-1.356)	0.634			
ALT, U/L 30 (20-57) 24 (16-33) 31 (23-66) 0.117 LDH, U/L 326 (249-383) 256 (181-314) 348 (317-390) 0.027 S-Creatinine, mg/dl 0.9 (0.7-1.0) 0.8 (0.6-0.9) 0.9 (0.8-1.1) 0.016 PT/INR 1.22 (1.15-1.30) 1.25 (1.13-1.34) 1.19 (1.15-1.24) 0.938 PTT ratio 1.35 (1.18-1.47) 1.39 (1.19-1.56) 1.33 (1.18-1.44) 0.152 TnT, ng/l 26 (13-48) 26 (17-37) 26 (11-49) 0.700 ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procacitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Eibringegen mg/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	AST. U/L	35 (23-44)	30 (18-40)	38 (29-46)	0.314			
LDH, U/l 326 (249-383) 256 (181-314) 348 (317-390) 0.027 S-Creatinine, mg/dl 0.9 (0.7-1.0) 0.8 (0.6-0.9) 0.9 (0.8-1.1) 0.016 PT/INR 1.22 (1.15-1.30) 1.25 (1.13-1.34) 1.19 (1.15-1.24) 0.938 PTT ratio 1.35 (1.18-1.47) 1.39 (1.19-1.56) 1.33 (1.18-1.44) 0.152 TnT, ng/l 26 (13-48) 26 (17-37) 26 (11-49) 0.700 ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procalcitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Eibringagen mg/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	ALT. U/L	30 (20-57)	24 (16-33)	31 (23-66)	0.117			
S-Creatinine, mg/dl 0.9 (0.7-1.0) 0.8 (0.6-0.9) 0.9 (0.8-1.1) 0.016 PT/INR 1.22 (1.15-1.30) 1.25 (1.13-1.34) 1.19 (1.15-1.24) 0.938 PTT ratio 1.35 (1.18-1.47) 1.39 (1.19-1.56) 1.33 (1.18-1.44) 0.152 TnT, ng/l 26 (13-48) 26 (17-37) 26 (11-49) 0.700 ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procalcitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Eibringen m/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302		326 (249-383)	256 (181-314)	348 (317-390)	0.027			
PT/INR 1.22 (1.15-1.30) 1.25 (1.13-1.34) 1.19 (1.15-1.24) 0.938 PTT ratio 1.35 (1.18-1.47) 1.39 (1.19-1.56) 1.33 (1.18-1.44) 0.152 TnT, ng/l 26 (13-48) 26 (17-37) 26 (11-49) 0.700 ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procalcitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Eibringen m/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	S-Creatinine mg/dl	0 9 (0 7-1 0)	0.8 (0.6-0.9)	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.016			
PTT ratio 1.35 (118-1.47) 1.39 (1.19-1.56) 1.33 (1.18-1.44) 0.152 TnT, ng/l 26 (13-48) 26 (17-37) 26 (11-49) 0.700 ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procalcitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Eibringgen mg/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	PT/INR	1 22 (1 15-1 30)	1 25 (1 13-1 34)	1 19 (1 15-1 24)	0.938			
TnT, ng/l 26 (13-48) 26 (17-37) 26 (11-49) 0.700 ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procalcitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Fibringen mg/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	PTT ratio	1.35 (1.18-1.47)	1.39 (1.19-1 56)	1.33 (1.18-1.44)	0 152			
ProBNP, ng/l 197 (97-315) 159 (60-207) 315 (172-521) 0.059 Procalcitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Fibringgen mg/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	TnT. ng/l	26 (13-48)	26 (17-37)	26 (11-49)	0 700			
Procalcitonin, ng/ml 0.1 (0.1-0.2) 0.1 (0.0-0.1) 0.2 (0.1-0.3) 0.118 Fibringen mg/dl 546 (412-637) 424 (379-627) 546 (474-647) 0.302	ProBNP. ng/l	197 (97-315)	159 (60-207)	315 (172-521)	0 059			
Fibringen mg/dl 546 (412-637) 474 (379-677) 546 (474-647) 0.200	Procalcitonin ng/ml	0.1 (0.1-0.2)		0.2 (0.1-0.3)	0.118			
	Fibrinogen, mg/dl	546 (412-637)	424 (379-627)	546 (474-647)	0.302			

Continued on the next page

reproducibility (statistical power) of the inferences drawn from these statistics could be questioned. All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California) and SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

See the Supplemental Appendix for extended experimental procedures.

