# **Inorganic Chemistry**

pubs.acs.org/IC



# Electronic Structure and Donor Ability of an Unsaturated **Triphosphorus-Bridged Dimolybdenum Complex**

M. Angeles Alvarez, Melodie Casado-Ruano, M. Esther García, Daniel García-Vivó,\* Ana M. Guerra, and Miguel A. Ruiz\*



Na[Mo<sub>2</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)( $\mu$ -CO)<sub>2</sub>] with P<sub>4</sub> to give the diphosphorus derivative Na[Mo<sub>2</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ - $\eta^2$ : $\eta^2$ -P<sub>2</sub>)( $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>] and further reaction of the latter with MeI. Density functional theory calculations on the title complex revealed that its triphosphorus group can be viewed as an allylic-like  $P_3^-$  ligand acting as a sixelectron donor via the external P atoms, while coordination of the internal P atom involves donation from the  $\pi$  orbital of the ligand



and back-donation to its  $\pi^*$  orbital, both interactions having a weakening effect on the Mo–Mo and P–P connections. The reactivity of the title compound is dominated by the electron-donor ability associated with the lone pairs located at the P atoms. Its reaction with CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>Me gave  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3Me)(\mu-P^tBu_2)](CF_3SO_3)$  as a result of methylation at an external atom of the P<sub>3</sub> ligand, while its reaction with  $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$  enabled the addition of one, two, or three  $Fe(CO)_4$  fragments at these P atoms, but only the diiron derivative  $[Mo_2Fe_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_8]$  could be isolated. This complex bears a Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub> fragment at each of the external atoms of the P<sub>3</sub> ligand, and the central P atom of the latter displays the lowest <sup>31</sup>P chemical shift reported to date ( $\delta_{\rm P}$ -721.8 ppm). The related complexes  $[Mo_2M_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_{10}]$  (M = Mo, W) were prepared by reacting the title compound with the corresponding  $[M(CO)_5(THF)]$  complexes in toluene, while reaction with  $[Mo(CO)_4(THF)_2]$  also enabled the formation of the heptanuclear derivative  $[Mo_7Cp_4(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_3)_2(\mu-P^tBu_2)_2(CO)_{14}]$ . The interatomic distances in the above compounds indicate that the central  $Mo_2P_3$  skeleton of these molecules is little modified by the attachment of 16-electron  $M(CO)_{\mu}$  fragments at the external atoms of the P<sub>3</sub> ligand.

## INTRODUCTION

White phosphorus, an air-sensitive solid made up of tetrahedral P<sub>4</sub> molecules, is the most important allotrope of phosphorus because most P-containing products manufactured today at the industrial scale, with the exception of fertilizers, are made ultimately from it. The production of all these molecular derivatives usually relies on intermediates and reagents environmentally unfriendly (e.g., chlorine to prepare PCl<sub>3</sub> or PCl<sub>5</sub>), and this is why there is much current interest in finding ways to activate and functionalize the P4 molecule to yield useful derivatives, particularly organophosphorus compounds, by using more benign procedures. The main approaches to achieve this goal involve the use of either main-group reagents<sup>1</sup> or suitable transition-metal complexes.<sup>2</sup> Reactions of the latter complexes with P4 may yield derivatives containing a plethora of  $P_n$  ligands (n = 1-24), often displaying fascinating structures and unusual reactivity.<sup>2,3</sup> However, these reactions many times require the use of strong thermal or photochemical

activation to degrade the P<sub>4</sub> molecule, then achieving this target with poor selectivity.

Recently, we found that the unsaturated methyl-bridged complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\kappa^1:\eta^2-CH_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(\mu-CO)]$  (1) reacted selectively with P4 under relatively mild conditions (333 K) to give the triphosphorus-bridged derivative  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)]$  (2) in good yield, in a process formally involving the elimination of methylphosphinidene (PMe).<sup>4</sup> There are two main points of interest concerning this compound: in the first place, we note that 2 is a rare example of a complex bearing a noncyclic P<sub>3</sub> ligand bridging a dimetal

Received: May 24, 2021 Published: July 19, 2021





center in a symmetrical  $\eta^3:\eta^3$  mode. The only previously reported complexes of this type are the phosphorus sulfide complexes  $[Mo_2Cp'_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3)(\mu_2-PS)]$  (Cp' = C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)  $C_5H_2^{t}Bu_3$ ,<sup>6</sup> the diiron radical  $[Fe_2(C_5H_2^{t}Bu_3)_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3)]$ , a product obtained in 2% yield from the reaction of  $\left[Fe_{2}(C_{5}H_{2}^{t}Bu_{3})_{2}(CO)_{4}(\mu-\kappa^{1}\kappa^{1}-P_{4})\right]$  with  $P \equiv C^{t}Bu$  in refluxing toluene, and its anionic derivative  $[Fe_2(C_5H_2^{t}Bu_3)_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-\eta^3)_2(\mu-\eta^$  $P_3$ ]<sup>-</sup>, a complex recently prepared by degrading the above  $P_4$ bridged complex with a NHC ligand and thought to contain no metal-metal bond.<sup>8</sup> As a result of all of the above, only very limited chemistry of the acyclic P3 ligand in such a coordination mode has been explored to date, it being restricted to some reactions of the mentioned dimolybdenum complexes with  $[Cr(CO)_{5}(THF)]^{5}$  and with different M(I)-based electrophiles (M = Cu, Ag).<sup>6,9</sup> On the other hand, a description of the chemical bonding in 2 was not obvious itself because application of the 18-electron rule to this molecule, if considering the P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>3</sub> ligands as three- and five-electron donors, respectively (neutral counting scheme), would lead to the formulation of a Mo-Mo triple bond for this molecule (A in Chart 1). However, this was rather inconsistent with the

Chart 1. Different Descriptions of Bonding in Complex 2



actual intermetallic separation of 2.6221(3) Å in 2, significantly longer than expected for a 30-electron complex with P-bridging ligands (cf. ca. 2.51 Å in  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PPh_2)_2(\mu-CO)])$ .<sup>10</sup> On the basis of these considerations, we decided to analyze in more detail the electronic structure of this unusual complex by using density functional theory (DFT) methods, while also broadly exploring its chemical behavior by reacting it with some p-block molecules and different transition-metal carbonyl complexes, all of which is the subject of the present paper. The latter reactions were of particular interest because previous work from our lab has proven that addition of  $M(CO)_n$ fragments could ultimately induce P-P bond cleavage processes on the related  $\eta^2: \eta^2$ -bridged diphosphenyl complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-P_2Me)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_2]$ <sup>11</sup> although not on its anionic diphosphorus-bridged precursor  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-\eta^2)]$  $P_2$ )( $\mu$ -PCy<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-.12</sup> As discussed below, our calculations on 2 indicate that its triphosphorus ligand can be viewed as an allylic-like  $P_3^-$  anion contributing with six electrons to the dimetal center via the external P atoms, while coordination of the internal P atom has a weakening effect on both the Mo-Mo and P-P connections. As a result, the intermetallic and P-P bond orders become lower than 3 and 1.5, respectively (**B** in Chart 1). In spite of the electronic unsaturation of the molecule, the chemical behavior of 2 is dominated by the electron-donor ability associated with the lone pairs located at the P atoms of the triphosphorus ligand, which can actually bind up to three metal-carbonyl fragments.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Molecular Structure of the Triphosphorus Complex 2. Compound 1 reacts with stoichiometric amounts of white phosphorus under a gentle heating (333 K) in toluene solution to give the dark blue triphosphorus-bridged complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)]$  (2) as a major product (83% yield after chromatographic work-up) along with small amounts (ca. 5%) of the methyldiphosphenyl complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-P_2Me)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_2]$  (3) (Scheme 1).

#### Scheme 1. Preparation of Compound 2



The formation of 2 formally results from elimination of methylphosphinidene ("PMe") between 1 and P4, a rare process itself for which we cannot quote a precedent, and decarbonylation; unfortunately, NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture did not enable us to determine the fate of this unstable phosphinidene. As for the formation of 3, first unnoticed in our preliminary study of this reaction,<sup>4</sup> one might be tempted to think it as derived from reaction of white phosphorus with the dicarbonyl complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\kappa^1:\eta^2-\mu)]$  $CH_3$ )( $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>], which is the actual precursor of compound  $1,^{13}$  therefore a potential contaminant of the starting material in this reaction. However, separated experiments revealed that the above dicarbonyl complex does not react with P<sub>4</sub> at 333 K. It did it, however, in toluene solution at ca. 405 K, but then no detectable amounts of 3 were formed either. Instead, a mixture of the new diphosphorus complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-P_2)_2(CO)_2]$  (Chart 2)<sup>14,15</sup> and other yet



uncharacterized products was formed. Thus, it is concluded that 3 is formed genuinely from 1 and  $P_4$ , even if through a minor reaction pathway also involving reaction with part of the carbon monoxide released in the formation of the main product 2. A more specific method to prepare the diphosphenyl complex 3 is discussed below.

The structure of **2** in the crystal (Figure 1) was determined during our preliminary study of the reactivity of the methyl complex 1.<sup>4</sup> The acyclic P<sub>3</sub> ligand bridges symmetrically the dimetal center, with the external P atoms being tightly bound to the Mo atoms, as judged from the short Mo–P distances of ca. 2.40 Å, actually a bit shorter than the Mo–P distances involving the P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> ligand (ca. 2.41 Å). In contrast, the interaction of the internal P atom with the metal atoms is much weaker, as expected (Mo–P ca. 2.63 Å). The P–P bond lengths of ca. 2.15 Å in **2** are shorter than the interatomic separation in the P<sub>4</sub> molecule (2.21 Å), which is indicative of



**Figure 1.** ORTEP diagram (30% probability) of compound **2**, with <sup>1</sup>Bu (except their C<sup>1</sup> atoms) and H atoms omitted for clarity.<sup>4</sup> Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Mo1-Mo2 = 2.6221(3); Mo1-P1 = 2.3952(8); Mo2-P1 = 2.3952(8); Mo1-P2 = 2.6308(8); Mo2-P2 = 2.6255(8); Mo1-P3 = 2.3988(8); Mo2-P3 = 2.3909(8); Mo1-P4 = 2.4224(7); Mo2-P4 = 2.4131(7); P1-P2 = 2.1556(12); P2-P3 = 2.1442(12). P1-Mo1-P4 = 91.18(3); P2-Mo1-P4 = 116.91(3); P3-Mo1-P4 = 94.86(3); P1-P2-P3 = 107.47(4).

the presence of some multiplicity in these bonds, a matter to be discussed below, and also are shorter than those recently measured in the anionic complex  $[Fe_2(C_5H_2^{\ t}Bu_3)_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3)]^-$  (2.1601(8) and 2.1897(8) Å).<sup>8</sup> As noted above, the intermetallic separation of 2.6221(3) Å in **2** is longer than expected for a Mo–Mo triple bond. However, it is still shorter than the distances found for Mo–Mo double bonds in related species bearing two P-donor bridging ligands (cf. 2.71 Å in  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PPh_2)_2(CO)_2]^{10}$  or 2.749(2) Å for  $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_7]$ ,<sup>11a</sup> a matter also to be discussed below.

