RESEARCH PAPER

Taylor & Francis

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

A pan-cancer analysis revealed the role of the SLC16 family in cancer

Jun Li^{a,#}, Jiaheng Xie ^{b,#}, Dan Wu^{c,#}, Liang Chen^{d,#}, Zetian Gong^b, Rui Wu^e, Yiming Hu^f, Jiangning Zhao^g, and Yetao Xu^h

^aDepartment of Thoracic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital to Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; ^bDepartment of Burn and Plastic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; ^cDepartment of Rheumatology and Immunology, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, the Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; ^dDepartment of General Surgery, Fuyang Hospital Affiliated to Anhui Medical University, Fuyang, Anhui, China; ^eDepartment of Digestive Endoscopy, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; ^eDepartment of Digestive Endoscopy, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; ^fCollege of Pharmacy, Jiangsu Ocean University, Lianyungang, Jiangsu, China; ^gDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; ^hDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

ABSTRACT

Cancer is one of the serious diseases that endanger human health and bring a heavy burden to world economic development. Although the current targeted therapy and immunotherapy have achieved initial results, the emergence of drug resistance shows that the existing research is far from enough. In recent years, the tumor microenvironment has been found to be an important condition for tumor development and has profound research value. The SLC16 family is a group of monocarboxylic acid transporters involved in cancer metabolism and the formation of the tumor microenvironment. However, there have been no generalized cancer studies in the SLC16 family. In this study, we conducted a pan-cancer analysis of the SLC16 family. The results showed that multiple members of the SLC16 family could be used as prognostic indicators for many tumors, and were associated with immune invasion and tumor stem cells. Therefore, the SLC16 family has extensive exploration value in the future.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 23 July 2021 Revised 2 August 2021 Accepted 2 August 2021

KEYWORDS

SLC; transporter; cancer; immunology; bioinformatics

Cancer is still one of the serious diseases endangering human health and has brought a heavy burden to world economic development [1]. At present, the incidence of cancer is still high because of the increase in population, the acceleration of aging, and the bad lifestyle [2]. Early detection, early diagnosis, and early treatment have become the goals of current cancer management [3]. Therefore, in the era of precision medicine, finding effective biomarkers of cancer and exploring their role can promote the development of cancer treatment.

Metabolic change is one of the main hallmarks of cancer [4]. Otto Warburg was a pioneer in the study of cancer metabolism [5]. In the 1920s, Otto Warburg found that tumor cells consumed ten times as much sugar as would be expected for aerobic respiration, which led him to wonder if there was another way of metabolizing sugar in tumors [6]. He came up with the classic antithesis of the Pasteur effect, the "Warburg effect", in which cancer cells still prefer glycolysis in aerobic conditions, which has greatly improved cancer biology [7]. However, due to the complicated mechanism of tumorigenesis, only the study of aerobic glycolysis alone is not enough. We also need to explore the crosstalk of aerobic glycolysis and other pathways [8]. At present, many products of aerobic glycolysis, such as lactic acid, have been proposed by many scholars to play an important role in the cancer microenvironment and may be the key molecules of aerobic glycolysis and other signaling pathways for crosstalk [8]. These products are mainly transported across the membrane by solute carrier transporters [9]. Therefore, the solute carrier family may be a participant in

CONTACT Yetao Xu 🐼 xyt110739@163.com 🗈 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210029, China; Jiangning Zhao 🐼 286982523@qq.com 🗈 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Affiliated Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital of Qingdao University, Yantai, Shandong 264000, China

#These authors are the joint first authors.

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here

^{© 2021} The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

tumorigenesis and a potential target for future tumor therapy.

The SLC16 family consists of 14 members, namely SLC16A1-A14 [10]. Research on the SLC16 family began in the 1970s when researchers observed that the transport of L-lactic acid was specifically inhibited by α -Cyano-4-Hydroxy-Cinnamate (CHC) [11]. This suggests that there is a specific lactate transporter in the cell. Since then, other homologs have gradually been discovered, making up the existing SLC16 family [12]. Due to the metabolic reprogramming properties of cancer, this group of monocarboxylic acid transporters (MCTs) plays an important role in cancer metabolism [13].

The nomenclature of solute transporters is different from that of monocarboxylic acid transporters. The former is named according to the chronological sequence of gene sequencing, while the latter is named according to transporter function [11]. MCT1-4 are the most intensively studied transporters, which are closely related to the transport of glycolysis products (lactic acid, pyruvate, ketone bodies) [12]. Although MCT 5–14 have not been systematically studied, some new developments in recent years have revealed their significance in human physiology and pathophysiology. Structurally, there is a high degree of homology among MCTs [9]. All MCTs contain 12 transmembrane domains and intracellular N-terminal and C-terminal. However, despite the highly conserved structure of MCTs, genetic differences and amino acid variability give them some specificity [9]. This is the basis of their specificity in transport function. Moreover, the expression of MCTs is also specific to tissues and cells [13].

