non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 335: 1636–1642
20. Schmieder RE, Messerli FH, Garavaglia G *et al.* Glomerular hyperfiltration indicates early target organ damage in essential hypertension. *JAMA* 1990; 264: 2775–2780
21. Chagnac A, Weinstein T, Herman M *et al.* The effects of weight loss on renal function in patients with severe obesity. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2003; 14: 1480–1486
22. Chagnac A, Herman M, Zingerman B *et al.* Obesity-induced glomerular hyperfiltration: its involvement in the pathogenesis of tubular sodium reabsorption. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008; 23: 3946–3952
23. Kim Y, Han BG; KoGES group. Cohort profile: The Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study (KoGES) Consortium. *Int J Epidemiol* 2016; 46: e20
24. Matsushita K, Mahmoodi BK, Woodward M *et al.* Comparison of risk prediction using the CKD-EPI equation and the MDRD study equation for estimated glomerular filtration rate. *JAMA* 2012; 307: 1941–1951
25. Ahn Y, Kwon E, Shim JE *et al.* Validation and reproducibility of food frequency questionnaire for Korean genome epidemiologic study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2007; 61: 1435–1441
26. Melsom T, Mathisen UD, Ingebretsen OC *et al.* Impaired fasting glucose is associated with renal hyperfiltration in the general population. *Diabetes Care* 2011; 34: 1546–1551
27. Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H *et al.* Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 20–29
28. Pilz S, Iodice S, Zittermann A *et al.* Vitamin D status and mortality risk in CKD: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2011; 58: 374–382
29. Okada R, Yasuda Y, Tsushita K *et al.* Glomerular hyperfiltration in prediabetes and prehypertension. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2012; 27: 1821–1825
30. Ruggenenti P, Porrini EL, Gaspari F *et al.* Glomerular hyperfiltration and renal disease progression in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2012; 35: 2061–2068
31. King AJ, Troy JL, Anderson S *et al.* Nitric oxide: a potential mediator of amino acid-induced renal hyperemia and hyperfiltration. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1991; 1: 1271–1277
32. Zager RA, Venkatachalam MA. Potentiation of ischemic renal injury by amino acid infusion. *Kidney Int* 1983; 24: 620–625
33. Koppe L, Fouque D. The role for protein restriction in addition to renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors in the management of CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2019; 73: 248–257
34. Ruggenenti P, Remuzzi G. Time to abandon microalbuminuria? *Kidney Int* 2006; 70: 1214–1222
35. Stevens LA, Coresh J, Greene T *et al.* Assessing kidney function–measured and estimated glomerular filtration rate. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 2473–2483
36. So R, Song S, Lee JE *et al.* The association between renal hyperfiltration and the sources of habitual protein intake and dietary acid load in a general population with preserved renal function: The KoGES Study. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: e0166495
37. Kontessis P, Jones S, Dodds R *et al.* Renal, metabolic and hormonal responses to ingestion of animal and vegetable proteins. *Kidney Int* 1990; 38: 136–144
38. Song M, Fung TT, Hu FB *et al.* Association of animal and plant protein intake with all-cause and cause-specific mortality. *JAMA Intern Med* 2016; 176: 1453–1463

Received: 26.8.2018; Editorial decision: 25.4.2019

Nephrol Dial Transplant (2020) 35: 106–115
doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfz015
Advance Access publication 14 February 2019

Dietary protein intake and kidney function decline after myocardial infarction: the Alpha Omega Cohort

Kevin Esmeijer[1,2], Johanna M. Geleijnse[3], Johan W. de Fijter[1], Daan Kromhout[4] and Ellen K. Hoogeveen[1,2,5]

[1]Department of Nephrology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, [2]Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, [3]Division of Human Nutrition, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands, [4]Department of Epidemiology, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands and [5]Department of Nephrology, Jeroen Bosch Hospital, Den Bosch, The Netherlands

Correspondence and offprint requests to: Kevin Esmeijer; E-mail: k.esmeijer@lumc.nl

ABSTRACT

Background. Post-myocardial infarction (MI) patients have a doubled rate of kidney function decline compared with the general population. We investigated the extent to which high intake of total, animal and plant protein are risk factors for accelerated kidney function decline in older stable post-MI patients.

Methods. We analysed 2255 post-MI patients (aged 60–80 years, 80% men) of the Alpha Omega Cohort. Dietary data were collected with a biomarker-validated 203-item food frequency questionnaire. At baseline and 41 months, we estimated glomerular filtration rate based on the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equations for serum cystatin C [estimated glomerular filtration rate ($eGFR_{cysC}$)] alone and both creatinine and cystatin C ($eGFR_{cr-cysC}$).

Results. Mean [standard deviation (SD)] baseline $eGFR_{cysC}$ and $eGFR_{cr-cysC}$ were 82 (20) and 79 (19) mL/min/1.73 m^2. Of all patients, 16% were current smokers and 19% had diabetes. Mean (SD) total protein intake was 71 (19) g/day, of which two-

thirds was animal and one-third plant protein. After multivariable adjustment, including age, sex, total energy intake, smoking, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, renin–angiotensin system blocking drugs and fat intake, each incremental total daily protein intake of 0.1 g/kg ideal body weight was associated with an additional annual $eGFR_{cysC}$ decline of −0.12 (95% confidence interval −0.19 to −0.04) mL/min/1.73 m^2, and was similar for animal and plant protein. Patients with a daily total protein intake of ≥1.20 compared with <0.80 g/kg ideal body weight had a 2-fold faster annual $eGFR_{cysC}$ decline of −1.60 versus −0.84 mL/min/1.73 m^2. Taking $eGFR_{cr-cysC}$ as outcome showed similar results. Strong linear associations were confirmed by restricted cubic spline analyses.

Conclusion. A higher protein intake was significantly associated with a more rapid kidney function decline in post-MI patients.

Keywords: diet, kidney function decline, myocardial infarction, protein intake

INTRODUCTION

In the European population of ≥45 years, the prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD), defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 mL/min/1.73 m^2, is high at 11% [1]. CKD is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [2, 3]. Post-myocardial infarction (MI) patients, compared with the general population, have a doubled rate of annual kidney function decline of about 2.0 mL/min/1.73 m^2, and are thus at risk for CKD [4]. Classic cardiovascular risk factors, such as diabetes, smoking and hypertension, can only explain part of the accelerated kidney function decline. Identification of novel modifiable risk factors is important for targeted prevention of kidney function decline and may improve life expectancy in post-MI patients.

Experimental animal studies showed that long-term high levels of protein may cause glomerular hyperfiltration and pro-inflammatory gene expression, both well-known risk factors for CKD progression [5, 6]. In humans, several studies have shown that a high-protein diet may exacerbate proteinuria, an independent risk factor of accelerated kidney function decline, although this was not confirmed by others [7–9]. Consequently, current Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guidelines recommend to limit daily total protein intake to <1.30 g/kg body weight in adults at risk for CKD, and advise to restrict protein intake to 0.60–0.80 g/kg/day in patients with diabetes or eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m^2 [10, 11]. The Modification of Diet in Renal Disease intervention study suggested that dietary protein restriction may slow down kidney function decline in patients with an eGFR between 25 and 55 mL/min/1.73 m^2 [12]. From a preventive perspective, it is of interest to know whether protein restriction in patients with normal or mildly impaired kidney function retards kidney function decline. Moreover, recommendations are lacking regarding relative animal or plant protein restriction.