Spectroscopic data in solution for 2 (Table 1 and Experimental Section) are consistent with the symmetrical structure found in the crystal. In particular, we note that the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra display single resonances for the Cp and <sup>t</sup>Bu groups, which remained unchanged down to 193 K. In addition, the <sup>31</sup>P spectrum displays a single and strongly deshielded doublet resonance for the external atoms of the P<sub>3</sub> chain ( $\delta_P$  412 ppm,  $J_{PP}$  = 405 Hz), whereas the central P atom gives rise to an extremely deshielded triplet resonance at -626.5 ppm. The large P–P coupling in 2 exceeds the usual

Table 1. Selected <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR Data for New Compounds<sup>a</sup>

values of ca. 160-370 Hz found in diphosphines having conventional alkyl or aryl substituents<sup>16</sup> and approaches the figures of 510–670 Hz found in diphosphenes,<sup>17</sup> this being again indicative of multiplicity in the P-P bonding at the  $P_3$ chain. Besides this, we should remark that the chemical shift for the central P atom in 2 is far lower (by some 250 ppm) than the ones previously determined for the few  $\eta^3: \eta^3$ -bridged complexes reported to date (ca. -375 ppm in  $[Mo_2Cp'_2(\mu$ - $\eta^{3}:\eta^{3}-P_{3})(\mu_{2}-PS)]^{5,6}$  and ca. -380 ppm in  $[Fe_{2}(C_{5}H_{2}^{+}Bu_{3})_{2}(\mu_{2}-\eta^{3}:\eta^{3}-P_{3})]^{n}$   $(n = 0, -1))^{7,8}$  Finally, we note that the <sup>31</sup>P chemical shift of the P'Bu<sub>2</sub> ligand in 2 ( $\delta_{\rm P}$  176.1 ppm) is significantly lower than those typically found for related complexes with Mo–Mo triple bonds (cf. 266.2 ppm in 1)<sup>13</sup> but is actually similar to those found for complexes of type  $trans-[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-P^tBu_2)(\mu-PRR')(CO)_2]$  (ca. 172 ppm),<sup>18</sup> for which a Mo-Mo double bond is to be proposed according to the 18-electron rule. All of this in agreement with the results of DFT calculations discussed below.

**Electronic Structure of Compound 2.** To better understand the geometry and chemical behavior of compound 2, we analyzed its geometric and electronic structure using DFT methods (see the Experimental Section and the Supporting Information).<sup>19</sup> First we note that the optimized structure for 2 was in excellent agreement with the one determined in the crystal, with the P<sub>3</sub> ligand symmetrically bridging the dimetal center and displaying P–P distances (ca. 2.17 Å) shorter than expected for single bonds, while the value of the Mo–Mo separation (2.633 Å) can be considered intermediate between the figures expected for triple and double bonds, as discussed above (Table 2).

The frontier Kohn–Sham molecular orbitals computed for 2 (Figure 2) show an extensive mixing of Mo–P and Mo–Mo bonding as well as mixing with the P-based nonbonding orbitals representing the expected lone electron pairs at the P atoms. The latter can be recognized particularly in the HOMO–2, -3, and -4 orbitals, the first of them and the

<sup>t</sup> Bu <sub>2</sub> P Mo P <sup>2</sup>	−Me <sup>′Bu</sup> 2P⊂	$P^1$ Mo $P^2$ $t_{\rm F}$	Bu <sub>2</sub> P	→p2		
compound	$\delta$ (P <sup>t</sup> Bu <sub>2</sub> )	$\delta$ (P <sup>1</sup> )	$\delta$ (P <sup>2</sup> )	$\delta$ (P <sup>3</sup> )	$J(P^1-P^2)$	$J(P^2 - P^3)$
$[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)] (2)^{b}$	176.1	412.0	-626.5		405	
$[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu \cdot \eta^2 \cdot \eta^2 \cdot P_2Me)(\mu \cdot P^tBu_2)(CO)_2] (3)$	223.5	-286.0	-84.9		524	
Na[Mo <sub>2</sub> Cp <sub>2</sub> ( $\mu$ - $\eta^{2}$ : $\eta^{2}$ -P <sub>2</sub> )( $\mu$ -P <sup>t</sup> Bu <sub>2</sub> )(CO) <sub>2</sub> ] (5) <sup>c</sup>	222.9	-156.9 <sup>c</sup>				
$[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PH_2)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_2]$ (6)	175.8		$-55.5^{d}$			
$[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3Me)(\mu-P^tBu_2)](CF_3SO_3)$ (7) <sup>e</sup>	188.7	315.4	-699.5	444.3	410	423
$[Mo_2FeCp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_4]$ (8)	179.8	377.6 <sup>f</sup>	-648.5	455.2 <sup>f</sup>	420	405
$[Mo_{2}Fe_{2}Cp_{2}(\mu-\eta^{3}:\eta^{3}:\kappa^{1}:\kappa^{1}-P_{3})(\mu-P^{t}Bu_{2})(CO)_{8}] (9-Fe)$	185.7	417.8	-721.8		422	
$[Mo_4Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_{10}] (9-Mo)^e$	184.2	391.6	-687.3		394	
$[Mo_2W_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_{10}] (9-W)$	185.2	354.3	-689.8		391	
$[Mo_{2}Fe_{3}Cp_{2}(\mu-\eta^{3}:\eta^{3}:\kappa^{1}:\kappa^{1}:\kappa^{1}-P_{3})(\mu-P^{t}Bu_{2})(CO)_{12}] (10)^{g}$	177.0	404.3	-552.0		445	
$\left[Mo_{7}Cp_{4}(\mu-\eta^{3}:\eta^{3}:\kappa^{1}:\kappa^{1}-P_{3})_{2}(\mu-P^{t}Bu_{2})_{2}(CO)_{14}\right]$ (11)	183.7	383.8 <sup>f</sup>	-678.6	403.8 <sup>f</sup>	380	414

<sup>*a*</sup>NMR data recorded in  $C_6D_6$  solution at 121.48 MHz and 293 K, with chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm relative to external 85% aqueous H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and P– P couplings ( $J_{PP}$ ) in hertz. Labels according to the figure shown above (E = electrophile). <sup>*b*</sup>Data taken from ref 4. <sup>*c*</sup>In tetrahydrofuran solution; averaged resonance for P<sup>1</sup> and P<sup>2</sup> atoms; see text. <sup>*d*</sup>Resonance for the PH<sub>2</sub> ligand. <sup>*c*</sup>In CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution. <sup>*f*</sup>Assignment of the P<sup>1</sup> and P<sup>3</sup> resonances might be exchanged; see text. <sup>*g*</sup>In toluene solution.

Table 2. M06L-DFT Computed Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for 2 along with Some Topological Properties of the Electron Density at the Corresponding Bond Critical Points<sup>a</sup>

	distance/angle	expt	ρ	$\nabla^2  ho$
Mo-Mo	2.633	2.6221(3)	0.516	1.262
Mo-P <sup>t</sup> Bu	2.424	2.418(1)	0.554	2.948
Mo-P1	2.413	2.397(1)	0.573	2.245
Mo-P2	2.654	2.632(1)	0.378	2.374
P1-P2	2.167	2.150(1)	0.725	-1.911
P1-P2-P3	107.35	107.47(4)		

"Average values for the nearly equivalent bonds of each type, with labeling scheme as for Table 1. Values of the electron density at the bond critical points ( $\rho$ ) are given in e Å<sup>-3</sup>; values of the Laplacian of  $\rho$  at these points ( $\nabla^2 \rho$ ) are given in e Å<sup>-5</sup>.

latter one also having  $\sigma(Mo-Mo)$  bonding character. The intermetallic bonding is completed with a hybrid  $\pi/\delta$  bonding interaction, actually the HOMO of the molecule, of which the LUMO is the corresponding antibonding combination. Other intermetallic interactions can be recognized in the HOMO-14 orbital ( $\pi$  bonding character), HOMO–13 ( $\sigma^*$  character), and HOMO-1 ( $\delta^*$  character), so the intermetallic bond order should be lower than 3. It is interesting to analyze the interactions of the phosphorus orbitals perpendicular to the P<sub>3</sub> plane. The classical combinations expected for an angular P<sub>3</sub> unit (ozone- or allylic-like) involves bonding, nonbonding, and antibonding combinations.<sup>20-22</sup> These can be recognized in orbitals HOMO-13, -6, and -1, respectively. The HOMO-13 has positive overlaps with acceptor orbitals of the metals in the Mo<sub>2</sub>P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> plane and represents a bonding interaction of the central P atom of the P<sub>3</sub> ligand with the metal atoms, with some  $\sigma^*(Mo-Mo)$  character, as noted above. On the other hand, the HOMO-1 involves the  $\pi(P-P)$  antibonding combination of the  $P_3$  unit, which is empty in the free  $P_3^$ ligand; accordingly, this orbital, which also has  $\delta^*(Mo-Mo)$ character, can be viewed as representing a back-donation from the dimetal center to the P<sub>3</sub> ligand. These two orbitals would then account for the bonding between the dimetal center and the internal P atom of the triphosphorus chain, but have a weakening effect on the P-P bonds (also on the Mo-Mo bond). In agreement with this, the experimental P-P distance in 2 of ca. 2.15 Å is significantly lower than the one in white phosphorus (2.21 Å), but still far from the reference length of 2.05 Å for P–P double bonds.<sup>17,23</sup> Finally, the HOMO–6 orbital represents a bonding interaction between the external atoms of the P3 chain and the dimetal center. This orbital follows from interaction of metal orbitals with the nonbonding  $\pi$  orbital of the P<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand, which is at first surprising since the latter is expected to be empty in the free  $P_3^-$  ligand, if we assume that the terminal P atoms bear two lone electron pairs each and the central P atom just one. However, this is not the case. According to a DFT calculation at the same level as the one used for 2, a  $P_3^-$  ion with an imposed P-P-P angle identical with the one determined for 2 (107.35°,  $d_{\rm PP} = 2.062$ Å) has a configuration of type  $(\sigma_1)^2(\sigma_2)^2(\sigma_3^*)^2$  $(\sigma_4)^2(\sigma_5)^2(\pi)^2(\pi^{nb})^2(\sigma_6^*)^2$  (see the Supporting Information). The population of the  $\pi^{nb}$  orbital is somewhat unexpected on simple electron counting (allocating five lone pairs at the P atoms would leave only two electrons for the  $\pi$  manifold) and perhaps is favored to reduce repulsions with other nonbonding electron pairs. In any case, this filled  $\pi^{nb}$  orbital has the right

LUMO / -2.79,  $(\pi/\delta)^*_{Mo-Mo}$ HOMO / -4.33, (π/δ)<sub>Mo-Mo</sub> НОМО-1 / -4.70, *о*мо-Р / *б*\*мо-мо HOMO-2 / -4.86, OMO-MO/ LP HOMO-3 / -5.53,  $\sigma_{Mo-P}$ / LP HOMO-4 / -5.72, omo-Mo/ LP НОМО-6 / -6.26, *о*мо-Р HOMO-10 / -7.06, op-p HOMO-13 / -7.59, *π*<sub>P-P</sub> HOMO-14 / -7.90,  $\sigma_{P-P}/\pi_{Mo-Mo}$ 

pubs.acs.org/IC

**Figure 2.** Selected M06L-DFT computed molecular orbitals of compound **2** viewed from a point close to the  $Mo-P({}^{t}Bu_{2})-Mo$  plane, with their energies (in eV) and main bonding character indicated below (LP stands for lone pair character at the P atoms). A view of these orbitals from a plane perpendicular to the above one can be found in the Supporting Information.

angular distribution to interact efficiently with acceptor orbitals of the dimetal center as in the HOMO–6 and ultimately enables the  $P_3^-$  ligand to act as a six-electron donor via the external P atoms, in agreement with the short Mo–P distances observed, which are comparable to the ones involving the  $P^tBu_2$  ligand, as noted above.

