

# Type I interferon signaling promotes radioresistance in head and neck cancer

## Joseph Zenga<sup>1</sup><sup>^</sup>, Musaddiq J. Awan<sup>2</sup>, Anne Frei<sup>2</sup>, Becky Massey<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer Bruening<sup>1</sup>, Monica Shukla<sup>2</sup>, Guru Prasad Sharma<sup>2</sup>, Aditya Shreenivas<sup>3</sup>, Stuart J. Wong<sup>3</sup>, Michael T. Zimmermann<sup>4,5</sup>, Angela J. Mathison<sup>4,6</sup>, Heather A. Himburg<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Otolaryngology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>3</sup>Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>4</sup>Linda T. and John A. Mellowes Center for Genomic Sciences and Precision Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Research, Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Research, Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Research, Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Research, Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Research, Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Research, Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Research, Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division, Milwaukee, WI, USA; <sup>6</sup>

Correspondence to: Heather A. Himburg, PhD. Associate Professor, Department of Radiation Oncology, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA. Email: hhimburg@mcw.edu.

> Abstract: Despite the promise of concurrent radiotherapy (RT) and immunotherapy in head and neck cancer (HNC), multiple randomized trials of this combination have had disappointing results. To evaluate potential immunologic mechanisms of RT resistance, we compared pre-treatment HNCs that developed RT resistance to a matched cohort that achieved curative status. Gene set enrichment analysis demonstrated that a pre-treatment pro-immunogenic tumor microenvironment (TME), including type II interferon [interferon gamma (IFNγ)] and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) signaling, predicted cure while type I interferon [interferon alpha (IFNa)] enrichment was associated with an immunosuppressive TME found in tumors that went on to recur. We then used immune deconvolution of RNA sequencing datasets to evaluate immunologic cell subset enrichment. This identified M2 macrophage signaling associated with type I IFN pathway expression in RT-recurrent disease. To further dissect mechanism, we then evaluated differential gene expression between pre-treatment and RT-resistant HNCs from sampled from the same patients at the same anatomical location in the oral cavity. Here, recurrent samples exhibited upregulation of type I IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) including members of the IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats (IFIT) and IFN-induced transmembrane (IFITM) gene families. While several ISGs were upregulated in each recurrent cancer, IFIT2 was significantly upregulated in all recurrent tumors when compared with the matched pre-RT specimens. Based on these observations, we hypothesized sustained type I IFN signaling through ISGs, such as IFIT2, may suppress the intra-tumoral immune response thereby promoting radiation resistance.

> **Keywords:** Head and neck cancer (HNC); tumor microenvironment (TME); interferon (IFN); immunooncology; radiotherapy (RT)

Submitted Nov 15, 2023. Accepted for publication Feb 08, 2024. Published online May 13, 2024. doi: 10.21037/tcr-23-2104 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-23-2104

^ ORCID: 0000-0003-1565-9628.

### Introduction

Head and neck cancer (HNC), including squamous cell carcinomas of the oral cavity, pharynx, and larynx, has an annual incidence of 66,000 cases in the United States and results in over 14,000 deaths per year (1). Standard of care therapy includes major oral and pharyngeal surgery and/ or high-dose chemoradiotherapy to the head and neck (2). Despite such aggressive treatments, long-term survival for patients with locoregionally advanced HNC remains below 50% (3). Further, surgical and non-surgical therapies may lead to profound detriments in survivors' long-term speech, swallowing, and quality of life (4). Novel approaches are needed for the management of HNC.

