SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 22 August 2016 Accepted: 05 December 2016 Published: 09 January 2017

OPEN Widespread temporo-occipital lobe dysfunction in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

Kristian Loewe^{1,2,*}, Judith Machts^{1,3,*}, Jörn Kaufmann¹, Susanne Petri⁴, Hans-Jochen Heinze^{1,3,5}, Christian Borgelt², Joseph Allen Harris¹, Stefan Vielhaber^{1,3} & Mircea Ariel Schoenfeld^{1,5,6}

Recent studies suggest that amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and frontotemporal dementia (FTD) lie on a single clinical continuum. However, previous neuroimaging studies have found only limited involvement of temporal lobe regions in ALS. To better delineate possible temporal lobe involvement in ALS, the present study aimed to examine changes in functional connectivity across the whole brain, particularly with regard to extra-motor regions, in a group of 64 non-demented ALS patients and 38 healthy controls. To assess between-group differences in connectivity, we computed edge-level statistics across subject-specific graphs derived from resting-state functional MRI data. In addition to expected ALS-related decreases in functional connectivity in motor-related areas, we observed extensive changes in connectivity across the temporo-occipital cortex. Although ALS patients with comorbid FTD were deliberately excluded from this study, the pattern of connectivity alterations closely resembles patterns of cerebral degeneration typically seen in FTD. This evidence for subclinical temporal dysfunction supports the idea of a common pathology in ALS and FTD.

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by the progressive loss of both upper and lower motor neurons with a mean survival of 2-3 years from symptom onset¹. Although the degeneration of the motor system is the primary hallmark of the disease, varying degrees of extra-motor symptoms are also observed. For example, up to 50% of ALS patients show neuropsychological deficits², with 12-15% fulfilling the criteria for comorbid frontotemporal dementia (FTD)^{3,4}. The discovery of the GGGGCC hexanucleotide expansion on chromosome 9 (C9orf72)^{5,6} in families with cases of ALS, FTD, and ALS-FTD established a genetic link between the two conditions and supports previous observations of common neuropathological abnormalities in ALS and FTD (i.e., the accumulation of the DNA/RNA binding protein TDP-43)7. These findings have led to the idea of a single disease continuum, the ends of which are represented by ALS and FTD, and shifted the focus towards ALS-related extra-motor changes.

Despite the clinical, genetic, and histopathological overlap between the two diseases, results from functional neuroimaging studies were rather inconclusive in this regard. In particular, it seems surprising that only limited involvement of temporal lobe regions was observed in ALS patients, although these regions are typically affected in frontotemporal neurodegeneration⁸.

To investigate this observation at the network level, we examined intrinsic functional connectivity differences between ALS patients and healthy controls. Importantly, ALS-FTD patients were deliberately excluded to ensure that changes did not result from comorbid FTD. Whole-brain graph analysis was carried out at voxel resolution, thus enabling more detailed insights into the patterns of ALS-related network changes through enhanced spatial sensitivity. We expected to find neural correlates of subclinical changes in extra-motor areas, including the temporal lobes.

¹Department of Neurology, Otto-von-Guericke University, Leipziger Straße 44, 39120 Magdeburg, Germany. ²Department of Computer Science, Otto-von-Guericke University, Universitätsplatz 2, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany. ³German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Leipziger Straße 44, 39120 Magdeburg, Germany. ⁴Department of Neurology, Hannover Medical School, Carl-Neuberg-Str. 1, 30625 Hannover, Germany. ⁵Leibniz Institute for Neurobiology, Brenneckestraße 6, 39118 Magdeburg, Germany. ⁶Kliniken Schmieder Heidelberg, Speyererhofweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany. ^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.L. (email: kristian.loewe@med.ovgu.de)

Results

Neuropsychology. Neuropsychological deficits in ALS patients were limited to the domains of executive function and verbal memory. More specifically, performance deficits were observed in letter fluency and flexibility, Stroop test ratio and error rates, trail making test ratio, and recognition memory. There were no betweengroup differences in semantic processing, visuo-spatial skills, or verbal memory encoding and recall. With respect to behavioral changes, patients showed higher levels of apathy than controls. No changes were detected for the Frontal Systems Behavioral Scale (FrSBe) subscales corresponding to disinhibition and executive dysfunction. The detailed results from neuropsychological testing are presented in Table 1.

Functional connectivity. The whole-brain voxel-level graph analysis revealed complex patterns of both decreased and increased connectivity in ALS patients as compared to controls (Fig. 1). Specifically, clusters of reduced functional connectivity were observed in cortical sensorimotor (bilateral pre- and postcentral gyrus), parietal (left superior parietal, right angular gyrus), temporal (bilateral temporal pole, left planum temporale, bilateral superior and inferior temporal gyrus, right middle temporal gyrus, bilateral parahippocampal gyrus and fusiform cortex), occipital (bilateral fusiform cortex, lateral occipital cortex, cuneal cortex, lingual gyrus, occipital pole and intracalcarine cortex, right precuneus, right supracalcarine cortex), frontal (bilateral frontal pole, left insular cortex, right middle frontal gyrus, right operculum cortex), and subcortical regions (bilateral thalamic nuclei, left amygdala, bilateral hippocampi, bilateral caudate nuclei, left nucleus accumbens).

Focusing on the motor system, patients with ALS showed impaired long-range functional connectivity between primary motor and sensorimotor regions (pre- and postcentral gyrus) and the occipital pole, affecting both intra- and interhemispheric connections (Fig. 2). Along with the motor system, the temporo-occipital cortex exhibited extensive connectivity changes. Specifically, ALS-related connectivity decreases were observed between temporal and occipital lobe areas incorporating short-range connections, as well as those connected across cerebral hemispheres. Functional connectivity was also decreased between cortico-subcortical areas, involving connections among bilateral hippocampi and occipital lobe areas, as well as the right thalamus and left temporal lobe areas.

The analysis also revealed clusters of increased connectivity associated with ALS (Fig. 1), located in cortical sensorimotor (right pre- and postcentral gyrus), frontal (left inferior frontal gyrus, left insular cortex, bilateral frontal pole), temporal (left temporal pole, left planum polare, right fusiform gyrus), occipital (bilateral lateral occipital cortex, left precuneus), parietal (right angular gyrus), and subcortical regions (right hippocampus), though these connectivity increases were somewhat less pronounced than the observed decreases. Further connectivity increases were observed between the right parietal lobe and the left temporal pole, as well as between the right occipital lobe and the frontal lobes. These clusters resulted in large parts from increased interhemispheric connectivity (Fig. 2).

Figure 3 illustrates the group-specific average connectivity for each voxel-pair in healthy controls and patients with ALS, respectively. Notably, Fisher z-transformed correlation values ranged from 0 to 0.83 (corresponding to the quantiles $Q_{0.01}$ and $Q_{0.99}$, respectively) for both groups. No negative correlations were observed. Cohen's *d* effect sizes, computed for each pair of nodes exhibiting a significant between-group difference in connectivity, were greater than 0.8.

