Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ning Liu, Tulane University, United States

REVIEWED BY Jingfei Shi, Capital Medical University, China Bin Liu, Central South University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jinhai Xu, jinhaixu@126.com Min Yao, yaomin19871223@126.com

⁺These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 08 July 2022 ACCEPTED 20 September 2022 PUBLISHED 05 October 2022

CITATION

Xue R, Gao S, Zhang Y, Cui X, Mo W, Xu J and Yao M (2022), A meta-analysis of resveratrol protects against cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury: Evidence from rats studies and insight into molecular mechanisms. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13:988836. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.988836

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Xue, Gao, Zhang, Cui, Mo, Xu and Yao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A meta-analysis of resveratrol protects against cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury: Evidence from rats studies and insight into molecular mechanisms

Ruirui Xue^{1†}, Shuang Gao^{2†}, Yayun Zhang¹, Xuejun Cui³, Wen Mo¹, Jinhai Xu^{1*} and Min Yao^{3*}

¹Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Longhua Hospital, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ²Department of Geriatrics, Longhua Hospital, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ³Spine Disease Institute, Longhua Hospital, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China

Objective: To evaluate the neuroprotective effect of resveratrol (RES) in rat models of cerebral ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury.

Data sources: PubMed, Embase, MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, and Chinese databases were searched from their inception dates to July 2022. No language restriction was used in the literature search.

Date Selection: Studies were selected that RES were used to treat cerebral I/R injury *in vivo*. Two reviewers conducted literature screening, data extraction and methodological quality assessment independently.

Outcome measures: Cerebral infarct volume was included as primary outcome. The secondary outcomes included cerebral water content and neurological deficit scores. Malondialdehyde (MDA) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) were used to evaluate oxidative stress during medication.

Results: A total of 41 studies were included, and only a few of them the methodological quality was relatively low. Compared with the control group, RES significantly reduced the cerebral infarct volume (29 studies, standard mean difference (SMD) = -2.88 [-3.23 to -2.53], p < 0.00001) and brain water content (nine studies, MD = -9.49 [-13.58 to -5.40], p < 0.00001) after cerebral I/R injury, then neurological function was improved (15 studies, SMD = -1.96 [-2.26 to -1.65], p < 0.00001). The MDA level (six studies, SMD = -8.97 [-13.60 to -4.34], p = 0.0001) was decreased notably after treatment of RES, while the SOD level (five studies, SMD = 3.13 [-0.16 to 6.43], p = 0.06) was increased unsatisfactory. Consistently, subgroup analysis of cerebral infarct volume suggested that the optimal therapeutic dose is 30 mg/kg (eight studies, SMD = -5.83 [-7.63 to -4.04], p < 0.00001). 60 min of (three Meanwhile occlusion studies SMD = -10.89 [-16.35 to -5.42], p < 0.0001) could get maximum benefit from compared with 90 min and 120 min of occlusion. On the other hand, the

publication bias cannot be ignored. The pharmacological mechanisms of RES on cerebral I/R injury models as reported have be summarized, which can be used for reference by researchers to further plan their future experiments.

Conclusion: RES might have a good neuroprotective effect on cerebral I/R injury in rats, then 30 mg/kg RES may be the optimal dose for treatment, and early administration of RES should be more neuroprotective. Also it need to be further verified through exploration of dose effect relationship, or delay administration or not.

KEYWORDS

cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury, resveratrol, meta-analysis, antioxidation, neuroprotective

Introduction

Ischemic stroke, a severe threat to human health, is regarded as a major cause of death and disability around the world, accounting for 87% of all strokes and placing a heavy economic burden on families and society (Virani et al., 2021). The incidence of ischemic stroke in young people has been rising since the 1980s with a high mortality rate, make it no longer a disease exclusive to the elderly (Putaala, 2020). The cost of per patient every year was reported as \$59,900 in the United States (Strikciuc et al., 2021). Complications (eg, epilepsy, pain, depression, and cognitive problems), further aggravate the economic burden, are the top concern in stroke survivors. Recent evidence suggests that it is feasible to provide appropriate level of stroke care and preventive interventions in low-income or middle-income countries (Yan et al., 2016). In this case, there is an increasing demand for treatments with high cure rates and good long-term outcomes.

In general, ischemic stroke refers to a condition that occurs when blood flow to the brain is sharply reduced, depriving the tissue of blood and oxygen, resulting in the death of nerve cells and cerebral infarction. Timely and effective revascularization after ischemic stroke would be particularly significant (Candelario-Jalil, 2009). The fundamental technique for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke within 4.5 h refers to intravenous thrombolysis or mechanical thrombectomy within 24 h, since it could ameliorate functional outcomes to some extent (Tsivgoulis et al., 2017; Mendelson and Prabhakaran, 2021). Nevertheless, the limited therapeutic time window and complex contraindications limit its application. Meanwhile, damaged brain tissue and nerve function will be further aggravated following reperfusion, the whole process, from ischemic to reperfusion, named cerebral I/R injury. It is deemed as an extremely complex pathological process, which might lead to a series of pathological reactions containing glutamate excitotoxicity, oxidative stress, calcium overload, inflammation, apoptosis and autophagy (Sun et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Liu J. et al., 2021; Mandalaneni et al., 2022). In terms of pathophysiology, there are a number of potential targets for treatment in cerebral I/R injury. To be specific, therapeutic targets normally incorporate attenuating the excess reactive oxygen species (ROS), mitigating the effects of inflammatory

cascades, along with inhibiting leukocyte activation and platelet recruitment (Mandalaneni et al., 2022). Despite the fact that, a large number of researches and experiments have been done in the field of neuronal, endothelial, and glial protective therapies, the research on therapeutic strategies for cerebral I/R injury is still in its infancy (Matei et al., 2020). Undeniably, drugs or means with potent free radical-scavenging properties have become important targets in the treatment of cerebral I/R injury (Bao et al., 2018).

(3,4,5-trihydroxy-trans-stilbene), a natural Resveratrol phytoalexin polyphenol, traditional plants contained have been used effectively in traditional Chinese medicine for over 2000 years, and the most abundant Chinese medicine involving resveratrol is Polygonum Cuspidatum (Gambini et al., 2015). Up till now, resveratrol has been used to treat cancer, inflammation, diabetes, myocardial I/R injury, and other diseases (Mao et al., 2019; Ashrafizadeh et al., 2021; Hoca et al., 2021; Meng et al., 2021). According to some researches, the neuroprotective effects of resveratrol have been demonstrated through its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory abilities (Rocha-González et al., 2008; Ro et al., 2021). Numerous animal experiments have been conducted to evaluate the neuroprotective effect of resveratrol against cerebral I/R injury. Recent meta-analysis had demonstrated neuroprotective effects of resveratrol treatment in ischemic stroke rodent models with English language studies restriction (Liu X. et al., 2021). Therefore, we conducted a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of the neuroprotective effect of resveratrol from rats studies and insight into molecular mechanisms, based on studies without language restriction to avoid a degree of selection bias, and only the data related to rats was included to avoid the heterogeneity caused by the different species of rats and mouse (Bonthuis et al., 2010; Vesterinen et al., 2014).

Data and methods

Search strategy

We scientifically searched the PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wanfang,

China Biology Medicine databases, and Cochrane databases for resveratrol in rats model on cerebral I/R injury published from the inception to July 2022, without any language restriction. The following terms were used for the search: ("cerebral ischemia/ reperfusion injury" OR "ischemia-reperfusion injury" OR "brain ischemia/reperfusion injury" OR "I/R injury" OR "stroke" OR "cerebral ischemia" OR "cerebral ischemic") AND ("resveratrol" OR "RES" "cis-resveratrol" OR "trans-resveratrol" OR "3,4,5trihydroxy-trans-stilbene" OR "resveratrol-3-sulfate" OR "SRT 501" OR "SRT501" OR "SRT-501") In addition, the reference of review articles, meeting abstracts, and comments for additional citations were also scrutinized.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies that met the following inclusion criteria were included: 1) Types of included animals: rats as experimental animal involving any size, weight, and I/R modeling method. 2) Types of treatment: all types of resveratrol treatment, involving studies with combined treatments, then derivative of resveratrol was excluded. With no limitations on administration, formulation or dosage. 3) Primary outcome: brain edema and the infarct size can be used as indicators of the brain injury process. The infarct size was determined by triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (TTC) staining. The following equation was used to calculate the water content of the brain: H_2O (%) = (wet weight (WW) – dry weight (DW))/WW×100%. Secondary outcomes included behavioral assessment, which was evaluated by neurological deficit scores, as well as biochemical examination of the peroxidation index. No language, publication date, or publication status restrictions were imposed.

The exclusion criteria are as follows: 1) Conference papers and publications of abstracts only that lacked quantitative data information. 2) Repeated publications. 3) All clinical case reports and solely *in vitro* studies.

Data extraction

The two reviewers independently extracted data from the included studies and resolved the differences through consensus. The following information extraction of each study is summarized: 1) The characteristics of the study (e.g., authors name, country, year of publication, including animals, number, modeling method, and duration of I/R injury), 2) Intervention methods (e.g., route of administration, dosage, type of vehicle, and time of treatment), 3) Data related to the cerebral infarct volume, brain water content, neurological deficit scores, malondialdehyde (MDA) and superoxide dismutase (SOD). The measured outcomes as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). For data not described numerically in the text, the values in the graph were estimated using commercial software (GetData Graph Digitizer 2.25; download from http://getdata-graph-digitizer.com).

Quality assessment

The Collaborative Approach to Meta Analysis and Review of Animal Data From Experimental Stroke (CAMARADES) 10item checklist were used to assess the quality and design of the studies by two independent reviewers (Macleod et al., 2004). The CAMARADES 10-item checklist include the following list: 1) peer-reviewed journal; 2) temperature control; 3) animals were randomly allocated; 4) blind established model; 5) blinded outcome assessment; 6) anesthetics used without marked intrinsic neuroprotective properties; 7) animal model (diabetic, advanced age or hypertensive); 8) calculation of sample size; 9) statement of compliance with animal welfare regulations; 10) possible conflicts of interest.

Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was carried out using Review Manager, version 5.4 (downloaded from https://training.cochrane.org/online-learning/ core-software-cochrane-reviews/revman). All the data of resveratrol were gathered together for comparison with the control group. The reported results and the continuous variables were expressed as mean difference (MD) or standardized mean difference (SMD), if at least five studies, the data were pooled. Data about the cerebral infarct volume, brain water content, neurological deficit scores, MDA and SOD were analyzed. The effect size was assessed using MD when the unit of measurement is the same, on the contrary, the SMD was used. Heterogeneity was tested using the chisquare test: p < 0.1 indicated heterogeneity, while p > 0.1 indicated no heterogeneity. At the same time, the I² statistics were used to assess heterogeneity. When the heterogeneity between studies was low (I² < 50%), the size of the pooled effect was estimated using a fixed-effects model, otherwise a random-effects model was used. Differences were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05. The funnel plot test was used to check the meta-analysis publication bias, if more than 10 studies included in the outcome.

