

Article



Composite Films of HDPE with SiO₂ and ZrO₂ Nanoparticles: The Structure and Interfacial Effects

Asif A. Nabiyev ^{1,2,*}, Andrzej Olejniczak ^{2,3}, Akhmed Kh. Islamov ², Andrzej Pawlukojc ^{2,4}, Oleksandr I. Ivankov ^{2,5,6}, Maria Balasoiu ^{2,7}, Alexander Zhigunov ⁸, Musa A. Nuriyev ¹, Fovzi M. Guliyev ⁹, Dmytro V. Soloviov ^{2,5,6}, Aidos K. Azhibekov ^{2,10,11}, Alexander S. Doroshkevich ^{2,12}, Olga Yu. Ivanshina ² and Alexander I. Kuklin ^{2,6}

- ¹ ANAS Institute of Radiation Problems, Baku AZ1143, Azerbaijan; musa_nuriev@mail.ru
- ² Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia; aolejnic@jinr.ru (A.O.); akhmed.islamov@gmail.com (A.K.I.); andrzej@jinr.ru (A.P.); ivankov@jinr.ru (O.I.I.); masha.balasoiu@gmail.com (M.B.); dimkaupml@gmail.com (D.V.S.); azhibekoaidos@mail.ru (A.K.A.); doroh@jinr.ru (A.S.D.); ioyu@nf.jinr.ru (O.Y.I.); alexander.iw.kuklin@gmail.com (A.I.K.)
- ³ Faculty of Chemistry, Nicolaus Copernicus University, 87-100 Torun, Poland
- ⁴ Institute of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology, 03-195 Warsaw, Poland
- ⁵ Institute for Safety Problems of Nuclear Power Plants NAS of Ukraine, 07270 Kiev, Ukraine
- ⁶ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141701 Dolgoprudny, Russia
- ⁷ Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, P.O. Box MG-6, RO-077125 Bucharest-Magurele, Romania
- ⁸ Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry, Czech Academy of Sciences, CZ-162 06 Praha, Czech Republic; zhigunov@imc.cas.cz
 ⁹ Eaculty of Civil Engineering, Azerbaijan University of Architecture and Construction
- ⁹ Faculty of Civil Engineering, Azerbaijan University of Architecture and Construction, Baku AZ1073, Azerbaijan; quliyevfevzi@mail.ru
- ¹⁰ Institute of Natural Science, Korkyt Ata Kyzylorda University, Kyzylorda 120001, Kazakhstan
- ¹¹ The Institute of Nuclear Physics, Ministry of Energy, Almaty 050032, Kazakhstan
- ¹² Donetsk Institute for Physics and Engineering Named after O.O. Galkin NAS of Ukraine, 03028 Kiev, Ukraine
- * Correspondence: asifnebi@gmail.com; Tel.: +7-(496)-21-66-275

Abstract: Herein, we investigated the influence of two types of nanoparticle fillers, i.e., amorphous SiO_2 and crystalline ZrO_2 , on the structural properties of their nanocomposites with high-density polyethylene (HDPE). The composite films were prepared by melt-blending with a filler content that varied from 1% to 20% v/v. The composites were characterized by small- and wide-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS and WAXS), small-angle neutron scattering (SANS), Raman spectroscopy, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). For both fillers, the nanoaggregates were evenly distributed in the polymer matrix and their initial state in the powders determined their surface roughness and fractal character. In the case of the nano-ZrO₂ filler, the lamellar thickness and crystallinity degree remain unchanged over a broad range of filler concentrations. SANS and SEM investigation showed poor interfacial adhesion and the presence of voids in the interfacial region. Temperature-programmed SANS investigations showed that at elevated temperatures, these voids become filled due to the flipping motions of polymer chains. The effect was accompanied by a partial aggregation of the filler. For nano-SiO₂ filler, the lamellar thickness and the degree of crystallinity increased with increasing the filler loading. SAXS measurements show that the ordering of the lamellae is disrupted even at a filler content of only a few percent. SEM images confirmed good interfacial adhesion and integrity of the $SiO_2/HDPE$ composite. This markedly different impact of both fillers on the composite structure is discussed in terms of nanoparticle surface properties and their affinity to the HDPE matrix.

Keywords: polymer-matrix nanocomposite; interface; fractal; lamellar thickness; SANS; SAXS



Citation: Nabiyev, A.A.; Olejniczak, A.; Islamov, A.K.; Pawlukojc, A.; Ivankov, O.I.; Balasoiu, M.; Zhigunov, A.; Nuriyev, M.A.; Guliyev, F.M.; Soloviov, D.V.; et al. Composite Films of HDPE with SiO₂ and ZrO₂ Nanoparticles: The Structure and Interfacial Effects. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11*, 2673. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nano11102673

Academic Editor: Jordi Sort

Received: 13 August 2021 Accepted: 28 September 2021 Published: 11 October 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

The study of the structure and properties of polymer nanocomposites is one of the most important and interesting areas of materials science. This is because nano-sized fillers allow for reaching a high total volume in the filler/matrix interfacial region while preserving its relatively small thickness [1–4]. Hence, compared with traditional composites, it is possible to alter the properties of the material in different ways, even at low nano-filler concentrations.

Interfacial compatibility and adhesion are crucial for achieving the desired properties of composite materials [5,6]. Typically, high interfacial compatibility and adhesion are required since they allow researchers to obtain fatigue-resistant materials of high mechanical performance [7–10]. In particular, high interfacial compatibility and adhesion are key factors to achieve an effective transfer of the interfacial stress under mechanical load [7,9,11,12]. This in turn allows them to avoid undesired phenomena like the debonding of the filler particles from the matrix [7,13] and delamination in the vicinity of nanoparticles [12,14,15]. One special case is that of nanocomposites with weak interfacial adhesion [16]. These materials often have a closed-cell cellular structure, formed by interfacial voids and low-density regions. Since the presence of interfacial voids enables the accumulation of an electric charge, such composites are often used as piezoelectric materials [16]. Several studies have shown that interfacial adhesion depends not only on the mutual compatibility of the components (i.e., the matrix and the filler) but is also influenced by the composite preparation method and conditions. For instance, the composites prepared by melt blending with different quenching rates showed substantially different levels of interfacial adhesion and residual stress. From this standpoint, the level of interfacial integrity and adhesion appears to result from the interplay of various factors and requires further investigation.

Over the interfacial region, the polymer matrix can be perturbed; in particular, polymer chains can have different conformations, arrangements, packing types, and densities. The size of the lamellae and the degree of crystallinity can be influenced as well. The problem of how and to what extent the presence of the nano-sized filler alters the structure of the polymer matrix is of particular importance for obtaining materials with tailored properties. Even for the nanocomposites based on high-density polyethylene (HDPE), which are those that are most studied, the reported results are frequently contradictory or show no clear tendencies and relations. The types of nano-sized fillers applied so far for the preparation of HDPE-based composites include carbon nanomaterials (e.g., graphene oxide, reduced graphene, expanded graphite, glassy carbons, nanotubes [8,9,11,13,14,17–19]) particles of inorganic oxides (e.g., Al₂O₃ [20], MgO [21], TiO₂ [10]), metals (e.g., Cu [22]), nanoclay [12] and organic nanoparticles [23,24]. These particles can have a different effect on the degree of crystallinity, including significant increase [12,15], decrease [17], and low- or no influence [10,25] on this parameter. Since crystallinity is the key factor responsible for a composite's application characteristics, additional fundamental studies on the influence of the nanoparticles' surface properties on the polymer matrix are required.