Analysis of the electron densities at the different bond critical points (bcp) of **2** under the atoms in molecules  $(AIM)^{24}$  scheme (Table 2) renders a picture essentially consistent with the above MO analysis but additionally gives a

more precise picture of the result of mutually canceling bonding and antibonding interactions operating in the molecule. The electron density at the intermetallic bcp  $(0.516 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3})$  has a value intermediate between the figures previously computed by us at a similar level for related dimolybdenum species bearing Mo–Mo triple (ca. 0.60 e  $\text{Å}^{-3}$ )<sup>25</sup> and double bonds (0.43 e  $\text{Å}^{-3}$ ),<sup>26</sup> and the electron densities at the Mo–P bcp's of the external atoms of the P<sub>3</sub> ligand (ca. 0.57 e  $\text{Å}^{-3}$ ) are comparable to those involving the P<sup>f</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> ligand. As expected, the latter figures nearly double the values for the connections between the central P atom and the

molybdenum atoms (0.378 e Å<sup>-3</sup>). As for the P–P bonding in 2, we note that the electron densities of ca. 0.725 e Å<sup>-3</sup> at the corresponding bcp's are lower than the value of 0.912 e Å<sup>-3</sup> computed for the free P<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anion under imposed geometry (P– P–P = 107.35°) and approach the electron densities computed at the same level for the bcp's of P<sub>4</sub> (0.715 e Å<sup>-3</sup>, see the Supporting Information).<sup>27</sup> This suggests that the  $\pi$ (P–P) bonding interaction in complex 2 represented by the HOMO– 13 is canceled to a significant extent by the antibonding interaction implied by the HOMO–1.

In summary, on the basis of the above MO and AIM analysis, we conclude that the triphosphorus ligand in 2 can be viewed as an allylic-like  $P_3^-$  ligand acting as a six-electron donor via the external P atoms, while the binding of the central P atom involves donation from the  $\pi$  orbital of the  $P_3^-$  ligand to the dimetal center, and back-donation of the latter to the  $\pi^*$  orbital of the  $P_3^-$  ligand, these orbital interactions having a weakening effect on both the Mo–Mo and P–P connections. As a result of all of this, the intermetallic bond in 2 displays geometric and topological properties intermediate between those of double and triple bonds, while the properties of the P–P bond are intermediate between those of single and allylic-like (bond order 1.5) interactions. We have tried to illustrate this intermediate bonding situation in 2 by using the chemical diagram **B** of Chart 1.

Preparation of the Diphosphenyl Complex 3. To prepare complex 3 in significant amounts, we followed the route previously developed by us to synthesize related PCy2bridged dimolybdemun<sup>28</sup> and ditungsten complexes.<sup>29</sup> This starts with the room temperature reaction of the unsaturated anion  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-P^tBu_2)(\mu-CO)_2]^-$  (4) (Na<sup>+</sup> salt) with white phosphorus to give the Na<sup>+</sup> salt of the diphosphorus-bridged complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-P_2)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_2]^-$  (5) almost quantitatively (Scheme 2). In a second step, the latter complex is reacted with methyl iodide at 273 K to give the desired diphosphenyl-bridged complex 3, which can be isolated in ca. 60% yield upon chromatographic work-up. In this reaction, however, significant amounts of the PH2-bridged complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PH_2)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_2]$  (6) were also formed, likely resulting from a side hydrolytic process, not investigated. We note that Mays and co-workers have shown previously that reacting the neutral diphosphorus-bridged complexes  $[M_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-P_2)(CO)_4]$  with M'OH (M = Mo; W: M' = Na, K), in tetrahydrofuran $-H_2O(400/1)$  at 353 K, yields the corresponding PH<sub>2</sub>-bridged anions  $[M_2Cp_2(\mu-PH_2)(CO)_4]^$ in good yield.<sup>30</sup> The presence of water is clearly critical in this P2 to PH2 conversion, since recent work by Scheer and coworkers has shown that reaction of the above dimolybdenum complex with KOH in pure tetrahydrofuran is very slow, it only being completed after 7 days in tetrahydrofuran solution at 333 K.





Spectroscopic data for 5 are comparable to those of its PCy<sub>2</sub>bridged Mo and W analogues<sup>28,29</sup> and only deserve a few comments. In particular, its IR spectrum displays three rather than two C–O stretches, at 1833 (vs), 1758 (w), and 1695 (s)  $cm^{-1}$ , which is indicative of the presence of more than one species in solution. The most prominent bands are assigned to a tight ion pair involving the Na<sup>+</sup> cation and the O atom of one of the carbonyl ligands of the anion, while the weak band at 1758 cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned to the asymmetric C–O stretch of the solvent-separated anion; the symmetric C-O stretch expected for this minor species would be obscured by the strong 1883 cm<sup>-1</sup> band of the dominant ion pair. Both species interconvert in solution rapidly on the NMR time scale, as the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of 5 at room temperature displays single resonances for both the  $P^tBu_2$  and  $P_2$  ligands, at 222.9 and -156.9 ppm, respectively. The latter is in turn an average resonance of the two resonances expected for the inequivalent P atoms of the diphosphorus ligand in this fluxional complex, as shown by theoretical and experimental work on the PCy2-bridged Mo2 analogue of 5. Indeed, the Li<sup>+</sup> salt of the latter complex gives an averaged  $P_2$  resonance at -176.5 ppm at room temperature, which splits into resonances at -90.0 and -273.0 ppm upon cooling.

Spectroscopic data for 3 (Table 1 and Experimental Section) also are comparable to those of its PCy2-bridged Mo and W analogues, with only a few significant differences. In particular, we note that its IR spectrum displays two C-O stretches at 1884 (s) and 1801 (vs) cm<sup>-1</sup>. The symmetric stretch here is more intense than in the case of the PCy2-bridged analogues (there being of just medium intensity), which denotes a stronger deviation of the CO ligands from an ideal antiparallel arrangement.<sup>32</sup> This is a structural difference that we attribute to the steric effect of the bulky P'Bu<sub>2</sub> ligand, which would promote a larger puckering of the central Mo<sub>2</sub>PX skeleton of the molecule (compared to the PCy<sub>2</sub>-bridged complex), whereby one carbonyl ligand would point further away from the dimetal unit  $(Mo-Mo-CO > 90^{\circ})$  while the other one would lean to the intermetallic bond (Mo-Mo-CO  $< 90^{\circ}$ ). As a result, the angle defined by the CO ligands would be lower than in the  $PCy_2$ -bridged complex (144.4°).<sup>28</sup> This sort of geometrical distortion has been previously observed by us in other dicarbonyl complexes of the type  $[M_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-PCy_2)]$  $X(CO)_2$  bearing space-demanding bridging groups (M = Mo, W;  $X = SnPh_3$ , HCN<sup>t</sup>Bu, SCPh, etc.).

As for the NMR parameters of 3, we note that the strong coupling between the inequivalent phosphorus atoms of the diphosphenyl ligand ( $\delta_{\rm p}$  –84.9 and –286.0 ppm, I = 524 Hz), close to the values measured for free diphosphenes (510-670 Hz),<sup>17</sup> is therefore indicative of retention of substantial multiplicity in that bond, a matter already analyzed by us for the  $PCy_2$  analogue.<sup>28</sup> Finally, we note that each of the inequivalent carbonyl ligands displays one quite large (40/28 Hz) and two small P-C couplings. This is consistent with the structure determined for the PCy2-bridged analogue of 3 and the known dependence of two-bond P-M-C couplings with the corresponding angle in this type of complex,<sup>34</sup> whereby the largest carbonyl couplings in 3 can be assigned to couplings with the apical P atom of the diphosphenyl ligand, as these involve the most extreme angles (ca.  $67^{\circ}$  and  $131^{\circ}$  in the PCy<sub>2</sub> complex).

Spectroscopic data for 6 are comparable to those of the large family of mixed-phosphanyl complexes of type trans- $[M_2Cp_2(\mu-PR_2)(\mu-PR'R'')(CO)_2]$  (M = Mo, W) previously prepared by us<sup>18</sup> and then deserve only a few comments. We just note that the bridging PH<sub>2</sub> group gives rise to a diagnostic highly shielded <sup>31</sup>P NMR resonance ( $\delta_{\rm P}$  –55.5 ppm) strongly coupled to two equivalent H atoms ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.71 ppm;  $J_{\rm PH}$  = 363 Hz) and very weakly coupled to the P atom of the  $P^tBu_2$  group  $(J_{\rm PP} = 7 \text{ Hz})$ . The latter is a persistent spectroscopic feature found for all these trans-dicarbonyl complexes displaying an essentially flat Mo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> central core. Another characteristic feature of these complexes, for which a metal-metal double bond is to be formulated according to the 18-electron rule and DFT calculations,<sup>26</sup> is the relatively poor deshielding of their P atoms (compared with PR<sub>2</sub> ligands bridging single or triple bonds). Indeed, the  ${}^{31}$ P chemical shift of the P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> ligand in 6  $(\delta_{\rm p} 175.8 \text{ ppm})$  compares well with the observed shift for the isoelectronic complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-P^tBu_2)(\mu-PPh_2)(CO)_2]$ (174.1 ppm)<sup>18</sup> and is significantly lower than the chemical shifts of the P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> ligands in complexes  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-H)(\mu-H)]$  $P^{t}Bu_{2}(CO)_{4}$  (Mo–Mo single bond,  $\delta_{P}$  267.5 ppm)<sup>35</sup> and  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-P^tBu_2)(\mu-PPh_2)(\mu-CO)]$  (Mo–Mo triple bond,  $\delta_P$ 288.9 ppm).<sup>18</sup> An analogous comment can be made on the PH<sub>2</sub> resonance of 6 ( $\delta_{\rm p}$  -55.5 ppm), which appears some 90 ppm upfield from the one observed for the electron-precise complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-H)(\mu-PH_2)(CO)_4] (\delta_P + 33.7 \text{ ppm}).^{30}$ 

Acid-Base Chemistry of Complex 2. Methylation Reactions. By considering the electronic unsaturation of 2, discussed above, and particularly the Mo-Mo antibonding nature of the LUMO of the molecule, we would expect it to easily add at the dimetal site simple donors such as CO or isocyanide ligands, so as to give electron-precise derivatives. However, such reactions do not take place under ordinary conditions, perhaps due to the steric shielding that the Cp and bridging ligands of 2 provide to the unsaturated dimetal center of the molecule. Reactions that aimed to check possible insertions into the P-P or Mo-P bonds of the triphosphorus ligand of 2 (activated alkynes such as  $RC \equiv CCO_2Me$ , with R = H, CO<sub>2</sub>Me) also failed to occur even in refluxing toluene solution or under UV-vis irradiation. In fact, the chemistry of 2 seems to be dominated by the nucleophilic properties associated with the lone electron pairs at the P atoms of the triphosphorus ligand, as shown by its easy methylation, discussed below, and addition of 16-electron metal carbonyl fragments, to be discussed separately. Surprisingly, however, no reaction was observed between 2 and a prototypal Lewis acid

such as borane (no reaction with  $BH_3$ -THF in toluene solution).

Compound 2 does not react with MeI at room temperature. However, reaction of 2 with methyl triflate takes place rapidly at 253 K to give the salt  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3Me)(\mu-P^tBu_2)]$ - $(CF_3SO_3)$  (7) in an almost quantitative way as a result of the incorporation of a methyl cation at one of the external P atoms of the triphosphorus ligand (Chart 3). Such a stereoselectivity



is immediately deduced from the presence, in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of 7, of three mutually coupled resonances corresponding to the former P<sub>3</sub> ligand and from the fact that only one of them is significantly broadened upon switching off the <sup>1</sup>H decoupler. This circumstance, along with the number of large one-bond P–P couplings (410 and 423 Hz), enable full assignment of the <sup>31</sup>P resonances of 7 (Table 1).