The aim of this study was to determine whether total protein and its components, animal and plant protein, are risk factors for accelerated kidney function decline in older, stable post-MI patients with normal or mildly impaired kidney function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The Alpha Omega Cohort is a prospective study of 4837 Dutch patients aged 60–80 years with a clinically diagnosed MI up to 10 years before study entry, on standard cardiovascular drug treatment according to the latest international guidelines [13, 14]. Major exclusion criteria were severe heart failure, unintended weight loss of ≥5 kg the previous year and diagnosis of cancer with a life expectancy <1 year. During the first 41 months of follow-up, patients took part in an experimental study of low-dose omega-3 fatty acids (Alpha Omega Trial), as described elsewhere [15]. For this study, we included patients with available blood samples at baseline and after 41 months of follow-up. Owing to financial constraints, a second blood sample was taken only from patients who were enrolled in the trial up to August 2005 ($n = 2918$). From these 2918 patients, we excluded those who died during follow-up ($n = 233$) and who had missing blood samples or refused further participation ($n = 259$). In addition, patients were excluded with missing dietary data ($n = 171$) or implausible high or low energy intake (<800 or >8000 kcal/day for men, <600 or >6000 kcal/day for women; $n = 7$), yielding 2248 patients for the present analysis (Supplementary data, Figure S1). The Alpha Omega Cohort study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov no. NCT03192410. This study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by a central Medical Ethics Committee in the Netherlands. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Reporting of this study was performed in accordance with the STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for cohort studies [16].

Data collection

Patients were interviewed and physically examined by trained research nurses at baseline and after 41 months. Information on demographic variables, lifestyle habits and medical history was collected by self-administered questionnaires as previously described [17]. High blood pressure was defined according to the latest European Society of Cardiology guideline: a systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg [18]. Diabetes mellitus was considered present in case of a self-reported physician diagnosis, use of glucose-lowering drugs and/or hyperglycaemia (serum glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L for patients who had fasted ≥4 h or ≥11.1 mmol/L for non-fasting patients). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the squared height (m) and obesity was defined as BMI ≥30 kg/m^2 [19]. Physical activity was assessed by the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly, a validated self-reported questionnaire for persons aged ≥65 years [20]. Medication was coded according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System. Standardized blood handling procedures and determination of lipid and glucose levels were described in detail elsewhere [17].

Dietary data

We collected dietary data using a 203-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), specifically developed for the Alpha Omega Trial [15]. The FFQ is an extended and adapted version of a reproducible and biomarker-validated FFQ [21, 22]. Patients reported their habitual food intake during the previous month, including information on frequency, amount, type and preparation methods of food. Questionnaires were checked by trained dieticians, and patients were contacted by telephone in case of missing or unclear information. The 2006 Dutch Food Composition Database was used to convert food consumption into intake of energy, protein and other nutrients [23]. Dietary protein intake was collected at baseline, and we did not consider changes of intake during follow-up. Previous studies showed that the dietary pattern remained stable, especially at older age, over a timespan up to 7 years [24]. We divided total protein intake into animal and plant protein. Animal protein was subdivided into protein from meat or dairy (Supplementary data, Table S1). Protein intake was expressed per 0.1 g/kg ideal body weight per day, per 5 g/day and as percentage of total daily energy intake (per 2 en%). Ideal body weight was calculated by multiplying an ideal BMI of 22.5 kg/m^2 with a person's actual height (m) squared. We used ideal body weight instead of actual body weight, since normalizing protein intake to actual body weight would result in erroneously high protein requirements in overweight and obese patients [25, 26]. Total energy intake was based on energy from protein, carbohydrate and fat, but excluded alcohol.

Kidney function assessment

At baseline and 41 months follow-up, serum cystatin C (cysC) and serum creatinine (cr) were measured from stored blood samples in a central laboratory from 1 September to 15 November 2011, as previously described in detail [27]. Briefly, serum cysC was measured by a particle-enhanced immunonephelometric assay (N Latex Cystatin C, Dimension Vista 1500 Analyzer; Siemens). We used calibrators and assays of the same lot code, which was stable (no downward drift). CysC was calibrated directly using the standard supplied by the manufacturer, traceable to the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry Working Group for Standardization of Serum Cystatin C [28]. Serum cr was measured by the modified kinetic Jaffé method (Dimension Vista 1500 Analyzer; Siemens). We calibrated directly to the standard supplied by the manufacturer from the National Institute of Standards and Technology Standard Reference Material, and postcalibration correction factor was applied [29]. We estimated GFR based on cystatin C ($eGFR_{cysC}$) and combined creatinine–cystatin C ($eGFR_{cr-cysC}$) at baseline and after 41 months, using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equations from 2012, taking into account age, sex and race [30]. The KDIGO 2012 and NICE 2014 guidelines recommend to use $eGFR_{cysC}$ or $eGFR_{cr-cysC}$ as a confirmatory test [10, 31]. From each individual, eGFR decline or change was calculated by subtracting the eGFR at baseline from the eGFR after 41 months. Assuming a linear decline over time, we then estimated the annual kidney function decline. In the main analyses, we use $eGFR_{cysC}$ as outcome; results for $eGFR_{cr-cysC}$ are reported in Supplementary data, Tables S4 and S5.

Data analysis

Baseline characteristics were presented as mean with standard deviation (SD), median with interquartile range or number (percentage), for all patients, and according to four groups of daily protein intake (<0.80, 0.80 to <1.00, 1.00 to <1.20 and ≥1.20 g/kg ideal body weight). In Supplementary data, Tables S2 and S3, we presented baseline and dietary characteristics according to quartiles of absolute daily protein intake (g/day). The number of missing values was low: height ($n = 3$), blood pressure ($n = 3$), physical activity ($n = 9$), level of education ($n = 11$), cr ($n = 76$). We used multiple imputation for the main analyses to avoid bias and maintain power, using five imputations, and including all relevant baseline variables and the outcome in the model.

