

collecting such additional surveillance requires validation [9, 10], potentially lacking in parts of Australia. However, there are 2 important reasons why we used only a HO-SAB definition in our study. First, we wanted to report data over a long time frame, 12 years of data. The HCA-SAB definition was not agreed upon by Health Ministers until 2008 in Australia, meaning previous longitudinal prospective data were not collected consistently. Retrospective analysis would have been very difficult and likely subject to bias. Second, the HO-SAB definition allows for international comparisons, whereas the HCA-SAB definition does not. Without using a HO-SAB definition, we would not have been able to undertake the comparisons outlined in our discussion. We fully support the use of HCA-SAB surveillance definition and hope that many other countries move to such a definition for the reasons described by Worth and colleagues. We believe that when data are presented, HO-SAB should be presented as a subset of the total HCA-SAB numbers where possible. Comparisons can then be made with studies that have not used the more inclusive definition. The conclusions in our study are consistent with the definitional approach we used. We never stated or implied that we tried to measure and report all cases of HCA-SAB.

We acknowledge the point made by Worth and colleagues regarding data analysis and model adjustment for heterogeneity and multistate frailty. We are not convinced that this extra complexity in analysis is needed to demonstrate the points made. Regardless, under the agreements with those providing data for our study, only aggregated hospital data were to be analyzed and published, so the proposed analysis was not possible. What our data showed was a major and significant reduction in incidence of HO-SAB over a 12-year period caused by both methicillin-resistant and methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* in Australian hospitals since 2002. This reduction coincided with a range of infection prevention and control

activities implemented during this time [2]. It suggests that national and local efforts to reduce the burden have been very successful. As we commented, there are many potential reasons for the reductions in HO-SAB observed in our study, and Worth and colleagues are correct in acknowledging the important role that surveillance and multiple interventions play [11, 12].

Note

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: No potential conflicts of interest.

All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

**Brett G. Mitchell,^{1,2} Peter J. Collignon,^{3,4}
Rebecca McCann,⁵ Irene J. Wilkinson,⁶ and
Anne Wells⁷**

¹Avondale College of Higher Education, Wahroonga, New South Wales, ²School of Nursing, Midwifery and Paramedicine, Australian Catholic University, ³Canberra Hospital and Medical School, and ⁴Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, ⁵Healthcare Associated Infection Unit, Department of Health, Perth, Western Australia; ⁶Department of Health, Infection Control Service, Adelaide, South Australia, and ⁷Tasmanian Infection Prevention and Control Unit, Department of Health and Human Services, Hobart, Australia

References

1. Worth L, Spelman T, Bull AL, Richard MJ. A major reduction in hospital-onset *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia in Australia: a question of definition. *Clin Infect Dis* **2014**; 59:1808–9.
2. Mitchell BG, Collignon PJ, McCann R, Wilkinson IJ, Wells A. A major reduction in hospital-onset *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia in Australia—12 years of progress: an observational study. *Clin Infect Dis* **2014**; 59:969–75.
3. Mitchell B, Gardner A, Collignon P, Stewart L, Cruickshank M. A literature review supporting the proposed national Australian definition for *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia. *Healthc Infect* **2010**; 15:105–13.
4. Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. Implementation guide for surveillance of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia. Sydney: Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, **2011**.
5. Collignon P, Dreimanis D, Ferguson J, et al. Bloodstream infection. In: Cruickshank M, Ferguson J. Reducing harm to patients from healthcare associated infections: the role of surveillance. Sydney: Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, **2008**: 53–89.

Reply to Worth et al

TO THE EDITOR—We thank Worth and colleagues [1] for reflecting on some important points related to our recent study where we reported a 63% reduction in hospital-onset (HO) *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia (SAB) in Australia [2]. We agree that the HO-SAB definition used in our study is not the national definition currently used for healthcare-associated (HCA) SAB surveillance in Australian hospitals. We commented on this in the discussion. Authors of our study have previously made similar comments to those made by Worth and colleagues [3–8]. For the purposes of benchmarking Australia internationally, we believe a HO-SAB definition is a robust and accurate approach to identify any real reduction in SAB—the aim of the paper.

Capturing all HCA-SAB cases requires much more additional work by infection control professionals and infectious diseases physicians at a local level. In addition,

6. Collignon P, Cruickshank M. *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemias: time to act. *Med J Aust* **2009**; 191:363–4.
7. Collignon P, Wilkinson I, Gilbert G, Grayson L, Whitby M. Health care-associated *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infections: a clinical quality indicator for all hospitals. *Med J Aust* **2006**; 184:404–6.
8. Mitchell BG, Gardner A, Stewart L. The epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia in Tasmania. *Healthc Infect* **2012**; 17:98–103.
9. Fabry J, Morales I, Metzger MH, Russell I, Gastmeier P. Quality of information: a European challenge. *J Hosp Infect* **2007**; 65(suppl 2): 155–8.
10. McBryde ES, Brett J, Russo PL, Worth LJ, Bull AL, Richards MJ. Validation of statewide surveillance system data on central line-associated bloodstream infection in intensive care units in Australia. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* **2009**; 30:1045–9.
11. Collignon P, Dreimanis D, Beckingham W, Roberts J, Gardner A. Intravascular catheter bloodstream infections: an effective and sustained hospital-wide prevention program over 8 years. *Med J Aust* **2007**; 187:551–4.
12. Mitchell BG, Gardner A. Addressing the need for an infection prevention and control framework that incorporates the role of surveillance: a discussion paper. *J Adv Nurs* **2014**; 70:533–42.

Correspondence: Brett G. Mitchell, PhD, BN, DTN, MAdvP, Avondale College of Higher Education, Faculty of Nursing and Health, 185 Fox Valley Road, Wahroonga, NSW 2251, Australia (brett.mitchell@avondale.edu.au).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2014;59(12):1809–10

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.

DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciu692