Research Article

Correlation between Chemical Composition of *Curcuma domestica* and *Curcuma xanthorrhiza* and Their Antioxidant Effect on Human Low-Density Lipoprotein Oxidation

Ibrahim Jantan,¹ Fadlina Chany Saputri,² Muhammad Naeem Qaisar,³ and Fhataheya Buang¹

¹ Drug and Herbal Research Center, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Jalan Raja Muda Abdul Aziz, 50300 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

² Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Indonesia, Kampus UI, Depok 16424, Indonesia

³ Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Sargodha, University Road, Sargodha, Lahore 40100, Pakistan

Correspondence should be addressed to Ibrahim Jantan, ibj@pharmacy.ukm.my

Received 4 August 2012; Accepted 17 October 2012

Academic Editor: Khalid Rahman

Copyright © 2012 Ibrahim Jantan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The antioxidant activity of the curcuminoids of *Curcuma domestica* L. and *C. xanthorrhiza* Roxb. and eight compounds which are prevalent constituents of their rhizome oils were investigated in an effort to correlate human low-density lipoprotein (LDL) antioxidant activity with the effect of the herbs and their components. The antioxidant activity was examined using thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARSs) assay with human LDL as the oxidation substrate. The methanol extracts and rhizome oils of *C. xanthorrhiza* and *C. domestica* showed strong inhibitory activity on copper-mediated oxidation of LDL. Curcumin, demethoxycurcumin, and bisdemethoxycurcumin, isolated from the methanol extracts of both plants, exhibited stronger activity than probucol (IC₅₀ value 0.57 μ mol/L) as reference, with IC₅₀ values ranging from 0.15 to 0.33 μ mol/L. Xanthorrhizol, the most abundant component (31.9%) of the oil of *C. xanthorrhiza*, showed relatively strong activity with an IC₅₀ value of 1.93 μ mol/L. The major components of *C. domestica*, ar-turmerone (45.8%) and zerumbone (3.5%), exhibited IC₅₀ values of 10.18 and 24.90 μ mol/L, respectively. The high levels of curcuminoids in the methanol extracts and xanthorrhizol, ar-turmerone and zerumbone in the oils, and in combination with the minor components were responsible for the high LDL antioxidant activity of the herbs.

1. Introduction

Curcuma (Zingiberaceae) is a large genus of rhizomatous herbs distributed in tropical and subtropical regions especially in India, Thailand, the Malay Archipelago, Indochina, and Northern Australia. Many species have been cultivated, and their powdered rhizomes have been widely used as flavours in native dishes and ingredients in many traditional medicines to treat various ailments [1]. The more popular and economically more important species, *C. domestica L.* and *C. xanthorrhiza* Roxb., are more widely used as condiments than for their medicinal purposes. Many phytochemical studies on the extracts and essential oils of several *Curcuma* species, especially *C. longa*, have identified curcuminoids and sesquiterpenoids as the major components [2–6], and these compounds have been identified as the major groups of antioxidants in the plants [7, 8].

The antioxidant activity of *Curcuma* species, especially *C.* longa, has been measured by various chemical methods such as DPPH radical scavenging activity assay, superoxide anion radical scavenging activity assay, ferric reducing/antioxidant power (FRAP) assay, and metal chelating activity assay [8, 9]. Inhibitory activity of curcumin from *C.* longa and its analogues against free radical initiated peroxidation of human low-density lipoprotein (LDL) [10] and lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation in rat liver mitochondria have been reported [11]. The need to use different methods of antioxidant capacity measurement is due to the various mechanisms of antioxidant action. Determination of the antioxidant activity of plant extracts and compounds often



FIGURE 1: Structures of major compounds from Curcuma domestica and Curcuma xanthorrhiza.

gave different results as the methods used are based on different reaction mechanisms [12]. Although the chemical constituents of *Curcuma* species have been reported and their antioxidant activity has been demonstrated, there has been little effort to correlate the chemical constituents and their antioxidant activity, and the actual substances contributing to the antioxidant activity have not been identified. Direct evidence of therapeutic benefits of the plants and their compounds in cardiovascular disorders remains sparse, and data on LDL oxidation have been few.

In a search for sources of natural cardiovascular protective agents for pharmaceutical, food, and nutraceutical applications, we investigated the antioxidant effect of the methanol extracts and essential oils of *C. domestica* and *C. xanthorrhiza*. The antioxidant activity was determined against copper-mediated isolated human LDL oxidation. Three major curcuminoids, that is, curcumin, demethoxycurcumin, and bisdemethoxycurcumin, were isolated from the methanol extract of the rhizomes of both herbs. The chemical composition of the oils was analysed by GC and GC-MS. The antioxidant activity of the three curcuminoids and eight known constituents (xanthorrhizol, ar-turmerone, camphor, geranyl acetate, zerumbone, β -curcumene, zingiberene, and ar-curcumene) of the essential oils of the *Curcuma* species were also investigated in an effort to correlate the effectiveness of the herbs with those of their components. The structures of the major compounds are shown in Figure 1.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents. The chemicals used in this study were of analytical grade that include methanol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and sodium citrate (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Camphor, geranyl acetate, zerumbone, β -curcumene, zingiberene, ar-curcumene OptiPrep,

Sudan Black B, phosphate buffer saline (PBS) tablet, probucol, and Protein Kit were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Subcell electrophoresis and agarose gel were obtained from Bio-Rad, USA. TBARS kit was purchased from ZeptoMetrix Corporation, New York. Melting points were determined using Electrothermal Melting Point Apparatus Model 9100. Ultraviolet (UV) spectra were obtained on Shimadzu UV-1601 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan). Molecular weights of the compounds were recorded by ESIMS using ESI-TOFF MS (Bruker MicroToF-Q 86, Switzerland). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer GX spectrophotometer (Massachusetts, USA). The ¹H and ¹³C spectra were carried out on a JOEL NMR 400 MHz (JOEL Ltd., Japan) with TMS as internal standard.

2.2. Plant Materials and Sample Preparation. The rhizomes of Curcuma domestica and C. xanthorrhiza were collected from Kuala Selangor in peninsular Malaysia in the months of March and July 2008. The voucher specimens of C. domestica (B-29789) and C. xanthorrhiza (B-29783) were identified by Dr. Abdul Latiff Mohamad of Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) and deposited at the Herbarium of UKM, Bangi, Malaysia. The fresh rhizomes of C. domestica and C. xanthorrhiza were allowed to dry under shade. Five hundred g of dried material of each plant sample were ground and macerated in methanol at the ratio of 1:10 (w/v). The extracts were filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper, and the entire extraction process was repeated twice on the residue. The filtrates were combined and the methanol was removed under reduced pressure to obtain extracts of C. domestica and C. xanthorrhiza at 35.2 and 17.0% yields, respectively (calculated based on dry weight). Each of the extracts was shaken with n-hexane to remove much of the volatile oils and fatty components, and the resultant extract was then subjected to antioxidant assay.

2.3. Isolation of Curcuminoids. The defatted rhizome extract (14g) of C. domestica was fractionated by vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) on silica gel type H (10–40 μ m, 7 \times 30 cm) and eluted with a gradient system of hexane : CHCl₃ (10:0-1:9, v/v) and CHCl₃:MeOH (10:0-0:10, v/v); repeated silica gel column (40–63 μ m, 3 × 60 cm) was eluted consecutively with toluene: $CHCl_3$: MeOH (75:15:5, v/v) and a gradient system of hexane: EtOAc (10:0-1:9, v/v)followed by recrystallization from EtOAc: hexane to yield curcumin (500 mg, 3.6%), demethoxycurcumin (200 mg, 1.4%), and bisdemethoxycurcumin (300 mg, 2.1%). The procedure for isolation of the compounds from C. xanthorrhiza was similar to that performed on C. domestica. Thirteen g of the defatted methanol extract of C. xanthorrhiza resulted in the isolation of curcumin (300 mg, 2.3%), demethoxycurcumin (250 mg, 1.9%), and bisdemethoxycurcumin (100 mg, 0.8%).

2.3.1. Curcumin. Orange crystals, mp 184°C. HRESIMS: m/z: 391.0585 [M + Na]⁺ 759.1357 [2M + Na]⁺ which corresponded to C₂₁H₂₀O₆. NMR ¹H (acetone, 600 MHz): δ 7.60 (2H, d, J = 15.6 Hz, H-1, H-7), 7.32 (2H, d, J = 1.8 Hz, H-9, H-15), 7.16 (2H, dd, J = 1.8, 8.0, 1.8 Hz, H-13, H-19), 6.88 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-12, H-18), 6.70 (2H, d, J = 16.2 Hz, H-2, H-6), 5.96 (1H, s, H-4), 3.91 (6H, s, OMe); NMR ¹³C (acetone, 600 MHz): δ 55.5 (C-OMe), 100.7 (C-4), 110.8 (C-9, C-15), 115.4 (C-12, C-18), 121.5 (C-2, C-6), 122.9 (C-13, C-19), 127.3 (C-8, C-14), 140.5 (C-1, C-7), 147.9 (C-10, C-16), 149.2 (C-11, C-17), 183.6 (C-3, C-5).

