

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Review

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Pharmacological Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yphrs



# Chinese herbal medicine for coronavirus disease 2019: A systematic review and meta-analysis



# Xingjiang Xiong<sup>a,\*,1</sup>, Pengqian Wang<sup>b,c</sup>, Kelei Su<sup>d,e,1</sup>, William C. Cho<sup>f</sup>, Yanwei Xing<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Cardiovascular Care Unit, Guang'Anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, South Small Street 16#, Dongzhimen Inside, Dongcheng District, Beijing, China

<sup>c</sup> Key Laboratory of Chinese Internal Medicine of Ministry of Education and Beijing, Dongzhimen Hospital Affiliated to Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, South Small

Street 16#, Dongzhimen Inside, Dongcheng District, Beijing, China

<sup>d</sup> Department of Respiration, Jiangsu Province Hospital on Integration of Chinese and Western Medicine Affiliated to Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Jiangsu, China

<sup>e</sup> Department of Respiration, Jiangsu Branch of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Jiangsu, China

<sup>f</sup> Department of Clinical Oncology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Kowloon, Hong Kong

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Chinese herbal medicine Traditional Chinese medicine Coronavirus disease 2019 Randomized controlled trial Systematic review Meta-analysis

#### ABSTRACT

Currently, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which can lead to severe respiratory failure and death, is now a global pandemic with no specific anti-viral drugs or vaccines. However, It is worth noting that traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), especially Chinese herbal medicine (CHM), has been widely applied in mainland China since outbreak, bringing new hope for the prevention and control of COVID-19. A comprehensive literature searching was conducted in 7 electronic databases from their inception up to June 21, 2020 to evaluate the efficacy and safety of CHM for COVID-19. Eighteen randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving 2275 patients were enrolled. Most of CHMs were originated from classical Chinese herbal formulas. Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae), Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis), Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae), Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), and Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum) were most frequently used Chinese herbs. The most commonly used dosage formulation was decoction. Our meta-analyses found that comparing CHM group and conventional western medicine group, CHM group has improvements in several clinical parameters including lung CT, clinical cure rate, ranging from mild to critical cases, length of hospital stay, total score of clinical symptoms, fever reduction time, symptom score of fever, number of cough reduction cases, symptom score of cough, number of fatigue reduction cases, symptom score of fatigue, disappearing time of fatigue, TCM syndrome, viral nucleic acid testing, and inflammatory biomarkers (C-reactive protein). Besides, no severe adverse effects was identified by CHM. CHM, especially classical Chinese herbal formulas, could be used as potential candidates for COVID-19 in this battle.

#### 1. Introduction

Since December 2019, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has broken out in *Wuhan*, China [1]. The main symptoms include fever, dry cough, and fatigue, while some patients with myalgia and diarrhea. It can lead to severe respiratory failure, acute respiratory distress syndrome, septic shock, and even death. Currently, COVID-19 outbreak is moving rapidly. As of June 21, 2020, a total of 8,949,953 confirmed cases of COVID-19 has been reported in China and 200 other countries, with 4,760,539 (53.19 %) cured cases and 467,347 (5.22 %) deaths. Unfortunately, the number of confirmed cases continues to rise due to rapid spread. World Health Organization (WHO) has defined it as a global pandemic. Worst of all, except for conventional western medicine (CWM) including antiviral drugs, antibacterial drugs, antitussive, expectorant and antiasthmatic drugs, and symptomatic and supportive therapy, no specific anti-viral drugs or vaccines has been discovered for this virus. More efforts should be made to understand the pathophysiology and improve clinical efficacy of this new disease. Thus, it has

E-mail addresses: xiongxingjiangtcm@163.com (X. Xiong), pengqian.wang@163.com (P. Wang), chocs@ha.org.hk (W.C. Cho),

xingyanwei12345@163.com (Y. Xing).

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally in this paper.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105056 Received 18 April 2020; Received in revised form 25 June 2020; Accepted 26 June 2020 Available online 02 July 2020

1043-6618/ © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: Department of Cardiovascular Care Unit, Guang'Anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beixiange 5#, Xicheng District, Beijing, 100053, China.

become a major global public health problem.

In China, the pandemic is under control due to government strong measure, public surveillance, and utilization of both CWM and traditional Chinese medicine (TCM). TCM, especially Chinese herbal medicine (CHM), has been used extensively in the treatment of several acute epidemic infectious diseases including severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), influenza A H1N1, avian influenza, malaria, etc [2,3]. According to the research reports of World Health Organization, compared to CWM group, TCM group has achieved remarkable therapeutic effect with 3 days for average fever reduction time, 10 days for average hospital stay, low medical costs, and no death, sequelae, transfer, and infection of nurses and doctors during the SARS epidemic in 2003 [4]. Among the 564 patients with COVID-19 admitted to Jiangxia Fangcang TCM Hospital, 482 were cured, and the rest 82 complicated with basic diseases were transferred to designated hospitals. During the treatment, no patients turned from mild to critical cases, and no nurses and doctors were infected by COVID-19. TCM has played an indispensable role and TCM therapeutic schedule was included in the guideline on diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19 [5].

Currently, a large number of published clinical studies including case reports, case series, and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) showed that CHM could improve clinical symptom and and lung CT image, shorten fever reduction time and average length of hospital stay, and reduce the conversion rate from mild to severe, bringing new hope for clinical treatment and new drug discovery in treating COVID-19 [6,7]. Although 4 systematic reviews [8–11] regarding *Lianhua Qingwen* granules and other CHM for COVID-19 have been published in advance respectively, serious methodological shortcomings were also identified. Non-RCTs were enrolled in the review by Dr Qi et al., which should be excluded actually [8]. For the other systematic review, more databases and RCTs should be updated in order to reduce potential bias [9–11]. Therefore, in this study, a systematic review of RCTs was performed to evaluate the current clinical evidence on CHM for the treatment of COVID-19.

#### 2. Methods

This study was conducted and reported according to the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [12].

#### 2.1. Eligibility criteria

#### 2.1.1. Types of studies

RCTs which have evaluated the efficacy of CHM for COVID-19 were included in this study.

#### 2.1.2. Types of participants

Patients diagnosed as COVID-19 could be enrolled in this review. In order to ensure including all relevant studies, no restriction on gender, age and nationality was preseted.

#### 2.1.3. Types of interventions

CHM, which include Chinese natural herb and its processed products that originated from botanical drug, mineral, animal, chemical and biological products sources, should be used under the guidance of TCM theory. The dosage forms of CHM contain decoction, tablet, pill, powder, pellet, granule, capsule, cream formula, oral liquid, plaster, and injection [13].

Patients in the treatment group should be treated by CHM or combination of CHM and CWM. Patients in the control group should be treated by CWM or combination of CHM placebo and CWM. CWM in the treatment and control group must be identical in name, usage, dosage, etc. No restrictions on dosage forms, type, quantity, or treatment course of CHM was preseted.

RCTs will be excluded if the following conditions are met: (a)

clinical experiences, theoretical discussion, reviews, commentaries, editorials, case reports, case series, and experimental studies; (b) non – COVID-19 patients; (c) other TCM therapeutic methods beyond CHM, including acupuncture, moxibustion, cupping, massage, *qigong*, *Tai Chi, baduanjin*, and music therapy, were applied in either treatment or control group; (d) no detailed information regarding clinical efficacy could be extracted; and (e) duplicate publications reporting the same results.

#### 2.1.4. Types of outcome measures

The primary outcome measure was defined as lung CT. The secondary outcome measures were death, clinical cure rate, ranging between mild and critical cases, length of hospital stay, clinical symptoms (total score of clinical symptoms, fever, cough, fatigue), TCM syndrome, viral nucleic acid testing, and inflammatory biomarkers including white blood cell (WBC), neutrophils (NEU), lymphocyte (LYM), and C-reactive protein (CRP).

#### 2.2. Literature search

Relevant literatures assessing the efficacy and safety of CHM for COVID-19 were searched in 7 electronic databases including Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE, PubMed, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), VIP Information Database (VIP), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), and Wanfang Database from inception up to June 21, 2020. The following grouped keywords were used as search strategy and modified according to different databases: ("coronavirus disease 2019" OR "COVID-19" OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR "novel coronavirus pneumonia" OR "novel coronavirus" OR "xin xing guan zhuang bing du fei yan" OR "xin guan fei yan" OR "xin xing guan zhuang bing du") AND ("Chinese herbal medicine" OR "traditional Chinese medicine" OR "classical Chinese herbal formulas" OR "Chinese herb" OR "Chinese herb therapy" OR "herbal medicine" OR "herb therapy" OR "herbal remedy" OR "zhong yi yao" OR "zhong yao") AND ("clinical trial" OR "clinical study" OR "randomized controlled trial"OR "randomised controlled trial" OR "lin chuang yan jiu" OR "lin chuang shi yan"). In order to reduce bias, we also retrieved the ongoing registered clinical trials and unpublished papers on CHM for COVID-19. We also manually retrieved relevant articles and clinical studies to obtain as much literature as we can. No language and status restriction was set in this review.

#### 2.3. Study selection and data extraction

The selection of studies and data extraction were performed independently by two reviewers (Xiong XJ and Wang PQ) according to the preseted inclusion and exclusion criteria. Detailed information of enrolled study was listed as below: (a) basic characteristics of included studies: title of study, authors' name, publication date, sample size, diagnostic criteria, methodological quality, therapeutic schedule in treatment and control groups, components and dosage of CHM, withdraws, and course of treatment; (b) basic characteristics of included patients: age, gender, ratio of mild to severe cases, baseline data of body temperature, heart rate, respiration, blood pressure, previous medical history, and laboratory examination; (c) both primary and secondary outcome measures; and (d) adverse effects. If detailed information on outcome measure was lacking, the first or correspondence author of original study was contacted by email, fax, and telephone, which were recorded in the article. If no response was obtained from the authors, data was recalculated from the graphs using digital ruler software. Otherwise, it was excluded. If disagreements on data extraction were identified, a third party (Xing YW and William CC) was consulted.

#### 2.4. Assessment of methodological quality

Methodological quality of the included trials was also assessed by 2

reviewers (Xiong XJ and Su KL) independently. According to Cochrane Collaboration's tool [14], 7 fields of risk of bias (ROB) were evaluated as below: adequate sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other bias. Each field was assessed to be "yes" (low ROB), "no" (high ROB), or "unclear" (unclear ROB).

#### 2.5. Data analysis

Review Manager software (RevMan, Version 5.3, Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014) was utilized to conduct the data analysis of dichotomous and continuous outcome measures, which were extracted from the original studies. Weighted mean difference (WMD) was utilized for data measurement of continuous outcomes, while risk ratio (RR) for dichotomous outcomes. All of them were expressed with a 95 % confidence interval (CI). When no statistical heterogeneity was identified (heterogeneity test,  $P \ge 0.10$ , or  $I^2 \le 50$  %), fixed-effects model was selected, otherwise random-effects model was applied. Funnel plot was also used to evaluate the publication bias. It was regarded as significant difference when P < 0.05.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Study selection

The flow chart of literature identification and screening is described in Fig. 1. In total, 5182 related literatures were derived from the above 7 electronic databases. After removing duplicate publications, 4393 studies were remained. And then, we excluded 4325 studies as they are not RCT, specially, these include reviews, commentaries, editorials, case reports, case series, experimental researches, data mining articles, and irrelevant to COVID-19 after scanning titles and abstracts. Furthermore, after reading the rest 68 full papers, we further excluded 50 literatures as follows: participants did not meet the inclusion criteria (n = 31); duplicate publications (n = 1); no control group (n = 15); intervention included other medical therapies (n = 2); no clinical data for extraction (n = 1). Ultimately, 18 eligible RCTs were included [15–32].