Attachment of the Me<sup>+</sup> cation at the external P atom of the P<sub>3</sub> chain has little effect on the P-P couplings but implies a strong shielding for the P atom involved (by some 100 ppm) and the central P atom (by some 75 ppm), while the remaining external P atom is deshielded by 40 ppm. These shielding effects are different from those observed for the diphosphorus complex 5 and their PCy<sub>2</sub> analogues, which upon methylation undergo a strong deshielding of some 200 ppm at the P atom involved and a shielding of some 200 ppm at the remaining P atom of the diphosphorus ligand. In addition, we note that the <sup>31</sup>P chemical shift of the P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> ligand in 7 (188.7 pm) is comparable to the one in 2, which suggests that the intermetallic interaction of 2 remains in the range of double bonds upon methylation. Actually, the structure computed for this cation (Figure 3) displays an intermetallic separation of 2.711 Å, longer than the one computed for 2 (2.633 Å). This lengthening effect can be understood by recalling that the frontier molecular orbital of 2 most likely involved in the formation of 7 (HOMO-2) has some  $\sigma$ (Mo-Mo) bonding character. Noticeably, the added Me group lies in the



**Figure 3.** M06L-DFT optimized structure of the cation in compound 7, with H atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å, labels as in Table 1): Mo–Mo = 2.711; Mo–P1 = 2.343; Mo–P2 = 2.698; Mo–P3 = 2.431; Mo–P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> = 2.447; P1–P2 = 2.182; P2–P3 = 2.152.

corresponding Mo<sub>2</sub>P plane, which renders a distorted trigonalpyramidal geometry at the corresponding P atom, an effect previously observed upon alkylation of the PCy<sub>2</sub> analogue of the diphosphorus complex 5.<sup>28</sup> We finally note that we also computed the structure of an isomer of the cation in 7 having the Me group bound to the central atom of the triphosphorus ligand (7', see the Supporting Information). This P atom might be viewed as sterically more accessible for binding to an external electrophile. However, the computed Gibbs free energy for such an isomer was 54 kJ/mol higher than that of 7, this suggesting that the observed site preference in the methylation of 2 has an electronic origin, not obvious to us at the moment.

Addition of  $Fe(CO)_4$  Fragments to Complex 2. The reaction of 2 with  $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$ , a well-stablished precursor of the 16-electron fragment  $Fe(CO)_4$ , turned out to be quite sensitive to the relative amount of the diiron reagent used and in all cases proceeded rapidly in toluene solution at room temperature. When using stoichiometric or under-stoichiometric amounts of the diiron reagent, the major product was the iron derivative  $[Mo_2FeCp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_4]$  (8) along with smaller amounts of the diiron derivative  $[Mo_2Fe_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_8]$  (9-Fe) (Scheme 3). However, the former could not be isolated, as it





decomposed upon all attempts of isolation, to yield 2 and 9-Fe. As expected, the diiron complex was the major product formed when reacting 2 with 2 equiv of  $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$ . In that case, however, a <sup>31</sup>P spectrum of the crude reaction mixture revealed the presence of small amounts of another new species, identified as the triiron derivative  $[Mo_2Fe_3Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1:\kappa^1\cdot P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_{12}]$  (10). Increasing the amount of  $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$  used in this reaction expectedly led to an increase in the relative amount of the triiron derivative present in the final reaction mixture. However, this pentanuclear complex could not be isolated either, as it progressively decomposed to give 9-Fe as the only organometallic product.

The identification of compounds 8 and 10 is only based on the corresponding <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra and the conditions under which each of them is best formed, already noted above. Compound 8 displays a  $P^tBu_2$  resonance similar to that of 2 and three strongly coupled resonances for the P<sub>3</sub> ligand at 455.2, 377.6, and -648.5 ppm ( $J_{PP}$  = 420 and 405 Hz, Table 1). These spectroscopic data are qualitatively similar to those of the methylation product 7 and are thus indicative of the attachment of a single  $Fe(CO)_4$  fragment to one of the external P atoms of the triphosphorus ligand. In this case we could not identify spectroscopically the resonance for the Fe-bound P atom, and we propose it to be the one being shielded with respect to the corresponding resonance in the parent compound ( $\delta_p$  377.6 ppm) by analogy with the changes observed in the formation of 7. In the case of compound 10, the assignment of P3 resonances is straightforward, as it displays a doublet resonance at 404.3 ppm (J = 445 Hz) for the external atoms and a triplet resonance at -552.0 ppm corresponding to the central P atom. We notice that while the attachment of  $Fe(CO)_4$  or  $Me^+$  fragments at the external P atom of the triphoshorus ligand in 2 causes a shielding effect on the central P atom, attachment of a  $Fe(CO)_4$  fragment at the latter site has a strong deshielding effect on it (by some 170 ppm, if compared with 9-Fe; see below). This effect largely exceeds the coordination shifts for conventional PR<sub>3</sub> donors, which usually fall within the range of +20 to +70 ppm.<sup>36</sup>

The presence of two  $Fe(CO)_4$  fragments in compound 9-Fe is first indicated by its IR spectrum, which displays two highfrequency bands at 2046 (sh, m) and 2038 (vs) cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to symmetric stretches of these fragments,<sup>32</sup> in addition to the asymmetric ones (see the Experimental Section). Moreover, because the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of this complex displays one doublet resonance at 417.8 ppm for the external P atom of the P<sub>3</sub> ligand, it is concluded that both iron fragments are bound to these atoms, as substantiated crystallographically for the related  $W(CO)_5$  derivative of 2 (see below). The binding of these iron fragments at the external P atoms of the P<sub>3</sub> chain of 2 causes a large shielding effect of almost 100 ppm on the central P atom, which now gives rise to a triplet resonance at -721.8 ppm. To our knowledge, this is the lowest chemical shift reported to date for a phosphorus-containing compound of any kind.<sup>37</sup> As observed for compounds 8 and 10, neither the P-P couplings in the  $P_3$ ligand nor the chemical shift of the P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> ligand in 9-Fe has been much affected by the attachment of the Fe fragments. All of this suggests that the binding of  $Fe(CO)_4$  fragments at either the terminal or central P atoms of the triphoshorus ligand of 2 has only a modest effect on the P-P and Mo-Mo bonding of the complex. We finally note that the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 9-Fe, in addition to the expected resonances for the equivalent pairs of Cp and <sup>t</sup>Bu groups, displays just a doublet resonance at 214.8 ppm ( $J_{PC} = 6 \text{ Hz}$ ) for the Fe-bound carbonyls. This indicates the operation of fast local exchange between the axial and equatorial carbonyls at the trigonalpyramidal  $Fe(CO)_4P$  fragments of the molecule, not investigated.

Addition of  $M(CO)_5$  and  $M(CO)_4$  Fragments (M = Mo, W) to Complex 2. To prepare molybdenum and tungsten analogues of compounds 8, 9-Fe, and 10, we investigated the reactions of 2 with the tetrahydrofuran complexes [M- $(CO)_5(THF)$ ] (M = Mo, W). These reactions take place rapidly in toluene solution (see the Experimental section) to give the corresponding tetranuclear derivatives  $[Mo_2M_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1\cdot P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_{10}]$  (M = Mo(9-Mo), W(9-W)) in all cases (Scheme 4). Attempts to even detect analogues of pubs.acs.org/IC

Article

Scheme 4. Molybdenum and Tungsten Derivatives of Complex 2 M(CO)<sub>5</sub>



compounds 8 and 10 (by using defect or excess [M- $(CO)_5(THF)$ ] in these reactions) were unsuccessful. We next investigated the reactions of 2 with the tetracarbonyl adducts [M(CO)<sub>4</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub>] (M = Mo, W), since previous work from our lab have shown that 14-electron metal carbonyl fragments M(CO)<sub>4</sub> can eventually insert into the P–P bond of the diphosphenyl complex [Mo<sub>2</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ - $\eta^2$ : $\eta^2$ -P<sub>2</sub>Me)( $\mu$ -PCy<sub>2</sub>)-(CO)<sub>2</sub>].<sup>11</sup> Unfortunately, reaction of 2 with complexes [M(CO)<sub>4</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub>] gave in both cases the corresponding tetranuclear derivative of type 9 as the major product, and we could only isolate a new product incorporating a M(CO)<sub>4</sub> fragment from the reaction with the Mo adduct, even if in modest yield (14%). The latter has been identified as the heptanuclear derivative [Mo<sub>7</sub>Cp<sub>4</sub>( $\mu$ - $\eta^3$ : $\eta^3$ : $\kappa^1$ : $\kappa^1$ -P<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -P<sup>r</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>14</sub>] (11).

Structure of Tetranuclear Derivatives 9-Mo and 9-W. The molecular structure of 9-W in the crystal (Figure 4 and Table 3) can be derived from that of 2 upon attachment of a  $W(CO)_5$  fragment at each of the external P atoms of the P<sub>3</sub> ligand. The resulting environment around the W atoms is octahedral as expected, and the W-P lengths of 2.4927(8) Å are a bit longer than that measured in the phosphide complex  $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_7]$  (2.457(3) Å),<sup>11a</sup> perhaps denoting some steric pressure from the bulky P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> ligand. The overall positioning of the  $W(CO)_5$  fragments in 9-W is similar to the one computed for the methyl group in 7, that is, with the W atom almost placed in the corresponding Mo<sub>2</sub>P plane, then completing a distorted trigonal-pyramidal environment around the external P atoms of the triphosphorus ligand. On the other hand, the geometrical parameters within the central Mo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> backbone in 9-W are similar to those measured in 2, with small elongations being observed for the intermetallic length (2.6558(5) Å) as well as the P-P and Mo-P(central) separations (ca. 2.17 and 2.68 Å, respectively), while the Mo-P(external) distances (ca. 2.37 Å) are slightly contracted. These geometrical modifications are qualitatively analogous to the ones following methylation of 2, as computed for the cation in complex 7 (Figure 3).



Figure 4. ORTEP diagram (30% probability) of compound 9-W, with 'Bu groups (except their  $C^1$  atoms) and H atoms omitted for clarity.

Table 3. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for Compound 9-W

Mo1-Mo2	2.6558(5)	Mo1-P1-Mo2	66.07(3)
Mo1-P1	2.440(1)	Mo1-P2-Mo2	68.05(2)
Mo2-P1	2.432(1)	Mo1-P3-Mo2	
Mo1–P2	2.675(1)	W1-P3-P2	120.78(5)
Mo2–P2	2.672(2)	P1-Mo1-P2	116.99(4)
Mo1-P3	2.3754(8)	P1-Mo2-P2	117.38(4)
Mo2–P3	2.3708(9)	P1-Mo1-P3	92.19(3)
W1–P3	2.4927(8)	P1-Mo2-P3	92.51(3)
P2-P3	2.169(1)	P3-P2-P3'	103.65(7)

Spectroscopic data in solution for compounds 9-Mo and 9-W (Table 1 and Experimental Section) are consistent with the symmetrical structure found in the crystal for 9-W. The presence of two  $M(CO)_5$  fragments attached to the triphosphorus ligand is first indicated by its IR spectrum, which displays two-high frequency bands at ca. 2071 (w, sh) and 2064 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to symmetric C-O stretches of these fragments,<sup>32</sup> in addition to the asymmetric ones (see the Experimental Section). Besides this, the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of these complexes display in each case just one doublet resonance for the external atoms of the P<sub>3</sub> ligand, with a chemical shift expectedly sensitive to the metal in the carbonyl fragment ( $\delta_P$  391.6 and 354.3 ppm for the Mo and W derivatives, respectively). In contrast, the central atom of the  $P_3$  ligand gives rise to a triplet resonance at ca. -690 ppm in both complexes, a position ca. 65 ppm more shielded than in the parent compound 2. The P-P coupling (ca. 390 Hz) is only marginally lower than in the parent complex (405 Hz), which is in agreement with the very modest lengthening of ca. 0.02 Å observed for the P-P bonds in 9-W. Finally, we note that the resonance for the  $P^tBu_2$  ligand in these complexes appears at ca. 185 ppm, as also observed for 9-Fe and for compounds 8 and 10, and not far from the position observed for the parent 2. This suggests that the intermetallic interaction is not severely modified by the coordination of the  $M(CO)_n$  fragments at the triphosphorus ligand in these species, in agreement with the very modest lengthening of just 0.03 Å observed for the intermetallic separation in 9-W, when compared to the parent complex 2.