2.3.2. Demethoxycurcumin. Orange crystals, mp 172°C. Its molecular formula was $C_{20}H_{18}O_5$ as indicated by HRESIMS: *m/z*: 337.0826 [M-H]⁻, 675.1758 [2M-H]⁻. NMR ¹H (acetone, 400 MHz): δ 7.62 (1H, *d*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, H-9), 7.59 (1H, *d*, *J* = 15.9 Hz, H-1), 7.58 (1H, *d*, *J* = 15.4 Hz, H-7), 7.56 (1H, *d*, *J* = 8.4 Hz, H-13), 7.34 (1H, *s*, H-15), 7.19 (1H, *d*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, H-19), 6.91 (3H, *d*, *J* = 8.4 Hz, H-10, H-12, H-18), 6.87 (1H, *d*, *J* = 16.4 Hz, H-2), 6.74 (1H, *d*, *J* = 16.1 Hz, H-6), 5.97 (1H, *s*, H-4), 3.91 (3H, *s*, OMe); NMR ¹³C (acetone, 400 MHz): δ 56.3 (C-OMe), 101.8 (C-4), 111.5 (C-15), 116.3 (C-18), 116.9 (C-10, C-12), 122.1 (C-2), 122.3 (C-6), 123.9 (C-19), 127.7 (C-8), 128.2 (C-14), 131.1 (C-9, C-13), 141.1 (C-7), 141.5 (C-1), 148.8 (C-17), 150.1 (C-16), 160.6 (C-11), 184.5 (C-5), 184.6 (C-3).

2.3.3. Bisdemethoxycurcumin. Orange crystals, mp 222°C. HRESIMS for $C_{19}H_{16}O_4$ m/z: 307.0757 [M-H]⁻. NMR ¹H (acetone, 400 MHz): δ 7.61 (4H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-9, H-13, H-15, H-19), 7.55 (2H, d, J = 15.7 Hz, H-1, H-7), 6.86 (4H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-10, H-12, H-16, H-18), 6.73 (2H, d, J = 15.8 Hz, H-2, H-6); NMR ¹³C (acetone, 400 MHz): δ 100.7 (C-4), 116.0 (C-10, C-12, C-16, C-18), 121.4 (C-2, C-6), 127.4 (C-9, C-13, C-15, C-19), 130.2 (C-8, C-14), 140.6 (C-1, C-7), 161.3 (C-11, C-17), 183.5 (C-3, C-5).

2.4. Preparation of Essential Oils. The fresh rhizomes of *C. domestica* and *C. xanthorrhiza* were washed, comminuted, and hydrodistilled in Clevenger-type apparatus for 8 h. The oily layers obtained were separated and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulphate. The percentage yields of oils based on dry weight for *C. domestica* and *C. xanthorrhiza* were 2.9 and 4.5%, respectively.

2.5. Analysis of the Essential Oils. Gas chromatography (GC) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analyses were used for the identification of the essential oil components. The components were separated using a Shimadzu GC-2010 equipped with a flame ionizing detector (FID) and a DB-5 (30 m \times 0.25 mm, 1 μ m film thickness) capillary column. One μ L of each sample, dissolved in ethyl acetate, was injected automatically in spilt mode (autoinjector Shimadzu AOC-20i), using pressure-controlled nitrogen as a carrier gas at a linear velocity of $50 \text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$. The temperature of the injector and the detector was maintained at 250°C. The oven temperature was programmed from 75°C for 10 min, then at 3°C/min to 250°C and held for 5 min. The oils were also examined using stationary phase SE-30 (30 m \times $0.25 \text{ mm}, 0.25 \mu \text{m}$ film thickness) under the following program conditions; initial temperature 60°C for 10 min, then

3°C/min to 230°C for 1 min. Peak areas and retention times were measured by computerized integration. The relative amounts of individual components were calculated based on the peak areas obtained without a flame ionization detector (FID) response factor correction. The linear retention indices of the components relative to n-alkanes were also determined. The oils were also analyzed using a Hewlett Packard GC-MSD 5890 series II; EI electron impact mode with electron energy 70 eV, scan time 1.5 s, and mass range 40–500 Da using a BPX5 (25 m \times 0.25 mm \times 0.25 μm film thickness) capillary column. Similar conditions were used as described in GC programs. Components were identified by comparing their relative retention indices with those in the literature, their mass spectral data with the existing Wiley library, and cochromatography of some components with authentic components on the DB-5 capillary column [13].

2.6. Isolation of Pure Compounds from the Essential Oils. The essential oils of *C. xanthorrhiza* and *C. domestica* were subjected to repeated column chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh) eluted with hexane-ethyl acetate (1:1, v/v), hexane-ethyl acetate (3:7, v/v), and 100% ethyl acetate. Xanthorrhizol in 18% yield and ar-turmerone in 30% yield were obtained from the essential oils of *C. xanthorrhiza* and *C. domestica*, respectively. The compounds were identified by spectroscopic techniques and by comparison with published data [14, 15].

2.7. Human LDL Isolation. The use of human whole blood in this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) (approval no. FF-120-2007). All subjects were healthy volunteers aged 24-70 yrs, normolipidemic, nonsmokers, having not taken any medications including vitamin supplements within the last 2 weeks, and fasting for the last 8 h. Venous blood was drawn from the volunteers, and 9 volumes of blood were added into 1 volume of 3.8% (w/v) sodium citrate solution as an anticoagulant. Plasma was obtained by centrifugation at 2000 g for 20 min. LDL was isolated by density gradient ultracentrifugation using a method developed by Graham et al. [16] with slight modification using OptiPrep as the density gradient medium. Briefly, 3.2 mL of plasma was mixed with 0.8 mL of OptiPrep (60% iodixanol) to give a final iodixanol concentration of 12% (v/v); 4 mL of this was layered under 4 mL of 6% iodixanol in saline in an 8.9 mL Opti Seal tube [17]. The tube was topped up with saline and ultracentrifuged at 402 000 g at 16°C for 3 h 10 min in a Ti. 70.1 rotor. The subfractions of lipoprotein were labelled as VLDL (very low-density lipoprotein), LDL, GAP (mixture of LDL and HDL), and HDL (high-density lipoprotein). The brightly coloured LDL band was located approximately one-third of the way down the tube and was isolated using a pasteur pipette. LDL was characterized by measuring the amount of protein by the Bradford protein assay using bovine serum albumin as standard [18]. LDL was diluted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)(pH 7.4) to a final concentration of 200 µg protein/mL prior to oxidation

analysis. The purity of LDL was evaluated by using a UV spectrophotometer as described by Galle and Wanner [19] and agarose gel electrophoresis as described by Noble [20]. The electrophoretic mobility of LDL was measured using agarose gels. Samples were electrophoresed at a constant of 45 mA/gel for 45 min, then oven dried at 85°C and stained with Sudan Black for 20 min.

2.8. Oxidation of LDL. LDL (200 μ g protein/mL) was oxidised by exposing it to 10 μ M CuSO₄ at 37°C for 5 h [17]. This incubation was also carried out in the presence of serial dilutions (5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.63, 0.31, and 0.16 μ g/ μ L) of each sample in DMSO. The oxidation of LDL was terminated by rapid freezing. Samples intended for TBARS (thiobarbituric acid reactive substances) analysis were kept at -20°C for a maximum of 48 h. The methanol extracts and essential oils of *C. xanthorrhiza* and *C. domestica*, isolated curcuminoids (curcumin, demethoxycurcumin, and bisdemethoxycurcumin) and the essential oil standards (xanthorrhizol, ar-turmerone, ar-curcumene, zerumbone, camphor β -curcumene, zingiberene, and geranyl acetate), and probucol (as a positive control) were added to LDL directly before incubation.

2.9. TBARS Assay. The inhibition of copper-catalysed LDL oxidation was determined using TBARS assay [21]. Five μ L of the sample was added to a cuvette containing 945 μ L of LDL and 50 µL of CuSO₄ and incubated at 37°C for 5 h. A mixture containing LDL and CuSO4 was used as control, and blank experiment consisted of LDL and 0.5% DMSO. The total volume of the mixture was 1 mL. The final concentrations of the sample in the mixture were 25.0, 12.5, 6.25, 1.13, 1.56, and 0.78 μ g/mL. Further dilutions were carried out for active samples to obtain the final concentrations of 0.39, 0.20, and $0.10 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$. Probucol was used as the positive control in the assay. The final concentration of DMSO in the reaction mixtures was less than 0.5% to eliminate the effect of the solvent on the reaction as evidenced by control experiments. After the incubation, sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) and thiobarbituric acid (TBA) were added to the mixture followed by incubation at 95°C for 1 h to increase the peroxidation. The mixture was bathed with ice for 10 min to cool down and stop the peroxidation process. The precipitate formed was removed by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 15 min. Malondialdehyde (MDA) in the supernatant was determined at 532 nm. TBARS are expressed in terms of MDA equivalents, and the results are expressed as nmoles of MDA/mg LDL protein. MDA standard was used to construct a standard curve [17].