#### 3.2. Study characteristics

Basic characteristics of enrolled studies and subjects was listed in Table 1. Among the 18 included trials, 5 were multi-centered trials [21,24,27,30,32] and the rest 13 were single-centered trials. All of the 18 studies were conducted in mainland China in 2020. One paper was online published in advance with English language [32], and the rest were in Chinese [15–31]. There were altogether 2275 patients enrolled in this review, with the sample size ranged from 20 to 517. All the included trials evaluated the effects of CHM combined with CWM compared to CWM alone. The name, usage, dosage of western medicine used in CHM group should be the same as used in CWM group. There is no trial utilized CHM placebo. Treatment duration varied from 5 to 15 days. Primary outcome measure was reported in 13 studies [15-19,21,22,24,26,28,30-32]. Death was reported in 4 trials [17,18,21,31]. Clinical cure rate was reported in 7 trials [18,19,22,24,29,31,32]. Ranging between mild and critical cases was reported in 12 trials [15-18,20,21,24,25,28,30-32]. Length of hospital stay was reported in 2 trials [17,18]. All the included trials reported



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of study selection and identification.

Basic characteri:	stics of included tri	ials and subj	jects.						
References	Sample size (randomized/ analyzed)	T/C (M/F)	Age (yrs)	Diagnosis standard	Intervention	Control	Treatment duration	Adverse effects reporting	Outcome measures
Huang H et al 2020 [15]	68/66	T: 53 (25/ 28) C: 15 (6/9)	T: 60.42 ± 12.84 C: 61.16 ± 13.58	GDTCOVID-19	CHM (1 dose/d) + C	CWM including antiviral drugs (lopinavir / ritonavir, abidol, ribavirin, interferon). antibacterial drugs (moxifloxacin), antitussive, expectorant and antiasthmatic drugs (asmex, ambroxol, doxofylline), and symptomatic and sunorrive therany.	10 days	¥	Lung CT, ranging between mild and critical cases, clinical symptoms, virus nucleic acid testing, and inflammatory biomarkers.
Ding XJ et al. 2020 [16]	100/100	T: 51 (39/ 12) C: 49 (39/10)	T: 54.7 ± 21.3 C: 50.8 ± 23.5	GDTCOVID-19	<i>Qingfei Touxie Fuzhen</i> g recipe (1 dose/d)+C	apporter transporter the approximation of the second secon	10 days	Y	Lung CT, ranging between mild and critical cases, clinical symptoms, and inflammatory biomarkers.
Shi J et al. 2020 [17]	67/67	T: 49 (26/ 23) C: 18 (10/8)	T: 47.94 ± 14.46 C: 46.72 ± 17.40	GDTCOVID-19	CHM + C	Antivital drugs (recombinant human interferon a-2b, lopinavir/ritonavir, arbidol, darunavir corbita, interferon K, hydroxychloroquine), anti- inflammatory drugs, immunomodulatory drugs, gamma globulin, and symptomatic and sunnorrive therany.	6 days	z	Lung CT, death, ranging between mild and critical cases, length of hospital stay, and clinical symptoms.
Xia WG et al. 2020 [18]	52/52	T: 34 (17/ 17) C: 18 (6/12)	T: 54.18 ± 13.08 C: 53.67 ± 12.70	GDTCOVID-19	CHM + C	CWM including antiviral drugs (abidol, ribavirin, interferon alpha, lopinavir / ritonavir, oseltamivir), antibacterial drugs (moxifloxacin, levofloxacin, azithromycin, cephalosporins, pencicillins), and supportive therapy (gamma olohulin methydneddisolme)	10 days	Y	Lung CT, death, clinical cure rate, ranging between mild and critical cases, length of hospital stay, clinical symptoms, TCM syndrome, virus nucleic acid testing, and inflammatory hiomarkers
Fu XX et al. 2020 [19]	73/73	T: 37 (19/ 18) C: 36 (19/17)	T: 45.26 ± 7.25 C: 44.68 ± 7.45	GDTCOVID-19	Toujie Quwen granules (1 dose/d)+C	Arbidol tablets (0.2 g, tid) and ambroxol tablets (30 mg, tid)	15 days	Y	Lung CT, Clinical cure rate, clinical symptoms, and inflammatory biomarkers
Duan C et al. 2020 [20]	123/102	T: 82 (39/ 43) C: 41 (23/18)	T: 51.99 ± 7.25 C: 50.29 ± 13.17	GDTCOVID-19	Jinhua Qinggan granules (10 g, tid)+C	CWM including antiviral drugs and antibacterial drugs	5 days	Y	Ranging between mild and critical cases, clinical symptoms, and TCM syndrome.
Yang MB et al. 2020 [21]	54/49	T: 26 (16/ 10) C: 23 (9/14)	T: $50.35 \pm 13.37$ C: $47.17 \pm 16.57$	GDTCOVID-19	Reyanning mixture (10 – 20 ml, bid-q6h) + C	CWM including antiviral drugs (lopinavir/ ritonavir, interferon alpha, abidol hydrochloride tablets, ribavirin)	7 days	z	Lung CT., death, ranging between mild and critical cases, clinical symptoms, virus nucleic acid testing, and
Xiao Q et al. 2020 [22]	200/200	T: 100 (64/36) C: 100 (66/ 34)	T: $60.90 \pm 8.70$ C: $62.20 \pm 7.50$	GDTCOVID-19	Shufeng Jiedu capsules (2.08 g, tid)+C	Antiviral drugs (abidol tablet)	14 days	Y	untauntatory protocaters. Lung CT, clinical cure rate, clinical symptoms, and inflammatory biomarkers.
Qu XK et al. 2020 [23]	70/70	7. 40 (25/ 15) C: 30 (16/14)	T: 40.65 ± 8.23 C: 39.82 ± 6.40	GDTCOVID-19	Shufeng Jiedu capsules (2.08 g, tid)+C	CWM including antiviral drugs (abidol), antibacterial drugs (moxifloxacin), expectorant (ambroxol) and sumorrive therany	10 days	Y	Clinical symptoms and virus nucleic acid testing.
Cheng DZ et al. 2020 [24]	102/102	T: 51 (26/ 25) C: 51 (27/24)	T: 55.5 ± 12.3 C: 55.8 ± 11.6	GDTCOVID-19	Lianhua Qingwen granules (6 g, tid)+C	common and supportive therapy drugs, and supportive therapy	7 days	Z	Lung CT, clinical cure rate, ranging between mild and critical cases, and clinical symptoms.
Lv RB et al. 2020 [25]	101/101	T: 63 (28/ 35) C: 38 (18/20)	T: 59.1 ± 16.56 C: 60.2 ± 17.01	GDTCOVID-19	Lianhua Qingwen granules (6 g, tid) + C	CWM including antiviral drugs (ganciclovir), antibacterial drugs (moxifloxacin), expectorant (ambroxol), antitussive drugs (doxofylline), and sunorcive therany.	10 days	Y	Ranging between mild and critical cases and clinical symptoms.
Wang YL et al. 2020 [26]	20/20	T: 10 (5/ 5) C: 10 (5/5)	T: 39.24 ± 10.01 C: 55.90 ± 3.71	GDTCOVID-19	CHM + C	CVM including antiviral drugs (ribavirin), autibacterial drugs, and supportive therapy	7 days	Z	Lung CT and clinical symptoms.
Yao KT et al. 2020 [ <mark>27</mark> ]	42/42	T: 21 (16/ 5) C: 21 (12/9)	T: 57.10 ± 14.00 C: 62.40 ± 12.30	GDTCOVID-19	<i>Lianhua Qingwen</i> granules (6 g, tid) + C	CWM recommended in GDTCOVID-19	14 days	z	Clinical symptoms.

Table 1

(continued on next page)

1

זמחזב ז (החוונו	(non)								
References	Sample size (randomized/ analyzed)	T/C (M/F)	Age (yrs)	Diagnosis standard	Intervention	Control	Treatment duration	Adverse effects reporting	Outcome measures
Qiu M et al. 2020 [28]	50/50	T: 25 (13/ 12) C: 25 (14/11)	T: 53.35 ± 18.35 C: 51.32 ± 14.62	GDTCOVID-19	CHM + C	CWM including interferon-ct, lopinavir and tonavir tablets	10 days	Z	Lung CT, ranging between mild and critical cases, TCM syndrome, and clinical symptoms.
Liu XG et al. 2020 [29]	517/517	T: 249 (141/108) C: 268 (147/121)	T: 48.44 ± 2.31 C: 48.27 ± 2.45	GDTCOVID-19	CHM + C	CWM including antibacterial drugs, lopinavir and tonavir tablets	9 days	z	Clinical cure rate, TCM syndrome, clinical symptoms, and inflammatory biomarkers.
Sun HM et al. 2020 [30]	57/57	T: 32 (17/ 15) C: 25 (11/14)	T: 45.40 ± 14.10 C: 42.00 ± 11.70	GDTCOVID-19	Lianhua Qingke granules (1 bag, tid)+C	CWM including interferon- $\alpha$ , lopinavir and tonavir tablets	14 days	Z	Lung CT, ranging between mild and critical cases, and clinical symptoms.
Yu P et al. 2020 [31]	295/295	T: 147 (82/65) C: 148 (89/ 59)	T: 48.27 ± 9.56 C: 47.25 ± 8.67	GDTCOVID-19	Lianhua Qingwen granules (6 g, tid)+C	CWM including abidol hydrochloride dispersible tablets, moxifloxacin hydrochloride tablets, and ambroxol hydrochloride tablets	7 days	Y	Lung CT, death, clinical cure rate, ranging between mild and critical cases, TCM syndrome, clinical symptoms, and inflammatory biomarkers.
Hu K et al. 2020 [32]	284/284	T: 142 (79/63) C: 142 (71/ 71)	T: 50.40 ± 15.20 C: 51.80 ± 14.80	GDTCOVID-19	Lianhua Qingwen capsules (1.4 g, tid) + C	CWM including oxygen therapy, antiviral medications and symptomatic therapies	14 days	Y	Lung CT, clinical cure rate, ranging between mild and critical cases, clinical symptoms, and virus nucleic acid testing.
Abbreviation: ( traditional Chin	C: control; CHM: C nese medicine; Y: C	Chinese herbe yes.	al medicine; CWM: co	onventional western	n medicine; F: fen	nale; GDTCOVID-19: guideline for diagnosis	and treatmen	t of COVID-1	9; M: male; N: no; T: treatment; TCI

clinical symptoms. TCM syndrome was evalated in 5 studies [18,20,28,29,31]. Viral nucleic acid testing was reported in 4 trials [15,21,23,32]. Inflammatory biomarkers were reported in 8 trials, including WBC, NEU, LYM, and CRP [15,16,18,19,21,22,29,31]. Adverse effects were ported in 10 trials [15,16,18–20,22,23,25,31,32].

#### 3.3. Assessment of methodological quality

Ξ

As shown in Table 2, the methodological quality of the included studies was evaluated based on the criteria in Cochrane handbook. Detailed information on sequence generation of randomization was reported in 6 trials (6/18, 33.33 %) [16,20,28,30–32]. Specific method of allocation concealment was not described in this review. One trial reported no application of blinding [30]. One trial only reported blinding of assessor [32]. Detailed information regarding blinding of patient, investigator, and assessor was unclear in the rest 16 trials [15–29,31]. Dropouts were reported in 4 trials (4/18, 22.22 %) [15,16,20,21].

