Peer

Appendicular anatomy of the artiopod *Emeraldella brutoni* from the middle Cambrian (Drumian) of western Utah

Rudy Lerosey-Aubril* and Javier Ortega-Hernández*

Museum of Comparative Zoology and Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT

The non-biomineralized artiopod Emeraldella brutoni Stein, Church & Robison, from the middle Cambrian (Drumian) Wheeler Formation in Utah represents the only confirmed occurrence of the genus Emeraldella outside of the stratigraphically older (Wuliuan) Burgess Shale Konservat-Lagerstätte in British Columbia. The hitherto known sole specimen of this species is preserved in dorsal view and lacks critical information on the ventral appendages. Here, we redescribe E. brutoni based on a new completely articulated specimen that illustrates the appendage organization in exceptional detail. The main body consists of a cephalic region covered by a semicircular head shield, a trunk including 10 tergites with expanded pleurae plus a cylindrical terminal segment, and a long articulated tailspine. The head carries a pair of elongate and flexible antennae, a pair of lateral eyes, and three pairs of post-antennal appendages. We report the presence of eyes in Emeraldella for the first time. The first post-antennal limb solely consists of an endopod with well-developed paired spiniform endites. The remaining cephalic appendages and those associated with all but the last trunk segments possess exopods terminating in paddle-shaped, distal lobes fringed with robust setae. The cylindrical terminal segment bears a pair of posteriorly oriented caudal flaps reminiscent of trunk exopods, and a styliform, possibly uniarticulate tailspine longer than the main body. The new data on E. brutoni suggests an exopodal origin for the paired caudal structures in Vicissicaudata, and improve our understanding of the fundamental organization of this major clade within Artiopoda.

Subjects Paleontology

Keywords Exceptional preservation, Miaolingian, Artiopoda, Vicissicaudata, Caudal flaps, Aglaspidida

INTRODUCTION

The House Range and the Drum Mountains of western Utah are internationally renowned for their richly fossiliferous Miaolingian ("middle Cambrian") strata, and particularly the beautifully preserved trilobites they contain. The abundance of trilobite fossils motivated the opening of a first quarry in the Wheeler Amphitheatre (Wheeler Formation; House Range) in the 1960s (*Robison, Babcock & Gunther, 2015*). Others have followed since then, including quarries in the Marjum and Weeks formations, and subsequently the

Submitted 29 July 2019 Accepted 24 September 2019 Published 31 October 2019

Corresponding authors Rudy Lerosey-Aubril, rudy_lerosey@fas.harvard.edu Javier Ortega-Hernández, jortegahernandez@fas.harvard.edu

Academic editor Bruce Lieberman

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 15

DOI 10.7717/peerj.7945

Copyright 2019 Lerosey-Aubril and Ortega-Hernández

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

area has become a major destination for trilobite collectors around the world. The exploitation of the local federal lands by fossil dealers and amateur collectors searching for trilobites led to the realization that these three Miaolingian units also contain the remains of non-biomineralizing organisms (Robison, 1991; Lerosey-Aubril et al., 2018). At least four exceptionally-preserved biotas have been described from these strata to date, including the two Wheeler biotas of the House Range and the Drum Mountains (both early Drumian), the Marjum biota (upper Drumian), and the Weeks biota (Guzhangian). Except for some early reports (Brooks & Caster, 1956; Rigby, 1969), the scientific study of these exceptionally-preserved faunas really started in the mid-1970s and became important in the 1980s, with a series of seminal contributions by Rigby & Gutschick (1976), Rigby (1978, 1983), Willoughby & Robison (1979), Robison & Richards (1981), Conway Morris & Robison (1982, 1986, 1988), Robison (1984, 1985, 1990), and Briggs & Robison (1984). Most of the remarkable fossils described in these publications had been found by members of the general public, either avocational paleontologists (e.g., the Gunther family, T. Johnson, or G. Melloy, to name a few; Gunther & Gunther, 1981) or professional fossil collectors (e.g., R. Harris). This remains true of the materials described in more recent publications (Briggs et al., 2008; Lerosey-Aubril et al., 2012, 2013a; Conway Morris et al., 2015; Lerosey-Aubril, 2015; Ortega-Hernández et al., 2015), with the reason being that the soft-bodied fossils in those strata are particularly rare, especially in the Wheeler and Marjum formations. A total of 40 years after the beginning of their scientific explorations, the Cambrian Konservat-Lagerstätten of western Utah are not "minor" anymore, as once described by Conway Morris (1985). These deposits have yielded between 20 and 45 soft-bodied species each (Robison, Babcock & Gunther, 2015; Lerosey-Aubril et al., 2018) and therefore qualify as Tier 2 Burgess Shale-type deposits (Gaines, 2014). However, only a handful of the remarkable fossils found in those beds every year end up in public institutions, and consequently many of the non-biomineralizing taxa in the area are still officially known only from a few (usually incomplete) specimens. Our current restudy of the Wheeler biota from the House Range reveals that 20 of the 45 soft-bodied species it contains (44.4%) are described in the scientific literature based on a single fossil, and an additional nine species (another 20%) are known from only two or three specimens. In this context, any new soft-bodied fossils from these Konservat-Lagerstätten hold the promise for significantly improving our understanding of these exceptional Cambrian biotas. In this contribution we describe a new specimen of Emeraldella brutoni (Stein, *Church & Robison*, 2011) (Fig. 1), an artiopod species hitherto known from a single specimen found in the Wheeler strata of the Drum Mountains (Stein, Church & Robison, 2011). The new specimen is preserved in a different orientation from that of the type material, providing valuable insights into the as-yet unknown appendicular anatomy of this taxon.

Geological setting

The four Cambrian exceptionally-preserved biotas of western Utah are found in the Miaolingian succession exposed in the House Range and the Drum Mountains, a few tens of kilometers east and north of Delta (*Robison, 1991; Robison, Babcock & Gunther, 2015;*



Figure 1 Holotype (KUMIP 321500) of *Emeraldella brutoni* from the Cambrian (Drumian) Wheeler Formation in the Drum Mountains. Pictures were taken with the specimen immersed in dilute ethanol under cross-polarized light (Credit: M. Stein). (A) General view of the counterpart (mirrored). (B) General view of part. (C) Detail of anterior cephalic region of counterpart. (D) Detail of caudal flaps of part. Abbreviations: *an*, antenna; *ar*, anterior region of headshield; *cf*, caudal flap; *cs*, cylindrical terminal segment; *hs*, head shield; *ms*, marginal setae; *Tn*, trunk tergite *n*; *ts*, tailspine.

Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7945/fig-1

Lerosey-Aubril et al., 2018). These shale-dominated deep-water deposits are at odds with the otherwise carbonate-dominated, wave-influenced sedimentological context characterizing the Miaolingian Series in the Great Desert of Utah (Miller, Evans & Dattilo, 2012; Foster & Gaines, 2016). This is explained by the development locally of a faultcontrolled, deep-water basin during the terminal Wuliuan, which formed a prominent re-entrant into the seaward margin of the carbonate platform rimming the continent in the Early Paleozoic (Rees, 1986; Miller, Evans & Dattilo, 2012; Foster & Gaines, 2016), where fine-grained siliciclastic sediments of the outer detrital lithofacies belt were deposited. This asymmetrical trough, the so-called House Range Embayment (*Rees, 1986*), was subsequently filled during the Drumian to Guzhangian times by the deposits of the Wheeler, Marjum, and Weeks formations (in ascending stratigraphic order), which was accompanied by the reduction of its spatial extent. Shallow-water, carbonate platform settings were restored in the late Guzhangian (uppermost Weeks Formation). The Wheeler, Marjum, and Weeks formations form a continuous succession of up to 600 m in thickness, which is dominated by calcareous mudstone with subordinate shaly to flaggy limestone (Foster & Gaines, 2016).

The Wheeler Formation was deposited when the House Range Embayment had its broadest spatial extent. It mostly outcrops in the House Range and the Drum Mountains, where it has yielded two clearly distinct exceptionally-preserved biotas of lower Drumian age (*Ptychagnostus atavus* Biozone) (*Robison, Babcock & Gunther, 2015; Lerosey-Aubril & Skabelund, 2018*). The new fossil of *E. brutoni* described here was recovered from Wheeler strata in the Drum Mountains, as was the holotype and previously only known specimen of this species (*Stein, Church & Robison, 2011*). There, the Wheeler Formation is twice as thick (c. 300 m) and more calcareous than in the House Range (*Brett et al., 2009*), which is generally interpreted as evidence of its more proximal position on the shelf ramp forming the eastern (now northern) margin of the embayment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The new material of *E. brutoni* consists of the part and counterpart of a single complete individual compressed in lateral view, which is deposited in the Natural History Museum of Utah (UMNH.IP.6162a and b, respectively; Fig. 2). This specimen was found by B. Sisson as a loose block at a Wheeler locality well-known to fossil collectors (GPS: 39°30'13"N 112°59'23"W). This site is one of the two sections that has yielded most of the soft-bodied components of the Wheeler biota of the Drum Mountains ("section c" of *Halgedahl et al., 2009*). This remarkable biota is composed of 71 species, including 30 species of non-biomineralizing organisms (*Robison, Babcock & Gunther, 2015*).

Digital photographs were produced with a Nikon D5500 DSLR fitted with a Nikon 40 mm DX Micro-Nikkor lens. The specimen was photographed under direct light or cross polarized illumination, either dry or immersed in water. Series of images were taken with manual focusing at different focal planes, and subsequently stacked and assembled in Adobe Photoshop CS6. Schematic diagrams were produced in Inkscape.



Figure 2 New specimen of *Emeraldella brutoni* from the Cambrian (Drumian) Wheeler Formation in the Drum Mountains. Pictures taken with the specimen dry under cross-polarized light. (A) Part, UMNH.IP.6162a. (B) Counterpart, UMNH.IP.6162b (mirrored). Abbreviations: *an*, antenna; *cf*, caudal flap; *cn*, cephalic appendage *n*; *cs*, cylindrical terminal segment; *hs*, head shield; *Tn*, tergite *n*; *trn*, trunk appendage *n*; *ts*, tailspine. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7945/fig-2

RESULTS

Systematic palaeontology

Phylum Euarthropoda *Lankester*, 1904 Subphylum Artiopoda *Hou & Bergström*, 1997 Superclass Vicissicaudata *Ortega-Hernández*, *Legg & Braddy*, 2013

Remark: We follow Lerosey-Aubril, Zhu & Ortega-Hernández (2017a) in considering Artiopoda as a subphylum, and Vicissicaudata as a superclass within it.

Genus Emeraldella Walcott, 1912

Type species: Emeraldella brocki Walcott, 1912, from the middle Cambrian (MiaolingianSeries, Wuliuan Stage) Burgess Shale in British Columbia (see also Bruton & Whittington,1983; Stein & Selden, 2012).

Emended diagnosis: Artiopod with head containing one antennal and three limb-bearing post-antennal segments; antennae long, exceeding 80 articles in some species; first post-antennal limb consists of an endopod only, with reduced number of podomeres and well-developed spiniform endites. Trunk includes 10–11 tergites with well-developed pleural regions, and an elongate cylindrical terminal ("pre-telsonic") segment bearing a pair of ventral caudal flaps and the tailspine. Proportions of endopod podomeres vary strongly along body. Endopod curving outward proximally, then downward at short, knee-like, fifth podomere. Sixth podomere long, distinctly stenopodous. Exopod tripartite,

its proximal and middle parts articulating with basipod and first podomere, respectively; proximal part bearing lamellae, while middle and distal parts fringed with setae. Tailspine styliform, jointed, longer than the trunk, and lateroventrally flanked by exopods modified into caudal flaps.

Remarks: We propose minor updates to the emended diagnosis of *Emeraldella* by *Stein*, *Church & Robison (2011)* that emphasize the unique appendicular organization of this genus, as revealed by UMNH.IP.6162.

Emeraldella brutoni Stein, Church & Robison, 2011

Figures 2–5

Emended diagnosis: Species of Emeraldella with 10 trunk tergites with well-developed pleurae, lateral eyes, and robust setae on exopods and caudal flaps. Emended from *Stein*, *Church & Robison (2011)*.

