

STUDY PROTOCOL**Open Access**

A double-blind randomised controlled trial of a natural oil-based emulsion (Moogoo Udder Cream[®]) containing allantoin versus aqueous cream for managing radiation-induced skin reactions in patients with cancer

Raymond Javan Chan^{1,2,3,4*}, Jacqui Keller¹, Robyn Cheuk¹, Rae Blades¹, Lee Tripcony¹ and Samantha Keogh³

Abstract

Background: Radiation-induced skin reaction (RISR) is one of the most common and distressing side effects of radiotherapy in patients with cancer. It is featured with swelling, redness, itching, pain, breaks in skin, discomfort, and a burning sensation. There is a lack of convincing evidence supporting any single practice in the prevention or management of RISR.

Methods/Designs: This double-blinded randomised controlled trial aims to investigate the effects of a natural oil-based emulsion containing allantoin (as known as Moogoo Udder Cream[®]) versus aqueous cream in reducing RISR, improving pain, itching and quality of life in this patient group. One group will receive Moogoo Udder Cream[®]. Another group will receive aqueous cream. Outcome measures will be collected using patient self-administered questionnaire, interviewer administered questionnaire and clinician assessment at commencement of radiotherapy, weekly during radiotherapy, and four weeks after the completion of radiotherapy.

Discussion: Despite advances of radiologic advances and supportive care, RISR are still not well managed. There is a lack of efficacious interventions in managing RISR. While anecdotal evidence suggests that Moogoo Udder Cream[®] may be effective in managing RISR, research is needed to substantiate this claim. This paper presents the design of a double blind randomised controlled trial that will evaluate the effects of Moogoo Udder Cream[®] versus aqueous cream for managing in RISR in patients with cancer.

Trial registration: ACTRN 12612000568819

Background

Radiotherapy remains an essential treatment for patients with cancer and is associated with a number of short term and long term side effects [1]. One of these side effects includes radiation-induced skin reactions (RISR), also known as radiation dermatitis, which affects up to 90 % of cancer patients receiving radiotherapy [2-4].

Approximately, 85 % of these patients experience a moderate-to-severe skin reaction [5,6]. The reactions are the combined result of a decrease in functional stem cells, changes in the skin's endothelial cells, inflammation, and skin cell necrosis and death of the skin [7]. Radiation-induced skin reactions are often characterised by oedema, erythema, changes in pigmentation, fibrosis and ulceration [8]. Signs and symptoms may include skin dryness, itching, discomfort, pain, warmth, and burning [9]. Radiation-induced skin reactions have an impact on pain and quality of life in this patient group [10], and if severe, may necessitate changes to the patient's radiation schedule [11]. Therefore, managing

* Correspondence: Raymond_chan@health.qld.gov.au

¹Cancer Care Services, Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital, Butterfield Street, Herston, QLD Q4029, Australia

²School of Nursing and Midwifery, Queensland University of Technology, Kelvin Grove, QLD Q4059, Australia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

skin reactions is an important priority in caring for this patient group [9].

The development of RISR may begin immediately, with increasing toxicity occurring at 2–3 weeks, with effects accumulating across the course of treatment, and may persist up to 4 weeks after treatment ends [4]. Hypothesised risk factors influencing RISR reported in the literature are both intrinsic or extrinsic [12]. The intrinsic factors are age, general health, ethnic origin, co-existing diseases, UV exposure, hormonal status [12] and genetic factors [13]. The extrinsic factors include the dose, volume, and number of fractions of radiation, radio-sensitizers, concurrent chemotherapy and the site of treatment [12]. Of these hypothesised factors, smoking status [14] and BMI [14,15] are the major influencing factors supported by empirical data. A range of interventions are used for prophylaxis and management of these reactions. These interventions include (i) topical preparations (both steroidal and non-steroidal), (ii) dressings, (iii) systematic treatment such as amifostine, oral hydrolytic enzymes, pentoxifylline and zinc supplement, (iv) alternating modes of radiation delivery. The latest published systematic review including 39 trials before 2008 reported that only topical corticosteroid agents, among other interventions mentioned above, were found to significantly reduce the severity of some RISR, but not the levels of pain and itching [5]. Further, it is not yet clear which corticosteroid is superior to other non-steroidal agents [5]. This systematic review, together with a number of other previous reviews concluded that the uses of these interventions are not yet supported by conclusive evidence and warrant further investigations [4,5,10,16,17]. Current evidence indicates that there is a paucity of conclusive evidence which can inform health professionals on effective skin management of RISR [18,19].

