## Case Report

# Misdiagnosis and Mistreatment of Post-Kala-Azar Dermal Leishmaniasis

### Ahmed Mohamed El Hassan,<sup>1</sup> Eltahir Awad Gasim Khalil,<sup>1,2</sup> Waleed Mohamed Elamin,<sup>1</sup> Lamyaa Ahmed Mohamed El Hassan,<sup>1</sup> Mogtaba Elsaman Ahmed,<sup>1</sup> and Ahmed Mudawi Musa<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Leishmaniasis Research Group, Institute of Endemic Diseases, University of Khartoum, Medical Campus, Qasr Avenue, P.O. Box 102, 11111 Khartoum, Sudan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Clinical Pathology and Immunology, Institute of Endemic Diseases, University of Khartoum, P.O. Box 45235, 11111 Khartoum, Sudan

Correspondence should be addressed to Eltahir Awad Gasim Khalil; eltahirgasim@yahoo.ca

Received 22 November 2012; Accepted 21 January 2013

Academic Editor: A. Chow

Copyright © 2013 Ahmed Mohamed El Hassan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL) is a known complication of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) caused by *L. donovani*. It is rare in VL caused by *L. infantum* and *L. chagasi*. In Sudan, it occurs with a frequency of 58% among successfully treated VL patients. In the majority of cases, PKDL can be diagnosed on the basis of clinical appearance, distribution of the lesions, and past history of treated VL. The ideal diagnostic method is to demonstrate the parasite in smears, by culture or PCR. Diagnosis is particularly difficult in patients who develop PKDL in the absence of previous history of visceral leishmaniasis. We describe a case of cutaneous leishmaniasis misdiagnosed as PKDL and 3 cases of PKDL who were either misdiagnosed or mistreated as other dermatoses. This caused exacerbation of their disease leading to high parasite loads in the lesions and dissemination to internal organs in one of the patients, who was also diabetic. The latter patient had *L. major* infection. A fourth patient with papulonodular lesions on the face and arms of 17-year duration and who was misdiagnosed as having PKDL is also described. He turned out to have cutaneous leishmaniasis due to *L. major*. Fortunately, he was not treated with steroids. He was cured with intravenous sodium stibogluconate.

#### 1. Introduction

Post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL) is a known complication of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) caused by *L. donovani*. It is rare in VL caused by *L. infantum* and *L. chagasi* [1]. In Sudan, PKDL occurs with a frequency of 58% among patients successfully treated for VL, but occasionally it develops in the absence of a pervious history of VL [1–3]. It is considered to be the only reservoir for *L. donovani* in India [4]. In Sudan, VL is both zoonotic and anthroponotic with rodents and canines as candidate reservoirs, at least in some areas endemic for VL [1–3]. Clinically, PKDL lesions are macules, papules, or nodules involving the skin, particularly in the sun-exposed areas [1, 3, 5]. In the majority of Sudanese patients, PKDL occurs within the first two months following treatment of VL [6]. Spontaneous healing occurs in the

majority of cases, but in some patients it persists for more than a year [6]. Persistent PKDL is difficult to treat. There is strong evidence that PKDL is immunologically mediated, but the immunological changes involved and their role in the pathogenesis of the disease are not known with certainty.

In the majority of cases, PKDL can be diagnosed on the basis of clinical appearance and distribution of the lesions and a past history of treated VL. We previously described 35 differential diagnoses for PKDL [7]. Of the infectious diseases, leprosy was the most frequent. Some patients do not give a history of VL and these patients are easily misdiagnosed for other skin conditions as will be shown in this paper. PKDL lesions unlike those of cutaneous leishmaniasis are not volcanic in shape, have fixed peri-oral distribution and accompanying hypo/hyperpigmented macules [7]. The ideal diagnostic method is to demonstrate the parasite in smears, by culture or PCR. In some rare atypical cases, the mere identification of parasites in lesions without typing the parasite may not be enough since cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *L. major* may simulate PKDL, as described in this paper. Using PCR in a previous study, we could demonstrate *L. donovani* DNA in 80.8% and 82.7% aspirates of lymph nodes and lesions of PKDL patients, respectively [8].