#### 3.4. Description of single herb and CHM

Thirty-one CHM were used in this review, including Maxing Shigan decoction, Chailing Pingwei decoction, Haoqin Qingdan decoction, Huopu Xialing decoction, Modified Buzhong Yiqi decoction, Pneumonia No. 1 formula, Powerful Pneumonia No. 1 formula, Pneumonia No. 2 formula, Qingfei Touxie Fuzheng recipe, Damp-toxin obstructing lung formula, Toxin blocking lung formula, Qiwei decoction, Toujie Quwen granules, Shufeng Jiedu capsules, Lianhua Qingwen granules and capsules, Xuanfei Zhisou mixture, Shuanghuanglian oral liquids, Yupingfeng granules, Ganlu Xiaodu pills, Huoxiang Zhengqi liquids, Reyanning mixture, Jinhua Qinggan granules, Xuebijing injection, Tanreqing injection, Shengmai injection, etc. Among them, 13 (13/31, 41.94 %) were originated from classical Chinese herbal formulas, which have been used for 189–1800 years.

The frequency of each Chinese herb in this review was also summarized manually. In total, 100 Chinese herbs were included. And the top 5 ranked Chinese herbs were Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) (15/31, 48.39 %), Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis) (11/31, 35.48 %), Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) (11/31, 35.48 %), Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae) (10/31, 32.26 %), and Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum) (10/31, 32.26 %).

Six dosage formulations of CHM were included, including decoction, granule, capsule, oral liquid, pill, and injection. The most commonly used dosage formulation was decoction (17/31, 54.84 %), followed by granule (7/31, 22.58 %), injection (4/31, 12.90 %), oral liquid (2/31, 6.45 %), capsule (2/31, 6.45 %), and pill (1/31, 3.23 %). The decoction of CHM was orally taken 1 dose every day, with about 400 mL in every dose. The administration of CHM in each trial was described in Table 1 and the compositions were summarized in Table 3.

#### 3.5. Efficacy assessment

#### 3.5.1. Lung CT

Thirteen trials assessed the efficacy of CHM on lung CT in this study [15–19,21,22,24,26,28,30–32]. There were 749 patients in CHM group and 653 in CWM group. A significant improvement in lung CT was identified by CHM in this meta-analysis (13 trials, n = 1402; RR = 1.23; 95 % CI: 1.15–1.32;  $I^2 = 31$  %, P < 0.00001; Fig. 2a).

#### 3.5.2. Death

The effect of CHM on death was reported in 4 trials [17,18,21,31]. There were 256 patients in CHM group and 207 in CWM group. Metaanalysis showed no significant difference on death between CHM and

#### Table 2

Methodological	l quality	of included	trials	according	to	Cochrane	handbook.
----------------	-----------	-------------	--------	-----------	----	----------	-----------

References	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Huang H et al 2020 [15]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Ding XJ et al. 2020 [16]	+	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Shi J et al. 2020 [17]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Xia WG et al. 2020 [18]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Fu XX et al. 2020 [19]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Duan C et al. 2020 [20]	+	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Yang MB et al. 2020 [21]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Xiao Q et al. 2020 [22]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Qu XK et al. 2020 [23]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Cheng DZ et al. 2020 [24]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Lv RB et al. 2020 [25]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Wang YL et al. 2020 [26]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Yao KT et al. 2020 [27]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Qiu M et al. 2020 [28]	+	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Liu XG et al. 2020 [29]	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Sun HM et al. 2020 [30]	+	?	-	-	-	+	?	?
Yu P et al. 2020 [31]	+	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
Hu K et al. 2020 [32]	+	?	-	-	+	+	?	?

Abbreviation: A: Adequate sequence generation; B: Concealment of allocation; C: Blinding (patient); D: Blinding (investigator); E: Blinding (assessor); F: Incomplete outcome data addressed (ITT analysis); G: Free of selective reporting; H: Other potential threat to validity; +: Low risk; -: High risk;? : Unclear.

CWM (4 trials, n = 463; RR = 0.34; 95 % CI: 0.05–2.18;  $I^2 = 0$ %, P = 0.26; Fig. 2b).

#### 3.5.3. Clinical cure rate

Clinical cure rate was defined as the following 4 discharge criterion in guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infected pneumonia: a) body temperature returned to normal for more than 3 days, b) respiratory symptoms improved significantly, c) pulmonary imaging showed obvious absorption of inflammation, and d) two consecutive times of novel coronavirus nucleic acid test negative in respiratory tract (the sampling interval shall be at least 1 day) [7]. Seven trials evaluated the effects of CHM on clinical cure rate [18,19,22,24,29,31,32]. There were 760 patients in CHM group and 763 in CWM group. CHM exhibited a significant improvement on clinical cure rate (7 trials, n = 1523; RR = 1.18; 95 % CI: 1.13–1.24;  $I^2 = 24$  %, P < 0.00001; Fig. 2c).

#### 3.5.4. Ranging between mild and critical cases

Twelve trials evaluated the effects of CHM on ranging between mild and critical cases [15–18,20,21,24,25,28,30–32]. Among them, effects of CHM on ranging from critical to mild cases were evaluated in 2 trials [16,17]. There were 100 patients in CHM group and 67 in CWM group. Compared with CWM, no significant difference on ranging from critical to mild cases was identified (RR = 1.34; 95 % CI: 0.47–3.80;  $I^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.58; Fig. 3a).

In addition, effects of CHM on ranging from mild to critical cases were evaluated in 11 trials [15,17,18,20,21,24,25,28,30–32]. There were 703 patients in CHM group and 543 in CWM group. A significant improvement on ranging from mild to critical cases was observed by CHM (11 trials, n = 1246; RR = 0.40; 95 % CI: 0.29 to 0.56;  $I^2 = 0\%$ , P < 0.00001; Fig. 3b).

#### 3.5.5. Length of hospital stay

Two trials evaluating length of hospital stay were included for further analysis [17,18]. There were 83 patients in CHM group and 36 in CWM group. Meta-analysis showed a significant reduction on length of hospital stay by CHM (2 trials, n = 119; WMD: -1.99; 95 % CI: -3.28 to -0.70;  $I^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.002; Fig. 3c).

#### 3.5.6. Clinical symptoms

Clinical symptoms including fever, dry cough, expectoration, fatigue, sore throat, itchy throat, chest tightness, asthma, shortness of breath, poor appetite, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, abdominal distention, and abdominal pain were reported in all the included studies [15–32]. As fever, dry cough, and fatigue were main clinical symptoms of COVID-19, individual symptom score, disappearing time, number of improved cases, and total score of clinical symptom were summarized.

#### 3.5.7. Total score of clinical symptoms

Total score of clinical symptom was evaluated in 2 studies [15,17]. There were 101 patients in CHM group and 32 in CWM group. Metaanalysis revealed a significant improvement on total score of clinical symptom (2 trials, n = 133; WMD: -1.84; 95 % CI: -3.10 to -0.58;  $I^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.004; Fig. 4a).

#### 3.5.8. Fever

The symptom of fever was reported in 15 trials [15–25,27–30,32]. Among them, 5 studies reported number of fever reduction cases [15,16,20,27,30], 10 reported fever reduction time [17,18,21,16–25,27,28,32], and 3 reported symptom score of fever [19,29,31].

In the field of number of fever reduction cases, there were 238 patients in CHM group and 150 in CWM group. Meta-analysis revealed no significant difference on fever reduction number between CHM and CWM (5 trials, n = 388; RR = 1.28; 95 % CI: 0.98–1.67;  $I^2 = 66$  %, P = 0.07; Fig. 4b).

In the field of fever reduction time, there were 551 patients in CHM group and 466 in CWM group. The aggregated results including 10 trials suggested that fever reduction time is significantly improved by CHM (10 trials, n = 1017; WMD: -1.36; 95 % CI: -1.80 to -0.93;  $I^2 = 58$  %, P < 0.00001; Fig. 4c).

In the field of symptom score of fever, there were 433 patients in CHM group and 452 in CWM group. It has been identified that score of fever is significantly reduced by CHM (3 trials, n = 885; WMD: -0.60; 95 % CI: -0.69 to -0.50;  $I^2 = 61 \%$ , P < 0.00001; Fig. 4d).

#### 3.5.9. Cough

The symptom of cough was reported in all the trials, and only 14 were enrolled in this review [16,19–25,27–32]. Among them, 6 studies reported number of cough reduction cases [16,20,24,25,27,30], 4 reported symptom score of cough [19,21,29,31], and 6 reported disappearing time of cough [22–24,28,30,32].

In the field of number of cough reduction cases, there were 240 patients in CHM group and 182 in CWM group. Meta-analysis showed a significant improvement on number of cough reduction cases by CHM (6 trials, n = 422; RR = 1.50; 95 % CI: 1.26–1.78;  $I^2 = 0\%$ , P < 0.00001; Fig. 5a).

In the field of symptom score of cough, there were 459 patients in CHM group and 475 in CWM group. The aggregated results suggested that cough is significantly improved by CHM (4 trials, n = 934; WMD: -0.78; 95 % CI: -1.32 to -0.24;  $I^2 = 99$  %, P = 0.004; Fig. 5b).

In the field of disappearing time of cough, there were 362 patients in CHM group and 336 in CWM group. No significant difference on disappearing time of cough between CHM and CWM was identified in this study (6 trials, n = 698; WMD: -1.42; 95 % CI: -2.82 to -0.01;  $I^2$  = 90 %, P = 0.05; Fig. 5c).

#### 3.5.10. Fatigue

The effect of CHM on fatigue was evaluated in 12 studies [19–25,27,29–32]. Among them, 5 studies reported number of fatigue reduction cases [20,24,25,27,30], 4 reported individual symptom score [19,21,29,31], and 4 reported disappearing time of fatigue [22–24,32].

For number of fatigue reduction cases, there were 167 patients in CHM group and 140 in CWM group. A significant improvement on number of fatigue reduction cases by CHM was identified in this meta-

#### Table 3

Components of Chinese herbal medicine used in the included studies.