Results

Search strategy

Of the 652 articles found in the initial search strategy, 231 similar and duplicate studies were removed. The remaining 421 records were evaluated in more detail. As a result, a total of 41 articles (Sinha et al., 2002; Shigematsu et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2007; Tsai et al., 2007; Della-Morte et al., 2009; Yousuf et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011; Ren et al., 2011; Simao et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Simao et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2013; Simao et al., 2013; Saleh et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2015; Girbovan and Plamondon, 2015; Kizmazoglu et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2015; Girbovan et al., 2015; Girbovan et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Li

Study	Animals	I/R	No. of animals	Groups	Administration time	Therapeutic outcome
Zhu H 2022 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO	4/4/4/4	A. Sham	7 days before I/R	Cerebral infarct volume
(Znu et al., 2022)	(250–300 g)	120 min 37 C		B. MCAO		
				C. MCAO + DMSO		
				D. MCAO + RES (30 mg/kg, <i>i.p.</i>)		
Gutiérrez Aguilar	Male Wistar rats	MCAO	_	A. Control	At the onset of reperfusion	Cerebral infarct volume
GF 2020 (Mexico)	(280–350 g)	120 mm 37 C		B. MCAO + vehicle		
				C. MCAO + RES (1.9 mg/kg, <i>i.p.</i>)		
Teertam SK 2020	Male SD rats	MCAO 60 min	3/3/3	A. Sham	Prior 30 min of	Cerebral infarct volume,
(India)	(250–300 g)			B. MCAO	reperfusion	neurological deficit scores (0-4)
				C. MCAO + RES (20 mg/kg, <i>i.p.</i>)		
Lu X 2020 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO 120 min	5/4/5/5/4/4	A. Sham	_	Cerebral infarct volume,
	(250–300 g)	37 ± 0.5 C		B. Empty NPs		neurological deficit scores (1-14)
				C.RES-NPs (1 mg/kg, <i>i.p.</i>)		
				D. RES-NPs (5 mg/kg, <i>i.p</i> .)		
				E. RES-NPs (10 mg/kg, <i>i.p.</i>)		
				F. RES-Sol (10 mg/kg, <i>i.p.</i>)		
Pineda-Ramírez N	Male Wistar rats	MCAO	6/6/6/6	A. Sham + vehicle	At the onset of reperfusion	Cerebral infarct volume
2020 (Mexico)	(280–350 g)	120 min 37°C		B. MCAO + vehicle		
				C. MCAO + RES (1.8 mg/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
				D. MCAO + RES (1.8 mg/kg i.v.) + Compound C (2 mg/kg i.v.)		
Hong G 2019	SD rats	BCCAO 120 min	10/10/10/	A. Sham	7 days before I/R	Cerebral infarct volume, cerebral
(China)	(160–220 g)	200110 120 1111	10/10	B. I/R		water content
				C. IPC		
				D. I/R + RES (20 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				E. IPC + RES (20 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Zhao R 2019	Male SD rats	MCAO	8/8	A. MCAO + vehicle	20 days after I/R	Cerebral infarct volume, cerebral
(China)	(300–330 g)	90 min 37°C		MCAO + RES (10 mg/kg <i>i.v.gtt</i>)		water content, open field tests
				В		
Lei JR 2019 (China)	SD rats	MCAO 120 min	30/36/30/36	A. Sham	2 h after I/R	Cerebral infarct volume, cerebral
	(250–300 g)	$37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$		B. MCAO + vehicle		water content, neurological deficit scores (4-point)
				C. MCAO + RES (10 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				D. MCAO + RES (100 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Yan Y 2019 (China)	Male SD rats	BCCAO 120 min	10/10/10/	A. Sham + vehicle	7 days before I/R	Cerebral infarct size
	(160–220 g)		10/10	B. IR + vehicle		
				C. IPC + vehicle		

(Continued on following page)

Study	Animals	I/R	No. of animals	Groups	Administration time	Therapeutic outcome
				D. IR + RES (20 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				E. IPC + RES (20 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Serra MP 2019 (Italy)	male Wistar rats $(210 \pm 20 \text{ g})$	BCCAO 30 min		A.Sham + vehicle B. Sham + RES (40 mg, <i>i.g.</i>)	_	/-
				C.BCCAO + vehicle (0.3 ml oil, <i>i.g.</i>)		
				D. BCCAO + RES (40 mg, <i>i</i> .)		
Hou Y 2018 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO 120 min	25/25/25/	A. Sham + vehicle	For 7 days before I/R	Cerebral infarct volume,
	(230–270 g)		25/25	B. MCAO + vehicle		neurological deficit scores (5-point
				C. MCAO + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		system
Liu Y 2018 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO 90 min	15/15/15/15	A. MCAO + vehicle	7 days before I/R	Cerebral infarct volume,
(2	(200–220 g)	37 ± 0.5 C		B. MCAO + RES (50 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		mNSS (0-18)
				C.MCAO + ROS (10 mg/kg <i>i.g.</i>)		
				D.MCAO + RES (50 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>) +ROS		
Xu H 2018 (China) 1	Male SD rats	MCAO 120 min	5/5/5/5/5/5	A. MCAO + vehicle	After 2 h occlusion	Cerebral infarct volume,
	(250–300 g)	57 ± 0.5 €		B. MCAO + Empty-NPs		System (0–14)
				C.MCAO + RES-NPs (5 mg/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
				D.MCAO + RES-NPs (10 mg/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
				E. MCAO + RES-NPs (20 mg/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
				F. MCAO + RES-NPs (40 mg/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
Chang C 2018	SD rats	MCAO 120 min		A. Sham	7 days before I/R	Open-field and closed-field test
(China)	(260–300 g)			B. MCAO		and Morris water maze test
				C.MCAO + RES (20 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				D.MCAO + vehicl		
He Q 2017 (China)	Male SD rats (250-280 g)	MCAO 60 min		A. Sham	At the onset of I/R	Cerebral infarct volume, brain water content, neurological deficit
	(200 200 g)			B. MCAO		scores (0-5)
				C. MCAO + vehicle		
				(100 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
AI Dera H 2017	Male Wistar	BCCAO 40 min	12/12/12	A. Sham + vehicle	30 days before I/R and	Cerebral infarction volume
(Kingdom of Saudi Arabia)	$rats(230 \pm 10 g)$			B. I/R + vehicle	7 days after I/R	
				C. I/R + RES (20 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Wan D 2016 (China)	Male SD rats $(280 \pm 20 -)$	MCAO 120 min	10/10/10/10	A. Sham	5 days before I/R	Cerebral infarct volume,
(Cillia)	(200 ± 20 g)			B. MCAO		neurological delicit scores (0-4)
				C. MCAO + vehicle		
				D. MCAO + RES (20 mg/kg <i>i.p</i> .)		
						(Continued on following man)

(Continued on following page)

Study	Animals	I/R	No. of animals	Groups	Administration time	Therapeutic outcome
				E. MCAO + PDE inhibitor		
Yang HN 2016 (China)	Male SD rats (110–140 g)	MCAO 90 min 37 ± 0.5°C	15/15	A. MCAO + vehicle B. MCAO + RES (50 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)	7 days before I/R	Neurological deficit scores (the 21- point behavioral scale)
Shi N 2016 (China)	Male SD rats (200 ± 20 g)	MCAO 120 min	24/24/24/ 24/24	A. Sham B. Model	21 days after MCAO	Neurological deficit scores (the method of Longa et al.) (0–4)

						(Continued on following page)
				C. schemia + RES (1 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
			8,11/6,10	B. Sham + vehicle (<i>i.p.</i>)		water maze testing, place task, probe trial, platform-switched task, visual acuity task)
Girbovan C 2015 (Canada) (2)	Male Wistar rats(325–375 g)	4-VO 37 ± 0.5°C	7,10/6,10/ 8,12/	A. Ischemia + vehicle (<i>i.p.</i>)	Twenty-one days before the surgery	CA1 neuronal density, spatial memory performance (Morris
				E. Sham + RES (10 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				D. Ischemia + RES (10 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				C. Ischemia + RES (1 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
(Canada) (1)	rats(325–375 g)			B. Sham + vehicle (<i>i.p.</i>)	the surgery	
Girbovan C 2015	Male Wistar	4-VO 37 ± 0.5°C	7/6/8/8/6	(20 mg/kg, <i>i.p.)</i> A. Ischemia + vehicle	Twenty-one days before	_
				D. MCAO+RES		
				C. MCAO +		scores (0-4)
(China)	(250–300 g)			B. MCAO + vehicle	20 h after I/R	water content, neurological deficit
Li WN 2015	Male SD rats	MCAO 90 min	10/10/10/10	(30 mg/kg <i>t.p.</i>) A. Sham + vehicle	10 min before I/R, 0 h.	Cerebral infarct volume, brain
				C.MCAO + RES		scores (0-4)
(China)	(250–300 g)	120 min 37°C		B. MCAO + vehicle		water content, neurological deficit scores $(0-4)$
Fang LQ 2015	Male SD rats	MCAO	13/14/13	A. Sham + vehicle	4 days after I/R	Cerebral infarct volume, brain
				(40 mg/kg i.p.) E I/R + DMSO		
				D. $I/R + RES$		
				C. $I/R + RES$ (20 mg/kg <i>i</i> t)		
(Turkey)	(250–300 g)	$37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$	10/10	B. I/R		
Kizmazoglu C 2015	Female SD rats	BCCAO 30 min	10/10/10/	A. Sham	after I/R	_
				D. MCAO + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				C. MCAO + vehicle		
	(220–250 g)	90 min 37 C		B. Sham + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)	MCAO	Morris water maze test
Li Z 2016 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO		A. Sham + vehicle	1, 4, 6, 12, or 24 h before	Cerebral infarct volume, the
				E. MCAO + Rehabilitation + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				D. MCAO + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				C. MCAO + rehabilitation training		
	ι ο,			B. Model		0 / (/

Study	Animals	I/R	No. of animals	Groups	Administration time	Therapeutic outcome
				D. Ischemia + RES (10 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				E. Sham + RES (10 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Saleh MC 2014(Canada)	Male SD rats (250-350 g)	MCAO 30 min 37 ± 1°C	5/5/6/5/5/5	A. MCAO + RES (2 × 10^{-3} , 1 ml/kg <i>i.v.</i>)	30 min prior to the onset of MCAO	Cerebral infarct volume
				B. MCAO + RES (2 × 10^{-4} , 1 ml/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
				C. MCAO + RES (2 × 10^{-5} , 1 ml/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
				D. MCAO + RES (2 × 10^{-6} , 1 ml/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
				E. MCAO + RES (2 × 10^{-7} , 1 ml/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
				F. MCAO + vehicle (1 ml/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		
Wei H 2014 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO 120 min	7/7/7/7	A. Sham	At the onset of I/R/15 min	Cerebral infarct volume, brain
	$(260 \pm 20 \text{ g})$	$37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$		B. MCAO	before I/R	water content, neurological deficit
				C. MCAO + vehicle		Garcia) (0–18)
				D. MCAO + RES (50 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				F. MCAO + RES + IgG		
				G. MCAO + RES + neutralized		
Lin Y 2013 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO 120 min	12/12/12/12	A. Sham + DMSO	7 days before I/R	Cerebral infarct volume,
	(200–250 g)	36.5°C–7°C		B. MCAO + DMSO		neurological deficit scores (0-4)
				C. MCAO + RES (200 mg/kg, <i>i.p.</i>)		
				D. MCAO + PD98059		
Simão F 2013	Male Wistar rats	4-VO 37 \pm 0.5°C	8/8/8/8	A. Sham	7 days before ischemia	_
(Brazil)	(290–330 g)			B. Sham + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				C. ischemia + vehicle (<i>i.p.</i>)		
				D. ischemia + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Li Z 2012 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO 90 min	38/42/45/25	A. Sham + vehicle	3 h after I/R and lasting for	Cerebral infarct volume
	(220–250 g)	$37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$		B. MCAO + vehicle	4 days	
				MCAO + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				D. Sham + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Simão F 2012	Male Wistar rats	4-VO 37 \pm 0.5°C		A. Sham	7 days before ischemia	_
(Brazil)	(290–330 g)			B. Sham + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				C. ischemia + vehicle (<i>i.p.</i>)		
				D. ischemia + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Simão F 2011	Male Wistar rats	4-VO 37 \pm 0.5 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	7/7/7/7	A. Sham + vehicle	7 days before ischemia	_
(Brazil)	(290–330 g)			B. Sham + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		

(Continued on following page)