TABLE 1 Continued				
	All (N = 46)	Oxygen Therapy [Group 1] (n = 20)	Mechanical Ventilation [Group 2] (n = 26)	p Value
Blood cell count				
WBC, 10³/μl	10.1 (6.6-12.3)	9.7 (7.2-10.8)	10.5 (7.0-14.6)	0.515
Neut, 10³/μl	6.8 (4.0-9.8)	6.4 (4.4-8.3)	8.7 (4.7-12.2)	0.283
Lymph, 10 ³ /µl	1.3 (0.9-2.1)	1.7 (1.2-2.1)	1.1 (0.6-1.9)	0.035
Mono, 10 ³ /µl	0.7 (0.5-0.9)	0.7 (0.6-0.9)	0.6 (0.5-0.9)	0.457
RBC, 10 ⁶ /µl	3.7 (3.2-4.0)	3.9 (3.6-4.2)	3.4 (3.1-3.7)	< 0.001
Hgb, g/dl	10.7 (9.8-11.9)	11.2 (10.6-12.0)	10.1 (9.3-11.3)	0.019
НСТ, %	33.8 (30.9-36.9)	35.4 (33.0-37.0)	31.8 (28.9-35.8)	0.010
PLT, 103/μl	267 (202-314)	295 (269-362)	232 (128-289)	0.001
PDW, fl	12.9 (11.6-14.2)	12.3 (11.2-13.4)	13.7 (12.6-15.5)	0.008
MPV, fl	11 (10.4-11.6)	10.7 (10.1-11.2)	11.3 (10.9-12.1)	0.004
P-LCR, %	32.5 (27.2-36.3)	30.7 (25.3-34.2)	35.1 (31.3-40.8)	0.004
IPF, %	3.7 (2.7-5.7)	2.8 (1.8-4.3)	4.8 (3.3-8.2)	0.003

Values are median (interquartile range) or n (%).

ALT = alanine aminotransferase; AnnV, annexinV; AST = aspartate aminotransferase; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease-2019; CRP = C-reactive protein; FiO_2 = fraction of inspired oxygen; HCT = hematocrit; Hgb = hemoglobin; INR = international normalized ratio; IPF = immature platelet fraction; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; Lymph = lymphocyte; Mono = monocyte; MPV = mean platelet volume; Neut = neutrophil; pCO₂ = partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PDW = platelet distribution width; P-LCR = platelet large cell ratio; PLT = platelet; pO₂ = partial pressure of oxygen; ProBNP = pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; PT = porthrombin time; PT = partial thromboplastin time; RBC = red blood cell; TnT = troponin T; WBC = white blood cell.

RESULTS

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS. Baseline characteristics of the enrolled patients are summarized in Table 1. The median age was 67 [58 to 81] years and 74 [63 to 84] years, for patients on oxygen therapy (group 1) and invasive or noninvasive mechanical ventilation (group 2), respectively, with a predominance of men in both groups (55% and 65% in group 1 and group 2, respectively). Chronic diseases, including cardiovascular and cerebrovascular, respiratory system, endocrinologic, and kidney diseases were comparable between the 2 groups. In the patients requiring mechanical ventilation, the arterial blood gas analysis parameters, in particular the pO₂/ FiO₂ ratio, were significantly worse. Ferritin, LDH, serum creatinine, procalcitonin, D-dimer, fibrinogen, CRP, and IL-6, measured at hospital admission, were higher in group 2 patients. At the time of blood sampling for the analysis described in the following text, group 2 patients also had lower platelet, lymphocyte, and erythrocyte counts, and lower hemoglobin levels. Conversely, the platelet-related parameters platelet distribution width, mean platelet volume, platelet large cell ratio, and immature platelet fraction were all significantly greater compared with group 1. During hospitalization, LMWH at prophylactic (1 per day) or therapeutic (2 per day) regimen, hydroxychloroquine and cortisone treatment was comparable between the 2 groups, whereas antiplatelet drugs were administered in only 5 group 1 patients (25%). No symptomatic venous thromboembolisms were diagnosed during hospitalization.

CHARACTERIZATION OF TF-EXPRESSING CELLS AND MVs. We first assessed TF expression among the circulating cells and MVs. Whole-blood flow cytometry analysis of TF⁺ cells showed that the median level of TF⁺ platelets in COVID-19 patients was significantly higher than that found in HS (p = 0.007), with a trend toward greater values in group 2 (**Figures 1A and 1B**). Interestingly, 40% of patients in group 1 had TF⁺ platelets far above the median value of HS.

Granulocytes were also ~3-fold greater in COVID-19 compared with HS (p < 0.001) (Figure 1C), whereas only a trend increase was observed for TF⁺ monocytes (Figure 1D). Distribution of TF⁺ granulocytes and monocytes was not different between COVID-19 patients. Notably, TF⁺ platelets and granulocytes were also significantly higher in COVID-19 than in CAD patients on dual antiplatelet therapy, whereas TF⁺ monocytes were similar (Supplemental Figure 1).

MVs were assessed in plasma, taking into account: 1) their total number; 2) the procoagulant phenotype in terms of annexinV (AnnV) binding to PS and TF expression; and 3) their cell origin, focusing on those derived from platelets, granulocytes, monocytes, erythrocytes, and endothelium. Total and TF⁺ MVs were significantly higher in COVID-19 patients compared with HS, whereas the AnnV⁺ MVs were lower (**Table 2**). All the parental cells released a double amount of TF⁺ MVs, the most abundant coming



from platelets and erythrocytes, followed by those from endothelium, granulocytes, and monocytes. Despite a similar MV distribution in the 2 COVID-19 groups, the number of procoagulant MVs (AnnV⁺/ TF⁺) was greater in group 2 patients (Supplemental Figure 2). Notably, almost all the TF⁺ MVs were significantly higher in COVID-19 than in CAD patients (Supplemental Table 1).

