

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

Dose-Dependent Effect of ZnO Quantum Dots for Lettuce Growth

Zhihao Liang, Xiaoqin Pan, Wei Li, Erfeng Kou, Yunyan Kang, Bingfu Lei,* and Shiwei Song*



ABSTRACT: As the cadmium-free semiconductor quantum dots, ZnO quantum dots (ZnO QDs) have wide potential applications in agriculture. However, the effects of ZnO quantum dots on crop growth and nutritional quality have not been fully studied. In this work, the lettuce was sprayed with different concentrations of ZnO QDs from 50 to 500 mg·L⁻¹ to evaluate their influence on lettuce antioxidant, biomass, and nutritional quality. The results showed that ZnO QDs existed in the lettuce in the form of Zn²⁺. Lettuce treated with 500 mg·L⁻¹ ZnO QDs would produce a large amount of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which adversely affected the



absorption of nutrients, soluble protein content, and chlorophyll content, thus reducing plant biomass. When the concentrations range from 50 to 200 mg·L⁻¹, the antioxidant enzyme systems of lettuce were triggered to counteract the damage caused by excessive ROS. Moreover, ZnO QDs at this level promoted Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn, and B absorption and accumulation; increased soluble sugar content; and improved the lettuce biomass and nutritional quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development of nanotechnology, nanomaterials have been widely used in the fields of agriculture and biology.^{1,2} In the past few decades, plant cultivation technology has made remarkable innovation and progress. Nanomaterials were also used as fertilizers,³ pesticides,⁴ or plant growth regulators^{5,6} in agri-biotechnological applications. The influences of the type, particle size, surface charge, and surface modification of nanomaterials on plant growth also have been fully studied.^{7–10} With an extensive application of nanomaterials, the toxicity of nanomaterials has become an important issue. Because crops safety is the first thing to ensure human food security. The understanding of the interaction between nanomaterials and plants has become a crucial topic for studying the impact of nanomaterials on ecosystems.

Carbon-based nanomaterials (such as fullerenes, carbon nanotubes, graphene quantum dots, and carbon quantum dots) and metal or metal oxide nanomaterials (such as nCuO, nFe₃O₄, nTiO₂, nCeO₂, CdS QDs, etc.) have been used to study their interaction with plants.^{11–17} Using CdS:Mn/ZnS quantum dots to treat Snow Pea (*Pisum sativum*), when the concentration was up to 40 μ g·mL⁻¹, the content of total chlorophyll decreased significantly, resulting in phytotoxicity.¹² Majumdar et al.¹³ reported that kidney been exposed to high concentrations of CeO₂ nanoparticles (NPs) (500 mg·mL⁻¹) significantly decreased the root antioxidant enzyme activities, but the soluble protein increased by 204%. Szymańska et al.¹⁴ found that high concentrations (1000 mg·mL⁻¹) of TiO₂ NPs could cause toxic symptoms of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, increase antioxidant levels, and promote root growth. Azhar et al.¹⁵ observed that CuO NPs had an adverse effect on Arabidopsis

biomass, chlorophyll content, guard cells, stomata aperture, and other organelles, and significantly increased the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in leaves; the toxicity of CuO NPs was proved by the reduction of biomass. Rui et al.¹⁶ reported that the Fe content in peanut plants treated with Fe₂O₃ NPs was higher than that in the control; the authors suggested that Fe₂O₃ NPs adsorbed on sandy soil to improve the utilization of Fe; Fe₂O₃ NPs enhanced the root length, plant height, and biomass of peanut plant and promoted the peanut growth by changing phytohormone content and antioxidant enzyme activity. Ag NPs induced dose-dependent toxicity by affecting the shoot and root length, biomass, photosynthesis, antioxidant enzyme activity, and the content of nutrient elements in maize.¹⁷ The relationship between different types of nanoparticles and plant species is further studied to clarify the unique effects of various nanoparticles on plant species, so as to promote the safety and risk assessment of nanomaterials.

Conventional nano-ZnO has been widely studied in agriculture because of its environmental protection, low cost, low toxicity, and high electron mobility.^{18–21} On this basis, ZnO has been developed into quantum dots material with rich surface functional groups and excellent water solubility.^{22,23} ZnO QDs have been widely used in the biological field.^{24,25}

Received: January 12, 2021 Accepted: April 1, 2021 Published: April 11, 2021







Figure 1. (A) TEM and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of ZnO QDs; inset, enlarged HRTEM image of single ZnO QDs. (B) Size distribution of ZnO QDs.



Figure 2. (A) PL emission spectra of ZnO QDs (excitation wavelength from 360 to 420 nm). (B) FTIR spectra of ZnO QDs. (C) XRD patterns of ZnO QDs. (D) Full XPS spectra of ZnO QDs.

ZnO QDs	$50 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$	$100 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$	200 mg·L ⁻¹	$500 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$
ζ -potential (mV)	40.27 ± 0.65	41.93 ± 1.88	41.36 ± 2.35	42.67 ± 0.83

Also, the extensive application of ZnO QDs will unavoidably cause excessive ZnO QDs to enter into crop plants.²⁶ However, there were few reports on the effect of ZnO QDs in plant cultivation. In this study, we used lettuce as a model plant to analyze the effect of ZnO QDs on lettuce physiology. The element content of lettuce was measured, and the effect of ZnO QDs on the absorption and distribution of Ca, Fe, Mn, Mg, Zn, and B was analyzed. ROS production; the lipid peroxidation level; and the activity of antioxidant enzymes catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and peroxidase (POD) were measured. Finally, the effects of ZnO QDs on plant biomass and photosynthetic pigment contents were measured to assess the oxidative stress response induced by dose.