Study	Animals	I/R	No. of animals	Groups	Administration time	Therapeutic outcome
				C. ischemia + vehicle D. ischemia + RES (30 mg/kg i.p.)		
Ren JW 2011	Male SD rats	MCAO 120 min	24/24/24/24	A. Sham + vehicle	7 days before surgery and	Cerebral infarct volume, brain
(China)	(230–280 g)	$37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$		B. MCAO + vehicle	30 min before ischemia	water content, neurological deficit
				C. MCAO + RES (15 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		scores (0-4)
				D. MCAO + RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Li H 2011 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO 120 min	6/8/8	A. Sham + vehicle	7 days before surgery and	Cerebral infarct volume,
	$(300 \pm 50 \text{ g})$	$37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$		B. MCAO + vehicle	60 min before ischemia	neurological deficit scores (0-4)
				C. MCAO+RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Li C 2010 (China)	Male SD rats	MCAO 120 min	6/8/8	A. Sham + vehicle	7 days before surgery and	Cerebral infarct volume,
	$(300 \pm 50 \text{ g})$	$37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$		B. MCAO + vehicle	60 min before ischemia	neurological deficit scores (0-4)
				C. MCAO+RES (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Yousuf S 2009	Male Wistar rats	MCAO 120 min	8/8/8	A. Sham + vehicle	15 min pre-occlusion and	Cerebral infarct volume,
(India) (Yousuf	(300–350 g)	37 ± 0.5°C		B. MCAO + vehicle	at the time of reperfusion	neurological deficit scores (the method of Bederson et al.) (0, 4)
et al., 2009)				C. MCAO+RES (10 ⁻⁷ g/kg <i>i.v.</i>)		include of bedetson et al., (0-4)
Della-Morte	SD rats	ACA 8 min 37°C	6/10/6/8/8/8	A. Sham	48 h before I/R	_
D2009(USA) (Della-Morte et al	(250–350 g)			B. ACA + saline		
(Dena-Worte et al., 2009)				C. IPC + ACA		
				D. ACA + RES (10 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				E. ACA + RES (50 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
				F. ACA + RES (100 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		
Tsai SK 2007	Male Long-Evans	MCAO 60 min	7/12/7/7/10/	A. Sham	—	Cerebral infarct volume
(China)	rats (250–320 g)	37 ± 0.5 C	9/11/9/9	B. MCAO + vehicle		
				C. MCAO + RES (0.01 µg/kg <i>i.v.gtt</i>)		
				D. MCAO + RES (0.1 µg/kg <i>i.v.gtt</i>)		
				E. MCAO + RES (1 µg/kg <i>i.v.gtt</i>)		
Liu YG 2007	Male SD rats	MCAO 120 min		A. Sham + vehicle	7 days before I/R	Cerebral infarct volume,
(China)	(250–300 g)			B. MCAO + vehicle		neurological deficit scores (the method of Longa et al.) (0-4)
				C. MCAO + Nimodipine		include of Longa et al.) (0-4)
				D. MCAO + RES (10 mg/kg <i>i.g.</i>)		
				E. MCAO + RES (20 mg/kg <i>i.g.</i>)		
				F. MCAO + RES (40 mg/kg <i>i.g.</i>)		
Shigematsu S	Male SD rats	ligating the	8/6/6	A. I/R+ untreated	_	-
2003 (USA)	(200–250 g)	superior		B. $I/R + RES$ (<i>i.p.</i>)		
		and vein		C. I/R + SOD (30 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		

(Continued on following page)

Study	Animals	I/R	No. of animals	Groups	Administration time	Therapeutic outcome
Sinha K 2002 (India)	Male Wistar rats (200–250 g)	MCAO 120 min 37°C	10/16/16	A. Sham B. MCAO + vehicle (<i>i.p.</i>)	21 days before I/R	Cerebral infarct volume, grip test, rota rod, locomotor activity
				C.MCAO + trans resveratrol (20 mg/kg <i>i.p.</i>)		

BCCAO, the bilateral common carotid arteries occlusion; DMSO, dimethyl sulphoxide; 4-VO, the four-vessel occlusion model; *i.g.*,intragastric gavage; *i.p.*, intraperitoneal; *i.v.*, intravenous injection; *i.v.gtt*, intravenously guttae; I/R, ischemia/reperfusion; IPC, Ischemic Preconditioning; L-NIO, L-N5-(1-iminoethyl)-ornithine; L-NAME, N-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester; MCAO, middle cerebral artery occlusion; NPs, nanoparticles; PDE, phosphodiesterase; PD98059, a potent and selective cell permeable inhibitor of MAP kinase kinase; RES, resveratrol; ROS, rosuvastatin; mNSS, the modified neurological severity scores; SD, Sprague-Dawley; SOD, superoxide dismutase.



et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2016; Wan et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2016; Dera, 2017; He et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2018; Hou et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018; Hong et al., 2019; Lei et al., 2019; Serra et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019; Gutierrez Aguilar et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2020; Pineda-Ramirez et al., 2020; Teertam et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2022) met our selection criteria. The basic characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. Of the 41 full publications that met the predetermined inclusion criteria, 40 were published in English and one was published in Chinese (Liu et al., 2007) (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Characteristics of the studies

In consequence, the majority of these studies were conducted in China (n = 25), with the remaining in the Brazil (n = 3), Canada (n = 3), India (n = 3), Turkey (n = 2), Mexico (n = 2), USA (n = 2), Italy (n = 1) and Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (n = 1). The sample size of the included studies ranged from 9 to 150. Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were used in 29 studies, and male Wistar rats were used in 11 studies (Sinha et al., 2002; Yousuf et al., 2009; Simao et al., 2011; Simao et al.,

TABLE 2 Risk of bias summary.

Study	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Score
Zhu H 2022	+	+	;	ş	ş	+	?	?	+	+	5
Gutiérrez Aguilar GF 2020	+	+	?	+	?	+	?	?	+	+	6
Teertam SK 2020	+	?	+	+	?	+	?	?	+	+	6
Lu X 2020	+	+	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	7
Pineda-Ramírez N 2020	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	?	+	?	5
Hong G 2019	+	?	?	+	?	+	?	?	+	+	5
Zhao R 2019	+	+	+	+	?	+	?	?	+	+	7
Lei JR 2019	+	+	?	+	?	+	?	?	+	+	6
Yan Y 2019	+	?	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	6
Serra MP 2019	+	?	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	7
Hou Y 2018	+	?	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	7
Liu Y 2018	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	?	6
Xu H 2018	+	+	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	6
Chang C 2018	+	?	+	+	?	+	?	?	+	+	6
He Q 2017	+	?	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	5
AI Dera H 2017	+	?	?	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	4
Wan D 2016	+	?	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	?	5
Yang HN 2016	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	7
Shi N 2016	+	?	+	+	?	+	?	?	+	+	6
Li Z 2016	+	+	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	6
Kizmazoglu C 2015	+	+	?	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	5
Fang LQ 2015	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	7
Li WN 2015	+	?	+	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	5
Girbovan C 2015	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	7
Girbovan C 2015 (2)	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	7
Saleh MC 2014	+	+	?	+	?	?	?	?	+	+	5
Wei H 2014	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	7
Lin Y 2013	+	+	+	+	?	+	?	?	+	+	7
Simão F 2013	+	+	+	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	6
Li Z 2012	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	7
Simão F 2012	+	+	?	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	5
Simão F 2011	+	+	?	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	5
Ren JW 2011	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	7
Li H 2011	+	+	+	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	6
Li C 2010	+	+	+	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	6
Yousuf S 2009	+	+	?	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	5
Della-Morte D 2009	+	+	;	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	5
Tsai SK 2007	+	+	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	?	6
Liu YG 2007	+	?	+	+	?	+	?	?	?	?	4
Shigematsu S 2003	+	?	?	+	?	+	?	?	?	?	3
Sinha K 2002	+	+	?	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	5

(1) peer-reviewed journal; (2) temperature control; (3) animals were randomly allocated; (4) blind established model; (5) blinded outcome assessment; (6) anesthetics used without marked intrinsic neuroprotective properties; (7) animal model (diabetic, advanced age or hypertensive); (8) calculation of sample size; (9) statement of compliance with animal welfare regulations; (10) possible conflicts of interest.

2012; Simao et al., 2013; Girbovan and Plamondon, 2015; Girbovan et al., 2016; Dera, 2017; Serra et al., 2019; Gutierrez Aguilar et al., 2020; Pineda-Ramirez et al., 2020), thus male Long-Evans rats were used in one another study (Tsai et al.,

2007). Male SD rats were clearly identified in 24 studies, and one was female (Kizmazoglu et al., 2015). Whereas four studies (Della-Morte et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2018; Hong et al., 2019; Lei et al., 2019) did not determine whether the SD

	Res	veratrol		c	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Al Dera H 2017	9.6893	3.4637	12	31.772	4.9699	12	4.0%	-4.98 [-6.71, -3.24]	
Fang LQ 2015	14.9351	4.9925	10	35.0649	4.9926	10	4.8%	-3.86 [-5.46, -2.26]	-
Gutiérrez Aguilar GF 2020	30.5701	2.1127	8	36.6298	2.2746	8	5.9%	-2.61 [-4.04, -1.18]	-
He Q 2017	28.3866	0.4765	10	48.1559	1.9063	10	0.5%	-13.63 [-18.42, -8.83]	<u> </u>
Hong G 2019	20.7216	1.7295	10	27.3711	1.9456	10	5.5%	-3.46 [-4.94, -1.98]	-
Hou Y 2018	9.6482	0.7285	5	47.6382	1.9426	5	0.1%	-23.39 [-36.62, -10.16]	
Lei JR 2019	170.7995	28.2204	24	195.4	21.5	12	22.9%	-0.92 [-1.65, -0.19]	-
Li C 2010	121	15	8	272	29	8	1.7%	-6.18 [-8.84, -3.53]	
Li H 2011	92	11	8	263	25	8	1.0%	-8.37 [-11.85, -4.89]	
Lin Y 2013	19.3464	0.7657	6	33.9869	1.993	6	0.6%	-8.95 [-13.47, -4.44]	
Liu Y 2018	20.5063	1.2234	5	30.6329	1.0194	5	0.5%	-8.12 [-12.86, -3.38]	
Liu YG 2007	13.0267	4.7866	18	18.78	6.92	6	12.7%	-1.04 [-2.02, -0.06]	-
Li WN 2015	14.3939	3.4435	8	20.303	4.3497	8	9.5%	-1.42 [-2.56, -0.29]	*
Li Z 2012	15.8624	2.9524	4	35.3468	4.0538	5	1.2%	-4.78 [-8.01, -1.56]	
Li Z 2016	15.0877	3.0091	6	35.7895	2.6748	6	1.0%	-6.71 [-10.18, -3.25]	
Lu X 2020	25.7991	3.4936	5	37.8955	4.9678	5	3.4%	-2.54 [-4.44, -0.65]	
Pineda-Ramírez N 2020	20.76	1.67	6	28.08	2.84	6	3.7%	-2.90 [-4.71, -1.09]	
Ren JW 2011	25.4	5.8483	6	42.67	2.51	3	2.3%	-3.00 [-5.31, -0.69]	
Saleh MC 2014	4.9834	1.1237	5	16.1462	2.1673	5	1.0%	-5.84 [-9.35, -2.33]	
Teertam SK 2020	13.1757	1.36	3	37.8378	0.7556	3	0.0%	-17.93 [-35.33, -0.54]	
Tsai SK 2007	42.8	11.8	10	150.9	15.1	12	1.8%	-7.58 [-10.19, -4.97]	
Wan D 2016	27.2414	1.9503	4	43.7931	1.0835	4	0.3%	-9.12 [-15.55, -2.70]	· · · ·
Wei H 2014	33	2.76	7	43.29	2.826	7	3.6%	-3.45 [-5.28, -1.61]	-
Xu H 2018	26.8085	3.4271	5	55.7447	2.7416	5	0.5%	-8.42 [-13.32, -3.52]	
Yang HN 2016	40.7187	3.0851	5	72.9536	4.4009	5	0.6%	-7.66 [-12.15, -3.17]	
Yan Y 2019	20.3838	1.6871	10	27.284	1.9308	10	5.2%	-3.65 [-5.18, -2.11]	-
Yousuf S 2009	108.797	18.9026	8	351.387	45.9534	8	1.6%	-6.53 [-9.31, -3.74]	
Zhao R 2019	8.2586	1.4221	8	17.6044	2.2397	8	2.7%	-4.71 [-6.83, -2.59]	-
Zhu H 2022	3.5853	1.2788	4	15.6977	3.7755	4	1.4%	-3.74 [-6.66, -0.82]	
Total (95% CI)			228			204	100.0%	-2.88 [-3.23, -2.53]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 159.58	8, df = 28 (P	< 0.00001	1); ² = 8	32%				-	-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for overall effect: Z = 16	6.19 (P < 0.0	00001)							Favours Resveratrol Favours Control
FIGURE 2			<i>.</i> .						

rats were male or female. Cerebral I/R injury was achieved by middle cerebral artery occlusion reperfusion model in 29 studies or bilateral common carotid arteries occlusion reperfusion model in five studies (Kizmazoglu et al., 2015; Dera, 2017; Hong et al., 2019; Serra et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2019). Besides, there were five researches (Simao et al., 2011; Simao et al., 2012; Simao et al., 2013; Girbovan and Plamondon, 2015; Girbovan et al., 2016) adopted the fourvessel occlusion (4-VO) model and one (Della-Morte et al., 2009) used model of asphyxial cardiac arrest (ACA).