Linear regression was used to study the association between kidney function decline and baseline dietary intake of total protein, different types of protein (animal, plant) and protein sources (meat, dairy). All analyses were adjusted for the omega-3 fatty acid treatment groups of the Alpha Omega Trial (using three dummies: placebo versus three active treatments) [15]. Further adjustments were made for the following confounders: age, sex and total energy intake (Model 1). In Model 2, we additionally adjusted for alcohol consumption (g/day), cigarette smoking (current, former, never), level of education (elementary, low, moderate, high), physical activity (inactivity, low, moderate, vigorous activity) and use of renin–angiotensin system (RAS) blocking drugs. In Model 3, we additionally adjusted for daily intake of saturated fat, polyunsaturated fat, monounsaturated fat, trans fat (g/day), dietary sodium, diabetes and systolic blood pressure. In analyses for animal protein, we also adjusted for intake of plant protein and vice versa. Protein intake from meat was also adjusted for non-meat sources, and protein intake from dairy for non-dairy sources. In Model 3, total caloric intake and all energy-providing macronutrients, except carbohydrate, were included. Therefore, in Model 3, each increase in protein intake can be interpreted as a theoretical replacement of carbohydrate. In the analyses taking kidney function decline as outcome, we did not adjust for baseline eGFR since this may lead to biased and inflated estimates [32]. To explore the presence of effect modification, analyses were repeated after stratification for age (<70 versus ≥70 years), sex, CKD (eGFR <60 or ≥60 mL/min/1.73 m^2), use of RAS blocking drugs, diabetes, high blood pressure (≥140/90 mmHg) or high BMI (<27 versus ≥27 kg/m^2). Finally, we modelled the association between total protein intake and annual $eGFR_{cysC}$ decline in a more flexible way, using restricted cubic splines with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The knots were chosen at the 5, 35, 65 and 95th percentile of protein intake according to general guidelines [33].

Sensitivity analyses

First, we repeated the main analyses taking as outcome eGFR after 41 months adjusted for baseline eGFR. Secondly, we repeated the main analyses using as exposure daily protein intake per 0.1 g/kg actual body weight adjusted for BMI. Thirdly, we additionally adjusted for several micronutrients representing

a healthy diet such as dietary fibre, potassium and vitamin C. Fourthly, analyses were repeated including dietary carbohydrate instead of fat intake in the substitution model. An increase in protein intake can then be interpreted as a theoretical replacement of fat. Fifthly, analyses were repeated using only complete cases. Sixthly, analyses were repeated after excluding patients with baseline $eGFR_{cysC}$ <30 mL/min/1.73 m^2 ($n = 20$). Finally, since blood samples were drawn after fasting or non-fasting, we additionally adjusted for fasting status (<4, 4 to <8 or ≥8 h). Non-fasting status may have an effect on serum cr levels through dietary meat intake, but not on cysC level. We considered two-sided $P < 0.05$ statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), STATA Statistical Software version 14.1 (Statacorp, College Station, TX, USA) and GraphPad Prism version 7 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of all patients and per category of daily protein intake (g/kg ideal body weight) are presented in Table 1. The mean age of all patients was 69 years and 80% were men. Mean $eGFR_{cysC}$ was 82 mL/min/1.73 m^2 for all patients, and for patients with a daily total protein intake of <0.80 or ≥1.20 g/kg ideal body weight, it was 77 and 85 mL/min/1.73 m^2, respectively. Mean total protein intake was 71 g/day, providing 16% of the total energy intake, of which about two-thirds was animal and one-thirds plant protein (Table 2). The mean intake of animal protein from meat was 4 en% and from dairy it was 4 en%. For each incremental category of daily protein intake per g/kg ideal body weight, mean intake of total energy and intake of all micronutrients and macronutrients increased (Table 2). Protein intake was highly correlated with total energy intake (Pearson correlation 0.76). Supplementary data, Tables S2 and S3 show the baseline characteristics and dietary intake according to categories of absolute daily protein intake per g/day. Patients with a higher absolute intake of protein were more likely men, had higher height and weight and had a higher intake if energy. Of all patients, 54% used RAS blocking drugs; in patients with an $eGFR_{cysC}$ ≥90 or <60 mL/min/1.73 m^2, it was 62 and 50%, respectively. About 50% of all patients persistently used RAS blocking drugs during 41 months of follow-up. Daily protein intake was similar in patients with or without RAS blocking drugs.

Protein intake and annual kidney function decline

For all patients, the mean (95% CI) annual change in $eGFR_{cysC}$ and $eGFR_{cr-cysC}$ was −1.30 (−1.43 to −1.17) and −1.71 (−1.87 to −1.56) mL/min/1.73 m^2, respectively. Total protein intake was inversely associated with annual kidney function decline. The fully adjusted model showed that the annual change in $eGFR_{cysC}$ was doubled in patients with a daily total protein intake >1.20 compared with <0.80 g/kg ideal body weight: −1.60 (−1.92 to −1.28) compared with −0.84 (−1.21 to −0.46) mL/min/1.73 m^2 (Table 3). Comparable associations were observed for $eGFR_{cr-cysC}$ (Supplementary data, Table S4). Restricted cubic spline analysis confirmed a strong linear association between protein intake and annual kidney function decline (Figure 1). We also found an inverse association between the intake of animal protein and both $eGFR_{cysC}$ or $eGFR_{cr-cysC}$, and a similar but non-significant association for plant protein (Table 4 and Supplementary data, Table S5). Compared with animal protein from meat, higher dairy protein intake was associated with a slower kidney function decline (Table 4). Each extra 0.1 g/kg ideal body weight daily intake of animal protein from meat or dairy was associated with an additional $eGFR_{cysC}$ decline of −0.14 (−0.25 to −0.03) and −0.06 (−0.16 to 0.04) mL/min.1.73 m^2, respectively (Table 4). Taking $eGFR_{cr-cysC}$ as outcome, the associations with protein from dairy and meat were comparable (Supplementary data, Table S5). Results remained similar when daily protein intake was expressed per 5 g/day or per 2 en%. Subgroup analyses showed a 3-fold stronger association between protein intake and eGFR decline in patients with versus without diabetes (Figure 2). We found no evidence for effect modification with regard to kidney function decline between protein intake and other pre-defined factors (Figure 2). Finally, with increasing protein intake, we observed no difference in annual $eGFR_{cysC}$ decline between patients persistently using RAS blocking drugs and non-users.

Sensitivity analyses

Taking eGFR as outcome after 41 months of follow-up adjusted for baseline eGFR (data not shown), or daily protein intake per 0.1 g/kg actual body weight adjusted for BMI, yielded similar results (Supplementary data, Table S6). Additional adjustment for dietary fibre, potassium and vitamin C yielded slightly stronger effect estimates. Results remained similar when replacing protein in the model by fat instead of carbohydrates. Type of fat, saturated or unsaturated, did not affect the results. Additional adjustment for fasting status did not change our results. Finally, results remained essentially unchanged analysing complete cases only, or excluding patients with baseline eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m^2.

DISCUSSION

This is the first and the largest cohort of older state-of-the-art drug-treated post-MI patients showing that high-protein intake is associated with accelerated kidney function decline. Patients with a daily total protein intake of ≥1.20 compared with <0.80 g/kg ideal body weight had a two-fold greater rate of annual kidney function decline of −1.60 versus −0.84 mL/min/1.73 m^2. Each extra daily protein intake of 0.1 g/kg ideal body weight was associated with an additional kidney function decline of −0.12 mL/min/1.73 m^2/year. The associations of total, animal or plant protein with kidney function decline were comparable.

