INTERACTION OF β 1H GLOBULIN WITH CELL-BOUND C3b:

Quantitative Analysis of Binding and Influence of Alternative Pathway Components on Binding*

By DANIEL H. CONRAD‡, JAIME R. CARLO‡, AND SHAUN RUDDY

(From the Division of Immunology and Connective Tissue Diseases, Medical College of Virginia, Health Sciences Division, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298)

Participation of C3b, the major cleavage product of C3, in both the classical and alternative pathways of complement activation is modulated by several control proteins (1-3). Two of these, C3b inactivator (C3bINA)¹ (4, 5) and β 1H-globulin (β 1H) (2), have been extensively purified and characterized. It is now apparent that C3bINA is a protease, and that it blocks the biologic activities of C3b by cleaving peptide bonds in this molecule (1, 4, 6). The second protein, β 1H, potentiates the activity of C3bINA; indeed, recent evidence indicates an absolute requirement for β 1H in the cleavage of fluid phase C3b by C3bINA (4). In addition, highly purified β 1H by itself both directly inhibits the activity of C3b (4) and accelerates the rate of decay of the alternative pathway convertases, C3bB and C3bBP (2, 7).

Of great interest is the mechanism by which $\beta 1H$ exerts these effects. No proteolytic activity that can be directly ascribed to $\beta 1H$ has been found. Direct binding of $\beta 1H$ to C3b and subsequent steric interference with the interaction of C3b with factor B and/or C5 is the most straightforward explanation; two lines of evidence, fluid phase depletion and agglutination by antibody to $\beta 1H$ of EAC43 previously exposed to $\beta 1H$ (8), had indicated that such binding occurs. More recently, both this laboratory (9) and another (10) have presented further information about the binding of $\beta 1H$ to C3b-coated particles. The studies reported here give quantitative measurement of strength and valence of this binding, examine the influence of fluid phase C3 and C3b on it, and determine the effects that factor B (B) and properdin (P), which also bind to C3b, have on the binding of $\beta 1H$ to C3b-coated cells.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. Bio-Rad Ag-1-X-10 (chloride form), Bio-Rex 70, electrophoresis grade polyacrylamide, bis-acrylamide, and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) were obtained from Bio-Rad Laborato-

^{*} Supported by grant AI 13049 from the National Institutes of Health and an Arthritis Clinical Research Center Grant from the Arthritis Foundation, New York. This is publication no. 120 from the Charles W. Thomas Fund, Medical College of Virginia.

 $[\]ddagger$ Post doctoral trainees supported by training grant T32 AM 07079 from the National Institutes of Health.

¹ Abbreviations used in this paper: A, rabbit antibody; B, factor B; $\beta 1H$, $\beta 1H$ globulin; C3bINA, C3b inactivator; DGVB⁺⁺, equal volumes of GVB⁺⁺ and D5W⁺⁺; D5W⁺⁺, 5% dextrose in water; E, sheep erythrocytes; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; GVB⁺⁻, 0.1% gelatin veronal buffer; P, properdin; SBTI, soybean trypsin inhibitor; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate; VBS, veronal-buffered saline.

ries, Richmond, Calif. Radioiodide, both ¹³¹I and carrier-free ¹²⁵I, was obtained from Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, Ill. Trypsin and soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI) were obtained from Worthington Biochemical Corp., Freehold, N. J. Bovine serum albumin (Cohn Fraction V) was obtained from Calbiochem, San Diego, Calif. Las-R human complement C3 reagent kit was purchased from Hyland Diagnostics Div., Travenol Laboratories, Costa Mesa, Calif., and Sepharose 4B from Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Inc., Piscataway, N. J.

Buffers. Isotonic veronal-buffered saline (VBS) containing 0.00015 M Ca⁺⁺, 0.0005 M Mg⁺⁺, and 0.1% gelatin (GVB⁺⁺) and 5% dextrose in water containing the same concentrations of divalent cations (D5W⁺⁺) were mixed in equal volumes; the resulting buffer, ionic strength of 0.065 and pH 7.4, is referred to as DGVB⁺⁺ and was used in all binding studies. GVB⁻⁻ was made as described above except that the divalent cations were not present. A stock solution of 0.086 M EDTA, pH 7.5, was diluted in GVB⁻⁻ to prepare 0.04 M EDTA GVB⁻⁻.

Component Purification. Guinea pig C1 (11) and human C2 (12) were prepared as published elsewhere. Partially purified factor B was obtained by a modification of the procedure of Götze and Müller-Eberhard (13). Normal human serum was adjusted to 40% Na_2SO_4 and the resulting precipitate was redissolved and subjected to chromatography on Bio-Rex 70 (13). Properdin was prepared by a modification in the procedure of Pensky et al. (14). The high salt eluate from zymosan was dialyzed against low ionic strength buffer, and the resulting P-containing precipitate was redissolved in VBS and subjected to chromatography on a Sephadex G-200 column. B (15) and P (16) were measured hemolytically as described elsewhere. Highly purified human C3 was prepared as described by Tack and Prahl (17), and β 1H was prepared as described by Whaley and Ruddy (2). C3b was prepared from the purified C3 with trypsin and SBTI as described by Bokisch et al. (18).