References	Chinese herbal medicine	Components
Huang H et al 2020 [15]	СНМ	Pneumonia No. 1 formula: Thorowax Root (Chaihu, Radix Bupleuri) 20 g, Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis) 10 g, Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) 10 g, Codonopsis Root (Dangshen, Radix Codonopsitis Pilosulae) 15 g, Snakegourd fruit (Gualou, Fructus Trichosanthis) 10 g, Areca Seed (Binglang, Semen Arecae) 10 g, Fruit of Caoguo (Caoguo, Fructus Tsaoko) 15 g, Magnolia Bark (Houpu, Cortex Magnoliae Officinalis) 15 g, Anemarrhena Rhizome (Zhimu, Rhizoma Anemarrhenae Aspheloidis) 10 g, White Peony Root (Baishaoyao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 10 g, Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) 10 g, Tangerine Peel (Chenpi, Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae)10 g, and Giant Knotweed Rhizome (Huzhang, Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati) 10 g. Pneumonia No. 2 formula: Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae) 10 g, Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen
		Armeniacae Amarum) 10 g, Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) 10 g, Immature Bitter Orange (Zhishi, Fructus Citri Seu Ponciri Immaturus) 20 g, Snakegourd fruit (Gualou, Fructus Trichosanthis) 10 g, Coptis Rhizome (Huanglian, Rhizoma Coptidis) 10 g, Thunberbg Fritillary Bulb (Zhebeimu, Bulbus Fritillariae Thunbergii) 20 g, Root of the Balloon Flower (Jiegeng, Platycodi Radix) 10 g, Stemona Root (Baibu, Radix Stemonae) 10 g, Hogfennel Root (Qianhu, Radix Peucedani) 10 g, Honey-fried Tatarian Aster Root (Ziwan, Radix Asteris Tatarici) 10 g, Common Coltsfoot Flower (Kuandonghua, Flos Farfarae) 10 g, Climbing Nightshade (Baiying, Herba Solani) 20 g, Atrina Glass (Baijiangcao, Herba Patriniae) 15 g, Mongolian Dandelion Herb (Pugongying, Herba Taraxaci)15 g, and Tokyo Violet Herb (Zihuadiding Herba Violae) 15 g
Ding XJ et al. 2020 [16]	Qingfei Touxie Fuzheng recipe	Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae) 6 g, Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum) 20 g, Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum) 10 g, Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae) 30 g, Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae) 15 g, Reed rhizome (Lugen, Rhizoma Phragmitis) 30 g, Coix seed (Yiyiren, Semen Coicis) 30 g, Body of sick Silkworm (Jiangcan, Bombyx Batryticatus) 10 g, Circada Moulting (Chantui, Periostracum Cicadae) 10 g, Giant Knotweed Rhizome (Huzhang, Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati) 15 g, Turmeric (Jianghuang, Rhizoma Curcumae Longae) 10 g, White Peony Root (Baishaoyao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 10 g, Pseudostellaria Root (Taizishen, Pseudostellariae Radix) 20 g, and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) 15 g. If dampness is severe, Atractylodes Rhizome (Cangzhu, Rhizoma Atractylodis) was added. If abdominal distention and diarrhea are obvious, Poria (Fuling, Scierotium Poriae Cocos) and Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) were added. If high fever was identified, Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum) and Thorowax Root (Chaihu, Radix Bupleuri) were added. If cough and phlegm were identified, Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) and Tendrilleaf Fritillary Bulb (Chuanbeimu, Bulbus Fritillariae Cirrhosae) were added. If chest tightness was identified, Snakegourd fruit (Gualou, Fructus Trichosanthis) was added.
Shi J et al. 2020 [17]	СНМ	Shufeng Jiedu capsules: Giant Knotweed Rhizome (Huzhang, Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati), Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis), Thorowax Root (Chaihu, Radix Bupleuri), Atrina Glass (Baijiangcao, Herba Patriniae), European Verbena Herb (Mabiancao, Herba Verbenae), Reed rhizome (Lugen, Rhizoma Phragmitis), and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae). Lianhua Qingwen granules▲: Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae), Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae), Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum), Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum), Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis), Male fern rhizome (Mianma Guanzhong, Rhizoma Dryopteris Crassirhizomae), Heartleaf houttuynia herb (Yuxingcao, Herba Houttuyniae), Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis), Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Dahuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei), Rose-boot (Hongjingtian, Herba Rhodiolae), menthol, and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae). Xuanfei Zhisou mixture: Fineleaf schizonepeta herb (Jingjie, Herba Schizonepetae), Hogfennel Root (Qianhu, Radix Peucedani), Root of the Balloon Flower (Jiegeng, Platycodi Radix), Stemona Root (Baibu, Radix Stemonae), Honey- fried Tatarian Aster Root (Ziwan, Radix Asteris Tatarici), Tangerine Peel (Chenpi, Pericarpium Citri Reticultate), Heartleaf houttuynia herb (Yuxingcao, Herba Houttuyniae), Field Mint (Bohe, Herba Menthae Haplocalycis), Poppy Capsule (Yingsuke, Pericarpium Papaveris), and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae). Shuanghuanglian oral liquids: Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae), Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis), and Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae). Yupingfeng granules▲: Astragalus (Huangqi, Radix Astragali Membranacei), White Atractylodes Rhizome (Baizhu, Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephalae), and Ledebouriella Root (Fangfeng, Radix Ledebouriellae Divaricatae).
Xia WG et al. 2020 [18]	СНМ	Damp-toxin obstructing lung formula: Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum) 15 g, Talc (Huashi, Talcum) 30 g, Atractylodes Rhizome (Cangzhu, Rhizoma Atractylodis) 30 g, Dahurian Angelica Root (Baizhi, Radix Angelicae Dahuricae) 10 g, Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) 15 g, Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis) 15 g, Poria (Fuling, Scierotium Poriae Cocos) 30 g, Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae) 9 g, Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Dahuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei) 10 g, Circada Moulting (Chantui, Periostracum Cicadae) 10 g, Great burdock achene (Niubangzi, Fructus Arctii) 15 g, and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) 10 g. Toxin blocking lung formula: Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum) 10 g, Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum) 30 g, Snakegourd fruit (Gualou, Fructus Trichosanthis) 30 g, Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Dahuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei) 6 g, Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae) 6 g, Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae) 6 g, Pepperweed seed (Tinglizi, Semen Lepidii) 10 g, Peach Kernel (Taoren, Persicae Semen) 10 g, Fruit of Caoguo (Caoguo, Fructus Tsaoko) 6 g, Areca Seed (Binglang, Semen Arecae) 10 g, and Atractylodes Rhizome (Cangzhu, Rhizoma Atractylodis) 10 g. <i>Ganlu Xiaodu</i> pills▲: Talc (Huashi, Talcum) 20 g, Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis) 10 g, Capillaris (Yinchen, Artemisiae Capillaris Herba) 10 g, Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis) 6 g, Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae) 10 g, Acorus Root (Shichangpu, Acori Rhizoma) 6 g, Gardamon Fruit (Baidoukou, Fructus Ammomi Rotundus) 6 g, Field Mint (Bohe, Herba Menthae Haplocalycis) 6 g, Ricepaperplant Pith (Tongcao, Medulla Tetrapanacis) 6 g, Blackberry Lily Rhizome (Shegan, Rhizoma Belamcandae) 10 g, and Tendrilleaf Fritillary Bulb (Chuanbeimu, Bulbus Fritillariae Cirrhosae) 10 g. <i>Mangxing Shigan</i> decoction▲: Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae) 6 g, Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum) 10 g, Liquoric Ro

(continued on next page)

#### Table 3 (continued)

References	Chinese herbal medicine	Components
		Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) 10 g, Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) 6 g, White Atractylodes Rhizome (Baizhu, Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephalae) 15 g, Poria (Fuling, Scierotium Poriae Cocos)10 g, Tangerine Peel (Chenpi, Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae) 10 g, Magnolia Bark (Houpu, Cortex Magnoliae Officinalis) 10 g, Ginseng (Renshen, Radix Ginseng) 10 g, Polyporus Sclerotium (Zhuling, Scierotium Polypori Umbellati) 15 g, Alisma (Zexie, Rhizoma Alismatis) 10 g, and Cassia twig (Guizhi, Ramulus Cinnamomi Cassiae) 6 g. <i>Haoqin Qingdan</i> decoction : Sweet Wormwood (Qinghao, Artemisiae Apiaceae seu Annuae Herba) 6 g, Bamboo Shavings (Zhuru, Bambusea Caulis in Teaniam) 10 g, Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae)10 g, Poria (Fuling, Scierotium Poriae Cocos) 10 g, Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis) 10 g, Orange Fruit (Zhike, Fructus Aurantii) 6 g, Tangerine Peel (Chenpi, Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae) 6 g, Paria (Huashi, Talcum) 20 g, Natural Indigo (Qingdai, Indigo Naturalis) 10 g, and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycrinize) 6 g. <i>Huopu Xialing</i> decoction $: Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis) 6 g, Magnolia Bark (Houpu, Cortex Magnoliae Officinalis) 6 g, Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) 6 g, Poria (Fuling, Scierotium Poriae Cocos) 10 g, Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum) 10 g, Coix seed (Yiyiren, Semen Ocioi) 15 g, Cardamon Fruit (Baidoukou, Fructus Ammoni Rotundus) 6 g, Polyporus Sclerotium (Zhuling, Scierotium Polypori Umbellati) 10 g, Fermented soybean (Dandouchi, Semen Sojae Preparatum) 10 g, Alisma (Zexie, Rhizoma Alismatis) 6 g, and Riceapaerplant Pith (Tongcao, Meduli Tertapanacis) 6 g. 2000; Guada Coci, 6 g, Gingmano Bark (Rougui, Cinnamon Cortex) 6 g, Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae) 6 g, Tangerine Peel (Chenpi, Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae) 10 g, and Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) 0 g, Juciori Rout (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) 6 g, Cinamon Bark (Rougui, Cinnamoni Cortex) 6 g,$
Fu XX et al. 2020 [19]	<i>Toujie Quwen</i> granules	<ul> <li>Shenfu injection<sup>4</sup>: Red ginseng (Hongshen, Radix Ginseng Rubra), and Processed aconite (Fuzi, Radix Lateralis Praeparatus Aconiti Carmichaeli).</li> <li>Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae) 30 g, Appendiculate Cremastra Pseudobulb (Shancigu, Pseudobulbus Cremastrae seu Pleiones) 20 g, Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae) 15 g, Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis) 10 g, Dyers Woad Leaf (Daqingye, Folium Isatidis) 10 g, Thorowax Root (Chaihu, Radix Bupleuri) 5 g, Sweet Wormwood (Qinghao, Artemisiae Apiaceae seu Annuae Herba) 10 g, Circada Moulting (Chantui, Periostracum Cicadae) 10 g, Hogfennel Root (Qianhu, Radix Peucedani) 5 g, Tendrilleaf Fritillariae Thunbergii) 10 e, Smoked Plum (Wumei, Fructus Mume) 30 g, Ninzpo Figwort Root (Xuanshen, Carmina Pane) 20 g, Ninzpo Figwort Root (Xuanshen, Pane)</li> </ul>
Duan C et al. 2020 [20]	Jinhua Qinggan granules	Radix Scrophulariae Ningpoensis) 10 g, Astragalus (Huangqi, Radix Astragali Membranacei)45 g, Poria (Fuling, Scierotium Poriae Cocos)30 g, and Pseudostellaria Root (Taizishen, Pseudostellariae Radix) 15 g. Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae), Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum), Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae), Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum), Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis), Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Thunberbg Fritillary Bulb (Zhebeimu, Bulbus Fritillariae Thunbergii), Anemarrhena Rhizome (Zhimu, Rhizoma Anemarrhenae Aspheloidis), Great burdock achene (Niubangzi, Fructus Arctii), Sweet Wormwood (Qinghao, Artemisiae Apiaceae seu Annuae
Yang MB et al. 2020 [21]	Reyanning mixture	Herba), Field Mint (Bohe, Herba Menthae Haplocalycis), and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae). Mongolian Dandelion Herb (Pugongying, Herba Taraxaci), Giant Knotweed Rhizome (Huzhang, Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati), Atrina Glass (Baijiangcao, Herba Patriniae), Barbated Skullcup Herb (Banzhilian, Herba Scutellariae Barbatea) etc.
Xiao Q et al. 2020 [22]	Shufeng Jiedu capsules	Giant Knotweed Rhizome (Huzhang, Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati), Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis), Thorowax Root (Chaihu, Radix Bupleuri), Atrina Glass (Baijiangcao, Herba Patriniae), European Verbena Herb (Mabiancao, Herba Verbenae), Reed rhizome (Lugen, Rhizoma
Qu XK et al. 2020 [23]	Shufeng Jiedu capsules	Phragmitis), and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae). Giant Knotweed Rhizome (Huzhang, Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati), Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis), Thorowax Root (Chaihu, Radix Bupleuri), Atrina Glass (Baijiangcao, Herba Patriniae), European Verbena Herb (Mabiancao, Herba Verbenae), Reed rhizome (Lugen, Rhizoma Phragmitic), and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae)
Cheng DZ et al. 2020 [24]	Lianhua Qingwen granules▲	Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae), Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae), Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum), Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum), Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis), Male fern rhizome (Mianma Guanzhong, Rhizoma Dryopteris Crassirhizomae), Heartleaf houttuynia herb (Yuxingcao, Herba Houttuyniae), Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis), Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Dahuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei), Rose-boot (Honzingtian, Herba Rhodiolae), menthol, and Liauoric Root (Gancao, Radix Clucurrhizea)
Lv RB et al. 2020 [25]	<i>Lianhua Qingwen</i> granules▲	Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae), Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae), Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum), Gypsum (Shigao,

