

69. Incidence of metabolic complications among treatment-naïve adults living with HIV-1 randomized to B/F/TAF, DTG/ABC/3TC or DTG+F/TAF after 144 Weeks

Eric Daar, MD¹; Chloe Orkin, MD²; Paul Sax, MD³; Jeffrey L. Stephens, MD⁴; Ellen Koenig, MD⁵; Amanda Clarke, MD⁶; Axel Baumgarten, MD⁷; Cynthia Brinson, MD⁸; Moti Rangopal, MD FIDSA⁹; Hailin Huang, PhD¹⁰; Terry Farrow, MD¹⁰; Jared Baeten, MD, PHD¹⁰; Jason Hindman, PharmD¹⁰; Hal Martin, MD, MPH¹⁰; Kimberly Workowski, MD¹¹; ¹The Lundquist Institute, Torrance, California; ²Barts Health NHS Trust, Royal London Hospital, Ambrose King Centre, London, England, United Kingdom; ³Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA; ⁴Mercer University School of Medicine, Macon, GA; ⁵Instituto Dominicano de Estudio Virologicos – IDEV, Santo Domingo, Distrito Nacional, Dominican Republic ⁶University Hospitals Sussex NHS Foundation, London, England, United Kingdom; ⁷Zentrum für Infektiologie Berlin Prenzlauer Berg, Berlin, Brandenburg, Germany; ⁸Central Texas Clinical Research, Austin, Texas; ⁹Midway Specialty Care Centers, Fort Pierce, Florida; ¹⁰Gilead Sciences Inc., Foster City, California; ¹¹Emory University, Atlanta, GA

Session: O-15. HIV Co-infections and Co-morbidities

Background. Metabolic comorbidities including diabetes (DM) and dyslipidemia pose challenges to the long-term care of people with HIV (PWH). Incidence of cardiovascular disease and DM are reported at higher rates in PWH than the general population. Obesity is broadly prevalent in both the general population and PWH, and higher body mass index (BMI) can contribute to metabolic complications. Here we present longer-term follow up on incidence of DM, hypertension (HTN), BMI categorical shifts, and lipid changes over 144 weeks of blinded treatment from two trials of PWH initiating antiretroviral therapy.

Methods. We assessed incidence of metabolic complications in adult PWH in Study 1489: bicitgravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (B/F/TAF) vs dolutegravir/abacavir/lamivudine (DTG/ABC/3TC) and Study 1490: B/F/TAF vs DTG+F/TAF. Treatment-emergent (TE) metabolic comorbidities were defined by standard MEDRA search lists. CDC-defined BMI categories were compared from baseline (BL) to Week 144. Analyses by sex at birth and race were performed, as well as for lipid changes.

Results. Among 1,274 total participants, median (range) age was 33 years (18-77), 90% men, 33% black. In study 1489, BL prevalence of DM and HTN was 4.5 and 12.1% with TE DM and HTN in B/F/TAF being 0.7% and 10%, and for DTG/ABC/3TC 1.3% and 6.9%, respectively. In study 1490, BL prevalence of DM and HTN was 6.8 and 18.8% with TE DM and HTN in B/F/TAF being 2.1 and 5.8%, and for DTG+F/TAF 2.3 and 6.5%, respectively. BMI shift from Normal to Obese: B/F/TAF 0%, DTG/ABC/3TC 3.2%, p=0.12 (1489) (Table 1); B/F/TAF 2.5%, DTG+F/TAF 2.9% p=1.00 (1490) (Table 2). Subgroup analyses by gender/race showed similar findings for TE DM, HTN, and BMI changes. Median changes from BL fasted lipids were small (Table 1).