Structure of the Heptanuclear Derivative 11. The molecular structure of compound 11 in the crystal (Figure 5)



Figure 5. ORTEP diagram (30% probability) of compound 11, with <sup>t</sup>Bu groups (except their C<sup>1</sup> atoms) and H atoms omitted for clarity.

can be viewed as built from two molecules of the parent compound **2** connected to a  $Mo(CO)_4$  fragment through their external P atoms, to render a  $cis-Mo(CO)_4P_2$  octahedral environment around the bridging Mo atom (P-Mo-P =95.78(4)°). In addition, each  $Mo_2P_3$  subunit bears an additional  $Mo(CO)_5$  fragment at the remaining external P atom of the P<sub>3</sub> ligand, thus rendering a local environment comparable to the one observed for the tungsten complex 9-W. In fact, the geometrical parameters within these Mo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> subunits (Table 4) are very similar to those measured for 9-W and therefore deserve no additional comments.

#### Table 4. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for Compound 11

Mo1–Mo2	2.6561(7)	Mo1-P1-Mo2	59.63(3)
Mo1-P1	2.670(1)	Mo1-P2-Mo2	67.63(3)
Mo1-P2	2.392(1)	Mo1-P3-Mo2	68.04(3)
Mo1-P3	2.375(1)	Mo3-P3-P1	116.09(6)
Mo1-P4	2.431(1)	Mo7-P2-P1	120.82(6)
Mo3-P3	2.484(1)	P4-Mo1-P1	117.14(4)
Mo7-P2	2.477(1)	P4-Mo1-P2	93.11(5)
P1-P2	2.149(2)	P4-Mo1-P3	92.16(4)
P1-P3	2.156(2)	P2-P1-P3	104.56(7)
Mo4–Mo5	2.6545(5)	Mo4-P5-Mo5	60.01(3)
Mo4-P5	2.664(1)	Mo4-P6-Mo5	67.79(3)
Mo4-P6	2.376(1)	Mo4-P7-Mo5	67.38(3)
Mo4-P7	2.384(1)	Mo6-P6-P5	114.82(6)
Mo4–P8	2.418(1)	Mo7-P7-P5	121.94(6)
M06–P6	2.529(1)	P8-Mo4-P5	116.93(4)
Mo7-P7	2.509(1)	P8-Mo4-P6	92.33(4)
P5-P6	2.164(2)	P8-Mo4-P7	93.70(4)
P5-P7	2.148(2)	P6-P5-P7	105.50(7)
		P2-Mo7-P7	95.78(4)

pubs.acs.org/IC

Spectroscopic data in solution for compound 11 (Table 1 and Experimental Section) are consistent with retention of the structure found in the crystal. First, its IR spectrum now displays, in addition to a high-frequency band at 2068 cm<sup>-1</sup> to be expected for the symmetric C–O stretch of the  $Mo(CO)_5$ fragments, a medium-intensity band at 2015  $cm^{-1}$  that we can assign to the symmetric C–O stretch of a cisoid or  $C_{2\nu}$  $M(CO)_4$  fragment.<sup>32</sup> Moreover, the <sup>31</sup>P spectrum of 11 indicates that both Mo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> subunits are equivalent in solution, with chemical shifts and P-P couplings comparable to those measured for the tetranuclear molybdenum complex 9-Mo. Of course, the external atoms of the P3 ligand are now inequivalent, but their chemical shifts ( $\delta_P$  383.8 and 403.8 ppm) are similar to each other, so assignment of these resonances to either  $Mo(CO)_{5}$ - or  $Mo(CO)_{4}$ -bound P atoms is not obvious. Other spectroscopic features for 11 are as expected and deserve no particular comment.

Article

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

Reaction of the methyl-bridged complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\kappa^1:\eta^2-\mu)]$  $CH_3$ )( $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)( $\mu$ -CO)] (1) with P<sub>4</sub> at 333 K involves formal elimination of methylphosphinidene as the dominant process, to give the triphosphorus-bridged complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-\eta^3)]$  $P_3(\mu - P^t B u_2)$  (2), but there is also a minor side process involving insertion of a P<sub>2</sub> unit into the Mo–Me bond of 1 that yields the diphosphenyl-bridged complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-\eta^2)]$  $P_2Me(\mu - P^tBu_2)(CO)_2$ . The latter is more conveniently prepared by using the synthetic procedure previously developed by us for related PCy2-bridged complexes, which in this case involves the room temperature reaction between the unsaturated anion Na[Mo<sub>2</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)( $\mu$ -CO)<sub>2</sub>] with P<sub>4</sub> to give a diphosphorus-bridged intermediate  $Na[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu \eta^2: \eta^2 \cdot P_2(\mu \cdot P^t Bu_2)(CO)_2$ , which is then reacted with MeI. According to our DFT calculations, the triphosphorus ligand in 2 can be described as an allylic-like P<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand acting as a sixelectron donor via the external P atoms, while the binding of the central P atom to the metal atoms, much weaker, derives from ligand-to-metal and metal-to-ligand interactions involving the  $\pi$  and  $\pi^*$  orbitals of the P<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand, both of them having a weakening effect on both the Mo-Mo and P-P connections. As result of all of it, the intermetallic bond in 2 has geometric and topological properties intermediate between those of double and triple bonds, while the properties of the P-P bonds are intermediate between those of single and allylic-like interactions. In spite of the electronic unsaturation of the molecule, the chemical behavior of 2 is dominated by the electron-donor ability associated with the lone pairs located at the P atoms of the triphosphorus ligand, which can be easily methylated, and can also bind up to three metal-carbonyl fragments  $M(CO)_n$  (M = Fe, Mo, W), as shown by the formation of the triiron derivative  $[Mo_2Fe_3Cp_2(\mu \eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1:\kappa^1\cdot P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_{12}]$ . In all these reactions, attachment of the corresponding electrophile at the external P atoms of the P<sub>3</sub> chain is preferred. This causes little geometrical modifications on the Mo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> skeleton of the molecule but causes a considerable nuclear shielding of ca. 60-100 ppm on the central P atom of the ligand, maximum for the diiron derivative  $[Mo_2Fe_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2) (CO)_8$ ], which displays the corresponding resonance at -721.8 ppm, the lowest <sup>31</sup>P chemical shift reported to date for a P-containing species.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures and Starting Materials. All manipulations and reactions were performed under an argon (99.995%) atmosphere by using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were purified according to the literature procedures and distilled prior to use.<sup>38</sup> Compound  $\left[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\kappa^1:\eta^2-CH_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(\mu-CO)\right]$  (1) was prepared in situ through a slight modification of the method described previously,<sup>13</sup> now involving irradiation with UV-vis light of toluene solutions of  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\kappa^1:\eta^2-CH_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_2]$  at 288 K in a quartz jacketed Schlenk tube (ca. 40 min for 0.100 g of dicarbonyl complex) and used without further purification by assuming a 100% yield. Tetrahydrofuran solutions of Na[Mo<sub>2</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)( $\mu$ -CO)<sub>2</sub>]  $(4)^{13}$  and  $[M(CO)_5(THF)]$  (M = Mo, W)<sup>39</sup> also were prepared in situ as reported previously. Modified literature procedures were employed in the preparation of the adducts  $[M(CO)_4(THF)_2]$ , which were obtained at 288 K and short reaction times (Mo) or at 273 K and longer reaction times (W); IR monitoring was used to determine the optimum reaction time in each case. All other reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as received, unless otherwise stated. Petroleum ether refers to that fraction distilling in the range 338-343 K. Filtrations were performed through diatomaceous earth unless otherwise stated. Chromatographic separations were performed by using jacketed columns cooled by tap water (ca. 288 K) or by a closed 2-propanol circuit kept at the desired temperature with a cryostat. Commercial aluminum oxide (activity I, 70-290 mesh) was degassed under vacuum prior to use. The latter was mixed under argon with the appropriate amount of water to reach activity IV. IR stretching frequencies of CO ligands are measured in solution (using CaF<sub>2</sub> windows), are termed  $\nu$ (CO), and are given in wavenumbers (cm<sup>-1</sup>). Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were routinely recorded at 295 K unless otherwise stated. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in ppm, relative to internal tetramethylsilane (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C), or external 85% aqueous H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> solutions (<sup>31</sup>P). Coupling constants (J) are given in hertz. Labels for P atoms in P<sub>3</sub> units are given according to the figure in Table 1.

Preparation of  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)]$  (2). A toluene solution of P<sub>4</sub> (1.5 mL of a 0.18 M solution, 0.27 mmol) was added to a toluene solution (5 mL) containing ca. 0.186 mmol of compound 1, prepared in situ from  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\kappa^1:\eta^2-CH_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_2]$  (0.100 g, 0.186 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 333 K for 15 min to give a black solution. The solvent was then removed under vacuum, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/6), and the extracts were filtered by using a cannula; then the filtrate was chromatographed on alumina at 243 K. Elution with the same solvent mixture gave a blue fraction yielding, after removal of solvents, compound 2 as a blue solid (0.086 g, 83%). Elution with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/3) gave a minor orange fraction yielding analogously small amounts of compound  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-\eta^2)]$  $P_2Me)(\mu - P^tBu_2)(CO)_2$  (3) (0.006 g, 4%). Data for 2: Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>28</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>4</sub>: C, 38.59; H, 5.04. Found: C, 38.32; H, 5.13. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (162.19 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  412.0 [d,  $J_{PP}$  = 405, P<sup>1</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)], 176.1 (s,  $\mu$ -P'Bu<sub>2</sub>), -626.5 [t,  $J_{PP}$  = 405, P<sup>2</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.54 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  4.83 (s, 10H, Cp), 1.03 (d,  $J_{HP}$  = 14, 18H, <sup>t</sup>Bu). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.72 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  88.4 (s, Cp), 40.5 [d,  $J_{CP}$  = 13,  $C^{1}(^{t}Bu)$ ], 35.7 [s,  $C^{2}(^{t}Bu)$ ].

Preparation of Tetrahydrofuran Solutions of Na[Mo<sub>2</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ - $\eta^{2}:\eta^{2}-P_{2}$ )( $\mu$ -P<sup>f</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>] (5). A toluene solution of P<sub>4</sub> (0.75 mL of a 0.18 M solution, 0.135 mmol) was added to a Schlenk tube equipped with a Young's valve. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and then 15 mL of a tetrahydrofuran suspension of freshly prepared compound 4 (ca. 0.1 mmol) was added by using a cannula; the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h to give an orange-brown solution containing compound 5 as unique organometallic species. This air-sensitive solution was used without further purification.  $\nu$ (CO) (THF): 1833 (vs), 1758 (w), 1695 (s). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (THF): 222.9 (s,  $\mu$ -P<sup>f</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -156.9 (s,  $\mu$ -P<sub>2</sub>).