Discussion

The present study investigated the neural correlates of degeneration in ALS patients. Using functional MRI at rest in conjunction with a whole-brain voxel-level approach, we examined functional connectivity alterations in ALS patients. Consistent with the hallmark pathology of the disease, we observed prominent clusters of decreased functional connectivity in motor-related areas (Fig. 1), which were predominantly characterized by many affected long-range connections (Fig. 2). Strikingly, the analysis also revealed widespread patterns of decreased functional connectivity along the temporal and occipital lobes (Fig. 1), a pattern resembling the neurodegenerative changes in FTD patients. This is of the utmost importance since ALS-FTD patients were explicitly excluded from the study, and our ALS patients exhibited only minor cognitive deficits, especially with regard to temporal lobe dysfunction. The current results provide *in vivo* evidence for the involvement of the temporal lobe in non-demented ALS patients, supporting recent genetic^{5,6}, and histopathological⁷ reports pointing to a shared pathology between ALS and FTD.

The present results add to the body of literature providing a comprehensive account of the neural connectivity changes accompanying the progression of ALS. A previous study from our group employed structural and functional MRI in conjunction with a longitudinal design and reported a drop in functional activity in the motor cortex reflecting the breakdown of compensatory mechanisms within only 3 months of a diagnosis⁹. This study also reported increased hippocampal activity across the same time range, presumably reflecting a mechanism of compensation⁹. A second study employed diffusion-weighted MRI and found decreased structural connectivity in the motor system but increased diffusivity along occipito-temporal pathways¹⁰. In the present study, we report prominent clusters of reduced functional connectivity in the motor cortex, completing the picture of pathological changes over the course of the disease. It would appear then, that ALS starts with subclinical neurodegenerative changes in the motor cortex, for which, at least in the very early stages, higher activity in non-affected motor neurons compensates¹¹. Shortly after the first clinical symptoms appear, the neurodegeneration can no longer be compensated for, and a decay of upper and lower motor neuron function follows¹², resulting in decreased BOLD activity in the motor cortex⁹, as well as diffusivity along the pyramidal pathways¹⁰.

The observed connectivity reductions in the motor cortex of ALS patients were primarily driven by affected long-range connections (occipital and temporal) to and from the motor cortex, and are in line with previously shown structural and functional changes in the motor system^{13,14}. Functionally, the connectivity between motor areas and the visual cortex plays a key role in integrating visuo-motor information through cortico-cortical links¹⁵