The percentage inhibition of LDL oxidation was calculated as follows:

$$1 - \left(\frac{\text{oxidation of sample}}{\text{oxidation of control}}\right) \times 100.$$
(1)

2.10. Statistical Analysis. All the data are presented as means \pm standard error median (SEM) from triplicate experiments

and were analysed using *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences* (SPSS) software version 17.0. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for multiple comparison. The concentration of the compounds required to inhibit 50% oxidation (IC₅₀) for active extract was determined using Probit programme. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Isolation and Identification of Curcuminoids from C. domestica and C. xanthorrhiza. It was found that Curcuma domestica has higher levels of total curcuminoids (7.1%) compared to C. xanthorrhiza (5.0%). The high percentage of curcuminoids isolated from both plants indicates that they are good sources for the isolation of curcuminoids. Curcumin was found to be the major compound in both species, where its concentration was higher in C. domestica (3.6%)than in C. xanthorrhiza (2.3%). Bisdemethoxycurcumin was also present at higher concentration in C. domestica (2.1%) than in C. xanthorrhiza (0.8%), but the latter contained higher amount of demethoxycurcumin (1.9%). The purity of the isolated compounds were confirmed by melting point determination. The structures of the compounds were elucidated by spectroscopic techniques including NMR and MS spectroscopy and confirmed by comparison with the literature values [22].

3.2. Chemical Composition of the Essential Oils. The chemical composition of the essential oils of Curcuma xanthorrhiza and C. domestica which showed strong inhibitory activity on LDL peroxidation was investigated in an effort to correlate the constituents of the oils and their antioxidant activity. The list of constituents identified in the oils is shown in Table 1 in order of elution on a DB-5 type column. The chemical components of the essential oils of Curcuma xanthorrhiza and C. domestica have been previously reported by us [4]. A comparison between the oils of the present study, with those reported by us previously showed that more compounds have been identified in the present study and there were some compositional differences and considerable variation in the levels of some individual constituents. The rhizome oil of C. xanthorrhiza was characterised by the presence of a high concentration of bisabolene-type sesquiterpenes and their oxygenated derivatives which accounted for more than 92% of the oil. The most abundant component was the sesquiterpene phenol, xanthorrhizol (32%). The other major compounds present in the oil were β -curcumene (17.1%), zingiberene (13.2%), β -bisabolol (3.5%), and ar-curcumene (2.6%). The major components of the rhizome oils of C. *domestica* were ar-turmerone (45.8%), curcumenol (18.2%), and geranyl acetate (2.5%). The chemical composition of the oil was qualitatively similar to those reported by other workers, although there were some variations in the composition and levels of individual constituents of the oils, suggesting the existence of chemical varieties [2, 3, 9]. However, the variations may also be due to environmental factors such as the growth conditions and postharvest handling and processing.

3.3. LDL Antioxidant Activity. The methanol extracts and essential oils of Curcuma domestica and C. xanthorrhiza were investigated for their ability to inhibit copper-mediated oxidation on isolated human LDL. The methanol extracts of C. xanthorrhiza and C. domestica showed strong inhibition of LDL oxidation at $6.25 \,\mu \text{g/mL}$, exhibiting greater than 84% inhibition (Table 2). The essential oils of the plants showed weaker activity than their methanol extracts, exhibiting >78% inhibition at $25.0 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ (Table 3). The results demonstrated that the methanol extracts and the oils inhibited copper-mediated oxidation of LDL in a dosedependent manner; that is, as the concentration of the samples increased, the percentage inhibition of LDL peroxidation increased. The IC₅₀ values of the methanol extracts and the oils with LDL antioxidant activity are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

The antioxidant activity of the isolated curcuminoids (curcumin, demethoxycurcumin, and bisdemethoxycurcumin) and the 8 standard compounds (xanthorrhizol, arturmerone, ar-curcumene, β -curcumene, zerumbone, zingiberene, camphor, and geranyl acetate) that are the major components of the oils were also investigated. The three curcuminoids showed strong inhibition on LDL peroxidation, with curcumin and demethoxycurcumin showing comparable antioxidant activity and more potent than bisdemethoxycurcumin (Table 2). The three curcuminoids showed strong inhibition on LDL peroxidation with IC₅₀ values of 0.15, 0.16, and 0.36 µmol/L, respectively, lower than that of probucol (0.57 μ mol/L), a potent inhibitor of copper-catalysed LDL peroxidation [23]. The high antioxidant activity of the three curcuminoids was consistent with previous results obtained using three different bioassay models, that is, the linoleic acid auto-oxidation model, rabbit erythrocyte membrane ghost system, and liver microsome system [7]. The present study was in accordance with previous studies which indicated that the absence of one methoxy group (demethoxycurcumin) on the phenyl ring did not have effect, but the absence of both methoxy groups (bisdemethoxycurcumin) resulted in decreased antioxidant activity in curcuminoids. The phenolic hydroxyl and the methoxyl groups on the phenyl ring and the 1,3-diketone system are important structural features for antioxidant activity [6].

Xanthorrhizol was found to be the most active compound in the oil of C. xanthorrhiza, with IC₅₀ value of $1.93 \,\mu$ mol/L (Table 3). Ar-turmerone and zerumbone were the compounds in the oil of C. domestica that exhibited strong inhibition of LDL peroxidation, with IC₅₀ values of 10.18 and 24.90 µmol/L, respectively. The other compounds, ar-curcumene, camphor, and geranyl acetate, showed relatively weak activity. The inhibition of LDL peroxidation by xanthorrhizol, ar-turmerone, and zerumbone was dose dependant. Structure-activity analysis indicated that nonoxygenated bisabolene-type sesquiterpenes exhibited weak antioxidant activity. The strong antioxidant effect of xanthorrhizol is most likely due to the presence of a phenolic hydroxyl group on the bisabolene skeleton. The antioxidant effect appears to be due to the ability of the compounds to chelate Cu²⁺ ion and thus may inhibit the