Risk of bias within studies

The included literature was assessed for risk bias, as shown in Table 2, the quality score ranged from 3 to 7. A total of 25 studies got quality assessment score ≥ 6 , which meant high quality of methodology. All studies were published in peer-reviewed journal, of which 28 studies illustrate the control of temperature. No study described sample-size calculation and allocation concealment, on the contrary, all of them involve blind established model. 22 studies reported randomization, and 14 studies reported potential conflicts of interest. 17 studies reported blinded assessment of outcome. 38 studies stated

they were in compliance with animal welfare laws. 38 studies pointed out anesthetics used without marked intrinsic neuroprotective properties. Only one study (Dera, 2017) elaborated on inclusion and exclusion criteria, whereas six studies (Ren et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2016; He et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018) were clearly proposed to screen according to neurological function score.

Meta-analysis of resveratrol for cerebral infarct volume in cerebral I/R injury

A total of 29 studies assessed the cerebral infarct volume after cerebral IR injury, the result showed that the cerebral infarct volume was substantially decreased after treatment of resveratrol (29 studies, SMD = -2.88 [-3.23 to -2.53], p < 0.00001) (Figure 2).

Subgroup analysis suggested that the pooled estimates for improvement of infarct size did not depend on the type of model, species, occlusion time, or timing regimen of pretreatment, but was associated with the route of administration and dosage (Table 3).

Resveratrol is usually administered either by intravenous or intraperitoneal injection in most trials to treat cerebral I/R injury.

Pooled estimates	No. of studies	Std. MD (95% CI)	p value	Group <i>p</i> -value
Model				0.10
MCAO	26	-4.99 [-6.08, -3.90]	p < 0.00001	
BCCAO	3	-3.78 [-4.74, -2.83]	p < 0.00001	
Species				0.23
SD rats	24	-4.83 [-5.92, -3.73]	p < 0.00001	
Long-Evans rats	1	-17.93 [-35.33, -0.54]	p = 0.04	
Wistar rats	4	-4.02 [-5.63, -2.40]	p = 0.03	
Route of administration				< 0.00001
i.p	20	-5.18 [-6.46, -3.91]	p < 0.00001	
i.v	7	-5.06 [-6.72, -3.40]	p = 0.003	
i.g	1	-1.04 [-2.02, -0.06]	p = 0.04	
ICA	1	-2.54 [-4.44, -0.65]	p = 0.008	
Dosage				0.04
<20 mg/kg	12	-3.07 [-4.32, -1.83]	p < 0.00001	
20 mg/kg	8	-3.51 [-5.00, -2.01]	p < 0.00001	
30 mg/kg	8	-5.83 [-7.63, -4.04]	p < 0.00001	
>30 mg/kg	8	-5.46 [-7.58, -3.34]	p < 0.00001	
Administration time				0.58
Before I/R	18	-5.05 [-6.41, -3.70]	p < 0.00001	
After I/R	10	-4.50 [-6.15, -2.85]	p < 0.00001	
Before and after I/R	2	-3.14 [-6.62, -0.34]	p = 0.0008	
Occlusion time				0.04
60 min	3	-10.89 [-16.35, -5.42]	p < 0.0001	
90 min	6	-5.12 [-7.57, -2.67]	p < 0.0001	
120 min	18	-4.02 [-5.08, -2.97]	p < 0.00001	

TABLE 3 Summary of subgroup analysis on cerebral infarct volume.

BCCAO, the bilateral common carotid arteries occlusion; MCAO, middle cerebral artery occlusion; ICA, intra-carotid artery; I/R, ischemia/reperfusion; *i.g.*, intragastric gavage; *i.p.*, intraperitoneal; *i.v.*, intravenous injection; SD, Sprague-Dawley.

Compared with the control group, resveratrol significantly reduced the cerebral infarct volume in both intraperitoneal injection (*i.p.*) (20 studies, SMD = -5.18 [-6.46 to -3.91], p < 0.00001) and intravenous injection (*i.v.*) (seven studies, SMD = -5.06 [-6.72 to -3.40], p = 0.003) in the rat model of cerebral I/R injury. As only few studies have given resveratrol by gavage (*i.g.*) (one study, SMD = -1.04 [-2.02 to -0.06], p = 0.04) or intra-carotid artery (ICA) (one study, SMD = -2.54 [-4.44 to -0.65], p = 0.008), further studies are needed to confirm these administration regimen.

According to the subgroup analysis, the dose-response effect of resveratrol in the treatment of cerebral I/R injury was observed. The reduction in cerebral infarct volume was more pronounced in studies using doses of 20 mg/kg (eight studies, SMD = -3.51[-5.00 to -2.01], p < 0.00001) than in studies using doses of less than 20 mg/kg (12 studies, SMD = -3.07 [-4.32 to -1.83], p < 0.00001). Then, a dose of 30 mg/kg (eight studies, SMD = -5.83 [-7.63 to -4.04], p <0.00001) is preferable to 20 mg/kg. Interestingly, when the dose was greater than 30 mg/kg (eight studies,

SMD = -5.46 [-7.58 to -3.34], p < 0.00001), the cerebral infarct volume could not be further reduced.

A greater reduction in infarct volume in animals given pretreatment with resveratrol (18 studies, SMD = -5.05 [-6.41 to -3.70], p < 0.0001) compared with animals given after I/R (10 studies, SMD = -4.40 [-6.15 to -2.85], p < 0.0001). Therefore, early administration of resveratrol should be more neuroprotective.

Subgroup analyses showed the shorter occlusion period, the better the effect of resveratrol on reducing cerebral infarction area (p = 0.04). The neuroprotective effect of resveratrol is better in 60 min occlusion (three studies, SMD = -10.89 [-16.35 to -5.42], p < 0.0001) than 90 min occlusion (six studies, SMD = -5.12 [-7.57 to -2.67], p <0.0001). In addition, resveratrol showed the weakest effect in 120 min occlusion studies (18 the studies. SMD = -4.02 [-5.08 to -2.97], p < 0.00001). Accordingly, resveratrol can provide higher improvements on cerebral I/R injury especially for those reveal mild to moderate-severity symptoms.

	Res	veratrol		С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean D	ifference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% CI	IV. Rando	om. 95% Cl
Fang LQ 2015	68.6567	5.9116	10	84.3284	4.5205	10	10.2%	-15.67 [-20.28, -11.06]		
He Q 2017	79.1781	2.2979	10	84.5205	2.298	10	11.4%	-5.34 [-7.36, -3.33]		
Hong G 2019	74.98	1.45	10	91.39	1.43	10	11.6%	-16.41 [-17.67, -15.15]	-	
Lei JR 2019	79.25	3.13	6	86.43	3.61	6	10.6%	-7.18 [-11.00, -3.36]		
Li WN 2015	77.7778	1.2082	8	81.8182	1.2088	8	11.6%	-4.04 [-5.22, -2.86]	-	
Lu X 2020	71.5962	2.1734	3	79.5775	0.4725	3	11.2%	-7.98 [-10.50, -5.46]		
Ren JW 2011	78.365	0.8947	6	81.04	1.04	3	11.6%	-2.68 [-4.05, -1.30]	-	
Wei H 2014	74.359	2.218	7	84.1026	2.2179	7	11.3%	-9.74 [-12.07, -7.42]		
Zhao R 2019	70.6349	2.848	8	88.0952	4.7467	8	10.6%	-17.46 [-21.30, -13.62]		
Total (95% CI)			68			65	100.0%	-9.49 [-13.58, -5.40]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	37.13; Chi	² = 313.8	0, df =	8 (P < 0.0	0001); l ²	= 97%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.55 (F	P < 0.000	01)						-20 -10 Favours Resveratrol	Favours Control
IRE 3										
-analysis of resver	atrol for	cerebra	al wate	er conte	nt in ra	ts afte	r cerebi	al I/R iniury.		

TABLE 4 Summary of subgroup analysis on brain water content.

Pooled estimates	No. of studies	Std. MD (95% CI)	p value	Group <i>p</i> -value
Model				<0.00001
MCAO	8	-5.51 [-6.21, -4.80]	p < 0.00001	
BCCAO	1	-16.41 [-17.67, -15.15]	p < 0.00001	
Species				
SD rats	9	-8.10 [-8.71, -7.48]	p < 0.00001	
Route of administration				< 0.00001
i.p	7	-7.84 [-8.49, -7.20]	p < 0.00001	
i.v	1	-17.46 [-21.30, -13.62]	p < 0.00001	
ICA	1	-7.98 [-10.50, -5.46]	p < 0.00001	
Dosage				< 0.00001
<20 mg/kg	3	-4.90 [-6.08, -3.71]	p < 0.00001	
20 mg/kg	2	-9.83 [-10.70, -8.97]	p < 0.00001	
30 mg/kg	2	-4.38 [-5.80, -2.96]	p < 0.00001	
>30 mg/kg	3	-7.22 [-8.64, -5.81]	p < 0.00001	
Administration time				< 0.00001
Before I/R	3	-10.09 [-10.95, -9.22]	p < 0.00001	
After I/R	5	-8.49 [-9.80, -7.19]	p < 0.00001	
Before and after I/R	1	-4.04 [-5.22, -2.86]	p < 0.00001	
Occlusion time				< 0.00001
60 min	1	-5.34 [-7.36, -3.33]	p < 0.00001	
90 min	2	-5.21 [-6.34, -4.08]	p < 0.00001	
120 min	6	-9.92 [-10.71, -9.13]	<i>p</i> < 0.00001	

BCCAO, the bilateral common carotid arteries occlusion; MCAO, middle cerebral artery occlusion; ICA, intra-carotid artery; I/R, ischemia/reperfusion; *i.g.*, intragastric gavage; *i.p.*, intraperitoneal; *i.v.*, intravenous injection; SD, Sprague-Dawley.

Meta-analysis of resveratrol for brain water content in cerebral I/R injury

Nine studies reported brain water content as outcome. Compared with the control group, the brain water content was significantly reduced by resveratrol (nine studies, MD = -9.49 [-13.58 to -5.40], p < 0.00001) (Figure 3).