The objective of this paper is to describe cases that were misdiagnosed as PKDL. Some were treated with systemic or topical steroids. This led to the exacerbation of the lesions and a high parasite load in the tissues.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

A detailed clinical history was obtained from all the patients and a full clinical examination was conducted. Patients were tested for leishmanin skin reactivity (LST) by the intradermal injection of 0.1 mL of a suspension of dead L. *major* promastigotes ( $5 \times 106 L$ . *major* promastigotes, stock MRHO/IR/75/ER) prepared by Pasteur Institute of Iran [1]. The test was read 48 hours later. An inducation of  $\geq 5 \text{ mm}$ was regarded as a positive leishmanin test. Hematological investigations included total white cell count, hemoglobin level, platelets count, and erythrocytes sedimentation (ESR). Other tests were urine analysis and liver function tests. The direct agglutination test (DAT) was performed as previously described [1]. A skin biopsy from lesions was fixed in neutral formalin and processed for histopathology. Sections were stained with H&E in all patients and by immunohistochemistry for the cell phenotypes in one [9]. The cells analyzed included CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, and CD 20+. CD4+FoxP3+ regulatory T (T<sub>reg</sub>) in the peripheral blood were also counted by FACs analysis for one patient. All reagents for immunohistochemistry were from Dako (Denmark). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed on DNA extracted from biopsies of the lesions as described before [8].

#### 3. Patients

3.1. Patient No. 1. This 18-year-old male patient reported with skin rash, mainly in the face of 17 years duration. There was no history of treated VL before the rash. The patient looked well. Liver, spleen, and lymph nodes were not palpable. The rash consisted of nodules and papules (Figure 1). Leishmanin skin test induration was 12 mm. Apart from A/S hemoglobin, there was no significant hematological or biochemical abnormality. A smear was negative for leishmania parasites. A skin biopsy showed lymphocytes, plasma cells, macrophage, and some epithelioid cells (Figure 2). There were few scattered wellformed granulomas. Using indirect immunoperoxidase and primary polyclonal antibody against leishmania prepared in BALBc mice, macrophages and epithelioid cells in the lesions were positive for leishmania antigen (Figure 3). The cells were negative when normal mouse serum and immune serum absorbed with promastigotes were used. All reagents for the immunoperoxidase stain were from Dako (Denmark). PCR showed bands of L. major (Figure 4). The majority of the cells were CD3+-positive cells (Figure 5). The majority of the T cells were CD8+-positive cytotoxic cells. CD4+



FIGURE 1: Of patient no. 1 showing papules, nodules, and plaques in the face.



FIGURE 2: Of patient no. 1 showing histopathological section of lesions showing lymphocytes, macrophages, and poorly formed epithelioid granulomas (H&E stain ×100).

cells and CD20+ cells were few. In addition, there were T<sub>reg</sub> cells in the lesions (Figure 6). Using FACs analysis, CD4+FoxP3+ regulatory T (T<sub>reg</sub>) cells in the blood [10] were high: CD4+CD25+ (T<sub>reg</sub>) count was 890 cell/ $\mu$ L compared to in normal Sudanese (~400 cells/ $\mu$ L). The patient was treated with Paromomycin injections at 20 mg/kg/d for 28 days. In ten days, the lesions started to regress (Figure 7) and healed at the end of treatment.

3.2. Patient No. 2. A 35-year-old soldier from Darfur state, western Sudan developed a papular skin rash in the nose of one-year duration with few nodules in the forearms. Before we saw him he was treated elsewhere with topical and systemic steroids, local and systemic antibiotics, and even methotrexate. He mentioned that he became worse. When we saw him, he had a papular rash involving the nose and the upper lip (Figure 8). He gave no previous history of treated VL. His spleen and liver were not palpable. Leishmanin skin test was not reactive. DAT was positive (titre > 6400). A skin biopsy showed heavily parasitized macrophages (Figure 9). Parasites were seen in the epidermis. There were hardly any lymphocytes or plasma cells in the lesion. Haematological



FIGURE 3: Of patient no. 1 showing leishmania antigen in dermal macrophages. The small round bodies in some cells are probably leishmania parasites that were not detected in the H&E-stained sections.



FIGURE 4: PCR product electrophoresis shows a band measuring 270 base pairs. Line 1: DNA ladder. Line 2: negative control. Line 4: patient no. 3. Lines 3 and 5 are positive controls for *L. major*.

and biochemical investigations showed no abnormality. PCR showed the parasite to be *L. donovani* (Figure 10). He was treated with Pentostam at a dose of 20 mg/kg/day for 30 days with full recovery.

3.3. Patient No. 3. A patient from Gedarif state, eastern Sudan presented to a hospital in Khartoum state with a papular skin rash involving the face. He was diagnosed as lupus pernio and was started on systemic steroids. His lesions became worse. When we saw him, he had papular rash in the scalp and an infiltrative lesion in the nose and lower lip (Figure 11). The inner side of the lip and tongue had a white membrane that proved to be caused by Candida infection. The spleen and liver were not enlarged. His leishmanin skin test was not reactive and DAT was positive. A biopsy from the nose showed many macrophages containing leishmania parasites. Lymphocytes were scanty. This is typical of an anergic reaction to leishmania parasites. The parasite was typed as L. donovani by PCR. He was treated with AmBisome (2.5 mg/kg body weight/14 days). He completely recovered and remained well at 3 years of followup (Figure 12).

3.4. Patient No. 4. This 12-year-old girl was a poorly controlled type I diabetes mellitus. She developed a skin nodule





(a)

(b)

FIGURE 5: Of patient no. 1. The figures on the left and the right were stained for CD3+ and CD8+ cells. The majority of the cells in (a) are CD3+-positive T cells. (b) shows CD8+-positive cells. The unstained cells are mainly macrophages.



FIGURE 6: Of patient no. 1. Lesion section shows  $T_{reg}$  cells in the inflammatory infiltrate. Normal skin was negative for  $T_{reg}$  cells.

in the forearm. She was treated with topical steroids. She developed disseminated skin lesion in the face, arms, trunk, and legs (Figure 13). Lesions persisted for 7 years. The liver and spleen were enlarged. A biopsy showed leishmania parasites in macrophages inside parasitophorous vacuoles. She was leishmanin-negative and DAT-positive. Her diabetes responded to insulin. After treatment with AmBisome (2.5 mg/kg body weight/14 days) and control of diabetes, the lesions started drying up in two weeks. Smears from the lesions were negative. Three months later, the spleen and liver



FIGURE 7: (b) is patient no. 1 before treatment. (a) is the patient just 10 days after treatment with Pentostam. Lesions started to regress and finally healed completely.



FIGURE 8: Shows patient no. 2 at presentation. There are papules and plaques over the nose and the lip.

decreased but were still palpable. She was lost for further followup.

#### 4. Discussion

The patients described illustrate some of the conditions that may be mistaken for PKDL. The first patient was referred to us as PKDL. Clinically, he had papules, nodules, and plaques in the exposed parts of the body. The unusual feature was the persistence of lesions for 17 years, since the patient was a year old. Apart from the unsightly appearance, the patient was in good health and developed normally. He was sent to school, but disrupted his education early in his life and he never had a job. Consequently, he was in a state of depression for years. Perhaps the people in his village might have suspected that he had leprosy which is considered a stigma in some parts of Sudan. To our surprise, he turned out to have cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *L. major* and not PKDL. The part



FIGURE 9: Skin biopsy from patient no. 2 shows many parasitized macrophages. Lymphocytes are scanty.

of Darfur where he came from is a known endemic area for cutaneous leishmaniasis.

Pathologically the patient had a granulomatous inflammatory reaction with a low parasite load. However, there was leishmania antigen in the macrophages and epithelioid granulomas. This requires cytotoxic T cells that are able to recognize the antigen and destroy the cells that exhibit it on their outer membrane. The majority of the cells were CD3+ T cells. Most of the T cells were CD8+ cytotoxic cells. Leishmania parasites were few but were easy to detect by PCR. Under normal circumstances in self-healing cutaneous leishmaniasis, the parasites never disappear completely from the tissues and persist in scars after self- or drug-induced healing [11]. The achievement of latency provides clear benefits to the host. In addition to halting microbe-mediated damage, it was shown that latent infection can be essential for the



Lane 1: ladder, 2: *L. major*, 3: patient 2, 4: patient 3 and 5 and 6: *L. donovani* controls

FIGURE 10: Shows PCR results of patients 2 and 3.





FIGURE 11: Of patient no. 3 showing lesions in the nose (a) and papules on scalp (b).

maintenance of immunity to reinfection [12]. On the other hand, latency allows for the possibility of disease reactivation and facilitates microbial dispersal to new hosts [12]. Latency can, thus, benefit both host and pathogen. Using murine models, it was reported that CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells ( $T_{reg}$ ) are essential for the development and maintenance of latent cutaneous infection of *L. major* [13].  $T_{reg}$  rapidly accumulate at sites of infection with *L. major*, suppressing the ability of the immune response to eliminate the parasite. There were many  $T_{reg}$  cells in the lesions and in the peripheral blood of our patient.  $T_{reg}$  cells are known to downregulate the function of CD+8 cells.



FIGURE 12: Of patient no. 3 after treatment.



FIGURE 13: Of patient no. 4 showing papular rash on the face.

The use of topical and systemic steroids has led to exacerbations of infection in three of the patients described here. Steroids are useful drugs if used when indicated. They are useful adjuncts in treating leishmanial anterior Uveitis [14], leprosy reactions, and spinal schistosomiasis. They are contraindicated in PKDL, cutaneous leishmaniasis, and zygomycosis. An accurate diagnosis must be made before steroids are used.