Antisera. Antisera to C3, B, P, and β 1H were induced in goats and were subsequently used in radial immunodiffusion (19) to determine the concentration of the various components. Pooled human serum, which had been previously calibrated against purified C3, B, P, and β 1H, served as the standard. In radiolabeled preparations, concentrations of C3 and β 1H were determined nephelometrically with a Hyland Laser Nephelometer PDQ Instrument (Hyland Diagnostics Div.) and a Hyland LAS-R human complement C3 kit for C3 determinations. When β 1H was measured nephelometrically, doubling dilutions of pooled human serum (1:12.5 to 1:400) were used as standards. The 1:12.5 dilution was first filtered through a 0.4- μ m Nucleopore filter (Nucleopore Corp., Pleasanton, Calif.) before further diluting. Rabbit anti- β 1H was diluted with saline and subsequently an equal volume of phosphate-buffered saline (0.01 M PO₄---, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.4) containing 4% polyethylene glycol was added to give a final antibody dilution of 1:60. 50 μ 1 of standards or appropriately diluted unknowns were added to 1 ml antibody dilutions and after 1 h at room temperature were examined for light scatter in the nephelometer; 50 μ 1 of the same samples added to 1 ml of saline served as blank controls.

The purity of the 125 I-labeled β 1H (125 I- β 1H) (see below) was also estimated by testing the ability of the preparation to be insolubilized with the monospecific goat anti- β 1H. 125 I- β 1H was mixed with an excess of goat anti- β 1H, and after 30 min at 37°C the β 1H-anti- β 1H complexes were precipitated by adding a predetermined optimal amount of rabbit anti-goat IgG (Atlantic Antibodies, Westbrook, Maine). After 1 h at 37°C and overnight at 4°C, the complexes were washed three times with saline and the radioactivity remaining with the precipitate was measured. All β 1H preparations tested in this manner were 80-87% precipitable by the anti- β 1H.

Immunofluorescent Staining. The globulin fraction of goat anti- β 1H was conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) according to the method of Herbert et al. (20). The fluorescein to protein ratio (molar) of the final preparation was 2:1. Approximately 100 μ g of β 1H was covalently linked to Sepharose 4B (see below), and subsequently the specificity of the anti- β 1H was confirmed in blocking experiments whereby fluorescent staining of the Sepharose-bound β 1H was inhibited by reacting the FITC-anti- β 1H with highly purified β 1H.

The cells were examined for fluorescence by using a Zeiss photomicroscope II (Carl Zeiss, Inc., New York) with a HBO 200 light source, FITC excitation primary filter, and a 530-nm secondary filter.

Radioiodination. Highly purified $\beta 1H$ and C3 were radioiodinated with ¹²⁵I (carrier free) or ¹³¹I by the use of the chloramine T procedure (21). Unbound iodide was removed by ion exchange chromatography with Bio-Rad Ag 1-X-10 (chloride form) and overnight dialysis versus VBS. In the final preparations the radioiodide was 90-98% precipitable with 10% trichloroacetic acid. The specific activities obtained were in the range of 2-5 × 10° cpm/ μ g and 0.1-1.0 × 10° cpm/ μ g for

 β 1H and C3, respectively. Bovine serum albumin (Cohn Fraction V) was added to the stock solutions of the radiolabeled proteins, and storage was at -70° C. The correspondences between ¹²⁵I and ¹³¹I counts and numbers of molecules of β 1H or C3 were calculated from the specific activities of the iodinated proteins, using Avogadro's number and mol wt of 185,000 and 150,000 daltons (2) for C3 and β 1H, respectively.

Cellular Intermediates. Sheep erythrocytes (E) were sensitized with rabbit antibody (A), and EAC4 were prepared and stored at -70° C in a glycerol-containing medium as described elsewhere (22). EAC4 were thawed as needed. EAC14 and EAC14°×'2 were prepared by using guinea pig C1 and human C2 which had been oxidized with I₂ (23). EAC43 were prepared with nonoxidized C2 as described previously (15); the EAC43 did not lyse when exposed to a C3-9 source (rat serum diluted 1:15 in 0.04 M EDTA GVB⁻). EAC14°×'23 and EAC14°×'2 ¹³¹I-C3 were prepared by incubating EAC14°×'2 in DGVB⁺⁺ with purified C3 (either unlabeled or ¹³¹I-labeled) for 30 min at 37°C followed by three washes with DGVB⁺⁺.

Counting Technique. Measurements of 125 I, 131 I, and 22 Na were made in a dual channel gamma counter (model 1185, Searle Analytic, Chicago, Ill.). When all three isotopes were used simultaneously, the samples were counted twice, first for 125 I and 22 Na and then for 131 I and 22 Na. Channel settings were adjusted such that 0.1% or less spillover of the lower energy isotope (order of energy: 22 Na > 131 I > 125 I) into the higher one(s) occurred. The 125 I cpm was thus corrected for spillover of 22 Na and, when necessary, 131 I; 131 I cpm was corrected for 22 Na spillover. Background corrections were also made for all channels.