Memoryview <th< th=""><th>Cognitive Measure</th><th>Group</th><th>N</th><th>Mean</th><th>SD</th><th>Cut-off</th><th>No. of impaired patients</th><th>U</th><th>p</th></th<>	Cognitive Measure	Group	N	Mean	SD	Cut-off	No. of impaired patients	U	p
MLM Learning (∑1-5)HC3850.718.43.9.14 (7.3%)93.093.00.30VLMT Immediate Recall (6)HC3810.682.85.086 (10.9%)91.650.30VLMT Delayed Recall (7)HC3810.553.04.554.73%)92.00.349VLMT Recognition (corrected for errors)HC381.551.624.54.909 (16.4%)767.00.20WM-R Digit span forwardHC373.911.44.552.3(3.4%)1090.0710Executive functionHC373.911.44.738.1(5.1%)757.50.067RWT Letter fluency indexHC373.911.46.718.1(5.1%)757.50.067RWT Letter fluency indexHC373.911.47.41101(19.6%)760.00.045RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.911.47.43101(19.6%)760.00.045RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.050.073.433.59%)789.00.192RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.650.223.433.59%)789.00.192RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.650.243.433.59%)789.00.192RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.650.243.433.59%)789.00.192RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.650.24	Memory								
Number of the section of the sectio	$\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{I}$ commit of $(\sum 1, 5)$	HC	38	50.71	8.4	22.01	4 (7.20/)		0.200
MLM Immediate Recall (a)HC3810.682.85.08A(10.9%)918.9.33MLM Delayed Recall (7)HC585.484.434.554.47.3%)92.003.49MLM Delayed Recall (7)HC3812.599.854.47.3%)92.003.49MLM Recognition (corrected for error)HC3812.599.804.6992.003.49MS-R Digit span forwardHC373.184.252.333.693.69Executive functionHC373.484.232.333.693.69RWT Letter fluency indexHC373.436.713.61.51%3.693.69RWT Letter fluency indexHC373.536.943.433.69.9%3.69.9%3.69.9%3.69.9%RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.536.943.433.69.9%3.69.9%3.69.9%3.69.9%3.69.9%3.69.9%3.69.9%RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.536.943.433.69.9%3.69.9	VLW1 Learning (2,1-5)	ALS	55	48.78	10.7	55.91	4 (7.5%)	935.0	0.390
VLM1 minimum Keam (b)ALS559.913.403.0000 (10.5%)91.830.200VLMT Delayed Recall (7)HC381.0553.004.554 (7.3%)926.00.349VLMT Recognition (corrected for errors)HC387.551.624.556.909 (16.4%)767.00.028WMS-R Digit span forwardHC387.451.664.252.(3.3%)1.990.0710Executive functionRWT Letter fluency indexHC373.911.44.252.(3.3%)757.60.067RWT Letter fluency indexHC373.911.47.7410(19.6%)757.60.067RWT Letter fluency indexHC372.030.77.3410(19.6%)757.60.067RWT Semantic fluency indexHC372.030.77.343(5.9%)759.00.063RWT Semantic fluency indexHC372.030.73.433(5.9%)759.00.019RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.060.21.060.010.0190.019RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.060.21.020.030.0190.0190.019RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.060.21.020.030.0190.0190.019RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.060.21.020.030.0190.019RW	VI MT Immediate Recall (6)	HC	38	10.68	2.8	5.08	6 (10.0%)	918.5	0.320
NLT Delayed Recall (?)HC3810553.04.554.17,3%)920923934MLT Recognition (corrected for errors)HC381.064.556.909.009.0164%)7.019.02MS-R Digit span forwardHC387.551.064.557.009.009.0164%)9.019.01Breative functionHC373.911.49.009.019.019.019.01RWT Letter flueny indexHC373.911.49.719.019.019.01RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.011.49.739.019.019.01RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.021.019.019.019.019.01RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.021.029.019.019.019.019.01RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.021.029.019.019.019.019.019.01RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.021.029.019.019.019.019.019.019.019.01RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.021.029.019.019.019.019.019.019.01RWT Semantic fluency indexHC371.021.029.019.019.019.019.019.019.019.01RWT Semantic fluency indexHC38	VENT miniculate Recail (0)	ALS	55	9.91	3.4	5.08	0 (10.970)		
Matrix bulget reture (r) ALS 55 9.85 3.4 Robinst (r) Feature (r) Robinst (r) MC 38 12.50 2.8 6.90 P(16.4%) 76.0 0.28 MMS-R Digit span forward HC 38 7.45 1.6 7.35 1.8 2(3.3%) 1000 0.710 Executive function RWT Letter fluency index HC 37 3.91 1.4 6.71 8(15.1%) 76.0 0.067 RWT Letter fluency index HC 37 2.03 0.7 7.34 10(19.6%) 780.0 0.10 RWT Letter fluency index HC 37 2.03 0.7 7.34 10(19.6%) 780.0 0.19 RWT Semantic fluency index HC 37 2.03 0.7 7.34 3(5.9%) 780.0 0.19 RWT Semantic fluency index HC 37 1.65 3.87 1.7 5.15 5(9.8%) 77.0 0.014 Stroop ratio HC 37	VI MT Delayed Recall (7)	HC	38	10.55	3.0	4 55	4 (7 3%)	926.0	0.349
HC IddeHC IddeI	VENTI Deniyet Recuit (7)	ALS	55	9.85	3.4	1.55	1 (7.576)	,20.0	0.515
Als MethodsAls MethodsSind Methods<	VLMT Recognition (corrected for errors)	HC	38	12.50	2.8	6.90	9 (16.4%)	767.0	0.028
MMS-R Digit span forwardHC387.451.64.252.0.3.%109.00.710Executive functionRWT Letter fluency indexHC373.911.46.718.(15.1%)7.570.070RWT Letter fluency indexHC373.941.46.718.(15.1%)7.570.067RWT Letter fluency indexHC373.594.47.3410.(19.6%)7.0600.4RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.500.97.333.(5.9%)7.80.00.12RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.630.97.100.040.190.19RWT Semantic fluency indexHC373.630.97.100.190.190.19Stroop ratioHC371.060.21.43.(6.1%)7.700.00Stroop errorsHC372.100.31.12.112.110.30.10MMS-R Digit span backwardHC386.221.63.260.163.110.250.16MS-R Digit span backwardHC389.271.23.6.0%)2.100.360.160.16MS-R Digit span backwardHC389.271.23.6.0%)2.160.16 </td <td></td> <td>ALS</td> <td>55</td> <td>10.62</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>, (10.170)</td>		ALS	55	10.62	4.5	0.20	, (10.170)		
ALS 60 7.35 1.8 Constant Constant <thconstant< th=""></thconstant<>	WMS-R Digit span forward	HC	38	7.45	1.6	4.25	2 (3 3%)	1090.0	0.710
Executive functionRWT Letter fluency indexIRC374.341.46.718.8(15.1%)7.75.80.667RWT Letter flexibility indexIRC374.345.366.447.3410(19.6%)70.07.04RWT Semantic fluency indexIRC372.030.77.3410(19.6%)78.07.04RWT Semantic fluency indexIRC372.030.77.343.65.9%)7.89.07.90.07.90.0RWT Semantic fluency indexIRC373.550.95.155.98.9%)7.90.07.90.07.90.0RWT Semantic fluency indexIRC373.550.907.167.90.07.90.07.90.0RWT Semantic fluency indexIRC373.550.907.167.90.07.90.07.90.0RWT Semantic fluency indexIRC370.501.427.90.07.90.07.90.07.90.0Stroop ratioIRC370.501.427.90.07.90.07.90.07.90.07.90.0RWT Semantic fluencing indexIRC382.260.87.90.07.90.07.90.07.90.07.90.0RWT Semantic fluencing indexIRC382.721.92.03.90.07.90.07.90.07.90.07.90.0RWT Semantic fluencing indexIRC389.800.10.07.90.07.90.07.90.07.90.07.90.0RWT Semantic fluencing indexIRC389		ALS	60	7.35	1.8		_ ((, t)		
RWT Letter fluency indexHC ALS3.73.911.46.718 (15.1%)757.50.067RWT Letter flexibility indexHC ALS5.74.822.37.3410 (19.6%)70.00.045RWT Semantic fluency indexHC ALS5.12.321.07.343(5.9%)78.071.20RWT Semantic fluency indexHC ALS5.12.321.03.433(5.9%)78.071.20RWT Semantic fluency indexHC ALS5.13.871.775.678.071.20RWT Semantic fluency indexHC ALS5.13.871.775.975.071.10Stroop ratioHC ALS3.71.060.21.463(6.1%)77.071.00Stroop errorsHC ALS4.91.200.31.463(6.1%)77.070.00THT Cognitive flexibilityHC ALS5.12.721.26111 (22.5%)68.7.50.001MGS-R Digit span backwardHC ALS6.12.71.263.666(11.8%)62.9.50.021BOSU Main featuresHC ALS6.19.070.169.076.9.8%)114.8.070.00BOSU Main featuresHC ALS5.15.17.166.9.8%)114.8.070.16BOSU Main featuresHC ALS6.19.017.166.9.8%)114.8.070.16BOSU Main featuresHC ALS5.15.17.167.166.9	Executive function	1			1	1			
ALS 53 4.82 2.3 $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ RWT Letter flexibility index HC 37 4.34 1.5 $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ <	RWT Letter fluency index	HC	37	3.91	1.4	671	8 (15 1%)	757.5	0.067