Material, locality, horizon: The type material consists of the holotype KUMIP 321500 (Fig. 1)—the part and counterpart of a complete dorsal exoskeleton associated with a few appendicular remains—which is housed in the Kansas University Museum of Natural History. The fossil was collected in the Drumian strata (*Ptychagnostus atavus* Biozone) of the uppermost part of the Wheeler Formation in the Drum Mountains, Millard County, Utah (see *Stein, Church & Robison, 2011*, for details on the locality). The new specimen (UMNH.IP.6162; Fig. 2) comes from the same strata a few tens meters to the east and is housed in the Natural History Museum of Utah.

Description of the new material: UMNH.IP.6162 is a completely articulated individual preserved as a lateral compression (Fig. 2), with a maximum main body length of 50 mm (sag.; tailspine excluded). Body consists of head region covered by head shield, 11-segmented trunk, and tailspine. Head shield longer (antero-posteriorly) than high (dorso-ventrally)—its dorsal margin almost flat posteriorly, strongly sloped down anteriorly—with straight posterior margin (Fig. 3); no dorsal ecdysial sutures or differentiated axis (Figs. 2–4A). Trunk segments 1–10 covered dorsally by broadly overlapping tergites of subequal length (sag.), and bearing ventral appendages (Figs. 2A and 3A–3C); terminal (11th) trunk segment cylindrical and bearing caudal flaps and tailspine (Figs. 2, 3, 4E and 4F). Tailspine spiniform, exceeding main body length (sag.), apparently uniarticulated, and with broad rounded base telescoped within posterior half of 11th trunk segment; single visible articulation at boundary between first and second thirds of tailspine, associated with short dorsal spine-like extension of proximal part (Figs. 2 and 4F).

Ventral structures preserved in UMNH.IP.6162 include one lateral eye (at least), a pair of antennae, the remains of post-antennal appendages, and a pair of caudal flaps (Figs. 2–4). Large ovoid patch of carbonaceous material on UMNH.IP.6162a interpreted as an eye; it is located under anterior third of headshield, close to its ventral margin, and partly overlaps another ovoid structure still largely covered by cuticle that likely represents the corresponding left eye (Figs. 4A–4C). Antennae flagelliform, elongate, and flexible



Figure 3 New specimen of *Emeraldella brutoni* from the Cambrian (Drumian) Wheeler Formation in the Drum Mountains. Pictures taken with the specimen dry (A, E) or immersed in dilute ethanol (B, D) under cross-polarized light. (A, B) Part, UMNH.IP.6162a. (C) Composite interpretative drawing, combining details of both part and counterpart. (D, E) Counterpart, UMNH.IP.6162b (mirrored). Abbreviations: *an*, antenna; *cf*, caudal flap; *cn*; cephalic appendage *n*; *cs*, cylindrical terminal segment; *ey*, eye; *hs*, head shield; *Tn*, tergite *n*; *trn*, trunk appendage *n*; *ts*, tailspine.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7945/fig-3



Figure 4 New specimen of *Emeraldella brutoni* from the Cambrian (Drumian) Wheeler Formation in the Drum Mountains. Pictures taken with the specimen dry (E, F) or immersed in dilute ethanol (A, B, D) under cross-polarized light. (A, B, D) Detailed views of the cephalic region (A), the eyes (B), and a first post-antennal appendage (D) in part (UMNH.IP.6162a). (C) Interpretative drawing of B. (E, F) Detailed views of the posterior trunk exopods and caudal flaps (E) and tailspine (F) in counterpart (UMNH.IP.6162b); note the articulation (arrowhead) associated with a tiny spine dorsally. Abbreviations: *an*, antenna; *as*, articulation spine; *cf*, caudal flap; *cn*, cephalic appendage *n*; *en*, endite; *ey*, eye; *hs*, head shield; *ms*, marginal setae; *trn*, trunk appendage *n*. Full-size \Box DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7945/fig-4

(Figs. 2–4A); one antenna inserts on ventral surface of head a short distance below right eye, and projects antero-ventrally under head shield, then antero-dorsally, and finally abruptly bends dorsally; other antenna gently curves ventrally, then abruptly bends dorsally distally (Fig. 4A). First post-antennal appendages consist of elongate endopods only; they are equipped with strong, medially-directed, spiniform endites, and prominent terminal claws (one each), and comprise at least five podomeres (claw included; Figs. 4A and 4D). Following tergites associated with the appendages of one side only. Second post-antennal appendage represented by its exopod only; proximal anatomy unclear, but exopod extends into long paddle-shaped lobe fringed with robust setae distally (Figs. 3C–3E and 4E); corresponding endopod most likely concealed by overlying exopod and/or sediment. Last cephalic appendage and following ten trunk ones similar in preservation and morphology to second post-antennal appendage, except for moderate



Figure 5 Morphological reconstruction of *Emeraldella brutoni*. (A) Lateral view. (B) Dorsal view. (C) Ventral view. The morphology of the hypostome, sternites, tripartite exopod organization, and all but the first set of endopods are tentatively extrapolated from the better-known *Emeraldella brocki* from the Burgess Shale (see *Stein & Selden, 2012*). The presence of simple rather than tripartite endopod tips is generalized from the morphology of the well-preserved first post-antennal appendages. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7945/fig-5

decrease of exopod length beyond mid-trunk (Figs. 3 and 4E). Terminal (11th) trunk segment bears pair of posteriorly oriented caudal flaps that apparently insert under its posterior half, as suggested by gap between them and exopod of preceding segment; caudal flaps resemble elongate trunk exopods with distal lobes bearing robust marginal setae (Figs. 3 and 4E). Discontinuous dark trace, likely made of carbon film, runs along ventral margin of body and produces short projections within exopod proximal parts (Fig. 3); this trace starts close to insertion sites of antennae and can be tentatively followed posteriorly to base of tailspine (Fig. 3C). Whether these structures represent remains of gut and digestive glands, circulatory system, decay fluids, or combination of two or more of these elements is unclear.