It is because of the important role of MCTs in cell homeostasis regulation that they have extensive exploration value in human physiology and pathophysiology. MCT1-4(SLC16A1, SLC16A7, SLC16A8, SLC16A3) is mainly involved in the transport of monocarboxylic acids such as lactic acid and is involved in the maintenance of cell pH thus maintaining value. homeostasis [14].Abnormal expression of MCT1-4 can cause a series of functional disorders. MCT1, MCT2, and MCT4 are expressed in a variety of tissues such as liver, kidney, and skin, especially MCT1 is the most widely expressed [15]. However, MCT3

was mainly expressed in retinal cells [16]. In cancer cells, their expression is thus up-regulated due to high levels of glycolysis [17]. Cancer drugs targeting MCT1-4 are also being developed, such as AZD3965 for lymphoma [18]. In addition, their mutations have been linked to benign diseases, such as the association between MCT1 and hyperinsulinemia and obesity [19,20]. MCT5(SLC16A4) is mainly expressed in the liver, kidney [12]. MCT5 is also expressed in the basolateral membrane of the colon and may be involved in nutrient absorption [21]. Lin et al. found that MCT5 expression was up-regulated in colon cancer, revealing its potential significance in colon cancer [22]. MCT6(SLC16A5) is found expressed in the small intestine [23]. Recent studies have found that MCT6 may act as a drug transporter in humans, mediating the absorption of a variety of drugs such as bumetanide in the small intestine [23]. Some scholars have also found that MCT6 is also associated with ototoxicity caused by cisplatin chemotherapy [12]. MCT7(SLC16A6) is mainly expressed in the liver and kidney and is involved in the transport of ketone bodies. Its mutation may lead to metabolic disorders of ketone bodies and triglycerides [24]. Recent research has found that MCT7 may be linked to human height [25]. MCT8(SLC16A2) is expressed in a variety of tissues but is most significant in the thyroid [26]. Mutations in MCT8, which mediates thyroxine transport, lead to a rare disease called Allen-Herden-Dudley syndrome [26]. This syndrome is caused by mutations in MCT8 that lead to impaired transmembrane transport of thyroid hormone across the brain barrier. MCT10 is a transporter similar in function and structure to MCT8, which mainly mediates the transport of aromatic amino acids and is highly expressed in the liver and kidney [27]. Mutations in MCT10 may be associated with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis [28]. MCTs11-13 was highly expressed in the liver and kidney [29]. Existing studies have confirmed that MCT11(SLC16A11) and MCT13 (SLC16A13) are related to type 2 diabetes, and MCT12(SLC16A12) is involved in the development of juvenile cataracts [30,31]. There are few related studies on MCT14(SLC16A14), and it is found to be expressed in the peripheral nervous system, which may be related to ethanol transport [32]. Some other studies have found that MCT14 is expressed in renal tubules and mediates phosphate transport, but specific studies are still limited [33]. At present, there are few studies on MCT9 (SLC16A9).

However, there has been no pan-cancer analysis of the SLC16 family. In our study, we performed a pan-cancer analysis of the SLC16 family in a variety of cancers, including expression analysis, survival analysis, immune correlation analysis, etc. Our results can provide references for further research on the SLC16 family in the future.

Methods

Data download

From the Xena Browser website(https://xenabrow ser.net/datapages/), we downloaded data needed for analysis, including RNA-Seq, clinical data (Phenotype and survival data), Immune subtypes, DNA methylation induced tumor stem cell properties (DNAss), and mRNAs induced tumor stem cell properties (RNAss) data. The data of 33 cancer types were obtained, including Acute Myeloid Leukemia(LAML, 151 samples), Adrenocortical carcinoma(ACC, 79 samples), Cholangio carcinoma(CHOL, 45 samples), Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma(BLCA, 430 samples), Breast invasive carcinoma(BRCA, 1217 samples), Cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma(CESC, 309 samples), Colon adenocarcinoma(COAD, 512 samples), Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma(UCEC, 583 samples), Esophageal carcinoma(ESCA, 173 samples), Glioblastoma multiforme(GBM, 73 samples), Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma(HNSC, 546 samples), Kidney Chromophobe(KICH, 89 samples), Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC, 607 samples), Kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma(KIRP, 321 samples), Lymphoid Neoplasm Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma(DLBC, 48 samples), Liver hepatocellular carcinoma(LIHC, 424 samples), Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 529 samples), Lung adenocarcinoma(LUAD, 585 samples), Lung squamous cell carcinoma(LUSC, 550 samples), Skin Cutaneous Melanoma(SKCM, 472 samples), Mesothelioma(MESO, 86 samples), Uveal Melanoma(UVM, 80 samples), Ovarian

serous cystadenocarcinoma(OV, 379 samples), Pancreatic adenocarcinoma(PAAD, 182 samples), Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma(PCPG, 186 samples), Prostate adenocarcinoma(PAD, 551 samples), Rectum adenocarcinoma(READ, 177 samples), Sarcoma(SARC, 265 samples), Stomach adenocarcinoma(STAD, 407 samples), Testicular Tumors(TGCT, Germ Cell 156 samples), Thymoma(THYM, 121 samples), Thyroid carcinoma(THCA, 568 samples), Uterine Carcinosarcoma(USC, 56 samples).