Radioactive Binding Assays. To avoid extensive manipulation of cells, 22 Na was used as a volume marker for unbound 125 I- β 1H remaining with the cells. In a typical experiment, prepared cellular intermediates were incubated with 125 I- β 1H for 15 min at 30°C in a vol of 1 ml. The cells were then sedimented by centrifugation and 0.1 ml of supernate removed. Approximately 90% of the remaining supernate was then removed by aspiration and the cells quantitatively transferred with DGVB⁺⁺ into a clean tube. Radioactive determinations were then made on the supernatant aliquot and the cell pellet. Based on the assumption that the ratio of free 125 I- β 1H and 22 Na in the incubation solution was constant, the amount of β 1H bound to the cells was determined by the following formula:

$$cpm^{125}I = \beta 1H bound = A - (x/y)(z)$$

where A is the total ¹²⁵I cpm in the cell pellet, x is the ²²Na cpm in the cell pellet, y is the ²²Na cpm in the supernate, and z is the ¹²⁵I cpm in the supernate. This method of calculation is similar to that described by Tsay and Schlamowitz (24). In situations where the ¹³¹I-C3 was used to quantitate the amount of cell bound C3b, supernatant corrections with ²²Na were unnecessary since there was essentially no ¹³¹I-C3 in the fluid phase.

In binding assays in which the objective was determination of binding parameters, EAC14°×23 or EAC14°×2 131I-C3 were incubated for 15 min at 30°C with various amounts $(0.1-2 \ \mu g)$ of $^{125}I-\beta 1H$ in a total vol of 1 ml, and subsequently the bound $^{125}I-\beta 1H$ was determined as described above. The experimental data were then plotted according to the method of Scatchard (25).

$$r/c = nK - rK$$

where r represents the number of β 1H molecules bound per cell (or alternatively per ¹³¹I-C3b molecule), K is the average association constant, n the total number of binding sites per cell (or per C3b), and c is the concentration of free bindable ¹²⁵I- β 1H. c was calculated as follows:

$$c = (\beta 1 H_T)(MB) - \beta 1 H_b,$$

where $\beta 1H_T$ is total $\beta 1H$ added (molecules/milliliter), MB is the maximal binding ability of the $\beta 1H$ preparation, and $\beta 1H_b$ is the number of molecules of $\beta 1H$ bound to the cell. In the final Scatchard plots, the best straight line for the experimental points was computed by the method of least squares using a Wang WCS-20 computer. K was obtained from the slope of this line and was converted to the more familiar liters per mole units by using Avogadro's number and a mol wt of 150,000 daltons (2) for $\beta 1H$. The maximal number of $\beta 1H$ binding sites (n) was obtained from the intercept of the line with the abscissa. This intercept represents an infinite free concentration of $\beta 1H$.

Other Analytical Procedures. Immunoelectrophoresis was performed by standard technique (26). SDS-gel electrophoresis with 7.5% separation gels was performed by the method of Laemmli

(27). Immunoadsorbents and β 1H-Sepharose were prepared by linking the respective protein to Sepharose 4B by the cyanogen bromide procedure (28).

Results

Congruent Immunofluorescent Staining and Agglutination by Anti- $\beta 1H$. Direct visual demonstration of both binding of $\beta 1H$ to EAC14°×23 and agglutination of such cells by anti- $\beta 1H$ was obtained. For this experiment, EAC14°×23 were prepared as described in Materials and Methods. One-half of the cell preparation (1.0 × 10⁸ cells/ml) was then incubated for 15 min at 30°C with 12 μg $\beta 1H$ in a vol of 0.6 ml, and the other half with DGVB⁺⁺ alone. After washing three times with DGVB⁺⁺, the two cell populations were remixed and subsequently allowed to interact for 30 min at 25°C with fluoresceinated anti- $\beta 1H$. After washing in DGVB⁺⁺, the cell mixture was mounted on glass slides and examined by both phase and fluorescent microscopy. There was gross aggregation of some, but not all, of the cells. When examined by fluorescence microscopy, only the cells that stained for $\beta 1H$ by immunofluorescence were agglutinated, thus indicating that the agglutination was associated with the presence of bound $\beta 1H$ on the cells.

Relation between $\beta 1H$ Binding and Amount of Surface-Bound C3b. Using $^{125}\text{I-}\beta 1H$, the dependence of $\beta 1H$ binding on the presence of C3b was directly examined. Populations of cells bearing varying amounts of C3b on their surfaces were made by treating EAC140xy 2 (5 × 107 per ml) with C3 concentrations ranging from 0 to 45.6 μ g/ml for 30 min at 37°C. The cells were washed three times with DGVB⁺⁺, exposed to 0.9 μ g/ml of $^{125}\text{I-}\beta 1H$ for 15 min at 30°C, and then washed three more times with DGVB⁺⁺. After transferring to clean tubes, the amount of $^{125}\text{I-}\beta 1H$ bound was determined. EAC140xy 23 generated with increasing amounts of C3 bound increasing amounts of $^{125}\text{I-}\beta 1H$ (Fig. 1). Approximately 29,000 molecules of $^{125}\text{I-}\beta 1H$ were bound per cell at the highest input of C3; this represented 37% of the available $^{125}\text{I-}\beta 1H$.