In this study, we investigated nanocomposite films prepared by filling the HDPE matrix with two fillers (ZrO₂ and SiO₂). Nano-SiO₂ is a common polymeric additive that is successfully used as a filler in various fields [7,26]. Its polymer composites have excellent dielectric properties [27], high optical transparency [28], resistance to weathering [29] and abrasion [30]. Crystalline ZrO₂ is known for its high chemical inertness and thermal stability. Nano-ZrO₂ is a very promising material for creating radiation-resistant materials [31] with high mechanical and heat-shielding properties [32,33].

The problem of obtaining uniform dispersion of nano-fillers in a polymer matrix remains complicated. The quality of the dispersion as well as the size/shape and concentration of fillers are important for establishing the macroscopic properties of the resulting nanocomposite materials [34–36]. These effects have not yet been sufficiently studied.

The aim of this study is to partially fill the knowledge gap on the impact of metal oxide nano-fillers on an HDPE structure. The choice of ZrO_2 and SiO_2 as polymer fillers was dictated by their substantially different surface properties and adsorption affinity

toward HDPE chains. This set of filler particles made it possible to partially elucidate the relationship between the surface properties of the filler and their impact on the structure and morphology of the HDPE matrix. As we show, the selected nanoparticles change the structure of the HDPE matrix in a completely different way. Based on this, we will classify nano-ZrO₂ and nano-SiO₂ as "inactive" and "active" and show that such an attribution is supported by the particle's fractal characteristics.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Powdered high-density polyethylene (HDPE), identical to the one used in the work of [37], was used as a polymer matrix.

As fillers, we used two types of metal oxide nanoparticles: α -SiO₂ and ZrO₂ (Sky Spring Nanomaterials, Inc., Houston, TX, USA). The silica nanoparticles were amorphous [38] and 20~30 nm in diameter (specific surface area—S = 160 m²/g, density—2.65 g/cm³). The ZrO₂ nanoparticles were crystalline (monoclinic phase) [38], with sizes in the range of 20~30 nm (specific surface area—S = 35 m²/g, density—5.68 g/cm³).

2.2. Nanocomposite Films Preparation

Polymer nanocomposite films of HDPE/ α -SiO₂ and HDPE/ZrO₂ were prepared by the following steps. First, a mixture of HDPE with the desired amount of the nanoparticle filler (ZrO₂ or SiO₂) was prepared by melt-blending. Then, the mixture was subjected to hot pressing for 10 min (at 165 °C and 15 MPa). The final step was rapid quenching in the ice-water bath.

This approach, despite some drawbacks, like the limited mobility of polymer chains even at the molten state [36], is versatile and can be applied to a variety of thermoplastic polymers [39,40]. In addition, under carefully chosen conditions, it allows researchers to obtain composites with desirable properties. The volume fractions of nano-SiO₂ and nano-ZrO₂ in the composite were 1%, 3%, 5%, 10% and 20% v/v.

Pure HDPE films were used as a reference. The average diameter and thickness of nanocomposite films were ~5 cm and ~80–100 μ m, respectively.

2.3. Small-Angle X-ray Scattering and Wide-Angle X-ray Scattering

Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) measurements were performed using two spectrometers. The SAXS patterns of HDPE composites with nano-SiO₂ particles were obtained on a Rigaku X-ray instrument at MIPT, Dolgoprudny, Russia. An X-ray wavelength of $\lambda = 1.54$ Å was used, resulting in a momentum transfer *Q* in the range of (0.006–0.5) Å⁻¹, where $Q = (4\pi/\lambda) \cdot \sin(\theta/2)$ and θ is the scattering angle [41].

The SAXS and WAXS measurements for HDPE and its composites with nano-ZrO₂ particles were performed using a pinhole camera at the Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry CAS (Prague, Czech Republic). The momentum transfer (*Q*) range was 0.005—3.5 Å⁻¹. And $Q = (4\pi/\lambda) \cdot \sin\theta$, λ (in the given case 1.54 Å) is the wavelength and 2 θ is the scattering angle [42–44].

2.4. Small-Angle Neutron Scattering

Small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) measurements were performed on the IBR-2 pulsed reactor on the YUMO spectrometer (JINR, Dubna, Russia) [45,46]. Experimental parameters: (1) the temperature range was 25–120 °C [47], (2) the distances from the sample to the detector were 5.28 and 13.04 m. The range of the scattering vector Q was (0.006–0.6) Å⁻¹.

Neutron scattering intensities in absolute units (cm⁻¹) were obtained by correcting the measured scattering spectra for transmission and sample thickness, background scattering on a film substrate, and on a reference vanadium sample using the small-angle scattering (SAS) software [48].

2.5. Transmission Electron Microscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of the nanoparticles was performed on a Tecnai G2 F20 X-Twin high-resolution electron microscope (Eindhoven, Netherlands) with an operating voltage of 200 keV. The nanoparticles were dispersed in ethanol under pulsed low-power ultrasonication and then the suspension was dropped onto a formvarcoated TEM grid.

The cross-sectional fracture of nanocomposite films and the dispersion quality of the nanoparticles in the matrix were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL JSM-6490LV microscope (Peabody, MA, USA) operating at 10 kV. To avoid deformations on the examined surface, the nanocomposite films were cryogenically fractured in liquid nitrogen.

2.6. Differential Scanning Calorimetry and Thermogravimetric Analysis

The thermal properties of composite films were analyzed using a NETZSCH 204 F1 Phoenix differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) Instrument (Selb, Germany). Samples of approximately 5–8 mg were placed in an aluminum crucible (with a diameter of 6 mm and a volume of 25/40 µL). For reference, an empty *Al* crucible was used. The DSC instrument was programmed to execute two consecutive heating/cooling cycles (from 25 to 200 °C and from 200 °C to 25 °C) for all samples. All measurements were performed in an argon atmosphere (70 mL/min) at a heating/cooling rate of 10 °C/min. Typical thermal parameters, e.g., enthalpy of fusion (ΔH_m), enthalpy of crystallization (ΔH_c), and the degree of supercooling ($\Delta T = T_m - T_c$) were calculated for both the first and second cycle and were denoted with superscript "*" and "**", respectively. The lamellar thickness was calculated from the Gibbs-Thomson equation [49,50]:

$$l_{\rm c} = \frac{2\sigma_e \cdot 10^3}{\Delta H_m \rho_c \left(1 - \frac{T_m}{T_m^o}\right)}, \text{nm}$$
(1)

where T_m^o is the theoretical melting point of polyethylene ($T_m^o = 145.7 \text{ °C}$) and T_m is the experimental value; $\Delta H_m = 293 \text{ J} \times \text{g}^{-1}$, σ_e is the lamellar surface free energy ($\sigma_e = 90 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J} \times \text{m}^{-2}$, and ρ_c is the density of crystalline polyethylene ($\rho_c = 0.94 \text{ kg} \times \text{cm}^{-3}$).

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) analysis was employed to determine the weight loss of the nanoparticle samples and to confirm the actual filler content in the composites. The TGA and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) curves were recorded using a TG 209 F1 Libra NETZSCH (Selb, Germany). About 10 mg of the sample was placed in an Al₂O₃ crucible (with 6.8 mm diameter and 85 μ L volume). The nanopowder samples were heated under an argon flow (20 mL/min) in the thermobalance under dynamic conditions, over the temperature range of 30–900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Here, the filler content was assumed as the residual mass, at 900 °C.

2.7. Vibrational Spectroscopy

Raman spectra were collected with 473 and 633 nm laser excitation sources on a Nanofinder 30 SOL Instruments spectrometer (Minsk, Belarus). Fourier transform-infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded using a single-reflection Smart iTR attenuated total reflection (ATR) accessory coupled to a Nicolet 6700 Thermo Scientific spectrophotometer (Lausanne, Switzerland). To minimize band shifts and intensity distortion related to the nature of ATR experiments, an advanced ATR correction algorithm implemented in OMNIC 9.2 software was used.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the SiO₂ and ZrO₂ Nanoparticle Powders

First, we will discuss the small-angle scattering results for the nanoparticle powders. The combination of both SANS and SAXS, due to different scattering length density (SLD) values for X-rays and neutrons (Table 1), provides additional information on the size and dispersion of nanoparticles.