Expression analysis

First, we analyzed the expression dispersion of the SLC16 family in pan-cancer. The box plot of the SLC16 family expression can reflect the difference of gene expression dispersion. Then, Spearmancorrelation analysis was used to calculate the expression correlation between SLC16 family members, and Cytoscape was used for visualization. Next, we used the "PHEATMAP" function to construct a heat map of SLC family expression in a variety of cancers. Finally, tumors with normal controls greater than 5 were screened from the database, and the paired t-test was used to study the expression profile of SLC16A1-A14 in these cancers.

Survival analysis

To investigate the prognostic value of the SLC16 family in pan-cancer, we extracted patient data with detailed follow-up and survival information. Then, we used the "ggplot2" package to plot the KM survival curves of the SLC16 family in multiple cancers, where P < 0.05 was shown.

Then, univariate Cox regression analysis was further used to identify the prognostic value of each member of the SLC16 family in pan-cancer.

Tumor microenvironment analysis

UCSC classifies cancer into six immune subtypes (C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, and C6). C1 is the wound healing type, C2 is the dominant type of IFN- γ , C3 is the inflammatory type, C4 is the lymphocyte depleted type, C5 is the immunologically quiet type, and C6 is the TGF- β dominant type.

Different immune subtypes have different immune responses, so it is necessary to explore the expression of the SLC16 family in different immune subtypes. Different immune subtypes have different immune responses, so it is necessary to explore the expression of the SLC16 family in different immune subtypes. We use TISIDB (http://cis.hku. hk/TISIDB/index.php) to analyze SLC16A1-A14 expression in the different immune subtypes. Then, the levels of stromal cells and immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment were analyzed by the R software, according to the immune score and stromal score of the transcriptome expression matrix. Finally, the Spearmancorrelation analysis was used to further analyze the correlation between mRNA expression of the SLC16 family and tumor stem cell properties.

Results

Box Plot revealed the central trend of the SLC16 family in the dataset

The box plot shows the fluctuations in the SLC16 family expression in the data (Figure 1a). The height of the plot represents the discreteness between a set of data. Our study found that the SLC16 family expression has a low dispersion in the data set, which is of great research value.

The heat map showed the differential expression of the SLC16 family in tumors and normal tissues

From the heat map, we can see the expression of the SLC16 family in pan-cancer compared with normal tissues (Figure 1b). Red represents upregulated expression, blue represents downregulated expression, and color shades represent a significant degree of difference.

Analysis of correlations between members of the SLC16 family in multiple cancers

Through the Spearman correlation test, we can calculate the correlation between each member of the SLC16 family and visualize it through R software. The correlation graph shows the correlation values among the members (Figure 1c). This is meaningful for us to understand the SLC16 family's mode of action.

Expression of SLC16 family across cancers

To further investigate the significance of the SLC16 family in various tumors, we analyzed the expression of the SLC16 family in different tumors (Figure 2). We found that the SLC16 family was differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, such as BLCA, CHOL, LUAD, etc, suggesting that they may play an important role in cancer and may be genes that promote cancer.

Survival analysis

We found SLC16 family is associated with the prognosis of various types of cancer (Figure 3, Figure 4, Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 2). Survival diagrams with P < 0.001 were shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4. Other survival analysis diagrams with p < 0.05 were included in the Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 2. As is shown above, tumors of prognostic value to members of the SLC16 family and their survival curves are listed. We can see that the SLC16 family is a potent prognostic marker for a variety of tumors. Considering that the survival analysis was influenced by multiple variables of the patient, univariate Cox regression was performed to reduce the influence of other variables. The results also showed that the SLC16 family is a prognostic marker for a variety of cancers (Figure 5).

Tumor microenvironment analysis

We found that the expressions of the SLC16 family were different in different immune subtypes (P < 0.05, Figure 6a), suggesting that the SLC16 family may be related to tumor immunity. We also found a correlation between the SLC16 family and immune score (Figure 6b). Most of the SLC16 proteins showed a negative correlation trend with the immune score in cancer, while SLC3, 4, and 5 showed a positive correlation trend with the immune score in cancer. We also found that the SLC16 family was most positively correlated with stromal scores in cancer (Figure 6c). In addition, SLC is associated with mRNAs induced tumor stem cell properties (RNAss) and DNA