Our findings are in line with the current KDIGO guidelines recommending to limit daily total protein intake to <1.30 g/kg body weight in adults at risk for CKD, and to restrict protein intake to 0.60–0.80 g/kg/day in patients with diabetes or eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m^2 [10]. Current guidelines make no recommendations with regard to animal and plant protein intake. However, for low-protein diets, it is recommended that about half consists of 'high biologic value' animal protein, such as dairy or meat, to ensure a sufficient daily intake of essential

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of 2248 post-myocardial patients in the Alpha Omega Cohort and according to four categories of total daily protein intake

Baseline characteristics		Total daily protein intake (g/kg ideal body weight)[a]			
	All patients, $n = 2248$	<0.80, $n = 393$	0.80 to <1.00, $n = 598$	1.00 to <1.20, $n = 641$	≥1.20, $n = 613$
Age, years	69 ± 5	69 ± 6	69 ± 5	69 ± 5	69 ± 5
Men, *n* (%)	1789 (80)	302 (77)	496 (83)	512 (80)	479 (78)
Serum cystatin C, mg/L	0.97 ± 0.24	1.02 ± 0.29	0.99 ± 0.26	0.95 ± 0.22	0.93 ± 0.21
Serum creatinine,[b] mg/dL	1.02 ± 0.33	1.05 ± 0.37	1.04 ± 0.35	1.01 ± 0.30	0.98 ± 0.31
$eGFR_{cysC}$, mL/min/1.73 m^2	82 ± 20	77 ± 20	80 ± 20	83 ± 19	85 ± 18
$eGFR_{cr-cysC}$, mL/min/1.73 m^2	79 ± 19	75 ± 19	77 ± 19	79 ± 19	82 ± 18
Ethnicity, white, *n* (%)	2222 (99)	387 (99)	590 (99)	637 (99)	606 (99)
Time since MI, years	4.0 (1.9–6.4)	4.0 (2.1–6.8)	4.0 (2.0–6.8)	4.0 (2.0–6.2)	3.9 (1.7–6.2)
High educational level,[c] *n* (%)	275 (12)	34 (9)	79 (13)	90 (14)	71 (12)
Current smoker, *n* (%)	352 (16)	77 (20)	109 (18)	82 (13)	84 (14)
Alcohol intake, g/day	8 (2–18)	5 (0.4–14)	9 (2–22)	8 (2–18)	8 (2–18)
Physically active,[d] *n* (%)	510 (23)	84 (21)	136 (23)	137 (21)	152 (25)
Height, cm	172 ± 8	173 ± 9	173 ± 8	173 ± 8	171 ± 8
Weight, kg	82 ± 12	83 ± 13	83 ± 12	83 ± 13	81 ± 13
BMI, kg/m^2	27.6 ± 3.6	27.6 ± 3.6	27.4 ± 3.5	27.7 ± 3.6	27.8 ± 3.7
≥30 kg/m^2, *n* (%)	506 (23)	81 (21)	125 (21)	149 (23)	151 (25)
High blood pressure,[e] *n* (%)	1275 (57)	225 (57)	344 (58)	367 (57)	338 (55)
Systolic BP, mmHg	144 ± 21	144 ± 22	144 ± 21	145 ± 22	142 ± 20
Diastolic BP, mmHg	82 ± 11	82 ± 11	82 ± 11	82 ± 11	81 ± 10
BP-lowering drugs,[f] *n* (%)	1954 (87)	354 (90)	522 (87)	539 (84)	537 (88)
RAS blocking drugs[g]	1222 (54)	205 (52)	335 (56)	333 (52)	349 (57)
Plasma glucose,[h] mg/dL	6.0 ± 1.9	6.0 ± 1.8	6.0 ± 1.9	6.0 ± 1.8	6.1 ± 2.1
Diabetes,[i] *n* (%)	405 (18)	72 (18)	109 (18)	108 (17)	115 (19)
Glucose-lowering drugs,[f] *n* (%)	289 (13)	56 (14)	72 (12)	79 (12)	81 (13)
Serum LDL,[j] mg/dL	2.7 ± 0.8	2.7 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 0.8	2.7 ± 0.8	2.7 ± 0.7
Lipid-modifying drugs,[f] *n* (%)	1944 (87)	345 (88)	509 (85)	561 (88)	528 (86)
Anti-thrombotic drugs,[f] *n* (%)	2201 (98)	383 (98)	582 (97)	628 (98)	606 (99)

Data are reported as number of patients (%), mean ± SD or median (interquartile range).
[a]From three patients with missing height, no intake in g/kg ideal body weight could be calculated, hence numbers from the four categories do not add up to 2248.
[b]To convert the values for creatinine to micromole per litre multiply by 88.40.
[c]Higher vocational education or university.
[d]Defined as ≥3 metabolic equivalent of tasks for ≥30 min/day during ≥5 days/week.
[e]Defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg.
[f]Blood pressure-lowering drugs Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System (ATC) codes C02, C03, C07, C08 and C09. Glucose-lowering drugs ATC codes A10, A10A, A10B and A10X. Lipid-modifying drugs ATC codes C10, C10AA. Antithrombotic drugs ATC code B01.
[g]Defined as ATC code C09, RAS inhibitors.
[h]Non-fasting; to convert the values for glucose to milligram per decilitre, divide by 0.05551.
[i]Self-reported diagnosis by a physician, use of glucose-lowering drugs or hyperglycaemia.
[j]Non-fasting; to convert the values for LDL-cholesterol to milligram per decilitre, divide by 0.02586.
BP, blood pressure; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

amino acids [11, 34]. For healthy individuals, the recommended dietary allowance for protein is 0.80 g/kg/day. To prevent protein wasting, >10% of daily energy intake should be derived from protein [35]. We showed that post-MI patients with a daily protein intake of <0.80 g/kg ideal body weight, which on average represents about 14% of the total energy intake, had the lowest annual $eGFR_{cysC}$ decline of −0.84 mL/min/1.73 m^2. The mean (95% CI) annual eGFR decline of −1.3 (−1.4 to −1.2) mL/min/1.73 m^2 in our study is lower than the −2.2 (−5.0 to −0.9) mL/min/1.73 m^2 in post-MI patients reported in the Prevention of Renal and Vascular End-stage Disease (PREVEND) study [4]. The lower rate of kidney function decline in our study can be explained by more stringent guidelines on secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease during the Alpha Omega Trial (2002–09) than the PREVEND study (1997–2005), and the more precise estimate of the kidney function decline given the smaller 95% CI of our study, as we previously discussed in more detail [36]. In our cohort of post-MI patients, the total energy intake differs substantially between the lowest and highest category of protein intake. This is explained by the high correlation between protein intake and energy intake (Pearson correlation 0.76), and a similar trend was shown in 11 952 individuals of the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study [37]. The low absolute intake of total energy in the lowest category of protein intake (1346 kcal/day) is most likely explained by measurement error owing to underreporting since this could lead to protein–energy wasting [38, 39]. Therefore, it is important to adjust in the model for energy intake to reduce the influence of measurement error and control for extraneous variation [40].