(continued on next page)

#### Table 3 (continued)

References	Chinese herbal medicine	Components
Wang YL et al. 2020 [26]	СНМ	Gypsum Fibrosum), Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis), Male fern rhizome (Mianma Guanzhong, Rhizoma Dryopteris Crassirhizomae), Heartleaf houttuynia herb (Yuxingcao, Herba Houttuyniae), Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis), Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Dahuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei), Rose-boot (Hongjingtian, Herba Rhodiolae), menthol, and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae). Modified <i>Bushong Yiqi</i> decoction A: Astragalus (Huangqi, Radix Astragali Membranacei) 30 g, Ginseng (Renshen, Radix Ginseng) 15 g, Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) 15 g, White Atractylodes Rhizome (Baizhu, Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephalae) 10 g, Tangerine Peel (Chenpi, Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae) 6 g, Chinese Angelica Root (Danggui, Radix Angelicae Sinensis) 10 g, Chinese Date (Dazao, Fructus Zizyphi Jujubae) 6, Fresh Ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Fresh Rhizoma Zingiberis) 9 slices, Thorowax Root (Chaihu, Radix Bupleuri) 12 g, and Largetrifoliolious Bugbane Rhizome (Shengma, Rhizoma Comidis) 20 g, Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Dahuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei) 10 g, Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis) 10 g, Atractylodes Rhizome (Cangzhu, Rhizoma Atractylodis) 10 g, Honey-fried Tatarian Aster Root (Ziwan, Radix Asteris Tatarici) 10 g, Heartleaf houttuynia herb (Yuxingcao, Herba Houttuyniae) 10 g, Mongolian Dandelion Herb (Pugongying, Herba Taraxaci) 10 g, Giant Knotweed Rhizome (Huzhang, Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati) 10 g, and Astragalus (Huangqi, Radix Astragali Membranacei) 20 g. CHM 3: Pueraria (Gegen, Radix Puerariae) 15 g, Dahurian Angelica Root (Baizhi, Radix Angelicae Dahuricae) 12 g, Magnolia Flower (Xinyi, Flos Magnoliae Lilliflorae) 9 g, Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis) 30 g, Forsythia
		Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae) 15 g, and Thunberbg Fritillary Bulb (Zhebeimu, Bulbus Fritillariae
Yao KT et al. 2020 [27]	Lianhua Qingwen granules▲	Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae), Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae), Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum), Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum), Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis), Male fern rhizome (Mianma Guanzhong, Rhizoma Dryopteris Crassirhizomae), Heartleaf houttuynia herb (Yuxingcao, Herba Houttuyniae), Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis), Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Dahuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei), Rose-boot
Qiu M et al. 2020 [28]	СНМ	(Hongjingtian, Herba Rhodiolae), menthol, and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae). Maxing Xuanfei Jiedu decoction▲: Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae) 9 g, Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum) 12 g, Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum) 15 – 30 g, Thunberbg Fritillary Bulb (Zhebeimu, Bulbus Fritillariae Thunbergii) 12 g, Circada Moulting (Chantui, Periostracum Cicadae) 10 g, Body of sick Silkworm (Jiangcan, Bombyx Batryticatus) 15 g, Turmeric (Jianghuang, Rhizoma Curcumae Longae) 12 g, Root of the Balloon Flower (Jiegeng, Platycodi Radix) 12 g, Orange Fruit (Zhike, Fructus Aurantii) 12 g, Fruit of Caoguo (Caoguo, Fructus
Liu XG et al. 2020 [29]	СНМ	Tsaoko) 9 g, and Cardamon Fruit (Baidoukou, Fructus Ammoni Rottundus) 12 g. Pneumonia No. 1 formula: Thorowax Root (Chaihu, Radix Bupleuri) 20 g, Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis) 10 g, Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) 10 g, Codonopsis Root (Dangshen, Radix Codonopsitis Pilosulae) 15 g, Snakegourd fruit (Gualou, Fructus Trichosanthis) 10 g, Areca Seed (Binglang, Semen Arecae) 10 g, Fruit of Caoguo (Caoguo, Fructus Tsaoko) 15 g, Magnolia Bark (Houpu, Cortex Magnoliae Officinalis) 15 g, Anemarrhena Rhizome (Zhimu, Rhizoma Anemarrhenae Aspheloidis) 10 g, White Peony Root (Baishaoyao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 10 g, Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) 10 g, Tangerine Peel (Chenpi, Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae)10 g, and Giant Knotweed Rhizome (Huzhang, Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati) 10 g. Powerful Pneumonia No. 1 formula: formula: Thorowax Root (Chaihu, Radix Bupleuri) 10 g, Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis) 10 g, Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) 10 g, Areca Seed (Binglang, Semen Arecae) 15 g, Fruit of Caoguo (Caoguo, Fructus Tsaoko) 6 g, Snakegourd fruit (Gualou, Fructus Trichosanthis) 10 g, Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrhizae) 6 g, Giant Knotweed Rhizome (Huzhang, Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati) 10 g, Coptis Rhizome (Huanglian, Rhizoma Coptidis) 10 g, Immature Bitter Orange (Zhishi, Fructus Cittri Seu Ponciri Immaturus) 15 g, Thunberbg Fritillary Bulb (Zhebeimu, Bulbus Fritillariae Thunbergii) 20 g, Root of the Balloon Flower (Jiegeng, Platycodi Radix) 10 g, Stemona Root (Zaiwan, Radix Stemonae) 10 g, Hogfennel Root (Qianhu, Radix Peucedani) 10 g, Honey-fried Tatarian Aster Root (Ziwan, Radix Asteris Tatarici) 10 g, Common Coltsfoot Flower (Kuandonghua, Flos Farfarae) 10 g, Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis), and
Sun HM et al. 2020 [30]	Lianhua Qingke granules	Fortune eupatorium herb (Peilan, Herba Eupatorii) 10 g. Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae), Mulberry Root Bark (Sangbaipi, Mori Radicis Cortex), Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum), Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Honeysuckle bud and flower (Linxiphua Elos Lonicerae), Bubarb Rout and Bhizome (Debuang, Padix Et Bhizoma Bhai), et al
Yu P et al. 2020 [31]	Lianhua Qingwen granules▲	Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae), Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae), Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum), Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum), Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis), Male fern rhizome (Mianma Guanzhong, Rhizoma Dryopteris Crassirhizomae), Heartleaf houttuynia herb (Yuxingcao, Herba Houttuyniae), Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis), Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Dahuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei), Rose-boot (Honzingtian, Herba Rhodiolae), menthol, and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae)
Hu K et al. 2020 [32]	Lianhua Qingwen capsules▲	Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspense), Honeysuckle bud and flower (Jinyinhua, Flos Lonicerae), Ephedra (Mahuang, Herba Ephedrae), Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum), Gypsum (Shigao, Gypsum Fibrosum), Indigowoad Root (Banlangen, Radix Isatidis), Male fern rhizome (Mianma Guanzhong, Rhizoma Dryopteris Crassirhizomae), Heartleaf houttuynia herb (Yuxingcao, Herba Houttuyniae), Cablin patchouli herb (Huoxiang, Herba Pogostemonis), Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Dahuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei), Rose-boot (Hongjingtian, Herba Rhodiolae), menthol, and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae).

Abbreviation: CHM: Chinese herbal medicine; ▲: originated from classical Chinese herbal formulas.

analysis (5 trials, n = 307; RR = 1.73; 95 % CI: 1.39–2.16;  $I^2 = 0$ %, P < 0.00001; Fig. 6a).

For symptom score of fatigue, there were 459 patients in CHM group and 475 in CWM group. Compared to CWM, a significant improvement on symptom score of fatigue was observed by CHM (4 trials, n = 934; WMD: -0.70; 95 % CI: -0.98 to -0.42;  $I^2$  = 97 %, P <

#### 0.00001; Fig. 6b).

For disappearing time of fatigue, there were 301 patients in CHM group and 284 in CWM group. Improvement on disappearing time of fatigue was also identified in CHM group compared to CWM group (4 trials, n = 585; WMD: -1.13; 95 % CI: -2.22 to -0.04;  $I^2$  = 93 %, P = 0.04; Fig. 6c).

	CHN	1	CWI	/		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Cheng 2020	28	51	23	51	5.5%	1.22 [0.82, 1.80]	
Ding 2020	32	51	21	49	5.1%	1.46 [0.99, 2.15]	
Fu 2020	26	30	20	30	4.7%	1.30 [0.97, 1.74]	
Hu 2020	119	142	91	142	21.6%	1.31 [1.13, 1.51]	
Huang 2020	47	52	9	14	3.4%	1.41 [0.94, 2.10]	
Qiu 2020	5	25	2	25	0.5%	2.50 [0.53, 11.70]	
Shi 2020	44	49	17	18	5.9%	0.95 [0.82, 1.10]	
Sun 2020	31	32	18	25	4.8%	1.35 [1.05, 1.73]	
Wang 2020	6	10	6	10	1.4%	1.00 [0.49, 2.05]	• • • •
Xia 2020	30	34	12	18	3.7%	1.32 [0.93, 1.88]	
Xiao 2020	87	100	72	100	17.1%	1.21 [1.05, 1.40]	
Yang 2020	23	26	17	23	4.3%	1.20 [0.90, 1.58]	
Yu 2020	102	147	93	148	22.0%	1.10 [0.94, 1.30]	
Total (95% CI)		749		653	100.0%	1.23 [1.15, 1.32]	•
Total events	580		401				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	17.46, df	= 12 (F	<sup>2</sup> = 0.13);	I <sup>z</sup> = 31 <sup>o</sup>	%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.83 (	(P < 0.0	00001)				Favours CWM Favours CHM

# (a) lung CT

	CHN	1	CWN	Λ		Risk Ratio	R	isk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H,	ixed, 95% Cl	
Shi 2020	0	49	0	18		Not estimable			
Xia 2020	0	34	1	18	49.4%	0.18 [0.01, 4.23]	<		
Yang 2020	0	26	0	23		Not estimable			
Yu 2020	1	147	2	148	50.6%	0.50 [0.05, 5.49]			
Total (95% CI)		256		207	100.0%	0.34 [0.05, 2.18]			
Total events	1		3						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.26, df=	1 (P =	0.61); l² =	= 0%					100
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.13	(P = 0.2	:6)				Favours CV	VM Favours CHM	100

# (b) death

	CHN	1	CWN	/		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Cheng 2020	44	51	35	51	6.3%	1.26 [1.01, 1.56]	
Fu 2020	33	37	25	36	4.5%	1.28 [1.01, 1.64]	
Hu 2020	112	142	94	142	16.8%	1.19 [1.03, 1.38]	
Liu 2020	235	249	228	268	39.3%	1.11 [1.05, 1.18]	
Xia 2020	32	34	11	18	2.6%	1.54 [1.06, 2.25]	
Xiao 2020	88	100	75	100	13.4%	1.17 [1.03, 1.34]	_ <b>_</b> _
Yu 2020	119	147	96	148	17.1%	1.25 [1.08, 1.44]	_ <b></b>
Total (95% CI)		760		763	100.0%	1.18 [1.13, 1.24]	•
Total events	663		564				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	7.86, df=	6 (P =	0.25); l² =	= 24%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.62	(P < 0.0	00001)				Favours CWM Favours CHM

# (c) clinical cure rate

Fig. 2. Forest plot of the effects of CHM for outcomes of (a) lung CT, (b) death, and (c) clinical cure rate.