Remarks: UMNH.IP.6162 ideally complements the holotype and previously only specimen of *E. brutoni*, which is dorso-ventrally flattened and contains little appendicular data (Figs. 1 and 2). The new specimen confirms the presence of 10 trunk tergites with expanded pleurae in this taxon, and also illustrates other features that are unique within the genus. We propose to regard the presence of ventrally projecting lateral eyes, and robust setae on the exopods and caudal flaps as additional diagnostic characters of *E. brutoni* (Fig. 5). *Stein, Church & Robison (2011*; figs. 2, 3) interpreted several transverse marks on the proximal part of the tailspine of the holotype of *E. brutoni* as putative articulations; only one joint associated with a tiny dorsal spine can be observed in the new specimen, but the absence of other articulations might be an artefact of preservation, for the segmentation of the antennae is not preserved either.

DISCUSSION

Comparison between Emeraldella species

The morphology of *E. brutoni* from the Wheeler Formation (*Stein, Church & Robison,* 2011), as informed by new specimen UMNH.IP.6162, shows close parallels with that of *E. brocki* from the Burgess Shale (*Bruton & Whittington, 1983; Stein & Selden, 2012*). Both taxa share a similar dorsal exoskeleton consisting of a semicircular head shield with acute genal angles, a series of freely articulating trunk tergites of subequal length (sag.) with expanded pleurae, a cylindrical terminal segment, and a spiniform tailspine exceeding the length (sag.) of the main body (Figs. 1, 2 and 5). *Emeraldella* species also possess a comparable appendage organization including: long antennae; first post-antennal limbs solely composed of spine-bearing endopods; following limbs with distal paddle-shaped exopodal lobes bearing marginal setae; and paired caudal flaps with marginal setae that occupy a lateroventral position relative to the tailspine (Figs. 1D and 4E).

Both *E. brocki* and *E. brutoni* have been suggested to possess an anterior sclerite, also referred to as "rostral plate" or "pre-hypostomal sclerite" (*Stein, Church & Robison, 2011*; *Stein & Selden, 2012*). However, tentative evidence for this sclerite has only been observed in a single specimen for each species (see *Stein & Selden, 2012*, fig. 4A; *Stein, Church & Robison, 2011*, fig. 2.1 and 2.2), while other well-preserved specimens of *E. brocki* that are exposed in dorsal or ventral views do not show any indications of this structure. UMNH. IP.6162 does not show traces of an anterior sclerite either, or any other additional structures

associated with the head shield (Fig. 4A). What has been interpreted as a possible "prehypostomal sclerite" in the holotype seems in continuity with the rest of the headshield (i.e., no furrow; *Stein, Church & Robison, 2011*, fig. 2.1), and therefore likely corresponds to the slightly deformed anteromedial part of this sclerite (Fig. 1C). In the case of *E. brocki* (see *Stein & Selden, 2012*, fig. 4A), we believe that the putative anterior sclerite similarly represents a compression line on the anterior edge of the head shield, which has been produced by the outline of the adjacent antennae and first post-antennal limbs, rather than a discrete exoskeletal plate. The anterior sclerite of Cambrian euarthropods is usually clearly distinguished at the anterior edge of the head shield, and either accommodated in a median notch (*Ortega-Hernández, 2015*) or articulated with a natant hypostome (see *Hou & Bergström, 1997*; *Edgecombe & Ramsköld, 1999*). This is not observed in any of the two *Emeraldella* species and accordingly, we consider that there is no conclusive evidence supporting the presence of an anterior sclerite in this genus.

Stein, Church & Robison (2011) noted that the main feature that distinguishes *E. brutoni* from *E. brocki* is the presence of 10, rather than 11 trunk tergites with developed pleurae (*Bruton & Whittington, 1983; Stein & Selden, 2012*). UMNH.IP.6162 reveals further differences between the two species. *E. brutoni* features a set of lateral eyes (Figs. 4B and 4C), whereas ocular structures are confidently absent in the type species given the abundance of well-preserved material (*Bruton & Whittington, 1983; Stein & Selden, 2012*). The structures borne by the appendages of *E. brutoni* also appear more robust than those of *E. brocki*. For example, the first post-antennal endopod of *E. brutoni* are equipped with stout spines (Fig. 4C), rather than hair-like endites as in *E. brocki* (*Stein & Selden, 2012*). Likewise, the distal exopodal lobes and the caudal flaps bear closely-packed, lamella-like elements in *E. brutoni* (Fig. 4E), rather than delicate, widely-spaced marginal setae in the Burgess Shale species (*Stein & Selden, 2012*, figs. 4, 5, 7, 8, 10).

One of the most striking characteristics of *E. brocki* is the presence of extremely long antennae that can bear in excess of 80 articles in some specimens (Bruton & Whittington, 1983; Stein & Selden, 2012). The antennae of E. brutoni might have been just as long, but their preservation in the two available specimens does not permit to estimate their full extent, or even the number of articles composing their preserved parts (Figs. 1-4A). Most endopods (except for the first post-antennal pair) of UMNH.IP.6162 are not observable, which might be due to them being in a deeper topographic plane within the matrix or simply concealed by the exopods. If the latter case is true, this would indicate a significant difference in endopod length between the two species, as the endopods on the anterior half of the body of *E. brocki* extend well-beyond the dorsal exoskeletal boundaries, and are much longer than the corresponding exopods (Stein & Selden, 2012, figs. 5, 6, 7). Lastly, some aspects of the anatomy of *E. brutoni*—such as the shape of its hypostome, the morphology of its endopods (except for the first post-antennal ones) and proximal parts of its exopods, or the organization of its sternites-remain unknown, while they are well documented in E. brocki (see Stein & Selden, 2012). Gaining access to such anatomical details in the future might provide additional characters distinguishing the two species.