Table 1. X-ray and neutron-scattering length densities (SLD) for polymer/filler complex.

Sample	Density (g/cm ³)	SLD (Neutron) (cm ⁻²)	X-ray (cm ⁻²)		
ZrO ₂	5.68	$5.21 imes10^{10}$	$43.1 imes 10^{10}$		
SiO ₂	2.65	$4.19 imes10^{10}$	$22.6 imes10^{10}$		
Polyethylene (semi-crystalline)	0.95	$-0.340 imes10^{10}$	9.21×10^{10}		
Polyethylene (crystalline)	1.01	$-0.361 imes 10^{10}$	$9.79 imes10^{10}$		
Polyethylene (amorphous)	0.85	$-0.304 imes10^{10}$	$8.24 imes10^{10}$		
H ₂ O	1.0	$-0.561 imes 10^{10}$	$9.44 imes 10^{10}$		

In the SANS and SAXS spectra of the pure nanoparticles, two power-law regimes, Q^{-D} , can be distinguished (Figure 1a–d). Here, *D* denotes the negative value of the power-law exponent. According to Teixeira [51,52], the behavior of *I* (*Q*) at high *Q* values ($Q > 0.02 \text{ Å}^{-1}$) describes the surface of aggregates, whereas at $Q < 0.02 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ it characterizes their shape. The surface of the SiO₂ clusters is described by *D*~3.8 (SAXS) and ~3.7 (SANS), characteristic of a rough surface. In the case of the ZrO₂ nanoparticles, the *D* values of 4.08 and 4.12 (SAXS and SANS, respectively) are close to the Porod law (Q^{-4}) describing scattering on a smooth aggregate surface (Table 2).

For small *Q* values (<0.02 Å⁻¹), the X-ray scattering spectra are fitted, giving *D* the values of 3.08 and 2.2 for SiO₂ and ZrO₂ nanoparticles, respectively. In the case of neutron scattering, the respective *D* values were 2.65 and 2.12 (Table 2). In this *Q* range, the scattering power-law Q^{-D} with D < 3 is characteristic of the so-called mass fractals (ZrO₂ case), whereas with 3 < D < 4 it describes surface fractals (SiO₂ case).

Pair correlation functions P(r) for the X-ray and neutron scattering of nanoparticle powders obtained by the program GNOM [53,54] are shown in Figure 1e,f. If one considers the maximum at P(r) as the average distance between the particles and assumes that the particles are aggregated, the estimated mean size of ZrO_2 nanoparticles is 13 nm for neutrons and X-rays. In the case of SiO₂ nanoparticles, their mean size as deduced from neutron scattering (~15 nm) is much smaller than that obtained from X-ray scattering (~33 nm).

It is known that adsorbed water gives a sharp contrast to the surface of the aggregate, due to its negative scattering density in the case of neutrons; it effectively increases the size of the aggregates, due to the same effect of the scattering densities in the case of X-rays (see Table 1). This leads to a strong shift of the maxima on the P(r) curves obtained for the SiO₂ sample from the SANS and SAXS data (Figure 1e).

Note also that because of the "smoothing" of the SiO₂ particles' surface due to adsorbed water, the Q exponents obtained from SANS are smaller than those from SAXS patterns.

Now, we will discuss the above experimental results. For ZrO_2 nanoparticles, closely similar shapes of pair correlations functions and values of *D* exponents for SANS and SAXS measurements can be attributed to the fact that their surface is smooth, with only a low content of hydroxyl functional groups, and it adsorbs only negligible amounts of water. This is confirmed by supplementary TGA and FT-IR measurements, showing that the intensity of the hydroxyl (OH) stretching band at ~3500 cm⁻¹ [55] is small, and the weight loss is only 0.8%, with a single peak in the differential thermogravimetric (DTG) curve at a temperature of 84 °C, suggesting the presence of weakly adsorbed water (Figure 2). The TEM image of the ZrO₂ sample confirms that the particles are crystalline, and their

surface is smooth. In addition, the particle size distribution, determined from TEM images, is in fairly good agreement with those figures deduced from the SAXS and SANS data (Figure 3).



Figure 1. Small-angle scattering data for SiO₂ (left column) and ZrO₂ (right column): (**a**,**b**) SANS spectra, (**c**,**d**) SAXS spectra, (**e**,**f**) pair correlation functions for SiO₂ and ZrO₂ nano powders for SAXS and SANS scatterings.

Nanoparticle	SA	xs	SANS			
	Q < 0.02 $\mathrm{\AA}^{-1}$	\mathbf{Q} > 0.02Å $^{-1}$	Q < 0.02Å $^{-1}$	$Q > 0.02 Å^{-1}$		
SiO ₂	3.08	3.82	2.65	3.72		
ZrO ₂	2.21	4.08	2.12	4.12		

Table 2. Characteristic values of the *D* exponent in the scattering power-law Q^{-D} for nanoparticle powders.



Figure 2. TGA, DTG (a,b) curves and FT-IR spectra in the mid-infrared range (c) of nano-SiO₂ and nano-ZrO₂ powders.

In the case of the nano-SiO₂ particles, the intensity of the hydroxyl-stretching band, due to the hydroxyl groups and adsorbed water, is much higher. This is reflected by a higher weight loss of ~6.3% and a more complex shape to the differential thermogravimetric (DTG) curve. Namely, a well-defined differential thermogravimetric (DTG) peak at ~98 °C, due to weakly adsorbed water, is accompanied by a long tail extending to temperatures as high as 650 °C. This highlights the presence of chemisorbed water and hydroxyl surface groups, which are known to decompose at ca. 400 °C [56]. Here, the TEM images show that the α -SiO₂ particles are amorphous, of irregular shape, and with a highly rough surface. The average size of the particles is in the range of 25–35 nm (Figure 3).



Figure 3. TEM images and normalized particle size distributions for pure nanoparticles: ZrO₂ (**left column**) and SiO₂ (**right column**).

3.2. Small-Angle X-ray Scattering of Pure HDPE

The small-angle X-ray scattering from pure high-density polyethylene (HDPE) was well described by the paracrystalline model, in which the scattered intensity of a stack of layers is determined by:

$$I(Q) = N \cdot \Delta \rho^2 \cdot P(Q) \cdot S(Q)$$

$$P(Q) = 4 \cdot \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \left(\frac{I_1(QR\sin(\phi))}{QR\sin(\phi)} \cdot \frac{\sin(QT\cos(\phi/2))}{QT\cos(\phi/2)} \right)^2 \sin(\phi) d\phi \qquad (2)$$

$$S(Q) = 1 + \frac{2}{N} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (N-k) \cdot \cos(kQd) \cdot \exp\left(-k\frac{(Q\sigma)^2}{2}\right)$$

where *N* is the number of layers forming the finite domain, *S* (*Q*) is the structure factor, *d* is the period distance, and σ is the parameter responsible for the degree of disorder of the second kind, i.e., where the long-range order gradually decreases with increasing σ . For simplicity, the form factor of one layer *P* (*Q*) is taken as a disk with the thickness as *T* and the radius, *R* [57,58].

The fitting of the experimental data using Equation (2), together with the form factor and the structure factor components, are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. SAXS curves for the pure high-density polyethylene (HDPE).