Maximum Binding Ability of ¹²⁵I-β1H Preparations. The proportion of ¹²⁵Iβ1H in a given preparation which was capable of binding to C3b was examined in the following experiment using an excess of cells relative to the concentration of ¹²⁵I-β1H. Varying numbers of EA, EAC14, EAC14^{0xy}2, or EAC14^{0xy}23 were incubated with 0.1 µg of ¹²⁵I-\(\theta\)1H for 15 min at 30°C, and the amount of binding determined by the ²²Na procedure described in Materials and Methods. This procedure was used to allow the detection of low affinity binding of the 125I-\beta1H by preventing any loss of bound 125 I-β1H due to washing. Significant binding of the ¹²⁵I-β1H occurred only with EAC14^{oxy}23 intermediate (Fig. 2). Even with maximal numbers of these cells, however, only 48% of the ¹²⁵I-β1H was bound. In similar experiments with three other β 1H preparations, maximal binding abilities ranged from 30 to 62%. Addition of fresh EAC140xy23 to supernates containing 125I-\(\theta\)1H which had been previously exposed to EAC140xy23 did not result in any additional binding of the ¹²⁵I-β1H, indicating that the unbound material did not have the capacity to bind to cell-bound C3b. As indicated in Materials and Methods, 80–87% of the labeled β1H preparation was precipitated by monospecific goat anti- β 1H. Kinetic experiments (data not shown) indicated that binding equilibrium was reached as early as 5 min at 30°C; thus, it is clear that some of the ¹²⁵I-\(\theta\)1H was not bindable. Possible reasons for this are




Fig. 1. 125 I- β 1H binding by EAC14 oxy 23 generated with increasing concentrations of C3. The bars indicate the standard error of the mean for triplicate determinations.




Fig. 2. Percent of ¹²⁵I- β IH bound by varying numbers of EA (\triangle), EAC14 (\bigcirc), EAC14^{ox3}2 (\blacksquare) or EAC14^{ox3}23 (\bullet).

considered in the Discussion, but it should be noted here that in all of the quantitative analyses of 125 I- β 1H binding, the maximum binding ability for the particular preparation was used in the calculations.

Quantitative Analysis of $\beta 1H$ Binding. When a constant number of EAC14°××23 (5 × 10⁶ cells) is incubated for 15 min at 30°C with increasing concentrations of ¹²⁵I- $\beta 1H$, and $\beta 1H$ binding initially increases rapidly and then tends to level off as the binding sites become saturated. A plot of the raw data from an experiment of this type is shown in Fig. 3 a. Shown also is the same data corrected for the small amount of binding when EAC14°××2 was used instead of the EAC14°××23.

To estimate the binding constants of this reaction, the experimental data were subjected to the Scatchard analysis as described in Materials and Methods (Fig. 3b). Least squares analysis of the data gave an average association




Fig. 3. Quantitative analysis of the interaction of varying concentrations of ^{125}I - $\beta 1H$ with EAC14°××23. In the upper panel the cpm ^{125}I - $\beta 1H$ bound is shown as a function of the amount of ^{125}I - $\beta 1H$ added; the lower panel is a Scatchard analysis of the same data (see text). In both, total binding to EAC14°××23 (•) and binding corrected for EAC14°××2 (•) are shown. In the lower panel the dashed straight line has been fit by the method of least squares to the corrected data, and the unbroken line is a smooth curve drawn by hand through the same points.

constant (K) of 2.3×10^9 L/M for this experiment; the range obtained in five similar experiments was $2-5 \times 10^9$ L/M. Although the correlation coefficient for a straight line, obtained via the least squares analysis, was greater than 0.9 in all five experiments, the smoothest curve through the data points was always concave towards the abscissa (see Fig. 3b) rather than straight. As is also evident from Fig. 3b, the nonlinearity is not due to β 1H binding to EAC14°×2, since correction for this binding does not greatly improve the straight line fit. Possible reasons for this deviation from linearity are given in the Discussion.

Extrapolation of the experimental data points in Fig. 3b to the abscissa indicates a maximum of $60-70,000^{-125}I-\beta1H$ molecules bound per cell. In the Scatchard analysis shown in Fig. 4, EAC14^{oxy}2 ¹³¹I-C3 were used to determine the number of $\beta1H$ molecules bound per C3b molecule. Except for the ¹³¹I-C3, the experimental conditions were identical to those used for Fig. 3. In Fig. 4, therefore, r represents the number of $\beta1H$ molecules bound per C3b molecule. A K value of 3.9×10^9 L/M was obtained from the slope of the line, and

extrapolation to the abscissa indicates a value for r of 0.5, equivalent to an average of one molecule of $\beta 1H$ per two bound C3b molecules. The range for r in the three experiments done in this manner was 0.5 to 0.8 $\beta 1H$ per C3b; in no experiment was a 1 to 1 relationship achieved.