To improve outcomes, recent clinical trials have tested concurrent radiotherapy (RT) and programmed cell death protein 1/programmed death ligand 1 (PD-1/PD-L1)-based immune checkpoint inhibition (ICI), based on the success of ICI in metastatic HNC (5,6). Unfortunately, the results of three clinical trials combining PD-1/PD-L1-targeted ICI with RT for HNC in the definitive setting [JAVELIN-100 (7), GORTEC 2017-01 (8) and PembroRad (9)] showed no benefit of combination therapy over standard of care. Given the independent efficacy of RT and ICI in HNC, and the expectation that ICI should augment the immunogenic response to RT (10), it is essential to identify the underlying etiology responsible for these unexpected trial results. By clarifying the mechanism, therapeutics may be developed to overcome treatment resistance to concurrent RT and ICI and thereby potentially improve HNC outcomes. To identify potential pathways that may lead to RT and ICI-resistance in HNC, we performed differential gene expression analyses comparing clinically recurrent HNCs to matched pre-RT biopsies. We identified upregulation of type I interferon (IFN) pathways in recurrent specimens associated with tumor microenvironment (TME) immunosuppression and M2 macrophage signaling. Although acute type I IFN exposure in the appropriate context may be beneficial, our results suggest chronic type I IFN signaling induced by RT constrains the immune response promoting RT resistance in HNC. We present this article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at https://tcr.amegroups.com/ article/view/10.21037/tcr-23-2104/rc).

### Methods

### Study design

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of

Helsinki (as revised in 2013). All data and specimen acquisition were approved by the Medical College of Wisconsin (MCW) Institutional Review Board (No. PRO00040992) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. All patients included in the tumor bank provided written informed consent at the time of tumor banking. HNC specimens for ribonucleic acid (RNA) sequencing analysis were obtained from cryopreserved specimens in the MCW Tissue Bank. Patients who underwent surgery and post-operative RT for locoregionally advanced HNC were followed prospectively using our institutional tumor bank. Eight patients were included in this report, including three female and five male patients with a median follow-up 72 months. Demographic and tumor characteristics are listed in Table 1. Five patients developed local cancer recurrence, of which three underwent biopsy of the recurrent tumor. First, to identify pre-treatment factors that may predict RT resistance, we compared pre-treatment tumor samples from patients who developed HNC recurrence to those who achieved cure after management with surgery and post-operative RT (Figure 1, left). The groups were matched for similar age, tumor subsite, treatment, disease stage, and smoking status (Table 1). Next, to identify mechanisms of RT resistance, we compared pretreatment tumor to a sample of the post-RT recurrence within the same patients biopsied from the same anatomical location in the oral cavity (Figure 1, right). All samples were analyzed per this pre-specified design and no samples or resulting data were excluded.

### RNA sequencing and bioinformatics

Specimens were cryopreserved in optimal cutting temperature compound at the time of HNC surgery for each patient. HNC specimens were homogenized with TissueLyser LT (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA). RNA was extracted using the Qiagen RNeasy mini kit. RNA from tumor samples was analyzed at the MCW Mellowes Center Genomic Sciences and Precision Medicine Center (GSPMC). RNA was quantified and integrity assessed (RNA Integrity Number values from Agilent fragment analyzer). RNA libraries were prepared according to manufacturer's protocols utilizing Illumina's TruSeq stranded mRNA (messenger RNA) library kit. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq6000 with paired end 100 base pair reads generating >50 million reads per sample. Sequencing reads were processed through the Multiplexed Analysis of Projections by RNA sequencing (MAPR-Seq) Workflow (11) with differential expression analysis

#### Translational Cancer Research, Vol 13, No 5 May 2024

Table 1 Demographics and treatm	ment characterist	ics of included	study patients
---------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	----------------

Characteristics	No recurrence (n=3)	Local recurrence (n=5)	
Median age (years)	62	58	
Tumor subsite	All oral cavity	All oral cavity	
Pathological disease stage	All stage IV	All stage IV	
Treatment	All surgery and post-operative radiotherapy	All surgery and post-operative radiotherapy	
Smoking status	All former smokers	All former smokers	





completed in Bioconductor, edgeR v3.8.6 software (12). Genes with false discovery rate less than 5% and an absolute fold change  $\geq 2$  were considered differentially expressed. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (IPA, Qiagen) was used to evaluate for differential pathway enrichment and upstream regulators. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) used the Hallmark Gene Set Collection from the Molecular Signature Database (13). Intra-tumoral immune characterization was performed by quanTIseq

deconvolution to estimate the absolute proportion of relevant immune cell types from bulk RNA sequencing profiles (14). The cell type fraction scores provided by this method allow comparisons of ten immune cell type fractions, elucidating differences in immune cell subsets including Tregs, cytotoxic T cells, helper T cells, M1 and M2 macrophages, and myeloid-derived suppressor cells. The quanTIseq method was applied through the R package Immunedeconv on differentially expressed genes (15).