#### 3.5.11. TCM syndrome

The efficacy of CHM on TCM syndrome was evalated in 5 studies [18,20,28,29,31]. Only 3 trials could be enrolled in this study. There were 141 patients in CHM group and 84 in CWM group. Meta-analysis showed significant improvement by CHM on TCM syndrome (3 trials, n = 225; WMD: -3.67; 95 % CI: -6.60 to -0.73;  $I^2$  = 86 %, P = 0.01; Fig. 7a).

#### 3.5.12. Viral nucleic acid testing

The effect of CHM on viral nucleic acid testing was reported in 4 trials [15,21,23,32]. There were 260 patients in CHM group and 209 in CWM group. A significant improvement on negative conversion rate of viral nucleic acid testing was identified by CHM when compared with CWM (4 trials, n = 469; RR = 1.18; 95 % CI: 1.04–1.34;  $I^2 = 41$  %,

P = 0.01; Fig. 7b). Additionally, the negative conversion time of viral nucleic acid testing was reported in 1 trial [23], and a shorter time was identified in CHM group (9.32  $\pm$  3.03 vs 11.89  $\pm$  3.21).

#### 3.6. Inflammatory biomarkers

#### 3.6.1. WBC

Six trials evaluated the efficacy of CHM on number of WBC [15,18,19,22,29,31]. As enumeration data was used in 1 trial [18], 5 trials were included in this study [15,19,22,29,31]. There were 585 patients in CHM group and 566 in CWM group. Meta-analysis showed no significant difference between CHM and CWM on the number of WBC in patients with COVID-19 (5 trials, n = 1151; WMD: 0.27; 95 % CI: -0.22 to 0.76;  $I^2 = 95$  %, P = 0.28; Fig. 7c).

	CHN	1	CWI	/		Risk Ratio			Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl			M-H, Fix	ed, 95% Cl		
Ding 2020	3	51	1	49	18.9%	2.88 [0.31, 26.78]					•	
Shi 2020	8	49	3	18	81.1%	0.98 [0.29, 3.29]		-				
Total (95% CI)		100		67	100.0%	1.34 [0.47, 3.80]						
l otal events	11		4							1 .		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.71, df=	1 (P =	0.40); l² =	= 0%			0.1	02	0.5	1 2	5	10
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.55 (	(P = 0.5	i8)				0.1	Fa	avours CWM	Favours	СНМ	.0

#### (a) ranging from critical to mild cases



## (b) ranging from mild to critical cases



# (c) length of hospital stay

Fig. 3. Forest plot of the effects of CHM for outcomes of (a) ranging from critical to mild cases, (b) ranging from mild to critical cases, and (c) length of hospital stay.

#### 3.6.2. NEU

For the number of NEU, 3 trials [15,18,21] involving 167 patients were enrolled. As enumeration data and percentage were used in 2 trials [15,21], meta-analysis could not be conducted accordingly. Among them, a significant improvement of NEU was identified in 1 trial [18] (P < 0.05), while negative conclusions were found in the rest 2 trials [15,21].

#### 3.6.3. LYM

Effects of CHM on the level of LYM were assessed in 6 trials [15,18,19,21,22,31]. As enumeration data and percentage were reported in 2 trials with positive conclusions [19,22], only 4 trials were included in this meta-analysis. Meta-analysis revealed no significant difference between CHM and CWM on the level of LYM (4 trials, n = 483; WMD: 0.24; 95 % CI: -0.04 to 0.51;  $I^2 = 97$  %, P = 0.09; Fig. 7d).

#### 3.6.4. CRP

The levels of CRP at baseline and after intervention were recorded in 7 trials [15,16,18,19,21,29,31]. Although positive conclusion was identified in 1 trial [19], it could not be included in the meta-analysis due to enumeration data. Meta-analysis of the rest 6 trials revealed that CRP is significantly reduced by CHM (6 trials, n = 1100; WMD: -8.91; 95 % CI: -12.56 to -5.27;  $I^2 = 97$  %, P < 0.00001; Fig. 7e).

#### 3.6.5. Adverse effects

In this review, 10 trials reported adverse effects (10/18, 55.56 %) [15,16,18–20,22,23,25,31,32]. Among them, no adverse effect was identified in both CHM and CWM groups [18,19,25,31]. Adverse effects in the rest 6 trials included gastrointestinal reactions (abdominal distention, diarrhea, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, belching, acid reflux, poor appetite), headache, dizziness, drowsiness, abnormal liver function, renal dysfunction, and drug allergy [15,16,20,22,23,32]. All of the reported adverse effects were released spontaneously in both CHM and CWM groups. Meta-analysis identified that no significant difference between CHM and CWM was identified (9 trials, n = 1069; RR = 0.93; 95 % CI: 0.49–1.75;  $I^2 = 46$  %, P = 0.82; Fig. 8).

#### 3.6.6. Publication bias

Publication bias was detected by the funnel plot of lung CT. The asymmetry suggested a mild publication bias in the study (Fig. 9).

#### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Summary of evidence

Currently, COVID-19 has become a major public health problem in the whole world [33,34]. It has been identified that clusters of fatal pneumonia could be caused by 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV),



#### (a) total score of clinical symptoms



# (b) number of fever reduction cases



# (c) fever reduction time

		снм		(	:WM			Mean Difference		Mean I	)ifference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Rand	om, 95% Cl	
Fu 2020	0.56	0.3	37	1.06	0.62	36	12.9%	-0.50 [-0.72, -0.28]				
Liu 2020	1.47	0.42	249	2.14	0.55	268	39.2%	-0.67 [-0.75, -0.59]		_		
Yu 2020	0.56	0.14	147	1.12	0.32	148	48.0%	-0.56 [-0.62, -0.50]		<b>-</b>		
Total (95% CI)			433			452	100.0%	-0.60 [-0.69, -0.50]	_ ◄	►.		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	: 0.00; CI Z = 12.8	hi² = 5. Ю (Р ≺	.19, df= 0.000(	= 2 (P = 01)	0.07);	l² = 61'	%		-1	-0.5 Favours CHN	0 0.5 L Eavours CWM	1

### (d) symptom score of fever

Fig. 4. Forest plot of the effects of CHM for outcomes of (a) total score of clinical symptoms, (b) number of fever reduction cases, (c) fever reduction time, and (d) symptom score of fever.

the clinical manifestation of which is greatly resembling severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) [35]. During the epidemic, rapid and robust research is important to help guide clinical treatment, formulating public health policy, and new drug research and development. From the beginning of outbreak, CHM has been widely used in China and evidences of CHM for COVID-19 are emerging gradually. To our knowledge, this is the first strictly designed systematic review and meta-analysis of all the published RCTs to assess the efficacy and safety of CHM for COVID-19 in English.

In this study, several research highlights deserved our attention. Firstly, extensive literature searching of relevant clinical trials published in both Chinese and English databases was performed. In the previous published systematic reviews, only less than 5 RCTs were included. However, up to 18 trials were enrolled in our review, the conclusion of which was more persuasive and feasible. Although the number of included studies was still small, these data are very valuable and timely in light of no specific drugs and high mortality of COVID-19.

Secondly, large number of objective and subjective outcome measures including lung CT, death, clinical cure rate, ranging between mild and critical cases, length of hospital stay, clinical symptoms, TCM syndrome, viral nucleic acid testing, and inflammatory biomarkers were utilized to assess the efficacy of CHM comprehensively. This is different from the traditional evaluation of CHM that only focused on subjective indicators. The whole research findings from 18 trials

	CHN	1	CWI	N		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fi	xed, 95% Cl		
Cheng 2020	23	37	14	39	14.5%	1.73 [1.06, 2.82]				
Ding 2020	38	43	28	41	30.5%	1.29 [1.02, 1.64]		- <b>-</b> -		
Duan 2020	41	62	12	28	17.6%	1.54 [0.97, 2.45]				
Lv 2020	30	54	11	36	14.1%	1.82 [1.05, 3.14]				
Sun 2020	29	32	16	25	19.1%	1.42 [1.03, 1.94]				
Yao 2020	5	12	4	13	4.1%	1.35 [0.47, 3.89]			_	
Total (95% CI)		240		182	100.0%	1.50 [1.26, 1.78]		•		
Total events	166		85							
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	2.51, df=	5 (P =	0.77); l² =	= 0%					<u> </u>	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.64	(P < 0.0	0001)				Favours CW	M Favours CHM	5	

#### (a) number of cough reduction cases

		C	WM			Mean Difference		Mean Difference					
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Rand	om, 95% Cl		
Fu 2020	1.55	0.37	37	2.58	0.38	36	25.1%	-1.03 [-1.20, -0.86]					
Liu 2020	1.45	0.24	249	1.77	0.21	268	25.7%	-0.32 [-0.36, -0.28]					
Yang 2020	0.23	0.43	26	0.83	0.65	23	23.7%	-0.60 [-0.91, -0.29]					
Yu 2020	1.39	0.42	147	2.56	0.51	148	25.5%	-1.17 [-1.28, -1.06]					
Total (95% CI)			459			475	100.0%	-0.78 [-1.32, -0.24]					
Heterogeneity: Tau*:	-2	-1	Ó	1	2								
l est for overall effect	: Z = 2.84	F (P = I	J.UU4)							Favours CHN	I Favours C\	ΛM	

#### (b) symptom score of cough



### (c) disappearing time of cough

Fig. 5. Forest plot of the effects of CHM for outcomes of (a) number of cough reduction cases, (b) symptom score of cough, and (c) disappearing time of cough.

involving 2275 patients showed that lung CT, clinical cure rate, ranging from mild to critical cases, length of hospital stay, total score of clinical symptoms, fever reduction time, symptom score of fever, number of cough reduction cases, symptom score of cough, number of fatigue reduction cases, symptom score of fatigue, disappearing time of fatigue, TCM syndrome, viral nucleic acid testing, and inflammatory biomarkers (CRP) were significantly improved by CHM. In my opinion, achievement of clinical efficacy is closely related to traditional medical experience and extensive use of large number of classical Chinese herbal formulas. Although there is no records in TCM, it does not affect the understanding of TCM pathogenesis and clinical treatment of COVID-19. As symptoms and signs including tongue coating and pulse are the basis of diagnosis and treatment in TCM, TCM syndrome and formulae syndrome rather than disease were focused accordingly [36]. When in treating acute infectious febrile diseases, large quantity of classical Chinese herbal formulas have been formed and accumulated in ancient times [37]. It is held that COVID-19 belong to "damp-heat epidemic" or "damp-toxin epidemic" according to TCM theory. The disease can be divided into 3 stages on the basis of different TCM pathogenesis: illness in all three yang channels in the mild stage, phlegm-heat obstructing the lung in the critical stage, and deficiency of lung and spleen qi in the recovery stage. Therefore, therapeutic principles of dispelling cold, relieving exterior, dissipating phlegm, clearing away heat, invigorating spleen, and replenishing qi were widely used. Classical Chinese herbal formulas including Maxing Shigan decoction, Xiaochaihu decoction, etc.

were frequently applied correspondingly. What's more, all these formulas possessed a wide range of pharmacological functions including anti-inflammatory, antiviral, antipyretic, expectorant, antiasthmatic, antitussive effects [38]. However, more researches on clinical evidence and molecular mechanism by classical Chinese herbal formulas are also warranted.