The average cluster size in the pure polymer is about $N_{\text{layer}} = 7$ layers, with a thickness of the crystallized part of $l_c = 8.6$ nm, and a linear size of $L \sim 2R = 15.4$ nm. The thickness of the amorphous layer between the lamellae is about $l_a = 10.2$ nm. Taking into account the different densities of the amorphous (0.85 g/cm³) and crystalline phases (1.01 g/cm³), the estimated crystallinity degree of HDPE is ca. 50% and is consistent with DSC and WAXS results.

3.3. Effect of Filler Type and Concentration on the Crystallinity of the HDPE Matrix

In this article, we discuss the effect of adding both fillers on the crystal structure of the HDPE matrix. The WAXS patterns of HDPE and its composites with ZrO_2 are presented in Figure 5. The WAXS pattern of pure HDPE shows strong (110) and (200) reflexes, which can be assigned to the orthorhombic structure [59].

We note that the (200) peak overlapped with (110), a reflection of the monoclinic ZrO_2 . The amorphous phase is represented by a broad peak at the Q range of ~1 to 2 Å⁻¹. With the addition of ZrO_2 filler, the parameters of the (110) diffraction peak, such as height, width, and position, practically do not change in the investigated range of filler content (0–20% v/v). In addition, the fraction of the polymer in the crystalline state remains relatively constant. This behavior qualitatively indicates that the lamellar size and the crystallinity degree of HDPE were almost unchanged for all filler concentrations.

This result is confirmed by DSC and Raman analysis. The DSC thermograms of HDPE and HDPE/%ZrO₂ are presented in Figure 6a. Since the samples were rapidly quenched in an ice bath, their crystal structure may have differed markedly from the structure obtained by slow cooling. To analyze these differences, we performed two consecutive heating/cooling DSC cycles. The first heating cycle gives insight into the actual state of the sample, whereas the second one describes the state it achieves with a cooling rate of 10 °C/min. For a whole range of ZrO₂ content, the peak melting temperatures (both T_m * and T_m **) did not vary significantly (Table 3).



Figure 5. Wide-angle X-ray scattering patterns of HDPE and its composites with ZrO₂ nanoparticles.

The resulting lamellar thickness, calculated for the first cycle according to Equation (1), is in the range of 6.0–6.7 nm and is in agreement with the SAXS-based paracrystalline model (Equation (2)). Since the thickness of the lamellae in polyethylene is usually related to the degree of crystallinity, it can be expected that the degree of crystallinity will be almost unaffected by the addition of ZrO_2 (up to 10% v/v). This is indirectly confirmed by the constant ratios of the enthalpies of fusion/crystallization, i.e., for all samples $\Delta H_m */\Delta H_c * ~0.94$ and $\Delta H_m **/\Delta H_c ** ~1$. Some increase in the lamellar thickness and degree of supercooling ΔT * is observed only at the highest ZrO_2 loading of 20%. Direct information on the changes in crystallinity degree is provided by Raman spectroscopy. In the Raman spectra, the presence of the amorphous phase is manifested by several broad peaks at ~865, 1069, 1302, and 1443.5 cm⁻¹ (overlapped with the σ (CH₂) modes of the crystalline phase). The most prominent peaks, due to the crystalline phase, are at 1063, 1130, 1295, and 1416 cm⁻¹. For nanocomposite films, the relative intensity of the signals due to the amorphous phase does not change with the addition of nano-ZrO₂ [60].

Based on the above results, we classify the ZrO_2 particles as "inactive" filler, i.e., virtually not influencing the crystalline structure of the HDPE matrix.

A radically different picture emerges for the nanocomposite films filled with silica particles. Here, T_m ** is still constant but T_m * gradually increases with increasing the nano-SiO₂ content, and eventually T_m *> T_m **, at a filler content of 20% v/v (Table 3). Such behavior proves the thickening of the lamellae, from $l_c = 6$ nm (pure HDPE) to 8.3 nm (20% v/v SiO₂).

On this basis, an increase in the crystallinity degree is expected to occur with the addition of SiO₂ filler. In this case, we do not analyze the WAXS patterns since the signals of α -SiO₂ and the amorphous polymer phase are strongly overlapped. However, the above assumption is directly evidenced by a gradual disappearance of the amorphous peaks in the Raman spectra (Figure 7b). Indirect evidence is also provided by comparing the relative changes in the enthalpy ratios: $\Delta H_m * / \Delta H_c **$ and $\Delta H_m * / \Delta H_m **$. Here, again,



 $\Delta H_m ** / \Delta H_c **$ is constant and close to 1, whereas both $\Delta H_m * / \Delta H_c **$ and $\Delta H_m * / \Delta H_m **$ increase with an increase in the filler concentration.

Figure 6. DSC thermograms (a) and Raman spectra (b) of HDPE and HDPE/%ZrO₂ composite.

Table 3. The DSC melting and crystallization characteristics for pure HDPE and its nanocomposites. Here: ω —filler content, T_c —crystallization temperature, T_m —melting temperature, ΔH_m —enthalpy of melting, ΔH_c —enthalpy of crystallization, l_c —lamellar crystal thickness, and ΔT —degree of supercooling.

ω, vol. %	ω mass.%	<i>T_m</i> * °C	<i>T_m</i> ** °C	<i>T</i> ^c * °C	<i>T_c</i> ** °C	$\Delta H_m * J \cdot g^{-1}$	$\Delta H_m ** J \cdot g^{-1}$	l _c * nm	l _c ** nm	$\Delta H_c * J \cdot g^{-1}$	$\Delta H_c ** J \cdot g^{-1}$	Δ <i>T</i> * °C	Δ <i>T</i> ** °C
HDPE + %SiO ₂													
0	0.0	129.8	132.8	117.4	117.3	-170.5	-203.2	5.9	7.3	187.9	184.3	12.5	15.5
1	2.7	129.8	133.0	117.2	117.2	-170.0	-204.8	5.9	7.5	196.3	197.6	12.6	15.8
5	12.7	130.7	133.6	116.8	116.8	-142.4	-171.6	6.4	7.9	169.4	171.0	13.9	16.8
10	23.5	131.1	132.8	117.1	117.1	-132.1	-150.0	6.4	7.4	153.7	154.1	14.0	15.7
20	40.8	134.2	132.4	117.2	117.3	-115.9	-107.7	8.2	7.1	106.2	106.2	16.9	15.2
HDPE + %ZrO ₂													
0	0.0	129.8	132.7	117.4	117.3	-170.5	-203.2	5.9	7.3	187.9	184.3	12.4	15.4
1	5.7	130.2	133.2	117.2	117.1	-165.6	-187.4	6.1	7.7	176.6	167.2	13.0	16.2
5	23.8	130.7	133.2	117.2	117.2	-151.5	-174.0	6.3	7.6	161.2	157.6	13.5	16.0
10	39.7	130.1	133.0	117.2	117.3	-119.6	-146.2	5.9	7.5	132.4	131.8	12.9	15.7
20	59.7	131.7	134.1	116.3	116.5	-82.4	-96.3	6.7	8.1	91.78	90.3	15.4	17.5

In conclusion, SiO_2 nanoparticles can be classified as "active", i.e., capturing the crystallinity degree of HDPE and acting as a nucleation agent in rapid quenching conditions. This assignment/ascription is in agreement with previous studies showing the nucleation activity of silica particles on poly(ethylene terephthalate) [61] and polypropylene [62].

This behavior is explained within a thermodynamic approach, as used by Ebengou [63], assuming the nucleating activity to be an adsorption-driven process, and directly relating a reduction in the thermodynamic potential for nucleation with the interaction strength between the adsorbed polymer chains and the particles' surfaces.



Figure 7. DSC thermograms (a) and Raman spectra (b) of HDPE and its composites with nano-SiO₂ particles.