Preparation of  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-P_2Me)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_2]$  (3). Neat MeI (50  $\mu$ L, 0.803 mmol) was added to the tetrahydrofuran solution of compound 5 (ca. 0.1 mmol) prepared as described above

and cooled at 273 K, and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 40 min to give a brown-yellowish solution. The solvent was then removed under vacuum, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/4), and the extracts were chromatographed on alumina at 253 K. Elution with the same solvent mixture gave first a minor yellow fraction yielding, after removal of solvents, complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-P^tBu_2)(\mu-PH_2)(CO)_2]$  (6) as a yellow solid (0.008 g, 14%) and then a major orange fraction yielding analogously compound 3 as a red-orange solid (0.035 g, 58%). Data for 3: Anal. Calcd for C21H31M02O2P3: C, 42.02; H, 5.21. Found: C, 41.83; H, 5.39.  $\nu$ (CO) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1884 (s), 1801 (vs). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.48 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  223.5 (dd,  $J_{PP}$  = 19, 8,  $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -84.9 (dd,  $J_{PP}$  = 524, 19,  $\mu$ -PMe), -286.0 (dd,  $J_{PP}$  = 524, 8,  $\mu$ -P). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.48 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  223.5 (m,  $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -84.9 (dm,  $J_{PP}$  = 524,  $\mu$ -PMe), -286.0 (dd,  $J_{PP} = 524$ , 8,  $\mu$ -P). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  5.12 (d,  $J_{HP}$ = 2, 5H, Cp), 4.86 (s, 5H, Cp), 1.56 (dd, *J*<sub>HP</sub> = 12, 3, 3H, PMe), 1.25, 1.16 (2d,  $J_{HP} = 12$ , 2 × 9H, <sup>t</sup>Bu). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.48 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  242.4 (dt,  $J_{CP}$  = 40, 6, MoCO), 238.8 (ddd,  $J_{CP}$  = 28, 8, 4, MoCO), 88.0 (s, Cp), 85.9 (d,  $J_{CP} = 2$ , Cp), 44.0 [dd,  $J_{CP} = 26$ , 6, 1, MoCO), 88.0 (s, Cp), 85.9 (d,  $J_{CP} = 2$ , Cp), 44.0 [dd,  $J_{CP} = 8$ , 2, C<sup>1</sup>(<sup>1</sup>Bu)], 42.3 [d,  $J_{CP} = 4$ , C<sup>1</sup>(<sup>1</sup>Bu)], 34.1 [d,  $J_{CP} = 3$ , C<sup>2</sup>(<sup>1</sup>Bu)], 33.7 [dd,  $J_{CP} = 8$ , 4, C<sup>2</sup>(<sup>1</sup>Bu)], -6.6 (dt,  $J_{CP} = 22$ , 4, PMe). Data for 6:  $\nu$ (CO) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1875 (w, sh), 1835 (vs). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.48) MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  175.8 (d,  $J_{PP} = 7$ ,  $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -55.5 (d,  $J_{PP} = 7$ ,  $\mu$ -PH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.48 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  175.8 (m,  $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -55.5 (t, J<sub>PP</sub> = 363, μ-PH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ 5.09 (s, 10H, Cp),

4.71 (dd,  $J_{\rm HP}$  = 363, 3, 2H, PH<sub>2</sub>), 1.26 (d,  $J_{\rm HP}$  = 13, 18H, <sup>t</sup>Bu). Preparation of [Mo<sub>2</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ - $\eta$ <sup>3</sup>: $\eta$ <sup>3</sup>-P<sub>3</sub>Me)( $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)](CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>) (7). Neat CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>Me (10  $\mu$ L, 0.088 mmol) was added to a dichloromethane solution (8 mL) of compound 2 (0.020 g, 0.036 mmol) at 253 K, and the mixture was stirred at that temperature for 15 min and then allowed to reach room temperature for 10 min to give a green solution. The solvent was then removed under vacuum, and the residue was washed with petroleum ether  $(5 \times 3 \text{ mL})$  and dried under vacuum to yield compound 7 as a quite pure green solid (0.022 g, 84%; see the Supporting Information for spectra). All attempts to further purify this solid through crystallization techniques, however, resulted in its progressive decomposition, so no microanalytical data were obtained for this product. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.48 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  444.3 [dd,  $J_{PP}$  = 423, 21, P<sup>3</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)], 315.4 [dt,  $J_{PP}$  = 410, 21, MeP<sup>1</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)], 188.7 (dd,  $J_{PP} = 21$ , 8,  $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -699.5 [ddd,  $J_{PP} =$ 423, 410, 8, P<sup>2</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)]. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.48 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 444.3 [dd,  $J_{\rm PP} = 423, 21, P^3(P_3)$ ], 315.4 [dm,  $J_{\rm PP} = 410, MeP^1(P_3)$ ], 188.7 (m,  $\mu$ - $P^{t}Bu_{2}$ ), -699.5 [t, br,  $J_{PP}$  = 416,  $P^{2}(P_{3})$ ]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ ):  $\delta$  5.79 (s, 10H, Cp), 3.36 (dd,  $J_{HP}$  = 12, 7, 3H, PMe), 1.28, 0.91 (2d,  $J_{\rm HP}$  = 15, 2 × 9H, <sup>t</sup>Bu). <sup>31</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.62 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ ):  $\delta$  93.9 (s, Cp), 43.0 [d,  $J_{CP}$  = 14,  $C^1({}^tBu)$ ], 39.4 [d,  $J_{CP}$  = 11,  $C^{1}({}^{t}Bu)$ ], 35.9 [t,  $J_{CP}$  = 4,  $C^{2}({}^{t}Bu)$ ], 35.3 [dd,  $J_{CP}$  = 7, 4,  $C^{2}({}^{t}Bu)$ ], 12.6 [dd,  $J_{CP} = 10, 8, PMe$ ].

**Preparation of Solutions of** [Mo<sub>2</sub>FeCp<sub>2</sub>(μ-η<sup>3</sup>:η<sup>3</sup>:κ<sup>1</sup>-P<sub>3</sub>)(μ-P<sup>f</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>4</sub>] (8). Solid [Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>] (0.015 g, 0.041 mmol) was added to a toluene solution (5 mL) of compound 2 (0.030 g, 0.054 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 10 min, whereby all iron reagent was consumed. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of this solution denoted the presence of unreacted 2, along with compounds 8 and 9-Fe in a ca. 3:4:1 ratio (see the Supporting Information). Attempts to isolate compound 8 from this mixture upon chromatography yielded only complex 9-Fe (see below). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.48 MHz, toluene): δ 455.2 [dt, J<sub>PP</sub> = 405, 13, P<sup>3</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)], 377.6 [dd, J<sub>PP</sub> = 420, 10, P<sup>1</sup>(P<sub>2</sub>)], 179.8 (m, μ-P<sup>f</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -648.5 [ddd, J<sub>PP</sub> = 420, 405, 8, P<sup>2</sup>(P<sub>2</sub>)].

P<sup>1</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)], 179.8 (m,  $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -648.5 [ddd,  $J_{PP} = 420$ , 405, 8, P<sup>2</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)]. **Preparation of [Mo<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>(\mu-\eta<sup>3</sup>:\eta<sup>3</sup>:\kappa<sup>1</sup>:\kappa<sup>1</sup>-P<sub>3</sub>)(\mu-P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>8</sub>] (9-Fe). Solid [Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>] (0.041 g, 0.113 mmol) was added to a toluene solution (5 mL) of compound 2 (0.030 g, 0.054 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 10 min to give a green solution containing compound 9-Fe as major product, along with small amounts of the triiron complex [Mo<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>(\mu-\eta<sup>3</sup>:\eta<sup>3</sup>:\kappa<sup>1</sup>:\kappa<sup>1</sup>-P<sub>3</sub>)(\mu-P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>12</sub>] (10). The latter, however, decomposed progressively to yield 9-Fe and could not be isolated. The solvent was then removed under vacuum, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/6), and the extracts were chromatographed on alumina at 253 K. Elution with the same solvent mixture gave a green fraction**  yielding, after removal of solvents, complex **9-Fe** as a green solid (0.045 g, 93%). Data for compound **9-Fe**: Anal. Calcd for  $C_{26}H_{28}Fe_2Mo_2O_8P_4$ : C, 34.85; H, 3.15. Found: C, 35.18; H, 3.08.  $\nu$ (CO) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2046 (sh, m), 2038 (vs), 1973 (m), 1946 (s). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.48 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  417.8 [dd,  $J_{PP}$  = 422, 16, P<sup>1</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)], 185.7 (dd,  $J_{PP}$  = 16, 11,  $\mu$ -P<sup>4</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -721.8 [td,  $J_{PP}$  = 422, 11, P<sup>2</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  5.21 (s, 10H, Cp), 0.77 (d,  $J_{HP}$  = 15, 18H, 'Bu). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.63 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  214.8 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 6, FeCO), 92.8 (s, Cp), 40.6 [d,  $J_{CP}$  = 14, C<sup>1</sup>('Bu)], 35.0 [d,  $J_{CP}$  = 4, C<sup>2</sup>('Bu)]. Data for compound **10**: <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.48 MHz, toluene):  $\delta$  404.3 [dd,  $J_{PP}$  = 445, 16, P<sup>1</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)], 177.0 (q,  $J_{PP}$  = 16,  $\mu$ -P<sup>4</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -552.0 [td,  $J_{PP}$  = 445, 16, P<sup>2</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)].

Preparation of  $[Mo_4Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3;\eta^3x^3;\kappa^3\cdot P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_{10}]$  (9-Mo). A tetrahydrofuran solution (5 mL) of  $[Mo(CO)_5(THF)]$  was prepared in situ from  $[Mo(CO)_6]$  (0.030 g, 0.114 mmol) and then added to compound 2 (0.030 g, 0.054 mmol). The solvent was then removed under vacuum, the residue was dissolved in toluene (5 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min to give a green solution. The solvent was again removed under vacuum, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/8), and the extracts were chromatographed on alumina at 288 K. Elution with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/4) gave a green fraction yielding, after removal of solvents, complex 9-Mo as a green solid (0.051 g, 91%). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{28}H_{28}Mo_4O_{10}P_4$ : C, 32.58; H, 2.73. Found: C, 32.96; H, 3.05.  $\nu$ (CO) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2071 (w, sh), 2064 (m), 1950 (vs), 1931 (m, sh). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.48 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$ 391.6 [dd,  $J_{PP}$  = 394, 14, P<sup>1</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)], 184.2 (q,  $J_{PP}$  = 12,  $\mu$ -P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -687.3 [td,  $J_{PP}$  = 394, 10, P<sup>2</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  5.49 (s, 10H, Cp), 1.17 (d,  $J_{HP}$  = 15, 18H, <sup>t</sup>Bu).