Congound C. domestica C. samtherrhiza R1 Method of dentification a-Thipine - 17 931 a, b. a-Pinene - 0.3 940 a, b. c. Gamphene - 0.7 956 a, b. c. Ghrenne - 0.1 976 a, b. c. Ghrenne - 0.1 976 a, b. c. a-Thriptinene 0.2 0.1 1016 a, b. c. a-Thriptinene 0.2 0.1 1037 a, b. c. p-Cymene 0.2 - 1029 a, b. c. a-Torpionene 0.1 1037 a, b. c. p-Cymene 0.2 - 1093 a. C.B-delydro- β -terpineol - 0.1 1037 a, b. c. Cis-delydro- β -terpineol - 0.3 1160 a, b. c. Cis-delydro- β -terpineol - 0.1 1377 a, b. c. Cis-delydro- β -terpineol - 0.1 1371 <th></th> <th>Per</th> <th>centage</th> <th></th> <th></th>		Per	centage		
a Thajane tr 931 a, b a: Pinene 0.3 940 a, b, c Comphene 0.1 976 a, b, c β Pinene 0.1 976 a, b, c a: Depletance 0.1 991 a, b, c a: Apheliandrene 1.1 tr 1009 a, b, c a: Terpinene 0.2 1029 a, b, c p-Cymene 0.2 1029 a, b, c 2() β -Coinene 0.1 1037 a, b, c 2() β -Coinene tr 1033 a, b, c Terpinolane 0.3 1090 a, b, c Camphor 0.3 1160 a, b, c Camphor 0.3 1160 a, b, c Camphor 0.1 1351 a Casdaydaro-f-terpinol 0.1 1351 a Ca	Compound	C. domestica	C. xanthorrhiza	RI	Method of identification
a-Pinene0.3940a, b, cCamphene0.1976a, b, cβ-Pinene0.1976a, b, cGR-Finane0.1986aa-Phellandrene1.1tr1009a, b, ca-Finenee0.20.11016a, b, ca-Finenee0.21029a, b, c1.8-Cinoole0.30.11034a, b, c2.9-Orimene0.11037a, b, c2.9-Forimene1000a, b, c6.7-Epoxymyrcenetr1063a, b, cGradhor0.31160a, b, c6.7-Epoxymyrcenetr1093aCarshydro-f-terpinol0.31167a, b, cEthyl-16-otenoate0.11371a, b, cEthyl-16-otenoate0.11381a, bCa-dbydro-f-terpinol0.11381a, bCa-dbydro-f-terpinol0.11381a, bCa-dbydro-f-terpinol0.11381a, bCa-dbydro-f-terpinol0.11381a, bCa-dbydro-f-terpinol0.11384aCa-dbydro1773.b, ca, bCa-dbydro1388a, b, ca, bCa-dbydro1388a, b, ca, b, cCa-dbydro0.11384a, b, c	α-Thujene	_	tr	931	a, b
Camphene—0.7956a, b, cβ-Pinene—0.1976a, b, cβ-Pinene—0.1991a, b, ca-Phellandrene1.1tr1009a, b, ca-Terpinene0.20.11016a, b, ca-Terpinene0.2—1029a, b, cJ-Cincole0.30.11034a, b, c12-Gincole—0.11037a, b, c12-Gincole—0.3—1090a, b, c7-Pirpinene—tr1093aCamphor—5.41160a, b, cGardeydro-f-terpinol—0.31160a, b, cGardeydro-f-terpinol—0.11381aa-Terpinon—0.11351a, b, cTerpinen-4-ol—0.11351aa-Cabebene—0.11351a, bCity-f-Danseconor—0.11351a, bCity-f-Danseconor—0.11389a, bGeranyl acetate2.5tr1389a, bGeranyl acetate2.5tr1389a, bGeranyl acetate0.11344aaA/Debene—0.11381a, bGeranyl acetate0.21407a, b, cGradyl acetate0.3—1443a, bG/D-Gobene—tr1389a, b, cGeranyl acetate0.3— <td>α-Pinene</td> <td>_</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>940</td> <td>a, b, c</td>	α-Pinene	_	0.3	940	a, b, c
β-Pinene—0.1976a, b, cCia-Pinane—0.1986acia-Pinane1.1tr1009a, b, ca-Phellandrene1.1tr1009a, b, ca-Phellandrene0.2—1029a, b, cJ-Gracole0.30.11037a, b, cJ-Gracole0.30.11037a, b, cJ-Gracole—0.11037a, b, cJ-Gracole—1r1003a, b, c(Z)-β-Ocimene—tr1003a, b, cCamphor—5.41156a, b, cCamphor—0.31160a, b, cCamphor—0.31167a, b, cCamphor—0.11351aCamphor—0.11351aCamphor—0.11351aDilydry β-terpinel—0.11351aDilydry circnella cetate—0.11351a, bCa-Cabebene—0.11354aDilydry circnella cetate—0.11384aβ-Cabebene—0.11384aβ-Cabebene—0.11384aβ-Cabebene—0.11384aβ-Cabebene—0.11384aβ-Cabebene—0.11489a, b, c(C)-Socgenol—0.21444a, b, c(C)-Socgenol <td>Camphene</td> <td>—</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>956</td> <td>a, b, c</td>	Camphene	—	0.7	956	a, b, c
Ga-Pinance—0.1986aMyrcene—0.1991a, b, ca-Fhellandrene1.1tr1009a, b, ca-Terpinene0.20.11016a, b, cp-Cymene0.2—102a, b, c1.8< Cincole	β-Pinene	—	0.1	976	a, b, c
Myrcene 0.1 991 a, b, c a-Phellandrene 1.1 tr 1009 a, b, c a-Flerinene 0.2 0.1 1016 a, b, c Ja-Cincole 0.3 0.1 1034 a, b, c Ja-Cincole 0.3 0.1 1037 a, b, c J2-Grinone 1090 a, b, c Terpinolene 0.3 1090 a, b, c Galdorfon-f-terpinene tr 1093 a Camphor 0.3 1160 a, b, c Terpinen-4.0 0.3 1160 a, b, c Terpinen-4.0 0.3 1167 a Calebdron-f-terpineol 0.1 1351 a.b Cly-D-Damascenone 0.1 1351 a.b Cly-D-Damascenone tr 1388 a,b,c S-Lenene 1.5 1389 a,b,c G2/D	Cis-Pinane	_	0.1	986	а
a-Phellandrene 1.1 tr 1009 a, b, c a-Terpinene 0.2 0.1 1016 a, b, c p-Cymene 0.2 1029 a, b, c 1,8. Cincole 0.3 0.1 1034 a, b, c 2/p-Ocimene 1063 a, b, c 7/Propinene 1090 a, b, c 6/p-Tenymyrcene 1093 a Camphor 0.3 1167 a, b, c Carderpineol 0.3 1167 a, b, c Terpinen4-ol 0.1 1321 a a-Carbehrenel 0.1 1321 a a-Carbehrenel 0.1 1351 a, b Diphydro cironelol acette 0.1 1351 a, b Geranyl acette 2.5 tr 1388 a, b β-Elemene 1.5 1389 a, b β-Clubebene 0.	Myrcene	_	0.1	991	a, b, c
a-Terpinene 0.2 0.1 1016 a, b, c p-Cymone 0.2 — 1029 a, b, c 1.6-Cincole 0.3 0.1 1037 a, b, c y-Terpinene — 1063 a, b, c Terpinolme 0.3 — 1090 a, b, c Gamphor — 1156 a, b, c Cadehydro-β-terpineol — 0.3 1160 a, b, c Terpinene — 0.3 1160 a, b, c Terpinen-4-0 — 0.3 1167 a, b, c Terpinen-4-0 — 0.1 1187 a Dihydro-β-terpineol — 0.1 1351 a, b C2)-Polmascenone — 0.1 1354 a C2)-Polmascenone — 0.1 1364 a A-Undecanol — tr 1382 a, b, c β-Cubehene — tr 1384 a, b β-Elenena 1.5	α-Phellandrene	1.1	tr	1009	a, b, c
p Cynene 0.2 — 1029 a, b, c 1.3-Cincole 0.3 0.1 1034 a, b, c 2/β-Ocimene — 0.1 1037 a, b, c y-Terpinone — tr 1063 a, b, c Graphorpine — tr 1090 a, b, c Graphor/crene — tr 1093 a Camphor — 5.4 1156 a, b, c a-Terpinel — 0.3 1160 a, b a-Terpinel — 0.2 1177 a, b, c Terpinen-4-ol — 0.1 1187 a Dihydro citronellol acetate — 0.1 1364 a a-Cubbene — tr 1370 a, b c β-Elemene 1.5 — 1388 a, b c β-Elemene 1.5 — 1389 a, b c (2)-sougenol — 0.1 1439 a, b, c <td>α-Terpinene</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>1016</td> <td>a, b, c</td>	α-Terpinene	0.2	0.1	1016	a, b, c
1, B. Cincole 0.3 0.1 1034 a, b, c (2), F-Ocimene - 0.1 1037 a, b, c Terpinolene - tr 1063 a, b, c Garphor - tr 1090 a, b, c Garbop - tr 1090 a, b, c Cardehydro- β -terpineol - 0.3 1160 a, b a"Terpinol-4-ol - 0.3 1160 a, b Terpinon-4-ol - 0.1 1187 a a-Cubebene - 0.1 1351 a, b c2)-Pbramascenone - 0.1 1344 a n-Undecanol - tr 1382 a, b, c β -Elemene 1.5 - 1382 a, b, c β -Elemene 1.5 - 1389 a, b dethyl perflate - 0.1 1428 a (2)-Sougenol - 0.2 1407 a, b, c (2)-Garbopene - 0.1 1428 a (2)-Garbene <t< td=""><td>p-Cymene</td><td>0.2</td><td>_</td><td>1029</td><td>a, b, c</td></t<>	p-Cymene	0.2	_	1029	a, b, c
$(Z) - \beta$ -Ocimene 0.1 1037 a, b, c γ -Terpinolene tr 1063 a, b, c 67-Epoxymyrcene tr 1093 a Camphor 5.4 1156 a, b, c G:achdydro- β -terpineol 0.3 1160 a, b $a^-Terpinolo 0.3 1167 a, b, c Terpinon-4-ol 0.2 1177 a, b, c Ethyl-4E-octenoate 0.1 1351 a, b C2)-\beta-Damasenone 0.1 1364 a a-Uabecanol tr 1382 a, b, c G^-Cabobene tr 1388 a, b Geranyl acetate 2.5 tr 1388 a, b G^-Lubobene 1888 a, b c G^-Lobotene 1394 a a (Z) - FJaransenne 0.2 1407 a, b, c a (E)-Brownellol 0.2 1407 a, b, c $	1,8-Cineole	0.3	0.1	1034	a, b, c
y-Terpinene tr 1063 a, b, c Terpinolene 0.3 1090 a, b, c 67-Epoxymyrcene tr 1093 a Camphor 5.4 1156 a, b, c Cis-delydro- β -terpineol 0.3 1167 a, b, c Terpinen-4-ol 0.2 1177 a, b, c Terpinen-4-ol 0.1 1187 a a-Terpineol 0.1 1351 a, b Cabebene 0.1 1351 a, b C2/-Damascenone 0.1 1364 a n-Undecanol tr 1388 a, b, c β -Cubebene tr 1389 a, b, c β -Elemene 1.5 1389 a, b, c β -Cubebene 0.1 1394 a (2) - β -Garnestene 0.9 0.6 14144 a, b, c <	(Z) - β -Ocimene	_	0.1	1037	a, b, c
A 1090 a, b, c 67 -Epoxymyrcene tr 1090 a, b, c 67 -Epoxymyrcene tr 1090 a, b, c $Camphor$ 5.4 1156 a, b, c $Carphorber 0.3 1160 a, b a^-Terpineol 0.3 1167 a, b, c Terpineol 0.2 1177 a, b, c Terpineol-4-ol 0.1 1321 a a^-Cubebene 0.1 1351 a, b C2/\beta-Damascenone 0.1 1364 a n-Undecanol tr 1388 a, b \beta-Cubebene tr 1388 a, b, c \beta-Cubebene tr 1388 a, b, c \beta-Cubebene 0.1 1394 a \beta-Cubebene 0.1 1388 a, b, c \beta-Cubebene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (2)-loscurg$	v-Terpinene	_	tr	1063	a, b, c
The second s	Terpinolene	0.3	_	1090	a, b, c
Image of the second	6.7-Epoxymyrcene		tr	1093	a, 0, 0
Cas-delydro- β -terpineol 0.3 1160 a, b Cas-delydro- β -terpineol 0.3 1167 a, b, c Terpineol 0.2 1177 a, b, c Terpineol 0.1 1187 a Dihydro citronellol acetate 0.1 1351 a, b α -Cubebene 0.1 1351 a, b (2)- β -Damascenone 0.1 1364 a n-Undecanol tr 1382 a, b, c β -Cubebene tr 1388 a, b β -Cubebene tr 1389 a, b α -Cis-bergamotene 0.2 1407 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b $(2)-\beta$ -Farnesce 0.1 1428 a	Camphor		5.4	1156	a b c
Carteryine product	Cis-dehydro-B-terpipeol		0.3	1160	a b