3.4. Small-Angle Neutron and X-ray Scattering of Polymer Nanocomposite Films

The SAXS and SANS complete scattering curves from HDPE/SiO₂ and HDPE/ZrO₂ in the 0–20% v/v at t = 25 °C are shown in Figure 8. In both cases (SAXS and SANS), the respective values of the *D* exponents for the composites are closely similar to those of pure nanopowders. This allows us to conclude that the surface roughness and fractal character of the particles do not change and are determined by their initial state in the powders. In addition, the scattering intensity contribution of the composites (1–20% v/v) scales fairly well with the filler mass fraction in the composite (φ).

Some differences and similarities between SANS and SAXS patterns can be explained, based on the SLD values (Table 1). Both SANS and SAXS can barely distinguish between the amorphous and crystalline polymer phases. In the case of composites, the contrast "seen" by SANS mainly comes from the differential SLD of the nano-filler and the polymer. Based on that finding, the main contribution to the total scattering is from the filler. In contrast, the scattering of the polymer matrix of the composite can be seen in SAXS experiments.

The addition of SiO₂ nanoparticles to the polymer leads to the loss of correlation between the lamellae (Figure 8a). At 3% v/v HDPE/SiO₂, there is still a weak correlation peak at the same value of the scattering vector Q. With a further increase in the composite concentration from 5% or higher, the correlations between the lamellae disappear. Schematically, the structures of pure HDPE and its composite with SiO₂ particles are presented in Figure 9.

This result brings important new insights into the imperfections of the HDPE crystallization process in the presence of silica nanoparticles [64]. As is known, silica particles act as a nucleation agent, i.e., increasing the crystallization rate of HDPE. At the same time, SiO_2 particles strongly adsorb polyethylene chains, thus limiting the mobility of the molecular segments. As a result, the rearranging of the segments requires additional energy [64]. In rapid cooling conditions, the rearrangement of HDPE segments seems to be suppressed and results in the disruption of lamellae ordering.

One noticeable phenomenon in SANS experiments is found by subtracting the scattering intensity of the nanoparticles, multiplied by the φ factor from the total scattering intensity of the corresponding composite. In the case of HDPE/SiO₂, the resulting *I*(*Q*) is constant, reflecting the scattering of the polymer matrix, whereas in the case of HDPE/ZrO₂, additional scattering appears. We attribute this additional scattering to the presence of

voids, with a low density of polymer chains, that appear in the immediate proximity of ZrO_2 nanoparticles. They are quite large and reach the order of ~10 nm (according to the spherical model fitting), which is comparable to the size of ZrO_2 particles. It should be noted that the presence of voids was reported for some HDPE composites [16] and are assumed to be a result of poor adhesion between components and internal stresses induced in the matrix during quenching [16,65].



Figure 8. SAXS (a,b) and SANS (c,d) scattering spectra of the HDPE/SiO₂ (left column) and HDPE/ZrO₂ (right column) composites. Insets show additional interface scattering after subtracting the scattering intensity of the nanoparticles multiplied by the φ factor from the total scattering intensity of the corresponding composite.

Such a difference in HDPE/SiO₂ and HDPE/ZrO₂ composites is in line with the above-presented conception of "active" (SiO₂) and "inactive" (ZrO₂) fillers. Particularly rough SiO₂ particles act during crystallization as nucleation and adsorption centers.

Since the crystallization begins on the particles, rather than in the bulk, no cavity zones are present. Indeed, the SEM cross-sectional image of the HDPE/SiO₂ composite shows that silica particles are built into the HDPE fibers and constitute an integral part of the nanocomposite (Figure 10a).

In the case of ZrO_2 aggregates with an inert and smooth surface, the crystallization of the molten HDPE starts in the bulk polymer, and thus, the particles behave as a geometrical hindrance to the growing crystallites. Here, the cross-sectional SEM image of HDPE/ZrO₂ is sharp, with clearly visible particle edges and holes resulting from detaching the particles and aggregates from the HDPE matrix upon the sample's breaking (Figure 10b). This suggests that ZrO₂ aggregates are not well adhered to the polymer matrix and that the integrity of the composite is poorer.



Figure 9. Schematic illustration of the impact of SiO_2 nanoparticles on the lamellar structure of the high-density polyethylene (HDPE) matrix.



(a) HDPE + 5% SiO₂

(b) HDPE + 10% ZrO₂

Figure 10. Cross-sectional SEM images of HDPE/SiO₂ (**a**) and HDPE/ZrO₂ (**b**) composite films, with filler loading of 5% and 10% (v/v), respectively.

3.5. Thermal Stability of the Polymer Nanocomposite Structure

The effect of in-situ annealing on the structure of the nanocomposite films in the temperature range of 25 to 120 °C and filler contents of 3 to 20% (v/v) was investigated by SANS. Representative scattering curves for HDPE/SiO₂ and HDPE/ZrO₂ composite films, recorded at temperatures of 25, 80, and 120 °C, are shown in Figure 11.

For HDPE/SiO₂ nanocomposite films, no structural changes were observed in the investigated range of temperatures and filler concentrations. Similarly, no changes for HDPE/ZrO₂ at the lowest filler level (3% v/v) were detected on the size scale 1–100 nm (0.006–0.5 Å⁻¹) and temperatures 25–120 °C. Starting from a ZrO₂ content of 5% (v/v), at a





Figure 11. Small-angle neutron scattering from: (a) HDPE + 3% SiO₂; (b) HDPE + 5% SiO₂; (c) HDPE + 20% SiO₂; (d) HDPE + 3% ZrO₂; (e) HDPE + 5% ZrO₂ and (f) HDPE + 20% ZrO₂ composite films at different temperatures.

A rough estimation of the changes in the ZrO_2 aggregates can be obtained from the Porod equation:

$$I(Q) = 2\pi \left(\rho_{\text{nanoparticle}} - \rho_{\text{polymer}} \right) \cdot Q^{-4} \cdot (S/V)$$
(3)

where $\rho_{\text{nanoparticle}}$ and ρ_{polymer} are the neutron-scattering densities of nano-ZrO₂ and highdensity polyethylene (HDPE). *S*/*V* is the total area of the interface per unit volume of the ZrO₂ aggregate. The specific surface area (*S*/*V*) of ZrO₂ aggregates after heat treatment at 80 °C decreased by 3 and 10 times for filler concentrations of 5% and 20% *v*/*v*, respectively. For a threefold decrease in the S/V ratio, the predicted increase in the aggregate size is by a factor of 3 or 10, depending on the model used.

It should be mentioned that the structural transition is accomplished at a temperature of 80 °C, and a further increase in temperature up to 120 °C does not lead to any change in the size scale from 1 to 100 nm. This temperature is much lower than the melting point of the polymer matrix (130 °C). Evidently, the observed transition is due to the α -relaxation process. As known from NMR experiments, α -relaxation in polyethylene is related to helical jumps, i.e., 180° flip-flop motions accompanied by a displacement of the chain by one CH₂ unit [67,68]. These motions occur even at room temperature (~10 jumps/s), but a considerable jump rate of ~10⁴/s is attained only at a temperature of 360 K (~87 °C). At this temperature, the chain displacement is several nm per second and can occur between amorphous and crystalline regions. Such a picture is in good relationship with the transition temperature range at SANS measurements and the dynamic of α -relaxation of the neat HDPE sample from temperature-dependent dielectric measurements [69,70]. These transformations in the nanoscale level give a new insight into the changes in mechanical properties (e.g., tensile strength [71]) observed in some quenched composites after mild annealing.

Since the silica particles act as the adsorbing and nucleating agents of HDPE crystallization, the low-density regions in the vicinity of the nanoparticles are absent and, thus, no transition is observed in the SANS spectra.