Preparation of  $[Mo_2W_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(CO)_{10}]$ (9-W). The procedure is analogous to the one described above for 9-Mo, but now with a tetrahydrofuran solution (5 mL) of  $[W(CO)_{c}(THF)]$  prepared in situ from  $[W(CO)_{6}]$  (0.040 g, 0.114 mmol). The chromatography was now performed at 253 K. Elution with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/8) gave a green fraction yielding, after removal of solvents, complex 9-W as a green solid (0.057 g, 87%). The crystals used in the X-ray diffraction study were grown by the slow diffusion of a layer of petroleum ether into a concentrated tetrahydrofuran solution of the complex at 253 K. Anal. Calcd for C28H28Mo2O10P4W2: C, 27.84; H, 2.34. Found: C, 27.59; H, 2.62.  $\nu$ (CO) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2070 (w, sh), 2063 (m), 1943 (vs), 1923 (m, sh).  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR (121.48 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  354.3 [dd,  $J_{PP}$  = 391, 15,  $P^{1}(P_{3})$ ], 185.2 (td,  $J_{PP} = 15$ , 11,  $\mu$ - $P^{t}Bu_{2}$ ), -689.8 [td,  $J_{PP} =$ 391, 11, P<sup>2</sup>(P<sub>3</sub>)]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 5.55 (s, 10H, Cp), 1.17 (d,  $J_{\rm HP}$  = 15, 18H, <sup>t</sup>Bu). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.63 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ ):  $\delta$  201.1 (AXX' mult,  $J_{CP} + J_{CP'} = 26$ , WCO<sub>ax</sub>), 197.6 [q,  $J_{CP}$ = 4,  $J_{CW}$  = 126, WCO<sub>eq</sub>], 91.8 (s, Cp), 41.3 [d,  $J_{CP}$  = 14, C<sup>1</sup>(<sup>t</sup>Bu)],  $35.6 [s, C^2(^tBu)].$ 

Preparation of  $[Mo_7Cp_4(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3:\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_3)_2(\mu-P^tBu_2)_2(CO)_{14}]$ (11). A tetrahydrofuran solution (10 mL) of  $[Mo(CO)_4(THF)_2]$ was prepared in situ from  $[Mo(CO)_6]$  (0.044 g, 0.168 mmol) and then added to compound 2 (0.030 g, 0.054 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h to give a green solution. After removal of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/8), and the extracts were chromatographed on alumina at 288 K. Elution with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/4) gave a major green fraction yielding, after removal of solvents, complex 9-Mo as a green solid (0.039 g, 67%). Elution with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1/2) gave a second green fraction yielding analogously complex 11 as a green solid (0.008 g, 16%). The crystals used in the X-ray diffraction study of 11 were grown by the slow diffusion of layers of tetrahydrofuran and petroleum ether into a concentrated dichloromethane solution of the complex at 253 K. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{50}H_{56}Mo_7O_{14}P_8$ : C, 33.36; H, 3.14. Found: C, 32.96; H, 3.05.  $\nu$ (CO) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2068 (m), 2015 (m), 1943 (vs), 1925 (m, sh).  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR (121.48 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$ 403.8 (d, br,  $J_{PP} = 414$ ,  $P^3(P_3)$ ], 383.8 [d, br,  $J_{PP} = 380$ ,  $P^1(P_3)$ ], 183.7 (m,  $\mu$ -P<sup>4</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>), -678.6 [t, br,  $J_{PP} = 390$ ,  $P^2(P_3)$ ]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.13 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ ):  $\delta$ 5.63 (s, 10H, Cp), 1.27, 1.17 (2d,  $J_{HP}$  = 15,  $2 \times 9$ H, <sup>t</sup>Bu).

X-ray Structure Determination of Compounds 9-W and 11. Data collection for these compounds was performed at ca. 155 K on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Nova single crystal diffractometer using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. Images were collected at a 62 mm fixed crystal-detector distance by using the oscillation method, with 1.3° oscillation and variable exposure time per image. Data collection strategy was calculated with the program CrysAlis Pro CCD,<sup>41</sup> and data reduction and cell refinement were performed with the program CrysAlis Pro RED.<sup>41</sup> In both cases, an empirical absorption correction was applied by using the SCALE3 ABSPACK algorithm as implemented in the program CrysAlis Pro RED. Using the program suite WinGX,<sup>42</sup> we solved the structures by Patterson interpretation and phase expansion using SHELXL2016<sup>43</sup> and refined with fullmatrix least-squares on  $F^2$  using SHELXL2016. In general, all nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, except for atoms involved in disorder, and all hydrogen atoms were geometrically placed and refined by using a riding model. In compound 9-W, one <sup>t</sup>Bu group was disordered and satisfactorily modeled over two positions with 0.55/0.45 occupancies. The disordered carbon atoms were refined isotropically, and this caused a B-level alert in the corresponding checkcif file. In compound 11, one of the cyclopentadienyl rings was disordered, satisfactorily modeled over two positions with 0.5 occupancies. Other data for the refinements of these structures can be found in Table S1.

**Computational Details.** All DFT calculations were performed by using the GAUSSIAN09 package<sup>44</sup> and the M06L functional.<sup>45</sup> A pruned numerical integration grid (99,590) was used for all the calculations via the keyword Int = Ultrafine. Effective core potentials and their associated double- $\zeta$  LANL2DZ basis set were used for Mo atoms.<sup>46</sup> The light elements (P, C, and H) were described with the 6-31G\* basis.<sup>47</sup> Geometry optimizations were performed under no symmetry restrictions by using initial coordinates derived from the X-ray data. Frequency analysis was performed for all the stationary points to ensure that a minimum structure with no imaginary frequencies was achieved in each case. Molecular orbitals and vibrational modes were visualized by using the MOLEKEL program.<sup>48</sup> The topological analysis of  $\rho$  was performed by using the MultiWFN program.<sup>49</sup>

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c01552.

Crystal data for compounds **9-W** and **11** (CCDC 2083094 and 2083095), results of DFT calculations, and IR and NMR spectra for all new compounds (PDF)

Cartesian coordinates for all computed species (XYZ)

#### Accession Codes

CCDC 2083094–2083095 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

- Daniel García-Vivó Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica/IUQOEM, Universidad de Oviedo, E-33071 Oviedo, Spain; o orcid.org/0000-0002-2441-2486; Email: garciavdaniel@uniovi.es
- Miguel A. Ruiz Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica/IUQOEM, Universidad de Oviedo, E-33071 Oviedo, Spain; o orcid.org/0000-0002-9016-4046; Email: mara@uniovi.es

#### **Inorganic Chemistry**

#### Authors

- M. Angeles Alvarez Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica/IUQOEM, Universidad de Oviedo, E-33071 Oviedo, Spain; © orcid.org/0000-0002-3313-1467
- Melodie Casado-Ruano Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica/IUQOEM, Universidad de Oviedo, E-33071 Oviedo, Spain
- M. Esther García Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica/IUQOEM, Universidad de Oviedo, E-33071 Oviedo, Spain; © orcid.org/0000-0002-9185-0099
- Ana M. Guerra Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica/IUQOEM, Universidad de Oviedo, E-33071 Oviedo, Spain

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c01552

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the MICIU and AEI of Spain and FEDER for financial support (Project PGC2018-097366-B-I00), the SCBI of the Universidad de Málaga, Spain, for access to computing facilities, and the X-ray unit of the Universidad de Oviedo for acquisition of diffraction data.

#### REFERENCES

(1) For some reviews on main group element-mediated activation and functionalization of  $P_4$ , see: (a) Borger, J. E.; Ehlers, A. W.; Slootweg, J. C.; Lammerstma, K. Functionalization of  $P_4$  through direct P–C bond formation. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 11738–11746. (b) Giffin, N. A.; Masuda, J. D. Reactivity of white phosphorus with compounds of the *p*-block. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *255*, 1342–1359. (c) Scheer, M.; Balázs, G.; Seitz, A. P<sub>4</sub> activation by main group elements and compounds. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4236–4256.

(2) For some reviews on transition metal-mediated activation and functionalization of  $P_4$ , see: (a) Hoidn, C. M.; Scott, D. J.; Wolf, R. Transition-metal-mediated functionalization of white phosphorus. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 1886–1902. (b) Serrano-Ruiz, M.; Romerosa, A.; Lorenzo-Luis, P. Elemental phosphorus and electromagnetic radiation. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *2014*, 1587–1598. (c) Cossairt, B. M.; Piro, N. A.; Cummins, C. C. Early-transition-metal-mediated activation and transformation of white phosphorus. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4164–4177. (d) Caporali, M.; Gonsalvi, L.; Rossin, A.; Peruzzini, M. P<sub>4</sub> activation by late-transition metal complexes. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4178–4235.

(3) (a) Giusti, L.; Landaeta, V. R.; Vanni, M.; Kelly, J.; Wolf, R.; Caporali, M. Coordination chemistry of elemental phosphorus. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *441*, 213927–214025. (b) Whitmire, K. H. Transition metal complexes of the naked pnictide elements. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *376*, 114–195.

(4) Alvarez, M. A.; Casado-Ruano, M.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A. Dehydrogenation, methyl elimination and insertion reactions of the agostic methyl-bridged complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\kappa^1:\eta^2-CH_3)(\mu-P^tBu_2)(\mu-CO)]$ . *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 9504–9507.

(5) Brunner, H.; Klement, U.; Meier, W.; Wachter, J.; Serhadle, O.; Ziegler, M. L. Neuartige phosphor/schwefel-liganden in aus  $Cp*_2Mo_2(CO)_4$  ( $Cp* = \eta^5 - C_5Me_5$ ) und  $P_4S_3$  erzeugten  $Cp*_2Mo_2P_xS_{yr}$ -komplexen (x = 2,4; y = 5-x). J. Organomet. Chem. **1987**, 335, 339–352.

(6) Gröger, C.; Kalbitzer, H. R.; Pronold, M.; Piryazev, D.; Scheer, M.; Wachter, J.; Virovets, A.; Zabel, M. Novel metal-organic frameworks incorporating  $[Cp^{\circ}_{2}Mo_{2}P_{4}S]$  ( $Cp^{\circ} = 1$ -tBu-3,4-Me<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and Cu<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub> building blocks. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, 2011, 785–793.

(7) Scheer, M.; Deng, S.; Scherer, O. J.; Sierka, M. Tetraphosphacyclopentadienyl and triphosphaallyl ligands in iron complexes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 3755–3758.

(8) Reichl, S.; Grünbauer, R.; Balász, G.; Scheer, M. Reactivity of  $P_4$  butterfly complexes towards NHCs - generation of a metal-bridged  $P_2$  dumbbell complex. *Chem. Commun.* **2021**, *57*, 3383–3386.

(9) Gregoriades, L. J.; Balázs, G.; Brunner, E.; Gröger, C.; Wachter, J.; Zabel, M.; Scheer, M. An unusual building block for supramolecular aggregates: the mixed group 15/16 element ligand complex  $[(Cp*Mo)_2(\mu,\eta^3-P_3)(\mu,\eta^2-PS)]$ . Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5966–5970.

(10) Adatia, T.; McPartlin, M.; Mays, M. J.; Morris, M. J.; Raithby, P. R. Chemistry of phosphido-bridged dimolybdenum complexes. Part 3. Reinvestigation of the reaction between  $[Mo_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_6]$  and  $P_2Ph_4$ ; X-ray structures of  $[Mo_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(\mu-PPh_2)_2(CO)_2]$ ,  $[Mo_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(\mu-PPh_2)_2(\mu-CO)]$ , and  $trans-[Mo_2(\eta-C_5H_5)_2(\mu-PPh_2)_2(\mu-PPh_2)_2(DCO)]$ . J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1989**, 1555–1564.

(11) (a) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Lozano, R.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Mild P–P bond cleavage in the methyldiphosphenyl complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2Me)-(CO)_2]$  to give novel phosphide-bridged trinuclear derivatives. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 11261–11273. (b) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Lozano, R.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Tetranuclear phosphideand phosphinidene-bridged derivatives of the diphosphenyl complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2Me)(CO)_2]$ . *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 2455–2466.

(12) (a) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Lozano, R.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Reactivity of the anionic diphosphorus complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2)(CO)_2^{-}$  toward phosphorusand transition metal-based electrophiles. *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 9005–9018. (b) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Lozano, R.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Diphosphorus-bridged heterometallic anions and hydrides derived from reactions of complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2)-(CO)_2^{-}$  with precursors of 16-electron metal carbonyl fragments. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2015**, *791*, 279–288.

(13) Alvarez, M. A.; Casado-Ruano, M.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A. Structural and chemical effects of the P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub> bridge at unsaturated dimolybdenum complexes having hydride and hydrocarbyl ligands. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 11336–11351.