Table 1. Studies 1489 and 1490: Metabolic Outcomes from Baseline to Week 144

	B/F/TAF				DTG/ABC/3TC				DTG/F/TAF			
	n	n/N	%	p-value	n	n/N	%	p-value	n	n/N	%	p-value
Treatment Emergent Diabetes Events*,												
per participant	2	2/295	0.7%	0.682	6	4/292	2.3%	7	7/303	2.3%	1.000	
Glucose tolerance impaired	1	0.3%	0	0.7%	2	0.7%	2	0.7%	2	0.7%	0.7%	
Diabetes mellitus	1	0.3%	0	0.3%	4	1.4%	4	1.4%	2	0.7%	0.7%	
Hypoglycemia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fast glucose reduced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fast glucose increased	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Treatment Emergent Hypertension Events*,												
per participant	28	28/277	10.0%	0.212	15	15/257	5.8%	17	17/255	6.5%	0.850	
Hypertension	0	0	0%	0%	15	5%	5%	15	5%	5%	1%	
Blood pressure increased	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	3	1%	1%	3	1%	1%	1%	
Systolic hypertension	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	1	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	
Blood pressure diastolic increased	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	2	0.7%	2	2	0.7%	2	0.7%	
Diastolic hypertension	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Body Mass Index, kg/m², baseline value and change from baseline, median (IQR)												
	B/F/TAF				DTG/ABC/3TC				DTG/F/TAF			
	n	median (IQR)	n	p-value	n	median (IQR)	n	p-value	n	median (IQR)	n	p-value
Baseline value, overall	314	25.1 (21.4, 28.7)	315	0.849	314	25.0 (21.2, 28.3)	325	24.9 (21.2, 28.0)	327	24.9 (21.2, 28.0)	327	0.927
Week 14, overall	305	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	307	0.0007	305	24.9 (21.1, 27.9)	314	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	314	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	314	0.982
Week 14, overall	295	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	296	0.102	292	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	295	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	295	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	295	0.508
Week 14, overall	278	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	280	0.222	271	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	280	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	280	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	280	0.244
Week 14, overall	260	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	267	0.187	263	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	270	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	270	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	270	0.876
Baseline value, females	28	25.0 (21.4, 28.3)	28	0.128	27	24.9 (21.4, 28.0)	27	24.9 (21.4, 28.0)	27	24.9 (21.4, 28.0)	27	0.506
Week 14, females	23	24.5 (21.4, 27.9)	24	0.270	27	24.6 (21.4, 28.0)	28	24.6 (21.4, 28.0)	28	24.6 (21.4, 28.0)	28	0.713
Baseline value, males	114	24.9 (21.2, 28.0)	115	0.977	117	24.9 (21.2, 28.0)	120	24.9 (21.2, 28.0)	120	24.9 (21.2, 28.0)	120	0.700
Week 14, males	95	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	96	0.261	117	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	114	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	114	24.6 (21.1, 27.9)	114	0.880
Fasting Lipids, baseline and Week 144 absolute values, mg/dL, median (IQR)												
	B/F/TAF				DTG/ABC/3TC				DTG/F/TAF			
	Baseline, n=305	Week 144, n=305	Baseline, n=307	Week 144, n=307	Baseline, n=314	Week 144, n=314	Baseline, n=325	Week 144, n=325	Baseline, n=327	Week 144, n=327	Baseline, n=327	Week 144, n=327
Total cholesterol	139 (181, 153)	139 (181, 153)	139 (181, 153)	139 (181, 153)	140 (181, 153)	140 (181, 153)	140 (181, 153)	140 (181, 153)	140 (181, 153)	140 (181, 153)	140 (181, 153)	140 (181, 153)
LDL cholesterol	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)	42 (116, 121)
HDL cholesterol	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)	57 (116, 121)
Total TG cholesterol	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)	97 (116, 121)

*Including individuals with baseline diagnosis of diabetes and/or hypertension.
†Values were from the 3-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare treatment groups.

Table 2. Shift Table of BMI Category at Week 144 by Baseline BMI Category – Overall

The table displays the number of participants who shifted between BMI categories from baseline to Week 144. The categories are Underweight (BMI < 18.5), Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9), Overweight (BMI 25-29.9), Obese (BMI 30-34.9), and Morbid Obesity (BMI ≥ 35). The data is presented for four treatment groups: B/F/TAF (n=148), DTG/ABC/3TC (n=148), B/F/TAF (n=140), and DTG+F/TAF (n=140). The table shows the number of participants who shifted from one category to another, with a color-coded legend indicating the direction of the shift (e.g., Normal to Overweight).

Conclusion. Through over 144 weeks of follow up, PWH randomized to initiate B/F/TAF, DTG/ABC/3TC or DTG+F/TAF had low rates of incident DM or HTN-related AEs, with no statistically significant differences by treatment group. BMI changes/categorical shifts from BL did not significantly differ by regimen, and no clinically significant change or difference in lipids were observed. While data are limited by three years of follow up, they are strengthened by randomized study design of three widely used initial ART regimens.

Disclosures. Eric Daar, MD, Bristol-Myers Squibb (Consultant)Gilead Sciences Inc. (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator, Advisor or Review Panel