Figure 2 Principal component analysis of primary HNCs which achieved cure (dark blue, N=3) and those which went on to recur after treatment with surgery and radiotherapy (light blue, N=5). For three of the recurrent patients, matched recurrent tissue (green, N=3) was also analyzed. Matched recurrent tumors cluster most closely with their index primary tumors (red arrows). PC, principal component; HNCs, head and neck cancers.

### Results

### Pre-treatment HNCs achieving cure after treatment are enriched for pro-immunogenic pathways

We performed transcriptome sequencing with differential gene expression analyses to compare initial tumors from patients whose achieved cure with the patients who developed in-field local recurrence after RT. Principal component analysis showed that recurrent cancers clustered most closely with their matched primary tumor and that primary tumors from patients who achieve cure clustered separately from those which went on to relapse (Figure 2). This suggested that while certain mechanisms of recurrence are patient-specific, a pre-treatment gene signature may be associated with risk of recurrence. Of 16,355 genes evaluated, in patients who achieved cure 125 were significantly upregulated and 101 genes were downregulated compared with tumors that went on to recur. The differentially expressed genes (absolute fold change  $\geq 2$ ) were analyzed using GSEA and IPA. Top significantly enriched hallmark gene sets in HNCs which

achieved cure included the pro-immunogenic tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF $\alpha$ ) and interferon gamma (IFN $\gamma$ ) signaling cascades [-log<sub>10</sub>(q-value) 13.6 and 7.5, respectively]. IPA analyses further confirmed the top upstream regulator associated with disease cure to be TNF signaling (P=4.58×10<sup>-31</sup>) with immune cell trafficking representing the top physiological system (P value range 2.19×10<sup>-59</sup> to 2.04×10<sup>-19</sup>).

To further understand how pre-treatment immune cell subsets and trafficking may predict disease control or recurrence, we then performed immune cell subset analysis using quanTIseq deconvolution of the transcriptome sequencing data (*Figure 3*) (14). With this technique, the fraction of cells within the bulk RNA sequencing sample representing specific immune cell populations can be approximated based on expression of known immune cell markers. HNCs which went on to recur demonstrated enrichment in M2 macrophage cell signaling, when compared with HNCs which achieved cure. These results further suggest that a pre-treatment pro-immunogenic TME predicts disease control while immunosuppressive influences pre-exist in tumors which go on to develop RT resistance.

### Chronic type I IFN signaling is upregulated in RT recurrent HNCs

To better investigate mechanisms which lead to RT resistance, we next compared pre-treatment tumors to RT resistant tumors biopsied from the same patients in the same anatomical location. For the 3 of the 5 patients in our dataset who experienced local recurrence within the RT field, the recurrent tumor was biopsied. All three patients had human papillomavirus-negative oral squamous cell carcinomas and experienced local recurrence at the oral cavity site at a median of 13 months (range, 5-24 months) after completion of post-operative RT. Transcriptome sequencing was performed to compare gene expression profiles in the three recurrent HNC samples to matched pre-treatment tumor specimens which were cryopreserved at the time of each patient's initial surgery. A pairwise differential expression analysis was performed which demonstrated enrichment in type I IFN (IFNa/IFNB) signaling in matched recurrent tumors. We identified upregulation of several type I IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) in the recurrent specimens, including multiple IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats (IFIT) and IFNinduced transmembrane (IFITM) gene family members



**Figure 3** Immune deconvolution from RNA sequencing data of primary HNCs which went on to recur after treatment with surgery and radiotherapy (top 5 rows, N=5), primary HNCs which achieved cure (middle, N=3), and recurrent patients (bottom 3 rows, N=3). The fraction of M2 macrophages is represented for each patient sample as the starred (\*) green segment. M2 macrophages were present in only the primary HNCs which later recurrent patient samples. HNCs, head and neck cancers.

(*Figure 4*). Specifically, IFIT2 was significantly upregulated in all three recurrent tumors, when compared with the matched pre-RT specimens. Pathway enrichment analysis was then performed to identify upstream regulators of differentially expressed genes in the dataset, comparing pre-treatment with matched locally recurrent tumors. Several predicted upstream regulators were identified, demonstrating overlap between expression of type I ISGs and multiple immunosuppressive pathways activated in RT-resistant HNCs (*Table 2*) (16). Apart from PD-L1 expression, multiple other immunoregulatory pathways were enriched in recurrent tumors including T cell exhaustion (CTLA-4, LAG3, TIGIT), anti-inflammatory (IL6, IL10), and immunosuppressive (IDO1) signaling pathways.

### Discussion

The type I IFN pathway is a conserved anti-viral defense mechanism with important parallels to RT-induced DNA damage. Similar to a viral infection, RT induces the release of damaged DNA into the cytosol, activating this type I IFN pathway (17) resulting in one of two evolutionarily appropriate responses: (I) type I IFN signaling may induce pro-immunogenic and pro-apoptotic effects resulting in cell death to control viral spread, or (II) this signaling may seek to quell excess tissue damage from inflammation leading to cell survival and cessation of the immune response. As a result of this discordance, several studies have highlighted the importance of type I IFN signaling in anti-tumor immunity (17,18), while others have demonstrated that type I IFNs may lead to RT resistance, altered immunogenicity, and immunosuppression (19-23).

Our data support this context-dependent effect of type I IFN in mediating RT resistance. In pre-treatment tumors with pro-immunogenic features, RT-related type I IFN signaling did not predispose to recurrence. Conversely, in a pre-treatment immunosuppressive environment, chronic type I IFN signaling was associated with RT resistance. This observation is supported by a recent study performed



**Figure 4** Study schematic illustrating the isolation of pre- and post-radiotherapy biopsies for the RNA-seq analysis is shown in the top panel. Individual volcano plots for patients 1, 2, and 3 were used to identify genes differentially expressed within a given patient (recurrent versus primary cancer) (bottom panel). Type I interferon mediators (IFIT and IFITM genes) were identified in each matched recurrent HNC patient. RNA-seq, RNA-sequencing; HNCs, head and neck cancer; FDR, false discovery rate; FC, fold change; IFIT, interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats; IFITM, interferon-induced transmembrane.

Table 2 Ingenuity pathway	y analysis of differential gene ex	pression between recuri	rent radiotherapy-resistant	head and neck cancers	and matched
pre-radiotherapy biopsies.	There is extensive overlap betwe	en type I IFN pathways	s and known immunosuppr	essive mediators	

Upstream regulator	Molecule type	P value of overlap	Representative target molecules in dataset
STAT3	Transcription regulator	2E-30	PD-L1, CTLA-4, IFI27, IFI30, IFI35, IFI44, IFI6, IFIH1, IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, IFIT5, IFITM1, IFITM2, IFITM3, IL10, IL6R, IL6ST, IRF4, IRF5, ISG15
IL10	Cytokine	7.89E-30	PD-L1, IFI30, IFIT2, IL10, IL6ST, LAG3
IFNα	Group	2.96E-23	PD-L1, IDO1, IFI27, IFI35, IFI44, IFI44L, IFI6, IFIH1, IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, IFITM1, IFITM2, IFITM3, IL6R, IL6ST, IRF4, IRF5, IRF8, ISG15, TIGIT
IL6	Cytokine	1.05E-20	PD-L1, IDO1, IFIT1, IFIT2, IFITM3, IL10, IL6R, IL6ST, LAG3
IFNβ	Cytokine	7.65E–14	PD-L1, IDO1, IFI27, IFI35, IFI44, IFI6, IFIH1, IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, IFITM1, IL10

IFN, interferon; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1; IFIT, interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats; IFITM, interferon-induced transmembrane; ISG, interferon-stimulated gene.

in patient-matched esophageal cancer biopsies where a panel of ISGs were increased in persistent tumors after chemoradiation compared to pre-treatment biopsies (24). Here, we performed additional GSEA and immune deconvolution to assess the changes in the TME in RT- resistance. In clinically recurrent HNCs after RT, type I IFN signaling was associated with immunologic changes in the TME including M2 macrophage infiltration and multiple PD-L1-independent mechanisms of immunosuppression.

Although ISG expression has been associated directly

#### Translational Cancer Research, Vol 13, No 5 May 2024

with malignant cell RT resistance in previous reports (19,25,26), our results implicate an immunosuppressive response to chronic type I IFN signaling. In the chronic phase of viral infection, type I IFNs lead to increased expression of immunoregulatory factors, such as IL6, IL10, and PD-L1 (27,28). Similarly, long-term treatment with pegylated IFN $\alpha$  can result in intra-tumoral PD-1 expression and T cell exhaustion (21). Although, type I IFNs can be pro-immunogenic after acute exposure, long-term effects are largely immunosuppressive through chronic inflammatory pathways (29). Chronic inflammation has been implicated in both HNC progression and radioresistance (30,31).

This immunologic switch from acute pro-immunogenic inflammation to chronic immunosuppressive inflammation has been associated with alterations in type I IFN signaling. Specifically, this may involve transition from the pro-inflammatory STAT1 pathway to the more immunosuppressive STAT3 pathway with downstream production of immunoregulatory mediators (32). Our transcriptomic data support this context-dependent immunosuppressive effect of type I IFN as several predicted upstream regulators showed extensive overlap between type I IFN mediators and multiple immunosuppressive pathways. Notably, this effect was tumor-specific as demonstrated through GSEA. While all tumors treated with RT will have some measure of type I IFN exposure based on RT-induced cytosolic DNA leakage, tumors with a pre-treatment proimmunogenic TME went on to achieve cure. Conversely, those tumors with a pre-treatment immunosuppressive signature developed chronic type IFN signaling associated with in-field disease recurrence.

These data suggesting the context-dependence of type I IFN-mediated RT resistance are reinforced by the failure of clinical trials combining type I IFN agonists with RT using a blanket approach to patient selection. Most recently, a phase II clinical trial in cervical squamous cell carcinoma demonstrated worse overall survival with systemic IFN $\alpha$  delivered concurrent with RT when compared to conventional chemoradiation (33). Similarly, in several prior phase III randomized clinical trials, the addition of type I IFN to standard of care RT-based therapies did not improve recurrence or survival in rectal cancer (34), non-small cell lung cancer (35), or glioma (36).

This study has several limitations including small sample size and reliance on bulk RNA sequencing data and interpretation. Improved resolution of type I IFN signaling in RT resistance may be achieved in future studies through single-cell sequencing and multiplex immunohistochemical approaches. Nonetheless, this study emphasizes the importance of context in the type I IFN response to RT which will be essential in developing improved treatment regimens. Precision approaches to patient selection will be needed to successfully combine therapeutics that target the type I IFN pathway with RT in HNC.

### **Acknowledgments**

*Funding:* The study was supported by the Medical College of Wisconsin (MCW) Department of Radiation Oncology, the MCW Research Affairs Committee Award, the National Cancer Institute R21CA279935, and the OTO Clinomics Pilot Grant from the Advancing a Healthier Wisconsin Endowment at the Medical College of Wisconsin with support by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health (award No. UL1TR001436).

