BJC

British Journal of Cancer (2013) 109, 1325–1337 | doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.357

Keywords: NQO1; polymorphism; C609T; Pro187Ser; rs1800566

The NQO1 polymorphism C609T (Pro187Ser) and cancer susceptibility: a comprehensive meta-analysis

B Lajin^{*,1} and A Alachkar²

¹Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Aleppo, Aleppo, Syria and ²Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA

Background: Evidence is increasingly emerging about multiple roles for the NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1 enzyme in cancer. The C609T (rs1800566, Pro187Ser) null polymorphism of the NQO1 gene contributes significantly to the variation in enzymatic activity across different populations. NQO1 C609T polymorphism was thoroughly investigated with respect to cancer susceptibility. The results were inconsistent partly due to low sample sizes. The aim of the present work was to perform a meta-analysis to assess association for all common cancer sites separately and in combination.

Methods: Our meta-analysis involved 92 studies including 21 178 cases and 25 157 controls. Statistical analysis involved individual cancer sites and the combined cancer risk. Association was tested under different genetic models.

Results: We found a statistically significant association between the variant T allele and overall cancer risk in the worldwide population (for the TT vs CC model, OR = 1.18 (1.07-1.31), P = 0.002, $l^2 = 36\%$). Stratified analysis revealed that this association was largely attributed to the Caucasian ethnicity (for the TT vs CC model, OR = 1.28 (1.12-1.46), P = 0.0002, $l^2 = 1\%$). Stratification by tumour site showed significant association for bladder cancer in the worldwide population (for the TT vs CC model, OR = 1.70 (1.17-2.46), P = 0.005, $l^2 = 0\%$), and in the Asian population (for the TT vs CC model, 1.48 (1.14-1.93), P = 0.003, $l^2 = 16\%$). Positive association was also found for gastric cancer in the worldwide population under the dominant model (OR = 1.34 (1.09-1.65), P = 0.006, $l^2 = 15\%$).

Conclusion: Our results indicate that the C609T polymorphism of the NQO1 gene is an important genetic risk factor in cancer.

Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide. It is estimated that the burden of cancer will increase up to 22.2 million new cases diagnosed annually worldwide by 2030, which represents an increase by 75% compared with the statistics of 2008 (Bray *et al*, 2012).

It is now recognised that sporadic cancer is a complex and multifactorial disease involving the contribution and interaction of several genetic and environmental factors. In the recent years, polymorphisms in low-penetrance genes involved in anti-carcinogenic biochemical pathways have been a subject of thorough investigation in the context of identifying the genetic risk factors for cancer development. The NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1 enzyme (EC 1.6.5.2), encoded by the *NQO1* gene, which is mapping to chromosomal location 16q22.1, was hypothesised to have a crucial role in the protection against oxidative stress and was shown to be a multifunctional antioxidant and an exceptionally versatile cytoprotector (Dinkova-Kostova and Talalay, 2010). Furthermore, recent studies indicated protective roles for NQO1 unrelated to its enzymatic activity and involved in apoptosis, as it was found to act as a stabiliser for the tumor suppressor protein p53 (Asher *et al*, 2002a). Figure 1 summarizes the multiple protective roles of NQO1 known so far.

Several polymorphisms were identified in the NQO1 gene. However, by far the most commonly studied and the most

*Correspondence: Dr B Lajin; E-mail: BassamL7@yahoo.co.uk

Received 11 May 2013; revised 10 June 2013; accepted 13 June 2013; published online 16 July 2013

© 2013 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007 – 0920/13



Figure 1. The multiple and general roles of NQO1 in the protection against the development of cancer.

biochemically influential polymorphism is the C609T polymor phism (dbSNP: rs1800566) at exon 6 of the gene, which results in a proline-to-serine amino-acid change at codon 187 of the protein. The NQO1 C609T polymorphism was shown to have an established and strong impact on enzymatic activity of the expressed protein by extremely decreasing stability, as the variant enzyme is rapidly ubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome (Siegel *et al*, 2001). Thus, it was found that homozygosity for the variant T allele results in virtually complete elimination of enzymatic activity (2–4% activity of the wild type), whereas heterozygosity yields decreased enzymatic activity by threefold compared with homozygosity for the wild-type allele (Kuehl *et al*, 1995; Siegel *et al*, 2001).

A large number of studies reported the investigation of the role of NOO1 C609T polymorphism in the susceptibility for developing several types of cancer. However, the results were inconsistent rather than conclusive, possibly due to the small sample size in the majority of studies. Although a few number of meta-analyses were performed in an attempt to overcome the problem of low statistical power in individual studies, these meta-analyses considered individual cancer sites separately (Yuan et al, 2011; Liu and Zhang, 2011; Guo et al, 2012; Zhou et al, 2012). Recognised as a global and versatile antioxidant and cytoprotector ubiquitously expressed in all tissues, the gene for NQO1 is proposed to have common roles among all histopathologically different types of cancer arising in different sites or tissues. Therefore, it is biologically plausible to study the effects of polymorphisms in the NQO1 gene with respect to overall cancer risk. The aim of the present study was to conduct a global meta-analysis to investigate the role of NQO1 C609T polymorphism with respect to the overall cancer risk, and perform new or updated site-specific metaanalyses involving all common sites of cancer previously investigated in relation to the NQO1 C609T polymorphism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search strategy. The PubMed database was searched to identify case-control association studies involving cancer and *NQO1* C609T polymorphism. To ensure comprehensive searching, we used only general keywords: 'NQO1' or 'NADPH:quinone oxidoreductase 1' and 'Cancer' and 'polymorphism' without applying search filters. Articles were retrieved on 17 January 2013. Article searching was repeated independently by searching the Scopus database to compare with the PubMed search results and identify articles not indexed in PubMed. All articles were initially reviewed by abstract and title examination to select for relevant articles, which were subjected to further screening.

Study selection and inclusion/exclusion criteria. Relevant articles were subjected to the following predetermined inclusion criteria: (1) studies investigating the *NQO1* C609T polymorphism with respect to disease susceptibility. (2) Studies with case-control

design. (3) Studies including full genotyping data (CC, CT, and TT counts in the case and control groups). (4) Genotype distribution of *NQO1* C609T in the control group is in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). (5) Studies involving adult cancer (childhood leukaemia studies were excluded). (6) Studies involving primary cancer (therapy-related cancer studies were excluded). (7) Studies published in English.

Data extraction. The following information about the eligible studies was extracted: first author name, year of publication, country of study, ethnicity of studied subjects, tumour site, full genotyping data for the case and control groups, and source of control groups (hospital- or population-based controls). When an article included several ethnic groups or cancer sites, each comparison was treated as a separate study. In a few studies where the racial descent of study subjects was not plainly stated, ethnicity was inferred on the basis of the largest ethnic group inhabiting the country of study.

Statistical analysis. We strictly followed published guidelines and recommendations for quality assessment in meta-analyses of genetic association studies (Stroup *et al*, 2000; Minelli *et al*, 2009). (1) We tested five different genetic models and avoided assuming only one 'wrong' genetic model. (2) Between-study heterogeneity and publication bias were thoroughly assessed. (3) Concordance with HWE was comprehensively tested for all studies. (4) Sensitivity analysis was performed to check for the impact of individual studies or subgroups of studies. (5) Meta-regression analysis was performed to identify any potential source of heterogeneity. (6) The random effects model was utilised to calculate odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) whenever moderate-to-high heterogeneity was found.