Influence of Fluid Phase C3 and C3b on the Binding of $\beta 1H$ to Cell Bound C3b. Evidence that interaction between C3b and $\beta 1H$ occurs in the fluid phase is provided by the data in Table I. For this experiment, tubes containing a constant amount $(0.1 \ \mu g)$ of ^{125}I - $\beta 1H$ and increasing concentrations of either native C3 or C3b (prepared as described in Materials and Methods) or unlabeled $\beta 1H$ were incubated for 15 min at 30°C with 5×10^6 EAC43 in a total vol of 1 ml. The amount of ^{125}I - $\beta 1H$ bound was determined by the ^{22}Na procedure. Table I compares the concentration of unlabeled $\beta 1H$ required for 50% inhibition of ^{125}I - $\beta 1H$ binding with the amounts of C3 and C3b required for similar inhibition. At relatively high concentrations (approximately 1,000-fold molar excess over $\beta 1H$), both native C3 and C3b inhibit binding of ^{125}I - $\beta 1H$ to C3b-bearing cells. Contamination of the C3 or C3b preparations with small amounts of $\beta 1H$ does not explain the results shown in Table I, since absorption with anti- $\beta 1H$ conjugated to Sepharose 4B has no effect on their inhibitory capacity. Similarly,




Fig. 4. Scatchard analysis of binding of 125 I- β 1H to EAC14°×23 prepared with 131 I-C3. The latter allowed the enumeration of the numbers of C3b molecules which was 64,000/cell for this experiment. As in Fig. 4, total binding (\bullet) and that corrected for EAC14°×2 (\blacktriangle) binding are given. The dashed and smooth lines are also the same as in Fig. 3.

Table I Inhibition of ¹²⁵I-βIH Binding to EAC43 by βIH, C3b, or C3

Inhibitor	50% inhibitory con- centration	Relative molar inhibitory concentration (50%)*
	ng/ml	
β 1H	125	1
C3b	141,250	942
C3	178,000	1,142

^{*} Refers to the molar excess over β1H required for 50% inhibition.

contamination of the native C3 with C3b does not explain their approximately equivalent inhibitory capacity. No alteration in the electrophoretic mobility of the native C3 was seen by immunoelectrophoresis, and a single sharp band corresponding to the C3 α -chain was found when the preparation was examined by SDS-gel electrophoresis under reducing conditions.

Influence of Factor B on the Binding of $\beta 1H$ to Cell Bound C3b. As was the case for C3 and C3b, fluid phase B also inhibited the equilibrium binding of $\beta 1H$ to C3b-coated cells (Fig. 5). For this experiment, 0.1 μ g of 125 I- $\beta 1H$ was mixed with increasing concentrations of either B, or for comparison, unlabeled $\beta 1H$. EAC14 $^{\text{exy}}$ 23 (5 × 10⁶ cells) were then added and after 15 min at 30°C, the amount of 125 I- $\beta 1H$ bound was determined by the 22 Na procedure. As seen in Fig. 5, B caused a dose-dependent inhibition of 125 I- $\beta 1H$ binding to the cells; on a molar basis 280-fold more B than unlabeled $\beta 1H$ was required for 50% inhibition of 125 I- $\beta 1H$ binding.

 $\beta 1H$ has been previously shown to enhance the decay of factor B from EAC43B cells (2, 7). The experimental data shown in Fig. 6 demonstrated that the converse is also true in that B can cause enhanced release of $\beta 1H$ from EAC43· $\beta 1H^2$ cells. For this experiment increasing concentrations of B were incubated for 15 min at 30°C with 5 × 10⁷ EAC43· $\beta 1H$ bearing 4,100 molecules/cell of ¹²⁵I- $\beta 1H$. Subsequently, the cells were washed three times with DGVB⁺⁺ and the amount of ¹²⁵I- $\beta 1H$ remaining bound to the cells was determined. In the absence of B, 12% of the bound $\beta 1H$ was released; over and above this value, the percentage of bound ¹²⁵I- $\beta 1H$ released was directly proportional to the concentration of B added.

Influence of Properdin on $\beta 1H$ Binding to Cell Bound C3b. In view of the stabilizing effect that properdin has on the interaction between B and C3b (16), it was of interest to examine its influence on $\beta 1H$ binding. EAC43 cells (5 × 10⁶) were incubated for 15 min at 30°C with various amounts of P and 0.1 μg of ¹²⁵I- $\beta 1H$. As can be seen from the results shown in Table II, P caused a dose-dependent enhancement of binding of ¹²⁵I- $\beta 1H$ to EAC43 cells. When an amount of B sufficient to inhibit approximately 50% of the ¹²⁵I- $\beta 1H$ binding was added, the enhancing effect of P was lost.

In a separate experiment, a Scatchard analysis of $^{125}\text{I-}\beta1\text{H}$ binding to EAC43 cells in the presence and absence of a constant amount of P was performed. Two parallel curves were found, indicating that P did not change the affinity of $\beta1\text{H}$ binding, but rather made more C3b sites accessible to the $\beta1\text{H}$.

Discussion

The purpose of the present work was to delineate clearly the C3b binding activity of $\beta 1H$ globulin and to investigate the influence that other proteins which bind to C3b have on the interaction of $\beta 1H$ with cell-bound C3b. Previous work had demonstrated agglutinability of EAC43 cells which had been incubated with $\beta 1H$ (8). The fluoresceinated anti- $\beta 1H$ used herein clearly demonstrates that this agglutination was due to bound $\beta 1H$. Evidence that the $\beta 1H$ is binding to the C3b and not to the cell surface or other complement components is seen in the direct relation between the binding of ^{125}I - $\beta 1H$, and the amount of

² We propose this symbol, EAC43 \cdot β 1H to indicate an intermediate bearing bound β 1H.