Thirdly, treatment course of CHM was also worthy of attention. The reported course ranged from 5 to 15 days. Whether CHM can play a role in such a short period of time is a widely concerned issue. Patients diagnosed as COVID-19 could develop severe pulmonary infection and acute respiratory distress syndrome, which have a high likelihood of hospitalization in intensive care unit and death. However, TCM often held that "to treat what and when it is not ill". That is to say, although patient manifested fever in the early stage and mild stage of COVID-19, it belongs to the category of typical exterior syndrome from the perspective of TCM. If it was intervened in time at the stage of exterior syndrome, COVID-19 can be effectively blocked in ranging from mild to critical cases, thus reducing mortality, length of hospital stay, and fever reduction time.

Fourthly, in terms of adverse effects, no severe discomfort and abnormal liver and kidney function was identified in CHM group. The results indicated that CHM maybe relatively safe for COVID-19. However, as adverse effects were not reported in some studies, the safety of CHM should be observed and reported in more detailed information.

	CHN	1	CWI	/		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Cheng 2020	19	32	12	35	19.7%	1.73 [1.01, 2.97]	
Duan 2020	45	48	14	26	31.2%	1.74 [1.21, 2.50]	
Lv 2020	33	40	17	29	33.8%	1.41 [1.00, 1.97]	
Sun 2020	14	32	8	32	13.7%	1.75 [0.85, 3.59]	
Yao 2020	7	15	1	18	1.6%	8.40 [1.16, 60.84]	
Total (95% CI)		167		140	100.0%	1.73 [1.39, 2.16]	•
Total events	118		52				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.89, df=	: 4 (P =	0.42); l <sup>z</sup> =	= 0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.85	(P < 0.0	Favours CWM Favours CHM				

#### (a) number of fatigue reduction cases

	(	СНМ		0	CWM			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Fu 2020	2.72	0.25	37	3.86	0.33	36	26.0%	-1.14 [-1.27, -1.01]	-
Liu 2020	1.71	0.23	249	2.22	0.36	268	27.4%	-0.51 [-0.56, -0.46]	•
Yang 2020	0.12	0.33	26	1	0.8	23	19.3%	-0.88 [-1.23, -0.53]	<b>_</b>
Yu 2020	0.78	0.25	147	1.12	0.28	148	27.3%	-0.34 [-0.40, -0.28]	-
<b>Total (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau² = Test for overall effect:	: 0.07; Cl Z = 4.88	hi² = 1 : (P < (	<b>459</b> 18.34, ).00001	df = 3 (F I)	° < 0.0	<b>475</b> 0001);	<b>100.0</b> % I <sup>2</sup> = 97%	-0.70 [-0.98, -0.42]	-2 -1 0 1 2 Favours CHM Favours CWM
	dv or Subgroup         Mean         SD         Total         Mean         SD         Total         Weight         N, Random, 95% Cl         N, Random, 95% Cl           2020         2.72         0.25         37         3.86         0.33         36         26.0%         -1.14 [-1.27, -1.01]								
		СНМ		0	CWM			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Cheng 2020	3.5	1.5	19	4.8	1.5	12	27.5%	-1.30 [-2.38, -0.22]	
Hu 2020	3	0	142	6	0	142		Not estimable	
Qu 2020	2.12	0.15	40	3.89	0.75	30	37.0%	-1.77 [-2.04, -1.50]	

 Total (95% Cl)
 301
 284
 100.0%
 -1.13 [-2.22, -0.04]

 Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.82; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 28.51, df = 2 (P < 0.00001); I<sup>2</sup> = 93%
 Test for overall effect: Z = 2.03 (P = 0.04)

3.55 1.76

100

35.5%

3.22 1.5 100

Xiao 2020

# (c) disappearing time of fatigue

-0.33 [-0.78, 0.12]

Fig. 6. Forest plot of the effects of CHM for outcomes of (a) number of fatigue reduction cases, (b) symptom score of fatigue, and (c) disappearing time of fatigue.

Fifthly, the most frequently used Chinese herbs were also analysed in this study. In the top 5 herbs, Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) is traditionally used as "Shi" to harmonize the properties of different drugs. It is also widely used to resolve phlegm and relieve cough. Glycyrrhetinic acid (Fig. 10a), the effective component of Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae), has a strong antitussive and expectorant effect with a dose-dependent relationship. Glycyrrhetinic acid and glycyrrhizin also possess obvious antipyretic effect. Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis) can clear away heat and reduce fire, thus treating febrile diseases, acute upper respiratory tract infection, and cough yellow phlegm. Baicalin (Fig. 10b) and baicalein (Fig. 10c), the active components of Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis), can inhibit multiple viruses and bacteria, thus inhibiting inflammation. Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) is traditionally used to eliminate phlegm-dampness. In pharmacology, it possessed significant antitussive and expectant effects, with Forsythaside (Fig. 10d) as the active component. Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae) could clear away heat and toxic material in TCM theory. It can inhibit a variety of viruses including influenza A virus, human cytomegalovirus, encephalitis B virus, respiratory syncytial virus, and herpes simplex virus, and inhibit a variety of bacteria including E.coli, staphylococcus aureus, salmonella typhi, escherichia coli, multidrugresistant acinetobacter baumannii, and staphylococcus epidermidis [39]. Bitter Apricot Seed (Kuxingren, Semen Armeniacae Amarum) could be used to reduce gi, relieve cough and asthma, and relax bowel, thus treating cough, asthma, chest fullness, phlegm, and constipation.

The main active ingredient is amygdalin (Fig. 10e), which possesses the effects of expectorant and antitussive. It is noteworthy that, oxidative stress is a negative effect produced by free radicals in the body, and is considered to be an important factor leading to apoptosis, aging and disease [40-43]. Virus infection is closely related to oxidative injury, and it evokes oxidative stress and intensifies pathological process. Plant polyphenols include flavonoids, tannins, phenolic acids, etc., which have the functions of scavenging free radicals in the body, anti lipid oxidation, delaying the aging of the body, and possess the effects of bacteriostasis, anti-virus, and anti-tumor [44]. A large number of Chinese herb medicine and natural medicine, including Baical Skullcap Root (Huangqin, Radix Scutellariae Baicalensis), Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae), and so on, possess certain anti-oxidation, anti-bacterial and anti-virus effects, and can be used in treating acute respiratory infection. Our study suggested that, CHM can not only improve symptoms, reduce the number of severe patients, shorten of fever reduction time and length of hospital stay, and improve pulmonary imaging, but also possess effects of antiviral and inhibiting inflammatory reaction in the treatment of COVID-19. That is to say, CHM could be considered to treat patients in both mild and critical stages of the disease, which embodies the advantages of multi-target and overall regulation by CHM.

Favours CHM Favours CWM

#### 4.2. Limitations

Limitations in this review should also be taken into account as

	CHM CVVM							Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Duan 2020	10.02	3.8	82	11.39	2.73	41	37.5%	-1.37 [-2.54, -0.20]	
Qiu 2020	5.16	2.38	25	10.53	4.24	25	34.2%	-5.37 [-7.28, -3.46]	
Xia 2020	13.29	3.79	34	17.94	5.8	18	28.3%	-4.65 [-7.62, -1.68]	
Total (95% CI)			141			84	100.0%	-3.67 [-6.60, -0.73]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	5.61; Cl	hi² = 1	-10 -5 0 5 10						
lest for overall effect:	Z = 2.45	(P = (	J.U1)						Favours CHM Favours CWM

# (a) TCM syndrome

	CHN	1	CWN	N		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl			
Hu 2020	109	142	101	142	74.0%	1.08 [0.94, 1.24]				
Huang 2020	42	52	8	14	9.2%	1.41 [0.88, 2.27]				
Qu 2020	12	40	7	30	5.9%	1.29 [0.58, 2.87]				
Yang 2020	25	26	14	23	10.9%	1.58 [1.13, 2.21]				
Total (95% CI)		260		209	100.0%	1.18 [1.04, 1.34]	◆			
Total events	188		130							
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	5.06, df=	3 (P =	0.17); l²=	= 41%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.51 (P = 0.01)										

# (b) virus nucleic acid testing

	(	СНМ		0	:WM			Mean Difference		Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Rando	m, 95% Cl		
Fu 2020	5.9	0.36	37	5.64	0.37	36	24.9%	0.26 [0.09, 0.43]			-		
Huang 2020	7.28	2.94	52	5.43	2.11	14	8.6%	1.85 [0.49, 3.21]					
Liu 2020	6.89	2.61	249	8.24	2.56	268	21.1%	-1.35 [-1.80, -0.90]					
Xiao 2020	5.65	2.17	100	4.5	1.47	100	20.0%	1.15 [0.64, 1.66]					
Yu 2020	5.87	0.36	147	5.46	0.35	148	25.4%	0.41 [0.33, 0.49]			•		
Total (95% CI)			585			566	100.0%	0.27 [-0.22, 0.76]			•		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.25; Cl	$hi^2 = 7$	2.93, di	f= 4 (P ·	< 0.00I	001); I²	= 95%		-4	-2	0 2	4	
Test for overall effect:	I (P = 0	Favours CWM Favours CHM											

#### (c) WBC Mean Difference Mean Difference CHM CWM Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl Fu 2020 1.97 0.16 1.52 0.11 36 34.2% 0.45 [0.39, 0.51] 37 Huang 2020 30.5% 0.19 [0.02, 0.36] 1.39 0.31 52 12 0.29 14 Yang 2020 2.42 5.39 26 3.39 6.38 0.7% -0.97 [-4.30, 2.36] 23 Yu 2020 1.68 0.15 147 1.59 0.18 148 34.6% 0.09 [0.05, 0.13] Total (95% CI) 262 221 100.0% 0.24 [-0.04, 0.51]

Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.06; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 93.04, df = 3 (P < 0.00001); l<sup>2</sup> = 97% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.70 (P = 0.09)



2

# (d) LYM

	CHM CWM							Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl			
Ding 2020	7.98	4.04	51	40.3	15.8	49	15.1%	-32.32 [-36.88, -27.76]	•			
Fu 2020	24.75	4.8	37	32.86	5.2	36	18.3%	-8.11 [-10.41, -5.81]				
Huang 2020	4.82	4.54	52	8.14	6.49	14	16.5%	-3.32 [-6.94, 0.30]				
Liu 2020	3.47	1.2	249	7.89	1.25	268	19.7%	-4.42 [-4.63, -4.21]	•			
Yang 2020	2.91	3.02	26	9.73	17.78	23	10.9%	-6.82 [-14.18, 0.54]				
Yu 2020	22.37	4.37	147	24.37	4.37	148	19.4%	-2.00 [-3.00, -1.00]	-			
Total (95% CI)			562			538	100.0%	-8.91 [-12.56, -5.27]				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 17.52; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 177.02, df = 5 (P < 0.00001); l <sup>2</sup> = 97%         -10         -5         0         5         10           Test for overall effect: Z = 4.79 (P < 0.00001)											

# (e) CRP

Fig. 7. Forest plot of the effects of CHM for outcomes of (a) TCM syndrome, (b) virus nucleic acid testing, (c) WBC, (d) LYM, and (e) CRP.

below. Firstly, poor methodological design is a very common problem in most of included trials. Significant drawbacks regarding sequence generation of randomization, concealment of allocation, reporting on blinding, dropouts, and pre-estimation of sample size should be considered in further studies. Secondly, as viral nucleic acid testing turning positive again is very common in the recovery stage of COVID-19, no trial adopted long-term follow-up.



