DOI: 10.1002/ame2.12006

SHORT COMMUNICATION



Subculturing cells have no effect on CRISPR/Cas9-mediated cleavage of UL30 gene in pseudorabies virus

Lin-zhu Ren¹ | Zhi-yuan Peng¹ | Ting Ouyang¹ | Xiao-hui Liu¹ | Xin-rong Chen^{1,2} | Li Ye² | Jun-wen Fan² | Hong-sheng Ouyang¹ | Da-xin Pang¹ | Jie-ying Bai²

¹Jilin Provincial Key Laboratory of Animal Embryo Engineering, College of Animal Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China

²The Laboratory Animal Center, The Academic of Military Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

Correspondence

Jie-ying Bai, Laboratory Animal Center, Academy of Military Medical Sciences, Fengtai District Beijing, China. Email: baijieying@126.com.

Funding information

This work was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2017YFD0500103), the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (No. 5152023), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31772747 and 31272385), the Jilin Province Science and Technology Development Projects (20150204077NY), the Graduate Innovation Fund of Jilin University, the Program for Chang jiang Scholars and the University Innovative Research Team (No. IRT1248).

Abstract

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing can inhibit virus infection by targeting the conserved regions of the viral genomic DNA. Unexpectedly, we found previously that pseudorabies virus (PRV) could escape from CRISPR/Cas9-mediated inhibition. In order to elucidate whether the escape of PRV from Cas9-mediated inhibition was due to cell deficiencies, such as genetic instability of sgRNA or Cas9 protein, the positive cells were passaged ten times, and PRV infection in the sgRNA-expressing cells was evaluated in the present study. The results showed that subculturing cells has no effect on Cas9-mediated cleavage of PRV. Different passages of PX459-PRV cells can stably express sgRNA to facilitate Cas9/sgRNA cleavage on the UL30 gene of PRV, resulting in a pronounced inhibition of PRV infection. Studies to elucidate the mechanism of PRV escape are currently in progress.

KEYWORDS

CRISPR/Cas9, pseudorabies virus (PRV), single-guide RNA (sgRNA), UL30 protein

1 | INTRODUCTION

CRISPR Cas9-mediated genome editing can inhibit virus infection by targeting the conserved regions of the viral genomic DNA.¹⁻⁶ Previously, we constructed a cell line stably expressing Cas9 endonuclease and sgRNA targeting the conserved UL30 gene of pseudorabies virus (PRV), and found that the sgRNA could specifically target and cleave the conserved UL30 gene, resulting in the

Lin-zhu Ren and Zhi-yuan Peng contributed equally to this work.

robust suppression of PRV infection.⁷ Unexpectedly, PRV could escape from CRISPRCas9-mediated inhibition.⁷ There have been reports that Cas9-mediated cleavage can be disrupted by cell factors, such as interactions between genomic context and internal gRNA,⁸ type I interferons,⁹ and anti-CRISPR proteins.¹⁰ In order to elucidate whether the escape of PRV from Cas9-mediated inhibition was due to cell deficiencies, such as genetic instability of sgRNA or Cas9 protein, the positive cells were passaged 10 times and PRV infection in the sgRNA-expressing cells was evaluated in the present study.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2018 The Authors. Animal Models and Experimental Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of The Chinese Association for Laboratory Animal Sciences

2 | METHODS

Previously, we found that there was no significant difference among PK-15, PX459, and PX459-PRV cells, and the expression of sgRNA had no notable effect or toxicity on cell viability.⁷ In the present study, sgRNA-expressing cells (PX459-PRV) and control cells (PX459 and PK-15) were seeded onto 12-well plates. At 70%-80% confluency, the cells were infected with 500 μ L of PRV (6 TCID₅₀). At 1 h post-inoculation (hpi), the cells were washed 3 times with 200 μ L of PBS and maintained in fresh DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS at 37°C and 5% CO₂.The cytopathic effect (CPE) was observed at 0, 32, 48, and 72 hpi.