RWT Letter flexibility indexHC374.341.57.3410 (19.6%)706.00.045RWT Semantic fluency indexHC575.064.47.3410 (19.6%)706.00.045RWT Semantic fluency indexHC572.030.73.433 (5.9%)789.0719.0719.0RWT Semantic flexibility indexHC575.080.945.09759.0719.0719.0719.0RWT Semantic flexibility indexHC575.060.2719.0719.0719.0719.0719.0Stroop ratioHC375.051.051.4636.1%)719.0719.0719.0719.0Stroop errorsHC375.051.051.4636.1%)719.0719.0719.0719.0MWS RD git span backwardHC385.021.64318.0719.0710.0719.0719.0719.0BOSU Main featuresHC389.571.64719.0710.0719.0710.0710.0710.0BOSU Sub featuresHC389.771.69710.0710.0710.0710.0710.0710.0BOSU Sub featuresHC389.161.04710.0710.0710.0710.0710.0710.0BOSU Sub featuresHC389.161.04710.0710.0710.0710.0710.0710.0BOSU Sub featuresHC389.161.04	······································	ALS	53	4.82	2.3				
Image Image <t< td=""><td>RWT Letter flexibility index</td><td>HC</td><td>37</td><td>4.34</td><td>1.5</td><td>7.34</td><td>10 (19.6%)</td><td rowspan="2">706.0</td><td rowspan="2">0.045</td></t<>	RWT Letter flexibility index	HC	37	4.34	1.5	7.34	10 (19.6%)	706.0	0.045
RWT Semantic fluency index HC 37 2.03 0.7 $A33$ $3(5.9\%)$ 789.0 0.192 RWT Semantic flexibility index HC 37 2.33 0.9 5.15 $5(9.8\%)$ 789.0 0.192 RWT Semantic flexibility index HC 37 3.35 0.9 5.15 $5(9.8\%)$ 789.0 0.192 Stroop ratio HC 37 1.06 0.2 1.46 $3(6.1\%)$ 577.0 0.004 Stroop errors HC 37 0.54 1.0 2.54 $11(22.5\%)$ 687.5 0.030 TMT Cognitive flexibility HC 38 6.22 0.8 3.86 $6(11.8\%)$ 689.5 0.021 MMS-R Digit span backward HC 38 6.32 1.6 3.12 $3(5.0\%)$ 689.5 0.021 BOSU Main features HC 38 9.97 $1.46, 6.9\%$ $1.46, 6.9\%$ $1.48, 0$ 0.880 BOSU Sub features HC 38 9.16 <td>······································</td> <td>ALS</td> <td>51</td> <td>5.96</td> <td>4.4</td> <td></td> <td></td>	······································	ALS	51	5.96	4.4				
ALS 51 2.32 1.0 Contraction	RWT Semantic fluency index	HC	37	2.03	0.7	3.43	3 (5.9%)	789.0	0.192
RWT Semantic flexibility index HC 37 3.35 0.9 5.15 $5(9.8\%)$ 759.0 0.119 Stroop ratio HC 37 1.06 0.2 1.46 $3(6.1\%)$ 759.0 0.004 Stroop ratio HC 37 1.06 0.2 1.46 $3(6.1\%)$ 577.0 0.004 Stroop errors HC 37 0.54 1.0 2.54 $11(22.5\%)$ 687.5 0.030 TMT Cognitive flexibility HC 38 2.26 0.8 3.86 $6(11.8\%)$ 629.5 0.021 WMS-R Digit span backward HC 38 6.32 1.6 3.12 $3(5.0\%)$ 699.5 0.021 BoSU Main features HC 38 9.87 0.4 9.07 $6(9.8\%)$ 1148.0 0.893 BoSU Sub features HC 38 9.87 0.4 9.07 $6(9.8\%)$ 1148.0 0.893 BoSU Sub features HC 39.10 0.91 <	·	ALS	51	2.32	1.0				
ALS 51 3.87 1.7 $(1, 2)$	RWT Semantic flexibility index	HC	37	3.35	0.9	5.15	5 (9.8%)	759.0	0.119
HC 37 1.06 0.2 1.46 3 (6.1%) 577.0 0.04 Stroop arros HC 37 0.54 1.0 2.54 11 (22.5%) 687.5 0.30 TMC Ognitive flexibility HC 38 2.26 0.88 3.86 611.8%) 629.5 0.02 MMS-R Digit span backward HC 38 6.32 1.6 3.12 3 (5.0%) 693.5 0.064 MMS-R Digit span backward HC 38 6.32 1.6 3.12 3 (5.0%) 693.5 0.021 Bangage HC 38 9.67 0.4 3.12 3 (5.0%) 993.5 0.062 BOSU Main features HC 38 9.67 0.4 9.07 6 (9.8%) 1148.0 0.890 BOSU Sub features HC 38 9.16 1.0 7.16 4 (6.6%) 1148.0 0.890 RCFT (copy) HC 36 31.47 3.5 27.80 4 (11.1%) 380.5 0.139		ALS	51	3.87	1.7				
ALS 49 1.20 0.3 Image: black and series	Stroop ratio	HC	37	1.06	0.2	1.46	3 (6.1%)	577.0	0.004
Stroop errors HC 37 0.54 1.0 2.54 11 (22.5%) 687.5 0.030 ML 4.15 4.9 2.10 4.2 2.54 11 (22.5%) 687.5 0.030 TMT Cognitive flexibility HC 38 2.26 0.8 3.86 6 (11.8%) 629.5 0.021 WMS-R Digit span backward HC 38 6.32 1.6 3.12 3 (5.0%) 893.5 0.062 Language HC 38 6.32 1.6 3.12 3 (5.0%) 893.5 0.062 BOSU Main features HC 38 9.87 0.4 9.07 6 (9.8%) 1148.0 0.880 BOSU Sub features HC 38 9.16 1.0 7.16 4 (6.6%) 1148.0 0.880 Kerr (copy) HC 37 32.80 2.5 7.80 4 (1.1%) 380.5 0.19 Behaviour HC 27 32.80 2.5 2.80 4 (11.1%) 380.5 <t< td=""><td></td><td>ALS</td><td>49</td><td>1.20</td><td>0.3</td></t<>		ALS	49	1.20	0.3				
ALS 49 2.10 4.2 (1) <	Stroop errors	HC	37	0.54	1.0	2.54	11 (22.5%)	687.5	0.030
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-	ALS	49	2.10	4.2				
ALS 51 2.72 1.2 (1.6) </td <td>TMT Cognitive flexibility</td> <td>HC</td> <td>38</td> <td>2.26</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>3.86</td> <td>6 (11.8%)</td> <td>629.5</td> <td>0.022</td>	TMT Cognitive flexibility	HC	38	2.26	0.8	3.86	6 (11.8%)	629.5	0.022
MMS-R Digit span backward HC 38 6.32 1.6 3.12 $3(5.0\%)$ 893.5 0.065 Language Image Im		ALS	51	2.72	1.2				
ALS 60 5.70 1.6 Comparison Comparison Language BOSU Main features HC 38 9.87 0.4 -9.07 $-6(9.8\%)$ -148.0 -880 BOSU Sub features HC 38 9.16 1.0 -7.16 $-4(6.6\%)$ -1124.0 -880 BOSU Sub features HC 38 9.16 1.0 -7.16 $-4(6.6\%)$ -1124.0 -0.787 Visuospatial skills RCFT (copy) HC 27 32.80 2.5 -27.80 $-4(11.1\%)$ 380.5 0.139 Behaviour FrSBe Apathy HC 22 22.36 5.1 -32.56 $-15(36.6\%)$ -60.0 -0.06	WMS-R Digit span backward	HC	38	6.32	1.6	3.12	3 (5.0%)	893.5	0.065
Language Semantic Categorization BOSU Main features HC 38 9.87 0.4 9.07 $A(9,8)$ $A(9,8)$ $A(1,8)$		ALS	60	5.70	1.6				
Image: Semantic Categorization HC 38 9.87 0.4 9.07 6 (9.8%) 1148.0 0.880 BOSU Main features HC 38 9.01 0.3 9.07 6 (9.8%) 1148.0 0.880 BOSU Sub features HC 38 9.16 1.0 7.16 4 (6.6%) 1124.0 0.787 Visuospatial skills HC 27 32.80 2.5 7.80 4 (11.1%) 380.5 0.139 Behaviour HC 27 32.80 2.5 27.80 4 (11.1%) 380.5 0.139 Behaviour HC 22 22.36 5.1 32.56 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006 Frase Apathy HC 22 22.36 5.4 4.4 4.44 </td <td>Language</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Language								
BOSU Main features HC 38 9.87 0.4 9.07 6 (9.8%) 1148.0 0.880 BOSU Sub features HC 38 9.16 1.0 7.16 4 (6.6%) 1124.0 0.787 BOSU Sub features HC 38 9.16 1.0 7.16 4 (6.6%) 1124.0 0.787 Visuospatial skills HC 27 32.80 2.5 27.80 4 (11.1%) 380.5 0.139 Behaviour HC 22 22.36 5.1 32.56 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006 FrSBe Apathy HC 22 22.36 5.4 $5.$	Semantic Categorization					1			
ALS 61 9.90 0.3 \sim	BOSU Main features	HC	38	9.87	0.4	9.07	6 (9.8%)	1148.0	0.880
BOSU Sub features HC 38 9.16 1.0 7.16 4 (6.6%) 1124.0 0.787 Visuospatial skills RCFT (copy) HC 27 32.80 2.5 27.80 4 (11.1%) 380.5 0.139 Behaviour HC 22 22.36 5.1 32.56 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006 FrSBe Apathy HC 22 22.36 5.4 32.56 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006		ALS	61	9.90	0.3				
ALS 61 9.13 0.9 Image: Constraint of the state of the sta	BOSU Sub features	HC	38	9.16	1.0	7.16	4 (6.6%)	1124.0	0.787
Visuospatial skills RCFT (copy) HC 27 32.80 2.5 27.80 4 (11.1%) 380.5 0.139 Behaviour HC 22 22.36 5.1 32.56 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006 FrSBe Apathy HC 22 22.36 5.4 32.56 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006	x7	ALS	61	9.13	0.9				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Visuospatial skills	110	27	22.00	2.5				
ALS 36 31.47 3.5 Image: Constraint of the system of	RCFT (copy)	HC	27	32.80	2.5	27.80	4 (11.1%)	380.5	0.139
HC 22 22.36 5.1 32.56 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006 HC 22 22.36 5.4 32.56 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006	D. L	ALS	36	31.47	3.5				
FrSBe Apathy HC 22 22.36 5.1 32.56 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006 HC 22 22.36 5.4 9.5 15 (36.6%) 260.0 0.006	Benaviour	IIC	22	22.26	5.1				
HC 22 22.36 5.4	FrSBe Apathy	HC	41	22.36	5.1	32.56	15 (36.6%)	260.0	0.006
HU 22 22.30 5.4		ALS	41	29.0	9.5				
FrSBe Disinhibition ALS 41 24.8 5.9 33.16 3 (7.3%) 336.0 0.097	FrSBe Disinhibition		41	22.30	5.4	33.16	3 (7.3%)	336.0	0.097
ALO 41 24.0 5.8		ALS	41	24.8	5.8				
Inc 22 30.0 10.0 51.20 1 (2.4%) 356.5 0.173	FrSBe Executive Dysfunction		41	30.0	10.0	51.20	1 (2.4%)	356.5	0.173