Figure 1. Expression levels of SLC16 family in cancerous and adjacent normal tissues. (a)The box plot showed the fluctuation of SLC16 family expression in cancer: Overall, the expression of SLC16A1 was the highest, and the expression of SLC16A12 was the lowest. The SLC16 family expression has a low dispersion in the data set, which is of great research value. (b)The heat map of the expression of the SLC16 family in each cancer compared to normal tissue: red represents upregulated expression, blue represents downregulated expression, and the shade of the color represents the degree of difference. Acute Myeloid Leukemia(LAML), Adrenocortical carcinoma(ACC), Cholangio carcinoma(CHOL), Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma(BLCA), Breast invasive carcinoma(BRCA), Cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma(CESC), Colon adenocarcinoma(COAD), Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma(UCEC), Esophageal carcinoma(ESCA), Glioblastoma multiforme(GBM), Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma(HNSC), Kidney Chromophobe(KICH), Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma(KIRC), Kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma(KIRP), Lymphoid Neoplasm Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma(DLBC), Liver hepatocellular carcinoma(LIHC), Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG), Lung adenocarcinoma(LUAD), Lung squamous cell carcinoma(LUSC), Skin Cutaneous Melanoma(SKCM), Mesothelioma(MESO), Uveal Melanoma(UVM), Ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma(OV), Pancreatic adenocarcinoma(PAAD), Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma(PCPG), Prostate adenocarcinoma(PAD), Rectum adenocarcinoma(READ), Sarcoma(SARC), Stomach adenocarcinoma-(STAD), Testicular Germ Cell Tumors(TGCT), Thymoma(THYM), Thyroid carcinoma(THCA), Uterine Carcinosarcoma(USC). (c) Correlation plot based on Spearman Correlation test results to show the correlation of gene expression among the SLC16 family members across all 33 cancer types: Among them, SLC16A4 and SLC16A12 have the strongest correlation. The correlation value was 0.54.

methylation induced tumor stem cell properties (DNAss) (Figure 6d,6e).

Discussion

In 1889, Stephen Paget proposed the "seed-soil" theory of cancer, which means that cancer "seeds"

can only occur in a specific "soil": tumor microenvironment [34]. So far, the interaction between "seed" and "soil" has occurred in tumors through multiple processes, such as initiation, progression, invasion, and metastasis [34]. Over the past 50 years, somatic mutation theory has guided the development of cancer mechanisms and related



Figure 2. SLC16 family gene expression levels in different cancer types and normal tissue. The red rectangle box represents gene expression levels in tumor tissue and the blue rectangle box represents normal tissue. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, and *** P < 0.001. (a) SLC16A1 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BRCA, CHOL, COAD, ESCA, GBM, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, LIHC, LUSC, PRAD, STAD, THCA, UCEC. (b)SLC16A2 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, BRCA, CHOL, COAD, GBM

, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LIHC, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, READ, THCA, and UCEC. (c)SLC16A3 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, BRCA, CHOL, ESCA, GBM, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LIHC, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, STAD, THCA, and UCEC. (d)SLC16A4 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, BRCA, COAD, GBM, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LIHC, LUSC, PRAD, THCA, and UCEC. (e)SLC16A5 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including CHOL, COAD, GBM, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LIHC, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, THCA. (f)SLC16A6 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BRCA, CHOL, COAD, ESCA, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LUAD, LUSC, and STAD.(g)SLC16A7 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, BRCA, CHOL, COAD, ESCA, GBM, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, READ, STAD, THCA, and UCEC. (h)SLC16A8 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, CHOL, COAD, GBM, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LIHC, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, READ, STAD, THCA, and UCEC. (i)SLC16A9 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, BRCA, COAD, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LIHC, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, READ, STAD, THCA, and UCEC. (j)SLC16A10 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, CHOL, COAD, GBM, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LIHC, LUAD, LUSC, THCA, and UCEC. (k)SLC16A11 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BRCA, CHOL, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LIHC, LUAD, LUSC, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, STAD, THCA, UCEC. (I)SLC16A12 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, BRCA, COAD, RSCA, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, KIRP, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, READ, STAD, and THCA. (m)SLC16A13 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, BRCA, CHOL, COAD, ESCA, GBM, KICH, KIRP, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, READ, STAD, and THCA. (n)SLC16A14 is differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, including BLCA, COAD, GBM, HNSC, KICH, KIRP, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, READ, and UCEC.

treatments [35]. At present, relevant studies and treatment programs are mainly based on the characteristics of tumor epithelial cells [36]. The treatments based on mutations in tumor cells are widely available. However, in a significant number of patients with these regimens, resistance develops and tumors relapse [36]. In this setting, cancer treatment hits a bottleneck.

In recent years, the role of the tumor microenvironment and related metabolism has become increasingly evident [37]. In addition to tumor cells, there are blood vessels, extracellular matrix, nonmalignant cells, and signaling molecules in the tumor microenvironment [38]. They form a complex regulatory network that promotes tumor growth [39]. Therapies targeting the tumor microenvironment are promising [39]. In general, the tumor microenvironment is anoxic and acidic, which is related to the metabolic characteristics of the tumor [40]. The Warburg effect (aerobic glycolysis) of tumors is an important feature of tumor metabolism [41]. The metabolites generated by the Warburg effect activate multiple signals through complex mechanisms to promote the occurrence and development of tumors [41]. The SLC16 family mediates the transport of various metabolites in tumors, so they may play an important role in the development of cancer, and treatment based on the SLC16 family is worth exploring.