Considering the massive expression of cell- and MV-associated TF measured, we then tested the residual plasma thrombin generation capacity. At the time of our sampling, all but 20% of patients were on LMWH (Table 1). When no exogenous TF was used to trigger the reaction, the few patients that were not on LMWH generated thrombin much faster than HS (lag time 11.3 [10 to 22.3] min and 23.3 [18.7 to 30.2] min, respectively). Among those on LMWH, thrombin generation was observed in 20% of patients. The time needed for thrombin formation was as high as that of HS (lag time 21 [18.3 to 22.0] min and 23.3 [18.7 to 30.2] min in COVID-19 patients and HS, respectively) and they accounted for 30% of those treated with prophylactic LMWH.

When the TF-FVIIa complex formation was no longer the limiting step in the system (by adding excess of exogenous TF), residual thrombin formation was observed in all patients treated with 6,000 U/day and in 40% of those with 8,000 to 16,000 U/day LMWH, being mainly (70%) in group 2.

In addition, despite the administration of comparable daily-dose LMWH, thrombin was more than 3-to 5-fold higher in group 2 than in group 1 patients (endogenous thrombin potential [ETP] 1,064 nmol/l \times min [1,040 to 1,240 nmol/l \times min] vs. 584 nmol/l \times min [529 to 638 nmol/l \times min], respectively; p < 0.001; peak high 165 nmol/l [156 to 169 nmol/l] vs. 40.7 nmol/l [35 to 46.4 nmol/l] thrombin, respectively; p < 0.001), evidencing a higher prothrombotic risk in patients with reduced respiratory capacity. Interestingly, the ETP correlated with the number of procoagulant MVs (r = 0.495; p = 0.020).

Overall these data, underscoring the marked prothrombotic phenotype associated with both TF-bearing cells and MVs, provide insights into the potential mechanisms that trigger the hypercoagulable state, especially in severe COVID-19 patients.

TABLE 2 MV Characterization in COVID-19 Patients and in HS								
ΜV/μί	$\textbf{COVID-19} \ \textbf{(n=46)}$	HS (n = 46)	p Value					
Total	1,036 (853-1339)	657 (571-792)	< 0.001					
TF ⁺	435 (276-633)	184 (136-229)	< 0.001					
AnnV ⁺	87 (68-132)	152 (128-194)	< 0.001					
Platelet-derived, CD41 ⁺	274 (217-367)	205 (159-247)	< 0.001					
Erythrocyte-derived, Glyco+	278 (219-411)	216 (169-280)	< 0.001					
Monocyte-derived, CD14 $^+$	76 (45-117)	45 (39-55)	< 0.001					
Granulocyte-derived, CD66 ⁺	145 (103-256)	62 (52-84)	< 0.001					
Endothelium-derived, CD146 $^+$	141 (98-179)	125 (91-141)	0.092					
CD41 ⁺ TF ⁺	193 (117–279)	85 (63-111)	< 0.001					
Glyco ⁺ TF ⁺	190 (132-277)	90 (67-120)	< 0.001					
CD14 ⁺ TF ⁺	41 (29-60)	18 (14-24)	< 0.001					
CD66 ⁺ TF ⁺	85 (63-157)	40 (32-50)	< 0.001					
CD146 ⁺ TF ⁺	89 (81-107)	48 (37-80)	<0.001					

Values are median (interguartile range).

 $\label{eq:AnnV} AnnV = annexin \ V; \ COVID-19 = coronavirus \ disease-2019; \ Glyco = glycophorin; \ HS = healthy \ subjects; \ MV = microvesicle; \ TF = tissue \ factor.$

CHARACTERIZATION OF PLATELET ACTIVATION.

Platelet-associated TF expression and circulating platelet-derived MVs are only 2 among the markers of platelet activation. For a more comprehensive analysis, we also assessed P-selectin exposure and platelet-leukocyte aggregate (PLA) formation. The percentage of P-selectin⁺ platelets was 10-fold higher in COVID-19 patients compared with HS (p = 0.006) (Figure 2A). This was paralleled by a marked increase in the frequencies of granulocyte-platelet aggregates (GPA) and monocyte-platelet aggregates (MPA; p < 0.001) (Figures 2B and 2E), as well as in TF⁺ aggregates (p < 0.001) (Figures 2D and 2G). All these parameters were also higher compared with CAD patients (Supplemental Figure 3). Of note, IL-6, CRP, and D-dimer levels negatively correlated with GPA (r = -0.38; p = 0.022; r = -0.45; p = 0.002, andr = -0.47; p = 0.003, respectively) and MPA (r = -0.51; p = 0.002; r = -0.53; p < 0.001, andr = -0.35; p = 0.033, respectively). Indeed, GPA and MPA were significantly lower in group 2 than in group 1 (p = 0.003 and p = 0.004, respectively) (Figures 2C and 2F).

All together, these results sustain the concept of a very high platelet activation state in COVID-19 patients. Moreover, the negative correlation of PLA with inflammatory and thrombotic parameters, along with the lower platelet count of group 2 patients, suggests their possible consumption even in COVID-19 patients considered to be at low risk.

