

Blood pressure variability and stroke: A risk marker of outcome and target for intervention

Simona Lattanzi MD, PhD¹  | Francesco Brigo MD^{2,3} | Mauro Silvestrini MD¹

¹Neurological Clinic, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, Marche Polytechnic University, Ancona, Italy

²Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement Science, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

³Division of Neurology, "Franz Tappeiner" Hospital, Merano, Italy

Correspondence: Simona Lattanzi, Neurological Clinic, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, Marche Polytechnic University, Via Conca 71, 60020 Ancona, Italy.

Email: alfierelattanzisimona@gmail.com

In the current issue of *The Journal of Clinical Hypertension*, the study by Dr Zhao and colleagues aimed to examine the influence of fluctuations in blood pressure (BP) levels on outcome in patients with stroke.¹ By analyzing the data of the Controlling Hypertension After Severe Cerebrovascular Event (CHASE) study—a multicenter, randomized controlled trial comparing the efficacy and safety of individualized versus standard BP lowering in severe stroke—blood pressure variability (BPV) was estimated in 442 patients during the acute phase and 390 patients during the subacute phase of acute cerebrovascular events.¹ Increased BPV resulted strongly associated with poor 90-day functional status after adjustment for potential confounders, including age, sex, randomized group, stroke type, and severity on admission.¹

The post hoc analysis of the CHASE trial adds to the growing body of literature that links BP variations to stroke course and reinforces prior studies that found increased BPV related with worse functional outcome after ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke.

1 | BLOOD PRESSURE VARIABILITY AND STROKE

One of the oldest and most challenging questions in acute stroke care is how to manage BP. Numerous clinical studies have been performed in acute ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes, which compared active or intensive BP reduction with no or guideline-based

lowering.² So far, every trial failed to provide a definitive answer and ongoing uncertainties exist with respect to the entity and timing of BP reduction.^{3,4}

The relationship between BP and outcome is not simply linear, and the effects depend on how and when BP is lowered. Mechanisms other than absolute BP reduction can play a role, and the benefit of early treatment can be enhanced by smooth and consistent BP control.^{5,6} Variability of BP values over time represents more than a confounding phenomenon,⁷⁻⁹ and it has been shown to act as a determinant of stroke outcome, independently of BP level.¹⁰⁻¹³ Several mechanisms may explain the detrimental effects of BPV following brain infarct and cerebral hemorrhage, and they are only partially understood. As cerebral autoregulation is commonly impaired in the stroke area, minor fluctuations in BP may lead to under- or over-perfusion.¹⁴ In the hours after the onset of brain ischemia, sudden drops in BP reduce the chance of reperfusion of potentially viable penumbra around the ischemic core and increase the risk of tissue ischemia and lesion size expansion.¹⁵ Further, elevations in systemic BP levels can increase the risk of hemorrhagic transformation of damaged tissue. In hemorrhagic stroke, wide variations in BP during the active bleeding stage can worsen hematoma growth and expansion, and recurrent decreases in BP can favor perihematomal ischemia and amplify cell death and secondary injury.^{16,17} Extreme fluctuations in BP can also contribute to the breakdown of the blood-brain barrier, promote vasogenic edema, and increase intracranial pressure.¹⁸

[Correction added on February 8, 2021, after first online publication: The copyright line was changed.]

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2021 The Authors. *The Journal of Clinical Hypertension* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

Remarkably, the impairment of cerebral autoregulation can be influenced by infarct size. Blood pressure variability may exert a greater influence in patients with severe than mild cerebral infarct,¹⁹ and the extent to which systolic BP reduction may influence the outcome has been shown to depend heavily on the initial hematoma size.²⁰ Although a reverse causality between BPV and outcome cannot be ruled out, since more severe strokes are associated with greater autonomic dysfunction, sympathetic imbalance, and higher BP fluctuations, the independent association with neurological outcome after the adjustment for stroke severity can substantially provide evidence for the actual contribution of BPV.²¹

2 | BLOOD PRESSURE VARIABILITY AS A THERAPEUTIC TARGET

The consistency of the association between BPV and stroke outcome suggests that interventions are warranted. Clinical stroke trials aimed not only to achieve BP target levels but also to minimize BPV are needed. Nonetheless, important questions are still unanswered, including how to reduce BPV and which patients to enroll.

One major issue is the complex and mutual relationship between absolute BP levels and BPV as any attempt to reduce mean BP can increase BPV. In the secondary analysis of the Blood Pressure after Endovascular Therapy for Ischemic Stroke (BEST) trial—a prospective, multicenter cohort study to identify post-endovascular stroke therapy peak systolic BP threshold discriminating good from bad functional outcome—BPV resulted lowest in patients who did not receive any intravenous antihypertensive medications and highest in patients who received intravenous drugs.²² Further, there were no meaningful differences in BPV based on continuous intravenous versus as-needed antihypertensive medications.²² Trials to determine optimal BP management approach and protocol-based strategies must also consider the individual effects of BP-lowering agents. In this regard, calcium channel blockers and thiazide diuretics have been shown to reduce interindividual variance, whereas beta-blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors increase BPV.²³⁻²⁶

Interventional trials could target patients with a higher likelihood of elevated BPV, and efforts are needed to identify the predicting variables. Clinical factors including left hemisphere stroke, age, diastolic BP at admission, and diabetes mellitus have been found to predict the highest tertile of systolic BPV in patients with anterior circulation ischemic stroke undergoing endovascular therapy.²² The area under the receiver operating curve for the model including these variables, however, strongly suggested that further refinement of predictive scores would be worth pursuing.²² It is likely that a variety of patient-specific factors, like stroke subtype, volume and site, status of collateral circulation, comorbidities as pre-existing hypertension, and heart failure, may influence the range of optimal BP values and vulnerability to the detrimental effects of BP fluctuations.