We tested all relevant studies for concordance of the genotypic distribution of the NQO1 C609T polymorphism in the control group with the HWE principle using the χ^2 -test, and considered P-values < 0.05 as statistically significant. Association between the NQO1 C609T polymorphism and cancer risk was investigated under different genetic models; namely, the dominant (CC vs CT + TT), recessive (CC + CT vs TT), homozygous codominant (TT vs CC), heterozygous codominant (CC vs CT) and allele contrast model (C vs T). The strength of association was assessed by calculating the odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals and the Z-test was used to evaluate statistical significance with *P*-values < 0.01 considered as statistically significant. Stratified analysis by cancer site, ethnicity, and minor allele frequency (MAF) in controls was conducted. Cancer sites with less than three studies were all grouped under a category termed 'other' in the overall population analysis. Studies involving mixed populations belonging to different ethnic groups were assigned the 'Mixed' ethnicity category.

Between-study heterogeneity was assessed using the Cochran's Q-test (Cochran, 1954) by calculating the $P_{heterogeneity}$ value, and was quantitated by calculating the I^2 statistic. A random effects model using the DerSimonian and Laird method was used to calculate the OR and 95% CI for comparisons with moderate-to-high heterogeneity ($I^2 > 25\%$). Otherwise, a fixed-effects model using the Mantel-Haenszel method was utilised (Petitti *et al*, 1994). Moreover, meta-regression analysis (Thompson and Sharp, 1999) was used to identify three possible sources of heterogeneity including ethnicity, tumour site, and MAF.

Sensitivity analysis was performed by sequential omission of individual studies (leave-one-out analysis) and tumour sites for various genetic models in the Asian, Caucasian, and overall population.

Publication bias was evaluated graphically using the Begg's funnel plot and statistically using the method of Egger's linear regression test (Egger *et al*, 1997).

Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (Version 2, Biostat, Englewood NJ, USA) and OpenMetaAnalyst (http://www.cebm.brown.edu/open_meta) were used for statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Characteristics of retrieved studies. Systematic screening was performed to identify relevant and eligible studies (Figure 2). PubMed search returned 251 articles (retrieved on 17 January 2013). Initial examination involving abstracts and titles lead to the exclusion of 130 non-relevant articles. Of the remaining 121 relevant articles, 15 articles were found to lack complete genotyping data, 8 articles were published in other languages than English, 12 articles involved childhood leukaemia, and 5 articles involved secondary cancer. As a result, 81 articles including 97 studies (comparisons) were found to be eligible (Table 1). Upon testing for concordance with the HWE principle, five studies were found to deviate from HWE and were excluded. Finally, a total of 76 articles involving 92 studies and 21 178 cases and 25 157 controls were included. Scopus searching did not return any additional eligible studies not indexed in PubMed. About 80% of studies involved either Caucasian or Asian populations. There were 50 studies on Caucasian populations, 24 on Asians, 6 studies on Indians, 4 studies on Arabs, 2 studies on African Americans, 2 studies on Turks, and single studies on Persian, Hawaiian, Hispanic, and mixed populations.

Quantitative synthesis. We observed significant variation of the T allele frequency across different ethnicities in healthy controls (Figure 3). Statistically significant association was found for the total population when all studied cancer sites were combined, and under all studied genetic models (Table 2), with the strongest association found under the TT *vs* CC model (OR = 1.18 (1.07–1.31), P=0.002). On stratification by ethnicity, we found statistically significant associations for the Caucasian subgroup



Figure 2. Flow chart demonstrating study selection steps.

under all genetic models with the strongest association found under the TT *vs* CC model (OR = 1.28 (1.12–1.46), P = 0.0002). Stratification by cancer site revealed statistically significant associations for bladder cancer (for the TT *vs* CC model, OR = 1.48 (1.14–1.93), P = 0.003), and gastric cancer (for the dominant model, OR = 1.34 (1.09–1.65), P = 0.006) (Table 2).

We investigated the interaction between the two major ethnic groups (Caucasian and Asian) and cancer site with respect to the effects of *NQO1* C609T on cancer susceptibility (Table 3). Significant association was found for bladder cancer in Asians (for the TT *vs* CC model, OR = 1.70 (1.17–2.46), P = 0.005).

Test of heterogeneity and meta-regression analysis. Heterogeneity tests for the total group involving combined cancer sites showed statistically significant ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < 0.05$) but quantitatively moderate heterogeneity with I^2 values < 50% (Table 2). However, when stratified by ethnicity, heterogeneity was found statistically insignificant and quantitatively low for the Caucasian subgoup (I^2 values < 25%), except for the heterozygous codominant model $(I^2 = 26\%)$ (Table 2). Furthermore, the homozygous codominant (TT vs CC) and the recessive (TT vs CT + CC) models showed extremely low heterogeneity in Caucasians $(I^2 = 1\%)$ indicating generally consistent findings among studies in these populations. By contrast, tests for the Asian subgroup showed statistically significant and quantitatively moderate-to-high heterogeneity, which pointed to the Asian ethnicity as an important source of heterogeneity. This was confirmed by meta-analysis regression (Table 4), which identified the Asian subgroup as a major source of heterogeneity relative to the Caucasian subgroup (P = 0.03). This was also supported by general examination of the forest plot (Figure 4) where it was evident that the majority of Caucasian studies yielded consistently odds ratios >1 for the TT vs CC model (indicating increased risk for the TT homozygous genotype), whereas Asian studies yielded more scattered odds ratios. Additionally, when the MAF in controls were grouped into 'high' (>35%) or 'low' (<35%) categories, the 'high' MAF subgroup, which correlates with the Asian subgroup (Figure 3), was found to be a significant source of heterogeneity relative to the 'low' MAF subgroup (P < 0.001). MAF was also examined as a continuous variable and was confirmed to be a major source of heterogeneity as indicated by the regression plot (Figure 5) and P-value of <0.001 (Table 4).

Sensitivity analysis. Single studies or single tumour sites were sequentially excluded from the meta-analysis to investigate the relative weights of individual studies or data sets. The odds ratios and *P*-values were not statistically altered indicating that the meta-analysis was generally robust.

Publication bias. Publication bias was assessed for the two most commonly investigated genetic models (homozygous codominant and allele contrast models). The shapes of funnel plots did not indicate any evidence of significant asymmetry (Figure 6). In addition, the Egger's test did not yield any evidence of publication bias (t=0.97, P=0.33, for TT vs CC, and t=0.28, P=0.78 for T vs C).