CHARACTERIZATION OF ENDOTHELIAL ACTIVATION. Perturbed endothelium, as occurs during systemic infection, affects platelet activation (7). Thus, we evaluated the extent of endothelial dysfunction by assessing NO and PGI₂ production. COVID-19 patients showed an impairment in NO biosynthetic pathway, being almost one-half the concentration of arginine and twice the levels of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), the specific NO synthase inhibitor, compared with HS (p < 0.001) (Figures 3A and 3B). Global arginine bioavailability ratio, calculated as arginine/ (ornithine+citrulline), was significantly reduced in COVID-19 patients as a result of the higher levels of ornithine compared with HS (Figures 3C and 3D). Similar data were observed comparing COVID-19 to CAD patients (Supplemental Figure 4). Of note, ornithine concentrations were also significantly lower in group 2 compared with group 1 patients (p = 0.048)(Figure 3E), and citrulline, which is produced in equimolar amounts to NO, behaved similarly (Figures 3F and 3G). COVID-19 patients also had higher concentrations of 6 ketoprostaglandin F1 alpha (6ketoPGF_{1alpha}), the main plasma metabolite of COX-2-produced PGI₂, than those of HS (p = 0.010) (Figure 3H) with higher levels in group 2 compared with group 1 patients (p = 0.035) (Figure 3I).

The relation between L-arginine/NO pathway impairment and the systemic inflammatory response was supported by the negative correlation between CRP and both arginine and citrulline (r = -0.34; p = 0.037; r = -0.42; p = 0.009, respectively) and IL-6 with both citrulline and ornithine (r = -0.45; p = 0.014; r = -0.43; p = 0.012, respectively).

Taken together, these data highlight the endothelial impairment that could affect platelet activation.

IN VITRO MODEL OF COVID-19-INDUCED PLATELET ACTIVATION. In consideration of the relevance of the cytokine storm in the pathogenesis of severe COVID-19, we tested whether the cytokine content of plasma from COVID-19 patients reproduced, in cells from HS, the massive platelet activation observed in vivo. Blood from HS was plasma-depleted and reconstituted with plasma pools from COVID-19 patients or from HS. Analysis performed after 30-min incubation showed that only COVID-19 plasma significantly increased the number of TF⁺ platelets (Figure 4A) and their TF-dependent thrombin generation capacity (lag time 13.4 min [13.2 to 14.1 min] and 15.7 min [14.8 to 16.4 min] for COVID-19 and HS plasma, respectively; p < 0.003) (Supplemental Figure 5C). Plasma COVID-19 also induced MV release, as well as expression of the other platelet activation markers (Figures 4B to 4G), but activated glycoprotein (GP) IIb/IIIa and PS exposure (Supplemental Figures 5A and 5B). Interestingly, this activation was not due to a direct effect of SARS-CoV-



2 because digital PCR analysis ruled out its presence in patients' plasma (Supplemental Figure 6).

Among the mediators released during the cytokine storm, IL-6 seems to play a major role because efficacy of anti-IL-6R blockade with tocilizumab has been reported (13). Thus, we explored whether IL-6 added to blood from HS could recapitulate the effect observed with COVID-19 plasma. Interestingly IL-6, at concentrations comparable to those found in COVID-19 patients, was ineffective in inducing cell activation. Conversely, it significantly potentiated the effect of low-concentration adenosine diphosphate (ADP) or thromboxane A2 (stable analogue U46619), endogenous platelet agonists released on activation, resulting in cell stimulation resembling that observed with COVID-19 plasma for all the parameters assessed (**Figure 4** and Supplemental Figure 7).

On the basis of these findings, we then assessed the effect of blocking IL-6 signaling. To this aim, plasma COVID-19 and blood from HS were treated with tocilizumab at 2 concentrations close to those achieved in therapy. The drug concentration dependently blunted the effect of COVID-19 plasma on the expression of TF⁺ platelets, TF⁺ aggregates, and TF⁺-plateletderived MVs (Figures 5A, 5F, 5H, and 5I) and significantly reduced platelet-associated thrombin generation (Figures 5B and 5C), P-selectin expression (Figure 5D), and GPA, and MPA formation (Figures 5E



Plasma levels of L-arginine (Arg) (A), asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) (B), global arginine bioavailability ratio (GABR) (C), L-ornithine (Orn) (D), L-citrulline (Cit) (F), and 6-keto-PGF_{1 α} (H). L-ornithine (E), L-citrulline (G), and 6-keto-PGF_{1 α} (I) in group 1 and group 2 COVID-19 patients. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.



breviations as in Figures 1 and 2.

and 5G). No effect of tocilizumab on blood reconstituted with autologous plasma was observed (Supplemental Figure 8).

Overall, results obtained with this approach suggest that the platelet activation observed in COVID-19 patients is not directly caused by SARS-CoV-2. Furthermore, these data support the clinical evidence pointing toward a key role of IL-6 in the coagulopathy described in severe COVID-19 patients.

IN VITRO MODULATION OF COVID-19-INDUCED PLATELET ACTIVATION BY ANTIPLATELET DRUGS. Finally, because in vitro data confirm the sustained platelet activation documented in vivo, we evaluated whether aspirin and the P2Y₁₂ inhibitor AR-C69931MX



Plasma-depleted blood from HS (n = 5) has been reconstructed with COVID-19 plasma pool (orange bars) or COVID-19 plasma pool preincubated with tocilizumab (Toc; 100 or 300 μ g/ml; **blue and light blue bars**, respectively) or with an irrelevant (Irrel) IgG (300 μ g/ml; **grey bars**). Blood reconstituted with autologous plasma is reported for comparison (white bars). Percentage of TF⁺ and P-selectin⁺ platelets (A and D), CD41⁺TF⁺ MVs (I), total and TF⁺ granulocyte-platelet aggregates (E and F) and monocyte-platelet aggregates (G and H). (B) Time needed for platelet-associated thrombin generation (TG) (lag time). (C) Representative curves of TG were reported. Abbreviations as in Figures 1 and 2.



prevented it. Both antiplatelet agents significantly inhibit COVID-19 plasma-induced platelet activation and MV release, AR-C69931MX being more effective than aspirin in reducing TF^+ platelets and TF^+ -platelet-derived MVs, as well as P-selectin.