 Table 1. Neuropsychological performance.
 VLMT: Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; WMS-R: Wechsler

 Memory Scale revised; RWT: Regensburger Verbal Fluency Test; TMT: Trail Making Test; BOSU: Bogenhausen
 Semantic Test; RCFT: Rey Complex Figure Test; FrSBe: Frontal System Behavioral Scale.

.....

and its observed degradation is likely a consequence of neuronal cell loss in primary motor regions. In sum, there is converging evidence from clinical and neurophysiological measures on the neurodegeneration and its temporal dynamics within the motor system. In terms of the temporal dynamics of disease progression, structural connectivity appears sensitive to alterations occurring over a longer time, whereas BOLD-related measures such as fMRI or measures of functional connectivity provide snapshots of ongoing dynamic processes evolving over shorter time periods. Therefore, depending on the time point of measurement, they can show either increased (in the early subclinical stages) or decreased (in the more advanced clinical stages) values.

Since the typically studied ALS patient population is heterogeneous, and includes patients with comorbid frontotemporal dementia, the involvement of the temporal lobe as an integral component of the disease is less



Figure 1. Between-group differences in connectivity: degree maps. Degree maps $k_{ALS < HC}$ and $k_{ALS > HC}$ are superimposed on top of MNI slices in order to map clusters of decreased and increased connectivity in ALS, respectively. The degree $k_{ALS < HC}$ ($k_{ALS > HC}$) of a voxel/node v is the number of pairs (v, w) in G_t , exhibiting significantly decreased (increased) functional connectivity (FDR < 0.05), where w can be any other voxel than v.



Figure 2. Between-group differences in connectivity: connectograms. ALS-related functional connectivity changes are illustrated at the level of individual voxel pairs using connectograms. Each circular segment corresponds to a region from the Harvard-Oxford atlas. Each voxel was assigned a unique position on the segment of its comprising region using multidimensional scaling based on the pairwise similarity of the voxels' connectivity profiles with respect to G_t . A link connecting two voxels indicates significantly decreased (left) or increased (right) functional connectivity in ALS (FDR < 0.05).

patients exhibited only minor cognitive deficits, as well as the fact that all ALS-FTD patients were excluded. The connectivity decreases in the temporal lobe closely resemble the pattern of previously described functional

clear. As suggested by recent work^{11,16}, we excluded patients with ALS-FTD from the current study in order to address this issue. Importantly, we observed striking patterns of decreased functional connectivity across the occipital and temporal lobes. The extent of these patterns was surprising, especially given that the included



Figure 3. Group-specific averages of connectivity. Connectograms display the group-specific average connectivity of the significant connections for patients with ALS (left) and healthy controls (right).

network degeneration in patients with FTD⁸ and speak in favor of a clear involvement of the temporal and occipital lobes in ALS, even in cognitively unimpaired patients. In light of common clinical³, genetic^{5,6}, and histopathological⁷ characteristics shared by ALS and FTD, these observations argue in favor of a single continuum upon which both conditions might lie.

Importantly, similar patterns of connectivity reductions have also been observed in pre-symptomatic familial FTD¹⁷, suggesting that distinctive functional connectivity alterations might emerge before the first cognitive symptoms appear. A long pre-symptomatic period typically precedes the clinical phase of neurodegenerative disorders such as Parkinson's Disease¹⁸ or Alzheimer's Disease¹⁹, and has also recently been proposed for ALS²⁰. In contrast to MRI-based measures of structural connectivity¹⁰, functional measures relying on the BOLD effect in the same data set were sensitive enough to detect small changes⁹ and therefore to delineate the dynamics of pathology-related transient changes of neural activity in ALS. Here, our whole-brain connectivity approach detected ALS-related changes in the temporal lobe in a presumably pre-symptomatic phase, strongly suggesting that these changes reflect disturbances in neuronal homeostasis and functioning before cell-loss. In this context, connectivity-based biomarkers could facilitate earlier, perhaps even pre-symptomatic diagnosis and treatment. In summary, the results presented here – widespread functional connectivity reductions in the temporal and occipital lobes in non-demented ALS patients – constitute compelling *in vivo* evidence for a shared pathology with FTD and pre-symptomatic connectivity changes in the temporal lobe.