Subgroup analysis suggested that the pooled estimates for improvement of brain water content was associated with the type of model, the route of administration, dosage, timing regimen of pretreatment, and occlusion time (Table 4). The route of administration is intravenous injection (one study, SMD = -17.46 [-21.30 to -13.62], p < 0.00001) rather than intraperitoneal injection. Due to the relatively small number of studies, further studies are needed to confirm this

	Resveratrol Co			Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Fang LQ 2015	1.3333	0.4387	10	2.9804	0.8406	10	6.3%	-2.35 [-3.55, -1.16]	
He Q 2017	1.3781	0.7182	10	2.2973	0.6801	10	9.4%	-1.26 [-2.24, -0.28]	
Hou Y 2018	1.3578	0.273	5	3.3484	0.2733	5	0.6%	-6.58 [-10.49, -2.67]	
Lei JR 2019	1.775	0.6614	24	2.8	0.5	12	14.1%	-1.63 [-2.43, -0.83]	-
Li H 2011	0.61	0.26	8	2.75	0.72	8	2.8%	-3.74 [-5.52, -1.95]	
Lin Y 2013	1.175	0.1508	6	2.6667	0.1954	6	0.6%	-7.89 [-11.90, -3.88]	
Liu Y 2018	5.6897	0.4117	15	7.1074	0.5916	15	8.6%	-2.71 [-3.73, -1.68]	-
Liu YG 2007	1.6933	0.6479	18	2.49	0.61	6	9.1%	-1.20 [-2.20, -0.21]	
Li WN 2015	1.1574	0.4371	8	2.1319	0.9959	8	7.6%	-1.20 [-2.29, -0.11]	
Lu X 2020	5.2357	2.4133	14	10.4	0.4	5	5.2%	-2.33 [-3.64, -1.01]	
Ren JW 2011	1.4403	0.7015	20	2.4106	0.7452	10	12.8%	-1.32 [-2.16, -0.48]	
Shi N 2016	2	0.12	24	2.38	0.24	24	18.5%	-1.97 [-2.67, -1.27]	*
Wan D 2016	1.2615	0.1547	4	2.7385	0.1547	4	0.3%	-8.30 [-14.18, -2.43]	
Xu H 2018	6.8786	1.3035	5	10.6012	0.4561	5	1.7%	-3.44 [-5.74, -1.14]	
Yousuf S 2009	1.573	0.2704	8	2.7733	0.2703	8	2.4%	-4.20 [-6.14, -2.26]	
Total (95% CI)			179			136	100.0%	-1.96 [-2.26, -1.65]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 40.45, df = 14 (P = 0.0002); l ² = 65%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 12.75 (P < 0.00001) -10 -5 0 5 10 Favours Resveratrol Favours Control									
Induce a particle of requestries for polycological deficit scores in rate ofter corebral 1/D injuny									
meta-analysis of res	veratiot	ioi neui	ologic		300165	inials	arter Cer	ebraci/it injury.	

administration regimen, and resveratrol showed the best efficacy of neuroprotection in the 120 min occlusion studies (six study, SMD = -9.92 [-10.71 to -9.13], p < 0.00001).

Meta-analysis of resveratrol for neurological deficit scores in cerebral I/R injury

In terms of functional assessment, 15 studies assessed neurological scores using different scoring systems. A higher neurological function score was associated with greater severity in most of the studies, this meta-analysis showed that resveratrol can significantly improve neurological function in rats (15 studies, SMD = -0.96 [-1.04 to -0.89], p < 0.00001) (Figure 4).

Different from the above studies, two studies used other neurological function scoring criteria, namely the 21-Point Behavioral Scale (Normal and maximum Score, 21) and Garcia Score (Wei et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016), that indicated that the neurological deficit was recovery after treatment with resveratrol (p < 0.05). The same results were also indicated in grip test rota rod and closed or open field activity test (Sinha et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2019), as well as the Morris water maze in these studies (Girbovan et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016; Chang et al., 2018).

Subgroup analysis suggested that the pooled estimates for improvement of neurological deficit scores was associated with the route of administration, dosage, timing regimen of pretreatment, and occlusion time (Table 5). The results of subgroup analysis were similar to those of cerebral infarct volume. 30 mg/kg (four studies, SMD = -1.84 [-2.08 to -1.60], p < 0.00001) is the more effective dose. And resveratrol showed the best efficacy of neuroprotection in the 90 min occlusion studies (two study, SMD = -1.33 [-1.66 to -1.01], p < 0.00001). Identically, the neurological deficit scores were obviously improved when resveratrol was given after ischemia-reperfusion (four studies, SMD = -1.87 [-2.25 to -1.49], p < 0.00001).

Meta-analysis of resveratrol for antioxidative effects in cerebral I/R injury

Antioxidative effects were measured in seven articles. Six ;studies measured MDA levels after cerebral I/R injury and found that MDA levels were significantly lower in the resveratrol group than the matched group of controls (six studies, SMD = -8.97 [-13.60 to -4.34], p = 0.0001) (Figure 5). In accordance with MDA, resveratrol was also associated with a significant amelioration in SOD compared with vehicle treatment (five studies, SMD = 3.13 [-0.16 to 6.43], p = 0.06) (Figure 6).

Publication bias

The funnel plots of the cerebral infarct volume were constructed to assess publication bias (Figure 7). Most of the data points in this study were scattered on both sides of the funnel plot, except few far away from the funnel plot, suggesting publication bias was exist at all, and cannot be ignored.

Pooled estimates	No. of studies	Std. MD (95% CI)	p value	Group <i>p</i> -value
Model				
MCAO	15	-0.96 [-1.04, -0.89]	p < 0.00001	
Species				0.07
SD rats	14	-0.95 [-1.02, -0.87]	p < 0.00001	
Wistar rats	1	-1.20 [-1.47, -0.94]	p < 0.00001	
Route of administration				< 0.00001
i.p	11	-0.92 $[-1.00, -0.85]$	p < 0.00001	
i.v	1	-3.72 [-4.93, -2.51]	p < 0.00001	
i.g	1	-0.80 [-1.37, -0.22]	p = 0.006	
ICA	1	-5.16 [-6.48, -3.85]	p < 0.00001	
Dosage				< 0.00001
<20 mg/kg	7	-1.10 [-1.29, -0.92]	p < 0.00001	
20 mg/kg	5	-0.71 $[-0.81, -0.62]$	p < 0.00001	
30 mg/kg	4	-1.84 [-2.08, -1.60]	p < 0.00001	
>30 mg/kg	6	-1.62 $[-1.76, -1.47]$	p < 0.00001	
Administration time				< 0.0001
Before I/R	10	-0.93 [-1.00, -0.86]	p < 0.00001	
After I/R	4	-1.87 [-2.25, -1.49]	p < 0.00001	
Before and after I/R	1	-0.97 [-1.73, -0.22]	p = 0.01	
Occlusion time				0.008
60 min	1	-0.92 [-1.53, -0.31]	p = 0.003	
90 min	2	-1.33 [-1.66, -1.01]	p < 0.00001	
120 min	12	-0.95 [-1.02, -0.87]	p < 0.00001	

TABLE 5 Summary of subgroup analysis on neurological deficit scores.

BCCAO, the bilateral common carotid arteries occlusion; MCAO, middle cerebral artery occlusion; ICA, intra-carotid artery; I/R, ischemia/reperfusion; *i.g.*, intragastric gavage; *i.p.*, intraperitoneal; *i.v.*, intravenous injection; SD, Sprague-Dawley.



Discussion

Summary of main results

In this meta-analysis, we evaluated the neurological recovery effects of resveratrol in rat models of cerebral I/R injury. In agreement with the meta-analysis of Liu et al. (Liu J. et al.,

2021), our results showed that resveratrol is associated with a significantly improved infarct size and the neurological scores post-I/R injury *in vivo* animal studies. In addition, cerebral water content in our meta-analysis was well improved. Compared with vehicle groups, resveratrol treatment significantly increased SOD levels and decreased MDA levels in rats after brain I/R injury in the resveratrol groups which provides quantitative evidence for

	Re	sveratrol		Control			Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Al Dera H 2017	7.8519	0.9176	6	4.7407	0.7764	6	25.1%	3.38 [1.38, 5.38]	
Li WN 2015	386.014	130.4884	8	193.007	115.4313	8	26.7%	1.48 [0.34, 2.63]	•
Ren JW 2011	16.5695	0.144	3	9.7748	0.048	3	0.4%	50.64 [1.72, 99.57]	│ ———→
Simão F 2011	4.76	0.36	6	5.26	0.29	6	26.4%	-1.41 [-2.74, -0.09]	-
Yan Y 2019	32.07	1.27	10	20.17	1.11	10	21.3%	9.56 [6.14, 12.97]	
Total (95% CI)			33			33	100.0%	3.13 [-0.16, 6.43]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 10.23; Chi ² = 46.59, df = 4 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 91%								-	
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.86 (P = 0.06)								Favours Resveratrol Favours Control	
FIGURE 6									

Meta-analysis of resveratrol for SOD in rats after cerebral I/R injury.



resveratrol in the treatment of cerebral I/R injury in antioxidant stress. Our subgroup analysis suggested that the optimal therapeutic dose is 30 mg/kg, especially for those with mild to moderate-severity cerebral I/R injury.

Resveratrol dosage and safety

The pharmacokinetics of resveratrol are well understood with high oral absorption but poor bioavailability (Chang et al., 2018). While, the appropriate dose still needs to be concerned. The neuroprotective effect of resveratrol against cerebral I/R injury is dose-dependent in several studies (Liu et al., 2007; Ren et al., 2011; Saleh et al., 2014; Girbovan et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2020). Our subgroup analyses suggested the optimal dose of resveratrol to treat cerebral I/R injury is 30 mg/kg.

Interestingly, it should be noted that resveratrol at dose over 30 mg/kg will not achieve a more ideal neuroprotective effect, and can't neglect the possibility of adverse reactions. Brown et al. reported that 5.0 g/day oral dose of resveratrol were well tolerated without any serious consequences, but cause mild to moderate gastrointestinal

symptoms (Brown et al., 2010). To evaluate the potential toxicity of resveratrol, Crowell JA, et al. (Crowell et al., 2004) had observed increases in kidney weights and clinically significant renal lesions by administered 3.0 g trans-resveratrol orally per kilogram body weight per day to rats for 4 weeks. Chachay VS., et al. (Chachay et al., 2014) performed a placebo-controlled trial, indicating daily 3.0 g resveratrol treatment did not induce therapeutic benefits in men with established non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. But the significant rise up of alanine aminotranferease and aspartate aminotransferase to week 6 is nevertheless concerning, especially since the long-term effects of resveratrol supplementation were not investigated in the study. Given the minimal toxicity data in rats and the lack of data for systemic toxicity in other species, the potential toxicity of resveratrol was not entirely clear. Hence, more clinical research on resveratrol is needed to determine the minimal effective dose for particular target populations, and pay close attention to possible toxicity on kidney and liver.

In addition, the meta-analysis of Liu et al. (Liu X. et al., 2021) discovered a significant decrease of infarct volume and the neurobehavioral score was achieved in resveratrol sub-groups with a dosage of 20–50 mg/kg among rats and mouse, then we

TABLE 6 The proposed molecular and cellular mechanism of the neuroprotective effect of resveratrol.