Origin of the caudal appendicular derivatives of Vicissicaudata

The affinities of *Emeraldella* within Artiopoda have become more or less stable in recent years, thanks to the availability of exceptionally preserved material and broad-scale phylogenetic analyses of Cambrian euarthropods (*Edgecombe & Ramsköld, 1999*; *Cotton & Braddy, 2003*; *Stein & Selden, 2012*; *Legg, Sutton & Edgecombe, 2013*; *Ortega-Hernández, Legg & Braddy, 2013*; *Aria & Caron, 2017*; *Lerosey-Aubril, Zhu & Ortega-Hernández, 2017a*). These analyses generally have recovered *Emeraldella* as forming a clade with aglaspidids, cheloniellids, and *Sidneyia*. This clade Vicissicaudata (*Ortega-Hernández, Legg & Braddy, 2013*), now regarded as a superclass (*Lerosey-Aubril, Zhu & Ortega-Hernández, 2017a*), is defined by a trunk with well-developed tergopleurae, except for at least one cylindrical terminal segment that also bears paired structures regarded by most workers as appendicular derivatives (*Raymond, 1920; Hou & Bergström, 1997; Van Roy, 2005; Stein & Selden, 2012; Ortega-Hernández, Legg & Braddy, 2013*). However, the morphology of the latter structures and their connection to the rest of the body vary greatly within the Vicissicaudata, and it is still unclear how each type has evolved from normal trunk appendages.

Aglaspidids are characterized by the presence of paired sclerotized plates covering ventrally the posteriormost two or three trunk tergites and the base of the tailspine (Hesselbo, 1992; Van Roy, 2005; Ortega-Hernández, Legg & Braddy, 2013). These so-called "post-ventral plates" have been repeatedly regarded as homologous to the caudal flaps of Emeraldella (Wills et al., 1998; Cotton & Braddy, 2003; Van Roy, 2005), despite substantial differences in shape, structure, and position between them. For a long time, the hypothesis of an appendicular origin of aglaspidid post-ventral plates heavily relied on the fact that these structures were paired (Cotton & Braddy, 2003; Van Roy, 2005), but recent studies have shed light on the origin of appendicular derivatives in this group. Indeed, Lerosey-Aubril et al. (2017b) showed that the broad-based tailspine characterizing many aglaspidids has likely evolved through the fusion of a cylindrical terminal segment and a needle-like telson. More importantly, the aglaspidid *Glypharthrus trispinicaudatus* demonstrates that such a freely articulated terminal segment also includes a pair of non-articulated caudal rami projecting from its posterior margin (Lerosey-Aubril, Zhu & Ortega-Hernández, 2017a), a condition closely reminiscent of Emeraldella and cheloniellids. It seems now likely that the "normal" appendages of the terminal segment of the vicissicaudatan ancestor initially evolved into caudal rami in the aglaspidid or aglaspidid + cheloniellid lineage. However, it remains unclear whether these caudal rami have later evolved into the post-ventral plates of more derived aglaspidids, or if the fusion of the pre-terminal segment and the telson has resulted in their loss; the latter scenario would imply that the post-ventral plates have evolved independently, possibly from a different body segment altogether (Lerosey-Aubril, Zhu & Ortega-Hernández, 2017a).

In cheloniellids, the terminal cylindrical trunk tergite bears a pair of rami, which can be short and articulated (*Van Roy, 2006*) or long and unsegmented (*Stürmer & Bergström, 1978*). Even if one accepts an appendicular origin for these structures, their derived morphology provides no clue as to how they have evolved from conventional trunk appendages, and their dorsal insertion (*Van Roy, 2006*) suggests evolutionary transformations unique to the

cheloniellid lineage. Lastly, the exact nature of the uropods of *Sidneyia* is unclear. They are large, unsegmented structures (*Bruton*, 1981; *Zacaï*, *Vannier & Lerosey-Aubril*, 2016), which appear totally fused to the telson (*Lerosey-Aubril*, *Zhu & Ortega-Hernández*, 2017*a*). How exactly they might have evolved from regular trunk appendages remains unknown.

Of all known vicissicaudates, *Emeraldella* shows the least derived condition with regard to the structures borne by the cylindrical terminal trunk segment. Its caudal flaps provide a valuable reference point for understanding the origin of this diagnostic feature of the superclass. Most authors have considered an appendicular origin for the caudal flaps in E. brocki (Raymond, 1920; Hou & Bergström, 1997; Van Roy, 2005; Stein & Selden, 2012; Ortega-Hernández, Legg & Braddy, 2013), with the exception of Bruton & Whittington (1983), who regarded them as a single bilobate ventral plate. The well-preserved caudal flaps of E. brutoni (Figs. 1D and 4E) show a remarkable degree of similarity with the distal parts of the trunk exopods (Stein & Selden, 2012), including their lobate shape and presence of marginal setae. Interestingly, the main difference between the caudal flaps of the two *Emeraldella* species is also the main difference expressed by their trunk exopods, namely that both structures are fringed with delicate setae in *E. brocki*, whereas they bear robust, lamella-like ones in E. brutoni. Stein & Selden (2012) noted that the setae of a caudal flap are "shorter, more robust, and more widely spaced than those of the exopod" in E. brocki, but this does not seem to be the case in E. brutoni (Fig. 4E). The same authors reconstructed these flaps as bipartite, with short triangular proximal parts and elongate distal lobes (Stein & Selden, 2012, fig. 11A), but the morphology of the proximal region is mostly speculative, as acknowledged in their text (p. 16); unfortunately, UMNH.IP.6162 does not provide more information in this regard.

Based on these observations, we hypothesize that the evolution of the caudal flaps in *Emeraldella* likely resulted from a series of transformations of a typical biramous trunk appendage. These changes involved the loss of the endopod, the possible reduction or loss of the proximal exopod shaft, the elongation and narrowing of the exopod distal lobe, the re-orientation of this exopod from a ventral to a posterior direction, and possibly the migration of its insertion site on the body from the central region to the posterior region of the terminal segment. At least some of these transformations (re-orientation, posterior migration of insertion site) might have accompanied the evolution of the shape of the terminal cylindrical segment. In this evolutionary scenario, the caudal structures of other vicissicaudates, and particularly those of aglaspidids and cheloniellids, would fundamentally represent heavily modified exopods of the pre-terminal segment, which may find some support in the fact that none of them show evidence of biramy.