A natural oil-based emulsion, as known as Moogoo Udder Cream®, is a Queensland owned product that comprises allantoin, purified water, sweet almond oil, olive oil, rice bran oil, emulsifying wax, milk protein, aloe vera, vitamin E, glycerol caprylate, piroctone alamine and guarsilk. Anecdotal reports by patients with RISR and radiation oncologists in a number of Australian cancer centres suggest that Moogoo Udder Cream® may be effective in promoting healing, comfort, and pain relief. This product is being increasingly used in some other Australian cancer centres in for managing RISR, however there is not yet empirical evidence supporting this claim. This study aims to investigate the effects of Moogoo Udder Cream® against aqueous cream (which is current standard of care) in patients with RISR.

Objective of the study

The aim of this study is to assess the efficacy of Moogoo Udder Cream® against aqueous cream for managing

RISR in patients with breast cancer/lung cancer and head and neck cancer receiving radical radiotherapy.

Methods and materials

Design

A double-blind randomised controlled trial design will be used in this study.

Research questions

1. Is there any difference in incidence of Grade 2,3 and 4 RISR between patients with breast, lung and head and neck cancers who receive Moogoo Udder Cream® and those who receive aqueous cream at week 5?
2. Do patients with breast, lung and head and neck cancers who receive Moogoo Udder Cream® for their RISR have a different level of quality of life compared to those who receive aqueous cream at week 5?
3. Do patients with breast, lung and head and neck cancers who receive Moogoo Udder Cream® for their RISR have a different level of pain compared to those who receive aqueous cream at week 5?
4. Do patients with breast, lung and head and neck cancers who receive Moogoo Udder Cream® for their RISR have a different level of itching compared to those who receive aqueous cream at week 5?
5. Is there any difference in time to grade 2, 3 and 4 of RISR between patients with breast, lung and head and neck cancers who receive Moogoo Udder Cream® and those who receive aqueous cream?
6. Are there any differences in RISR, pain, itch and quality of life between groups at all other time points assessed (i.e. week 1,2,3,4,6 of radiation treatment, and 4 weeks post treatment completion)?

Sampling frame

Participants in this study will all be patients receiving radical radiotherapy for lung cancer, breast cancer and head and neck cancer at the Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital (see Table 1). A sample of consecutive eligible and consented patients will be recruited into the study. The research nurse will screen all patients for eligibility at the Radiation Treatment Department over the study duration period.

Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics are demographic and clinical variables which include personal factors and radiotherapy factors (see Table 2). These variables are expected to be important for explaining the primary and secondary outcomes in this patient group.

Table 1 Recruitment criteria for this study

Recruitment criteria	
Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
• Age >18 years	• Patients who are unable to consent
• Patients who have a definitive diagnosis of breast cancer, lung cancer or head and neck cancer	• Patients with pre-existing skin rash, ulceration or open wound in the treatment area
• Patients who are receiving radiotherapy (>50 Gy) either as primary treatment or postoperative treatment to their chest, breast or head and neck.	• Patients with known allergic and other systemic skin diseases even not directly affecting irradiated fields.
	• Patients with any known allergic reactions towards any ingredient of either the Moogoo Udder Cream® or the aqueous cream and failed the patch test.

Outcomes

Primary outcome

Severity of skin reaction (assessment by the clinician)

The Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE- Version 4.0) will be used to assess the severity of RISR [20]. This instrument is well used and well validated in radiation oncology for assessing radiation dermatitis [21]. This assessment will be undertaken

weekly by a research nurse with extensive clinical experience in radiation oncology on a weekly basis during their weekly progress evaluation clinic during their treatment period. This scoring system is widely used in practice and research. The research nurse will be instructed prior to the beginning of the study to score the worst toxicity present, at the time of assessment within the treatment field.