Study	Mechanism	Outcome
Zhu H 2022	Apoptosis	Inhibit ferroptosis induced by OGD/R and ferroptosis inducers
Gutiérrez Aguilar GF 2020	_	Activation of AMPK signaling, downregulation GLUT3 expression
Teertam SK 2020	Apoptosis	Sirt1, p53, caspase-3; Activation of Sirt1/miR-149-5p/p53 axis; downregulation caspase-3
Lu X 2020	Oxidative stress, apoptosis, contributing to neurogenesis and angiogenesis	MDA, Bax, cleaved caspase-3, Bcl-2; Enhance expression of BDNF
Pineda-Ramírez N 2020	Autophagy	AMPK, Beclin 1, LC-I, LC-II, p62; Activation of AMPK/autophagy pathway
Hong G 2019	Apoptosis	YAP, TAZ; Activation of the Hippo/YAP/TAZ signaling pathway, improve BBB breakdown
Zhao R 2019	Inflammation, apoptosis	1Iba-1 and MPO, Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, Bad and Bax; Downregulation of TGF-β-mediated ERK pathway, improve BBB breakdown
Lei JR 2019	Inflammation	MPO, TLR4, NF- κB , p65, COX-2, MMP9, TNF- α and IL-1 β ; Downregulation of TLR4 signaling pathway
Yan Y 2019	Inflammation, oxidative stress, apoptosis	IL-6, TNF-α, SOD, ROS, MDA, Bcl-2, Bax; modulation of the BMP-4/ROS/COX-2 pathway
Serra MP 2019	contributing to neurogenesis and angiogenesis	BDNF, TrkB, PSA-NCAM, and Arc
Hou Y 2018	Apoptosis	Bcl-2, Bax, caspase-3; Activation of the JAK2/STAT3/PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway
Liu Y 2018	Apoptosis, Inflammation, autophagy	Bcl-2, Bax, caspase-3, IL-1β, and Beclin-1, LC3II/LC3I
Xu H 2018	Oxidative stress	MDA
Chang C 2018	Oxidative stress, inflammation, apoptosis	SOD, MDA, TNF- α and IL-6, Bcl-2, Bax; Regulation of JAK/ERK/STAT signaling pathway
He Q 2017	Inflammation, autophagy	NLRP3 inflammasome, caspase-1, IL-1β, and IL-18, Sirt1, LC3B-II, LC3B-I, p62; inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome activation through Sirt1-dependent autophagy induction
AI Dera H 2017	Oxidative stress, apoptosis, inflammation	SOD, MDA, CAT, GPx, OPN, iNOS, Bax, IL-1β, KC, MIP-2, ICAM-1
Wan D 2016	Autophagy	Inhibition of PDEs and activation of the cAMP/AMPK/SIRT1 pathway
Yang HN 2016	Inflammation	IL-6, TNF-a, Tregs
Shi N 2016	Apoptosis	Activation of the Sirt1/BDNF/TrkB pathway
Li Z 2016	Apoptosis	Regulation of the NMDA receptor-mediated ERK1/2-CREB signaling pathway
Kizmazoglu C 2015	Apoptosis	Bcl-2, p53
Fang LQ 2015	Apoptosis, inflammation	Caspase-3, cleaved caspase3, Bcl-2, Bax, and $\beta\text{-actin},$ MPO, TNF- α
Li WN 2015	Oxidative stress	MDA, SOD, iNOS, Brain edema (reduce AQP4 expression)
Girbovan C 2015 (1)	contributing to neurogenesis and angiogenesis	Neurogenesis, angiogenesis, and corticosterone secretion
Girbovan C 2015 (2)	contributing to neurogenesis and angiogenesis	Downregulates type-1 glutamate transporter expression and microglia activation
Saleh MC 2014	_	_
Wei H 2014	_	BBB Integrity, MMP-9 and TIMP-1, regulation of the TIMP-1 signal
Lin Y 2013	_	Regulation of TRPC6/CREB pathways
Simão F 2013	_	Lipid content (ganglioside content)
Li Z 2012	Apoptosis	Bcl-2, Bax
Simão F 2012	Autophagy	Activation of the PI3-K/Akt pathway; Downregulation of GSK-3b and CREB
Simão F 2011	Oxidative stress	ROS, NO, Na+, K+-ATPase, SOD, GPx
Ren JW 2011	Oxidative stress, Apoptosis	MDA, SOD, caspase-3; Activation of the Nrf2/ARE/HO-1 signaling pathway
Li H 2011	Oxidative stress	Brain energy metabolism (Glucose, lactate, ATP, EC, hypoxanthine, xanthine); MDA, XO
Li C 2010	_	Regulation of neurotransmitter and neuromodulator (Arg, Lys, Trp, Leu, Phe, Gln, GABA, D-Ser, L-Ser, Ala, Tau, Gly, DA, PEA, Glu, and Asp)
Yousuf S 2009	Preserving mitochondrial functions	GSH, G6-PD, LDH, LPO, H ₂ O ₂ ,MT, Hsp70
Della-Morte D 2009	Preserving mitochondrial functions	SIRT1, UCP2, membrane potential, respiration, and the itochondrial ATP synthesis efficiency
Tsai SK 2007	Oxidative stress	MDA, NO
Liu YG 2007	Inflammation	TNF-a, MPO, IL-6, IL-1 β
Shigematsu S 2003	Inflammation, Oxidative stress	_
Sinha K 2002	Oxidative stress	glutathione, MDA

AQP4, aquaporin 4; AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; ARE, antioxidant response element; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; Bax, bcl-2-associated x; BBB, blood-brain barrier; Bcl-2, b-cell lymphoma-2; BDNF, brain derived-nerve neurotrophic factor; BMP-4, bone morphogenetic protein-4; CAT, catalase; CREB, cyclic-AMP response element binding protein; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinases; G6-PD, glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase; GLUT3, glucose transporter 3; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; GSH, glutathione;

 H_2O_2 , hydrogen peroxide; HE, histopathological estimation; HO-1, heme oxygenase 1; Hsp70, heat stress protein; 1Iba-1, ionized calcium binding adaptor molecule 1; IL-1 β , interleukin-1beta; IL-6, serum interleukin-6; JAK, janus kinases; Keap I, Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LC3, light chain 3; MDA, malondialdehyde; MT, metallothionein; MMP-9, matrix metalloproteinase-9; MPO, myelo peroxidase; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NF- κ B, nuclear factor- κ B; NLRP3, NOD-like receptor thermal protein domain associated protein 3; Nrf2, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; P-AMPK, phosphorylation of adenosine-monophosphate-activated protein kinase; PDEs, phosphodiesterase; p62, sequestosome-1; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; ROS, reactive oxygen species; Sirt1, silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1; STAT3, signal transducers and activators of transcription 3; SOD, superoxide dismutase; TTLR4, Toll-like receptor 4; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factor- α ; rkB, tyrosine kinase receptor B; UCP2, mitochondrial uncoupling protein 2; XO, xanthine oxidase.



FIGURE 8

Potential molecular mechanisms underlying the neuroprotective action of resveratrol against cerebral I/R injury. AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; Arc, Activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated; ARE, antioxidant response element; Bax, bcl-2-associated x; Bcl-2, b-cell lymphoma-2; BDNF, brain derived-nerve neurotrophic factor; CD31, platelet and endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1; CREB, cyclic-AMP response element binding protein; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinases; G6-PD, glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase; GSH, glutathione; HO-1, heme oxygenase 1; Hsp70, heatshockprotein70; IL-1β, interleukin-1beta; IL-6, serum interleukin-6; JAK, janus kinases; Keap I, Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1; LC3, light chain 3; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LPO, lipid peroxidation; MDA, malondialdehyde; MT, metallothionein; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NF-xB, nuclear factor-xB; NLRP3, NOD-like receptor thermal protein domain associated protein 3; Nrf2, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; p62, sequestosome-1; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PSA-NCAM, Polysialylated-Neural Cell Adhesion Molecule; RES, resveratrol; ROS, reactive oxygen species; Sirt1, silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1; STAT3, signal transducers and activators of transcription 3; SOD, superoxide dismutase; TrkB, tyrosine kinase receptor B; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor-a; UCP2, uncoupling protein 2.

made this dose accurate to 30 mg/kg in rats. According to the body surface area method, the conversion coefficient between different animals and humans is different, the dosage given to mice is about 12.3 times that of humans, rats about 6.2 times that of humans, simple merging of mouse and rat data might was inappropriate, then our reseult also needs be proved by *in vivo* experiments (Guidance for Industry 2005).

Potential therapeutic mechanisms

It is a common phenomenon that oxidative stress injury, inflammatory reaction, and apoptosis result in brain damage after I/R injury. Large numbers studies demonstrated that resveratrol, an anti-inflammatory and antioxidant substance, have exerts significant neuroprotective effects. Even though, the pathological mechanism of brain I/R injury remains unclear, several signaling pathways related to antiapoptotic capacity, antiinflammation, antioxidative stress and autophagy of resveratrol were involved in the process. In addition, resveratrol can play a neuroprotective role by maintaining mitochondrial function and promoting neurogenesis and angiogenesis (Table 6 and Figure 8).

Antioxidative stress

Oxidative stress is an important part of the complex mechanism of cerebral I/R injury. MDA, the principal product of lipid peroxidation, is regarded as a biomarker for oxidative stress after cerebral I/R injury. Great interest has been focused on SOD its significant reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging ability. It is necessary to find a substance that can exert an antioxidant effect in the brain tissue. Fortunately, due to its significant SOD activation ability, the antioxidant effect of resveratrol in cerebral I/R injury has been proven (Tsai et al., 2007; Li et al., 2011). At the same time, the level of MDA was downregulated by resveratrol pretreatment (Yan et al., 2019). Activation of the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2)/antioxidant response element (ARE) signaling pathway has protective effect on cerebral I/R injury. Normally, Nrf2 interacts with Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap l) to form the Keap-1-Nrf2 complex and restrict Nrf2-mediated gene expression. When Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway activated, the Keap-1-Nrf2 complex dissociates, and Nrf2 is translocated into the nucleus to bind to ARE, activate expression of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) and SOD to attenuate cellular oxidative stress. Based on this, resveratrol can ameliorate oxidative stress injury caused by cerebral I/R by up-regulating Nrf2 and HO-1 expression (Ren et al., 2011).

Anti-inflammation

Growing evidence demonstrated that inflammation has a significant impact on ischemic brain injury in animal studies or patients with ischemic stroke. Historically, the role of resveratrol in anti-inflammation effect is beyond debate. It has been shown that resveratrol can not only increased the production of T regulatory cells (Tregs) in the ischemic hemisphere but also regulate the elevation of IL-6 and TNF- α levels in peripheral blood after 24 h cerebral I/R injury (Yang et al., 2016). Studies have shown that NODlike receptor family, pyrin domain-containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome and its downstream cytokines play a key role in the occurrence of brain I/R injury. Interestingly, under the intervention of Sirt1, resveratrol could inhibit NLRP3 inflammasome activation (He et al., 2017). According to report, resveratrol could alleviate Toll-like inflammatory response by downregulating receptor 4/nuclear factor-кВ (TLR4/NF-кВ) signaling pathway, and thus alleviate ischemic brain injury induced by inflammation (Lei et al., 2019).

Anti-apoptotic capacity

Apoptosis increased with the occurrence of ischemiareperfusion. Resveratrol was thought to alleviate nerve injury by downregulating bcl-2-associated x (Bax) and caspase-3 (Fang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2020), which considered as the executioner caspase for its role in the destruction of cellular structures. Recent studies demonstrated that the neuroprotective mechanisms of resveratrol may be attributed to its involved in a variety of signaling pathways (Chang et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2019; Teertam et al., 2020). It has been concluded that sirtuin1 (Sirt1) can be activated by resveratrol treatment, and subsequent upregulation of Mir-149-5p reduces the loss of neurons that may bind to p53, thereby reducing the activity of caspase-3 (Teertam et al., 2020). The expression levels of brain derived-nerve neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and tyrosine kinase receptor B (TrkB) in resveratrol group were significantly increased, which may be related to the upregulation of BDNF/TrkB signaling pathway (Shi et al., 2016; Serra et al., 2019). Furthermore, upregulation of the janus kinases (JAK), extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) and signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) pathway or extracellular signal regulated kinase (ERK) and cyclic-AMP response element binding protein (CREB) signaling might participates in neuroprotection of resveratrol (Li et al., 2016; Chang et al., 2018).

Autophagy

Accumulating evidence shows that a variety of cell types in the brain after ischemic stroke will activate autophagy, which plays an important homeostasis role in regulating cell survival. Resveratrol is considered as an interesting target in cerebral I/R injury research due to its regulation of autophagy (Liu et al., 2018). In spite of this, the exact mechanism of how resveratrol plays a neuroprotective role in cerebral I/R injury by regulating autophagy has not been clarified. Studies have shown that the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) activation is involved in the neuroprotective effects of resveratrol (Gutierrez Aguilar et al., 2020). Furthermore, they demonstrate that resveratrol increases AMPK activity and drives autophagy (Pineda-Ramirez et al., 2020). Sirt1, one of the targets of resveratrol, can be activated to exert neuroprotective effects, including autophagy regulation (He et al., 2017). By up-regulating the phosphorylation levels of Akt and the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) proteins, the phosphoinositide 3kinase (PI3K)/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway is activated and autophagy is mediated. Resveratrol considerably improved neurological function and inhibits the apoptosis of neuron cells after stroke, which is partially induced by activation of the JAK2/STAT3/PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway (Hou et al., 2018).