The new specimen of *E. brutoni* also points to another appendicular differentiation that could potentially further characterize vicissicaudates. UMNH.IP.6162 clearly shows that the first post-antennal appendage lacks an exopod in this species, demonstrating at the same time that the similar condition observed in *E. brocki* is legitimate, rather than a preservation artefact (*Bruton & Whittington, 1983*; contra *Stein & Selden, 2012*). Other vicissicaudates, such as *Sidneyia* (*Bruton, 1981*; *Stein, 2013*) and *Cheloniellon calmani Broili* (1932) (see also *Stürmer & Bergström, 1978*), are also known to lack exopods on at least the first post-antennal limb pair. No exopods whatsoever are known in the only

known specimens of Aglaspis (Briggs, Bruton & Whittington, 1979) and Flobertia (Hesselbo, 1992) with appendicular remains (one specimen per taxon), but this might be due to incomplete preservation. Indeed, exopods are clearly expressed in the aglaspidid Kankhaspis from Siberia (Repina & Okuneva, 1969), although a comprehensive restudy of the material would be needed to determine whether all post-antennal cephalic appendages are biramous. Otherwise, appendicular data are missing for most aglaspidids (Hesselbo, 1992; Van Roy, 2005; Fortey & Rushton, 2009; Ortega-Hernández et al., 2010; Lerosey-Aubril et al., 2013a; Lerosey-Aubril, Ortega-Hernández & Zhu, 2013b; Ortega-Hernández, Legg & Braddy, 2013; Ortega-Hernández, Van Roy & Lerosey-Aubril, 2016; Lerosey-Aubril, Zhu & Ortega-Hernández, 2017a; Lerosey-Aubril et al., 2017b; Siveter et al., 2018) and cheloniellids (Chlupác, 1988; Dunlop, 2002), thus preventing a more complete assessment of how common the presence of a uniramous first post-antennal appendage is in vicissicaudates. Yet, particular attention should be given to this morphological trait in the future, for it might lead to a more accurate concept of Vicissicaudata.

CONCLUSIONS

The remarkable Miaolingian biotas of Utah have proved quite diverse with time, but most of their components remain poorly known due to the scarcity of available material. Each new soft-bodied fossil recovered from these beds can significantly improve our understanding of the anatomy or palaeocology of these taxa, and therefore refine our depiction of these ancient biotas. This is illustrated here with the description of a new specimen of *E. brutoni*, which allows the detailed description of the appendicular anatomy of this species for the first time. The appendage organization of *E. brutoni* suggest an exopodal origin for the caudal appendicular derivatives in the diverse clade Vicissicaudata. Its description also offers an opportunity to discuss the differentiation of the first post-antennal appendage into a uniramous structure (endopod) as a potentially diagnostic character of the superclass.

Further investigations on the clade Vicissicaudata might benefit from considering possibly related taxa, such as *Molaria spinifera Walcott*, 1912. Several studies have already argued for a possible sister-group relationship between *Emeraldella* and *Molaria* based on their tergite morphology, and presence of a multiarticulated tailspine (*Whittington*, 1981; *Stein, Church & Robison*, 2011; *Legg, Sutton & Edgecombe*, 2013). *Molaria* also shares with vicissicaudates the presence of a terminal cylindrical segment devoid of walking legs. This segment has been described as completely limbless (*Whittington*, 1981), but it bears a pair of short spines flanking the tailspine laterally, which might represent heavily modified appendages. Only a proper re-study of this taxon would permit to test this assumption.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Brock Sisson found the new specimen of *E. brutoni* described in this study. Carolyn Levitt-Bussian and Randal B. Irmis facilitated its study, and kindly assisted us during our visits to the Natural History Museum of Utah. The Bureau of Land Management, particularly Scott E. Foss and Greg McDonald, deposited the specimen in the museum and

provided curation assistance. Martin Stein took the pictures of the holotype specimen and allowed us to use them in Fig. 1. A. Daley, G. Edgecombe, and an anonymous colleague provided us with detailed reviews that greatly improved our manuscript. We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all of these people for their invaluable help before and during the course of our study.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

The authors received no funding for this work.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Rudy Lerosey-Aubril conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Javier Ortega-Hernández conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The new material of *Emeraldella brutoni* consists of the part and counterpart of a single complete individual compressed in lateral view, which is deposited in the Natural History Museum of Utah (UMNH.IP.6162)

All relevant data is already provided in the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Aria C, Caron J-B. 2017. Mandibulate convergence in an armoured Cambrian stem chelicerate. BMC Evolutionary Biology 17(1):261 DOI 10.1186/s12862-017-1088-7.
- **Brett CE, Allison PA, DeSantis MK, Liddell WD, Kramer A. 2009.** Sequence stratigraphy, cyclic facies, and lagerstätten in the Middle Cambrian Wheeler and Marjum Formations, Great Basin, Utah. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **277(1–2)**:9–33 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.02.010.
- **Broili F. 1932.** Ein neuer Crustacee aus dem rheinischen Unterdevon. *Sitzungsberichte Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften.* 27–38.
- **Briggs DEG, Robison RA. 1984.** Exceptionally preserved nontrilobite arthropods and *Anomalocaris* from the Middle Cambrian of Utah. *University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions* **111**:1–24.
- Briggs DEG, Bruton DL, Whittington HB. 1979. Appendages of the arthropod *Aglaspis spinifer* (Upper Cambrian, Wisconsin) and their significance. *Palaeontology* 22:167–180.
- Briggs DEG, Lieberman BS, Hendricks JR, Halgedahl SL, Jarrard RD. 2008. Middle Cambrian arthropods from Utah. *Journal of Paleontology* 82(2):238–254 DOI 10.1666/06-086.1.