Fig. 8. Forest plot of the reported adverse effects.



#### 5. Conclusions

In general, this systematic review and meta-analysis suggested that CHM maybe beneficial for the treatment of COVID-19 in improving clinical symptoms, imaging, and laboratory indicators, shortening the course of disease, and reducing the number of severe cases. However, considering the shortcomings of original trials, further rigorously designed trials following CONSORT Statement [45] and CONSORT extension for herbal medicine [46] are warranted to confirm the conclusions. CHM, especially classical Chinese herbal formulas, could be used as potential candidates for COVID-19 in this battle.

#### Author contributions

X.J.X. designed the paper, extracted data, carried out the statistical analysis, produced the tables and figures, and wrote the first edition of the paper. P.Q.W. selected the literature. K.L.S. evaluated the methodological quality of each trial. Y.W.X. and C.C.W. were consulted and helped to revise the manuscript. X.J.X. and K.L.S. contributed equally in this paper.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number: 81403375, 81603479, and 81804084), Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by the China Association for Science and Technology (Grant number: 2017QNRC001), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Grant number:



Fig. 10. Chemical structure of the main active ingredients of most frequently used Chinese herbs for the treatment of COVID-19.

7194277), Special Fund for Seedling Raising of Chinese Academy of Chinese Medicine (Grant number: ZZ11-073), and Excellent youth fund of Chinese Academy of Chinese Medicine (Grant number: ZZ13-YQ-018). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### References

- N. Zhu, et al., A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019, N. Engl. J. Med. 382 (2020) 727–733.
- [2] C. Wang, et al., Oseltamivir compared with the Chinese traditional therapy Maxingshigan-Yinqiaosan in the treatment of H1N1 influenza: a randomized trial, Ann. Intern. Med. 155 (2011) 217–225.
- [3] J. Wang, X.J. Xiong, Current situation and perspectives of clinical study in integrative medicine in China, Evid. Based Complement Alternat. Med. 2012 (2012) e268542.
- [4] SARS: Clinical Trials on Treatment Using a Combination of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Western Medicine, World Health Organization, 2004 [2020-02-08]. http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Js6170e.
- [5] Y. Jin, et al., A rapid advice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infected pneumonia (standard version), Military Med. Res. 7 (2020) e4 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7003341/.
- [6] Y. Yang, M.S. Islam, J. Wang, Y. Li, X. Chen, Traditional Chinese medicine in the treatment of patients infected with 2019-new coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2): a review and perspective, Int. J. Biol. Sci. 16 (2020) 1708–1717.
- [7] D. Zhang, et al., The clinical benefits of Chinese patent medicines against COVID-19 based on current evidence, Pharmacol. Res. 157 (2020) e104882.
- [8] G.D. Qi, et al., The efficacy of Lianhua Qingwen combined with western medicine scheme on COVID-19 general type patients: a systematic review, Clin. J. Tradit. Chin. Med. (2020) In press. http://kns.chkd.cnki.net/kcms/detail/34.1268.r. 20200410.0909.002.html.
- [9] Y.Q. Wu, et al., Clinical effects of integrated traditional Chinese and western medicine on COVID-19: a systematic review, Shanghai J. Tradit. Chin. Med. 54 (2020) 29–36.
- [10] C.Y. Gao, C.M. Song, Y.L. Fu, J. Zhang, The curative effect on treating COVID-19 by integrated medicine: a systematic review, J. Shanxi Univ. Chin. Med. (2020) In press http://kns.chkd.cnki.net/kcms/detail/61.1501.r.20200528.1450.004.html.
- [11] L. Ang, E. Song, H.W. Lee, M.S. Lee, Herbal medicine for the treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, J. Clin. Med. 9 (2020) e1583.
- [12] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, D.G. Altman, PRISMA Group, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement, Ann. Intern. Med. 151 (2009) 264–269.
- [13] X.J. Xiong, et al., Efficacy and safety of Chinese herbal medicine for patients with postmenopausal hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Pharmacol. Res. 141 (2019) 481–500.
- [14] Higgins, J.P.T.; Green, S. Cochrane Reviewers' Handbook 5.3.0 [updated March 2014], Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.3.0. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- [15] H. Huang, Y. Zhao, X.H. Zuo, J.S. Jin, Y. Guo, Treatment of COVID-19 by pneumonia No.1 prescription and pneumonia No.2 prescription, Acta. Chin. Med. (2020) In press http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/41.1411.R.20200323.1016.002.html.
- [16] X.J. Ding, et al., Clinical effect and mechanism of *Qingfei Touxie Fuzheng* recipe in the treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia, Herald Med. 5 (2020) 640–644.
- [17] J. Shi, et al., Clinical observation on 49 cases of non-critical coronavirus disease 2019 in Shanghai treated by integrative traditional Chinese and western medicine, Shanghai J. Tradit. Chin. Med. 54 (2020) 25–30.
- [18] W.G. Xia, et al., Clinical study on 34 novel coronavirus pneumoniae treated with integrated traditional Chinese and western medicine, J. Tradit. Chin. Med. 61 (2020) 375–381.
- [19] X.X. Fu, L.P. Lin, X.H. Tan, Clinical study on 37 case of COVID-19 treated with integrated traditional Chinese and western medicine, Tradit. Chin. Drug Res. Clin. Pharm. 5 (2020) 600–604.
- [20] C. Duan, et al., Clinical observation of novel coronavirus infection pneumonia treated by Jinhua Qinggan granule, J. Tradit. Chin. Med. (2020) In press http://kns. cnki.net/kcms/detail/11.2166.R.20200323.0853.002.html.
- [21] M.B. Yang, S.S. Dang, S. Huang, Y.J. Li, Y.L. Guo, Multi-center clinical observation of *Reyanning mixture* in treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia, Chin. J. Exp. Tradit. Med. Formul. (2020), https://doi.org/10.13422/j.cnki.syfjx.20201321 In press.
- [22] Q. Xiao, et al., Analysis on the treatment of mild novel coronavirus pneumonia by

Chinese herbal medicine *Shufeng Jiedu* capsules combined with arbidol, J. Emerg. Tradit. Chin. Med. 5 (2020) 756–758.

- [23] X.K. Qu, et al., Observation on the clinical effect of *Shufeng Jiedu* capsule combined with arbidol hydrochloride capsules in the treatment of COVID-19, Chin. Tradit. Herb Drugs 57 (2020) 1167–1170.
- [24] D.Z. Cheng, et al., 51 cases of novel coronavirus pneumonia treated with Chinese herbal medicine *Lianhua Qingwen* granule: a multicenter retrospective study, Tianjin J. Tradit. Chin. Med. 5 (2020) 509–516.
- [25] R.B. Lv, W.J. Wang, Y. Li, A clinical observation of 63 cases of novel coronavirus pneumonia suspected cases treated with *Lianhua Qingwen* granule, J. Tradit. Chin. Med. 61 (2020) 655–659.
- [26] Y.L. Wang, et al., Preliminary clinical effect analysis of the treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia by internal administration of traditional Chinese medicine plus fumigation and absorption combined with super dose of vitamin C in treating NOVID-19, J. Xi'an Jiaotong Univ. (Med. Sci.) (2020) In press http://kns.cnki.net/ kcms/detail/61.1399.R.20200320.1045.002.html.
- [27] K.T. Yao, M.Y. Liu, X. Li, J.H. Huang, H.B. Cai, Retrospective clinical analysis on treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 with traditional Chinese medicine Lianhua Qingwen, Chin. J. Exp. Tradit. Med. Formul. 11 (2020) 8–12.
- [28] M. Qiu, et al., Efficacy observation of maxing Xuanfei Jiedu Decoction on common type of novel coronavirus pneumonia, J. Emerg. Tradit. Chin. Med. 29 (2020) 1129–1131.
- [29] X.G. Liu, et al., Efficacy of No.1 pneumonia prescription in the treatment of Corona Virus Disease 2019, World Chin. Med. (2020) In press http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/ detail/11.5529.R.20200506.1832.002.html.
- [30] H.M. Sun, et al., Study on clinical efficacy of Lianhua Qingke Granule in treatment of mild and ordinary COVID-19, Chin. J. Exp. Tradit. Med. Formul. (2020), https:// doi.org/10.13422/j.cnki.syfjx.20201438 In press.
- [31] P. Yu, Y.Z. Li, S.B. Wan, Y. Wang, Clinical efficacy of Lianhua Qingwen granule combined with abidol in treating coronavirus disease 2019, Chin. Pharm. J. (2020) In press http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/11.2162.R.20200422.1429.002.html.
- [32] K. Hu, et al., Efficacy and safety of Lianhuaqingwen capsules, a repurposed Chinese herb, in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a multicenter, prospective, randomized controlled trial, Phytomedicine (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j. phymed.2020.153242 In press.
- [33] Q. Li, et al., Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirusinfected pneumonia, N. Engl. J. Med. 24 (2020) 1199–1207, https://doi.org/10. 1056/NEJMoa2001316.
- [34] H. Luo, et al., Can traditional Chinese medicine be used for prevention of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)? A review of historical classics, research evidence and current prevention programs, Chin. J. Integr. Med. 26 (2020) 243–250 https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11655-020-3192-6.
- [35] T.G. Ksiazek, et al., A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome, N. Engl. J. Med. 348 (2003) 1953–1966.
- [36] J. Wang, P.Q. Wang, X.J. Xiong, Current situation and re-understanding of syndrome and formula syndrome in Chinese medicine, Int. Med. 2 (2012) e1000113.
- [37] X.J. Xiong, C.T. Che, F. Borrelli, K.D. Moudgil, G. Caminiti, Evidence-based TAM classic herbal formula: from myth to science, Evid. Complement. Alternat. Med. 2017 (2017) e9493076.
- [38] W. Song, et al., Uncovering the mechanism of maxing ganshi decoction on asthma from a systematic perspective: a network pharmacology study, Sci. Rep. 8 (2018) e17362.
- [39] Y. Shi, X.P. Wang, J.Q. Bai, K.H. Long, Study on the pharmacological action of antibacterial and antiviral by Forsythia Fruit (Lianqiao, Fructus Forsythiae Suspensae), Mod. Chin. Med. 15 (2013) 950–953.
- [40] S. Miquel, et al., Poor cognitive ageing: vulnerabilities, mechanisms and the impact of nutritional interventions, Ageing Res. Rev. 42 (2018) 40–55.
- [41] A. Ines, et al., Hericium erinaceus prevents DEHP-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and apoptosis in PC12 cells, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (2020) e2138.
- [42] V. Pilipenko, et al., GABA-containing Compound gammapyrone protects against brain impairments in Alzheimer's disease model male rats and prevents mitochondrial dysfunction in cell culture, J. Neurosci. Res. 97 (2019) 708–726.
- [43] V. Peters, et al., Protective actions of anserine under diabetic conditions, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19 (2018) e2751.
- [44] M. Leri, et al., Healthy effects of plant polyphenols: molecular mechanisms, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (2020) e1250.
- [45] K.F. Schulz, D.G. Altman, D. Moher, CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials, Ann. Intern. Med. 152 (2012) 726–732.
- [46] J.J. Gagnier, et al., CONSORT Group. Reporting randomized, controlled trials of herbal interventions: an elaborated CONSORT statement, Ann. Intern. Med. 144 (2006) 364–367.