Only few studies, mostly population-based, investigated the association between total protein intake and kidney function decline. The Singapore Chinese Health Study showed in middle-aged individuals a 20% greater risk of end-stage renal

Table 2. Dietary intake of macronutrients and micronutrients of 2248 post-MI patients of the Alpha Omega Cohort and according to four categories of daily total protein intake

Dietary intake	Units	All patients, $n = 2248$	Total daily protein intake (g/kg ideal body weight)[a]			
			<0.80, $n = 393$	0.80 to <1.00, $n = 598$	1.00 to <1.20, $n = 641$	≥1.20, $n = 613$
Total energy[b]	kcal/day	1827 ± 497	1346 ± 316	1659 ± 364	1874 ± 359	2250 ± 469
Total protein	g/day	71 ± 19	46 ± 8	61 ± 6	73 ± 8	92 ± 14
	en%	16 ± 3	14 ± 3	15 ± 3	16 ± 3	17 ± 3
Animal protein	g/day	43 ± 15	25 ± 8	36 ± 7	45 ± 8	60 ± 12
	en%	10 ± 3	8 ± 3	9 ± 3	10 ± 3	11 ± 3
From meat	g/day	17 ± 9	9 ± 7	15 ± 7	18 ± 7	22 ± 8
	en%	4 ± 2	3 ± 2	4 ± 2	4 ± 2	4 ± 2
From dairy	g/day	18 ± 10	10 ± 5	14 ± 7	18 ± 8	27 ± 12
	en%	4 ± 2	3 ± 2	3 ± 2	4 ± 2	5 ± 2
Plant protein	g/day	27 ± 8	21 ± 5	25 ± 6	28 ± 6	33 ± 8
	en%	6 ± 1	6 ± 1	6 ± 1	6 ± 1	6 ± 1
Total carbohydrate	g/day	223 ± 68	173 ± 49	204 ± 57	228 ± 58	268 ± 68
	en%	49 ±7	51 ± 8	49 ± 7	48 ± 7	48 ± 6
Total fat	g/day	73 ± 27	52 ± 20	66 ± 23	75 ± 22	90 ± 27
	en%	35 ± 7	35 ± 8	36 ± 7	36 ± 7	36 ± 6
Fiber	g/day	22 ± 7	17 ± 5	20 ± 5	22 ± 6	26 ± 7
Sodium[c]	mg/day	2217 ± 661	1541 ± 371	1950 ± 403	2276 ± 463	2849 ± 602
Potassium	mg/day	3259 ± 851	2438 ± 570	2936 ± 576	3344 ± 613	4007 ± 791
Vitamin C	mg/day	97 ± 54	75 ± 41	87 ± 51	103 ± 58	116 ± 53

[a]From three patients with missing height, no intake in g/kg ideal body weight could be calculated, hence numbers from the four categories do not add up to 2248. Animal protein from meat and dairy do not add up to total animal protein because total animal protein from also includes protein from eggs and fish.
[b]Excluding calories from alcohol.
[c]Only from foods, to convert to intake of salt (sodium chloride) multiply by 2.5.

Table 3. Annual eGFR change, based on serum cysC, according to daily total protein intake in 2248 post-MI patients of the Alpha Omega Cohort

Model	Total daily protein intake (g/kg ideal body weight)				
	<0.80, $n = 393$	0.80 to <1.00, $n = 599$	1.00 to <1.20, $n = 643$	≥1.20, $n = 613$	P trend
Annual $eGFR_{cysC}$ change (mL/min/1.73 m^2) (95% CI)					
Crude	−1.17 (−1.48 to −0.85)	−1.28 (−1.54 to −1.03)	−1.44 (−1.68 to −1.19)	−1.26 (−1.51 to −1.01)	0.5
Model 1	−0.79 (−1.15 to −0.44)	−1.12 (−1.38 to −0.86)	−1.47 (−1.71 to −1.23)	−1.63 (−1.93 to −1.34)	<0.001
Model 2	−0.79 (−1.14 to −0.43)	−1.10 (−1.36 to −0.84)	−1.50 (−1.74 to −1.26)	−1.62 (−1.91 to −1.33)	<0.001
Model 3	−0.84 (−1.21 to −0.46)	−1.10 (−1.37 to −0.84)	−1.48 (−1.72 to −1.24)	−1.60 (−1.92 to −1.28)	0.003

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex and total energy intake.
Model 2: Model 1 plus additional adjustment for education, alcohol, smoking, physical activity, RAS blocking drugs.
Model 3: Model 2 plus additional adjustment for intake of fat (mono- and poly-unsaturated fat, saturated fat and trans fat), dietary sodium, diabetes and systolic blood pressure.

disease for the highest three compared with the lowest quartile of total protein intake, over a mean follow-up of 15 years [41]. Unfortunately, information on baseline eGFR was not available in this cohort. Others found in middle-aged women (eGFR 55–80 mL/min/1.73 m^2) that each incremental 10 g of daily total protein intake was associated with an additional eGFR decline of −1.69 mL/min/1.73 m^2 after 11 years of follow-up [42]. In contrast, total protein intake was not associated with CKD risk in the Doetinchem study, a Dutch community-based cohort, as well as in two US community-based cohorts [37, 43, 44]. Compared with Alpha Omega Cohort, participants in these three aforementioned cohorts were about 20 years younger, had a normal creatinine-based eGFR and had fewer comorbidities.

We observed in this study that the magnitude of the associations did not differ for animal and plant protein with regards to kidney function decline in older post-MI patients. The population-based Doetinchem study found no association for either animal or plant protein intake with kidney function decline [44]. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study, a US cohort of middle-aged individuals without cardiovascular disease and normal kidney function, found no association between the intake of animal protein and kidney function. However, they showed a 24% lower risk of CKD in individuals in the highest compared with the lowest quintile of plant protein intake [37].

We found a twice as low association of dairy compared with meat protein intake with kidney function decline in elderly post-MI patients. In contrast, the ARIC study showed that individuals in the highest compared with the lowest quintile of low-fat dairy intake had a 20% lower CKD risk [37]. In the Doetinchem study, individuals in the highest compared with the lowest tertile of total dairy intake had a 0.2 mL/min/1.73 m^2 slower annual kidney function decline [44]. In contrast to this study, the ARIC and Doetinchem studies did not analyse the effect of protein from dairy, but from dairy foods as a whole.

Several mechanisms may explain the association of protein intake with accelerated kidney function decline. A high-protein



FIGURE 1: Association (with 95% CI) between daily total protein intake (g/kg ideal body weight) and annual cysC-based (**A**) and cr–cysC-based (**B**) eGFR. Modelled by restricted cubic splines with knots at the 5, 35, 65 and 95th percentile of protein intake. In these analyses, patients with a daily protein intake $\leq$0.4 ($n = 6$) or >2.0 ($n = 11$) g/kg ideal body weight were excluded. The model was adjusted for age, sex, total energy intake, education, alcohol, smoking, physical activity, RAS blocking drugs, intake of fat (mono- and poly-unsaturated fat, saturated fat and trans fat), dietary sodium, diabetes and systolic blood pressure.