4. Conclusions

We studied the interfacial effects in HDPE-nanoparticle composite films, prepared using two different filler particles: nano-ZrO₂ and nano-SiO₂.

For both fillers, we found that particle nanoaggregates were evenly distributed in the polymer matrix, and their surface roughness and fractal character were determined by their initial state in the powders.

We demonstrated that the surface properties of the nanoparticles have a primary impact on the structure of the polymer matrix. SAXS and SANS data, supported by TEM imaging, revealed that ZrO_2 particles show mass fractal characteristics ($D \sim 4.08$, SAXS and 4.12, SANS) with inert and smooth surfaces, whereas the SiO₂ particles were surface fractals ($D \sim 3.8$, SAXS and ~ 3.7 , SANS) of irregular shape and developed surface area. These properties allowed us to categorize the particles as "inactive" (ZrO_2) and "active" (SiO₂) and describe their fundamentally different effects on the HDPE matrix.

In the case of nano- ZrO_2 filler, the lamellar thickness and crystallinity degree remain unchanged over a wide range of filler concentrations. We propose that, in the presence of nano- ZrO_2 filler, the crystallization of HDPE starts in the body rather than at the surface. Here, the nanoparticles act as a geometrical hindering factor for the growing lamellae. As a result, the particles have poorly adhered to the matrix, and zones of reduced polymer density (i.e., voids of ~10 nm in size) surrounding the particles are formed. As evidenced by the temperature-dependent SANS measurements, these zones collapse at a temperature of 80 °C with the simultaneous partial aggregation of the nanoparticles. The phenomenon is driven by polymer chain displacements related to the α -relaxation process.

Silica nanoparticles exert a completely different impact on the crystallization of HDPE under rapid quenching conditions. We found that both the lamellar thickness and the degree of crystallinity increase with an increase in the filler loading. Based on this finding, we conclude that nano-SiO₂ particles are "active" in HDPE crystallization, acting as nucleation centers for lamellae growth. Since the crystallization starts on the particles' surface, not in the body, no cavity zones are present, and also no changes in temperature-programmed SANS experiments are visible. Based on SAXS measurements, we found that the ordering of the lamellae is disrupted even at a filler content of a few percent. This result provides new insight into peculiarities in HDPE/SiO₂ crystallization related to the limited mobility of the molecular segments adsorbed on the particles.

The present results allow a better understanding of the changes in the polymer matrix in a presence of nanoparticles of different surface properties and can be used in composite design. The different behavior of both fillers is expected to lead to different mechanical and dielectric properties in the nanocomposites, and this will be the subject of our further studies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.A.N., A.K.I. and A.O.; methodology, A.A.N., M.A.N., A.O., F.M.G. and A.I.K.; formal analysis, A.A.N., A.O., O.I.I., A.P., F.M.G., M.A.N., M.B. and A.I.K.; investigation, A.A.N., A.O., A.K.I., O.Y.I., D.V.S., O.I.I., A.Z., A.S.D. and M.B.; writing—original draft preparation, A.A.N. and A.O.; writing—review and editing, M.B., A.K.A., A.Z., O.I.I. and O.Y.I.; visualization, A.A.N. and A.K.A.; supervision, A.A.N. and A.I.K.; project administration, A.P. and A.S.D.; funding acquisition, A.P., A.S.D. and A.K.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The study was supported by the Project H2020/MSCA/RISE/SSHARE number 871284 and Romania-JINR Projects № 268/2020 item 48, № 366/2021 item 49 and № 268/2020 item 59. SANS experiments were supported by RF Ministry of Education and Science, grant number 19-52-44003\19. A.O. gratefully acknowledges the financial support by the Plenipotentiary Representative of the Republic of Poland at JINR within Project No. 168/40/2021 and Grant No. 166/16/2021.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author: A.A.N., upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

References

- 1. Jancar, J.; Douglas, J.; Starr, F.; Kumar, S.; Cassagnau, P.; Lesser, A.; Sternstein, S.; Buehler, M. Current issues in research on structure–property relationships in polymer nanocomposites. *Polymer* **2010**, *51*, 3321–3343. [CrossRef]
- Hussain, F.; Hojjati, M.; Okamoto, M.; Gorga, R.E. Review article: Polymer-matrix Nanocomposites, Processing, Manufacturing, and Application: An Overview. J. Compos. Mater. 2006, 40, 1511–1575. [CrossRef]
- Hsissou, R.; Seghiri, R.; Benzekri, Z.; Hilali, M.; Rafik, M.; Elharfi, A. Polymer composite mate-rials: A comprehensive review. Compos. Struct. 2021, 262, 113640. [CrossRef]
- 4. Bailey, E.J.; Winey, K.I. Dynamics of polymer segments, polymer chains, and nanoparticles in polymer nanocomposite melts: A review. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2020, *105*, 101242. [CrossRef]
- 5. Maghami, S.; Shahrooz, M.; Mehrabani-Zeinabad, A.; Zornoza, B.; Sadeghi, M. Characterization of the polymer/particle interphase in composite materials by molecular probing. *Polymer* **2020**, *205*, 122792. [CrossRef]
- Gao, X.; Xie, B.; Su, Y.; Fu, D.; Wang, D. Nanoparticle Enlarged Interfacial Effect on Phase Tran-sition of 1-Octadecanol/Silica Composites. J. Phys. Chem. B 2015, 119, 2074–2080. [CrossRef]
- Mallakpour, S.; Naghdi, M. Polymer/SiO₂ nanocomposites: Production and applications. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* 2018, 97, 409–447. [CrossRef]
- 8. Yao, G.; Duan, T.; An, M.; Xu, H.; Tian, F.; Wang, Z. The influence of epitaxial crystallization on the mechanical properties of a high density polyethylene/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite injection bar. *RSC Adv.* 2017, *7*, 21918–21925. [CrossRef]
- Behera, K.; Yadav, M.; Chiu, F.-C.; Rhee, K.Y. Graphene Nanoplatelet-Reinforced Poly (vinylidene fluoride)/High Density Polyethylene Blend-Based Nanocomposites with Enhanced Thermal and Electrical Properties. *Nanomaterials* 2019, *9*, 361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jafarzadeh, Y.; Yegani, R.; Tantekin-Ersolmaz, S.B. Effect of TiO₂ nanoparticles on structure and properties of high density polyethylene membranes prepared by thermally induced phase separation method. *Polym. Adv. Technol.* 2015, 26, 392–398. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kanagaraj, S.; Varanda, F.R.; Zhil'tsova, T.V.; Oliveira, M.S.A.; Simoes, J.A.O. Mechanical properties of high density polyethylene/carbon nanotube composites. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2007, *67*, 3071–3077. [CrossRef]
- 12. Beesetty, P.; Kale, A.; Patil, B.; Doddamani, M. Mechanical behavior of additively manufactured nanoclay/HDPE nanocomposites. *Compos. Struct.* **2020**, 247, 112442. [CrossRef]
- 13. Fu, S.; Sun, Z.; Huang, P.; Li, Y.; Hu, N. Some basic aspects of polymer nanocomposites: A critical review. *Nano Mater. Sci.* 2019, *1*, 2–30. [CrossRef]
- 14. Alghamdi, M.N. Effect of Filler Particle Size on the Recyclability of Fly Ash Filled HDPE Composites. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 2836. [CrossRef]