In addition, the observed connectivity decreases also included large areas of the occipital cortex, characterized by impaired long-range connections with ipsi- and contralateral motor areas, interhemispheric occipital connections, as well as short-range connections with adjacent areas of the occipital and temporal cortex. ALS-related alterations within primary and associative visual areas have been observed before, including gray matter atrophy²¹, cortical thinning²², decreased glucose metabolism^{23,24}, and reduced functional connectivity²⁵, but were never related to clinical impairment. Since none of our patients reported overt visual perception deficits, it is reasonable to assume that the observed connectivity reductions in the present study most likely result from disrupted connections within the temporo-occipital lobe along the ventral pathway¹⁵ (Fig. 2). Whether these changes might be related to subtle deficits in visual perception needs to be investigated in further studies focusing on this question.

The analysis also revealed distinct, albeit relatively sparse, patterns of increased functional connectivity in the frontal and parietal lobes. These areas were recently reported to be part of an expanding disruption of structural connectivity spreading from primary motor areas to frontal and parietal regions over the course of 5.5 months²⁶. The observed functional connectivity increases could reflect regulatory processes that might serve to overcome the beginning structural lesion in the frontal and parietal lobes. These areas are also known to be involved in deficits in executive function and visuo-spatial processing frequently encountered in ALS²⁷. In fact, the relatively minor neuropsychological executive deficits exhibited by the patients in the present study could indeed be a consequence of successful functional compensation. In support of this notion, we observed increased connectivity within the frontal, parietal, temporal, and occipital lobes (Fig. 1b). However, we also found increased

interhemispheric connectivity, particularly between the parietal, temporal, and occipital lobes, possibly reflecting a loss of inhibitory GABAergic cortical interneurons^{28,29} as a result of corpus callosum damage consistently reported in ALS^{16,30}. In summary, the observed patterns of increased connectivity seem better explained by a combination of both compensation and transcallosal disinhibition rather than by one of these mechanisms alone.

In combination, these findings – decreased structural¹³ and functional connectivity in primary motor areas, increased functional connectivity in the frontal and parietal lobes, and decreased functional connectivity in the temporo-occipital lobe – suggest distinct ALS stages for the involvement of different functional networks. Motor network degeneration seems to proceed primarily in earlier, partly presymptomatic phases, for which concomitant increases in motor activity in unaffected areas compensate¹¹. With disease progression, these compensatory mechanisms break down⁹, which is in line with the observed reductions in motor functional connectivity. In contrast, adjacent extra-motor areas in the frontal and parietal lobes are affected at later stages of the disease, and increases in functional connectivity can be interpreted as a mixture of compensatory mechanisms counteracting the evolving structural lesion¹¹ and transcallosal disinhibition¹⁶. Finally, the temporo-occipital cortex is targeted relatively late in the neurodegenerative process^{31,32}, and although it is not yet affected by extensive cell loss, it already exhibits marked reductions in functional connectivity. It seems conceivable that these connectivity reductions emerge before the damage caused by progressive neurodegeneration becomes observable through structural imaging methods.

There are some limitations to consider in this study. The described edge-level significance assessment, controlling the false discovery rate (FDR), does not exploit any spatial or topological information, which limits its sensitivity. Different methods, controlling for family-wise errors (FWE) at the level of connected components (NBS) or spatial pairwise clusters (SPC) based on permutations, would be preferable in this regard^{33,34}. However, because of the computational burden associated with the permutations, these approaches are currently impractical to apply to voxel-level graphs. Furthermore, these methods provide statistical assessment at the level of components or clusters, so that the individual connections comprising such components or clusters cannot be declared significant³⁴.

The included patient cohort only exhibited minor cognitive deficits with some of the patients showing higher apathy levels as measured with the FrSBe. Recent studies suggest that apathy levels in ALS should rather be assessed by specifically designed apathy scales since the FrSBe does not account for the patients' motor impairment and reports a high number of false positive results with regard to apathy symptoms³⁵. However, none of these patients fulfilled the criteria for behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia, and disinhibition and executive dysfunction scores were not different from those observed in the healthy control group, suggesting that it is rather unlikely that apathy drives the temporal lobe connectivity reductions.

In conclusion, we investigated functional connectivity patterns across the whole brain of ALS patients and compared these to healthy controls using functional MRI. In addition to motor dysfunction, the employed graph-based analysis at high spatial resolution revealed widespread temporal lobe involvement at the neurophysiological level although no clinical signs of dysfunction were evident at the behavioral level. This evidence suggests subclinical temporal dysfunction to be a core component of ALS and provides support for a common pathology in ALS and FTD. Connectivity analyses of functional MRI data at high spatial resolution have the potential to detect dysfunctions at subclinical stages and are very promising for the development of biomarkers for neurodegenerative diseases, in which substantial degenerative changes occur before the first clinical signs appear.

Methods

Participants. We studied 64 patients with ALS and 38 healthy controls. Patients were classified according to the revised El Escorial Criteria³⁶, and clinical severity was rated using the revised ALS Functional Rating Scale (ALSFRS-R)³⁷. Patients were recruited from the outpatient clinics of the Hannover Medical School (Hannover, Germany) and the Otto-von-Guericke University (Magdeburg, Germany). To maximize clinical homogeneity, patients with progressive muscular atrophy, primary lateral sclerosis, or concomitant FTD were not included in this study. FTD diagnoses were made based on current diagnostic criteria^{38,39} and included the interview of the caregiver. Exclusion criteria for all participants included cerebrovascular disease, traumatic brain injury, and other neurologic or psychiatric diseases. The controls were matched to the patient group for age, sex, and education. Moreover, the controls were screened for cognitive impairment and were included only if they scored within the normal range of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Otto-von-Guericke University (Reference number: 75/11), and was carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. All participants gave written informed consent prior to inclusion. Demographic data are summarized in Table 2.

Neuropsychological assessment. All participants underwent detailed neuropsychological assessment to test executive, memory, language, and visuo-spatial functions. Executive function was tested using the Trail Making Test (TMT), a computerized version of the Stroop Test, the backward digit span task from the revised Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS-R), and the Regensburger Verbal Fluency Test (RWT). To account for motor impairment, fluency and flexibility indices were computed as suggested by Abrahams and colleagues⁴⁰. To assess memory function, the forward digit span task and the German version of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (VLMT) were employed. Semantic language function was assessed using the subtests 2 (semantic categorization according to main features) and 3 (semantic categorization according to sub-features) from the Bogenhausen Semantic Test (BOSU), and visuo-spatial skills were tested using the copy subtest of the Rey Complex Figure Test (RCFT). Tests were adapted to speech and motor deficits by analyzing tempo-independent (TMT and Stroop ratio) and tempo-adapted (fluency indices) scores. Nevertheless, due to the range of physical disabilities in the ALS cohort, not all patients were able to complete all tests (Table 1). These patients were excluded from the individual analyses while retaining them in the data set. Behavioral data were available for a subset of patients (n=41)

Group	No.	Age [years]	Sex [male]	Handedness [right]	Education [years]	limb -bulbar onset	ALSFRS-R	Disease duration [months]
HC	38	59.5 ± 10.2	26	38	11.1 ± 1.0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
ALS	64	58.9 ± 11.5	39	59	10.6 ± 1.1	46-18	38.9 ± 5.7	20.4 ± 15.0
p	-	0.77	0.45	0.08	0.06	—	—	—

Table 2. Demographic profile of participants.