Table 4. Annual change in eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m^2), based on serum cysC, per unit increment daily intake of total, animal- or plant-based protein in 2248 post-MI patients of the Alpha Omega Cohort

Model	Total protein	Animal protein			Plant protein
		Total	From meat	From dairy	
Annual eGFR change, per 0.1 g/kg ideal body weight (95% CI)					
Crude	−0.01 (−0.05 to 0.04)	−0.03 (−0.09 to 0.03)	−0.09 (−0.19 to 0.02)	0.02 (−0.06 to 0.10)	0.08 (−0.03 to 0.20)
Model 1	−0.12 (−0.18 to −0.05)**	−0.12 (−0.19 to −0.05)**	−0.15 (−0.25 to −0.05)*	−0.05 (−0.14 to 0.05)	−0.04 (−0.20 to 0.13)
Model 2	−0.12 (−0.18 to −0.05)**	−0.11 (−0.18 to −0.04)*	−0.13 (−0.23 to −0.03)*	−0.05 (−0.14 to 0.04)	−0.06 (−0.23 to 0.10)
Model 3	−0.12 (−0.19 to −0.04)*	−0.12 (−0.19 to −0.04)*	−0.14 (−0.25 to −0.03)*	−0.06 (−0.16 to 0.04)	−0.12 (−0.32 to 0.07)
Annual eGFR change, per 5 g (95% CI)					
Crude	−0.01 (−0.04 to 0.03)	−0.02 (−0.07 to 0.02)	−0.07 (−0.14 to 0.01)	0.01 (−0.05 to 0.07)	0.06 (−0.03 to 0.15)
Model 1	−0.09 (−0.15 to −0.04)*	−0.09 (−0.14 to −0.04)*	−0.11 (−0.19 to −0.03)*	−0.03 (−0.10 to 0.04)	0.01 (−0.12 to 0.14)
Model 2	−0.09 (−0.15 to −0.04)*	−0.08 (−0.14 to −0.03)*	−0.10 (−0.18 to −0.02)*	−0.04 (−0.11 to 0.04)	−0.02 (−0.15 to 0.12)
Model 3	−0.09 (−0.16 to −0.02)*	−0.09 (−0.16 to −0.02)*	−0.11 (−0.20 to −0.02)*	−0.05 (−0.13 to 0.03)	−0.10 (−0.29 to 0.09)
Annual eGFR change, per 2 en% (95% CI)					
Crude	−0.17 (−0.26 to −0.08)**	−0.16 (−0.25 to −0.07)**	−0.20 (−0.33 to −0.06)	−0.04 (−0.17 to 0.09)	−0.04 (−0.27 to 0.19)
Model 1	−0.19 (−0.29 to −0.10)**	−0.18 (−0.28 to −0.09)**	−0.21 (−0.34 to −0.07)*	−0.07 (−0.20 to 0.06)	0.04 (−0.21 to 0.28)
Model 2	−0.19 (−0.29 to −0.09)**	−0.18 (−0.27 to −0.08)**	−0.19 (−0.32 to −0.05)*	−0.08 (−0.21 to 0.05)	−0.01 (−0.26 to 0.24)
Model 3	−0.20 (−0.31 to −0.08)**	−0.20 (−0.31 to −0.08)*	−0.22 (−0.37 to −0.07)*	−0.11 (−0.27 to 0.04)	−0.20 (−0.55 to 0.14)

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex and total energy intake.
Model 2: Model 1 plus additional adjustment for education, alcohol, smoking, physical activity, RAS blocking drugs.
Model 3: Model 2 plus additional adjustment for intake of fat (mono- and poly-unsaturated fat, saturated fat and trans fat), dietary sodium, diabetes, and systolic blood pressure; animal protein was also adjusted for plant protein and vice versa.
en%, percentage of total energy intake. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.001.

diet dilates the glomerular afferent arteriole, resulting in hyperfiltration and subsequent glomerular damage owing to inflammation and fibrosis [45]. In contrast, a low-protein diet lowers the intraglomerular pressure, a beneficial effect that is enhanced if combined with RAS blockers that dilate the efferent arteriole [46, 47]. We observed comparable associations of animal and plant protein intake regarding the rate of kidney function decline. The strongest kidney function decline was observed for meat and plant protein, whereas for dairy protein the decline was only half compared with meat and plant protein. However, the latter association was not significant. More research is needed to determine whether or not dairy protein is superior to meat and plant protein with regard to slowing down kidney function decline. Subgroup analyses showed a 3-fold stronger association between protein intake and eGFR decline in patients with when compared with patients without diabetes. Diabetes increases the risk of glomerular hyperfiltration and proteinuria, possibly leading to higher susceptibility to the detrimental effects of a high-protein diet in these patients [48]. Our results suggest that a low-protein diet may be especially beneficial for patients with diabetes to slow down kidney function decline. However, confidence intervals were broad, and results should be interpreted with caution.

This study has several limitations. First, the observational study design prevents causal inference. Secondly, despite extensive adjustments, we cannot rule out residual confounding. Protein is not consumed in isolation but as part of a dietary pattern, composed of numerous nutrients and bio-actives of which



FIGURE 2: Additional annual change in $eGFR_{cysC}$ per 0.1 g/kg ideal body weight increased daily total protein intake, according to different subgroups. The model was fully adjusted (Model 3) for age, sex, total energy intake, education, alcohol, smoking, physical activity, RAS blocking drugs, for intake of fat (mono- and poly-unsaturated fat, saturated fat and trans fat), dietary sodium, diabetes and systolic blood pressure.

each may have its own effects on kidney function [49]. Therefore, it is difficult to attribute any observed effect solely to the protein content or source. Thirdly, we estimated kidney function decline using only one measurement at two time points, which may reduce precision. If anything, then this may have resulted in underestimation of the association between protein intake and kidney function decline. Fourthly, we had no information on proteinuria, an important risk factor for kidney function decline. Fifthly, dietary data were obtained by FFQs, which may under- or overestimate the absolute protein intake [38]. The modified FFQ that we used was not validated; however, it was an extended version of a previously biomarker-validated FFQ, including more detailed questions about food consumption [21, 22]. Dietary protein intake was assessed at baseline, and we did not take into account changes of intake during follow-up. However, previous studies showed that the dietary pattern remained stable, especially at older age, over a timespan up to 7 years [24]. Sixthly, we had no information on biomarkers like urinary urea nitrogen to validate protein intake obtained from the FFQ. Furthermore, about 8% of patients died during follow-up and were, therefore, not included in the analyses. However, intake of protein and other macronutrients was similar for patients included in the current analyses compared with patients who died during follow-up (data not shown), which makes selection bias unlikely. Finally, this cohort consisted of post-MI patients, which may limit generalizability to other populations.

Our prospective analysis has also several strengths. First, we estimated kidney function based on two different endogenous markers. Secondly, we measured cysC, which is currently the most accurate marker for kidney function, and is not influenced by glomerular hyperfiltration [10, 50, 51]. Moreover, cysC is, in contrast to cr, not influenced by dietary meat intake and muscle mass [52–55]. Thirdly, we used different measures of protein intake: the absolute protein intake in g/day, intake expressed in percentage of energy and the intake adjusted for ideal body weight. Each approach led to similar conclusions. Finally, we used substitution models since the association between kidney function decline depends not only on the macronutrient of interest, namely protein, but also on the replacement of other macronutrients, such as carbohydrates or fat [56].