### Footnote

*Reporting Checklist:* The authors have completed the MDAR reporting checklist. Available at https://tcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tcr-23-2104/rc

*Peer Review File:* Available at https://tcr.amegroups.com/ article/view/10.21037/tcr-23-2104/prf

*Conflicts of Interest:* All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://tcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tcr-23-2104/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the institutional ethics board of Medical College of Wisconsin (MCW) Institutional Review Board (No. PRO00040992) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. All patients included in the tumor bank provided written informed consent at the time of tumor banking.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

### References

- Society AC. Cancer Statistics: Analysis Tool 2019 [cited 2019 April 9]. Available online: https:// cancerstatisticscenter.cancer.org/
- Members NP. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Head and Neck Cancer 2020 [updated February 2020; cited 2020 October 12]. Available online: https:// www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/head-andneck.pdf
- Rosenthal DI, Mohamed ASR, Garden AS, et al. Final Report of a Prospective Randomized Trial to Evaluate the Dose-Response Relationship for Postoperative Radiation Therapy and Pathologic Risk Groups in Patients With Head and Neck Cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2017;98:1002-11.
- Riemann M, Knipfer C, Rohde M, et al. Oral squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue: Prospective and objective speech evaluation of patients undergoing surgical therapy. Head Neck 2016;38:993-1001.
- Cohen EEW, Soulières D, Le Tourneau C, et al. Pembrolizumab versus methotrexate, docetaxel, or cetuximab for recurrent or metastatic head-and-neck squamous cell carcinoma (KEYNOTE-040): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. Lancet 2019;393:156-67.
- Burtness B, Harrington KJ, Greil R, et al. Pembrolizumab alone or with chemotherapy versus cetuximab with chemotherapy for recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (KEYNOTE-048): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. Lancet 2019;394:1915-28.
- Lee NY, Ferris RL, Psyrri A, et al. Avelumab plus standardof-care chemoradiotherapy versus chemoradiotherapy alone in patients with locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2021;22:450-62.
- 8. Bourhis J, Tao Y, Sun X, et al. LBA35 Avelumabcetuximab-radiotherapy versus standards of care in patients with locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of head and

neck (LA-SCCHN): Randomized phase III GORTEC-REACH trial. Ann Oncol 2021;32:S1310.

- Bourhis J, Sire C, Tao Y, et al. LBA38 Pembrolizumab versus cetuximab, concomitant with radiotherapy (RT) in locally advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (LA-HNSCC): Results of the GORTEC 2015-01 "PembroRad" randomized trial. Ann Oncol 2020;31:S1168.
- Aliru ML, Schoenhals JE, Venkatesulu BP, et al. Radiation therapy and immunotherapy: what is the optimal timing or sequencing? Immunotherapy 2018;10:299-316.
- Kalari KR, Nair AA, Bhavsar JD, et al. MAP-RSeq: Mayo Analysis Pipeline for RNA sequencing. BMC Bioinformatics 2014;15:224.
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 2010;26:139-40.
- Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdóttir H, et al. The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst 2015;1:417-25.
- Finotello F, Rieder D, Hackl H, et al. Next-generation computational tools for interrogating cancer immunity. Nat Rev Genet 2019;20:724-46.
- Sturm G, Finotello F, Petitprez F, et al. Comprehensive evaluation of transcriptome-based cell-type quantification methods for immuno-oncology. Bioinformatics 2019;35:i436-45.
- Schoggins JW, Rice CM. Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector functions. Curr Opin Virol 2011;1:519-25.
- Vanpouille-Box C, Alard A, Aryankalayil MJ, et al. DNA exonuclease Trex1 regulates radiotherapy-induced tumour immunogenicity. Nat Commun 2017;8:15618.
- Burnette BC, Liang H, Lee Y, et al. The efficacy of radiotherapy relies upon induction of type i interferondependent innate and adaptive immunity. Cancer Res 2011;71:2488-96.
- Yang J, Li L, Xi Y, et al. Combination of IFITM1 knockdown and radiotherapy inhibits the growth of oral cancer. Cancer Sci 2018;109:3115-28.
- 20. Chen J, Cao Y, Markelc B, et al. Type I IFN protects cancer cells from CD8+ T cell-mediated cytotoxicity after radiation. J Clin Invest 2019;129:4224-38.
- Zhu Y, Chen M, Xu D, et al. The combination of PD-1 blockade with interferon-α has a synergistic effect on hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell Mol Immunol 2022;19:726-37.