DISCUSSION

Our meta-analysis included 92 studies and involved 21 178 cases and 25 157 controls. Although all common cancer sites except for bladder and stomach cancers showed no statistically significant association, the combined analysis showed that the variant T allele of *NQO1* C609T polymorphism is strongly associated with overall cancer risk in the total population. Stratification by ethnicity revealed that association is significant for the Caucasian populations, which comprised the largest part of available studies

Table 1. Database of relevant studies in the meta-analysis

First author ^a /Year	Cancer site ^b	Country	Ethnicity ^c	Study design	Cases/ controls	Case (CC/CT/TT), T%	Control (CC/CT/TT), T%	HW P -value (control)
Singh et al (2011)	Breast	India	Indian	PB	200/200	(45/131/24), 0.45	(34/149/17), 0.46	0
Aston <i>et al</i> (2005)	Breast	USA	Caucasian	PB	564/1212	(369/177/18), 0.19	(824/347/41), 0.18	0.55
Hamajima <i>et al</i> (2002)	Breast	Japan	Asian	HB	237/640	(100/103/34), 0.36	(240/286/114), 0.40	0.17
Siegelmann-Danieli <i>et al</i> (2002)	Breast	USA	Caucasian	PB	346/235	(222/115/9), 0.19	(168/61/6), 0.16	0.87
Lajin <i>et al</i> (2013)	Breast	Syria	Arab	PB	122/139	(71/41/10), 0.25	(87/43/9), 0.22	0.25
Sarmanova <i>et al</i> (2004)	Breast	Czech	Caucasian	PB	238/310	(166/55/17), 0.19	(221/83/6), 0.15	0.58
Hong et al (2007)	Breast	USA	Caucasian	PB	496/495	(325/157/14), 0.19	(323/151/21), 0.19	0.53
Menzel <i>et al</i> (2004)	Breast	Austria	Caucasian	PB	218/424	(133/76/9), 0.22	(290/126/8), 0.17	0.18
Li <i>et al</i> (2005)	Head and neck	USA	Caucasian	HB	724/1226	(484/209/31), 0.19	(805/388/33), 0.19	0.09
Harth <i>et al</i> (2008)	Head and neck	Germany	Caucasian	HB	295/296	(199/84/12), 0.18	(197/87/12), 0.19	0.54
Soucek <i>et al</i> (2010)	Head and neck	Czech/Poland	Caucasian	HB	116/121	(92/21/3), 0.12	(83/35/3), 0.17	0.76
Begleiter <i>et al</i> (2005)	Head and neck	USA/Canada	Caucasian	HB	350/366	(245/94/11), 0.17	(249/106/11), 0.17	0.94
Benhamou <i>et al</i> (2001)	Head and neck	Finland	Caucasian	HB	250/172	(143/94/13), 0.24	(105/62/5), 0.21	0.24
Siraj et al (2008)	Head and neck	Saudi Arabia	Arab	PB	49/504	(30/18/1), 0.20	(295/177/32), 0.24	0.43
Park et al (2003)	Bladder	USA	Caucasian	HB	232/239	(142/82/8), 0.21	(163/66/10), 0.18	0.32
Schulz et al (1997)	Bladder	Germany	Caucasian	PB	99/260	(68/26/5), 0.18	(195/61/4), 0.13	0.76
Sanyal <i>et al</i> (2004)	Bladder	Sweden	Caucasian	PB	299/124	(206/85/8), 0.17	(83/34/7), 0.19	0.18
Terry et al (2005)	Bladder	USA	Caucasian	HB	235/214	(156/70/9), 0.19	(150/58/6), 0.16	0.89
Hung <i>et al</i> (2004)	Bladder	Italy	Caucasian	HB	201/214	(113/75/13), 0.25	(135/66/13), 0.21	0.21
Moore et al (2004)	Bladder	Argentina	Hispanic	PB	106/108	(62/35/9), 0.25	(61/40/7), 0.25	0.9
Pandith <i>et al</i> (2011)	Bladder	India	Indian	HB	104/120	(44/53/7), 0.32	(70/44/6), 0.23	0.79
Wang et al (2008)	Bladder	Taiwan	Asian	HB	300/300	(70/148/82), 0.52	(94/136/70), 0.46	0.13
Choi <i>et al</i> (2003)	Bladder	Korea	Asian	HB	177/170	(81/68/28), 0.35	(94/60/16), 0.27	0.17
Broberg et al (2005)	Bladder	Sweden	Caucasian	PB	61/156	(43/13/5), 0.19	(107/46/3), 0.17	0.44
Nishino et al (2008)	Cervical	Japan	Asian	HB	124/117	(76/26/22) 0.28	(69/29/19) 0.29	0
Niwa et al (2005)	Cervical	lapan	Asian	HB	131/320	(50/54/27) 0.41	(134/139/47) 0.36	0.27
Zhang et al (2003b)	Gastric	China	Asian	PR	124/165	(40/55/29) 0.46	(52/86/27) 0.42	0.39
Hamaiima et al (2002)	Gastric	lanan	Asian	HB	143/640	(48/71/24) 0.42	(240/286/114) 0.40	0.08
Malik et al (2011)	Gastric	India	Indian	HB	107/195	(10/71/21), 0.12	(112/68/15) 0.25	0.00
Sarbia et al (2003)	Gastric	Germany	Caucasian	PR	320/252	(200/110/10) 0.20	(185/63/4) 0.14	0.51
Liu et al (2003)	Hapatacallular	China	Asian	нв	176/526	(138/220/118) 0.48	(103/03/4), 0.14	0.07
	Hepatocellular	Turkey	Turk	HB	167/167	(86/71/10) 0.27	(96/62/9) 0.24	0.81
Goode et al (2011)	Ovarian		Caucasian	HB	928/1035	(579/319/30) 0.20	(695/308/32) 0.18	0.01
Olson at $al (2004)$	Ovarian		Caucasian	PR	123/182	(82/33/8) 0.20	(120/55/7) 0.19	0.70
	Coloractal	Garmany	Caucasian	DD	222/205	(02/33/0), 0.20	(126/53/7), 0.17	0.02
	Colorectal	Germany	Indian		94/140	(52/29/4) 0.22	(133/02/6), 0.17	0.77
Nice et al (2010)	Colorectal	lanan	Asian		494/777	(33/27/4), 0.22	(110/37/3), 0.13	0.43
	Colorectal	Japan	Asian		144/440	(237/330/07), 0.30	(202/392/103), 0.38	0.07
	Colorectal	Japan	Asian		140/040	(01/00/17), 0.35	(240/266/114), 0.40	0.06
Sachse et al (2002)	Colorectal	UK	Caucasian	PB	490/593	(316/15//1/), 0.19	(398/1/3/22), 0.18	0.56
Begleiter et al (2006)	Colorectal	Canada	Caucasian	PB	298/349	(201/79/18), 0.19	(239/102/8), 0.17	0.45
Van der Logt et al (2006)	Colorectal	Netherlands	Caucasian	PB	369/415	(225/134/10), 0.21	(292/112/11), 0.16	0.95
Hlavata et al (2010)	Colorectal	Czech	Caucasian	PB	495/495	(346/134/15), 0.17	(344/138/13), 0.1/	0.85
von Randen <i>et al</i> (2005)	Oesophageal	Germany	Caucasian	HB	140/260	(91/42/7), 0.20	(185/65/10), 0.16	0.17
Sarbia et al (2003)	Oesophageal	Germany	Caucasian	PB	61/252	(30/29/2), 0.2/	(185/63/4), 0.14	0.6
Marjani et al (2010)	Oesophageal	Iran	Persian	HB	93/50	(51/35/7), 0.26	(22/24/4), 0.32	0.47
di Martino <i>et al</i> (2007)	Oesophageal	UK	Caucasian	HB	141/93	(96/43/2), 0.17	(55/33/5), 0.23	0.99
Zhang et al (2003a)	Oesophageal	Germany	Caucasian	PB	257/252	(183/56/18), 0.18	(185/63/4), 0.14	0.6
Zhang et al (2003a,b)	Oesophageal	China	Asian	PB	193/141	(51/92/50), 0.50	(48//0/23), 0.41	0.//
Umar et al (2012)	Oesophageal	India	Indian	HB	200/200	(92/93/15), 0.31	(93/86/21), 0.32	0.87
Malik et al (2012)	Oesophageal	India	Indian	HB	135/195	(68/43/24), 0.34	(112/68/15), 0.25	0.31
Hamajima <i>et al</i> (2002)	Oesophageal	Japan	Asian	HB	102/640	(37/52/13), 0.38	(240/286/114), 0.40	0.08
Sorensen <i>et al</i> (2005)	Lung	Denmark	Caucasian	PB	254/267	(162/83/9), 0.20	(176/80/11), 0.19	0.62
Yang <i>et al</i> (2007)	Lung	Korea	Asian	HB	314/347	(110/158/46), 0.4	(120/166/61), 0.41	0.78
Cote <i>et al</i> (2009)	Lung	USA	Caucasian	PB	387/405	(271/97/19), 0.17	(271/119/15), 0.18	0.67
Lin <i>et al</i> (2000)	Lung	China	Asian	HB	95/136	(12/63/20), 0.54	(41/73/22), 0.43	0.27
Lewis et al (2001)	Lung	UK	Caucasian	HB	82/145	(56/24/2), 0.17	(111/32/2), 0.12	0.86
Xu et al (2001)	Lung	USA	Caucasian	HB	780/1096	(513/246/21), 0.18	(715/341/40), 0.19	0.93