a Art prior - 0.5 110 a b, c Ethyl-4E-octenoate - 0.1 1187 a Dihydro citronellol acctate - 0.1 1351 a a Cabebene - 0.1 1351 a a Cabebene - 0.1 1364 a aUndecanol - tr 1370 a, b Geranyl acetate 2.5 tr 1382 a, b, c β-Cubebene - tr 1388 a, b β-Elemene 1.5 - 1389 a, b, c a -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b, c a -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b, c (2) -β-Farnesene - 0.1 1428 a β-Hunulene - 0.1 1428 a (B)-caryophyllene 0.3 1444 a, b, c y-elemene - 0.1 1428 a (B)-β-farnesene 0.2 1443 a, b c (B)-β-farnese	« Ternineol		0.3	1167	
Ireprine - 0 0.2 1177 a, b, c Dihydro citronellol acetate 0.1 1187 a Dihydro citronellol acetate 0.1 1351 a, b (Z) - β -Damascenone 0.1 1351 a, b Geranyl acetate 2.5 tr 1370 a, b, c β -Cubebene tr 1388 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 1389 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 1389 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b Methyl undecanoate 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z) - β -Farnesene 0.2 1443 a, b (E) -caryophyllene 0.3 1444 a, b, c γ -elemene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z) - β -Farnesene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z) - β -Farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457	Terninon 4 ol	—	0.5	1107	
Litty in-rootenoate - 0.1 1167 a a-Cubebene - 0.1 1351 a, b a-Cubebene - 0.1 1364 a n-Undecanol - tr 1370 a, b Geranyl acetate 2.5 tr 1382 a, b, c β -Cubebene - tr 1388 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 - 1389 a, b, c β -Cubebene - 0.1 1394 a (2)-lsoeugenol - 0.1 1394 a (2)-lsoeugenol - 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b, c (2)- β -Farnesene - 0.1 1439 a, b, c (2)- β -Farnesene - 0.1 1439 a, b, c (2)- β -Farnesene - 0.2 1443 a, b, c (2)- β -Farnesene - 0.4 1488 a, b (2)- β -Farnesene - 0.4 1448 a, b, c (2)- β	Ethyl 4E actor acto	—	0.2	11/7	a, b, c
Dury of chrone declare $-$ 0.1 1521 d a Cubebene $-$ 0.1 1521 d, b (Z)- β -Damascenone $-$ 0.1 1564 a n-Undecanol $-$ tr 1370 a, b Geranyl acetate 2.5 tr 1382 a, b, c β -Cubebene $-$ tr 1388 a, b β -Cubebene $-$ tr 1388 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 $-$ 1389 a, b Methyl perillate $-$ 0.1 1394 a (Z)-isoeugenol $-$ 0.2 1407 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b Methyl undecanoate $-$ 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene $-$ 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene $-$ 0.1 443 a, b (E)-caryophyllene 0.3 $$ 1444 a, b, c γ -elemene $-$ 0.4 1448 a, b (E)- β -farnesene $0.2.0$ 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 $-$ 1460 a α -Curcumene 1.2 13.2 1457 a, b, c γ -Curcumene 1.2 13.2 1493 a, b, c $(Z)-\gamma$ -Bisabolene 0.4 2.6 1516 a, b, c β -Einsiabolene 0.2 0.6 1505 a, b β -Disabolene 1.7 $-$ 1506 a, b, c $(Z)-\gamma$ -Disabolene 1.9 0.4 1538 a, b β -Disabolene 0.3 $-$ 0.4 1538 a, b β -Disabolene 0.3 $-$ 0.4 1538 a, b β -Curcumene 1.2 15.1 481 a, b, c γ -Curcumene 1.2 15.1 481 a, b β -Disabolene $-$ 0.2 1516 a, b β -Curcumene 0.8 17.1 1523 a, b, c β -Curcumene 0.3 $-$ 0.4 1538 a, b β -Disabolene $-$ 0.4 1538 a, b β -Disabolene $-$ 0.4 1549 a, b, c β -Curcumene 0.8 17.1 1523 a, b, c β -Sesuphyllene oxide 0.3 0.5 1581 a, b, c Curpophyllene oxide 0.3 0.5 1581 a, b, c Thuj opsan 2- α -ol $-$ 0.4 1587 a a β -Divence $-$ 0.4 1587 a b, b Curcumene β 1.0 $-$ 0.4 1587 a b, b	Dibydro citronellol costato		0.1	1187	a
α -Unbeche 0.1 1551 a, b (Z) - β -Damascenone 0.1 1364 a n-Undecanol tr 1370 a, b Geranyl acetate 2.5 tr 1382 a, b, c β -Cubebene tr 1388 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 1389 a Methyl perillate 0.1 1394 a (Z)-lsoeugenol 0.2 1407 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b Methyl undecanoate 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene 0.2 1443 a, b (E)- β -Farnesene 0.4 1448 a, b (E)- β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a (E)- β -farnesene 0.2 0.6 1505 a, b $(E)-farnesene 0.2 0.6 1505 a, b C$		—	0.1	1321	a
(2) - β -Damacenone 0.1 1564 a n-Undecanol tr 1370 a, b Geranyl acetate 2.5 tr 1382 a, b β -Elemene tr 1388 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 1389 a, b Methyl perillate 0.1 1394 a (2)-Isocugenol 0.1 1394 a α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b (2) - β -Farnesene 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (2) - β -Farnesene 0.2 1443 a, b (2) - β -Farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a (E) - β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a	α -Cubebene	—	0.1	1351	a, b
n-Undecanol - tr 1370 a, b Geranyl acetate 2.5 tr 1382 a, b, c β -Cubebene - tr 1388 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 - 1389 a, b Methyl perillate - 0.1 1394 a (Z)-Isoeugenol - 0.2 1407 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b Methyl undecanoate - 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene - 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene - 0.1 1428 a (E) -caryophyllene 0.3 - 1444 a, b, c γ -elemene - 0.4 1448 a, b (E) -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 - 1460 a (E) -Ethyl cinnamate - tr 1467 a, b, c β -Bisabolene 0.2 0.6 1505 a, b Zin	(Z) - β -Damascenone	—	0.1	1364	a
Geranyl acetate 2.5 tr 1382 a, b, c β -Cubebene tr 1388 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 1389 a, b Methyl perillate 0.1 1394 a (Z)-Isoeugenol 0.2 1407 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b Methyl undecanoate 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z)- β -Farnesene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z)- β -Farnesene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z)- β -Farnesene 0.2 1443 a, b (E)- β -farnesene 0.3 1444 a, b, c (Z)- β -Farnesene 0.2 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a - (E)- β -farnesene 0.2 0.6 1505 a, b C β -Dicurumene 0.4 2.6	n-Undecanol	_	tr	1370	a, b
β -Cubeene - tr 1388 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 - 1389 a, b β -Elemene 1.5 - 1389 a, b Methyl perillate - 0.1 1394 a (2) -Isocugenol - 0.2 1407 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b β -Humulene - 0.1 1428 a β -Farnesene - 0.2 1443 a, b, c γ -elemen - 0.4 1448 a, b $(E)-\beta$ -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 - 1460 a $(E)-\beta$ -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Curcumene 0.1 - 1460 a $(E)-\beta$ -farnesene 0.2.0 132.2 1493 a, b, c	Geranyl acetate	2.5	tr	1382	a, b, c
β -Elemene 1.5 — 1389 a, b Methyl perillate — 0.1 1394 a (Z)-Isoeugenol — 0.2 1407 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b Methyl undecanoate — 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene — 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z)- β -Farnesene — 0.2 1443 a, b (E)-caryophyllene 0.3 — 1444 a, b, c γ -clemene — 0.4 1448 a, b (E)- β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 — 1460 a (E)- β -farnesene 0.2 0.6 1505 a, b α -Curcumene 0.4 2.6 1516 a, b	β-Cubebene	—	tr	1388	a, b
Methyl perillate — 0.1 1394 a (Z) -Isocugenol — 0.2 1407 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b Methyl undecanoate — 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene — 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z) - β -Farnesene — 0.2 1443 a, b (Z) - β -Farnesene — 0.2 1443 a, b (E) -caryophyllene 0.3 — 1444 a, b, c γ -elemene — 0.4 1448 a, b (E) - β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 — 1460 a (E) - β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b, c A -Curcumene 0.1 — 1467 a, b, c α -Flumulene 0.1 — 1467 a, b, c (Z) -Garamene 0.4 2.6 1481 a, b α -Curcumene 0.2 0.6 1505 a,	β-Elemene	1.5	—	1389	a, b
(Z)-Isoeugenol 0.2 1407 a, b, c α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b Methyl undecanoate 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z)- β -Farnesene 0.2 1443 a, b (E)-caryophyllene 0.3 1444 a, b, c γ -elemene 0.4 1448 a, b (E)- β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a (E)-Ethyl cinnamate tr 1467 a, b, c α -Curcumene 1.2 13.2 1493 a, b, c γ -Curcumene 0.4 2.6 1505 a, b Zingiberene 1.7 1506 a, b Zingiberene 1.9 0.4 1538 a, b $(Z)-y$ -Bisabolene - 2.6 1516 a, b β -Curcumene 0.8 17.1 1523 a, b, c </td <td>Methyl perillate</td> <td>—</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>1394</td> <td>a</td>	Methyl perillate	—	0.1	1394	a