The diabetic young girl had disseminated *L. major* infection in the skin (Figure 14) and probably in the spleen and liver. Evidence for this was the reduction of the hepatosplenomegaly after antileishmanial therapy. Diabetes mellitus has long been considered an important risk factor for infection and is indeed recognized by the World Health Organization as a cause of secondary immunodeficiency [15]. When the patient was treated with steroids, T-cell-mediated immunity was depressed even more.

#### 5. Conclusion

Injudicious use of topical and systemic steroids should be discouraged unless a definitive dermatological diagnosis is made especially in sporadic dermatoses in patients from VL endemic areas but who do not give a history of treated VL. Those patients may have had subclinical *L. donovani* infection. Demonstration of the leishmania antigen/DNA is



FIGURE 14: PCR of patient no. 4 (Omeima) showing *L. major* band with *L. major* and *L. donovani* controls.

mandatory in sporadic cases before initiating antimonial or liposomal treatment.

#### **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no financial or other conflict of interests.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their thanks and gratitude to the staff of the Tropical Diseases Hospital, Omdurman, for their considerable help. The team received financial support from the Institute of Endemic Diseases, University of Khartoum, Sudan.

#### References

- E. E. Zijlstra, A. M. El-Hassan, and A. Ismael, "Endemic kalaazar in Eastern Sudan: post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis," *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 299–305, 1995.
- [2] A. M. El-Hassan, H. W. Ghalib, E. Zylstra, I. A. Eltoum, M. S. Ali, and H. M. A. Ahmed, "Post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis in the absence of active visceral leishmaniasis," *The Lancet*, vol. 336, no. 8717, p. 750, 1990.
- [3] A. M. El Hassan, H. W. Ghalib, E. E. Zijlstra et al., "Post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis in the Sudan: clinical features, pathology and treatment," *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 245–248, 1992.
- [4] C. P. Thakur and K. Kumar, "Post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis: a neglected aspect of kala-azar control programmes," *Annals* of *Tropical Medicine and Parasitology*, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 355–359, 1992.
- [5] A. Ismail, E. A. G. Khalil, A. M. Musa et al., "The pathogenesis of post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis from the field to the molecule: does ultraviolet light (UVB) radiation play a role?" *Medical Hypotheses*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 993–999, 2006.
- [6] A. M. Musa, E. A. G. Khalil, M. A. Raheem et al., "The natural history of Sudanese post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis: clinical, immunological and prognostic features," *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology*, vol. 96, no. 8, pp. 765–772, 2002.

- [7] E. E. Zijlstra and A. M. El-Hassan, "Leishmaniasis in Sudan. Post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis," *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 95, supplement 1, pp. S59–S76, 2001.
- [8] O. F. Osman, L. Oskam, C. M. Kroon et al., "Use of the polymerase chain reaction to assess the success of visceral leishmaniasis treatment," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 36, pp. 1621–1624, 1998.
- [9] A. Ismail, A. M. EL Hassan, K. Kemp et al., "Immunopathology of post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL): T-cell phenotypes and cytokine profile," *The Journal of Pathology*, vol. 189, no. 4, pp. 615–622, 2000.
- [10] S. Saha, S. Mondal, R. Ravindran et al., "IL-10- and TGF-βmediated susceptibility in kala-azar and post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis: the significance of amphotericin B in the control of *Leishmania donovani* infection in India," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 179, no. 8, pp. 5592–5603, 2007.
- [11] M. G. Mendonça, A. E. F. de Brito, E. H. G. Rodrigues, V. Bandeira, M. L. Jardim, and F. G. C. Abath, "Persistence of *Leishmania* parasites in scars after clinical cure of american cutaneous leishmaniasis: is there a sterile cure?" *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 189, no. 6, pp. 1018–1023, 2004.
- [12] Y. Belkaid, C. A. Piccirillo, S. Mendez, E. M. Shevach, and D. L. Sacks, "CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells control *Leishmania major* persistence and immunity," *Nature*, vol. 420, no. 6915, pp. 502–507, 2002.
- [13] Y. Belkaid, "Role of regulatory T cells in *Leishmania major* infection," Grant 1R01AI057992-01, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases IRG: TMPExcellgen, http://Excellgen.com.
- [14] A. M. Elhassan, E. A. G. Khalil, E. A. Elsheikh, E. E. Zijlstra, A. Osman, and M. E. Ibrahim, "Post kala-azar ocular leishmaniasis," *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 92, pp. 177–179, 1998.
- [15] A. M. Ceyhan, M. Yildirim, P. Y. Basak, and V. B. Akkaya, "Unusual multifocal cutaneous leishmaniasis in a diabetic patient," *European Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 514– 515, 2009.