Many members of the SLC16 family have been described concerning cancer. Watson et al. found that SLC16A1 (MCT1) mediated lactate transport in the tumor microenvironment is associated with immune tolerance in melanoma [42]. Xie et al. further studied the role of SLC16A1 in melanoma and found that the expression of SLC16A1 was upregulated in metastatic melanoma and was associated with poor prognosis and immune cell infiltration [43]. Sohrabi et al. found that SLC16A2 and SLC16A9 play an important role in breast cancer and are associated with poor prognosis of breast cancer [44]. SLC16A3 is a protein highly associated with hypoxia [45]. Studies have shown that hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) can enhance the transcription of SLC16A3, thereby enhancing the proliferation of colon cancer [45]. A multicenter study found that SLC16A5 gene mutation was associated with adverse reactions to platinum-based drugs in testicular cancer patients, which provided a reference for the mechanism of adverse reactions in testicular cancer [46]. Wei et al. found that upregulation of SLC16A6 affects patient outcomes through ketone body transporter pathways [47]. Studies of SLC16A7 have focused on prostate cancer and are associated with the malignant transformation of prostate cancer cells [48]. Moreover, studies have shown that SLC16A7 is associated with the stem cell characteristics of tumors [49]. Mei et al. found that the expression of SLC16A12 was decreased in renal clear cell carcinoma and was associated with poor prognosis, which has a good prognostic guiding significance [50]. However, studies on SLC16A4, SLC16A10, SLC16A11, SLC16A13, and SLC16A14 in cancer are still lacking.



Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival curves comparison of high and low expression of SLC16 family members in pan-cancer. Only survival diagrams with P < 0.001 were shown. Other survival analysis diagrams with p < 0.05 were included in the supplementary document. (a)SLC16A1 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples). (b)SLC16A1 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Thymoma (THYM, 118 samples). (d)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples). (e)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples). (e)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples). (e)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples). (e)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples). (e)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples). (e)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples). (e)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGA, 524 samples). (e)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Liver hepatocellular carcinoma(LIHC, 368 samples). (f)SLC16A3 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Lung adenocarcinoma(LUAD, 513 samples). (g)SLC16A4 is a good prognostic biomarker in Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma(KIRC, 531 samples). (h)SLC16A4 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples).



Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier survival curves comparison of high and low expression of SLC16 family members in pan-cancer. Only survival diagrams with P < 0.001 were shown. Other survival analysis diagrams with p < 0.05 were included in the supplementary document. (a)SLC16A6 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Uveal Melanoma(UVM, 80 samples). (b)SLC16A8 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Uveal Melanoma(UVM, 80 samples). (c)SLC16A9 is a good prognostic biomarker in Brain Lower Grade Glioma(LGG, 524 samples). (d) SLC16A9 is a good prognostic biomarker in Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma(KIRC, 531 samples). (e)SLC16A13 is a good prognostic biomarker in Adrenocortical carcinoma(ACC, 79 samples). (f) SLC16A12 is a poor prognostic biomarker in Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma(KIRC, 531 samples).

In this study, first, we found that SLC16 family expression was not highly dispersed in pan-cancer, and there was a significant correlation between multiple members. Then, we analyzed the expression of SLC16A1-14 in pan-cancer and found that they were differentially expressed in a variety of cancers, such as liver cancer and cholangiocarcinoma. Next, we performed a survival analysis of SLC16A1-14 in pan-cancer (> 5 normal controls) and found that the SLC16 family can guide the



Figure 5. Considering that the survival analysis was influenced by multiple variables of the patient, univariate Cox regression was performed to reduce the influence of other variables. Association of SLC16 family expression with patient overall survival for different cancer types. The forest plots with the hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for overall survival for different cancer types to show survival advantage and disadvantage with increased gene expression of the SLC16 family. Univariate Cox proportional hazard regression models were used for the association tests. Hazard ratio <1 represents low risk and hazard ratio >1 represents high risk.

prognosis of many tumors. Finally, we explored the role of the SLC16 family in the tumor microenvironment and found potential relationships between the SLC16 family and immune subtypes, immune score, stromal score, RNAss, and DNAss.

Many tumors with poor prognoses lack effective prognostic indicators, so finding a new biomarker has a lot of benefits for the treatment of tumors [51]. The SLC16 family has been associated with significant changes in prognosis in a variety of cancers, revealing their significance in guiding the prognosis of cancer patients. Moreover, this suggests that the SLC16 family plays an important role in cancer, which is related to their transport role in cancer metabolism. The SLC16 family's involvement in monocarboxylic acid transport is an important initiating factor in tumor microenvironment formation.