Association of these drugs did not result in any additive effect (**Figure 6**). These data suggest the potential benefit of antiplatelet agents in the management of the thrombotic complication of COVID-19 patients.

DISCUSSION

The present study provides, for the first time to our knowledge, evidence that the coagulopathy reported in COVID-19 patients is supported by: 1) a sustained TF expression by virtually all the cells in contact with blood and by the derived MVs; and 2) a massive platelet activation characterized by the formation of PLA that may be involved in the pulmonary microthrombi found in autoptic specimens. All these events occur on the background of a dysfunctional endothelium in terms of NO and PGI₂ production. Moreover, the study highlights the role of IL-6 in sustaining blood cells activation and TF expression, and how the pharmacological modulation, not only with tocilizumab, but also with antiplatelet drugs, turns off PLA and MV formation, and TF expression (Visual Abstract).

COVID-19 is caused by the SARS-CoV-2 infection. Despite hemostatic changes, indicative for a coagulopathy that may predispose COVID-19 patients to thrombotic events, having been consistently reported in the published reports, very little is known on the pathophysiological mechanisms involved. Another knowledge gap is whether the hemostatic abnormalities are directly caused by SARS-CoV-2 or are the results of endothelial dysfunction together with the cytokine storm, as previously reported for other infections (5).

Our data show that the high D-dimer levels often measured in COVID-19 patients could be due to a celland MV-associated TF-dependent activation of blood coagulation. The number of circulating TF⁺ platelets and granulocytes is 2- to 3-fold greater than that found in HS or CAD patients. Also TF⁺ MVs doubled compared with HS. Interestingly, TF⁺ platelets and procoagulant MVs both correlate with the disease severity, being higher in COVID-19 patients requiring mechanical ventilation for maintaining adequate arterial oxygenation. Moreover, procoagulant MVs also positively correlated with the residual plasma thrombin generation capacity that, despite comparable LMWH daily dose, was higher again in COVID-19 patients necessitating mechanical ventilation. It is worth mentioning in this regard that, in an attempt to prevent microvascular thrombosis, empiric use of parenteral anticoagulation at a prophylactic or therapeutic dose has been applied to COVID-19 patients worldwide. While this study was conducted, the optimal dosing of anticoagulant agents was still unknown, and further prospective investigation was claimed (14).

Viral infections, bacterial infections, and inflammatory stimuli are well-known inducers of TF expression in endothelial cells, monocytes, and granulocytes (4,15). By adding an ex vivo and in vitro approach to the snapshot of the in vivo situation, this study clearly highlights how platelet TF is also fundamental in the thromboinflammation triggered by SARS-CoV-2. Even numbers come in handy in pathophysiology: when the absolute number of TF⁺ events is computed, taking into account the blood cell concentration, the number of circulating TF⁺ platelets is ~100 times higher than that of granulocytes.

Although the research on TF modulation in platelets is relatively more recent than that on endothelium and leukocytes, several studies have documented by now that, upon platelet activation by the common agonists, TF translocates from the cytoplasm to the cell membrane where it can trigger thrombin generation (9,16,17). More recently, we have shown that also angiotensin II (ATII) stimulates platelets to express TF (18). This mechanism may have direct implications in COVID-19 coagulopathy where ATII levels increase as a result of the down-regulation of angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2), the main host cell receptor of SARS-CoV-2, following virus binding (19). In view of the cytokine storm present in COVID-19 patients, the finding that TNFα elicited platelet activation and TF expression, which in turn prompted thrombin generation and clot formation, has also to be considered (15). Interestingly, it has been previously reported that HIV-infected patients, who have an increased risk for thrombotic and cardiovascular events, also have significantly higher levels of TF⁺ and P-selectin⁺ platelets and MVs compared with noninfected subjects (20). Furthermore, in these patients, the risk of death, including that due to cardiovascular events, was linked to higher plasma levels of IL-6, CRP, and D-dimer, similar to what observed in COVID-19 (21).

In these patients, we also observed a very strong up-regulation of platelet P-selectin (10-fold higher than HS). Platelets amplify TF expression on leukocytes via the interaction of P-selectin with PSGL-1, its counter-receptor on monocytes and neutrophils (22). Moreover, P-selectin plays a key role also in the formation of PLA, important elements for the regulation of the immune response and the clearance of infectious agents. By binding and activating leukocytes, platelets promote their effector functions. Plateletneutrophil complexes have more activated adhesion molecules, greater phagocytic ability, and greater toxic oxygen metabolites than noncomplexed neutrophils (23). Interestingly, we found a negative correlation between circulating PLA and IL-6, CRP, and D-dimer levels, being indeed lower in group 2 patients. These data, together with the observation that patients on mechanical ventilation were also characterized by a significant lower platelet count compared with those treated with only low-flow oxygen, led us to speculate that these cells could be consumed in the pulmonary microthrombi found in autopsies (24-26).