2. RESULTS

2.1. Characterization of ZnO QDs. As shown in Figure 1A, the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image shows that the ZnO QDs are uniform and monodispersed. The lattice spacing of ZnO QDs is 0.25 nm, corresponding to the (001) crystal plane of wurtzite.²² From the size distribution diagram, it can be seen that the average size of ZnO QDs is 3.76 nm (Figure 1B). Such small and uniform nanosize makes it possible for them to enter the plant body.²⁶ The photoluminescence (PL) emission spectrum of the ZnO QD solution with the excitation from 360 to 420 nm is shown in Figure 2A. It can be seen that the emission peak of the ZnO QD solution is located at 570 nm with independent-excitation effects, which proves that ZnO QDs have stable PL emission.²⁷

10142

Article



Figure 3. (A) Fresh weight, (B) dry weight, and (C) chlorophyll content in lettuce, exposed to different concentrations of ZnO QDs.



Figure 4. ROS production in lettuce leaves after exposure to ZnO QDs. (A) Control deionized water, (B–E) ZnO QD exposure of 50, 100, 200, and 500 mg·L⁻¹, respectively.

As shown in Table 1, the ζ -potential of 50–500 mg·L⁻¹ ZnO QDs ranges from 40.3 to 42.7 mV, indicating the good water stability of the further applied concentration of ZnO QDs.²⁸ In Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra (Figure 2B), the stretching vibration band of Si–O can be clearly observed at 880 cm⁻¹, indicating that silane has been coated onto the ZnO QDs.²⁹ The peak at 3413 cm⁻¹ is due to the stretching vibration of the O–H bond, while the peak at 3243 cm⁻¹ corresponds to stretching of the N–H bond.³⁰ Bending vibration of C–H is observed at 1394 cm⁻¹. Due to the presence of these hydrophilic functional groups, ZnO QDs can be well dispersed in the aqueous solution.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) is used to further characterize the crystalline characteristics of ZnO QDs. As shown in Figure 2C, the peaks can be indexed to the crystal planes of (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (112), and (202) of ZnO, which can be attributed to the diffraction of wurtzite ZnO.³¹ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is used to characterize the binding state of ZnO QDs. The peaks for C 1s, N 1s, O 1s, Zn 2p, and Si 2p have been marked in Figure 2D. Among them, Zn 2p and O 1s belong to ZnO, while N 1s, C 1s, and Si 1s belong to the surface modifier APTES. High-resolution XPS further reveals the valence bond relationship in ZnO QDs (Figure S1). The peaks at 1021.6 and 1044.6 eV are from Zn $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ in ZnO QDs, respectively. The distance between the two peaks is 23.0 eV, which is consistent with the $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ peak spacings of Zn(II) in the standard manual. The binding energy position of Zn $2p_{3/2}$ is 1021.6 eV, which is 0.2 eV lower than the standard peak position of Zn(II) in ZnO (1021.8 eV). This might be due to the influence of surface modification.³² Because APTES is modified on the surface of quantum dots. In the high-resolution spectrum of O 1s, there are two peaks in O 1s, one at 530.2 eV and the other at 531.8 eV. The former comes from the O-Zn bond and the latter is mainly from the C-O/Si-O bond. The C 1s spectrum mainly contains C-C, C-O, C=O, and C-H bonds. Two peaks appear in spectra of N 1s. The peaks at 399.7 and 398.3 eV correspond to the N-C and N-H bonds (N 1s). These results

for the XPS spectra are consistent with those for the FTIR spectra. Based on the above results, ZnO QDs are composed of C, H, O, N, and Zn elements, all of which are essential for plant growth and development. Therefore, it is meaningful to study the effect of ZnO QD on plants.

Article

2.2. Effect of ZnO QDs on Zn Uptake by Lettuce. After 10 days of incubation in a nutrient solution without Zn^{2+} , the content of Zn in lettuce was measured to evaluate the absorption, transport, and accumulation of ZnO QDs by lettuce. As shown in Figure S2, with the increase of treatment concentration, the content of Zn element in shoot and root increased significantly, and the increment of Zn element in the shoot was larger, indicating more accumulation of ZnO QDs in leaves. The results primarily indicated the dose-dependent effect of ZnO QDs for lettuce cultivation.

2.3. Effects on Lettuce Biomass and Chlorophyll Contents. Compared to the control plants, the total fresh weights of treated groups increased by 13.95, 24.44, and 8.9% under 50, 100, and 200 mg·L⁻¹ ZnO QD exposure (Figure 3A), while the total dry weights of them increased by 10.4, 19.3, and 22.1%, respectively (Figure 3B). However, when exposed to 500 mg·L⁻¹ ZnO QDs, the total fresh weight and total dry weight of treated lettuce significantly decreased by 21.47 and 33.5%, compared to those of the control, respectively, which indicated the negative effect of ZnO QDs at a high concentration of 500 mg·L⁻¹. In response to this phenomenon, the chlorophyll contents were measured. The contents of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll were increased by 18.23, 42.82, and 18.22% only under 50 mg- L^{-1} group. Groups of 100 and 200 mg· L^{-1} treatments did not significantly alter the chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll contents, while 500 $mg\cdot L^{-1}$ ZnO QDs decreased the chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll contents by 17.37, 31.25, and 17.38%, compared to those of the control, respectively (Figures 3C and S3). Wang et al.³³ found that 300 mg·L⁻¹ ZnO nanoparticles could reduce the chlorophyll content and biomass of A. thaliana. We speculated that the



Figure 5. ROS production in lettuce root after exposure to ZnO QDs. (A) Control Deionized water, (B-E) ZnO QD exposure of 50, 100, 200, and 500 mg·L⁻¹, respectively.



Figure 6. Lipid peroxidation. (A) MDA and the activity of antioxidant enzymes (B) CAT, (C) SOD, and (D) POD in lettuce shoot and roots exposed to different concentrations of ZnO QDs. Different letters indicate that ZnO QDs with different concentrations have significant differences at the P < 0.05 level. The letters in the figure that follows have the same meaning.

change of lettuce biomass might be due to the effect of ZnO on the pigment contents of lettuce leaves.