In conclusion, we found that a higher dietary intake of total protein was associated with a more rapid loss of kidney function in older post-MI patients. Despite the fact that our patients received state-of-the-art drug treatment, we observed a beneficial effect of a low-protein intake on kidney function.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at ndt online

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Eveline Waterham for data management. Results of the study have been presented at the Dutch Nephrology Days (NND), March 2018, at the WEON Dutch Epidemiology Conference in The Netherlands, May 2018, and the ERA-EDTA congress in Copenhagen, Denmark, June 2018, for which a travel grant was awarded.

FUNDING

Financial support was obtained from the Dutch Kidney Foundation (PV41; E.K.H.), the Dutch Heart Foundation (2000T401; J.M.G. and D.K.) and the National Institutes of Health (R01 HL076200-03; J.M.G. and D.K.). The grant from the Dutch Kidney Foundation covered baseline and final examinations of kidney function. The grant from the Dutch Heart Foundation covered baseline examinations. The grant from the National Institutes of Health covered final examinations.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

E.K.H., K.E., J.M.G. and D.K. contributed to the research idea and study design; D.K., J.M.G. and E.K.H. contributed to data acquisition; K.E., E.K.H., J.M.G., D.K. and J.W.F. contributed to data analysis/interpretation; K.E. and E.K.H. performed statistical analysis; E.K.H., J.W.F. and J.M.G. provided supervision and mentorship. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and accepts accountability for the overall work by ensuring that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

E.K.H. is a member of the Guideline Committee of the Dutch Federation of Nephrology. J.M.G. received research funding from Unilever R&D for epidemiological studies of dietary fatty acids and is a member of the Standing Committee on Nutrition of the Dutch Health Council, Working Group on Minerals of the European Food and Safety Authority, and Dutch Academy for Nutritional Sciences, and is a Fellow of

the American Heart Association. D.K. received research funding from the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences and is Member of the Dutch Academy of Nutritional Sciences. K.E. and J.W.F. report that they have no disclosures.

(See related articles by Jhee *et al*. High-protein diet with renal hyperfiltration is associated with rapid decline rate of renal function: a community-based prospective cohort study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2020; 35: 98–106 and Kalantar-Zadeh *et al*. High-protein diet is bad for kidney health: unleashing the taboo. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2020; 35: 1–4)

REFERENCES

1. El Nahas AM, Bello AK. Chronic kidney disease: the global challenge. *Lancet* 2005; 365: 331–340
2. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D *et al*. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 351: 1296–1305
3. Hoogeveen EK, Geleijnse JM, Giltay EJ *et al*. Kidney function and specific mortality in 60-80 years old post-myocardial infarction patients: A 10-year follow-up study. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0171868
4. Eijkelkamp WB, de Graeff PA, van Veldhuisen DJ *et al*. Effect of first myocardial ischemic event on renal function. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; 100: 7–12
5. Hostetter TH, Meyer TW, Rennke HG *et al*. Chronic effects of dietary protein in the rat with intact and reduced renal mass. *Kidney Int* 1986; 30: 509–517
6. Tovar-Palacio C, Tovar AR, Torres N *et al*. Proinflammatory gene expression and renal lipogenesis are modulated by dietary protein content in obese Zucker fa/fa rats. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2011; 300: F263–F271
7. Wrone EM, Carnethon MR, Palaniappan L *et al*. Association of dietary protein intake and microalbuminuria in healthy adults: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003; 41: 580–587
8. Hoogeveen EK, Kostense PJ, Jager A *et al*. Serum homocysteine level and protein intake are related to risk of microalbuminuria: the Hoorn Study. *Kidney Int* 1998; 54: 203–209
9. Jesudason DR, Pedersen E, Clifton PM. Weight-loss diets in people with type 2 diabetes and renal disease: a randomized controlled trial of the effect of different dietary protein amounts. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013; 98: 494–501
10. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2013; 3: 75–76
11. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Fouque D. Nutritional management of chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 377: 1765–1776
12. Klahr S, Levey AS, Beck GJ *et al*. The effects of dietary protein restriction and blood-pressure control on the progression of chronic renal disease. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 330: 877–884
13. Wood D, De Backer G, Faergeman O *et al*. Prevention of coronary heart disease in clinical practice. Recommendations of the Second Joint Task Force of European and other Societies on coronary prevention. *Eur Heart J* 1998; 19: 1434–1503
14. De Backer G, Ambrosioni E, Borch-Johnsen K *et al*. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: third joint task force of European and other societies on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (constituted by representatives of eight societies and by invited experts). *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2003; 10: S1–S10
15. Kromhout D, Giltay EJ, Geleijnse JM; Alpha Omega Trial Group. n-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular events after myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 363: 2015–2026
16. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M *et al*. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2008; 61: 344–349
17. Geleijnse JM, Giltay EJ, Schouten EG *et al*. Effect of low doses of n-3 fatty acids on cardiovascular diseases in 4, 837 post-myocardial infarction patients: design and baseline characteristics of the Alpha Omega Trial. *Am Heart J* 2010; 159: 539–546
18. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K *et al*. ESH/ESC Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2013; 34: 2159–2219
19. World Health Organization. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* 2000; 894: 839–868
20. Washburn RA, McAuley E, Katula J *et al*. The Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE): Evidence for validity. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1999; 52: 643–651
21. Feunekes GI, Van Staveren WA, De Vries JH *et al*. Relative and biomarker-based validity of a food-frequency questionnaire estimating intake of fats and cholesterol. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1993; 58: 489–496
22. Feunekes IJ, Van Staveren WA, Graveland F *et al*. Reproducibility of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire to assess the intake of fats and cholesterol in The Netherlands. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 1995; 46: 117–123
23. Netherlands Nutrition Center. *Dutch Food Composition Table 2006 NEVO-tabel: Nederlands Voedingsstoffenbestand 2006/NEVO Foundation*. Den Haag, The Netherlands: The NEVO Foundation and the Dutch Nutrition Center, 2006 (in Dutch)
24. Weismayer C, Anderson JG, Wolk A. Changes in the stability of dietary patterns in a study of middle-aged Swedish women. *J Nutr* 2006; 136: 1582–1587
25. Shah B, Sucher K, Hollenbeck CB. Comparison of ideal body weight equations and published height-weight tables with body mass index tables for healthy adults in the United States. *Nutr Clin Pract* 2006; 21: 312–319
26. Daugirdas JT. *Handbook of Chronic Kidney Disease Management*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 2011, 97–98
27. Hoogeveen EK, Geleijnse JM, Kromhout D *et al*. Effect of omega-3 fatty acids on kidney function after myocardial infarction: the Alpha Omega Trial. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2014; 9: 1676–1683
28. Grubb A, Blirup-Jensen S, Lindstrom V *et al*. First certified reference material for cystatin C in human serum ERM-DA471/IFCC. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2010; 48: 1619–1621
29. Levey AS, Coresh J, Greene T *et al*. Expressing the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation for estimating glomerular filtration rate with standardized serum creatinine values. *Clin Chem* 2007; 53: 766–772
30. Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H *et al*. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 20–29
31. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). *Chronic Kidney Disease in Adults: Assessment and Management*, London (UK) 2014; Clinical guideline (CG182)
32. Glymour MM, Weuve J, Berkman LF *et al*. When is baseline adjustment useful in analyses of change? An example with education and cognitive change. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005; 162: 267–278
33. Harrell FJ. *Regression Modelling Strategies: With Applications to Linear Models, Logistic Regression, and Survival Analysis*. New York, NY: Springer Science+Business Media, 2001
34. National Kidney Foundation. Kidney Disease Dialysis Outcome Quality Initiative (K/DOQI). Clinical practice guidelines for nutrition in chronic renal failure. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2000; 35 (6 Suppl 2): S1–S140
35. Trumbo P, Schlicker S, Yates AA *et al*. Dietary reference intakes for energy, carbohydrate, fiber, fat, fatty acids, cholesterol, protein and amino acids. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2002; 102: 1621–1630
36. Esmeijer K, Geleijnse JM, de Fijter JW *et al*. Cardiovascular risk factors accelerate kidney function decline in post-myocardial infarction patients: The Alpha Omega Cohort Study. *Kidney Int Rep* 2018; 3: 879–888
37. Haring B, Selvin E, Liang M *et al*. Dietary protein sources and risk for incident chronic kidney disease: Results from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *J Ren Nutr* 2017; 27: 233–242
38. Berdanier CD, Dwyer JT, Feldman EB. *Handbook of Nutrition and Food*, 2nd edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2007, 529–540
39. Kovesdy CP, Kopple JD, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Management of protein-energy wasting in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease: reconciling low protein intake with nutritional therapy. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013; 97: 1163–1177
40. Willett WC, Howe GR, Kushi LH. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1997; 65: 1220S–1228S
41. Lew QJ, Jafar TH, Koh HW *et al*. Red meat intake and risk of ESRD. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2017; 28: 304–312
42. Knight EL, Stampfer MJ, Hankinson SE *et al*. The impact of protein intake on renal function decline in women with normal renal function or mild renal insufficiency. *Ann Intern Med* 2003; 138: 460–467