NQO1 C609T polymorphism and cancer risk

		-						
First author ^a /Year	Cancer site ^b	Country	Ethnicitv ^c	Study design	Cases/ controls	Case (CC/CT/TT), 7 %	Control (CC/CT/TT), 7 %	HW P -value (control)
Xu et al (2001)	Lung	USA	Mixed	HB	34/78	(18/14/2), 0.26	(20/41/17), 0.48	0.64
Yin <i>et al</i> (2001)	Lung	China	Asian	НВ	84/84	(28/39/17), 0.43	(26/41/17), 0.45	0.91
Lin <i>et al</i> (2003)	Lung	Taiwan	Asian	HB	198/332	(57/141/0), 0.36	(95/237/0), 0.36	0
Hamajima <i>et al</i> (2002)	Lung	Japan	Asian	HB	192/640	(87/71/34), 0.36	(240/286/114), 0.40	0.26
Benhamou <i>et al</i> (2001)	Lung	Finland	Caucasian	HB	150/172	(85/55/10), 0.25	(105/62/5), 0.21	0.24
Alexandrie <i>et al</i> (2004)	Lung	Sweden	Caucasian	PB	524/530	(345/168/11), 0.18	(368/153/9), 0.16	0.12
Cote et al (2009)	Lung	USA	African- American	PB	113/121	(77/32/4), 0.18	(79/36/6), 0.20	0.48
Guo et al 2012	Lung	China	Asian	HB	681/597	(187/326/168), 0.49	(172/281/144), 0.48	0.17
Saldivar et al (2005)	Lung	USA	Caucasian	PB	683/683	(454/205/24), 0.19	(480/186/17), 0.16	0.84
Saldivar <i>et al</i> (2005)	Lung	USA	African- American	PB	36/36	(15/17/4), 0.35	(15/14/7), 0.39	0.28
Saldivar et al (2005)	Lung	USA	Caucasian	PB	107/107	(67/33/7), 0.22	(69/35/3), 0.19	0.56
Chen et al 1999	Lung	USA	Asian	PB	109/167	(54/48/7), 0.28	(64/78/25), 0.38	0.88
Chen et al 1999	Lung	USA	Caucasian	PB	135/171	(81/49/5), 0.22	(105/62/4), 0.20	0.14
Chen et al 1999	Lung	USA	Hawaiian	PB	83/102	(61/18/4), 0.16	(60/39/3), 0.22	0.26
Lan <i>et al</i> (2004)	Lung	China	Asian	PB	119/109	(37/57/25), 0.45	(32/54/23), 0.46	0.98
Chan <i>et al</i> (2005)	Lung	China	Asian	HB	75/162	(25/37/13), 0.42	(45/83/34), 0.47	0.71
Sunaga et al 2002	Lung	Japan	Asian	HB	198/152	(83/93/22), 0.35	(52/77/23), 0.40	0.53
Gra et al (2008)	Leukemia	Russia	Caucasian	PB	83/177	(52/28/3), 0.20	(119/52/6), 0.18	0.91
Hishida et al (2005)	Leukaemia	Japan	Asian	HB	51/476	(13/31/7), 0.44	(200/201/75), 0.37	0.04
Begleiter <i>et al</i> (2009)	Leukaemia	Canada	Caucasian	HB	323/299	(219/93/11), 0.18	(196/96/7), 0.18	0.23
Ouerhani <i>et al</i> (2013)	Leukaemia	Tunisia	Arab	PB	100/106	(46/45/9), 0.32	(66/32/8), 0.23	0.15
Voso et al (2007)	Leukaemia	Italy	Caucasian	PB	157/155	(101/48/8), 0.20	(108/40/7), 0.17	0.2
Seedhouse et al (2002)	Leukaemia	UK	Caucasian	PB	134/175	(95/30/9), 0.18	(110/53/12), 0.22	0.12
Kang et al (2008)	Multiple myeloma	Korea	Asian	PB	114/163	(37/70/7), 0.37	(50/80/33), 0.45	0.92
Lincz et al (2007)	Multiple myeloma	Australia	Caucasian	PB	100/201	(60/36/4), 0.22	(142/56/3), 0.15	0.34
Maggini <i>et al</i> (2008)	Multiple myeloma	Italy	Caucasian	PB	245/124	(149/82/14), 0.22	(77/40/7), 0.22	0.55
Schulz et al (1997)	Renal cell carcinoma	Germany	Caucasian	PB	131/260	(84/44/3), 0.19	(195/61/4), 0.13	0.76
Longuemaux <i>et al</i> (1999)	Renal cell carcinoma	France	Caucasian	HB	173/210	(102/60/11), 0.24	(136/66/8), 0.20	1
Hamajima <i>et al</i> (2002)	Lymphoma	Japan	Asian	HB	108/640	(40/51/17), 0.39	(240/286/114), 0.40	0.08
Gra et al (2008)	Lymphoma	Russia	Caucasian	PB	76/177	(54/20/2), 0.16	(119/52/6), 0.18	0.91
Kim <i>et al</i> (2009)	Lymphoma	Korea	Asian	HB	713/1689	(234/362/117), 0.42	(585/850/254), 0.40	0.054
Al-Dayel et al (2008)	Lymphoma	Saudi Arabia	Arab	PB	150/504	(94/37/19), 0.25	(295/177/32), 0.24	0.43
Bartsch <i>et al</i> (1998)	Pancreatic	USA/Europe	Caucasian	HB	81/76	(53/21/7), 0.22	(46/24/6), 0.24	0.27
Mohelnikova-Duchonova <i>et al</i> (2011)	Pancreatic	Czech	Caucasian	HB	235/265	(164/64/7), 0.17	(187/71/7), 0.16	0.93
Hamajima <i>et al</i> (2002)	Prostate	Japan	Asian	HB	56/640	(17/30/9), 0.43	(240/286/114), 0.40	0.08
Mandal <i>et al</i> (2012)	Prostate	India	Indian	HB	195/250	(105/67/23), 0.29	(164/72/14), 0.20	0.11
Steinbrecher <i>et al</i> (2010)	Prostate	Germany	Caucasian	PB	248/492	(163/80/5), 0.18	(333/133/26), 0.19	0.01
Ergen <i>et al</i> (2007)	Prostate	Turkey	Turk	HB	45/59	(23/17/5), 0.30	(23/26/10), 0.39	0.57
Stoehr <i>et al</i> (2012)	Prostate	Germany	Caucasian	HB	119/232	(76/37/6), 0.21	(166/60/6), 0.16	0.84
Steiner et al (1999)	Prostate	Germany	Caucasian	PB	54/100	(37/15/2), 0.18	(67/31/2), 0.18	0.46