Genomic instability is common in tumors, which predisposes them to genetic mutations

[52]. Tumors often accumulate a large number of genetic mutations, providing many potential targets [53]. However, even when neoantigens were expressed in large numbers, tumor immunity was insufficient to kill tumor cells due to tumorinduced immune reediting [54]. How to activate the immune system to treat tumors is a hot topic in oncology research. The FDA has approved immune checkpoint inhibitors to treat a variety of tumors [55]. Therefore, it is of great value to study tumor immunity and identify potential targets. Cancer can be divided into different immune subtypes based on different immune characteristics, and we found that the SLC16 family is differentially expressed in many immune subtypes, which is helpful to understand its role in the immune system [56]. Moreover, we analyzed the relationship between the SLC16 family and immune score or stromal score in the tumor microenvironment. This landscape can provide



Figure 6. (a)The expressions of SLC16A1-14 were different in different immune subtypes (C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, and C6). C1 is the wound healing type, C2 is the dominant type of IFN- γ , C3 is the inflammatory type, C4 is the lymphocyte depleted type, C5 is the immunologically quiet type, and C6 is the TGF- β dominant type. This suggests that SLC16 family proteins may be related to tumor immunity. (b)Correlation matrix plots to show the association between SLC16 family expression and immune scores of 33 different cancer types based on the ESTIMATE algorithm. Spearman correlation was used for testing. The size of the dots stands for the absolute value of the correlation coefficients. The bigger the size is, the higher the correlation is (higher absolute correlation coefficient). (c)Correlation matrix plots to show the association between SLC16 family expression and stromal scores of 33 different cancer types based on the ESTIMATE algorithm. Spearman correlation was used for testing. The size of the dots stands for the absolute value of the correlation coefficients. The bigger the size is, the higher the correlation is (higher absolute correlation coefficient). (c)Correlation matrix plots to show the association between SLC16 family expression and stromal scores of 33 different cancer types based on the ESTIMATE algorithm. Spearman correlation was used for testing. The size of the dots stands for the absolute value of the correlation coefficients. The bigger the size is, the higher the correlation is (higher absolute correlation coefficient).(d, e)Association of SLC16 family expression with tumor stemness in 33 different cancer types. Correlation matrix between SLC16 family expression and mRNAs induced tumor stem cell properties (RNAss, Figure 6d) and DNA methylation induced tumor stem cell properties (DNAss, Figure 6e) respectively based on Spearman correlation tests.

a reference for us to study the role of SLC16 in the tumor microenvironment.

Currently, the tumor stem cell hypothesis has attracted more and more attention [57]. According to this theory, tumor stem cells in the tumor microenvironment are the source of tumors and an important mechanism for the formation of tumor heterogeneity [57]. Because of their potential role in tumorigenesis and development, tumor stem cell-based therapies hold great promise. We explored the SLC16 family and mRNAs induced tumor stem cell properties (RNAss) and DNA methylation induced tumor stem cell properties (DNAss). The landscape shows the role of the SLC16 family in the characterization of cancer stem cells. 538 👄 J. LI ET AL.

In summary, our study provides a comprehensive overview of SLC16 family expression, prognosis, and their role in the microenvironment. Our results have implications for the understanding and treatment of tumor mechanisms. However, our study is also limited. We lack in vitro and in vivo experiments to verify our results, which will be further improved in the future.

Conclusion

Members of the SLC16 family are potent biomarkers in cancer and can guide prognosis in many types of cancer. Moreover, members of SLC16 family are associated with immune infiltration and tumor stem cells, which provides new ideas for the exploration of future tumor therapy.

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful for data provided by databases such as TCGA, GEO, GEPIA.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This project was supported by grants (NO.82001578) from National Natural Science Foundation of China to Yetao Xu, the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2020040350) to Yetao Xu, and Postdoctoral Fund Project in Jiangsu Province (NO.2020Z087) to Yetao Xu, and China Postdoctoral Fund Project (NO.2020M671394) to Yetao Xu.

ORCID

Jiaheng Xie D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4992-498X

References

- [1] Graham TA, Sottoriva A. Measuring cancer evolution from the genome. J Pathol. 2017;241(2):183–191.
- [2] Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in Europe: estimates for 40 countries and 25 major cancers in 2018. Eur J Cancer. 2018;103:356–387.