- 15. Shahrajabian, H.; Sadeghian, F. The investigation of alumina nanoparticles' effects on the mechanical and thermal properties of HDPE/rPET/MAPE blends. *Int. Nano Lett.* **2019**, *9*, 213–219. [CrossRef]
- Kaczmarek, H.; Królikowski, B.; Chylińska, M.; Klimiec, E.; Bajer, D. Piezoelectric Films Based on Polyethylene Modified by Aluminosilicate Filler. *Polymers* 2019, 11, 1345. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Bozeya, A.; Makableh, Y.; Abu-Zurayk, R.; Khalaf, A.; Al Bawab, A. Thermal and Structural Properties of High Density Polyethylene/Carbon Nanotube Nanocomposites: A Comparison Study. *Chemosensors* **2021**, *9*, 136. [CrossRef]
- 18. Olesik, P.; Godzierz, M.; Kozioł, M.; Jała, J.; Szeluga, U.; Myalski, J. Structure and Mechanical Properties of High-Density Polyethylene Composites Reinforced with Glassy Carbon. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 4024. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Rybak, A.; Malinowski, L.; Adamus-Wlodarczyk, A.; Ulanski, P. Thermally Conductive Shape Memory Polymer Composites Filled with Boron Nitride for Heat Management in Electrical Insulation. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 2191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Perchacz, M.; Rozanski, A.; Kargarzadeh, H.; Galeski, A. Cavitation in high density polyethylene/Al₂O₃ nanocomposites. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2020, 199, 108323. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Wang, C.; Zhang, Z.; Xiao, K. Effect of Nanoparticles on the Morphology, Thermal, and Electrical Properties of Low-Density Polyethylene after Thermal Aging. *Nanomaterials* 2017, 7, 320. [CrossRef]
- 22. Grigoriadou, I.; Paraskevopoulos, K.; Karavasili, M.; Karagiannis, G.; Vasileiou, A.; Bikiaris, D. HDPE/Cu-nanofiber nanocomposites with enhanced mechanical and UV stability properties. *Compos. Part B Eng.* **2013**, *55*, 407–420. [CrossRef]
- Chiu, F.-C.; Yen, H.-Z.; Lee, C.-E. Characterization of PP/HDPE blend-based nanocomposites using different maleated polyolefins as compatibilizers. *Polym. Test.* 2010, 29, 397–406. [CrossRef]
- 24. Darshan, T.G.; Veluri, S.; Kartik, B.; Yen-Hsiang, C.; Fang-Chyouab, C. Poly (butylene succinate)/high density polyethylene blendbased nanocomposites with enhanced physical properties–Selectively localized carbon nanotube in pseudo-double percolated structure. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2019**, *163*, 185–194. [CrossRef]
- Guillet, A.; Dargent, E.; Delbreilh, L.; Pareige, P.; Saiter, J.-M. Fabrication and characterization of multi-filament copper ma-trix– polyethylene fibres composite wire. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2009, *69*, 1218–1224. [CrossRef]
- 26. Lee, D.W.; Yoo, B.R. Advanced silica/polymer composites: Materials and applications. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 2016, 38, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Grabowski, C.A.; Fillery, S.P.; Westing, N.M.; Chi, C.; Meth, J.S.; Durstock, M.F.; Vaia, R.A. Dielectric Breakdown in Silica– Amorphous Polymer Nanocomposite Films: The Role of the Polymer Matrix. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2013, 5, 5486–5492.
 [CrossRef]
- 28. Huang, J.; Zhang, G.; Dong, B.; Liu, J. Synthesis and Properties of Polyimide Silica Nanocomposite Film with High Transparent and Radiation Resistance. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11*, 562. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, T.V.; Nguyen, T.A.; Nguyen, T.H. The Synergistic Effects of SiO₂ Nanoparticles and Organic Photostabilizers for Enhanced Weathering Resistance of Acrylic Polyurethane Coating. *J. Compos. Sci.* 2020, *4*, 23. [CrossRef]
- Zou, H.; Wu, S.; Shen, J. Polymer/Silica Nanocomposites: Preparation, Characterization, Properties, and Applications. *Chem. Rev.* 2008, 108, 3893–3957. [CrossRef]
- Nabiyev, A.; Linnik, D.S.; Gorshkova, Y.E.; Maharramov, A.M.; Balasoiu, M.; Olejniczak, A.; Ivankov, A.I.; Kovalev, Y.S.; Kuklin, A.I. Influence of Gamma Irradiation on The Morphological Properties of HDPE+%ZrO₂ Polymer Nanocomposites. *Rom. J. Phys.* 2019, 64, 603.
- Reyes-Acosta, M.; Torres, A.; Dominguez-Crespo, M.A.; Flores-Vela, A.; Dorantes-Rosales, H.J.; Ramirez-Meneses, E. Influence of ZrO₂ nanoparticles and thermal treatment on the properties of PMMA/ZrO₂ hybrid coatings. *J. Alloy. Compd.* 2015, 643, S150–S158. [CrossRef]
- Wang, P.; Ma, Q.; Li, B.; Li, Y. Microstructure and Thermal-protective Property of CPED Coating with ZrO₂ Nanoparticles Addition on Al-12Si Alloy. J. Wuhan Univ. Technol. Mater. Sci. Ed. 2019, 34, 1187–1192. [CrossRef]
- Genix, A.N.; Tatou, M.; Imaz, A.; Forcada, J.; Schweins, R.; Grillo, I.; Oberdisse, J. Modeling of intermediate structures and chain conformation in silica-latex nanocomposites observed by SANS during an-nealing. *Macromolecules* 2012, 45, 1663–1675. [CrossRef]
- Fouad, H.; Elleithy, R.; Alothman, O.Y. Thermo-mechanical, Wear and Fracture Behavior of High-density Polyethylene/Hydroxyapatite Nano Composite for Biomedical Applications: Effect of Accelerated Ageing. J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 2013, 29, 573–581. [CrossRef]
- 36. Kango, S.; Kalia, S.; Celli, A.; Njuguna, J.; Habibi, Y.; Kumar, R. Surface modification of inor-ganic nanoparticles for development of organic–inorganic nanocomposites–A review. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2013**, *38*, 1232–1261. [CrossRef]
- Nabiyev, A. Influence of nanoparticle weight fraction on morphology and thermal properties of HDPE/SiO₂ composite films. *Eurasian J. Phys. Funct. Mater.* 2020, *4*, 38–49. [CrossRef]
- 38. Available online: www.ssnano.com (accessed on 10 August 2021).
- 39. Yang, F.; Nelson, G.L. Polymer/silica nanocomposites prepared via extrusion. Polym. Adv. Technol. 2006, 17, 320–326. [CrossRef]
- Tanahashi, M.; Hirose, M.; Watanabe, Y.; Lee, J.C.; Takeda, K. Silica/perfluoropolymer nanocomposites fabricated by direct melt-com-pounding: A novel method without surface modification on nano-silica. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* 2007, 7, 2433–2442. [CrossRef]
- 41. Craus, M.-L.; Islamov, A.K.; Anitas, E.M.; Cornei, N.; Luca, D. Microstructural, magnetic and transport properties of La_{0.5}Pr_{0.2}Pb_{0.3-x}Sr_xMnO₃ manganites. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2014**, 592, 121–126. [CrossRef]