Abbreviations: HB = hospital-based, PB = population-based.

^aReference are provided in the list of references. Five studies not in HW (P<0.05) were excluded from the meta-analysis.

^bThe head and neck cancer category includes oral, laryngeal, pharyngeal and thyroid cancers.

^cThe ethnicity 'Asian' was used to refer to populations inhabiting eastern Asia.

on the subject, but not for the Asian ethnicity, which was another largely represented ethnicity in the available studies. Furthermore, although heterogeneity for the total group was only moderate, as shown by I^2 values in Table 2, we identified the Asian ethnicity studies as the major source of heterogeneity observed. The high MAF (>35%), which strongly correlates with the Asian ethnicity, as can be seen in Figure 3, was also a major source of heterogeneity.

The C609T is one of very few known and common singlenucleotide polymorphisms that completely eliminate enzymatic activity, and its biological impact *in vivo* is undoubted. The NQO1 enzyme has been increasingly attracting attention in cancer and more roles unrelated to its classical metabolic functions (Figure 7) are being constantly discovered (Figure 1). First, the NQO1 enzyme has the classical role of catalysing the obligatory twoelectron reduction of a broad range of exogenous and endogenous quinones to their respective hydroquinones, preventing the oneelectron reduction of these compounds into semiquinones and a variety of reactive oxygen species (Iyanagi and Yamazaki, 1970; Bianchet *et al*, 2004). Second, NQO1 was shown to have an additional antioxidant effect by directly and independently scavenging superoxides (Siegel *et al*, 2004), a function that is

						sceptibility based oil							
			TT vs CC			TC vs CC		TT vs TC+C	, U	TT+TC vs CC		T vs C	
	No. of studies	Cases/ controls	OR (95% CI), P	P-het	μ ² (JR (95% CI), P	P-het P ² C	OR (95% CI), P	P-het P	OR (95% CI), P	P-het I ² C	DR (95% CI), P	P-het P
Site							-			*	-		
Breast	7	2221/3455	1.19 (0.76–1.85), 0.45	0.02	59 1	1.10 (0.97–1.24), 0.13	0.36 9 1	1.16 (0.76–1.77), 0.5	0.03 57	1.10 (0.98–1.23), 0.11	0.25 23 1.	.09 (0.95–1.26), 0.2	0.08 47
Head and Neck	9	1784/2685	1.24 (0.88–1.74), 0.22	0.57	0	0.91 (0.79–1.04), 0.18	0.57 0 1	1.27 (0.91–1.78), 0.17	0.58 0	0.94 (0.82-1.07), 0.35	0.54 0 0.	.98 (0.88–1.10), 0.73	0.47 0
Bladder	10	1814/1905	1.48 (1.14–1.93), <0.01	0.30	16 1	1.26 (1.08–1.46), <0.01	0.47 0 1	1.29 (1.01–1.64), 0.12	0.28 17	1.29 (1.12–1.48), <0.01	0.51 0 1.	.23 (1.10–1.38), <0.01	0.58 0
Gastric	4	694/1252	1.55 (1.00–2.41), 0.05	0.23	31 1	1.25 (0.96–1.62), 0.09	0.25 27 1	1.49 (0.94–2.35), 0.09	0.14 44	1.34 (1.09–1.65), <0.01	0.32 15 1.	.29 (1.05–1.58), 0.02	0.16 42
Colorectal	80	2891/3634	0.99 (0.80–1.23), 0.95	0.23	25 1	1.08 (0.94–1.25), 0.29	0.13 37 0	0.99 (0.80–1.21), 0.91	0.24 24	1.08 (0.94–1.24), 0.28	0.12 39 1.	.06 (0.94–1.20), 0.33	0.08 44
Oesophageal	6	1322/2083	1.35 (0.81–2.26), 0.25	< 0.01	63 1	1.12 (0.89–1.42), 0.34	0.04 50 1	1.29 (0.78–2.12), 0.32	<0.01 64	1.17 (0.93–1.49), 0.19	0.02 56 1.	.16 (0.94–1.44), 0.17	< 0.01 67
Lung	22	5235/6307	0.96 (0.78–1.18), 0.7	0.06	35 C	0.97 (0.87–1.09), 0.64	0.04 38 0	0.96 (0.84–1.10), 0.57	0.42 3	0.97 (0.86–1.09), 0.57	<0.01 47 0.	.97 (0.89–1.07), 0.57	0.01 45
Leukaemia	5	797/912	1.21 (0.76–1.92), 0.41	0.92	0	1.10 (0.77–1.55), 0.61	0.04 60 1	1.17 (0.74–1.84), 0.5	0.98 0	1.10 (0.80–1.52), 0.54	0.06 56 1.	.08 (0.87–1.35), 0.48	0.17 38
Multiple myeloma	e	459/488	0.88 (0.25–3.11), 0.84	0.02	75 1	1.23 (0.92–1.64), 0.17	0.59 0 0	0.80 (0.22–2.92), 0.74	0.01 77	1.15 (0.87–1.52), 0.14	0.28 22 1.	.03 (0.68–1.58), 0.88	0.02 73
Lymphoma	4	1047/3010	1.17 (0.94–1.46), 0.17	0.35	9 6).94 (0.74–1.18), 0.58	0.21 33 1	1.19 (0.82–1.73), 0.36	0.14 45	1.02 (0.88–1.18), 0.08	0.60 0 1.	.04 (0.94–1.16), 0.43	0.80 0
Prostate	2	469/1281	1.48 (0.82–2.67), 0.19	0.18	36 1	1.25 (0.98–1.61), 0.08	0.40 2 1	1.34 (0.79–2.29), 0.28	0.22 30	1.26 (0.93–1.70), 0.14	0.20 33 1.	.19 (0.90–1.58), 0.23	0.08 52
Other	6	2445/3041	1.45 (1.16–1.81), <0.01	0.96	0	1.20 (1.07–1.35), <0.01	0.60 0 1	1.34 (1.09–1.65), <0.01	0.98 0	1.23 (1.10–1.38), <0.01	0.64 0 1.	.21 (1.10–1.32), <0.01	0.77 0
Ethnicity													
Caucasian Asian Other	50 24 18	13 682/15 613 557 1/6645 1925/2899	1.28 (1.13–1.46) , <0.01 1.02 (0.86–1.20), 0.851 1.26 (0.91–1.74), 0.158	0.45 <0.01 0.03	1 1 58 1 43 1	1.10 (1.03-1.17) , < 0.01 1.06 (0.93-1.20), 0.39 .04 (0.86-1.25), 0.72	0.05 26 1 0.14 24 0 <0.01 52 1	1.25 (1.11–1.42) , < 0.01 0.99 (0.87–1.14), 0.92 1.26 (0.94–1.69), 0.12	0.46 1 <0.01 47 0.06 36	1.11 (1.06–1.17) , < 0.01 1.04 (0.94–1.15), 0.47 1.07 (0.88–1.30), 0.48	0.08 23 1 . 0.01 44 1. <0.01 57 1.	.11 (1.06–1.16) , < 0.01 .01 (0.94–1.10), 0.73 .08 (0.92–1.27), 0.35	0.11 20 <0.01 56 <0.01 61
MAF in contro	s												
<35 >35	66 26	15 669/18 509 5509/6648	1.35 (1.21–1.51), < 0.01 0.95 (0.80–1.13), 0.58	0.33 <0.01	7 1 58 1	1.10 (1.03–1.17) , < 0.01 .02 (0.92–1.12), 0.71	<0.01 32 1 0.08 29 0	1.32 (1.18–1.47), < 0.01 0.95 (0.83–1.09), 0.49	0.34 6 <0.01 45	1.13 (1.07–1.20) , < 0.01 1.00 (0.89–1.11), 0.98	0.01 30 1 . <0.01 48 0.	.14 (1.08–1.19), < 0.01 .98 (0.90–1.06), 0.6	0.01 30 < 0.01 58
Total	92	21 178/25 157	1.18 (1.07–1.31), 0.002	< 0.01	36 1	1.08 (1.02–1.13), 0.005	< 0.01 32 1	1.14 (1.04–1.25), 0.006	<0.01 25	1.10 (1.04–1.15), <0.001	<0.01 38 1.	.09 (1.04–1.13), <0.001	< 0.01 45
Abbreviations: $CI = c$	confidence -	interval; $MAF = m$	ninor allele frequency; OR =	odds ratio	o. Statis	tically significant associati	ons (P<0.01) are	e shown in bold.					