.....

and controls (n = 22) for apathy, disinhibition, and executive dysfunction using the Frontal Systems Behavioral Scale (FrSBe).

The distributions of demographic and neuropsychological variables were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences in education, gender, and handedness were assessed using chi-square (gender, handedness) and Mann-Whitney *U* (education) tests. Age was normally distributed and between-group differences were tested using an independent two-sample *t* test. Neuropsychological test variables were not normally distributed, and between-group differences were assessed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney *U* test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

To assess the degree of impairment, cut-off values for each individual test were calculated based on the performance of the healthy controls. A patient was considered impaired with regard to a given test if the performance was more than two standard deviations below the mean of the healthy controls.

MRI data acquisition. All imaging data were acquired on a Siemens Magnetom Verio 3 T MRI scanner (Siemens, Erlangen/Germany) with a 32-channel head coil. A high-resolution, T1-weighted structural scan was obtained for anatomical reference using a 3D-MPRAGE sequence (TE = 4.82 ms, TR = 2500 ms, TI = 1100 ms, flip angle = 7°, voxel size = 1 mm^3). Resting-state fMRI scans were acquired using an echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (TE = 30 ms, TR = 2200 ms, flip angle = 80° , voxel size = $3.5 \times 3.5 \times 3.5 \text{ mm}^3$) sensitive to blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) contrast. A total of 302 volumes per subject were acquired with the first two volumes automatically being discarded by the scanner. Slices were obtained parallel to the intercommissural (AC-PC) line. Participants were instructed to close their eyes and remain awake during acquisition. To address the problem of geometric distortions in EPI caused by magnetic field inhomogeneity, a B0 field map was acquired prior to the EPI sequence using a double-echo gradient recall echo (GRE) sequence (TE 1/2 = 4.92 ms/7.38 ms, TR = 675 ms, flip angle = 60° , voxel size = $2.6 \times 2.6 \times 2.0 \text{ mm}^3$).

MRI data preprocessing. For each subject, structural images were skull-stripped⁴¹ and warped to MNI space using FLIRT⁴² and FNIRT⁴³ (T1-to-MNI registration). Functional images were corrected for time differences in slice acquisition (slice time correction), despiked using AFNI's 3dDespike, and realigned to the mean functional image using 6-DOF rigid body transformations to compensate for head motion⁴² (motion correction). Geometric distortions induced by magnetic field inhomogeneity were corrected based on the GRE field map (EPI distortion correction) and the data were registered to the corresponding structural scan (EPI-to-T1 registration). EPI distortion correction and EPI-to-T1 registration were performed simultaneously using FSL's epi_reg. The spatial transformations from motion correction, EPI distortion-induced blurring⁴⁴. Using this warp, the slice time corrected and de-spiked functional data were transformed from native to standard space in one resampling step. The resulting images were spatially smoothed using a Gaussian kernel (7 mm FWHM) to improve the signal-to-noise ratio and to further accommodate inter-individual anatomic variations. Confound regression was performed using 12 parameters (the 6 parameters from the motion correction step and their temporal derivatives). To account for low frequency intensity drifts and high frequency noise, the data were bandpass-filtered (0.01–0.1 Hz).

Functional connectivity analysis. Subject-specific connectivity graphs were constructed by defining gray matter voxels as nodes and establishing weighted edges by estimating internodal functional connectivity in terms of Pearson correlation between the nodes' associated time series. After applying the Fisher z-transformation to the correlation values, edge-level *t* statistics were computed across graphs to assess between-group differences in connectivity. To be able to compute these statistics in a memory-efficient fashion, we used on-demand connectivity estimation⁴⁵. The resulting graph of statistics G_t was used to derive the graph of *p* values G_p^{46} . G_p was then pruned based on *q* value estimation⁴⁷ in order to identify edges (i.e., pairs of nodes/voxels) that exhibit significant differences (FDR < 0.05). Based on their direction⁴⁵, the so-obtained graph G_s formed by the remaining edges, was partitioned into the two subgraphs $G_{s<}$ and $G_{s>}$ corresponding to ALS-related decreases and increases in connectivity, respectively. Edge-level effect sizes were calculated using Cohen's *d*.

To visualize $G_{s,s}$ and $G_{s,s}$, we used circos⁴⁷ to generate connectograms⁴⁹ that were specially designed to accommodate the illustration of voxel-level information. Specifically, each circular segment in a voxel-level connectogram corresponds to a region of the Harvard-Oxford atlas⁵⁰, and each voxel is assigned a unique position on the segment of its comprising region using multidimensional scaling based on the pairwise similarity of the voxels' connectivity profiles with respect to G_t . A link connecting two voxels indicates significantly decreased or increased functional connectivity in ALS as compared to controls for these voxels. To display the group-specific average connectivity of the significant connections, separate connectograms were generated for the ALS patients and healthy controls, respectively.