2.4. Analysis of Oxidative Stress. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) of dichlorofluorescein (DCF) fluorescence was used to characterize the formation of ROS in the lettuce leaves. As depicted in Figures 4 and 5, no significantly increased fluorescence intensity was observed with the treatment of 50 and 100 mg·L⁻¹ ZnO QDs compared to the control. The fluorescence intensity increased obviously at 200 mg·L⁻¹ and reached the peak at 500 mg·L⁻¹ (Figure 4D,E). Differently, the fluorescence intensity of treated root showed that ROS generation increased significantly only at 500 mg·L⁻¹ (Figure 5E). which resulted in less accumulation of ZnO QDs in roots than in leaves (Figure S2).

In addition, the measurement of MDA content was used to analyze the stress induced by ZnO QDs. In Figure 6A, the shoot MDA content of lettuce exposed to 50 mg·L⁻¹ ZnO QDs was not significantly different from that of the control. With an increase of the concentration, the MDA contents of root and shoot both increased significantly. When the concentration reached 500 mg·L⁻¹, the MDA content also reaches the maximum, and the MDA contents of the shoot and root increased by 26.68 and 41.91% compared with that of the control, respectively.

The antioxidant enzymes were measured to study the antioxidant activity of ZnO QD-treated lettuce. From Figure 6B, compared with the control group, groups of 50, 100, and 200 mg·L⁻¹ remarkably increased the CAT activity of the lettuce shoot, while that of the 500 mg·L⁻¹ group significantly decreased. Only groups of 200 and 500 mg \cdot L⁻¹ showed a significant increase in CAT activity compared to other groups. As can be seen in Figure 6C, all treated groups except the group of 500 mg·L⁻¹ significantly improved the SOD activity of lettuce. When the concentration was up to 500 mg \cdot L⁻¹, the activity of root SOD obviously decreased. Peroxidase (POD) can catalyze H₂O₂ to react with acids and amines to reduce the damage to the cell membrane and stabilize the selective permeability of the membrane. It can be seen from Figure 6D that the effect of ZnO QDs on POD activity increased first and then decreased with an increase of ZnO QD concentration. When the exposure concentration reached 500 mg \cdot L⁻¹, the POD activity decreased both in the shoot and root compared to that in the control (Figure 6D). Results above demonstrated that an increased concentration of ZnO QDs would improve the antioxidant activity of lettuce by enhancing its CAT, SOD, and POD activities. However, when the treated concentration is up to 500 mg \cdot L⁻¹, the enhanced antioxidant activity induced by ZnO QDs would be ineffective.

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf



Figure 7. (A) Soluble protein content and (B) soluble sugar content in lettuce exposed to different concentrations of ZnO QDs.



Figure 8. Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn, and B contents in lettuce (A) shoot and (B) root exposed to different concentrations of ZnO QDs.

2.5. Effect on Shoot Soluble Protein and Soluble Sugar Contents. As shown in Figure 7A, the total soluble protein content of lettuce reached its maximum at 100 mg·L⁻¹ ZnO QD exposure, which was about 50.86% higher than that of the control. This may due to the stress response of the plant that promotes protein synthesis.³⁴ When the ZnO QD concentration was up to 500 mg·L⁻¹, the soluble protein content decreased by 31.1%. The total soluble sugar contents of treated groups with concentration less than 500 mg·L⁻¹ all increased by 11.87, 31.51, and 33.81% compared to the control group, respectively. However, the total soluble sugar content of the 500 mg·L⁻¹ group significantly decreased by 33.62% (Figure 7B). Results above demonstrated the high toxicity of 500 mg·L⁻¹ ZnO QDs for lettuce growth.

2.6. Effects on the Absorption of Nutrient Elements. To explore the effect of ZnO QDs on plant nutrient absorption, we measured the content of macronutrients (Ca, Mg) and micronutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn, B). Compared to the control group, $50-200 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ ZnO QD exposure significantly increased the accumulation of Ca, Fe, and Mn from root and shoot. As for the lettuce shoot (Figure 8A), compared to the control group, at the exposure of 500 mg·L⁻¹ in the shoot, Ca and Mn contents were decreased by 12.06 and 11.69%, respectively. Mg contents were increased by 14.32 and 15.24% at 100 and 200 mg·L⁻¹, respectively. The Zn content in the shoot increased with an increase of ZnO concentration and

reached the maximum at 500 mg·L⁻¹, demonstrating the absorption of ZnO QDs. In the lettuce root (Figure 8B), relative to the control group, the content of Mg, Mn, and B in the root decreased significantly when the concentration was 500 mg·L⁻¹. Exposure of 200 and 500 mg·L⁻¹ significantly increased the contents of Zn by 34.56 and 47.22%, respectively.

3. DISCUSSION

3.1. Oxidative Stress Response and Lipid Peroxidation. ROS in plants can play the role of signal,³⁵ but excessive ROS will oxidize proteins and lipids, and ultimately affect plant growth.^{36,37} The existence of nanomaterials will produce oxidative stress on the plant, thus affecting the absorption of nutrients and water.³⁸ Investigations have shown that nanoparticles can produce stress, generating excess ROS, which directly affects the enzyme activity in plants.^{39,40} The accumulation of MDA is the result of ROS on membrane lipid damage in plants. In this study, high concentrations (500 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$) of ZnO QDs could significantly increase the content of ROS and MDA, which indicated that high concentrations of ZnO QDs induced stress in lettuce, resulting in membrane lipid peroxidation, which caused serious damage to lettuce. Similar results have been found in previous studies on nanoparticles.41-43

Article

SOD, CAT, and POD are important enzymes involved in antioxidant defense systems. Generally, when plants are under external stress, a large number of active oxygen in vivo will be produced. These antioxidant enzymes are very essential for the removal of excessive O^{2-} and H_2O_2 .⁴⁴ Studies have shown that nanomaterials can induce oxidative stress and change the activity of antioxidant enzymes.^{45–47} In this study, we found that the activity of antioxidant enzymes in the shoot was more sensitive than that in the root when lettuce was treated with ZnO ODs. Also, at low concentrations of ZnO ODs. SOD, POD, and CAT activities increased significantly in a dosedependent manner. When the concentration reached up to 500 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$, the activities of antioxidant enzymes were significantly decreased, except for the CAT activity of root, demonstrating the stress that lettuce could not bare at 500 mg \cdot L⁻¹ concentration of ZnO QDs. Similar results have been reported in other nanomaterials.^{48,49}