Fig. 5. Inhibition of ¹²⁵I- β 1H binding to EAC14^{oxy}23 by unlabeled β 1H (\blacksquare) or B (\bullet).




Fig. 6. Removal of $^{125}I-\beta1H$ from EAC43- $\beta1H$ by varying concentrations of B. Values for triplicate determinations are shown.

C3 used to generate the EAC14°×23 (Fig. 1). In addition very little 125 I- β 1H binds to the cellular intermediates EA, EAC14, or EAC14°×2 (Fig. 2).

In the majority of the binding experiments which used $^{125}\text{I-}\beta1\text{H}$, ^{22}Na was used to correct for fluid phase (unbound) $\beta1\text{H}$. This procedure eliminates the necessity of washing and in addition allows the observation of weak binding interactions (24). However, the binding affinity of $\beta1\text{H}$ turned out to be quite high; thus little difference was seen in experiments where three washes were used in place of the ^{22}Na procedure (data not shown).

The observation that not all of the $^{125}\text{I-}\beta1\text{H}$ was capable of binding to cell bound C3b, even when the C3b was in obvious excess (Fig. 2), was surprising. The most likely reason is simply that the C3b binding site on the $\beta1\text{H}$ is somewhat labile, and while antigenically it can be recognized as $\beta1\text{H}$, the C3b

Table II
Enhancement of 125I-β1H Binding to EAC43 by Properdin and
Its Reversal by Factor B

Agent (µg added)	Molecules ¹²⁵ I-β1H bound per cell	Percent of control
Buffer	7,643	100
P(0.01)	8,053	105.4
P (0.05)	8,537	111.7
P (0.25)	9,568	125.2
P(0.25) + B(40)	3,715	48.6
B (40)	4,069	53 .2

binding ability is lost. It does not seem likely that the loss of binding ability is related to the radioiodination procedure; this level of labeling ($2-8\times10^6$ cpm $^{125}\text{I}/\mu\text{g}$ $\beta1\text{H}$) represents an average less than 0.5 atoms of ^{125}I per $\beta1\text{H}$ molecule which is a relatively low level of labeling. Also, competition experiments (Fig. 5 and Table I) indicated that unlabeled $\beta1\text{H}$ was as effective as labeled preparations in binding to C3b. One other possibility to explain the decreased maximum binding would be release of C3b from the cells, and inhibition of $\beta1\text{H}$ binding by the fluid phase C3b such as seen in Table I. However, this would be a viable possibility only if this released C3b was much more effective in inhibition than the trypsin-produced C3b used in Table I.

As discussed by DeMeyts et al. (29), determination of the maximal binding ability of the binding ligand in question is important with respect to further analysis of the binding data. If this is not done, the experimentally determined binding constants will be low (29). For this reason, in the calculation of the free $\beta 1H$ concentrations the total $\beta 1H$ added was adjusted to correspond to this experimentally determined maximal binding value.

The method of Scatchard (25) was chosen to analyze the β 1H binding data. This approach has been used in many protein-ligand binding studies and, more recently, in cell receptor-protein binding situations (30, 31). The slope of the line is equal to -K and the intercept at the abscissa is maximum number of binding sites. As stated in Results, the best line through the data points (see Figs. 3a and 4) is concave towards the origin. There are three generally accepted reasons for deviation from linearity in this situation (29): (a) The binding site itself is structurally heterogeneous, as are the combining sites of various antibodies directed against the same antigen; the different sites might have different affinities. (b) Two or more entirely different classes of binding sites are present, each with different affinity for the ligand. This is frequently observed in experiments in which the amount of nonspecific binding is large. (c) Cooperativity between sites may result in changing affinity as sites become occupied. A concave plot is consistent with negative cooperativity (29), in which unfilled sites have a lower affinity for the ligand as increasing numbers of sites become filled.

For the interaction between $\beta 1H$ and C3b, site heterogeneity appears unlikely, since both proteins are supposed to be homogeneous. However, polymorphic forms of C3 are known to exist (32), and $\beta 1H$ has been shown to exhibit some microheterogeneity when subjected to isoelectric focusing (33); thus it is not possible to exclude site heterogeneity as the reason for the nonlinearity of

the Scatchard plots in the present study. The second reason, independent classes of binding sites, has been effectively ruled out, since the second class of sites would be those present on EAC14° NN 2, and subtraction of the small amount of binding to this intermediate still does not linearize the plots. The final reason, negative cooperativity, appears the most likely: C3b is known to be deposited on the cell surface in a nonrandom manner (34); as the β 1H binding sites on the C3b become occupied, the remaining C3b molecules, due to steric reasons, may be less accessible to additional β 1H.

The maximum number of binding sites, n, may be underestimated when a concave Scatchard plot such as observed for the interaction of C3b and β 1H is extrapolated in a linear fashion. Thus it is possible that the ratio of β 1H to C3b might approach 1:1 if sufficiently high β 1H concentrations were examined.

The functional consequences of the interaction between C3b and β 1H in the fluid phase have been demonstrated by Fearon and Austen (35). Only a small amount of turnover of C3 and factor B was observed in mixtures of C3, B, D, C3bINA, and β 1H at concentrations similar to those found in serum. However, if β 1H was left out of the reaction mixture both C3 and B were rapidly converted to hemolytically inactive components. Pangburn et al. (4), suggested that β 1H was absolutely essential for C3bINA activity on C3b in fluid phase reactions. The inhibitory activity of native C3 and C3b on β 1H binding seen in Table I is further evidence of the fluid phase interaction of β 1H with C3 and C3b. In spite of the above, direct demonstration of complex formation between C3b and β 1H in the fluid phase has not yet been achieved.