- [3] Jemal A, MM C, DeSantis C, et al. Global patterns of cancer incidence and mortality rates and trends. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2010;19 (8):1893–1907.
- [4] Carracedo A, Cantley LC, Pandolfi PP. Cancer metabolism: fatty acid oxidation in the limelight. Nat Rev Cancer. 2013;13(4):227–232.
- [5] Bhattacharya B, Mohd Omar MF, Soong R. The Warburg effect and drug resistance. Br J Pharmacol. 2016;173(6):970–979.
- [6] Potter M, Newport E, Morten KJ. The Warburg effect: 80 years on. Biochem Soc Trans. 2016;44 (5):1499–1505.
- [7] Fu Y, Liu S, Yin S, et al. The reverse Warburg effect is likely to be an Achilles' heel of cancer that can be exploited for cancer therapy. Oncotarget. 2017
 [Published 2017 May 25];8(34):57813-57825.
- [8] Vaupel P, Multhoff G. Revisiting the Warburg effect: historical dogma versus current understanding. J Physiol. 2021;599(6):1745–1757.
- [9] Lin L, Yee SW, Kim RB, et al. SLC transporters as therapeutic targets: emerging opportunities. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2015;14(8):543-560.
- [10] Liu X. SLC Family Transporters. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2019;1141:101–202.
- [11] Halestrap AP. The SLC16 gene family structure, role and regulation in health and disease. Mol Aspects Med. 2013;34(2-3):337–349.
- [12] Felmlee MA, Jones RS, Rodriguez-Cruz V, et al. (SLC16): function, Regulation, and Role in Health and Disease. Pharmacol Rev. 2020;72(2):466–485.
- [13] Halestrap AP, The MD. SLC16 gene family-from monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs) to aromatic amino acid transporters and beyond. Pflugers Arch. 2004;447(5):619–628.
- [14] Halestrap AP. Monocarboxylic acid transport. Compr Physiol. 2013;3(4):1611–1643.
- [15] AP H, Wilson MC. The monocarboxylate transporter family-role and regulation. IUBMB Life. 2012;64 (2):109-119.
- [16] Gallagher-Colombo S, Maminishkis A, Tate S, et al. Modulation of MCT3 expression during wound healing of the retinal pigment epithelium. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010;51(10):5343–5350.
- [17] Sun S, Li H, Chen J, et al. No Longer an Inert and End-Product of Glycolysis. Physiology (Bethesda). 2017;32(6):453-463.
- [18] Wang N, Jiang X, Zhang S, et al. Structural basis of human monocarboxylate transporter 1 inhibition by anti-cancer drug candidates. Cell. 2021;184(2):370– 383.e13.
- [19] Marquard J, Welters A, Buschmann T, et al. Association of exercise-induced hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia with MCT1-expressing insulinoma. Diabetologia. 2013;56(1):31–35.
- [20] Nikooie R, Rajabi H, Gharakhanlu R, et al. Exerciseinduced changes of MCT1 in cardiac and skeletal

muscles of diabetic rats induced by high-fat diet and STZ. J Physiol Biochem. 2013;69(4):865-877.

- [21] Gill RK, Saksena S, Alrefai WA, et al. Expression and membrane localization of MCT isoforms along the length of the human intestine. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2005;289(4):C846–C852.
- [22] Lin WR, Chiang JM, Lim SN, et al. Dynamic bioenergetic alterations in colorectal adenomatous polyps and adenocarcinomas. EBioMedicine. 2019;44:334–345.
- [23] Murakami Y, Kohyama N, Kobayashi Y, et al. Functional characterization of human monocarboxylate transporter 6 (SLC16A5). Drug Metab Dispos. 2005;33(12):1845–1851.
- [24] Hugo SE, Cruz-Garcia L, Karanth S, et al. A monocarboxylate transporter required for hepatocyte secretion of ketone bodies during fasting. Genes Dev. 2012;26(3):282–293.
- [25] Karanth S, Schlegel A. The Monocarboxylate Transporter SLC16A6 Regulates Adult Length in Zebrafish and Is Associated With Height in Humans. Front Physiol. 2019;9:1936.
- [26] Grijota-Martínez C, Bárez-López S, Gómez-Andrés D, et al. MCT8 Deficiency: the Road to Therapies for a Rare Disease. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:380.
- [27] van Mullem AA, ALM VG, Visser WE, et al. Effects of thyroid hormone transporters MCT8 and MCT10 on nuclear activity of T3. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2016;437:252–260.
- [28] Uemura S, Mochizuki T, Kurosaka G, et al. Functional analysis of human aromatic amino acid transporter MCT10/TAT1 using the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr. 2017;1859(10):2076–2085.
- [29] Pérez-Escuredo J, Van Hée VF, Sboarina M, et al. Monocarboxylate transporters in the brain and in cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2016;1863 (10):2481–2497.
- [30] Rusu V, Hoch E, Mercader JM, et al. Type 2 Diabetes Variants Disrupt Function of SLC16A11 through Two Distinct Mechanisms. Cell. 2017;170 (1):199-212.e20.
- [31] Castorino JJ, Gallagher-Colombo SM, Levin AV, et al. Juvenile cataract-associated mutation of solute carrier SLC16A12 impairs trafficking of the protein to the plasma membrane. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011;52(9):6774–6784.
- [32] McClintick JN, Tischfield JA, Deng L, et al. Ethanol activates immune response in lymphoblastoid cells. Alcohol. 2019;79:81–91.
- [33] Knöpfel T, Atanassoff A, Hernando N, et al. Renal localization and regulation by dietary phosphate of the MCT14 orphan transporter. PLoS One. 2017;12 (6):e0177942.
- [34] Wu T, Dai Y. Tumor microenvironment and therapeutic response. Cancer Lett. 2017;387:61–68.