### Translational Cancer Research, Vol 13, No 5 May 2024

- 22. Jacquelot N, Yamazaki T, Roberti MP, et al. Sustained Type I interferon signaling as a mechanism of resistance to PD-1 blockade. Cell Res 2019;29:846-61.
- Zhang Z, Li N, Liu S, et al. Overexpression of IFIT2 inhibits the proliferation of chronic myeloid leukemia cells by regulating the BCR-ABL/AKT/mTOR pathway. Int J Mol Med 2020;45:1187-94.
- 24. Goedegebuure RSA, Kleibeuker EA, Buffa FM, et al. Interferon- and STING-independent induction of type I interferon stimulated genes during fractionated irradiation. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2021;40:161.
- 25. Post AEM, Smid M, Nagelkerke A, et al. Interferon-Stimulated Genes Are Involved in Cross-resistance to Radiotherapy in Tamoxifen-Resistant Breast Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2018;24:3397-408.
- Miyashita H, Fukumoto M, Kuwahara Y, et al. ISG20 is overexpressed in clinically relevant radioresistant oral cancer cells. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2020;13:1633-9.
- McNab FW, Ewbank J, Rajsbaum R, et al. TPL-2-ERK1/2 signaling promotes host resistance against intracellular bacterial infection by negative regulation of type I IFN production. J Immunol 2013;191:1732-43.
- Wilson EB, Yamada DH, Elsaesser H, et al. Blockade of chronic type I interferon signaling to control persistent LCMV infection. Science 2013;340:202-7.
- 29. Benci JL, Xu B, Qiu Y, et al. Tumor Interferon Signaling Regulates a Multigenic Resistance Program to Immune Checkpoint Blockade. Cell 2016;167:1540-1554.e12.
- 30. Morgan EL, Toni T, Viswanathan R, et al. Inhibition of USP14 promotes  $TNF\alpha$ -induced cell death in head and

**Cite this article as:** Zenga J, Awan MJ, Frei A, Massey B, Bruening J, Shukla M, Sharma GP, Shreenivas A, Wong SJ, Zimmermann MT, Mathison AJ, Himburg HA. Type I interferon signaling promotes radioresistance in head and neck cancer. Transl Cancer Res 2024;13(5):2535-2543. doi: 10.21037/tcr-23-2104 neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Cell Death Differ 2023;30:1382-96.

- Morgan EL, Chen Z, Van Waes C. Regulation of NFκB Signalling by Ubiquitination: A Potential Therapeutic Target in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma? Cancers (Basel) 2020;12:2877.
- 32. Vasiyani H, Mane M, Rana K, et al. DNA damage induces STING mediated IL-6-STAT3 survival pathway in triplenegative breast cancer cells and decreased survival of breast cancer patients. Apoptosis 2022;27:961-78.
- 33. Basu P, Jenson AB, Majhi T, et al. Phase 2 Randomized Controlled Trial of Radiation Therapy Plus Concurrent Interferon-Alpha and Retinoic Acid Versus Cisplatin for Stage III Cervical Carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2016;94:102-10.
- 34. Gennatas C, Dardoufas C, Mouratidou D, et al. Surgical adjuvant therapy of rectal carcinoma: a controlled evaluation of leucovorin, 5-fluorouracil and radiation therapy with or without interferon-alpha2b. Ann Oncol 2003;14:378-82.
- 35. Bradley JD, Scott CB, Paris KJ, et al. A phase III comparison of radiation therapy with or without recombinant beta-interferon for poor-risk patients with locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (RTOG 93-04). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;52:1173-9.
- 36. Buckner JC, Schomberg PJ, McGinnis WL, et al. A phase III study of radiation therapy plus carmustine with or without recombinant interferon-alpha in the treatment of patients with newly diagnosed high-grade glioma. Cancer 2001;92:420-33.