α -Cis-bergamotene 0.9 0.6 1414 a, b Methyl undecanoate 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene 0.1 1439 a, b, c β -Farnesene 0.2 1443 a, b (E) -caryophyllene 0.3 1444 a, b, c γ -elemene 0.4 1448 a, b (E) - β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a (E) - β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b, c α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a (E) -Bthyl cinnamate tr 1467 a, b, c α -Curcumene 0.4 2.6 1481 a, b β -Bisabolene 0.2 0.6 1505 a, b Zingiberene 1.7 1506 a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene - 2.6 1516 a, b β -Curcumene 0.8 17.1 1523	(Z)-Isoeugenol	—	0.2	1407	a, b, c
Methyl undecanoate 0.1 1428 a β -Humulene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z) - β -Farnesene 0.2 1443 a, b (E) -caryophyllene 0.3 1444 a, b, c y -elemene 0.4 1448 a, b (E) - β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a (E) - β -farnesene 0.1 1460 a (E) - β -farnesene 0.1 1460 a α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a (E) -Ethyl cinnamate tr 1467 a, b, c α -Curcumene 0.4 2.6 1481 a, b β -Bisabolene 0.2 0.6 1505 a, b Zingiberene 1.7 1506 a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene 2.6 1516 a, b β -Curcumene 0.8 17.1 1523 a, b,	α-Cis-bergamotene	0.9	0.6	1414	a, b
β -Humulene 0.1 1439 a, b, c (Z) - β -Farnesene 0.2 1443 a, b (E) -caryophyllene 0.3 1444 a, b, c y -elemene 0.4 1448 a, b (E) - β -farnesene 0.2.0 1.2 1457 a, b α -Humulene 0.1 1460 a (E) -Ethyl cinnamate tr 1467 a, b, c Ar-Curcumene 1.2 13.2 1493 a, b, c γ -Curcumene 0.4 2.6 1481 a, b β -Bisabolene 0.2 0.6 1505 a, b Zingiberene 1.7 1506 a, b, c $Z)$ -y-Bisabolene - 2.6 1516 a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene 1.9 0.4 1538 a, b, c (Z) -y-Bisabolene - 0.3 1.2 1567 a, b (Z) -y-Bisabolene 0.8 17.1 1523 a, b, c (Z) -yourcumene 0.3 <t< td=""><td>Methyl undecanoate</td><td>—</td><td>0.1</td><td>1428</td><td>а</td></t<>	Methyl undecanoate	—	0.1	1428	а
(Z) - β -Farnesene0.21443a, b (E) -caryophyllene0.31444a, b, c γ -elemene0.41448a, b (E) - β -farnesene0.2.01.21457a, b α -Humulene0.11460a (E) -Ethyl cinnamatetr1467a, b, c α -Curcumene1.213.21493a, b, c γ -Curcumene0.42.61481a, b β -Bisabolene0.20.61505a, bZingiberene1.71506a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41549a, b (Z) -locanediol0.31.21567a, b (Z) -locanediol1571a, b, cc (Z) -locanediol1571a, b, cc A -Turmerol0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol0.31587a A -Divdro-turmeropre1.01592a. b	β-Humulene	—	0.1	1439	a, b, c
(E) -caryophyllene0.31444a, b, c γ -elemene0.41448a, b (E) - β -farnesene0.2.01.21457a, b α -Humulene0.11460a (E) -Ethyl cinnamatetr1467a, b, cAr-Curcumene1.213.21493a, b, c γ -Curcumene0.42.61481a, b β -Bisabolene0.20.61505a, bZingiberene1.71506a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol0.41549a, b (Z) -Isoeugenol acetate0.31571a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol0.31587aAr-Dihvdro-turmerone1.01592a, b	(Z) - β -Farnesene	—	0.2	1443	a, b
γ -elemene0.41448a, b (E) - β -farnesene0.2.01.21457a, b α -Humulene0.11460a (E) -Ethyl cinnamatetr1467a, b, cAr-Curcumene1.213.21493a, b, c γ -Curcumene0.42.61481a, b β -Bisabolene0.20.61505a, bZingiberene1.71506a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene2.61516a, b β -Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol0.41549a, b (Z) -Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol0.31587aAr-Dihvdro-turmerone1.01592a, b	(E)-caryophyllene	0.3	—	1444	a, b, c
(E) - β -farnesene0.2.01.21457a, b α -Humulene0.1—1460a (E) -Ethyl cinnamate—tr1467a, b, cAr-Curcumene1.213.21493a, b, c γ -Curcumene0.42.61481a, b β -Bisabolene0.20.61505a, bZingiberene1.7—1506a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene—2.61516a, b β -Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol—0.41549a, b (Z) -Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, b Ar -Turmerol0.3—1571a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol—0.31587a Ar -Dihvdro-turmerone1.0—1592a, b	y-elemene	—	0.4	1448	a, b
α -Humulene0.11460a(E)-Ethyl cinnamatetr1467a, b, cAr-Curcumene1.213.21493a, b, c γ -Curcumene0.42.61481a, b β -Bisabolene0.20.61505a, bZingiberene1.71506a, b, c(Z)- γ -Bisabolene2.61516a, b β -Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol0.41549a, b(Z)-Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol0.31587aAr-Dihvdro-turmerone1.01592a, b	(E) - β -farnesene	0.2.0	1.2	1457	a, b
(E)-Ethyl cinnamatetr1467a, b, cAr-Curcumene1.213.21493a, b, c γ -Curcumene0.42.61481a, b β -Bisabolene0.20.61505a, bZingiberene1.71506a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene2.61516a, b β -Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol0.41549a, b (Z) -isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, bAr-Turmerol0.31571a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol0.31587aAr-Dihvdro-turmerone1.01592a, b	α-Humulene	0.1	—	1460	a
Ar-Curcumene1.213.21493a, b, c γ -Curcumene0.42.61481a, b β -Bisabolene0.20.61505a, bZingiberene1.7-1506a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene-2.61516a, b β -Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol-0.41549a, b (Z) -Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, b, cAr-Turmerol0.3-1571a, b, cThujopsan-2-α-ol-0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.0-1592a, b	(E)-Ethyl cinnamate	_	tr	1467	a, b, c
γ -Curcumene0.42.61481a, b β -Bisabolene0.20.61505a, bZingiberene1.71506a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene2.61516a, b β -Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol0.41549a, b (Z) -Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, bAr-Turmerol0.31571a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.01592a, b	Ar-Curcumene	1.2	13.2	1493	a, b, c
β-Bisabolene0.20.61505a, bZingiberene1.7-1506a, b, c (Z) -γ-Bisabolene-2.61516a, bβ-Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, cβ-Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol-0.41549a, b (Z) -Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, b, cAr-Turmerol0.3-1571a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2-α-ol-0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.0-1592a, b	γ-Curcumene	0.4	2.6	1481	a, b
Zingiberene1.7—1506a, b, c (Z) - γ -Bisabolene—2.61516a, b β -Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol—0.41549a, b (Z) -Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, bAr-Turmerol0.3—1571a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol—0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.0—1592a, b	β -Bisabolene	0.2	0.6	1505	a, b
(Z) - γ -Bisabolene—2.61516a, b β -Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, c β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol—0.41549a, b (Z) -Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, bAr-Turmerol0.3—1571a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol—0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.0—1592a, b	Zingiberene	1.7	_	1506	a, b, c
β-Curcumene0.817.11523a, b, cβ-Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol0.41549a, b(Z)-Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, bAr-Turmerol0.31571a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2-α-ol0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.01592a, b	(Z)-y-Bisabolene	_	2.6	1516	a, b
β -Sesquiphellandrene1.90.41538a, b1,10-Decanediol0.41549a, b(Z)-Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, bAr-Turmerol0.31571a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.01592a, b	β-Curcumene	0.8	17.1	1523	a, b, c
$1,10$ -Decanediol 0.4 1549 a, b $1,10$ -Decanediol $$ 0.4 1549 a, b (Z) -Isoeugenol acetate 0.3 1.2 1567 a, b Ar -Turmerol 0.3 $$ 1571 a, b, c $Caryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2-\alpha-ol0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.01592a, b$	β -Sesquiphellandrene	1.9	0.4	1538	a, b
(Z) -Isoeugenol acetate0.31.21567a, bAr-Turmerol0.31571a, b, cCaryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.01592a. b	1,10-Decanediol	_	0.4	1549	a, b
Ar-Turmerol 0.3 — 1571 a, b, c Caryophyllene oxide 0.3 0.5 1581 a, b, c Thujopsan-2- α -ol — 0.3 1587 a Ar-Dihvdro-turmerone 1.0 — 1592 a. b	(Z)-Isoeugenol acetate	0.3	1.2	1567	a, b
Caryophyllene oxide0.30.51581a, b, cThujopsan-2- α -ol-0.31587aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.0-1592a, b	Ar-Turmerol	0.3		1571	a, b. c
Thujopsan-2- α -ol0.31507aAr-Dihydro-turmerone1.01592a. b	Carvophyllene oxide	0.3	0.5	1581	a, b, c
Ar-Dihydro-turmerone 1.0 — 1592 a. b	Thuiopsan-2-α-ol		0.3	1587	a, c, c a
	Ar-Dihvdro-turmerone	1.0		1592	a, b