(14) The crystal structure of cis- $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-P_2)_2(CO)_2]$  has been determined through an X-ray study. See: Alvarez, M. A.; Casado-Ruano, M.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Martín de Vidales, M.; Ruiz, M. A. CSD Commun. **2021**, CCDC 2077524. This complex gives rise to diagnostic <sup>31</sup>P NMR resonances in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> solution at -135.2 and -159.1 ppm (AA'BB' mult,  $J_{AB} = 495$  Hz,  $J_{AB'} = -15$ Hz).

(15) The related  $C_5Me_5$  complex has been reported previously. See: (a) Scherer, O. J.; Sitzmann, H.; Wolmershäuser, G. Hexaphosphabenzene as complex ligand. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, 24, 351–353. (b) Scherer, O. J.; Sitzmann, H.; Wolmershäuser, G.  $(E_2)_2$ -Einheiten (E = P, As) als clustebbausteine. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, 309, 77–86.

(16) (a) Szynkiewicz, N.; Ponikiewski, L.; Grubba, R. Symmetrical and unsymmetrical diphosphanes with diversified alkyl, aryl, and amino substituents. *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 16885–16894. (b) Harris, R. K.; Norval, E. M.; Fild, M. Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of scrambling reactions in solutions of tetra-alkyl- and tetra-aryl-diphosphanes. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1979**, 826–831.

(17) Weber, L. The chemistry of diphosphenes and their heavy congeners: synthesis, structure, and reactivity. *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *92*, 1839–1906.

(18) García, M. E.; Riera, V.; Ruiz, M. A.; Rueda, M. T.; Sáez, D. Dimolybdenum and ditungsten cyclopentadienyl carbonyls with electron-rich phosphido bridges. synthesis of the hydrido phosphido complexes  $[M_2Cp_2(\mu-H)(\mu-PRR^{\circ})(CO)_4]$  and unsaturated bis-(phosphido) complexes  $[M_2Cp_2(\mu-PR_2)(\mu-PR^{\circ}R^{\circ})(CO)_x]$  (x = 1, 2; R, R<sup> $\circ$ </sup>, R<sup> $\circ$ </sup> <sup>'</sup> = Et, Cy, <sup>t</sup>Bu). Organometallics **2002**, 21, 5515–5525. (19) (a) Cramer, C. J. Essentials of Computational Chemistry, 2nd ed.; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 2004. (b) Koch, W.; Holthausen, M. C. A

Chemist's Guide to Density Functional Theory, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2002.

(20) Greeenwood, N. N.; Earnshaw, A. Chemistry of the Elements; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1984; Chapter 14.

(21) Jean, Y.; Volatron, F. An Introduction to Molecular Orbitals; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 1993; Chapter 8.

(22) The P<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anion is isoelectronic with the recently reported ECX anions (E = N, P, As; X = O, S, Se). See: (a) Hou, G.-L.; Chen, B.; Transue, W. J.; Yang, Z.; Grützmacher, H.; Driess, M.; Cummins, C. C.; Borden, W. T.; Wang, X.-B. Spectroscopic characterization, computational investigation, and comparisons of ECX<sup>-</sup> (E = As, P, and N; X = S and O) Anions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, *139*, 8922–8930. (b) Yuan, Q.; Tambornino, F.; Hinz, A.; Borden, W. T.; Goicoechea, J. M.; Chen, B.; Wang, X.-B. Photoelectron spectroscopy and theoretical studies of PCSe<sup>-</sup>, AsCS<sup>-</sup>, AsCSe<sup>-</sup>, and NCSe<sup>-</sup>: insights into the electronic structures of the whole family of ECX<sup>-</sup> anions (E = N, P, As; X = O, S, Se). Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2019**, 58, 15062–15068. However, these heteronuclear anions are linear and bear two  $\pi$  bonding electron pairs, whereas the P<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anion is angular and only bears a single  $\pi$  bonding electron pair.

(23) Pyykkö, P.; Atsumi, M. Molecular double-bond covalent radii for elements Li-E112. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 12770–12779.

(24) Bader, R. F. W. Atoms in Molecules-A Quantum Theory; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 1990.

(25) García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A.; Alvarez, S.; Aullón, G. Chemistry of unsaturated group 6 metal complexes with bridging hydroxy and methoxycarbyne ligands. 1. synthesis, structure and bonding of 30-electron complexes. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 4930–4941.

(26) García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A.; Alvarez, S.; Aullón, G. Chemistry of unsaturated group 6 metal complexes with bridging hydroxy and methoxycarbyne ligands. 2. synthesis, structure and bonding of 32- and 34-electron complexes. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 5912–5921.

(27) Data computed with the B3LYP functional, as this functional rendered an optimized structure better matching the experimental P–P separation of 2.21 Å in P<sub>4</sub>, compared to the structure found using the M06L functional (computed lengths = 2.218 and 2.185 Å, respectively).

(28) (a) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Mild P<sub>4</sub> Activation to give an anionic diphosphorus complex with a dual binding ability at a single P site. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 2064–2066. (b) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Reactivity of the anionic diphosphorus complex  $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_2(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2)]^-$  toward ER<sub>3</sub>X Electrophiles (E = C to Pb): insights into the multisite donor ability and dynamics of the P<sub>2</sub> ligand. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 11061–11075.

(29) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A.; Vega, M. F. Reactions of the unsaturated ditungsten anion  $[W_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-CO)_2]^-$  with C- and P-based electrophiles. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 870–878.

(30) Davies, J. E.; Mays, M. J.; Raithby, P. R.; Shields, G. P.; Tompkin, P. K. Synthesis and characterisation of  $[M_2(\eta^{5}-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_4(\mu\text{-PH}_2)(\mu\text{-H})]$  (M = Mo,W); a new route to M-PH<sub>2</sub> complexes involving novel activation of a bridging diphosphorus ligand. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 361–362.

(31) Riedlberger, F.; Seidl, M.; Scheer, M. The reaction behavior of  $[Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_4(\mu,\eta^{2:2}-P_2)]$  and  $[Cp''Ta(CO)_2(\eta^4-P_4)]$  towards hydroxide and tert-butyl nucleophiles. *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 13836–13839.

(32) Braterman, P. S. Metal Carbonyl Spectra; Academic Press: London, UK, 1975.

(33) (a) Alvarez, C. M.; Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A.; Lanfranchi, M.; Tiripicchio, A. A Triply bonded dimolybdenum hydride complex with acid, base and radical activity. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 7–9. (b) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Dimolybdenum-tin derivatives of the unsaturated hydride  $[Mo_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2(\mu-H)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_2]$  and HSnR<sub>3</sub> (R = Ph, Bu): bridging versus terminal coordination of the triorganostannyl group. Organometallics **2006**, 25, 5374–5380. (c) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A.; Vega, M. F. Synthesis and reactivity of the triply bonded binuclear anion  $[W_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-CO)_2]^-$ : Tungsten makes a difference. Organometallics **2010**, 29, 512–515.

(34) Two-bond couplings involving P atoms  $({}^{2}J_{PX})$  in metal complexes increase algebraically with P–M–X angle and therefore are quite sensitive to the relative positioning of P and X. Their absolute values for piano-stool complexes of type MCpPXL<sub>2</sub> usually follow the order  ${}^{2}J_{cisl} > {}^{2}J_{trans}$ . See, for instance: Jameson, C. J. In *Phosphorus-31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis*; Verkade, J. G., Quin, L. D., Eds.; VCH: Deerfield Beach, FL, 1987; Chapter 6, and Wrackmeyer, B.; Alt, H. G.; Maisel, H. E. Ein- und zweidimensionale multikern NMR-spektroskopie an den isomeren halbsandwich-komplexen *cis*- und *trans*-[( $h^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)W(CO)<sub>2</sub>(H)-PMe<sub>3</sub>]. J. Organomet. Chem. **1990**, 399, 125–130.

(35) Jones, R. A.; Schwab, S. T.; Stuart, A. L.; Whittlesey, B. R.; Wright, T. C. Synthesis of dinuclear phosphido or arsenido bridged complexes of molydenum via reaction of secondary phosphines or arsines with  $[Mo(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})(CO)_{3}]_{2}$ : X-ray structures of  $\{[Mo(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})(CO)_{2}]_{2}(\mu-H)(\mu-ER_{2})\}$  (E = P, R = t-Bu; or E = As, R = Me). Polyhedron **1985**, 4, 1689–1695.

(36) Pregosin, P. S. *NMR in Organometallic Chemistry*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2012; Chapter 6.

(37) This chemical shift is ca. 200 ppm lower than the one reported for the PI<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> cation ( $\delta_{\rm p}$  ca. -520 ppm). See: Kaupp, M.; Aubauer, C.; Engelhardt, G.; Klapotke, T. M.; Malkina, O. L. The PI<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> cation has an extremely large negative <sup>31</sup>P nuclear magnetic resonance chemical shift, due to spin-orbit coupling: A quantum-chemical prediction and its confirmation by solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. J. Chem. Phys. **1999**, 110, 3897–3902.

(38) Armarego, W. L. F.; Chai, C. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, 7th ed.; Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford, UK, 2012.

(39) Strohmeier, W. Photochemische substitutionen an metallcarbonylen und deren derivaten. *Angew. Chem.* **1964**, *76*, 873–881.

(40) (a) Sellmann, D.; Brandl, A.; Endell, R. Reaktionen komplexgebundener liganden: XV. Diimin-, hydrazin- und ammoniak-complexes des molybdäns; synthese und eigenschaften von  $\mu$ -N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>[Mo(CO)<sub>5</sub>]<sub>2</sub>,  $\mu$ -N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>[Mo(CO)<sub>5</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, [ $\mu$ -(N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>{Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>}<sub>2</sub>], Mo(CO)<sub>5</sub>H<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> und Mo(CO)<sub>5</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>. J. Organomet. Chem. **1975**, *97*, 229–243. (b) Gibson, V. C.; Long, N. J.; Long, R. J.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, C. K.; Williams, D. J.; Grigiotti, E.; Zanello, P. Synthesis, characterization, and metal complexation of unsymmetrical 1,1<sup>+</sup> bis(organylthiolato)ferrocenes. Organometallics **2004**, *23*, 957–967.

(41) CrysAlis Pro; Oxford Diffraction Limited, Ltd.: Oxford, UK, 2006.

(42) Farrugia, L. J. WinGX suite for small-molecule single-crystal crystallography. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999, 32, 837–838.

(43) (a) Sheldrick, G. M. A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 2008, 64, 112-122.
(b) Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem. 2015, 71, 3-8.

(44) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian 09, Revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(45) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. A new local density functional for main-group thermochemistry, transition metal bonding, thermochemical kinetics, and noncovalent interactions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *125*, 194101.

(46) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. Ab initio effective core potentials for molecular calculations. Potentials for potassium to gold including the outermost core orbitals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *82*, 299–310.

(47) (a) Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. Influence of polarization functions on MO hydrogenation energies. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1973**, *28*, 213–222. (b) Petersson, G. A.; Al-Laham, M. A. A complete basis set model chemistry. II. Open-shell systems and the total energies of the first-row atoms. J. Chem. Phys. **1991**, *94*, 6081–6090. (c) Petersson, G. A.; Bennett, A.; Tensfeldt, T. G.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Shirley, W. A.; Mantzaris, J. A complete basis set model chemistry. I. The total energies of closed-shell atoms and hydrides of the first-row elements. J. Chem. Phys. **1988**, *89*, 2193–2218.

(48) Portmann, S.; Luthi, H. P. MOLEKEL: An Interactive Molecular Graphics Tool. *CHIMIA* **2000**, *54*, 766.

(49) Lu, T.; Chen, F. MultiWFN: A multifunctional wavefunction analyzer. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 580-592.