In order to evaluate whether the UL30 gene could be consistently edited by specific sgRNA, PX459-PRV, PX459 or PK-15 cells were serially passaged 10 times onto 60-mm dishes. Western blot was performed to examine the expression of Cas9 protein. Then, cells from passages 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 were infected with PRV for 12 hours and the effect of the sgRNA on PRV infection was determined using real-time PCR and titration assays. To analyze the Cas9mediated disruption of PRV DNA, viral DNA was extracted from passages 2 and 10 of the PRV-infected cells, and amplified. PCR products were purified and sequenced directly.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comparing experiments on cells infected by PRV with control experiments, the results showed that CPEs were obvious in the control cells



PX459 and PK-15 at 32, 48 and 72 hpi, while no CPE could be observed in PX459-PRV cells before 72 hpi (Figure 1), indicating that the PRV-induced cytopathic effect can be delayed in PX459-PRV cells. Furthermore, although the same cell densities were seeded on the plates, cell density increased in PX-459-PRV cells from 0 to 72 hpi, while cell densities decreased in PX-459 and PK-15 cells. Therefore, a slight CPE could be monitored in PX-459-PRV cells at 72 hpi because of the higher density. These results demonstrated that replication of PRV was markedly inhibited in PX459-PRV cells. At the same time, the results showed that Cas9 proteins were highly expressed in different passages of PX459-PRV and PX459 cells, while no obvious band could be detected in each passage of PK-15 cells (Figure 2A). The results also showed that PRV replication and yield were significantly decreased in each passage of PX459-PRV cells compared with that of PX459 and PK-15 cells (Figure 2B-C), indicating that the UL30-specific sgRNAs were stably expressed in each passage, and the UL30 gene of PRV was efficiently edited by the CRISPR Cas9 system, resulting in a pronounced decrease in viral replication.

As expected, the sequencing chromatogram of PCR products amplified from passages 2 and 10 of the PX459-PRV cells infected with PRV showed overlapping peaks, while those from PRV-infected PK-15 and PX459 cells showed well-formed and distinctive single colored peaks (Figure 2D). Moreover, the peaks overlapped from the target site to the end of the amplicon. These results demonstrated that multiple PCR products were amplified from passages 2 and 10 of the PRV-infected PX459-PRV cells, indicating that the viral DNA was successfully edited by the Cas9-mediated cleavage in different passages of PX459-PRV cells.



FIGURE 1 Cytopathic effect. sgRNA-expressing cells (PX459-PRV) and control cells (PX459 and PK-15) were seeded onto 12-wells plate. At 70%-80% confluency, the cells were infected with 500 µL of PRV (6 TCID₅₀). At 1 h post-inoculation (hpi), the cells were washed three times with 200 µL of PBS and maintained in fresh DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The CPE was observed at 0, 32, 48, and 72 hpi



FIGURE 2 Replication of PRV was inhibited in the cells stably expressing the specific sgRNA. PX459-PRV. PX459 or PK-15 cells were serially passaged 10 times onto 60-mm dish. Western blot was performed to examine the expression of Cas9 protein using Flag tag Antibody Rabbit polyclonal (Proteintech Group Inc., Wuhan, China) as primary antibody and HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China) as secondary antibody. Cells from passages 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 were infected with PRV for 12 h and the effect of the sgRNA on PRV infection was determined using realtime PCR and titration assays according to the protocol described previously.⁷ (A), Expression level of Cas9 Protein in each cell passage. (B), Copy number of PRV genome. (C), TCID₅₀. (D), Chromatogram characteristic of PCR products. Viral DNA was extracted from cells, and amplified using PRV-30-F3 and PRV-30-R, according to the protocol described previously.⁷ PCR products were purified and sequenced directly