Preserving mitochondrial functions

In the process of cerebral I/R injury, oxidative stress, calcium overload, inflammatory response and other factors can easily lead to neuronal mitochondrial imbalance. Resveratrol plays a neuroprotective role by regulating mitochondrial dysfunction during cerebral ischemia. Compared with MCAO group, ATP level in MCAO + resveratrol group was significantly increased by resveratrol treatment (p < 0.01) (Yousuf et al., 2009). Della-Morte D et al. demonstrated that resveratrol pretreatment exerts neuroprotective effects by reducing uncoupling protein 2 (UCP2) levels and increasing the efficiency of mitochondrial ATP synthesis (Della-Morte et al., 2009).

Contributing to neurogenesis and angiogenesis

Angiogenesis in the injured CA1 region was increased in resveratrol-treated rats in a dose-dependent manner, which indicated the neuroprotective effect of resveratrol and its ability to upregulate angiogenesis (Girbovan et al., 2015). Its ability to regulate angiogenic processes may be associated with the ability to activate silent information regulator 1 (SIRT1), which is highly expressed in the vascular endothelium during neovascularization (Yang et al., 2013). Resveratrol also can promotes neurogenesis by enhancing the expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Lu et al., 2020), which is an important regulator of neuronal network plasticity, nerve regeneration and neuroprotection (Brigadski and Leßmann, 2020). Resveratrol treatment is effective in inducing neuronal plasticity by increasing BDNF, PSA-NCAM and Arc in the frontal cortex of BCCAO/R rats (Serra et al., 2019). Further studies are needed to assess whether the RVT-induced enhancement of plasticity-related molecules is directly related to the altered dendritic morphology of rat prefrontal cortex neurons.

Limitations

Several limitations should be considered, first, despite the quality of the included studies was acceptable, there are still some shortcomings. For example, no studies included here described sample-size calculation and allocation concealment. Twenty studies reported randomization, but did not describe what specific adopted random method they used. More than half of the studies did not report blind evaluation of results. There are only a few studies were clearly proposed to screen according to neurological function score. There is also a lack of detailed access to experimental data. Due to the above reasons, the quality of the included literatures was not high enough. We therefore suggest that in future animal model studies, blinded outcome evaluation, use of target animal

models (hypertension, advanced age, and diabetes), calculation of sample size, declaration of compliance with animal welfare regulations, possible conflicts of interest, and detailed data release should be considered. Second, it is worth noting that our study contains signs of publication bias, we can see all the published papers that assess infarct volume or neurological deficit score showed a positive (protective) result, which had to make us question the reliability of the results. Negative results may not be available because they may not be favored by the journal. In general, the existence of publication bias clearly undermines our confidence in this group of studies. Therefore, we believe that it is necessary to establish an authoritative registration website for animal experiments, through which some negative or unpublished experiments can be searched for analysis, and thus reduce the risk of publication bias. Due to the quality of the included literature and publication bias, as well as other unknown risk of bias, the conclusion cannot be substantiated based on the data provided, it need to be further verified through exploration of dose effect relationship, or delay administration or not.

Conclusion

Our current findings suggest that resveratrol might have a distinct neuroprotective effect in rat, the recommended dosage is 30 mg/kg, especially for those with mild to moderate-severity cerebral I/R injury, then it need to be further verified through exploration of dose effect relationship, or delay administration or not. Thus high quality *in vivo* experiments were still encouraged to research the neuroprotective function of resveratrol. As neurological function scores were performed 24 h after I/R in most of the studies, therefore, the long-term efficacy of resveratrol still needs to be further confirmed.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

RX and MY designed the review, JX and MY performed electronic literature searches, and RX performed manual literature searches with SG. The methodological quality of enrolled studies was assessed by RX and SG, and MY checked as external adviser. The data was extracted and analysised by RX, SG, and YZ. RX and SG wrote the initial draft. XC, WM, JX, and MY critically revised the manuscript.

Funding

Longhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine for their financial support (No. YM2021007 and No. KC2022006).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank members of the Spine Disease Institute for comments on this work.

References

Ashrafizadeh, M., Rafiei, H., Mohammadinejad, R., Farkhondeh, T., and Samarghandian, S. (2021). Anti-tumor activity of resveratrol against gastric cancer: A review of recent advances with an emphasis on molecular pathways. *Cancer Cell. Int.* 21 (1), 66. doi:10.1186/s12935-021-01773-7

Bao, Q., Hu, P., Xu, Y., Cheng, T., Wei, C., Pan, L., et al. (2018). Simultaneous blood-brain barrier crossing and protection for stroke treatment based on edaravone-loaded ceria nanoparticles. *ACS Nano* 12 (7), 6794–6805. doi:10. 1021/acsnano.8b01994

Bonthuis, P. J., Cox, K. H., Searcy, B. T., Kumar, P., Tobet, S., and Rissman, E. F. (2010). Of mice and rats: Key species variations in the sexual differentiation of brain and behavior. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 31 (3), 341–358. doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2010. 05.001

Brigadski, T., and Leßmann, V. (2020). The physiology of regulated BDNF release. Cell. Tissue Res. 382 (1), 15-45. doi:10.1007/s00441-020-03253-2

Brown, V. A., Patel, K. R., Viskaduraki, M., Crowell, J. A., Perloff, M., Booth, T. D., et al. (2010). Repeat dose study of the cancer chemopreventive agent resveratrol in healthy volunteers: Safety, pharmacokinetics, and effect on the insulin-like growth factor axis. *Cancer Res.* 70 (22), 9003–9011. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.Can-10-2364

Candelario-Jalil, E. (2009). Injury and repair mechanisms in ischemic stroke: Considerations for the development of novel neurotherapeutics. *Curr. Opin. Investig. Drugs* 10 (7), 644–654.

Chachay, V. S., Macdonald, G. A., Martin, J. H., Whitehead, J. P., O'Moore-Sullivan, T. M., Lee, P., et al. (2014). Resveratrol does not benefit patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 12 (12), 2092–2096. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2014.02.024

Chang, C., Zhao, Y., Song, G., and She, K. (2018). Resveratrol protects hippocampal neurons against cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injury via modulating JAK/ERK/STAT signaling pathway in rats. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 315, 9–14. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2017.11.015

Crowell, J. A., Korytko, P. J., Morrissey, R. L., Booth, T. D., and Levine, B. S. (2004). Resveratrol-associated renal toxicity. *Toxicol. Sci.* 82 (2), 614–619. doi:10. 1093/toxsci/kfh263

Della-Morte, D., Dave, K. R., DeFazio, R. A., Bao, Y. C., Raval, A. P., and Perez-Pinzon, M. A. (2009). Resveratrol pretreatment protects rat brain from cerebral ischemic damage via a sirtuin 1-uncoupling protein 2 pathway. *Neuroscience* 159 (3), 993–1002. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.01.017

Dera, H. A. (2017). Neuroprotective effect of resveratrol against late cerebral ischemia reperfusion induced oxidative stress damage involves upregulation of osteopontin and inhibition of interleukin-1beta. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 68, 47.

Fang, L., Gao, H., Zhang, W., Zhang, W., and Wang, Y. (2015). Resveratrol alleviates nerve injury after cerebral ischemia and reperfusion in mice by inhibiting inflammation and apoptosis. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* 8 (3), 3219–3226.

Gambini, J., Inglés, M., Olaso, G., Lopez-Grueso, R., Bonet-Costa, V., Gimeno-Mallench, L., et al. (2015). Properties of resveratrol: In vitro and in vivo studies

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

about metabolism, bioavailability, and biological effects in animal models and humans. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2015, 837042. doi:10.1155/2015/837042

Girbovan, C., Kent, P., Merali, Z., and Plamondon, H. (2016). Dose-related effects of chronic resveratrol administration on neurogenesis, angiogenesis, and corticosterone secretion are associated with improved spatial memory retention following global cerebral ischemia. *Nutr. Neurosci.* 19 (8), 352–368. doi:10.1179/ 1476830515Y.00000020

Girbovan, C., and Plamondon, H. (2015). Resveratrol downregulates type-1 glutamate transporter expression and microglia activation in the hippocampus following cerebral ischemia reperfusion in rats. *Brain Res.* 1608, 203–214. doi:10. 1016/j.brainres.2015.02.038

Guidance for Industry (2015). Estimating the maximum safe starting dose in initial clinical trials for therapeutics in adult healthy volunteers. Washington, D.C., USA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, FDA, CDER, July 2005, Pharmacology and Toxicology.

Gutierrez Aguilar, G. F., Alquisiras-Burgos, I., Franco-Perez, J., Pineda-Ramirez, N., Ortiz-Plata, A., Torres, I., et al. (2020). Resveratrol prevents GLUT3 up-regulation induced by middle cerebral artery occlusion. *Brain Sci.* 10 (9), E651. doi:10.3390/brainsci10090651

He, Q., Li, Z., Wang, Y., Hou, Y., Li, L., and Zhao, J. (2017). Resveratrol alleviates cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats by inhibiting NLRP3 inflammasome activation through Sirt1-dependent autophagy induction. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 50, 208–215. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2017.06.029

Hoca, M., Becer, E., and Vatansever, H. S. (2021). The role of resveratrol in diabetes and obesity associated with insulin resistance. *Arch. Physiol. Biochem.* 2021, 1–7. doi:10.1080/13813455.2021.1893338

Hong, G., Yan, Y., Zhong, Y., Chen, J., Tong, F., and Ma, Q. (2019). Combined ischemic preconditioning and resveratrol improved bloodbrain barrier breakdown via hippo/YAP/TAZ signaling pathway. *CNS Neurol. Disord. Drug Targets* 18 (9), 713–722. doi:10.2174/1871527318666191021144126

Hou, Y., Wang, K., Wan, W., Cheng, Y., Pu, X., and Ye, X. (2018). Resveratrol provides neuroprotection by regulating the JAK2/STAT3/PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway after stroke in rats. *Genes. Dis.* 5 (3), 245–255. doi:10.1016/j.gendis. 2018.06.001

Kizmazoglu, C., Aydin, H. E., Sevin, I. E., Kalemci, O., Yuceer, N., and Atasoy, M. A. (2015). Neuroprotective effect of resveratrol on acute brain ischemia reperfusion injury by measuring annexin V, p53, bcl-2 levels in rats. *J. Korean Neurosurg. Soc.* 58 (6), 508–512. doi:10.3340/jkns.2015.58.6.508

Lei, J. R., Tu, X. K., Wang, Y., Tu, D. W., and Shi, S. S. (2019). Resveratrol downregulates the TLR4 signaling pathway to reduce brain damage in a rat model of focal cerebral ischemia. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 17 (4), 3215–3221. doi:10.3892/etm.2019.7324

Li, C., Yan, Z., Yang, J., Chen, H., Li, H., Jiang, Y., et al. (2010). Neuroprotective effects of resveratrol on ischemic injury mediated by modulating the release of neurotransmitter and neuromodulator in rats. *Neurochem. Int.* 56 (3), 495–500. doi:10.1016/j.neuint.2009.12.009

Li, H., Yan, Z., Zhu, J., Yang, J., and He, J. (2011). Neuroprotective effects of resveratrol on ischemic injury mediated by improving brain energy metabolism and

alleviating oxidative stress in rats. Neuropharmacology 60 (2-3), 252-258. doi:10. 1016/j.neuropharm.2010.09.005

Li, W., Tan, C., Liu, Y., Liu, X., Wang, X., Gui, Y., et al. (2015). Resveratrol ameliorates oxidative stress and inhibits aquaporin 4 expression following rat cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 12 (5), 7756–7762. doi:10. 3892/mmr.2015.4366

Li, Z., Fang, F., Wang, Y., and Wang, L. (2016). Resveratrol protects CA1 neurons against focal cerebral ischemic reperfusion-induced damage via the ERK-CREB signaling pathway in rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 146-147, 21–27. doi:10.1016/ j.pbb.2016.04.007

Li, Z., Pang, L., Fang, F., Zhang, G., Zhang, J., Xie, M., et al. (2012). Resveratrol attenuates brain damage in a rat model of focal cerebral ischemia via up-regulation of hippocampal Bcl-2. *Brain Res.* 1450, 116–124. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2012.02.019

Lin, Y., Chen, F., Zhang, J., Wang, T., Wei, X., Wu, J., et al. (2013). Neuroprotective effect of resveratrol on ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats through TRPC6/CREB pathways. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 50 (3), 504–513. doi:10.1007/ s12031-013-9977-8

Liu, J., He, J., Huang, Y., and Hu, Z. (2021). Resveratrol has an overall neuroprotective role in ischemic stroke: A meta-analysis in rodents. *Front. Pharmacol.* 12, 795409. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.795409

Liu, X., Wang, X., Zhang, L., Zhou, Y., Yang, L., and Yang, M. (2021). By targeting apoptosis facilitator BCL2L13, microRNA miR-484 alleviates cerebral ischemia/ reperfusion injury-induced neuronal apoptosis in mice. *Bioengineered* 12 (1), 948–959. doi:10.1080/21655979.2021.1898134

Liu, Y. G., Wang, X. D., and Zhang, X. B. (2007). Effects of resveratrol on inflammatory process induced by focal cerebral ischemia-reperfusion in rats. *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi* 32 (17), 1792–1795.