- Brooks HK, Caster KE. 1956. *Pseudoartolepis sharpi*, n. gen., n. sp. (Phyllocarida), from the Wheeler Shale (Middle Cambrian) of Utah. *Journal of Paleontology* 31:9–14.
- Bruton DL. 1981. The arthropod Sidneyia inexpectans, Middle Cambrian, Burgess Shale, British Columbia. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 295(1079):619–653 DOI 10.1098/rstb.1981.0164.
- Bruton DL, Whittington HB. 1983. Emeraldella and Leanchoilia, two arthropods from the Burgess Shale, Middle Cambrian, British Columbia. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 300(1102):553–582 DOI 10.1098/rstb.1983.0020.
- Chlupác I. 1988. The enigmatic arthropod *Duslia* from the Ordovician of Czechoslovakia. *Palaeontology* 31(Part 3):611–620.
- **Conway Morris S. 1985.** Cambrian Lagerstätten: their distribution and significance. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **311(1148)**:49–65 DOI 10.1098/rstb.1985.0138.
- **Conway Morris S, Robison RA. 1982.** The enigmatic medusoid *Peytoia* and a comparison of some Cambrian biotas. *Journal of Paleontology* **56**:116–122.
- **Conway Morris S, Robison RA. 1986.** Middle cambrian priapulids and other soft-bodied fossils from Utah and Spain. *University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions* 117:1–22.
- **Conway Morris S, Robison RA. 1988.** More soft-bodied animals and algae from the Middle Cambrian of Utah and British Columbia. *University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions* **122**:1–48.
- Conway Morris S, Selden PA, Gunther G, Jamison PG, Robison RA. 2015. New records of Burgess Shale-type taxa from the middle Cambrian of Utah. *Journal of Paleontology* 89(3):411–423 DOI 10.1017/jpa.2015.26.
- Cotton TJ, Braddy SJ. 2003. The phylogeny of arachnomorph arthropods and the origin of the Chelicerata. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 94(3):169–193 DOI 10.1017/S0263593300000596.
- Dunlop JA. 2002. Arthropods from the Lower Devonian Severnaya Zemlya Formation of October Revolution Island (Russia). *Geodiversitas* 24(2):349–379.
- Edgecombe GD, Ramsköld L. 1999. Relationships of Cambrian Arachnata and the systematic position of Trilobita. *Journal of Paleontology* 73(2):263–287 DOI 10.1017/S0022336000027761.
- **Fortey RA, Rushton AW. 2009.** The Ordovician aglaspidid arthropod *Tremaglaspis* reconsidered. *Memoirs of the Association of Australasian Palaeontologists* **37**:17–23.
- **Foster JR, Gaines RR. 2016.** Taphonomy and paleoecology of the middle Cambrian (Series 3) formations in Utah's West Desert: recent finds and new data. In: Comer JB, Inkenbrandt PC, Krahulec KA, Pinnell ML, eds. *Resources and Geology of Utah's West Desert.* Vol. 45. Salt Lake City: Utah Geological Association Publication, 291–336.
- Gaines RR. 2014. Burgess Shale-type preservation and its distribution in space and time. *Paleontological Society Papers* 20:123–146 DOI 10.1017/S1089332600002837.
- Gunther LF, Gunther VG. 1981. Some Middle Cambrian fossils of Utah. Brigham Young University Geology Studies 28:1–81.
- Halgedahl S, Jarard R, Brett CE, Allison PA. 2009. Geophysical and geological signatures of relative sea level change in the upper Wheeler Formation, Drum Mountains, west-central Utah: A perspective into exceptional preservation of fossils. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 277(1-2):34–56 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.02.011.
- Hesselbo SP. 1992. Aglaspidida (Arthropoda) from the Upper Cambrian of Wisconsin. *Journal of Paleontology* 66(6):885–923 DOI 10.1017/S0022336000021016.

- Hou X, Bergström J. 1997. Arthropods of the Lower Cambrian Chengjiang fauna, Southwest China. *Fossils & Strata* 45:1–116.
- Lankester ER. 1904. The structure and classification of Arthropoda. Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science 47:523–582.
- Legg DA, Sutton MD, Edgecombe GD. 2013. Arthropod fossil data increase congruence of morphological and molecular phylogenies. *Nature Communications* 4(1):2485 DOI 10.1038/ncomms3485.
- Lerosey-Aubril R. 2015. Notchia weugi gen. et sp. nov.: a new short-headed arthropod from the Weeks Formation Konservat-Lagerstätte (Cambrian; Utah). Geological Magazine 152(2):351–357 DOI 10.1017/S0016756814000375.
- Lerosey-Aubril R, Skabelund J. 2018. *Messorocaris*, a new sanctacaridid-like arthropod from the middle Cambrian Wheeler Formation (Utah, USA). *Geological Magazine* 155(1):181–186 DOI 10.1017/S0016756817000504.
- Lerosey-Aubril R, Hegna TA, Kier C, Bonino E, Habersetzer J, Carré M. 2012. Controls on gut phosphatisation: the trilobites from the Weeks Formation Lagerstätte (Cambrian; Utah). *PLOS ONE* 7(3):e32934 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0032934.
- Lerosey-Aubril R, Ortega-Hernández J, Kier C, Bonino E. 2013a. Occurrence of the Ordovician-type aglaspidid *Tremaglaspis* in the Cambrian Weeks Formation (Utah, USA). *Geological Magazine* 150(5):945–951 DOI 10.1017/S001675681300037X.
- Lerosey-Aubril R, Ortega-Hernández J, Zhu X. 2013b. The first aglaspidid sensu stricto from the Cambrian of China (Sandu Formation, Guangxi). Geological Magazine 150(3):565–571 DOI 10.1017/S0016756812001045.
- Lerosey-Aubril R, Zhu X, Ortega-Hernández J. 2017a. The Vicissicaudata revisited-insights from a new aglaspidid arthropod with caudal appendages from the Furongian of China. *Scientific Reports* 7(1):11117 DOI 10.1038/s41598-017-11610-5.
- Lerosey-Aubril R, Paterson JR, Gibb S, Chatterton BDE. 2017b. Exceptionally-preserved late Cambrian fossils from the McKay Group (British Columbia, Canada) and the evolution of tagmosis in aglaspidid arthropods. *Gondwana Research* 42:264–279 DOI 10.1016/j.gr.2016.10.013.
- Lerosey-Aubril R, Gaines RR, Hegna TA, Ortega-Hernández J, Van Roy P, Kier C, Bonino E. 2018. The Weeks Formation Konservat-Lagerstätte and the evolutionary transition of Cambrian marine life. *Journal of the Geological Society* 175(5):705–715 DOI 10.1144/jgs2018-042.
- Miller JF, Evans KR, Dattilo BF. 2012. The Great American Carbonate Bank in the miogeocline of western central Utah: tectonic influences on sedimentation. In: Derby JR, Fritz R, Longacre SA, Morgan M, Sternbach C, eds. The Great American Carbonate Bank: The Geology and Economic Resources of the Cambro-Ordovician Sauk Sequence of Laurentia. Vol. 98. Tulsa: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir, 769–854.
- Ortega-Hernández J. 2015. Homology of head sclerites in burgess shale euarthropods. *Current Biology* 25(12):1625–1631 DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2015.04.034.
- Ortega-Hernández J, Braddy SJ, Jago JB, Baillie PW. 2010. A new aglaspidid arthropod from the Upper Cambrian of Tasmania. *Palaeontology* 53(5):1065–1076 DOI 10.1111/j.1475-4983.2010.00974.x.
- Ortega-Hernández J, Legg DA, Braddy SJ. 2013. The phylogeny of aglaspidid arthropods and the internal relationships within Artiopoda. *Cladistics* **29(1)**:15–45 DOI 10.1111/j.1096-0031.2012.00413.x.