Secondary outcomes

Quality of life (skin specific) (self-administered by the patient)

Skindex-16 is a 16-item self-administered survey instrument developed by Chren and her research team in 2001 to measure the effects of skin condition on quality of life [22,23]. Skindex-16 comprises three scales to assess patient emotion, symptoms and functioning. Item responses are standardized from 0 (no effect) to 100 (maximal effect). The scale demonstrated good psychometric properties: reliability at 72 hours ($r = 0.68-0.90$) and internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.76-0.86). This tool has been increasing used in patients with skin toxicities resulted from their anti-cancer treatment [23-25]. Permission to use this tool has been granted by the author.

Modified brief pain inventory (self- administered by the patient)

This study will use three measures from the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI), those of the average, best, and worst pain, and pain relief scores from the preceding seven days [26]. The participant will be asked to rate their pain level at the irradiated area. The time of interest of the original BPI is modified from "the past 24 hours" to "the past 7 days" for the specific purpose of this study. The BPI has been selected as it is a brief and easy tool for the assessment of pain within both the clinical and research settings. It has been well validated in both the chronic pain and cancer settings. The scale of 0 to 10 is simple for patients to use and reflects common clinical assessment of pain.

Itching (self- administered by the patient)

Itching will be scored on a numeric analogue scale of 0–10 in the treated skin (0 = no itching at all), (10 = itching as bad as you can imagine).

Treatment interruptions

Treatment interruptions due to severe skin reactions will be documented throughout the study (Yes/No). This decision is determined and routinely documented by the treating medical officers.

Table 2 Summary of baseline characteristics and data collection

Independent variables	Data collection
Personal factors	Age Gender Ethnicity Stage of cancer (Staging, nodal involvement) Comorbidity Prior chemotherapy/radiotherapy Concurrent chemotherapy/biotherapy (e.g. monoclonal anti-bodies) Body mass index Smoking Cup-size (breast and axilla)
Radiotherapy factors	Daily dose (Gy/fraction) Planning target volume (cm ³) Total dose to region of interest Site of radiotherapy Radiation technique (External beam via Tomotherapy/Linear accelerator) Boost (Yes or no) Number of boost treatments

Adverse events

Adverse events will be assessed by the research nurse. Adverse events will include allergic reactions from the allocated treatment and will be assessed using the Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0 (CTCAE v4) [18].

Sample size

A sample size of at least 81 in each arm would be required to detect a 20 % difference in the skin reactions scores using a 2-sided significant level of 0.05 and a power of 80 %. Assuming that approximately 5 % will be lost to follow-up; an additional 5 in each group will be required so the final sample will require 172 patients (86 per arm). All eligible patients will be approached consecutively. According to the local statistics of RWH Cancer Care Services [27], 746 patients receive radical radiotherapy for breast cancer, lung cancer and head and neck cancer over a twelve-month period. Thus, the sample size proposed is achievable over a period of seven months.

Randomisation

Eligible and consenting patients will be randomly allocated to the intervention group to receive Moogoo Udder Cream®, or the control group to receive aqueous cream.

Sequence generation

Blocked randomisation will be performed, with a block size of six, by a computer generated random number list prepared by an investigator who has no clinical involvement in the trial. Stratification by irradiated sites (breast, lung or head and neck), BMI categories (underweight <18.50, normal = 18.50-24.99, overweight = 25-29.9, obesity > 30) and smoking status (smoking and non-smoking) will be carried out.

Allocation concealment and blinding

After the research nurse has obtained the patient's consent. The research nurse will then allocate participants to either receive Cream 1 (Group 1), or receive Cream 2 (Group 2) according to the generated sequence. This proposed study is a double-blind study. Blinding will be accomplished by not disclosing to the research nurse, medical officers, radiation therapists, nurses or participants which preparation used for skin treatment for each of the participants.

Both topical preparations (Moogoo Udder Cream® and aqueous cream) are white in colour, have similar consistency, and have no distinct odour. There are no other differentiating features. Both topical preparations will be provided and coded as Cream 1 or Cream 2 by the manufacturer in identical containers. The manufacturer will only disclose what Cream 1 and Cream 2 are

at the completion of data collection. Subsequently, baseline data will be collected.

Procedures

During the first visit, the doctor or nurse will introduce the study to eligible patients. If the patient is interested in the study; the research nurse will approach the patient and explain to him/her details of the study. At this time, the information sheet will be provided and informed consent will be obtained.