3.2. Analysis of Nutritional Quality. Hayes et al.⁵⁰ reported that nanoparticles could affect the uptake of essential elements needed for plant growth and balanced nutrition. The accumulation of nutrient elements is an effective method to test how nanomaterials affect the nutritional quality of plants.⁵¹ Essential nutrients elements such as Ca, Zn, Fe, Mn, B, and Mg play important roles in the growth of plants.³⁸ The increased content of nutrient elements in leaves can improve the nutritional quality of the edible tissues.⁵² In this work, lettuce being exposed to the lower concentrations from 50 to 200 mg- L^{-1} increased the accumulation of essential elements, such as Ca, Fe, Mn, and Zn, but the content of Ca, Fe, Mn, and B decreased when treated with high concentrations (500 mg- L^{-1}). The content of nutrients can directly increase the chlorophyll content in leaves. For example, the enzyme catalyzing chlorophyll synthesis needs the activation of Fe, while the photolysis of water for photosynthesis needs Mn participation, thereby increasing the photosynthetic rate and biomass of leaves.53

Chlorophyll is the pigment that plants use to absorb light energy for photosynthesis. The increase of chlorophyll content of plants is conducive to the light absorption efficiency of photosynthesis and the formation of carbohydrates.⁵⁴ The impact of nanomaterials on photosynthesis has also attracted more and more attention.^{55–57} The soluble protein content is closely related to photosynthetic pigments, which can directly affect the photosynthesis capacity. 58,59 In this work, soluble protein content was increased by 6.12 and 50.86% at 50 and 100 $mg\cdot L^{-1}$, respectively. Also, the contents of chlorophyll were significantly increased at 50 mg L^{-1} . Hu et al.³⁸ also reported a similar effect, they found that the treatment of lettuce with nTiO₂ increased the content of soluble protein and chlorophyll. However, a significant decrease in chlorophyll content was observed when exposed to 500 mg \cdot L⁻¹ ZnO QDs. It might be due to an increase of MDA content, which damaged the chloroplast membrane, resulting in a decrease in chlorophyll content. The results are consistent with the previous findings of Sturikova et al.⁶⁰ When lettuce was treated with low-concentration zinc oxide QDs, the soluble protein and soluble sugar content increased significantly, indicating that the nutritional quality of lettuce has been improved, with potential applications in agriculture. At the same time, the accumulation of soluble protein and soluble sugar in plants is conducive to inducing antioxidation and improving plant resistance.⁶¹ Both soluble protein and carbohydrate content maintain a certain degree of metabolic

balance in the organism, and when the plant is subjected to external stress, this balance will fluctuate.⁶² High-concentration ZnO treatment (500 mg·L⁻¹) can significantly reduce the content of soluble proteins, which may be due to the damage of cell membrane lipid, thus inhibiting protein synthesis.^{63,64}

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1. Synthesis of ZnO Quantum Dots. Zinc acetate dihydrate (Zn (AC)₂·2H₂O), ethanol absolute (CH₃CH₂OH, 99.7%), 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APTES), and potassium hydroxide (KOH) were purchased from McLean. All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

Water-soluble APTES-coated ZnO QDs were synthesized by a simple sol-gel method.³² In brief, 16.7 mmol zinc acetate dihydrate was dissolved in 50 mL of ethanol solution followed by vigorous stirring and refluxed for 2 h at 78 °C. When zinc acetate was completely dissolved, the above solution was placed in a cold bath at room temperature. Meanwhile, 23.4 mmol KOH was dissolved in 13.5 mL of ethanol with sonication. The KOH solution was dropped into zinc acetate dihydrate solution under intense stirring at room temperature until it became colorless and transparent. APTES (800 μ L) was mixed with 2 mL of deionized water and then poured into the above-mentioned ZnO QD solution; APTES was hydrolyzed in water and reacted with ZnO QDs to form silanemodified ZnO QDs. After the mixture was centrifuged, a white precipitate was obtained. The precipitate was then washed three times with ethanol to remove unreacted impurities. Finally, the precipitated ZnO QD powder obtained by heating in an oven at 60 °C for 12 h.

4.2. Characterization of ZnO Quantum Dots. To obtain the structure and morphology of the samples, high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, JEOL-2010) images were collected. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were measured in the range of 2θ from 10 to 80°. The infrared spectrum was recorded by a Nicolet 6700. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were collected at a wavenumber of 500–4000 cm⁻¹, and the chemical composition of the sample was analyzed with KBr as a reference. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded with a Hitachi FL 7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were obtained using an X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (AXIS ULTRA DLD, Kratos).

4.3. Plant Growth and Treatment. Lettuce seeds were seeded in the sponge blocks of hydroponics, and when the third true leaf of the seedling appeared, they were transferred to planting cups for the deep solution flow culture. After 2 weeks, different concentrations of ZnO QD water solutions with different concentrations (0, 50, 100, 200, 500 mg·L⁻¹) were prepared in deionized water and then sprayed on the leaves once every 3 days until harvesting. Each lettuce was sprayed with 4 mL of ZnO QD water solutions on average 5 times during the treatment.

4.4. Growth Parameters and Photosynthetic Pigment Measurements. After harvesting, plants were thoroughly washed with tap water and then rinsed three times with deionized water to remove dust and residual ZnO QDs. Then, the shoot and root tissues were separated and weighed on a balance. Then, the shoot and root tissues were dried in an oven at 70 °C for 2 days to determine their dry weight (DW). Meanwhile, fresh leaf tissues (0.5 g) were soaked in a 50 mL centrifuge tube containing 25 mL of absolute ethanol and extracted for about 24 h until the leaves turned white. Then, the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 470, 646, and 663 nm by a UV–vis spectrophotometer.