43. Halbesma N, Bakker SJ, Jansen DF *et al.* High protein intake associates with cardiovascular events but not with loss of renal function. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009; 20: 1797–1804
44. Herber-Gast GM, Biesbroek S, Verschuren WM *et al.* Association of dietary protein and dairy intakes and change in renal function: results from the population-based longitudinal Doetinchem cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2016; 104: 1712–1719
45. Hostetter TH, Olson JL, Rennke HG *et al.* Hyperfiltration in remnant nephrons: a potentially adverse response to renal ablation. *Am J Physiol* 1981; 241: F85–F93
46. Gansevoort RT, de Zeeuw D, de Jong PE. Additive antiproteinuric effect of ACE inhibition and a low-protein diet in human renal disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1995; 10: 497–504
47. Peters H, Border WA, Noble NA. Angiotensin II blockade and low-protein diet produce additive therapeutic effects in experimental glomerulonephritis. *Kidney Int* 2000; 57: 1493–1501
48. Schena FP, Gesualdo L. Pathogenetic mechanisms of diabetic nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005; 16 (3 Suppl 1): S30
49. Richter CK, Skulas-Ray AC, Champagne CM *et al.* Plant protein and animal proteins: do they differentially affect cardiovascular disease risk? *Adv Nutr* 2015; 6: 712–728
50. Huang SH, Sharma AP, Yasin A *et al.* Hyperfiltration affects accuracy of creatinine eGFR measurement. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; 6: 274–280
51. Dharnidharka VR, Kwon C, Stevens G. Serum cystatin C is superior to serum creatinine as a marker of kidney function: a meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002; 40: 221–226
52. Butani L, Polinsky MS, Kaiser BA *et al.* Dietary protein intake significantly affects the serum creatinine concentration. *Kidney Int* 2002; 61: 1907
53. Rehman A, Naqvi SA. Correlation between dietary protein intake, serum protein, blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine level in apparently healthy males and females. *J Pak Med Assoc* 1979; 29: 212–215
54. Tangri N, Stevens LA, Schmid CH *et al.* Changes in dietary protein intake has no effect on serum cystatin C levels independent of the glomerular filtration rate. *Kidney Int* 2011; 79: 471–477
55. Nair S, O'Brien SV, Hayden K *et al.* Effect of a cooked meat meal on serum creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate in diabetes-related kidney disease. *Diabetes Care* 2014; 37: 483–487
56. Boeing H. Nutritional epidemiology: New perspectives for understanding the diet-disease relationship? *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2013; 67: 424–429

Received: 1.8.2018; Editorial decision: 10.1.2019

Nephrol Dial Transplant (2020) 35: 115–121
doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfy211
Advance Access publication 10 July 2018

Risk of progressive chronic kidney disease in individuals with early-onset type 2 diabetes: a prospective cohort study

Jian-Jun Liu[1], Sylvia Liu[1], Resham L. Gurung[1], Keven Ang[1], Wern Ee Tang[2], Chee Fang Sum[3], Subramaniam Tavintharan[3] and Su Chi Lim[3,4]

[1]Clinical Research Unit, Khoo Teck Puat Hospital, Yishun, Singapore, [2]Department of Medicine, National Healthcare Group Polyclinics, Singapore, [3]Diabetes Centre, Khoo Teck Puat Hospital, Singapore and [4]Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Correspondence and offprint requests to: Su Chi Lim; E-mail: lim.su.chi@ktph.com.sg

ABSTRACT

Background. The progression trajectory of renal filtration function has not been well characterized in patients with early-onset type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) although albuminuria is often reported in this population. We aim to study the risk of progressive chronic kidney disease (CKD) in individuals with early-onset T2DM.

Methods. In total, 1189 T2DM participants were followed for 3.9 (interquartile range 3.2–4.7) years. Progressive CKD was defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline of $\geq$5 mL/min/1.73 m^2 per year. Early-onset T2DM was defined as age at T2DM diagnosis between 18 and 30 years.

Results. Compared with later-onset counterparts ($N = 1032$), participants with early-onset T2DM ($N = 157$) were more obese and had poorer glycaemic control at baseline. In the follow-up, 24.2% and 15.6% experienced progressive CKD in early-onset and later-onset participants, respectively ($P = 0.007$). Logistic regression suggested that participants with early-onset T2DM had 2.63-fold [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.46–4.75] higher risk of progressive CKD after accounting for multiple traditional risk factors. Furthermore, the excess risk of progressive CKD associated with early-onset T2DM mainly occurred in participants with preserved renal function [eGFR $\geq$60 mL/min/1.73 m^2, odds ratio (OR) 2.85, 95% CI 1.50–5.42] and was more pronounced in those with diabetes duration $<$10 years (OR 3.67, 95% CI 1.51–8.90).

Conclusions. Individuals with early-onset T2DM have a higher risk of progressive CKD. The excess risk mainly exhibits in early stage of CKD and cannot be solely attributed to traditional risk factors and a longer diabetes duration.

Keywords: chronic kidney disease, diabetic kidney disease, early-onset, renal progression, type 2 diabetes mellitus