TABLE 1: Chemical constituents of the rhizome oils of *Curcuma domestica* and *Curcuma xanthorrhiza*.

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

7

TABLE 1:	Continued.
----------	------------

Compound	Percentage		DI	Mathed of identification
Compound	C. domestica	C. xanthorrhiza	KI	Method of Identification
Sesquithuriferol	_	0.2	1605	a
Geranyl isovalerate	0.3	_	1607	а
1,10-Di-epi-cubenol	_	0.4	1619	а
(Z)-Dihydromyrcene-1,6-diol	3.6	—	1621	a, b
10-Epi-y-eudesmol	0.4	_	1624	а
Citronellyl pentanoate	_	5.7	1626	a, b
1-Epi-cubenol	0.8	—	1629	а
y-Eudesmol	0.2	—	1632	a, b
Cis-cadin-4-en-7-ol	_	0.8	1637	а
Hinesol	0.4	_	1642	a, b
Cubenol	0.3	0.5	1647	a, b
β-Eudesmol	1.3	_	1651	a, b
α-Eudesmol	_	0.8	1654	a, b
(E)-Dihydromyrcene-1,6-diol	1.3	_	1655	a, b
(<i>E</i>)-Amyl cinnamic alcohol	_	0.7	1661	а
14-Hydroxy-9-epi-(<i>E</i>)-caryophyllene	0.4	_	1670	а
(<i>E</i>)-citronellyl tiglate	_	0.9	1668	а
β -Bisabolol	_	3.5	1687	a, b
Ar-Turmerone	45.8	_	1689	a, b, c
Ar-Curcumen-15-al	_	0.8	1712	а
14-Hydroxy-α-humulene	0.2	_	1714	а
1-Phenyl-hepta-1,3,5-triyne	_	0.3	1721	а
Curcumenol	18.2	_	1724	a, b
4-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-cinnamaldehyde	_	0.9	1728	а
Zerumbone	1.4	_	1730	a, b, c
Chamazulene	—	0.3	1732	а
(E, Z)-Farnesol	_	0.1	1745	a, b
α-Bisabolol oxide A	_	0.2	1749	а
α-Amyl-cinnamyl acetate	0.2	—	1758	а
β -Bisabolen-12-ol	0.3	—	1762	а
γ-Curcumen-15-al	1.1	_	1767	a, b
Xanthorrhizol	_	31.9	1768	a, b, c
γ-Eudesmol acetate	1.6	—	1782	a, b
Butyl dodecanoate	_	0.2	1786	а
8-Cedren-14-ol acetate	0.8	—	1789	a, b
α-Eudesmol acetate	2.3		1795	a, b
Total	96.3	97.7		

Percentages were calculated on the basis of results obtained on column DB 5; RI: retention index; a: retention index; b: mass fragmentation; c: cochromatography with authentic samples.

initiation of LDL oxidation and free radical formation at the lipoprotein [24].

3.4. Correlation between Antioxidant Activity and the Chemical Contents. Based on the results of the LDL antioxidant assays on the methanol extracts, essential oils, isolated compounds, and standard samples, relationships between the antioxidant activity and the chemical contents can be deduced. The results suggest that the strong antioxidant activity of the methanol extracts of the plants is due to the presence of high amounts of curcuminoids. The higher activity of the methanol extract of *C. domestica* (IC₅₀, 0.31 μ g/mL) than *C. xanthorrhiza* (IC₅₀, 0.78 μ g/mL) could possibly be due to the higher level of curcuminoids in the

Sample	Concentration (µg/mL)	Inhibition (%)	IC_{50} (µg/mL)
	6.25	84.14 ± 0.13	
	3.13	83.77 ± 0.13	
Curcuma domestica	1.56	73.18 ± 0.78	0.31 ± 0.01
	0.78	68.73 ± 0.79	
	0.39	50.45 ± 0.20	
	12.5	$91.94 \pm 0.92^{*}$	
	6.25	88.04 ± 0.52	
Curcuma xanthorrhiza	3.13	77.82 ± 0.92	0.78 ± 0.03
	1.56	62.23 ± 0.13	
	0.78	50.89 ± 1.05	
	1.56	$98.81 \pm 0.10^{*}$	
	0.78	93.57 ± 0.56	
Demethoxycurcumin	0.39	84.23 ± 1.38	$0.11\pm 0.01~(0.16\mu M)$
	0.20	64.42 ± 3.10	
	0.10	47.83 ± 3.25	
	1.56	$93.20 \pm 0.59^*$	
	0.78	88.71 ± 0.98	
Bisdemethoxycurcumin	0.39	80.87 ± 1.67	$0.05\pm 0.01~(0.33\mu M)$
	0.20	71.90 ± 2.45	
	0.10	56.01 ± 0.71	
Curcumin	1.56	$90.58 \pm 0.82^*$	
	0.78	89.09 ± 0.95	
	0.39	78.25 ± 1.90	$0.06\pm 0.01\;(0.15\mu M)$
	0.20	58.15 ± 2.89	
	0.10	50.39 ± 3.43	
	5.00	76.3 ± 0.6	
	2.50	74.2 ± 0.2	
Probucol	1.25	70.3 ± 0.1	$0.30 \pm 0.1 \; (0.57 \mu M)$
	0.63	62.1 ± 1.6	
	0.31	48.9 ± 0.1	

TABLE 2: Percentage inhibition and IC₅₀ value (μ g/mL) of the methanol extracts and curcuminoids of *Curcuma domestica* and *C. xanthorrhiza* on human LDL peroxidation.

Probucol was used as a positive control. Values are presented as mean \pm SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05 as compared with the control. IC₅₀ values in μ M are in parentheses.

former (Table 2). The strong antioxidant activity of the rhizome oil of *C. xanthorrhiza* may be related to the high level of xanthorrhizol (32%), although other constituents may also contribute to the antioxidant activity of the oil (Table 3). Previous studies have indicated that xanthorrhizol strongly inhibited platelet aggregation induced by arachidonic acid, collagen, and ADP [25] and had antimicrobial activity against *Candida* species, filamentous fungi and food-borne pathogens, *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, (MRSA) [26, 27]. It also nonselectively inhibited DNA, RNA, and protein synthesis and exhibited antiproliferative activity on different cancer cell lines [28]. The presence of high levels of ar-turmerone (45.8%) and

zerumbone (1.4%) in the rhizome oil of *C. domestica* could explain its strong inhibition on LDL peroxidation. The strong antioxidant activity of the oil may be possibly due to the synergy between these compounds with the other constituents of the oil. Previous studies have indicated that ar-turmerone isolated from *C. longa* inhibited collagenand arachidonic-induced platelet aggregation [29], exhibited immunomodulatory activity [30], and induced the apoptotic activity in various cell lines [31]. Antitumor activity of zerumbone isolated from *Zingiber zerumbet* against various cancer cell lines has been widely investigated [32, 33]. Zerumbone has also showed anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities [34]. TABLE 3: Percentage inhibition and IC₅₀ value (μ g/mL) of the essential oils of *Curcuma domestica* and *C. xanthorrhiza* and the essential oil standards on human LDL peroxidation.