4.5. Physiological Parameters. The physiological parameters were determined by a UV–vis spectrophotometer. The activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) was determined by the photoreduction method of nitrogen blue tetrazole, and the peroxidase (POD) activity was measured by the guaiacol method.⁶⁵ The determination of catalase (CAT) activity was estimated using the method following Aebi.⁶⁶ The content of malondialdehyde (MDA) was evaluated by a thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reaction.⁶⁷ The soluble protein content was analyzed using the dying method with Coomassie brilliant blue G-250.⁶⁸ The content of soluble sugar was estimated by anthrone colorimetry.⁶⁹

4.6. Imaging of ROS after ZnO QD Exposure. $2'_{,7'}$ -Dichloroflourescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) was used to qualitatively evaluate ROS in plants. DCFH-DA can be hydrolyzed by esterase to produce DCFH. However, DCFH does not penetrate the cell membrane, so the probe is easy to accumulate in the cell. DCFH can be oxidized with cellular ROS to form fluorescent DCF. The green fluorescence intensity is directly proportional to the level of ROS.⁷⁰ Leaf and root slices were immersed in pH 7.0 phosphate buffered saline (PBS) buffer containing 10 μ M DCFH-DA, incubated for 30 min, and then washed three times continuously to remove excess DCFH-DA. Finally, the fluorescence image was observed under a confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) with an excitation wavelength of 488 nm and an emission wavelength of 530 nm.

4.7. Elemental Content Analysis. Lettuce shoot and root were thoroughly washed three times with deionized water to remove dust and residual ZnO QDs. Then, the shoot and root tissues were dried in an oven at 70 °C for 2 days. The dry sample was pulverized into powder and 300 mg were put into centrifugal tubes. The contents of Ca, Mg, Zn, B, Fe, and Mn were successfully determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry.⁷¹

4.8. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS (version 22, SPSS, Inc.). The mean values were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the results were reported as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). The *P* value below 0.05 indicates a statistically significant difference.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, foliar application of ZnO QDs could influence the antioxidant status of lettuce, thereby affecting the changes of antioxidant enzyme activity, chlorophyll content, soluble protein, and biomass. ZnO QD exposure at low levels (50, 100, 200 mg·L⁻¹) significantly improved the lettuce biomass and nutritional quality because ZnO QDs promote the uptake and translocation of nutrients. However, when lettuce was exposed at 500 mg·L⁻¹, increased ROS fluorescent intensity and MDA content and decreased CAT, POD, and SOD activities were observed. In this study, the preliminary relationship between ZnO QDs and lettuce growth was understood. Nevertheless, additional work should be done to explore the potential mechanism of ZnO QD impact on plants for agricultural and biotechnology applications.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.1c00205.

Experimental details of lettuce cultivated in no Zn^{2+} nutrient solution, XPS results for Zn QDs, and Zn content and photosynthetic pigments of treated lettuce (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Bingfu Lei College of Horticulture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China; Key Laboratory for Biobased Materials and Energy of Ministry of Education, College of Materials and Energy, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China; Maoming Branch, Guangdong Laboratory for Lingnan Modern Agriculture, Guangdong, Maoming 525100, P. R. China;
 orcid.org/0000-0002-6634-0388; Email: tleibf@ scau.edu.cn
- Shiwei Song College of Horticulture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China; Email: swsong@scau.edu.cn

Authors

- Zhihao Liang College of Horticulture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China
- Xiaoqin Pan College of Horticulture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China; Key Laboratory for Biobased Materials and Energy of Ministry of Education, College of Materials and Energy, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China
- Wei Li Key Laboratory for Biobased Materials and Energy of Ministry of Education, College of Materials and Energy, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China
- Erfeng Kou College of Horticulture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China; Key Laboratory for Biobased Materials and Energy of Ministry of Education, College of Materials and Energy, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China
- Yunyan Kang College of Horticulture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c00205

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province, China (2020B0202010006), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21671070), the Project of GDUPS (2018) for B.L., the Guangzhou Science & Technology Projects (No. 202103000059), and the Guangdong Provincial Special Fund for Modern Agriculture Industry Technology Innovation Teams (2020KJ131 and 2020KJ122).

REFERENCES

(1) Khan, M. R.; Adam, V.; Rizvi, T. F.; Zhang, B.; Mao, C.; et al. Nanoparticle–Plant Interactions: Two-Way Traffic. *Small* **2019**, *15*, No. 1901794.

(2) Ma, C.; Liu, H.; Chen, G.; Zhao, Q.; Guo, H.; Minocha, R.; Long, S.; Tang, Y.; Saad, E.; De La Torre, R.; et al. Dual roles of glutathione in silver nanoparticle detoxification and enhancement of nitrogen assimilation in soybean (*Glycine max* L. [Merrill]). *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2020**, *7*, 1954–1966.

(3) Kopittke, P. M.; Lombi, E.; Wang, P.; Schjoerring, J. K.; Husted, S. Nanomaterials as fertilizers for improving plant mineral nutrition and environmental outcomes. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2019**, *6*, 3513–3524.

(4) Walker, G. W.; Kookana, R. S.; Smith, N. E.; Kah, M.; Doolette, C. L.; Reeves, P. T.; Lovell, W.; Anderson, D. J.; Turney, T. W.; Navarro, D. A. Ecological Risk Assessment of Nano-enabled Pesticides: A Perspective on Problem Formulation. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2018, 66, 6480–6486.

(5) Chakravarty, D.; Erande, M. B.; Late, D. J. Graphene quantum dots as enhanced plant growth regulators: effects on coriander and garlic plants. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2015**, *95*, 2772–2778.

(6) Xiao, L.; Guo, H.; Wang, S.; Li, J.; Wang, Y.; Xing, B. Carbon dots alleviate the toxicity of cadmium ions (Cd^{2+}) toward wheat seedlings. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2019**, *6*, 1493–1506.