member, Research Grant or Support)Janssen (Consultant, Advisor or Review Panel member, Research Grant or Support)Merck (Consultant, Advisor or Review Panel member, Research Grant or Support)Teva (Consultant, Advisor or Review Panel member)ViiV Healthcare (Consultant, Advisor or Review Panel member, Research Grant or Support)Chloe Orkin, MD, Gilead Sciences Inc. (Grant/Research Support, Scientific Research Study Investigator, Other Financial or Material Support)Janssen (Research Grant or Support, Other Financial or Material Support)Merck (Research Grant or Support, Other Financial or Material Support)ViiV Healthcare (Research Grant or Support, Other Financial or Material Support)Paul Sax, MD, Gilead Sciences (Consultant, Grant/Research Support)Janssen (Consultant)Merck (Consultant, Research Grant or Support)ViiV (Consultant, Research Grant or Support) Jeffrey L. Stephens, MD, Gilead Sciences Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator, Research Grant or Support) Ellen Koenig, MD, Gilead Sciences Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Amanda Clarke, MD, Gilead Sciences Inc. (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator, Other Financial or Material Support, Conference attendance sponsorship)ViiV Healthcare (Consultant, Other Financial or Material Support, Conference travel sponsorship) Axel Baumgarten, MD, AbbVie (Advisor or Review Panel member, Speaker's Bureau)Bristol-Myers Squibb (Advisor or Review Panel member, Speaker's Bureau)Gilead Sciences Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator, Advisor or Review Panel member, Speaker's Bureau)Janssen (Speaker's Bureau)Merck (Advisor or Review Panel member) Cynthia Brinson, MD, AbbVie (Scientific Research Study Investigator)BI (Scientific Research Study Investigator)Gilead Sciences Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator, Advisor or Review Panel member, Speaker's Bureau, Personal fees)GSK (Scientific Research Study Investigator)Novo Nordisk (Scientific Research Study Investigator)ViiV Healthcare (Scientific Research Study Investigator, Advisor or Review Panel member, Speaker's Bureau) Moti Rangopal, MD FIDSA, AbbVie (Scientific Research Study Investigator, Speaker's Bureau)Gilead (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator, Speaker's Bureau)Janssen (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator, Research Grant or Support, Speaker's Bureau)Merck (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator)ViiV (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator, Speaker's Bureau) Hailin Huang, PhD, Gilead Sciences Inc. (Employee, Shareholder) Terry Farrow, MD, Gilead Sciences Inc. (Employee, Shareholder) Jared Baeten, MD, PHD, Gilead Sciences Inc. (Employee, Shareholder) Jason Hindman, PharmD, Gilead Sciences Inc. (Employee, Shareholder) Hal Martin, MD, MPH, Gilead Sciences Inc. (Employee, Shareholder) Kimberly Workowski, MD, Nothing to disclose

70. Changes in Invasive Pneumococcal Disease among Adults Living with HIV Following Introduction of 13-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine, 2008–2018

Almea Matanock, MD¹; Jianmin Li, DPE²; William Adih, MD, DrPH, MPH²; Wei Xing, MS³; William Schaffner, MD⁴; Nisha B. Alden, MPH⁵; Lee Harrison, MD⁶; Susan Petit, MPH⁷; Joan Baumbach, MD, MPH, MS⁸; Arthur Reingold, MD⁹; Olivia Almendares, MPH²; Ryan Gierke, MPH²; Corinne Holtzman, MPH¹⁰; Monica M. Farley, MD¹¹; Ann Thomas, MD, MPH¹²; Tamara Pilishvili, PhD¹³; Miwako Kobayashi, MD, MPH¹⁴; CDC, Atlanta, Georgia; ²Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; ³Weems Design Studio Inc. Contractor to CDC, Atlanta, Georgia; ⁴Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee; ⁵Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Denver, Colorado; ⁶University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; ⁷Connecticut Department of Public Health, Hartford, Connecticut; ⁸New Mexico Department of Health, Santa Fe, New Mexico ⁹UC Berkeley, Berkeley, California; ¹⁰Minnesota Department of Health, St. Paul, Minnesota; ¹¹Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia; ¹²Oregon Public Health Division, Portland, Oregon; ¹³Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA, Atlanta, Georgia

Session: O-15. HIV Co-infections and Co-morbidities

Background. People living with HIV (PLHIV) are at increased risk of invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD). The 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) was recommended for children in 2010, and for immunocompromised adults (including PLHIV) in series with 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine (PPSV23) in 2012. We evaluated changes in IPD incidence in adults ≥19 years old by HIV status after PCV13 introduction and proportion of remaining IPD due to serotypes included in the 15- (PCV15) and 20-valent (PCV20) conjugate vaccines expected to be licensed in 2021.

Methods. IPD cases were identified through CDC's Active Bacterial Core surveillance (ABCs). HIV status was obtained from medical records. Isolates were serotyped by Quellung reaction, or whole-genome sequencing and grouped into PCV13-types, PPV11-types (unique to PPSV23), or non-vaccine types. We estimated IPD incidence (cases per 100,000 people) using national projections of ABCs cases as numerators and national case-based HIV surveillance (PLHIV) or US census data (non-PLHIV) as denominators. We compared IPD incidence in 2011–12 and 2017–18 to pre-PCV13 baseline (2008–09) by serotype groups. We assessed the proportion of IPD due to serotypes included in PCV15 and PCV20.

Results. Overall IPD incidence at baseline was 306.7 for PLHIV and 15.2 for non-PLHIV. From baseline to 2017–18, IPD incidence declined in PLHIV (-40.3%; 95% CI: -47.7, -32.3%) and non-PLHIV (-28.2%; 95% CI: -30.9, -25.5%). The largest reductions were in PCV13-type IPD during both periods (-44.2% for PLHIV and -42.2% for non-PLHIV in 2011–12; -72.5% for PLHIV and -62.2% for non-PLHIV in 2017–18) compared to baseline (Figures 1, 2). In 2017–2018, overall IPD and PCV13-type rates were 16.8 (95% CI: 15.1, 18.5) and 12.6 (95% CI: 9.9, 15.3) times as high in