Any participant with known allergy to any ingredient of Moogoo Udder Cream® or the aqueous cream will receive a patch test to determine a potential reaction with either cream. The patch test entails application of a small amount of the Moogoo Udder Cream® and the aqueous cream to two different sites distal to the irradiated area. This is reviewed after 24 hours for any reaction (a 24 hour timeframe was advised by literature and the RWH Dermatology specialists). If after 24 hours, the patient is found to have a reaction to either cream, they will not be randomised onto the trial.

Patients allocated to Group one will receive Cream 1. Group two will receive Cream 2. Patients will be asked to start topical application of their allocated cream on the area of skin being irradiated at the onset of radiotherapy, twice a day or more as needed depending on the occurrence of RISR and pain, until the skin reaction subsides. The amount of cream dispensed to each patient will be recorded throughout treatment. If moist desquamation occurs, the topical preparation will be discontinued in the area of skin breakdown and dressings will be applied until the wound heals as per standard care. Patients will be asked to still continue with the topical preparation onto irradiated area that has no breakdown. All participants are given written instructions on how to apply the allocated treatment (see Figure 1).

All other skin care advice given to both groups of patients will be the same, as per the local policy of the Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital. All patients will be advised to

- wear loose, comfortable cotton clothing in the area being treated
- use a gentle detergent
- not wear an under wire bra if they are treated for breast cancer
- avoid temperature extremes and use lukewarm water to wash
- not use hot or ice packs
- not use a harsh soap or shampoo on irradiated skin
- keep irradiated skin dry
- air skin 2-3 times a day
- not use a blade razor on irradiated skin
- not expose irradiated skin to the sun

Instructions for applying the cream on your irradiated skin

- On the first visit (Day 1 of the treatment, ie week 1 of trial), the nurse will show you how to apply creams making sure that no areas are missed. Use a thin film, it doesn't need to be a thick application. **You can apply the cream twice a day or more as needed**, usually straight after a shower. The creams are to be applied everyday until 4 weeks after completing your radiotherapy.
- Please bring the creams along with you each week when we do the skin reviews (every Friday). We will have a look at how much cream is left to see if more cream is needed.
- You are advised not to use other creams, unless if it is instructed by your doctor. Please tell us if you are using any other creams.

Figure 1 Instructions for cream application.

- not rub or scratch irradiated skin; patients may apply cool moist washers if skin feels itchy or hot
- pat skin dry with a soft towel after washing or air dry
- not use any tapes, band aids, or dressing unless advised by their clinicians.
- not use other topical preparations in the treatment area
- rinse off immediately in fresh water if swimming in a pool or salt water (if the skin is intact)

Discontinuation

If discontinuation of study skin care products occurs due to allergy (or another patient reason), substitution of alternative creams is at the treating clinician's discretion. Application of both study skin products should cease, as un-blinding for an individual may reveal product types for future patients even though the labelling of the products as 1 or 2 is randomised and the products are very similar in appearance. A variety of other skin products are available so it is unnecessary to continue with either of the study products.

Discontinuation of the study creams does not constitute withdrawal from the study and scheduled assessments should continue as described in this protocol.

Data collection

Table 3 outlines the measures used in this study. At baseline and weekly during treatment, data will be collected when patients are in the radiation oncology department. At completion of radiotherapy, patients will be given the diaries to complete at home at week 1, week 2 and week 3 post-treatment. The research nurse will contact the patient via telephone to remind them to complete the diaries. At week 4 post-treatment, all patients who have completed their treatments will return to the radiation oncology department for a routine medical review. At this time, they will be asked to complete the final questionnaire and have the severity of their skin reaction assessed by the research nurse.

Data analysis

Patient characteristics between arms will be compared using the chi-square test for discrete variables and the *t*-test for continuous variables. Acute reactions will be evaluated using Kaplan-Meier actuarial plots (time to event) with the log-rank test for significance. Grade reaction plots at the particular time points (weeks) will be plotted and compared with 95 % confidence intervals for both arms. Uni-variate regression models will determine the significance of factors to be included in the

Table 3 Table of study measure

Measures	Administered by	Baseline (i.e. Day -7 to Day 0 of radiation treatment)	Weekly during treatment (i.e. Day 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 of radiation treatment)	Week 1, week 2 and week 3 post treatment (i.e. Day 5, 10, 15 post radiation treatment)	4 weeks after radiation treatment (Face to face)
Personal factors (see Table 1)	Research nurse	*			
Radiotherapy factors (see Table 1)	Research nurse	*			*
CTCAE	Research nurse	*	*		*
Modified Brief Pain Inventory	Patient	*	*	*	*
Itching	Patient	*	*	*	*
Skindex-16	Patient	*	*	*	*

multivariate regression model. A generalized linear interactive modelling package (GLIM4) will be used.