(7) Liu, R.; Lal, R. Potentials of engineered nanoparticles as fertilizers for increasing agronomic productions. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *514*, 131–139.

(8) Li, W.; Zheng, Y.; Zhang, H.; Liu, Z.; Su, W.; Chen, S.; Liu, Y.; Zhuang, J.; Lei, B. Phytotoxicity, uptake, and translocation of fluorescent carbon dots in mung bean plants. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 19939–19945.

(9) Marmiroli, M.; Mussi, F.; Pagano, L.; Imperiale, D.; Marmiroli, N. Cadmium sulfide quantum dots impact *Arabidopsis thaliana* physiology and morphology. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *240*, No. 124856.

(10) Majumdar, S.; Pagano, L.; Wohlschlegel, J. A.; Villani, M.; Zappettini, A.; White, J. C.; Keller, A. A. Proteomic, gene and metabolite characterization reveal the uptake and toxicity mechanisms of cadmium sulfide quantum dots in soybean plants. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* 2019, 6, 3010–3026.

(11) Li, W.; Wu, S.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, X.; Zhuang, J.; Hu, C.; Liu, Y.; Lei, B.; Ma, L.; Wang, X. Enhanced biological photosynthetic efficiency using light-harvesting engineering with dual-emissive carbon dots. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2018**, *28*, No. 1804004.

(12) Das, S.; Wolfson, B. P.; Tetard, L.; Tharkur, J.; Bazata, J.; Santra, S. Effect of N-acetyl cysteine coated CdS:Mn/ZnS quantum dots on seed germination and seedling growth of snow pea (*Pisum sativum* L.): imaging and spectroscopic studies. *Environ. Sci.:* Nano **2015**, *2*, 203–212.

(13) Majumdar, S.; Peralta-Videa, J. R.; Bandyopadhyay, S.; Castillo-Michel, H.; Hernandez-Viezcas, J. A.; Sahi, S.; Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. Exposure of cerium oxide nanoparticles to kidney bean shows disturbance in the plant defense mechanisms. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2014**, 278, 279–287.

(14) Szymańska, R.; Kołodziej, K.; Ślesak, I.; Zimak-Piekarczyk, P.; Orzechowska, A.; Gabruk, M.; Ządło, A.; Habina, I.; Knap, W.; Burda, K.; et al. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (100-1000mg/l) can affect vitamin E response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Environ. Pollut.* **2016**, *213*, 957–965.

(15) Azhar, W.; Muhammad, N.; Song, G.; Hussain, A.; Yasin, M. U.; Khan, S.; Munir, R.; Gan, Y.; et al. Ethylene mediates CuO NP-induced ultrastructural changes and oxidative stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2020**, *7*, 938–953.

(16) Rui, M.; Ma, C.; Hao, Y.; Guo, J.; Rui, Y.; Tang, X.; Zhao, Q.; Fan, X.; Zhang, Z.; Hou, T.; et al. Iron oxide nanoparticles as a potential iron fertilizer for peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, 7, No. 815.

(17) Abbas, Q.; Yousaf, B.; Ullah, H.; Ali, M. U.; Zia-ur-Rehman, M.; Rizwan, M.; Rinklebe, J. Biochar-induced immobilization and transformation of silver-nanoparticles affect growth, intracellular-

radicles generation and nutrients assimilation by reducing oxidative stress in maize. J. Hazard. Mater. 2020, 390, No. 121976.

(18) Jagadale, S. B.; Patil, V. L.; Vanalakar, S. A.; Patil, P. S.; Deshmukh, H. P. Preparation, characterization of 1D ZnO nanorods and their gas sensing properties. *Ceram. Int.* **2018**, *44*, 3333–3340.

(19) Bhati, V. S.; Hojamberdiev, M.; Kumar, M. Enhanced sensing performance of ZnO nanostructures-based gas sensors: A review. *Energy Rep.* **2020**, *6*, 46–62.

(20) Xu, J.; Luo, X.; Feng, Y.; et al. Evaluation of zinc oxide nanoparticles on lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) growth and soil bacterial community. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2018**, *25*, 6026–6035.

(21) Avellan, A.; Yun, J.; Zhang, Y.; Spielman-Sun, E.; Unrine, J. M.; Thieme, J.; Li, J.; Lombi, E.; Bland, G.; Lowry, G. V. Nanoparticle size and coating chemistry control foliar uptake pathways, translocation, and leaf-to-rhizosphere transport in wheat. *ACS Nano* **2019**, *13*, 5291–5305.

(22) Liu, K. K.; Shan, C. X.; He, G. H.; Wang, R. Q.; Sun, Z.; Liu, Q.; Dong, L.; Shen, D. Advanced Encryption based on fluorescence quenching of ZnO nanoparticles. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2017, *5*, 7167–7173.

(23) Moussodia, R. O.; Balan, L.; C. Merlin, C.; Mustin, C.; Schneider, R. Biocompatible and stable ZnO quantum dots generated by functionalization with siloxane-core PAMAM dendrons. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2010**, *20*, 1147–1155.

(24) Mirzaei, H.; Darroudi, M. Zinc oxide nanoparticles: Biological synthesis and biomedical applications. *Ceram. Int.* **2017**, *43*, 907–914.

(25) Mishra, P. K.; Mishra, H.; Ekielski, A.; Talegaonkar, S.; Vaidya, B. Zinc oxide nanoparticles: a promising nanomaterial for biomedical applications. *Drug Discovery Today* **2017**, *22*, 1825–1834.

(26) Avellan, A.; Yun, J.; Zhang, Y.; Spielman-Sun, E.; Unrine, J. M.; Thieme, J.; Li, J.; Lombi, E.; Bland, G.; Lowry, G. V. Nanoparticle size and coating chemistry control foliar uptake pathways, translocation, and leaf-to-rhizosphere transport in wheat. *ACS Nano* **2019**, *13*, 5291–5305.