		P-het I ²		0.24 27	0.57 0	0.44 0	<0.01 77	0.59 0	0.24 30	0.35 9		0.51 0	0.75 0	0.24 29	0.05 73	0.05 49	0.54 0	<0.01 74	
	T vs C	OR (95% Cl), P		1.14 (1.00–1.31), 0.05	0.77 (0.66-1.11), 0.67	1.12 (1.00–1.26), 0.05	1.27 (0.82–1.94), 0.28	1.07 (0.98–1.17), 0.12	1.02 (0.76–1.37), 0.88	1.17 (1.07–1.29), <0.01		1.33 (1.10–1.60), <0.01	1.09 (0.89–1.34), 0.41	0.91 (0.77–1.07), 0.26	1.14 (0.75–1.74), 0.54	0.93 (0.81–1.06), 0.27	1.05 (0.94–1.18), 0.39	1.03 (0.81–1.30), 0.84	
		t J²		4 0	00	7 23	1 77	0	6 46	5 19		4	0	0	4	2 56	6	0 48	
	Ŋ	P-he		0.4	0.6	0.2	<0.0>	0.6	0.1	0.2		0.9	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.7	0.1	
	TT+TC vs C	OR (95% CI), P		1.15 (1.01–1.30), 0.03	0.74 (0.02-1.00), 0.30 1.20 (1.00-1.43), 0.05	1.13 (0.99–1.29), 0.06	1.29 (0.78–2.13), 0.32	1.07 (0.97–1.19), 0.16	1.02 (0.69–1.51), 0.92	1.19 (1.07–1.33), <0.01		1.49 (1.13–1.96), <0.01	1.10 (0.81–1.49), 0.53	0.91 (0.76–1.09), 0.31	1.21 (0.88–1.67), 0.24	0.92 (0.73–1.14), 0.42	1.04 (0.97–1.12), 0.41	1.11 (0.87–1.14), 0.42	
		J ²		65	48	14	65	0	0	0		0	44	55	81	0	0	78	
	Ŋ	P-het		0.02	0.09	0.33	0.03	0.53	0.98	0.98		0.32	0.18	0.13	0.02	0.50	0.41	< 0.01	
lity	TT vs TC+C	OR (95% CI), P		1.32 (0.72–2.44), 0.37	1.26 (0.69–2.30), 0.45	1.21 (0.86–1.72), 0.27	1.47 (0.48–4.48), 0.5	1.18 (0.90–1.55), 0.24	1.05 (0.57–1.93), 0.88	1.33 (1.00–1.75), 0.05		1.36 (0.99–1.87), 0.06	1.17 (0.71–1.94), 0.54	0.82 (0.52-1.28), 0.38	1.11 (0.43–2.90), 0.83	0.93 (0.79–1.09), 0.35	1.06 (0.86–1.33), 0.58	0.89 (0.55–1.45), 0.65	
ptibili		15		4 0		41	77	0	50	19		0	27	0	0	50	0	00	
- susce		P-het		0.38	0.58	0.15	< 0.01	0.71	0.14	0.26		0.73	0.24	0.99	0.89	0.04	0.98	0.36	
VQO1 C609T on cance	TC vs CC	OR (95% CI), P		1.14 (0.99–1.30), 0.06	1.19 (0.99–1.44), 0.07	1.12 (0.93–1.34), 0.24	1.24 (0.74–2.09), 0.42	1.06 (0.96–1.18), 0.26	1.01 (0.66–1.55), 0.96	1.17 (1.05–1.31), <0.01		1.40 (1.04–1.88), 0.03	1.06 (0.72–1.55), 0.78	0.93 (0.77–1.13), 0.49	1.20 (0.86–1.69), 0.28	0.94 (0.75–1.16), 0.55	1.07 (0.89–1.27), 0.49	1.12 (0.94–1.33), 0.21	(P<0.01) are shown in hold
Jo Jo		12		63	0 4	0	67	0	0	0		0	0	48	79	48	0	78	iations
effects		P-het		0.03	0.11	0.41	0.03	0.52	0.88	0.96		0.54	0.52	0.17	0.03	0.05	0.46	< 0.01	nt assoc
ite and ethnicity in the	TT vs CC	OR (95% CI), P		1.38 (0.75–2.52), 0.3	1.32 (0.73–2.36), 0.36	1.25 (0.88–1.78), 0.2	1.57 (0.49–5.01), 0.44	1.19 (0.91–1.57), 0.21	1.03 (0.56–1.91), 0.93	1.38 (1.04–1.82), 0.02		1.70 (1.17–2.46), <0.01	1.18 (0.78–1.79), 0.44	0.79 (0.51–1.24), 0.3	1.24 (0.46–3.36), 0.67	0.87 (0.66–1.16), 0.35	1.10 (0.87–1.41), 0.42	0.96 (0.56–1.65), 0.89	lds ratio. Statistically significa
en cancer si		Cases/ controls		1862/2676	1127/1207	1975/2057	599/857	3102/3576	374/507	2908/3413		477/470	267/805	830/1417	295/781	1867/2394	821/2329	1014/2289	terval: OR = od
tion betwe		No. of studies		ы	n 9	ъ	4	6	ę	13		2	2	2	2	6	2	2	confidence in
Table 3. Interac			Caucasian	Breast cancer	Bladder	Colorectal	Oesophageal	Lung	Leukaemia	Other	Asian	Bladder	Gastric	Colorectal	Oesophageal	Lung	Lymphoma	Other	Abbreviations: CI =