- **Ortega-Hernández J, Lerosey-Aubril R, Kier C, Bonino E. 2015.** A rare non-trilobite artiopodan from the Guzhangian (Cambrian Series 3) Weeks Formation Konservat-Lagerstätte in Utah, USA. *Palaeontology* **58(2)**:265–276 DOI 10.1111/pala.12136.
- Ortega-Hernández J, Van Roy P, Lerosey-Aubril R. 2016. A new aglaspidid euarthropod with a six-segmented trunk from the Lower Ordovician Fezouata Konservat-Lagerstätte, Morocco. *Geological Magazine* 153(3):524–536 DOI 10.1017/S0016756815000710.
- **Raymond PE. 1920.** Phylogeny of the Arthropoda with especial reference to the trilobites. *American Naturalist* **54(634)**:398–413 DOI 10.1086/279772.
- **Rees MN. 1986.** A fault-controlled trough through a carbonate platform: the Middle Cambrian House Range embayment. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **97(9)**:1054–1069.
- **Repina LN, Okuneva OG. 1969.** Cambrian arthropods of the maritime territory. *Paleontological Journal* **3**:95–103.
- **Rigby JK. 1969.** A new Middle Cambrian hexactinellid sponge from western Utah. *Journal of Paleontology* **43(1)**:125–128.
- Rigby JK. 1978. Porifera of the Middle Cambrian Wheeler Shale, from the Wheeler Amphitheater, House Range, in Western Utah. *Journal of Paleontology* 52(6):1325–1345.
- **Rigby JK. 1983.** Sponges of the Middle Cambrian Marjum Limestone from the House Range and Drum Mountains of western Millard County, Utah. *Journal of Paleontology* **57(2)**:240–270.
- **Rigby JK, Gutschick RC. 1976.** Two new Lower Paleozoic hexactinellid sponges from Utah and Oklahoma. *Journal of Paleontology* **50(1)**:79–85.
- **Robison RA. 1984.** New occurrences of the unusual trilobite *Naraoia* from the Cambrian of Idaho and Utah. *University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions* **112**:1–8.
- **Robison RA. 1985.** Affinities of *Aysheaia* (Onychophora), with description of a new Cambrian species. *Journal of Paleontology* **59(1)**:226–235.
- **Robison RA. 1990.** Earliest-known uniramous arthropod. *Nature* **343(6254)**:163–164 DOI 10.1038/343163a0.
- Robison RA. 1991. Middle Cambrian biotic diversity: examples from four Utah Lagerstätten. In: Simonetta A, Conway Morris S, eds. *The Early Evolution of Metazoa and the Significance of Problematic Taxa*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 77–98.
- **Robison RA, Richards BC. 1981.** Larger bivalve Arthropods from the Middle Cambrian of Utah. *University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions* **106**:1–28.
- Robison RA, Babcock LE, Gunther VG. 2015. Exceptional Cambrian fossils from Utah: A window into the age of trilobites. Salt Lake City: Utah Geological Survey, 1–97.
- Siveter DJ, Fortey RA, Zhu X, Zhou Z. 2018. A three-dimensionally preserved aglaspidid euarthropod with a calcitic cuticle from the Ordovician of China. *Geological Magazine* 155(7):1427–1441 DOI 10.1017/S0016756817000309.
- Stein M. 2013. Cephalic and appendage morphology of the Cambrian arthropod Sidneyia inexpectans. Zoologischer Anzeiger-A Journal of Comparative Zoology 253(2):164–178 DOI 10.1016/j.jcz.2013.05.001.
- Stein M, Church SB, Robison RA. 2011. A new Cambrian arthropod, *Emeraldella brutoni*, from Utah. *Paleontological Contributions* 2011(3):1–10.
- Stein M, Selden PA. 2012. A restudy of the Burgess Shale (Cambrian) arthropod Emeraldella brocki and reassessment of its affinities. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 10(2):361–383 DOI 10.1080/14772019.2011.566634.
- Stürmer W, Bergström J. 1978. The arthropod *Cheloniellon* from the Devonian Hunsrück Shale. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 52(1-2):57–81.

- Van Roy P. 2005. An aglaspidid arthropod from the Upper Ordovician of Morocco with remarks on the affinities and limitations of Aglaspidida. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* **96(4)**:327–350 DOI 10.1017/S0263593300001334.
- Van Roy P. 2006. Non-trilobite arthropods from the Ordovician of Morocco. PhD thesis, Ghent University.
- Walcott CD. 1912. Cambrian geology and paleontology II: Middle Cambrian Branchiopoda, Malacostraca, Trilobita and Merostomata. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections* 57(6):145–229.
- Willoughby RH, Robison RA. 1979. Medusoids from the Middle Cambrian of Utah. *Journal of Paleontology* 53(2):494–500.
- Wills MA, Briggs DEG, Fortey RA, Wilkinson M, Sneath PHA. 1998. An arthropod phylogeny based on fossil and recent taxa. In: Edgecombe GD, ed. *Arthropod Fossils and Phylogeny*. New York: Columbia University Press, 33–105.
- Whittington HB. 1981. Rare arthropods from the Burgess Shale, Middle Cambrian, British Columbia. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 292(1060):329–357 DOI 10.1098/rstb.1981.0033.
- Zacaï A, Vannier J, Lerosey-Aubril R. 2016. Reconstructing the diet of a 505-million year-old arthropod: *Sidneyia inexpectans* from the Burgess Shale fauna. *Arthropod Structure and Development* 45(2):200–220 DOI 10.1016/j.asd.2015.09.003.