(27) Zhou, D.; Jing, P.; Wang, Y.; Zhai, Y.; Li, D.; Xiong, Y.; Baranov, A. V.; Qu, S.; Rogach, A. L. Carbon dots produced via spaceconfined vacuum heating: maintaining efficient luminescence in both dispersed and aggregated states. *Nanoscale Horiz.* **2019**, *4*, 388–395. (28) Lopes, C. R. B.; Courrol, L. C. Green synthesis of silver

nanoparticles with extract of *Mimusops coriacea* and light. J. Lumin. **2018**, 199, 183–187.

(29) Muhammad, F.; Guo, M.; Guo, Y.; Qi, W.; Qu, F.; Sun, F.; Zhao, H.; Zhu, G. Acid degradable ZnO quantum dots as a platform for targeted delivery of an anticancer drug. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21*, 13406–13412.

(30) Zhao, L. H.; Zhang, R.; Zhang, J.; Sun, S. Q. Synthesis and characterization of biocompatible ZnO nanoparticles. *CrystEngComm* **2012**, *14*, 945–950.

(31) Liu, K. K.; Shan, C. X.; He, G. H.; Wang, R. Q.; Dong, L.; Shen, D. Z. Rewritable painting realized from ambient-sensitive fluorescence of ZnO nanoparticles. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, No. 42232.

(32) Liu, K. K.; Shan, C. X.; Liu, H. Z.; Lou, Q.; Shen, D. Z. Fluorescence of ZnO/carbon mixture and application in acid rain detection. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 1841–1846.

(33) Wang, X.; Yang, X.; Chen, S.; Li, Q.; Wang, W.; Hou, C.; Gao, X.; Wang, L.; Wang, S. Zinc oxide nanoparticles affect biomass accumulation and photosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *6*, No. 1243.

(34) Rizwan, M.; Ali, S.; Zia ur Rehman, M.; Rinklebe, J.; Tsang, D. C. W.; Tack, F. M. G.; Abbasi, G. H.; Hussain, A.; Igalavithana, A. D.; Lee, B. C.; Ok, Y. S. Effects of selenium on the uptake of toxic trace elements by crop plants: A review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, 1–36.

(35) Qi, J.; Wang, J.; Gong, Z.; Zhou, J. M. Apoplastic ROS signaling in plant immunity. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **2017**, *38*, 92–100.

(36) Ma, C.; Chhikara, S.; Xing, B.; Musante, C.; White, J. C.; Dhankher, O. P. Physiological and molecular response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) to nanoparticle cerium and indium oxide exposure. ACS *Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2013**, *1*, 768–778.

(37) Ekmekçi, Y.; Tanyolac, D.; Ayhan, B. Effects of cadmium on antioxidant enzyme and photosynthetic activities in leaves of two maize cultivars. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2008**, *165*, 600–611.

(38) Hu, J.; Wu, X.; Wu, F.; Chen, W.; Zhang, X.; White, J. C.; Li, J.; Wan, Y.; Liu, J.; Wang, X. TiO_2 nanoparticle exposure on lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.): dose-dependent deterioration of nutritional quality. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2020**, *7*, 501–513.

(39) Tan, W.; Peralta-Videa, J. R.; Gardea-Torresdey, J. Interaction of titanium dioxide nanoparticles with soil components and plants: current knowledge and future research needs-a critical review. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2018**, *5*, 257–278.

(40) Khan, A. R.; Wakeel, A.; Muhammad, N.; Liu, B.; Wu, M.; Liu, Y.; Ali, I.; Zaidi, S. H. R.; Azhar, W.; Song, G.; et al. Involvement of ethylene signaling in zinc oxide nanoparticle-mediated biochemical changes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2019**, *6*, 341–355.

(41) Wu, J.; Wang, G.; Vijver, M. G.; Bosker, T.; Peijnenburg, W. J. Foliar versus root exposure of AgNPs to lettuce: Phytotoxicity, antioxidant responses and internal translocation. *Environ. Pollut.* **2020**, *261*, No. 114117.

(42) Zhang, H.; Chen, S.; Jia, X.; Huang, Y.; Ji, R.; Zhao, L. Comparation of the phytotoxicity between chemically and green synthesized silver nanoparticles. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *752*, No. 142264.

(43) Falck, G.; Lindberg, H.; Suhonen, S.; Vippola, M.; Vanhala, E.; Catalan, J.; Savolainen, K.; Norppa, H. Genotoxic effects of nanosized and fine TiO₂. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* **2009**, *28*, 339–352.

(44) Foyer, C. H.; Descourvieres, P.; Kunert, K. J. Protection against oxygen radicals: an important defence mechanism studied in transgenic plants. *Plant Cell Environ.* **1994**, *17*, 507–523.

(45) Wang, P.; Lombi, E.; Zhao, F. J.; Kopittke, P. M. Nanotechnology: A New Opportunity in Plant Sciences. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2016, 21, 699–712.

(46) García-Gómez, C.; Obrador, A.; Gonzalez, D.; Babin, M.; Fernandez, M. D. Comparative study of the phytotoxicity of ZnO nanoparticles and Zn accumulation in nine crops grown in a calcareous soil and an acidic soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *644*, 770–780.

(47) Li, J.; Hu, J.; Xiao, L.; Wang, Y.; Wang, X. Interaction mechanisms between alpha-Fe₂O₃, gamma-Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles and *Citrus maxima* seedlings. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *625*, 677–685.

(48) Wang, Y.; Deng, C.; Cota-Ruiz, K.; Peralta-Videa, J. R.; Sun, Y.; Rawat, S.; Tan, W.; Reyes, A.; Hernandez-Viezcas, J. A.; Niu, G.; et al. Improvement of nutrient elements and allicin content in green onion (*Allium fistulosum*) plants exposed to CuO nanoparticles. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, 725, No. 138387.

(49) Song, A.; Li, P.; Fan, F.; Li, Z.; Liang, Y. The effect of silicon on photosynthesis and expression of its relevant genes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under high-zinc stress. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, No. e113782.