Several mechanisms can promote platelet activation in COVID-19, and among them, our data point to endothelial dysfunction. Besides the release of TF⁺ MVs and the role of IL-6 (see later in the text), we further highlight that the synthesis of the 2 major molecules controlling platelet activation, NO and PGI₂, is deeply affected. Our data showed a marked reduction of arginine, an essential amino acid whose metabolism has already been recognized as important in several viral infections (27). Arginine can be converted to NO and citrulline by nitric oxide synthase (NOS). The negative correlation between citrulline levels and IL-6 concentrations together with the reduced levels of citrulline in more compromised COVID-19 patients corroborate the role of this metabolic pathway in disease progression. In addition, the increased levels of ADMA, the specific NOS inhibitor, observed in COVID-19 patients further highlight the impaired endothelial function. As expected, ornithine levels were increased in COVID-19 patients as the result of the immunity-mediated up-regulation of arginase, which competes with NOS for the same substrate arginine (28). Interestingly, patients requiring mechanical ventilation showed lower levels of ornithine, which is the precursor of polyamines, a group of small, positively charged molecules, involved in several biological processes. Mounce et al. (29) have recently shown the importance of polyamine biosynthesis in the replication processes of RNA viruses, including Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. In addition, the de novo synthesis of arginine from ornithine could be a vicarious mechanism to integrate low arginine levels. The endothelial activation in COVID-19 patients was further documented by the significantly increased levels of prostacyclin. As previously reported in other clinical settings, the increased endothelial biosynthesis of this antithrombotic prostanoid reflects the homeostatic response to inflammation and platelet activation (30).

The experimental ex vivo/in vitro approach offers several considerations. First, the massive platelet activation observed in COVID-19 patients is not a direct effect of the virus because SARS-CoV-2 has been detected in blood in a low percentage of infected patients and at very low levels, and no documented cases of transfusion transmission have been reported in the published reports. Conversely, platelet activation is consistent among all patients in this study; and ACE2 is mainly expressed in the lung, intestine, kidney, and blood vessels (31). No evidence for its presence in platelets has been reported so far; SARS-CoV-2 was not detected in the COVID-19 plasma pools used to test their effects on platelets of healthy subjects in vitro. In this setting, platelets are readily activated, and the effect of plasma, especially on TF expression, is blunted by tocilizumab, pointing to IL-6 as 1 of the mediators involved. Second, in COVID-19 patients, platelets are likely pre-activated due to the endothelial dysfunction and the lack of NO bioavailability. In this scenario, the presence of IL-6 within the cytokine storm enhances the effect of mediators released by the activated platelet. This finding is supported by the fact that IL-6 alone does not activate platelets in vitro, but it potentiates the effect of ADP or TxB₂. These data are consistent with a previous study that showed how IL-6 trans-signaling has no effect on platelet degranulation and aggregation by itself (32). Second, aspirin and P2Y₁₂ inhibitors prevent platelet activation induced by COVID-19 plasma. Indeed, aspirin and P2Y₁₂ inhibitors have been previously shown to be able to reduce heterotypic PLA, with the effect of the P2Y12 inhibitors being more potent and consistent, throughout the studies, compared with that of aspirin (33). Moreover, inhibition of P2Y₁₂, not only blocks ADP, but also affects the release of sIL-6R, the specific soluble receptor needed for IL-6 signaling through the membrane-bound gp130 (32). Interestingly, Viecca et al. (34) recently showed that the combined use of several antiplatelet therapies in severe COVID-19 patients with a thrombophilic profile resulted in improved gas exchange efficiency and increased arterial oxygenation. Third, the platelet activation documented in COVID-19 patients has a peculiar feature to fight the virus. The strong induction of TF and P-selectin expression, and PLA formation was observed in the absence of GPIIb/ IIIa activation, lacking, therefore, the conditio sine qua non to form platelet-platelet aggregates and confer a thrombotic risk. It is conceivable, however, that when the balance between the mechanisms that drive a robust antiviral immune response and regulators that function to limit an excessive immune response are lost, an overt immune-mediated pathology takes over. Thus, although our data should be confirmed in a larger study population, they provide

the scientific rationale to support the ongoing interventional clinical trials aiming at assessing whether the use of well-known antiplatelet agents ACTCO-VID19 (Anti-Coronavirus Therapies to Prevent Progression of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Trial; NCT04324463); C-19-ACS [Preventing Cardiac Complication of COVID-19 Disease With Early Acute Coronary Syndrome Therapy: A Randomised Controlled Trial]; NCT04333407; COVID-PACT [Prevention of Arteriovenous Thrombotic Events in Critically-Ill COVID-19 Patients Trial]; NCT04409834; CORONA [Evolution of COVID-19 in Anticoagulated or Antiaggregated Patients (CORONA STUDY)]; NCT04518735) or COVACTA [IL-6R antagonists (A Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Tocilizumab in Patients With Severe COVID-19 Pneumonia]; NCT04320615; FMTVDM [The Fleming [(FMTVDM)]) Directed CoVid-19 Treatment Protocol; NCT04349410; COVIDOSE-2 [Low-dose Tocilizumab Versus Standard of Care in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19]; NCT04479358; ARCHITECTS [Trial of Tocilizumab for Treatment of Severe COVID-19]; NCT04412772) may blunt the coagulopathy occurring in COVID-19 patients, with the ultimate goal to markedly improve their overall prognosis. This intriguing hypothesis is further supported by the finding that severe COVID-19 patients may develop pulmonary embolism/small local thrombi despite prophylactic and even empiric treatment-dose anticoagulation (14), suggesting that an other antithrombotic therapy may be necessary for this therapeutic goal.