3 | VARIABILITY OF BLOOD PRESSURE IN CLINICAL STROKE CARE

Stabilization of BPV after stroke represents a promising strategy to improve the prognosis of patients. Significant challenges remain before the observed association between BPV and the neurological outcome can be translated into clinical practice. The combined goal of reducing both BP mean and variability appears the optimal hemodynamic intervention and protocol-based medication titration need to be developed.²² Taking into account the heterogeneity and reciprocal interaction of the causes and effects of BP variations, a personalized approach will allow to weight therapeutic options and reach the best benefit from the intervention.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

ORCID

Simona Lattanzi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8748-0083>

REFERENCES

1. Zhao J, Yuan F, Feng FU, et al. Blood pressure variability and outcome in acute severe stroke: a post-hoc analysis of CHASE, a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2021;23(1):96-102.
2. Bath PM, Appleton JP, Krishnan K, Sprigg N. Blood pressure in acute stroke: to treat or not to treat: that is still the question. *Stroke*. 2018;49:1784-1790.
3. Gasecki D, Coca A, Cunha P, et al. Blood pressure in acute ischemic stroke: challenges in trial interpretation and clinical management: position of the ESH Working Group on Hypertension and the Brain. *J Hypertens*. 2018;36:1212-1221.
4. Lattanzi S, Cagnetti C, Provinciali L, Silvestrini M. How Should we lower blood pressure after cerebral hemorrhage? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2017;43:207-213.
5. Owens WB. Blood pressure control in acute cerebrovascular disease. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2011;13:205-211.
6. Lattanzi S, Silvestrini M. Optimal achieved blood pressure in acute intracerebral hemorrhage: INTERACT2. *Neurology*. 2015;85:557-558.
7. Stevens SL, Wood S, Koshiaris C, et al. Blood pressure variability and cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2016;354:i4098.
8. Tully PJ, Yano Y, Launer LJ, et al. Association between blood pressure variability and cerebral small-vessel disease. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2020;9:e013841.
9. Lattanzi S, Vernieri F, Silvestrini M. Blood pressure variability and neurocognitive functioning. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2018;20:645-647.
10. Buratti L, Cagnetti C, Balucani C, et al. Blood pressure variability and stroke outcome in patients with internal carotid artery occlusion. *J Neurol Sci*. 2014;339:164-168.
11. Chung JW, Kim N, Kang J, et al. Blood pressure variability and the development of early neurological deterioration following acute ischemic stroke. *J Hypertension*. 2015;33:2099-2106.
12. Lattanzi S, Cagnetti C, Provinciali L, Silvestrini M. Blood pressure variability and clinical outcome in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2015;24:1493-1499.
13. Divani AA, Liu X, Di Napoli M, et al. Blood pressure variability predicts poor in-hospital outcome in spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke*. 2019;50:2023-2029.

14. Stead LG, Gilmore RM, Vedula KC, Weaver AL, Decker WW, Brown RD. Impact of acute blood pressure variability on ischemic stroke outcome. *Neurology*. 2006;66:1878-1881.
15. Zhang T, Wang X, Wen C, et al. Effect of short-term blood pressure variability on functional outcome after intra-arterial treatment in acute stroke patients with large-vessel occlusion. *BMC Neurol*. 2019;19:228.
16. Lattanzi S, Silvestrini M. Blood pressure in acute intra-cerebral hemorrhage. *Ann Transl Med*. 2016;4:320.
17. Rodriguez-Luna D, Pineiro S, Rubiera M, et al. Impact of blood pressure changes and course on hematoma growth in acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *Eur J Neurol*. 2013;20:1277-1283.
18. Sykora M, Diedler J, Turciani P, Rupp A, Steiner T. Subacute perihematomal edema in intracerebral hemorrhage is associated with impaired blood pressure regulation. *J Neurol Sci*. 2009;284:108-112.
19. Reinhard M, Rutsch S, Lambeck J, et al. Dynamic cerebral autoregulation associates with infarct size and outcome after ischemic stroke. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 2012;125:156-162.
20. Divani AA, Liu X, Petersen A, et al. The magnitude of blood pressure reduction predicts poor in-hospital outcome in acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurocrit Care*. 2020;33:389-398.
21. Andalib S, Lattanzi S, Napoli MD, et al. Blood pressure variability: a new predicting factor for clinical outcomes of intracerebral hemorrhage. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2020;29:105340.
22. Mistry EA, Mehta T, Mistry A, et al. Blood pressure variability and neurologic outcome after endovascular thrombectomy: a secondary analysis of the BEST study. *Stroke*. 2020;51:511-518.
23. Webb AJ, Fischer U, Mehta Z, Rothwell PM. Effects of antihypertensive-drug class on interindividual variation in blood pressure and risk of stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2010;375:906-915.
24. Webb AJ, Rothwell PM. Effect of dose and combination of antihypertensives on interindividual blood pressure variability: a systematic review. *Stroke*. 2011;42:2860-2865.
25. Lattanzi S, Silvestrini M, Provinciali L. Elevated blood pressure in the acute phase of stroke and the role of Angiotensin receptor blockers. *Int J Hypertens*. 2013;2013:941783.
26. Mahmoudi J, Majdi A, Lattanzi S, et al. Imidazoline receptor agonists for managing hypertension may hold promise for treatment of intracerebral hemorrhage. *Curr Mol Med*. 2018;18:241-251.

How to cite this article: Lattanzi S, Brigo F, Silvestrini M. Blood pressure variability and stroke: A risk marker of outcome and target for intervention. *J Clin Hypertens*. 2021;23:103-105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jch.14092>