- [35] Martincorena I, Campbell PJ Somatic mutation in cancer and normal cells [published correction appears in Science. 2016 Mar 4;351(6277).
- [36] Zugazagoitia J, Guedes C, Ponce S, et al. Challenges in Cancer Treatment. Clin Ther. 2016;38(7):1551–1566.
- [37] Lee YT, Tan YJ, Oon CE. Molecular targeted therapy: treating cancer with specificity. Eur J Pharmacol. 2018;834:188–196.
- [38] Hinshaw DC, Shevde LA. The Tumor Microenvironment Innately Modulates Cancer Progression. Cancer Res. 2019;79(18):4557-4566.
- [39] Soysal SD, Tzankov A, Muenst SE. Role of the Tumor Microenvironment in Breast Cancer. Pathobiology. 2015;82(3-4):142-152.
- [40] Jarosz-Biej M, Smolarczyk R, Cichoń T, et al. Tumor Microenvironment as A "Game Changer" in Cancer Radiotherapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(13):3212.
- [41] Icard P, Shulman S, Farhat D, et al. How the Warburg effect supports aggressiveness and drug resistance of cancer cells? Drug Resist Updat. 2018;38:1–11.
- [42] Watson MJ, Vignali PDA, Mullett SJ, et al. Metabolic support of tumour-infiltrating regulatory T cells by lactic acid. Nature. 2021;591(7851):645–651.
- [43] Xie J, Zhu Z, Cao Y, et al. Solute carrier transporter superfamily member SLC16A1 is a potential prognostic biomarker and associated with immune infiltration in skin cutaneous melanoma. Channels (Austin). 2021;15 (1):483–495.
- [44] Sohrabi E, Moslemi M, Rezaie E, et al. The tissue expression of MCT3, MCT8, and MCT9 genes in women with breast cancer [published online ahead of print, 2021 Jun 7]. Genes Genomics. 2021; 10.1007/ s13258-021-01116-w.
- [45] Choi SH, Kim MY, Yoon YS, et al. Hypoxia-induced RelA/p65 derepresses SLC16A3 (MCT4) by downregulating ZBTB7A. Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech. 2019;1862(8):771–785.
- [46] Drögemöller BI, Monzon JG, Bhavsar AP, et al. Association Between SLC16A5 Genetic Variation and Cisplatin-Induced Ototoxic Effects in Adult Patients With Testicular Cancer. JAMA Oncol. 2017;3 (11):1558–1562.
- [47] Dai W, Liu H, Chen K, et al. Genetic variants in PDSS1 and SLC16A6 of the ketone body metabolic pathway predict cutaneous melanoma-specific survival. Mol Carcinog. 2020;59(6):640–650.
- [48] Pertega-Gomes N, Vizcaino JR, Felisbino S, et al. Epigenetic and oncogenic regulation of SLC16A7 (MCT2) results in protein over-expression, impacting on signalling and cellular phenotypes in prostate cancer. Oncotarget. 2015;6(25):21675–21684.
- [49] Lamb R, Harrison H, Hulit J, et al. Mitochondria as new therapeutic targets for eradicating cancer stem cells: quantitative proteomics and functional validation via MCT1/2 inhibition. Oncotarget. 2014;5 (22):11029–11037.

- [50] Mei J, Hu K, Peng X, et al. Decreased expression of SLC16A12 mRNA predicts poor prognosis of patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019;98(30):e16624.
- [51] Wu L, Qu X. Cancer biomarker detection: recent achievements and challenges. Chem Soc Rev. 2015;44 (10):2963–2997.
- [52] Andor N, Maley CC, Ji HP. Genomic Instability in Cancer: teetering on the Limit of Tolerance. Cancer Res. 2017;77(9):2179–2185.
- [53] Tubbs A, Nussenzweig A. Endogenous DNA Damage as a Source of Genomic Instability in Cancer. Cell. 2017;168(4):644–656.
- [54] Vinay DS, Ryan EP, Pawelec G, et al. Immune evasion in cancer: mechanistic basis and therapeutic strategies. Semin Cancer Biol. 2015;35(Suppl):S185– S198.
- [55] Ramos-Casals M, Brahmer JR, Callahan MK, et al. Immune-related adverse events of checkpoint inhibitors. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2020;6(1):38.
- [56] Fujii SI, Shimizu K. Immune Networks and Therapeutic Targeting of iNKT Cells in Cancer. Trends Immunol. 2019;40(11):984–997.
- [57] Najafi M, Mortezaee K, Majidpoor J. Cancer stem cell (CSC) resistance drivers. Life Sci. 2019;234:116781.