When examined for their effect of $\beta 1H$ binding to cell bound C3b, the two other C3b binding proteins, B and P, have essentially opposite effects. B both displaces bound $\beta 1H$ (Fig. 6) from the cell and inhibits the equilibrium binding of $\beta 1H$ to C3b (Fig. 5). This suggests that factor B and $\beta 1H$ interact with the same or closely adjacent sites on the C3b molecule. Others have attributed the ability of substances to activate the alternative pathway to their furnishing a protective "microenvironment," in which C3b bound to their surfaces is less accessible to inhibition by $\beta 1H$ (10, 35, 36). Since the interaction of B with C3b on these same surfaces is supposedly undiminished, these data suggest that B and $\beta 1H$ interact with different sites on C3b. There is no obvious explanation for this apparent paradox.

In the absence of B, P appears to increase the availability of C3b sites for $\beta 1H$ binding. It is evident from the work of Fearon and Austen (16) that P increases the hemolytic activity of factor B. This increase has been attributed to stabilization of the alternative pathway convertase (16), but properdin may cause increased equilibrium binding of B as well. The ability of properdin to extend the half-life of bound $\beta 1H$ is currently being investigated.

Summary

Purified β 1H globulin (β 1H) was shown to bind to C3b coated cells by both immunofluorescent and radioactive tracer techniques. With EAC43, the amount of β 1H bound was directly proportional to the amount of C3 used to prepare the cells; EA, EAC14 and EAC14^{oxv}2 bound very small amounts of β 1H. The C3b binding site on β 1H was labile in that not all of the purified ¹²⁵I- β 1H was

capable of binding to C3b, even when an excess of cell-bound C3b was present. Scatchard analysis of binding of $\beta 1H$ to C3b-coated cells indicated an equilibrium constant of 10^9 L/M. Deviations from linearity were regularly found on Scatchard analyses. This was consistent with the hypothesis that the $\beta 1H$ binding sites exhibit negative cooperativity in that as more sites become occupied, it becomes more difficult to fill the remaining sites. The stoichiometry of the reaction between C3b and $\beta 1H$ was examined using EAC14^{oxy}23 prepared with ¹³¹I-C3 and $\beta 1H$ labeled with ¹²⁵I. Between 0.5–0.8 $\beta 1H$ molecules were bound per C3b molecule.

Other alternative pathway components influenced the binding of 125 I- β 1H to cell bound C3b. Both C3b and native C3 inhibited binding of labeled β 1H at an efficiency approximately 1/1,000 that of unlabeled β 1H. Factor B inhibited binding with 1/280 the efficiency of unlabeled β 1H. Properdin caused a dose-dependent increase in the binding of β 1H; this enhancement was abrogated if B was also present in the reaction mixture. Scatchard analysis indicated that the enhancement of β 1H binding by P resulted in an increased number of available binding sites rather than an increase in the affinity of binding.

The authors would like to express their appreciation to Mr. Don Purkall for excellent technical assistance and to Mr. Peter Evans for assistance with the nephelometry.

Received for publication 26 January 1978.

References

- 1. Ruddy, S., and K. F. Austen. 1971. C3b inactivator in man. II. Fragments produced by C3b inactivator cleavage of cell-bound or fluid phase C3b. J. Immunol. 107:742.
- Whaley, K., and S. Ruddy. 1977. Modulation of the alternative complement pathway by β1H globulin. J. Exp. Med. 144:1147.
- 3. Nagasawa, S., and R. M. Stroud. 1978. C3bINA and its macromolecular weight cofactor; purification and characterization. J. Immunol. In press (Abstr.)
- Pangburn, M. K., R. D. Schreiber, and H. J. Müller-Eberhard. 1977. Human complement C3b inactivator: isolation, characterization, and demonstration of an absolute requirement for the serum protein β1H for cleavage of C3b and C4b in solution. J. Exp. Med. 146:257.
- Fearon, D. T. 1977. Purification of C3b inactivator and demonstration of its two polypeptide chain structure. J. Immunol. 119:1248.
- Lachmann, P. J., and H. J. Müller-Eberhard. 1968. The demonstration in human serum of "conglutinogen-activating factor" and its effect on the third component of complement. J. Immunol. 100:691.
- Weiler, J. M., M. D. Daha, K. F. Austen, and D. T. Fearon. 1976. Control of the amplification convertase of complement by the plasma protein β1H. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 73:3268.
- 8. Whaley, K., and S. Ruddy. 1976. Modulation of C3b hemolytic activity by a plasma protein distinct from C3b inactivator. *Science* (Wash. D.C.). 193:1011.
- Ruddy, S., J. Carlo, and D. Conrad. 1978. Mechanism of action of β1H globulin on C3b. J. Immunol. In press (Abstr.)
- Pangburn, M. K., and H. J. Müller-Eberhard. 1978. Molecular interactions of the control proteins C3b inactivator and β1H with various complement intermediates. J. Immunol. In press. (Abstr.)
- 11. Nelson, R. A., Jr., J. Jensen, I. Gigli, and R. Tamura. 1966. Methods for the separation, purification, and measurements of the nine components of hemolytic