It has been reported that there are at least 2 opposite outcomes of Cas9/sgRNA cleavage, inactivation of the virus and acceleration of viral escape.¹¹ Nucleotide insertions, deletions, and substitutions around the Cas9/sgRNA cleavage site are typical for DNA repair by the nonhomologous end-joining pathway (NHEJ pathway).¹² Therefore, studies to elucidate the mechanism of PRV escape are urgently needed. We report here that subculturing cells has no effect on Cas9-mediated cleavage of PRV and we are currently investigating the mechanism of the NHEJ pathway involved in PRV escape. The occurrence of viral escape from CRISPR Cas9-mediated inhibition may limit the use of Cas9/sgRNA in virus control or therapy.⁷ Combinations of two strong sgRNAs targeting different regions of the viral genome, or RNAi and CRISPR Cas9 can completely abrogate viral replication and prevent viral escape.^{13,14} Therefore, research into the combination of 2 strong sgRNAs targeting different regions of the PRV genome is ongoing.

In conclusion, subculturing cells has no effect on Cas9-mediated cleavage of PRV. PX459-PRV cells from different passages can stably express sgRNA to facilitate Cas9/sgRNA cleavage on the UL30 gene of PRV.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JYB, DXP, and HSO. conceived and designed the study; LZR, ZYP, XHL, XRC, LY, JWF and TO carried out experimental work and data analysis; LZR and JYB wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to revising the manuscript. All authors gave final approval for publication.

REFERENCES

 Ramanan V, Shlomai A, Cox DB, et al. CRISPR/Cas9 cleavage of viral DNA efficiently suppresses hepatitis B virus. *Sci Rep.* 2015;5:10833.



- 2. Kennedy EM, Kornepati AV, Cullen BR. Targeting hepatitis B virus cccDNA using CRISPR/Cas9. *Antiviral Res.* 2015;123:188-192.
- Peng C, Lu M, Yang D. CRISPR/Cas9-based tools for targeted genome editing and replication control of HBV. *Virol Sin.* 2015;30:317-325.
- Yuen KS, Chan CP, Wong NH, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing of Epstein-Barr virus in human cells. J Gen Virol. 2015;96:626-636.
- Russell TA, Stefanovic T, Tscharke DC. Engineering herpes simplex viruses by infection-transfection methods including recombination site targeting by CRISPR/Cas9 nucleases. J Virol Methods. 2015;213:18-25.
- Kanda T, Furuse Y, Oshitani H, Kiyono T. Highly efficient CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated cloning and functional characterization of gastric cancer-derived Epstein-Barr virus strains. J Virol. 2016;90:4383-4393.
- 7. Peng Z, Ouyang T, Pang D, et al. Pseudorabies virus can escape from CRISPR-Cas9-mediated inhibition. *Virus Res.* 2016;223:197-205.
- Thyme SB, Akhmetova L, Montague TG, Valen E, Schier AF. Internal guide RNA interactions interfere with Cas9-mediated cleavage. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:11750.
- Machitani M, Sakurai F, Wakabayashi K, et al. Inhibition of CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated genome engineering by a Type I interferon-induced reduction in guide RNA expression. *Biol Pharm Bull.* 2017;40:272-277.

- 10. Pawluk A, Amrani N, Zhang Y, et al. Naturally occurring off-switches for CRISPR-Cas9. *Cell*. 2016;167:1829-1838.
- 11. Wang Z, Pan Q, Gendron P, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-derived mutations both inhibit HIV-1 replication and accelerate viral escape. *Cell Rep.* 2016;15:481-489.
- Wang G, Zhao N, Berkhout B, Das AT. CRISPR-Cas9 can inhibit HIV-1 replication but NHEJ repair facilitates virus escape. *Mol Ther*. 2016;24:522-526.
- White MK, Hu W, Khalili K. Gene editing approaches against viral infections and strategy to prevent occurrence of viral escape. *PLoS Pathog.* 2016;12:e1005953.
- Lebbink RJ, de Jong DC, Wolters F, et al. A combinational CRISPR/ Cas9 gene-editing approach can halt HIV replication and prevent viral escape. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:41968.

How to cite this article: Ren LZ, Peng ZY, Ouyang T, et al. Subculturing cells have no effect on CRISPR/Cas9-mediated cleavage of UL30 gene in pseudorabies virus. *Anim Models Exp Med.* 2018;1:74–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/</u>

ame2.12006