STUDY LIMITATIONS. First, data on the cell activation status found in COVID-19 patients are based on a small sample size. Nevertheless the results appear consistent and are further corroborated by the in vitro data. Furthermore, it is worth mentioning that, considering the emergency status existing at the time of patient enrollment and blood withdrawal, and the need to handle blood samples according to more stringent safety procedures, a great effort has been made to carry out such a thorough analysis. Second, our data suggest that an elevated baseline hemostatic potential, despite the anticoagulant treatment, could be responsible for the high thrombotic risk of COVID-19 patients; however, we cannot exclude a potential role of heparin resistance (35) in the high hypercoagulable state of COVID-19 patients. Likewise, we cannot rule out an off-target effect of LMWH on platelet activation; however, the 2 groups of patients were similarly treated even after adjustment for LMWH U/kg. Third, no systematic search for venous thromboembolic events was performed in our study population, given the risk of transmitting the infection to health care personnel and admitted patients. Thus, future studies should confirm our findings and assess whether they do correlate with the clinical and imaging observation of symptomatic or asymptomatic thromboembolic events. Fourth, due to the small sample size, no correlation of cell-activation parameters with clinical outcome(s) has been performed.

CONCLUSIONS

All together our findings revealed how the cytokine storm present in COVID-19 patients induces, in concert with the imbalance of the endothelial functions, a massive cell activation with production of TF, mainly by platelets, granulocytes, and MVs, these latter responsible for the residual thrombin generation capacity measured in plasma of all patients treated with prophylactic anticoagulation. Furthermore, COVID-19 patients are characterized by a sustained platelet activation with formation of PLA that may be involved in the microthrombi found in autoptic specimens. Finally, these results provide insights into the IL-6-mediated platelet activation that triggers the hypercoagulable state in COVID-19, suggesting the potential effectiveness of anti-IL-6 antibodies and antiplatelet drugs.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

This work was supported by a grant from Italian Ministry of Health (Ricerca Corrente Reti 2020- RCR-2020-23670065, to Prof. Camera). The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Prof. Marina Camera, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Balzaretti, 9, 20133 Milan, Italy. E-mail: Marina.Camera@unimi.it; OR Centro Cardiologico Monzino IRCCS, Via Parea 4, 20138 Milan, Italy. E-mail: Marina.Camera@ccfm.it.

PERSPECTIVES

COMPETENCY IN MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE: Patients with COVID-19 are characterized by a generalized cellular activation—involving endothelial and blood cells—that leads to a marked TF expression, mainly by platelets, granulocytes, and MVs. Indeed, residual thrombin generation is measurable in 40% of patients on therapeutic anticoagulation. A massive platelet activation, with formation of platelet-leukocyte aggregates, also contributes to the coagulopathy and to the clinical manifestation of the disease. The evidence that, besides tocilizumab, aspirin and $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors can turn off the IL-6-mediated cell activation could be of guidance for patient care, suggesting the use of these drugs to optimize the pharmacological treatment of COVID-19 patients.

TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK: Future studies should confirm our results and address whether the implementation of pharmacological treatment with antiplatelet drugs could improve hard outcomes in COVID-19 patients.

REFERENCES

1. Cui S, Chen S, Li X, Liu S, Wang F. Prevalence of venous thromboembolism in patients with severe novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost 2020;18:1421-4.

2. Lodigiani C, lapichino G, Carenzo L, et al. Venous and arterial thromboembolic complications in COVID-19 patients admitted to an academic hospital in Milan, Italy. Thromb Res 2020; 191:9-14.

3. Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost 2020;18:844-7.

4. van Gorp EC, Suharti C, ten Cate H, et al. Review: infectious diseases and coagulation disorders. J Infect Dis 1999;180:176-86.

5. Mackow ER, Gorbunova EE, Gavrilovskaya IN. Endothelial cell dysfunction in viral hemorrhage and edema. Front Microbiol 2014;5:733.

6. Nomura S, Shimizu M. Clinical significance of procoagulant microparticles. J Intensive Care 2015;3:2.

7. Golshiri K, Ataei Ataabadi E, Portilla Fernandez EC, Jan Danser AH, Roks AJM. The importance of the nitric oxide-cGMP pathway in age-related cardiovascular disease: focus on phosphodiesterase-1 and soluble guanylate cyclase. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2020;127: 67-80.

8. Hottz ED, Bozza FA, Bozza PT. Platelets in immune response to virus and immunopathology of viral infections. Front Med (Lausanne) 2018;5:121.

9. Camera M, Toschi V, Brambilla M, et al. The role of tissue factor in atherothrombosis and coronary artery disease: insights into platelet tissue factor. Semin Thromb Hemost 2015;41:737-46.

10. Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, et al. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Lancet 2020;395:1033-4.

11. Guaraldi G, Meschiari M, Cozzi-Lepri A, et al. Tocilizumab in patients with severe COVID-19: a

retrospective cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2020;2:E474-84.

12. Toniati P, Piva S, Cattalini M, et al. Tocilizumab for the treatment of severe COVID-19 pneumonia with hyperinflammatory syndrome and acute respiratory failure: a single center study of 100 patients in Brescia, Italy. Autoimmun Rev 2020;19: 102568.

13. Issa N, Dumery M, Guisset O, Mourissoux G, Bonnet F, Camou F. Feasibility of tocilizumab in ICU patients with COVID-19. J Med Virol 2021;93: 46-7.

14. Bikdeli B, Madhavan MV, Jimenez D, et al. COVID-19 and thrombotic or thromboembolic disease: implications for prevention, antithrombotic therapy, and follow-up: JACC state-ofthe-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;75: 2950-73.

15. Manfredi AA, Baldini M, Camera M, et al. Anti-TNFalpha agents curb platelet activation in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:1511–20.

16. Camera M, Frigerio M, Toschi V, et al. Platelet activation induces cell-surface immunoreactive tissue factor expression, which is modulated differently by antiplatelet drugs. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2003;23:1690-6.

17. Camera M, Brambilla M, Toschi V, Tremoli E. Tissue factor expression on platelets is a dynamic event. Blood 2010;116:5076-7.

18. Brambilla M, Gelosa P, Rossetti L, et al. Impact of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition on platelet tissue factor expression in stroke-prone rats. J Hypertens 2018;36:1360-71.

19. Malha L, Mueller FB, Pecker MS, Mann SJ, August P, Feig PU. COVID-19 and the reninangiotensin system. Kidney Int Rep 2020;5:563-5.

20. Mayne E, Funderburg NT, Sieg SF, et al. Increased platelet and microparticle activation in HIV infection: upregulation of P-selectin and tissue factor expression. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2012;59:340–6. **21.** Kuller LH, Tracy R, Belloso W, et al. Inflammatory and coagulation biomarkers and mortality in patients with HIV infection. PLoS Med 2008;5: e203.

 Maugeri N, Brambilla M, Camera M, et al. Human polymorphonuclear leukocytes produce and express functional tissue factor upon stimulation. J Thromb Haemost 2006;4:1323-30.

23. Peters MJ, Dixon G, Kotowicz KT, Hatch DJ, Heyderman RS, Klein NJ. Circulating plateletneutrophil complexes represent a subpopulation of activated neutrophils primed for adhesion, phagocytosis and intracellular killing. Br J Haematol 1999;106:391-9.

24. Wichmann D, Sperhake JP, Lutgehetmann M, et al. Autopsy findings and venous thromboembolism in patients with COVID-19. Ann Intern Med 2020;173:268-77.

25. Barton LM, Duval EJ, Stroberg E, Ghosh S, Mukhopadhyay S. COVID-19 autopsies, Oklahoma, USA. Am J Clin Pathol 2020;153:725-33.

26. Nicolai L, Leunig A, Brambs S, et al. Immunothrombotic dysregulation in COVID-19 pneumonia is associated with respiratory failure and coagulopathy. Circulation 2020;142:1176-89.

27. Li XK, Lu QB, Chen WW, et al. Arginine deficiency is involved in thrombocytopenia and immunosuppression in severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome. Sci Transl Med 2018;10: eaat4162.

28. Munder M. Arginase: an emerging key player in the mammalian immune system. Br J Pharmacol 2009;158:638–51.

29. Mounce BC, Cesaro T, Moratorio G, et al. Inhibition of polyamine biosynthesis is a broadspectrum strategy against RNA viruses. J Virol 2016;90:9683-92.

30. FitzGerald GA, Smith B, Pedersen AK, Brash AR. Increased prostacyclin biosynthesis in patients with severe atherosclerosis and platelet activation. N Engl J Med 1984;310:1065–8. **31.** Hamming I, Timens W, Bulthuis ML, Lely AT, Navis G, van Goor H. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. J Pathol 2004;203:631-7.

32. Marino M, Scuderi F, Ponte E, et al. Novel path to IL-6 trans-signaling through thrombin-induced soluble IL-6 receptor release by platelets. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 2013;27:841-52.

33. Schrottmaier WC, Kral JB, Badrnya S, Assinger A. Aspirin and P2Y12 inhibitors in

platelet-mediated activation of neutrophils and monocytes. Thromb Haemost 2015;114:478-89.

34. Viecca M, Radovanovic D, Forleo GB, Santus P. Enhanced platelet inhibition treatment improves hypoxemia in patients with severe Covid-19 and hypercoagulability. A case control, proof of concept study. Pharmacol Res 2020;158:104950.

35. White D, MacDonald S, Bull T, et al. Heparin resistance in COVID-19 patients in the intensive care unit. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2020;50: 287-91.

KEY WORDS antiplatelet drugs, circulating microvesicles, COVID-19, IL-6, platelet activation, tissue factor

APPENDIX For an expanded Methods section as well as supplemental figures and a table, please see the online version of this paper.