- Horodecka, S.; Strachota, A.; Mossety-Leszczak, B.; Šlouf, M.; Zhigunov, A.; Vyroubalová, M.; Kaňková, D.; Netopilík, M. Meltable copolymeric elastomers based on polydimethylsiloxane with multiplets of pendant liquid-crystalline groups as physical crosslinker: A self-healing structural material with a potential for smart applications. *Eur. Polym. J.* 2020, 137, 109962. [CrossRef]
- Skelnar, I.; Bal, Ü.; Zhigunov, A.; Kaprálková, L.; Fortelný, I.; Krejčíková, S.; Kredatusová, J. Complex effect of graphite nano-platelets on performance of HDPE/PA66 microfibrillar composites. *Compos. Part B Eng.* 2018, 144, 220–228. [CrossRef]
- 44. Donato, K.Z.; Donato, R.K.; Zhigunov, A.; Mauler, R.S.; Schrekker, H.S. Tuning the interphase adhesion in high-density polyethylene-silica nanocomposites with ionic liquids. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2018**, *136*, 47366. [CrossRef]
- 45. Ostanevich, Y.M. Time-of-flight small-angle scattering spectrometers on pulsed neutron sources. *Makromol. Chemie. Macromol. Symp.* **1988**, *15*, 91–103. [CrossRef]
- 46. Kuklin, A.I.; Islamov, A.K.; Gordeliy, V.I. Scientific Reviews: Two-Detector System for Small-Angle Neutron Scattering Instrument. *Neutron News* **2005**, *16*, 16–18. [CrossRef]
- 47. Kuklin, A.; Soloviov, D.; Rogachev, A.V.; Utrobin, P.K.; Kovalev, Y.S.; Balasoiu, M.; I Ivankov, O.; Sirotin, A.P.; Murugova, T.N.; Petukhova, T.B.; et al. New opportunities provided by modernized small-angle neutron scattering two-detector system instrument (YuMO). *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2011**, *291*, 012013. [CrossRef]
- Soloviev, A.G.; Solovieva, T.M.; Stadnik, A.V.; Islamov, A.K.; Kuklin, A.I. *The Package for Small Angle Neutron Scattering Data Treatment. Version 2.4. Long Write-Up and User's Guide*; Report Number: JINR-R–10-2003-86; Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR): Dubna, Russia, 2003.
- Furushima, Y.; Nakada, M.; Murakami, M.; Yamane, T.; Toda, A.; Schick, C. Method for Calculation of the Lamellar Thickness Distribution of Not-Reorganized Linear Polyethylene Using Fast Scanning Calorimetry in Heating. *Macromolecules* 2015, 48, 8831–8837. [CrossRef]
- 50. Höhne, G. Another approach to the Gibbs–Thomson equation and the melting point of polymers and oligomers. *Polymer* **2002**, *43*, 4689–4698. [CrossRef]
- 51. Teixeira, J. Small-angle scattering by fractal systems. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1988, 21, 781–785. [CrossRef]
- 52. Teixeira, J. Introduction to Small Angle Neutron Scattering Applied to Colloidal Science. In *Structure and Dynamics of Strongly Interacting Colloids and Supramolecular Aggregates in Solution;* Chen, S.H., Huang, J.S., Tartaglia, P., Eds.; NATO ASI Series (Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences); Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992; Volume 369, pp. 635–658.
- 53. Svergun, D. Determination of the regularization parameter in indirect-transform methods using perceptual criteria. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1992**, *25*, 495–503. [CrossRef]
- Franke, D.; Petoukhov, M.V.; Konarev, P.V.; Panjkovich, A.; Tuukkanen, A.; Mertens, H.D.T.; Kikhney, A.G.; Hajizadeh, N.R.; Franklin, J.M.; Jeffries, C.M.; et al. ATSAS 2.8: A comprehensive data analysis suite for small-angle scattering from macromolecular solutions. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2017, 50, 1212–1225. [CrossRef]
- 55. Yang, F.; Yang, W.; Zhu, L.; Chen, Y.; Ye, Z. Preparation and investigation of waterborne fluorinated polyacry-late/silica nanocomposite coatings. *Prog. Org. Coat.* 2016, *95*, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- 56. Zhuravlev, L.T. The surface chemistry of amorphous silica. Zhuravlev model. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2000**, 173, 1–38. [CrossRef]
- 57. Guinier, A. X-Ray Diffraction in Crystals, Imperfect Crystals, and Amorphous Bodies; W. H. Freeman and Company: San Francisco, CA, USA, 1963; pp. 300–304.
- 58. Zhang, R.; Tristram-Nagle, S.; Sun, W.; Headrick, R.; Irving, T.; Suter, R.; Nagle, J. Small-angle x-ray scattering from lipid bilayers is well described by modified Caillé theory but not by paracrystalline theory. *Biophys. J.* **1996**, *70*, 349–357. [CrossRef]
- 59. Ryan, A.J.; Bras, W.; Mant, G.R.; Derbyshire, G.E. A direct method to determine the degree of crystallinity and lamellar thickness of polymers: Application to polyethylene. *Polymer* **1994**, *35*, 4537–4544. [CrossRef]
- 60. Nabiyev, A.A.; Olejniczak, A.; Pawlukojc, A.; Balasoiu, M.; Bunoiu, M.; Maharramov, A.M.; Nuriyev, M.A.; Ismayilova, R.S.; Azhibekov, A.K.; Kabyshev, A.M.; et al. Nano-ZrO₂ filled high-density polyethylene composites: Structure, thermal properties, and the influence γ-irradiation. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2020**, *171*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 61. Chung, S.C.; Hahm, W.G.; Im, S.S.; Oh, S.G. Poly (ethylene terephthalate) (PET) nanocomposites filled with fumed silicas by melt compounding. *Macromol. Res.* 2002, *10*, 221–229. [CrossRef]
- 62. Wu, C.L.; Zhang, M.Q.; Rong, M.Z.; Friedrich, K. Silica nanoparticles filled polypropylene: Effects of particle surface treatment, matrix ductility and particle species on mechanical performance of the composites. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2005, 65, 635–645. [CrossRef]
- 63. Ebengou, R.H. Adsorption as a mechanism for nucleating activity: A thermodynamic explanation. *J. Polym. Sci. Part B Polym. Phys.* **1997**, *35*, 1333–1338. [CrossRef]
- Jiasheng, Q.; Pingsheng, H. Non-isothermal crystallization of HDPE/nano-SiO₂ composite. J. Mater. Sci. 2003, 38, 2299–2304. [CrossRef]
- 65. Oakey, J.; Marr, D.W.M.; Schwartz, K.B.; Wartenberg, M. An Integrated AFM and SANS Approach toward Understanding Void Formation in Conductive Composite Materials. *Macromolecules* **2000**, *33*, 5198–5203. [CrossRef]
- Nabiyev, A.A.; Islamov, A.K.; Maharramov, A.M.; Nuriyev, M.A.; Ismayilova, R.S.; Doroshkevic, A.S.; Pawlukojc, A.; Turchenko, V.A.; Olejniczak, A.; Rulev, M.I.; et al. Structural Studies of dielectric HDPE/ZrO₂ polymer nano-composites: Filler concentration dependences. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 2018, 994, 012011. [CrossRef]

- 67. Hu, W.-G.; Schmidt-Rohr, K. Polymer ultradrawability: The crucial role of α-relaxation chain mobility in the crystallites. *Acta Polym.* **1999**, *50*, 271–285. [CrossRef]
- Hu, W.-G.; Boeffel, C.; Schmidt-Rohr, K. Chain Flips in Polyethylene Crystallites and Fibers Characterized by Dipolar13C NMR§. Macromolecules 1999, 32, 1611–1619. [CrossRef]
- 69. Stamboliev, G.; Suljovrujic, E. A dielectric study of molecular relaxations in irradiated high density polyethylene. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2010**, *95*, 593–599. [CrossRef]
- 70. Suljovrujic, E. Dielectric study of post-irradiation effects in gamma-irradiated polyethylenes. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **2010**, *79*, 751–757. [CrossRef]
- 71. Panaitescu, D.; Ciuprina, F.; Iorga, M.; Frone, A.; Radovici, C.; Ghiurea, M.; Sever, S.; Plesa, I. Effects of SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ nanofillers on polyethylene properties. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2011**, *122*, 1921–1935. [CrossRef]