- complement in guinea pig serum. Immunochemistry. 3:111.
- 12. Ruddy, S., and K. F. Austen. 1967. A stoichiometric assay for the fourth component of complement in whole human serum using EAC'lagp and functionally pure human second component. J. Immunol. 99:1162.
- 13. Götze, O., and H. J. Müller-Eberhard. 1971. The C3-activator system: an alternate pathway of complement activation. J. Exp. Med. 134:90s.
- Pensky, J., C. F. Hinz, Jr., E. W. Todd, R. J. Wedgwood, J. T. Boyer, and I. Lepow. 1968. Properties of highly purified human properdin. J. Immunol. 100:142.
- 15. Fearon, D. T., K. F. Austen, and S. Ruddy. 1973. Formation of a hemolytically active cellular intermediate by the interaction between properdin factors B and D and the activated third component of complement. J. Exp. Med. 138:1305.
- 16. Fearon, D. T., and K. F. Austen. 1975. Properdin: binding to C3b and stabilization of the C3b-dependent C3 convertase. J. Exp. Med. 142:856.
- 17. Tack, B. F., and J. W. Prahl. 1976. Third component of human complement: Purification from plasma and physiochemical characterization. *Biochemistry*. 15:4513
- Bokisch, V. A., H. J. Müller-Eberhard, and C. G. Cochrane. 1969. Isolation of a fragment (C3a) of the third component of human complement containing anaphylatoxin and chemotactic activity and description of an anaphylatoxin inactivator of human serum. J. Exp. Med. 129:1109.
- Rynes, R. I., S. Ruddy, P. H. Schur, J. Spragg, and K. F. Austen. 1974. Levels of complement components, properdin factors, and kininogen in patients with inflammatory arthritides. J. Rheumatol. 1:413.
- Herbert, B. A., B. Pittman, R. M. McKinney, and W. B. Cherry. 1972. The preparation and physicochemical characterization of fluorescent antibody reagents. HEW, CDC, Atlanta.
- 21. McConahey, P. J., and F. J. Dixon. 1966. A method for trace iodination of proteins for immunologic studies. Int. Arch. Allergy Appl. Immunol. 29:185.
- Hunsicker, L. G., and E. R. Mayes. Preservation of antibody and complement bearing sheep erythrocytes (EA, EAC4, EAC14, and EAC43) by glycerol freezing. J. Immunol. Methods. In press.
- 23. Polley, M. J., and H. J. Müller-Eberhard. 1967. Enhancement of the hemolytic activity of the second component of human complement by oxidation. *J. Exp. Med.* 126:1013.
- 24. Tsay, D. D., and M. Schlamowitz. 1975. Comparison of the binding affinities of rabbit IgG fractions to the rabbit fetal yolk sac membrane: Use of ²²Na to facilitate quantitation of ¹²⁵I-IgG binding. *J. Immunol*. 115:939.
- Scatchard, G. 1949. The attractions of proteins for small molecules and ions. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 51:660.
- 26. Wieme, R. J. 1959. An improved technique of agar-gel electrophoresis on microscope slides. Clin. Chim. Acta. 4:317.
- 27. Laemmli, U. K. 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature (Lond.)*. 227:680.
- Cuatrecasas, P., and C. B. Anfinsen. 1971. Affinity chromatography. In Methods in Enzymology. S. P. Colowick and N. O. Kaplan, editors. Academic Press, Inc., New York. 22:345.
- DeMeyts, P. 1976. Insulin and growth hormone receptors in human cultured lymphocytes and peripheral blood monocytes. *In Methods in Receptor Research*. M. Blecher, editor. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York. Part I. p. 301.
- 30. Arend, W. P., and M. Mannik. 1973. The macrophage receptor for IgG: Number and affinity of binding sites. *J. Immunol.* 110:1455.
- 31. Conrad, D. H., H. Bazin, A. H. Sehon, and A. Froese. 1975. Binding parameters of

- the interaction between rat IgE and rat mast cell receptors. J. Immunol. 114:1688.
- 32. Alper, C. A., and R. P. Propp. 1968. Genetic polymorphism of the third component of human complement (C'3). J. Clin. Invest. 47:2181.
- Nagaki, K., K. Iida, M. Okubo, and S. Inai. 1978. The reaction and mechanisms of β1H globulin. Int. Arch. Allergy Appl. Immunol. In press.
- 34. Mardiney, M. R., Jr., H. J. Müller-Eberhard, and J. D. Feldman. 1968. Ultrastructural localization of the third and fourth components of complement on complement cell complexes. Am. J. Pathol. 53:253.
- 35. Fearon, D. T., and K. F. Austen. 1977. Activation of the alternative complement pathway due to resistance of zymosan-bound amplification convertase to endogenous regulatory mechanisms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 74:1683.
- 36. Fearon, D. T., and K. F. Austen. 1977. Activation of the alternative complement pathway with rabbit erythrocytes by circumvention of the regulatory action of endogenous control proteins. J. Exp. Med. 146:22.