(50) Hayes, K. L.; Mui, J.; Song, B.; Sani, E. S.; Eisenman, S. W.; Sheffield, J. B.; Kim, B. Effects, uptake, and translocation of aluminum oxide nanoparticles in lettuce: A comparison study to phytotoxic aluminum ions. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *719*, No. 137393.

(51) Wang, Y.; Deng, C.; Cota-Ruiz, K.; Peralta-Videa, J. R.; Sun, Y.; Rawat, S.; Tan, W.; Reyes, A.; Hernandez-Viezcas, J. A.; Niu, G.; Li, C.; Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. Improvement of nutrient elements and allicin content in green onion (*Allium fistulosum*) plants exposed to CuO nanoparticles. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, 725, No. 138387.

(52) Hu, J.; Wu, X.; Wu, F.; Chen, W.; White, J. C.; Yang, Y.; Wang, B.; Xing, B.; Tao, S.; Wang, X. Potential application of titanium dioxide nanoparticles to improve the nutritional quality of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, 389, No. 121837.

(53) Roncel, M.; Gonzalez-Rodriguez, A. A.; Naranjo, B.; Bernal-Bayard, P.; Lindahl, A. M.; Hervas, M.; Navarro, J. A.; Ortega, J. M. Iron Deficiency Induces a Partial Inhibition of the Photosynthetic Electron Transport and a High Sensitivity to Light in the Diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, No. 1050. (54) Krishnaraj, C.; Jagan, E. G.; Ramachandran, R.; Abirami, S. M.; Mohan, N.; Kalaichelvan, P. T. Effect of biologically synthesized silver nanoparticles on *Bacopa monnieri* (Linn.) Wettst. plant growth metabolism. *Process Biochem.* **2012**, *47*, 651–658.

(55) Li, Y.; Li, W.; Zhang, H.; Liu, Y.; Ma, L.; Lei, B. Amplified light harvesting for enhancing Italian lettuce photosynthesis using water soluble silicon quantum dots as artificial antennas. *Nanoscale* **2020**, *12*, 155–166.

(56) Pan, X.; Li, D.; Fang, Y.; Liang, Z.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, J. Z.; Lei, B.; Song, S. Enhanced Photogenerated Electron Transfer in a Semiartificial Photosynthesis System Based on Highly Dispersed Titanium Oxide Nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *11*, 1822–1827.

(57) Xu, X.; Mao, X.; Zhuang, J.; Lei, B.; Li, Y.; Li, W.; Zhang, X.; Hu, C.; Fang, Y.; Liu, Y. PVA-Coated Fluorescent Carbon Dot Nanocapsules as an Optical Amplifier for Enhanced Photosynthesis of Lettuce. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *8*, 3938–3949.

(58) Kaiser, W. M.; Heber, U. Photosynthesis under osmotic stress: Effect of high solute concentrations on the permeability properties of the chloroplast envelope and on activity of stroma enzymes. *Planta* **1981**, *153*, 423–429.

(59) Joseph, M. C.; Randall, D. D.; et al. Photosynthesis in polyploid tall fescue: II. Photosynthesis and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase of polyploid tall fescue. *Plant Physiol.* **1981**, *68*, 894–898.

(60) Sturikova, H.; Krystofova, O.; Huska, D.; Adam, V. Zinc, zinc nanoparticles and plants. J. Hazard. Mater. 2018, 349, 101-110.

(61) Wang, H.; Gong, M.; Xin, H.; Tang, L.; Dai, D.; Gao, Y.; Liu, C. Effects of chilling stress on the accumulation of soluble sugars and their key enzymes in *Jatropha curcas* seedlings. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* **2018**, *24*, 857–865.

(62) Ekmekçi, Y.; Tanyolac, D.; Ayhan, B. Effects of cadmium on antioxidant enzyme and photosynthetic activities in leaves of two maize cultivars. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2008**, *165*, 600–611.

(63) Habib, N.; Ali, Q.; Ali, S.; Javed, M. T.; Haider, M. Z.; Perveen, R.; Shahid, M. R.; Rizwan, M.; Abdel-Daim, M. M.; Elkelish, A.; Bin-Jumah, M. Use of Nitric Oxide and Hydrogen Peroxide for Better Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Water Deficit Conditions: Growth, Osmoregulation, and Antioxidative Defense Mechanism. *Plants* **2020**, *9*, No. 285.

(64) Flowers, T. J.; Troke, P. F.; Yeo, A. R. The mechanism of salt tolerance in halophytes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* **1977**, *28*, 89–121.

(65) Gao, F.; Zhang, J.; Liu, Z. Studies on Effects of Four Species of Color-leafed Plants on SOD, POD Activity of the Cold-resistance in Winter. *Chin. Agric. Sci. Bull.* **2010**, *5*, 169–173.

(66) Aebi, H. Catalase in vitro. *Methods Enzymol.* **1984**, *105*, 121–126.

(67) Akintunde, A.; Eisenman, L. M. External cuneocerebellar projection and Purkinje cell zebrin II bands: a direct comparison of parasagittal banding in the mouse cerebellum. *J. Chem. Neuroanat.* **1994**, *7*, 75–86.

(68) Ning, W. D.; Wu, Z. Y. Protein quantification with coomassie bulliant blue microplate-colormetric. *J. Fourth Mil. Med. Univ.* **2001**, 22, 528–529.

(69) Wang, Q.; Su, Z.; Zhang, S.; Li, Y. Soluble sugar content of clonal plant *Neosinocalamus affinis* at module and ramet levels. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **2004**, *15*, 1994–1998.

(70) Manna, I.; Bandyopadhyay, M. Engineered nickel oxide nanoparticles affect genome stability in *Allium cepa* (L.). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2017**, *121*, 206–215.

(71) Wu, P.; He, S.; Luo, B.; Hou, X. Flame furnace atomic absorption spectrometry: a review. *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.* 2009, 44, 411–437.