References

- Al-Chalabi, A. & Hardiman, O. The epidemiology of ALS: a conspiracy of genes, environment and time. *Nature reviews. Neurology* 9, 617–628 (2013).
- Phukan, J. et al. The syndrome of cognitive impairment in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a population-based study. Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry 83, 102–108 (2012).
- 3. Ringholz, G. M. et al. Prevalence and patterns of cognitive impairment in sporadic ALS. Neurology 65, 586-590 (2005).
- 4. Montuschi, A. *et al.* Cognitive correlates in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a population-based study in Italy. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry* **86**, 168–173 (2015).
- DeJesus-Hernandez, M. et al. Expanded GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in noncoding region of C9ORF72 causes chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. Neuron 72, 245–256 (2011).
- Renton, A. E. et al. A hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 is the cause of chromosome 9p21-linked ALS-FTD. Neuron 72, 257–268 (2011).
- 7. Neumann, M. *et al.* Ubiquitinated TDP-43 in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Science* **314**, 130–133 (2006).
- 8. Agosta, F. et al. Brain network connectivity assessed using graph theory in frontotemporal dementia. Neurology 81, 134–143 (2013).
- 9. Stoppel, C. M. et al. Structural and functional hallmarks of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis progression in motor- and memory-related brain regions. *NeuroImage. Clinical* 5, 277–290 (2014).
- 10. Steinbach, R. et al. Structural hallmarks of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis progression revealed by probabilistic fiber tractography. Journal of neurology (2015).
- 11. Agosta, F. et al. Sensorimotor functional connectivity changes in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Cerebral cortex 21, 2291–2298 (2011).
- Kimura, F. *et al.* Progression rate of ALSFRS-R at time of diagnosis predicts survival time in ALS. *Neurology* 66, 265–267 (2006).
 Verstraete, E., Veldink, J. H., Mandl, R. C., van den Berg, L. H. & van den Heuvel, M. P. Impaired structural motor connectome in
- amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *PloS one* **6**, e24239 (2011).
- 14. Fekete, T., Zach, N., Mujica-Parodi, L. R. & Turner, M. R. Multiple kernel learning captures a systems-level functional connectivity biomarker signature in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *PloS one* **8**, e85190 (2013).
- 15. Goodale, M. A. Transforming vision into action. Vision Res 51, 1567-1587 (2011).
- Douaud, G., Filippini, N., Knight, S., Talbot, K. & Turner, M. R. Integration of structural and functional magnetic resonance imaging in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain : a journal of neurology* 134, 3470–3479 (2011).
- 17. Dopper, E. G. *et al.* Structural and functional brain connectivity in presymptomatic familial frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology* **83**, e19–26 (2014).
- Stoessl, A. J. Neuroimaging in Parkinson's disease: from pathology to diagnosis. Parkinsonism & related disorders 18, Supplement 1, S55–S59 (2012).
- Langbaum, J. B. *et al.* Ushering in the study and treatment of preclinical Alzheimer disease. *Nature reviews. Neurology* 9, 371–381 (2013).
- Eisen, A., Kiernan, M., Mitsumoto, H. & Swash, M. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a long preclinical period? Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry 85, 1232–1238 (2014).
- 21. Bede, P. *et al.* Grey matter correlates of clinical variables in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS): a neuroimaging study of ALS motor phenotype heterogeneity and cortical focality. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry* **84**, 766–773 (2013).
- 22. Mezzapesa, D. M. et al. Cortical thinning and clinical heterogeneity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. PloS one 8, e80748 (2013).
- 23. Pagani, M. et al. Functional pattern of brain FDG-PET in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurology 83, 1067–1074 (2014).
- 24. Pagani, M. *et al.* Metabolic spatial connectivity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis as revealed by independent component analysis. *Hum Brain Mapp* **37**, 942–953 (2016).
- Zhou, C. et al. Altered Brain Network in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: A Resting Graph Theory-Based Network Study at Voxel-Wise Level. Front Neurosci 10, 204 (2016).
- 26. Verstraete, E., Veldink, J. H., van den Berg, L. H. & van den Heuvel, M. P. Structural brain network imaging shows expanding disconnection of the motor system in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Human brain mapping* (2013).
- Phukan, J., Pender, N. P. & Hardiman, O. Cognitive impairment in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Lancet neurology* 6, 994–1003 (2007).
- Turner, M. R. et al. Distinct cerebral lesions in sporadic and 'D90A' SOD1 ALS: studies with [11C]flumazenil PET. Brain : a journal of neurology 128, 1323–1329 (2005).
- Maekawa, S. et al. Cortical selective vulnerability in motor neuron disease: a morphometric study. Brain : a journal of neurology 127, 1237–1251 (2004).
- 30. Filippini, N. *et al.* Corpus callosum involvement is a consistent feature of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurology* **75**, 1645–1652 (2010).
- 31. Brettschneider, J. et al. Stages of pTDP-43 pathology in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Annals of neurology 74, 20-38 (2013).
- 32. Kassubek, J. et al. Diffusion tensor imaging analysis of sequential spreading of disease in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis confirms patterns of TDP-43 pathology. Brain 137, 1733–1740 (2014).
- Zalesky, A., Cocchi, L., Fornito, A., Murray, M. M. & Bullmore, E. Connectivity differences in brain networks. *NeuroImage* 60, 1055–1062 (2012).
- 34. Zalesky, A., Fornito, A. & Bullmore, E. T. Network-based statistic: identifying differences in brain networks. *NeuroImage* 53, 1197–1207 (2010).
- Radakovic, R. et al. Multidimensional apathy in ALS: validation of the Dimensional Apathy Scale. Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry (2015).
- 36. Brooks, B. R., Miller, R. G., Swash, M., Munsat, T. L. & World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Motor Neuron, D. El Escorial revisited: revised criteria for the diagnosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and other motor neuron disorders : official publication of the World Federation of Neurology, Research Group on Motor Neuron Diseases 1, 293–299 (2000).
- Cedarbaum, J. M. *et al.* The ALSFRS-R: a revised ALS functional rating scale that incorporates assessments of respiratory function. BDNF ALS Study Group (Phase III). *Journal of the neurological sciences* 169, 13–21 (1999).
- 38. Gorno-Tempini, M. L. et al. Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. Neurology 76, 1006–1014 (2011).
- Rascovsky, K. et al. Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. Brain : a journal of neurology 134, 2456–2477 (2011).
- Abrahams, S. *et al.* Verbal fluency and executive dysfunction in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). *Neuropsychologia* 38, 734–747 (2000).
- 41. Smith, S. M. Fast robust automated brain extraction. Human brain mapping 17, 143-155 (2002).
- 42. Jenkinson, M., Bannister, P., Brady, M. & Smith, S. Improved optimization for the robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. *NeuroImage* 17, 825–841 (2002).
- 43. Andersson, J., Jenkinson, M. & Smith, S. Non-linear registration, aka spatial normalisation (2010).
- 44. Glasser, M. F. et al. The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome Project. NeuroImage 80, 105-124 (2013).
- Loewe, K., Donohue, S. E., Schoenfeld, M. A., Kruse, R. & Borgelt, C. Memory-Efficient Analysis of Dense Functional Connectomes. Front. Neuroinform. 10, 50 doi: 10.3389/fninf.2016.00050 (2016).

- Leventhal, L. & Huynh, C.-L. Directional decisions for two-tailed tests: Power, error rates, and sample size. *Psychological Methods* 1, 278 (1996).
- 47. Storey, J. D. & Tibshirani, R. Statistical significance for genomewide studies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 100, 9440–9445 (2003).
- 48. Krzywinski, M. et al. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome research 19, 1639–1645 (2009).
- Irimia, A., Chambers, M. C., Torgerson, C. M. & Van Horn, J. D. Circular representation of human cortical networks for subject and population-level connectomic visualization. *NeuroImage* 60, 1340–1351 (2012).
- 50. Desikan, R. S. *et al.* An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *NeuroImage* **31**, 968–980 (2006).

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the generous contribution of the patients and their families. The authors thank Christa Sobetzko for organizing the assessments, and Ilona Wiedenhoeft and Kerstin Moehring for assistance in MRI data acquisition. This work was supported by the German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE) and by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft SFB 779 (A14N).

Author Contributions

Study concept and design: Loewe, Machts, Petri, Heinze, Harris, Vielhaber, Schoenfeld. Data acquisition: Machts, Kaufmann, Vielhaber. Data analysis: Loewe, Machts, Kaufmann, Borgelt, Schoenfeld. Drafting of the manuscript: Loewe, Machts, Schoenfeld. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Loewe, K. *et al.* Widespread temporo-occipital lobe dysfunction in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 40252; doi: 10.1038/srep40252 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017