Liu, Y., Yang, H., Jia, G., Li, L., Chen, H., Bi, J., et al. (2018). The synergistic neuroprotective effects of combined rosuvastatin and resveratrol pretreatment against cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 27 (6), 1697–1704. doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2018.01.033

Lu, X., Dong, J., Zheng, D., Li, X., Ding, D., and Xu, H. (2020). Reperfusion combined with intraarterial administration of resveratrol-loaded nanoparticles improved cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *Nanomedicine* 28, 102208. doi:10.1016/j.nano.2020.102208

Macleod, M. R., O'Collins, T., Howells, D. W., and Donnan, G. A. (2004). Pooling of animal experimental data reveals influence of study design and publication bias. *Stroke* 35 (5), 1203–1208. doi:10.1161/01.Str.0000125719. 25853.20

Mandalaneni, K., Rayi, A., and Jillella, D. V. (2022). "Stroke reperfusion injury," in *StatPearls* (Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing Copyright © 2022, StatPearls Publishing LLC).

Mao, Z. J., Lin, H., Hou, J. W., Zhou, Q., Wang, Q., and Chen, Y. H. (2019). A meta-analysis of resveratrol protects against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury: Evidence from small animal studies and insight into molecular mechanisms. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2019, 5793867. doi:10.1155/2019/5793867

Matei, N., Camara, J., and Zhang, J. H. (2020). The next step in the treatment of stroke. Front. Neurol. 11, 582605. doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.582605

Mendelson, S. J., and Prabhakaran, S. (2021). Diagnosis and management of transient ischemic attack and acute ischemic stroke: A review. *Jama* 325 (11), 1088–1098. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.26867

Meng, T., Xiao, D., Muhammed, A., Deng, J., Chen, L., and He, J. (2021). Antiinflammatory action and mechanisms of resveratrol. *Molecules* 26 (1), E229. doi:10. 3390/molecules26010229

Pineda-Ramirez, N., Alquisiras-Burgos, I., Ortiz-Plata, A., Ruiz-Tachiquin, M. E., Espinoza-Rojo, M., and Aguilera, P. (2020). Resveratrol activates neuronal autophagy through AMPK in the ischemic brain. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 57 (2), 1055–1069. doi:10.1007/s12035-019-01803-6

Putaala, J. (2020). Ischemic stroke in young adults. Contin. (Minneap Minn) 26 (2), 386–414. doi:10.1212/con.0000000000833

Ren, J., Fan, C., Chen, N., Huang, J., and Yang, Q. (2011). Resveratrol pretreatment attenuates cerebral ischemic injury by upregulating expression of transcription factor Nrf2 and HO-1 in rats. *Neurochem. Res.* 36 (12), 2352–2362. doi:10.1007/s11064-011-0561-8

Ro, J. H., Liu, C. C., and Lin, M. C. (2021). Resveratrol mitigates cerebral ischemic injury by altering levels of trace elements, toxic metal, lipid peroxidation, and antioxidant activity. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 199 (10), 3718–3727. doi:10.1007/s12011-020-02497-x

Rocha-González, H. I., Ambriz-Tututi, M., and Granados-Soto, V. (2008). Resveratrol: A natural compound with pharmacological potential in neurodegenerative diseases. CNS Neurosci. Ther. 14 (3), 234-247. doi:10.1111/j. 1755-5949.2008.00045.x

Saleh, M. C., Connell, B. J., Rajagopal, D., Khan, B. V., Abd-El-Aziz, A. S., Kucukkaya, I., et al. (2014). Co-administration of resveratrol and lipoic acid, or their synthetic combination, enhances neuroprotection in a rat model of ischemia/ reperfusion. *PLoS One* 9 (1), e87865. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087865

Serra, M. P., Boi, M., Poddighe, L., Melis, T., Lai, Y., Carta, G., et al. (2019). Resveratrol regulates BDNF, trkB, PSA-NCAM, and Arc expression in the rat cerebral cortex after bilateral common carotid artery occlusion and reperfusion. *Nutrients* 11 (5), E1000. doi:10.3390/nu11051000

Shi, N., Zhu, C., and Li, L. (2016). Rehabilitation training and resveratrol improve the recovery of neurological and motor function in rats after cerebral ischemic injury through the Sirt1 signaling pathway. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2016, 1732163. doi:10. 1155/2016/1732163

Shigematsu, S., Ishida, S., Hara, M., Takahashi, N., Yoshimatsu, H., Sakata, T., et al. (2003). Resveratrol, a red wine constituent polyphenol, prevents superoxidedependent inflammatory responses induced by ischemia/reperfusion, plateletactivating factor, or oxidants. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 34 (7), 810–817. doi:10. 1016/s0891-5849(02)01430-2

Simao, F., Matte, A., Breier, A. C., Kreutz, F., Trindade, V. M., Netto, C. A., et al. (2013). Resveratrol prevents global cerebral ischemia-induced decrease in lipid content. *Neurol. Res.* 35 (1), 59–64. doi:10.1179/1743132812Y. 0000000116

Simao, F., Matte, A., Matte, C., Soares, F. M., Wyse, A. T., Netto, C. A., et al. (2011). Resveratrol prevents oxidative stress and inhibition of Na(+)K(+)-ATPase activity induced by transient global cerebral ischemia in rats. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 22 (10), 921–928. doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2010.07.013

Simao, F., Matte, A., Pagnussat, A. S., Netto, C. A., and Salbego, C. G. (2012). Resveratrol prevents CA1 neurons against ischemic injury by parallel modulation of both GSK-3 β and CREB through PI3-K/Akt pathways. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 36 (7), 2899–2905. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08229.x

Sinha, K., Chaudhary, G., and Gupta, Y. K. (2002). Protective effect of resveratrol against oxidative stress in middle cerebral artery occlusion model of stroke in rats. *Life Sci.* 71 (6), 655–665. doi:10.1016/s0024-3205(02)01691-0

Strilciuc, S., Grad, D. A., Radu, C., Chira, D., Stan, A., Ungureanu, M., et al. (2021). The economic burden of stroke: A systematic review of cost of illness studies. *J. Med. Life* 14 (5), 606–619. doi:10.25122/jml-2021-0361

Sun, K., Luo, Z. L., Hu, C., Gong, T. L., Tang, G. H., and Wu, S. P. (2020). Protective effect and immune mechanism of berberine on cerebral ischemia/ reperfusion injury in rats. *Zhongguo Ying Yong Sheng Li Xue Za Zhi* 36 (6), 656–661. doi:10.12047/j.cjap.6001.2020.137

Teertam, S. K., Jha, S., and Prakash Babu, P. (2020). Up-regulation of Sirt1/ miR-149-5p signaling may play a role in resveratrol induced protection against ischemia via p53 in rat brain. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 72, 402–411. doi:10.1016/j.jocn. 2019.11.043

Tsai, S. K., Hung, L. M., Fu, Y. T., Cheng, H., Nien, M. W., Liu, H. Y., et al. (2007). Resveratrol neuroprotective effects during focal cerebral ischemia injury via nitric oxide mechanism in rats. *J. Vasc. Surg.* 46 (2), 346–353. doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2007. 04.044

Tsivgoulis, G., Kargiotis, O., and Alexandrov, A. V. (2017). Intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke: A bridge between two centuries. *Expert Rev. Neurother.* 17 (8), 819-837. doi:10.1080/14737175. 2017.1347039

Vesterinen, H. M., Sena, E. S., Egan, K. J., Hirst, T. C., Churolov, L., Currie, G. L., et al. (2014). Meta-analysis of data from animal studies: A practical guide. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 15 (221), 92–102. doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013. 09.010

Virani, S. S., Alonso, A., Aparicio, H. J., Benjamin, E. J., Bittencourt, M. S., Callaway, C. W., et al. (2021). Heart disease and stroke statistics-2021 update: A report from the American heart association. *Circulation* 143 (8), e254–e743. doi:10. 1161/cir.000000000000950

Wan, D., Zhou, Y., Wang, K., Hou, Y., Hou, R., and Ye, X. (2016). Resveratrol provides neuroprotection by inhibiting phosphodiesterases and regulating the cAMP/AMPK/SIRT1 pathway after stroke in rats. *Brain Res. Bull.* 121, 255–262. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2016.02.011

Wei, H., Wang, S., Zhen, L., Yang, Q., Wu, Z., Lei, X., et al. (2015). Resveratrol attenuates the blood-brain barrier dysfunction by regulation of the MMP-9/TIMP-1 balance after cerebral ischemia reperfusion in rats. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 55 (4), 872–879. doi:10.1007/s12031-014-0441-1

Xu, H., Hua, Y., Zhong, J., Li, X., Xu, W., Cai, Y., et al. (2018). Resveratrol delivery by albumin nanoparticles improved neurological function and neuronal damage in transient middle cerebral artery occlusion rats. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9, 1403. doi:10. 3389/fphar.2018.01403

Yan, L. L., Li, C., Chen, J., Miranda, J. J., Luo, R., Bettger, J., et al. (2016). Prevention, management, and rehabilitation of stroke in low- and middle-income countries. *eNeurologicalSci* 2, 21–30. doi:10.1016/j.ensci. 2016.02.011

Yan, Y., Tong, F., and Chen, J. (2019). Endogenous BMP-4/ROS/COX-2 mediated IPC and resveratrol alleviated brain damage. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 25 (9), 1030–1039. doi:10.2174/1381612825666190506120611

Yang, H., Zhang, A., Zhang, Y., Ma, S., and Wang, C. (2016). Resveratrol pretreatment protected against cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats via expansion of T regulatory cells. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 25 (8), 1914–1921. doi:10. 1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2016.04.014

Yang, Y., Duan, W., Li, Y., Yan, J., Yi, W., Liang, Z., et al. (2013). New role of silent information regulator 1 in cerebral ischemia. *Neurobiol. Aging* 34 (12), 2879–2888. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.06.008

Yousuf, S., Atif, F., Ahmad, M., Hoda, N., Ishrat, T., Khan, B., et al. (2009). Resveratrol exerts its neuroprotective effect by modulating mitochondrial dysfunctions and associated cell death during cerebral ischemia. *Brain Res.* 1250, 242–253. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2008.10.068

Zhang, Y., Cao, Y., and Liu, C. (2020). Autophagy and ischemic stroke. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1207, 111–134. doi:10.1007/978-981-15-4272-5_7

Zhao, R., Zhao, K., Su, H., Zhang, P., and Zhao, N. (2019). Resveratrol ameliorates brain injury via the TGF-beta-mediated ERK signaling pathway in a rat model of cerebral hemorrhage. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 18 (5), 3397–3404. doi:10.3892/etm.2019. 7939

Zhu, H., Huang, J., Chen, Y., Li, X., Wen, J., Tian, M., et al. (2022). Resveratrol pretreatment protects neurons from oxygen-glucose deprivation/reoxygenation and ischemic injury through inhibiting ferroptosis. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 86 (6), 704–716. doi:10.1093/bbb/zbac048