Discussion

Despite advances of radiologic technology and supportive care, RISR are still not well managed. There is a lack of efficacious interventions in managing RISR. While anecdotal evidence suggests that Moogoo Udder Cream® may be effective in managing RISR, research is needed to substantiate this claim. This paper presents the design of a double blind randomised controlled trial that will evaluate the effects of Moogoo Udder Cream® versus aqueous cream for managing in RISR in patients with cancer.

Ethical considerations

This study protocol has been reviewed and approved by the Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital Human Research Ethics Committee.

Competing interests

The products used in this trial will be provided by the manufacturer (Moogoo Skin Care) free of charge. None of the investigators own any shares of the tested products in any form. We declare that this is an investigator initiated trial. There is no limitation for the investigators to publish the results in peer-reviewed journals.

Authors' contributions

RJC drafted and coordinated the development of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the development of this protocol. LT conducted the sample size calculation and developed the data analysis plan. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study received research a grant from the Office of Medical and Health Research, Queensland Health, and received sponsorship from Moogoo Skincare. We declare that this is an investigator-initiated study and Moogoo Skincare does not have any involvement in the conduct of the study.

Author details

¹Cancer Care Services, Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital, Butterfield Street, Herston, QLD Q4029, Australia. ²School of Nursing and Midwifery, Queensland University of Technology, Kelvin Grove, QLD Q4059, Australia.

³Research Centre for Clinical and Community Practice Innovation, Griffith University, Nathan Q4111, Australia. ⁴School of Nursing and Midwifery, University of Queensland, Herston, QLD QLD4066, Australia.