Sample	Concentration (µg/mL)	Inhibition (%)	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)
	25	$78.8 \pm 1.2^{*}$	
	12.5	56.4 ± 1.0	
Curcuma domestica	6.25	44.8 ± 0.4	7.8 ± 0.2
	3.13	27.5 ± 1.5	
	1.56	20.0 ± 0.8	
	25	$86.9 \pm 2.3^{*}$	
	12.5	83.2 ± 1.2	
Curcuma xanthorrhiza	6.25	64.0 ± 1.3	2.2 ± 0.1
	3.13	57.8 ± 4.6	
	1.56	49.4 ± 0.2	
	12.5	$98.0\pm0.7^*$	
	6.25	87.5 ± 2.0	
Xanthorrhizol	3.13	84.5 ± 2.5	$0.4 \pm 0.1 \; (1.9 \mu M)$
	1.56	77.5 ± 0.8	
	0.78	64.6 ± 1.7	
	12.5	$85.6 \pm 0.7^{*}$	
	6.25	72.8 ± 1.0	
Ar-Turmerone	3.13	62.2 ± 1.7	$2.2 \pm 0.1 \; (10.2 \mu \text{M})$
	1.56	41.7 ± 1.2	
	0.78	24.6 ± 0.8	
	25	$69.9 \pm 0.3^{*}$	
	12.5	58.1 ± 0.3	
Zerumbone	6.25	55.9 ± 0.7	$5.4 \pm 0.4 \ (24.9 \mu M)$
	3.13	49.0 ± 0.3	
	1.56	27.6 ± 2.9	
Geranyl acetate	25	26 ± 2.6	
Zingiberene	25	16 ± 1.9	
Ar-Curcumene	25	4.6 ± 1.2	_
β-Curcumene	25	7.8 ± 1.5	
Camphor	25	11.2 ± 0.4	_

Values are presented as mean \pm SEM (n = 3). *P < 0.05 as compared with the control. IC₅₀ values in μ M are in parentheses.

4. Conclusion

The methanol extracts and essential oils of *C. xanthorrhiza* and *C. domestica* showed high LDL antioxidant activity. The high antioxidant activity of the methanol extracts of the plants could be due to the high amounts of curcuminoids present. The high levels of xanthorrhizol and ar-turmerone in the rhizome oils of *C. xanthorrhiza* and *C. domestica*, respectively, could explain their strong inhibition on LDL peroxidation.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgment

The work was supported by the Ministry of Agriculture, Malaysia, under the NKEA Research Grant Scheme (NRGS) (Grant no. NH0811D003).

References

- I. H. Burkill, A Dictionary of Economic Products of the Malay Peninsula, vol. 1-2, Ministry of Agriculture and Co-operative, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 1966.
- [2] J. H. Zwaving and R. Bos, "Analysis of the essential oils of five curcuma species," *Flavour and Fragrance Journal*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 19–22, 1992.

- [3] R. K. Sharma, B. P. Misra, T. C. Sarma, A. K. Bordoloi, M. G. Pathak, and P. A. Leclercq, "Essential Oils of *curcuma longa* L. from Bhutan," *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, vol. 9, pp. 589– 592, 1997.
- [4] I. B. Jantan, A. S. Ahmad, N. A. M. Ali, A. R. Ahmad, and H. Ibrahim, "Chemical composition of the rhizome oils of four *curcuma* species from Malaysia," *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 719–723, 1999.
- [5] T. Nishiyama, T. Mae, H. Kishida et al., "Curcuminoids and sesquiterpenoids in turmeric (*curcuma longa* L.) suppress an increase in blood glucose level in type 2 diabetic KK-Ay mice," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 959–963, 2005.
- [6] G. K. Jayaprakasha, L. Jagan Mohan Rao, and K. K. Sakariah, "Chemistry and biological activities of *C. longa*," *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 533–548, 2005.
- [7] H. Itokawa, Q. Shi, T. Akiyama, S. L. Morris-Natschke, and K. H. Lee, "Recent advances in the investigation of curcuminoids," *Chinese Medicine*, vol. 3, article 11, 2008.
- [8] J. Zhao, J. S. Zhang, B. Yang, G. P. Lv, and S. P. Li, "Free radical scavenging activity and characterization of sesquiterpenoids in four species of *curcuma* using a TLC bioautography assay and GC-MS analysis," *Molecules*, vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 7547–7557, 2010.
- [9] G. Singh, I. P. S. Kapoor, P. Singh, C. S. de Heluani, M. P. de Lampasona, and C. A. N. Catalan, "Comparative study of chemical composition and antioxidant activity of fresh and dry rhizomes of turmeric (*curcuma longa* Linn.)," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1026–1031, 2010.
- [10] W. F. Chen, S. L. Deng, B. Zhou et al., "Curcumin and its analogues as potent inhibitors of low density lipoprotein oxidation: H-atom abstraction from the phenolic groups and possible involvement of the 4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl groups," *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 526–535, 2006.
- [11] Q.-Y. Wei, W.-F. Chen, B. Zhou, L. Yang, and Z. L. Liu, "Inhibition of lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation in rat liver mitochondria by curcumin and its analogues," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 1760, no. 1, pp. 70–77, 2006.
- [12] S. P. Wong, L. P. Leong, and J. H. William Koh, "Antioxidant activities of aqueous extracts of selected plants," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 99, no. 4, pp. 775–783, 2006.
- [13] R. P. Adams, Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy, Academic Press, San Diego, Calif, USA, 1989.
- [14] C. H. Hong, Y. Kim, and S. K. Lee, "Sesquiterpenoids from the Rhizome of *curcuma zedoaria*," *Archives of Pharmacal Research*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 424–426, 2001.
- [15] H. M. Sirat, N. M. Hong, and M. H. Jauri, "Chemistry of xanthorrhizol: synthesis of several bisabolane sesquiterpenoids from xanthorrhizol," *Tetrahedron Letters*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 457–460, 2007.
- [16] J. M. Graham, J. A. Higgins, T. Gillott et al., "A novel method for the rapid separation of plasma lipoproteins using selfgenerating gradients of iodixanol," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 124, no. 1, pp. 125–135, 1996.
- [17] S. A. Dillon, R. S. Burmi, G. M. Lowe, D. Billington, and K. Rahman, "Antioxidant properties of aged garlic extract: an in vitro study incorporating human low density lipoprotein," *Life Sciences*, vol. 72, no. 14, pp. 1583–1594, 2003.
- [18] M. M. Bradford, "A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the

principle of protein dye binding," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 72, no. 1-2, pp. 248–254, 1976.

- [19] J. Galle and C. Wanner, "Oxidized LDL and Lp(a) preparation, modification, and analysis," *Methods in Molecular Biology*, vol. 108, pp. 119–130, 1998.
- [20] R. P. Noble, "Electrophoretic separation of plasma lipoproteins in agarose gel," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 693–700, 1968.
- [21] J. A. Buege and S. D. Aust, "Microsomal lipid peroxidation," *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 52, pp. 302–310, 1978.
- [22] M. Paramasivam, R. Poi, H. Banerjee, and A. Bandyopadhyay, "High-performance thin layer chromatographic method for quantitative determination of curcuminoids in *curcuma longa* germplasm," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 640–644, 2009.
- [23] S. Parthasarathy, S. G. Young, and J. L. Witztum, "Probucol inhibits oxidative modification of low density lipoprotein," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 641–644, 1986.
- [24] L. Yu, K. Zhou, and J. W. Parry, "Inhibitory effects of wheat bran extracts on human LDL oxidation and free radicals," *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 463–470, 2005.
- [25] I. Jantan, S. M. Raweh, H. M. Sirat et al., "Inhibitory effect of compounds from Zingiberaceae species on human platelet aggregation," *Phytomedicine*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 306–309, 2008.
- [26] Y. Rukayadi and J. K. Hwang, "In Vitro antimycotic activity of xanthorrhizol isolated from curcuma xanthorrhiza Roxb. against opportunistic filamentous fungi," *Phytotherapy Research*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 434–438, 2007.
- [27] F. Mustaffa, J. Indurkar, S. Ismail, M. Shah, and S. M. Mansor, "An antimicrobial compound isolated from *Cinnamonum iners* leaves with activity against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*," *Molecules*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 3037–3047, 2011.
- [28] Y. H. Cheah, F. J. Nordin, T. T. Tee et al., "Antiproliferative property and apoptotic effect of xanthorrhizol on MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells," *Anticancer Research*, vol. 28, pp. 3677– 3689, 2008.
- [29] H. S. Lee, "Antiplatelet property of *curcuma longa* L. rhizomederived ar-turmerone," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 97, no. 12, pp. 1372–1376, 2006.
- [30] G. G. L. Yue, B. C. L. Chan, P. M. Hon et al., "Evaluation of in vitro anti-proliferative and immunomodulatory activities of compounds isolated from *curcuma longa*," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 48, no. 8-9, pp. 2011–2020, 2010.
- [31] M. Ji, J. Choi, J. Lee, and Y. Lee, "Induction of apoptosis by ar-turmerone on various cell lines," *International journal of molecular medicine*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 253–256, 2004.
- [32] A. B. H. Adbul, A. S. Al-Zubairi, N. D. Tailan et al., "Anticancer activity of natural compound (Zerumbone) extracted from *Zingiber zerumbet* in human HeLa cervical cancer cells," *International Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 160– 168, 2008.
- [33] C. Kirana, G. H. McIntosh, I. R. Record, and G. P. Jones, "Antitumor activity of extract of *Zingiber aromaticum* and its bioactive sesquiterpenoid zerumbone," *Nutrition and Cancer*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 218–225, 2003.
- [34] M. R. Sulaiman, E. K. Perimal, M. N. Akhtar et al., "Antiinflammatory effect of zerumbone on acute and chronic inflammation models in mice," *Fitoterapia*, vol. 81, no. 7, pp. 855–858, 2010.