Received: 29 May 2012 Accepted: 19 July 2012

Published: 31 July 2012

References

- Delaney G, Jacob S, Featherstone C, Barton M: The role of radiotherapy in cancer treatment: estimating optimal utilization from a review of evidence-based clinical guidelines. *Cancer* 2005, **104**:1129–1137.
- Sitton E: Early and late radiation-induced skin alterations. Part I: Mechanisms of skin changes. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 1992, **19**:801–807.
- Porock D: Factors influencing the severity of radiation skin and oral mucosal reactions: development of a conceptual framework. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)* 2002, **11**:33–43.
- Naylor W, Mallett J: Management of acute radiotherapy induced skin reactions: A literature review. *Eur J Oncol Nurs* 2001, **5**:221–223.
- Salvo N, Barnes E, van Draanen J, Stacey E, Mitera G, Breen D, Giotis A, Czarnota G, Pang J, De Angelis C: Prophylaxis and management of acute radiation-induced skin reactions: a systematic review of the literature. *Curr Oncol* 2010, **17**:94–112.
- Fisher J, Scott C, Stevens R, Marconi B, Champion L, Freedman GM, Asrari F, Pilepich MV, Gagnon JD, Wong G: Randomized phase III study comparing Best Supportive Care to Biafine as a prophylactic agent for radiation-induced skin toxicity for women undergoing breast irradiation: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 97-13. *Int J Rad Onc Biol Phys* 2000, **48**:1307–1310.
- Hymes SR, Strom EA, Fife C: Radiation dermatitis: clinical presentation, pathophysiology, and treatment 2006. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2006, **54**:28–46.
- Maduro JH, Pras E, Willemse PH, de Vries EG: Acute and long-term toxicity following radiotherapy alone or in combination with chemotherapy for locally advanced cervical cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2003, **29**:471–488.
- Noble-Adams R: Radiation-induced reactions 1: an examination of the phenomenon. *Br J Nurs* 1999, **8**:1134–1140.
- Bolderston A, Lloyd NS, Wong RK, Holden L, Robb-Blenderman L: The prevention and management of acute skin reactions related to radiation therapy: a systematic review and practice guideline. *Support Care Cancer* 2006, **14**:802–817.
- McQuestion M: Evidence-based skin care management in radiation therapy. *Sem Oncol Nursing* 2006, **22**:163–173.
- Glean E, Edwards S, Faithfull S, Meredith C, Richards C, Smith M, Colyer H: Intervention for acute radiotherapy induced skin reactions in cancer patients: the development of a clinical guideline recommended for use by the college of radiographers. *J Radiother Pract* 2001, **2**:75–84.
- De Ruyck K, Van Eijkelen M, Claes K, Morthier R, De Paepe A, Vral A, De Ridder L, Thierens H: Radiation-induced damage to normal tissues after radiotherapy in patients treated for gynecologic tumors: association with single nucleotide polymorphisms in XRCC1, XRCC3, and OGG1 genes and in vitro chromosomal radiosensitivity in lymphocytes. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005, **62**:1140–1149.
- Wells M, Macmillan M, Raab G, MacBride S, Bell N, MacKinnon K, MacDougall H, Samuel L, Munro A: Does aqueous or sucralfate cream affect the severity of erythematous radiation skin reactions? A randomised controlled trial. *Radiother Oncol* 2004, **73**:153–162.
- Twardella D, Popanda O, Helmbold I, Ebbeler R, Benner A, von Fournier D, Haase W, Sautter-Bihl ML, Wenz F, Schmezer P, Chang-Claude J: Personal characteristics, therapy modalities and individual DNA repair capacity as predictive factors of acute skin toxicity in an unselected cohort of breast cancer patients receiving radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2003, **69**:145–153.
- Richardson J, Smith J, McIntyre M, Thomas R, Pilkington K: Aloe Vera for Preventing radiation-induced Skin Reactions: A Systematic Literature Review. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2005, **17**:478–484.
- Wickline M: Prevention and treatment of acute radiation dermatitis: A literature review. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 2004, **31**:237–247.
- Chan R, Webster J, Battistutta D, Chung B, Brooks L: Interventions for preventing and managing radiation-induced skin reactions in cancer patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010, **5**.
- Chan R, Larsen E, Chan P: Re-examining the evidence in Radio-dermatitis Management Literature: An overview and critical appraisal of Systematic Reviews. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012, **:1–6**. Inpress.
- National Cancer Institute: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (Version 4). Baltimore, United States: National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health; 2009.
- Langendijk JA, Oosting SF: Grading system and management guidelines for dermatitis induced by head and neck radiotherapy plus cetuximab: clinical validation required. *Ann Oncol* 2011, **22**:2157–2159.
- Chren MM, Lasek RJ, Quinn LM, Mostow EN, Zyzanski SJ: Skindex, a quality-of-life measure for patients with skin disease: reliability, validity, and responsiveness. *J Invest Dermatol* 1996, **107**:707–713.
- Chren MM, Lasek RJ, Sahay AP, Sands LP: Measurement properties of Skindex-16: a brief quality-of-life measure for patients with skin diseases. *J Cutan Med Surg* 2001, **5**:105–110.
- Schmutz M, Wimmer MA, Hofer S, Sztankay A, Weinlich G, Linder DM, Elias PM, Fritsch PO, Fritsch E: Topical corticosteroid therapy for acute radiation dermatitis: a prospective, randomized, double-blind study. *Br J Dermatol* 2002, **146**:983–991.
- Haley AC, Calahan C, Gandhi M, West DP, Rademaker A, Lacouture ME: Skin care management in cancer patients: an evaluation of quality of life and tolerability. *Support Care Cancer* 2010.

26. Cleeland C: **Measurement of pain by subjective report.** In *Advances in Pain Research and Therapy. Volume 12*. Edited by Chapman C, Loeser J. New York: Raven Press; 1989.
27. RWH: *RWH Local Cancer Registry*. Brisbane: RWH; 2009.

doi:10.1186/1748-717X-7-121

Cite this article as: Chan et al.: A double-blind randomised controlled trial of a natural oil-based emulsion (Moogoo Udder Cream[®]) containing allantoin versus aqueous cream for managing radiation-induced skin reactions in patients with cancer. *Radiation Oncology* 2012 7:121.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