Table 4. Meta-regression analysis identifying potential sources of heterogeneity under the homozygous codominant model (TT vs CC)

	n	Coefficient	Lower bound	Upper bound	Р					
Site	I									
Head and neck	6	Ref		_	_					
Breast	7	- 0.08	- 0.64	0.48	0.78					
Bladder	10	0.19	- 0.36	0.74	0.50					
Gastric	4	0.25	- 0.38	0.88	0.43					
Colorectal	8	- 0.14	- 0.69	0.40	0.60					
Oesophageal	9	0.12	- 0.44	0.69	0.68					
Lung	22	- 0.23	- 0.72	0.25	0.35					
Leukaemia	5	0.005	- 0.68	0.69	0.99					
Multiple myeloma	3	- 0.49	- 1.31	0.32	0.24					
Lymphoma	4	- 0.02	- 0.62	0.58	0.95					
Prostate	5	0.22	- 0.46	0.90	0.52					
Other	9	0.16	- 0.38	0.70	0.57					
Ethnicity										
Caucasian	50	Ref	_	_	_					
Asian	24	- 0.25	- 0.47	- 0.03	0.03					
Other	18	0.015 -0.30 0.32 0								
MAF (con)	<u> </u>									
		- 1.563	- 2.436	- 0.689	< 0.001					
Low (<35%)	66	Ref	_	_	_					
High (>35%)	26	- 0.35	- 0.55	- 0.15	< 0.001					



Figure 3. The mean and range of the MAF of NQO1 C609T in controls in different ethnic groups.

shared with superoxide dismutase. Third, the NQO1 was found to maintain the integrity of microtubule cytoskeleton (Wignall et al, 2004), although the exact mechanism by which NQO1 functions in

this respect is yet to be established. Fourth, the NQO1 enzyme was recently found to act as a stabiliser for several tumour suppressor proteins (p53, p73, and p33), and this stabilisation was shown to be especially prominent under conditions of oxidative stress (Asher et al, 2002a,b). As the C609T polymorphism principally affects the susceptibility of the enzyme to degradation in vivo, it affects all aspects of NQO1 function indistinguishably. The overall results of our meta-analysis showed that the variant T allele, which eliminates enzymatic activity, is a susceptibility allele that is compatible with the multiple NQO1 functions described above.

The largest role of NQO1 in cancer susceptibility is attributable to the interaction of the enzyme with environmental exposure. The high MAF of the C609T polymorphism of this 'environmental' enzyme in the Asian population (>35%) compared with the Caucasian population (<20%) suggests that the environmental exposure is widely different among the two populations. In other words, it can be inferred from the difference in MAF, on the basis of natural selection principles, that the rare allele carriers in the Asian populations are not as in disfavour as their counterparts in Caucasian populations. The variation in allele frequency of this polymorphism therefore highlights major environmental differences among the two populations and may in turn partly explain why a significant association was found in Caucasians but not in Asians. Another possible explanation is the widely different genetic structure between the two populations, as the overall effects of NQO1 C609T polymorphism on cancer susceptibility might be overshadowed or compensated by variants in other metabolic genes (Hengstler et al, 1998; Persson et al, 1999; Zheng et al, 2011). We avoided stratification for other ethnicities due to the very limited number of available studies.

Although most individual studies on Caucasian populations statistically failed to establish an association, it can be seen from the forest plot (Figure 4) that the results of the overwhelming majority of individual studies in Caucasians consistently indicated that the rare allele was a susceptibility factor, as shown by odds ratios >1 for the majority of studies. The lack of statistical association despite the consistency in results among these studies might be due to the low MAF in these populations, as it is difficult from a statistical point of view to establish mild-to-moderate associations with low-frequency alleles because this requires extremely elevated sample sizes. Our meta-analysis may have overcome this problem of low statistical power by combining studies involving all common cancer sites. Combining all common cancer sites seems biologically plausible given the fact that the metabolic (Figure 7) and non-metabolic effects of this enzyme apply in all common cancer sites.

While evidence about the multiple protective roles of the NQO1 enzyme in cancer has been continuously emerging, it should be noted that NQO1 may have adverse effects in some cases. For example, it was found that the NQO1 may bioactivate procarcinogenic coumpounds such as certain nitroaromatic compounds and heterocyclic amines, present in tobacco smoke and certain processed foods (Benson, 1993; Chen et al, 1995; Ross et al, 2000). Determination of the nature of environmental exposure becomes more important in this context, as the variant T allele that eliminates enzymatic activity would be expected to exert protective effects under such conditions. Indeed, few studies reported protective effects for the TT homozygous genotype in Asian populations for lung cancer (Chen et al, 1999; Sunaga et al, 2002), and the nitrosamine 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (NNK), which was found to induce lung adenocarcinoma in rodents (Ohgaki et al, 1985; Hoffmann et al, 1996; Hecht, 1999), and is bioactivated by NQO1, was shown to exist in variable amounts in cigarettes of different brands/geographical origins (Gray et al, 2000). This may contribute to the heterogeneity of the effects of NQO1 C609T polymorphism in lung cancer.

Studioe	Estimate (05	5% c i)	TT/TT+CC	TT/TT+CC	
Usersing	0.70 (0.46	1 10)	04/104	114/054	_
Choi	2.03 (1.03	1.12)	28/109	16/110	
Niwa	1.54 (0.87	2.73)	27/77	47/181	
Zhang	1.40 (0.72,	2.72)	29/69	27/79	
Hamajima	1.05 (0.61,	1.80)	24/72	114/354	
Liu	1.63 (1.16,	2.31)	118/256	100/291	
Wang	1.57 (1.01,	2.45)	82/152	70/164	
Hamajima	0.59 (0.33,	1.05)	17/78	114/354	
Nisa	0.94 (0.68,	1.31)	89/348	103/385	
Hamaiima	2.05 (1.09,	3.03)	13/50	114/354	
Chen	0.33 (0.13.	0.83)	7/61	25/89	
Lin	3.11 (1.28,	7.51)	20/32	22/63	
Yin	0.93 (0.39,	2.19)	17/45	17/43	
Sunaga	0.60 (0.30,	1.18)	22/105	23/75	
Hamajima	0.82 (0.52,	1.30)	34/121	114/354	
Lan	0.94 (0.45,	1.97)	25/62	23/55	
Vana	0.69 (0.31,	1.54)	13/38	34/79	
Guo	1.07 (0.52,	1.31)	46/156	144/316	
Kang	0.29 (0.11	0.72)	7/44	33/83	
Hamajima	0.89 (0.49,	1.65)	17/57	114/354	
Kim	1.15 (0.88,	1.50)	117/351	254/839	
Hamajima	1.11 (0.48,	2.58)	9/26	114/354	
Subgroup Asian (I ² =58%, P=0.00)	1.02 (0.86,	1.20)	1013/2899	1820/5582	<u></u>
Aston	0.98 (0.56	1 73)	18/387	41/865	
Hong	0.66 (0.33	1.33)	14/339	21/344	
Menzel	2.45 (0.93	6.50)	9/142	8/298	
Sarmanova	3.77 (1.46,	9.78)	17/183	6/227	
Siegelmann-Danieli	1.14 (0.40,	3.25)	9/231	6/174	
ц ^т	1.56 (0.94,	2.58)	31/515	33/838	
Harth	0.99 (0.43,	2.26)	12/211	12/209	
Soucek	0.90 (0.18,	4.59)	3/95	3/86	
Begleiter	1.02 (0.43,	2.39)	11/256	11/260	
Terry	1.44 (0.50,	4.15)	9/165	6/156	
Hung	1.19 (0.53,	2.68)	13/126	13/148	
Sanyal	0.46 (0.16,	1.31)	8/214	7/90	
Park	0.92 (0.35,	2.39)	8/150	10/173	
Schulz	3.58 (0.94,	13.74)	5/73	4/199	
Broberg	4.15 (0.95,	18.12)	5/48	3/110	
Goode	2.31 (0.71,	1.87)	30/609	4/109	
Olson	1.67 (0.58	4 79)	8/90	7/127	
Sachse	0.97 (0.51	1.86)	17/333	22/420	
Hlavata	1.15 (0.54.	2.45)	15/361	13/357	
Begleiter	2.68 (1.14,	6.28)	18/219	8/247	
Van der Logt	1.18 (0.49,	2.83)	10/235	11/303	
Harth	0.97 (0.39,	2.43)	12/221	8/143	
Di Martino	0.23 (0.04,	1.22)	2/98	5/60	
Von Rahden	1.42 (0.52,	3.86)	7/98	10/195	
Sarbia	3.08 (0.54,	17.58)	2/32	4/189	
Zhang	4.55 (1.51,	13.70)	18/201	4/189	
Chen	1.62 (0.42,	6.23)	5/86	4/109	
Lewis	1.98 (0.27,	14.44)	2/58	2/113	
Renhamou	2.47 (0.43,	7.50)	21/534	5/110	
Alexandrie	1.30 (0.53	3.18)	11/356	9/377	
Saldivar	1.49 (0.79	2.81)	24/478	17/497	
Saldivar	2.40 (0.60,	9.68)	7/74	3/72	
Sorensen	0.89 (0.36,	2.20)	9/171	11/187	
Cote	1.27 (0.63,	2.54)	19/290	15/286	
Voso	1.22 (0.43,	3.49)	8/109	7/115	!
Seedhouse	0.87 (0.35,	2.15)	9/104	12/122	
Gra	1.14 (0.28,	4.75)	3/55	6/125	
Begleiter	1.41 (0.53,	3.70)	11/230	7/203	
Lingz	3.16 (0.69,	2 67)	4/64	3/145	
Rophamou	1.03 (0.40,	2.07)	12/166	7/04 5/110	
Schulz	1.74 (0.38	7.95)	3/87	4/199	
Longuemaux	1.83 (0.71	4.72)	11/113	8/144	
Gra	0.73 (0.14,	3.76)	2/56	6/125	
Bartsch	1.01 (0.32,	3.23)	7/60	6/52	
Mohelnikova-Duchonova	1.14 (0.39,	3.32)	7/171	7/194	
Stoehr	2.18 (0.68,	6.99)	6/82	6/172	
Steiner	1.81 (0.24,	13.39)	2/39	2/69	
Subgroup Caucasian (I ² =1%, P=0.45)	1.28 (1.12,	1.45)	529/9473	504/11688	\diamond
Laiin	1 26 (0 50	3 5 3)	10/01	0/00	
Moore	1.26 (0.52,	3.61)	9/71	7/68	
Pandith	1.86 (0.59	5.88)	7/51	6/76	
Malik	2.64 (1.23.	5.64)	18/69	15/127	
Akkz	1.24 (0.48.	3.20)	10/96	9/105	
Sameer	1.75 (0.45,	6.78)́	4/57	5/121	
Marjani	0.75 (0.20,	2.84)	7/58	4/26	
Malik	2.64 (1.29,	5.37)	24/92	15/127	
Umar	0.72 (0.35,	1.49)	15/107	21/114	
Chen	1.31 (0.28,	6.11)	4/65	3/63	
Xu	0.13 (0.03,	0.65)	2/20	17/37	
Saldivar	0.57 (0.14,	2.37)	4/19	7/22	
Cote	0.68 (0.19,	2.52)	4/81	6/85	
Sirai	1.01 (0.58,	4.49)	9/55	8//4	
Al-Davel	1.86 (1.04,	3.44)	19/113	32/327	
Mandal	2.57 (1 26	5.21)	23/128	14/178	
Ergen	0.50 (0.15	1.69)	5/28	10/33	
Subgroup other (1 ² =43% P=0.03)	1.26 (0.91	1.74)	175/1222	220/2006	
	(0.01)	,	· · · · · · · helele		
Overall (1 ² =36%, P=0.00)	1.18 (1.07,	1.31)	1717/13594	2544/19276	\$
				0	0.03 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.5 11.18 2 5 10 18.12

Figure 4. Forest plot of studies included in our meta-analysis under the homozygous codominant model (CC vs TT). The plot shows the odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals, l^2 values and $P_{\text{Heterogeneity}}$ values. Calculations are based on the random model.

The duality of NQO1 function in detoxifying and bioactivating carcinogens, the manifestation of which is obviously governed by specific environmental patterns, can explain the high heterogeneity

observed in Asian populations in the present meta-analysis. The high environmental heterogeneity for the Asian subgroup may in turn explain the observed overall lack of association observed in



Figure 5. Meta-regression plot of the MAF of NQO1 C609T in the control populations.



Figure 6. Funnel plots for the assessment of publication bias. Funnel plots assessing publication bias under the (A) homozygous codominant model (CC vs TT) and (B) allele contrast model (T vs C).



Figure 7. The multiple roles of NQO1 in the metabolism of established carcinogens.

our meta-analysis for this ethnicity. Our meta-analysis did not include studying the interaction between specific environmental factors and *NQO1* C609T polymorphism due to the lack of data in the published reports. More information about environmental exposure patterns in the Asian populations is important to resolve the source of heterogeneity observed in the present meta-analysis and assess the true effects of this polymorphism in these populations.

Although our results support firm conclusions about the association between the NOO1 C609T polymorphism and total cancer risk and especially in the Caucasian subgroup, where very low heterogeneity and high consistency among studies were found, three major points are worth consideration in the present meta-analysis. First, the calculated odds ratios in the present meta-analysis were necessarily crude unadjusted odds ratios, as information about potential confounders, especially environmental exposure patterns, were rarely found in the individual studies. Second, our lack of association results with respect to certain individual cancer sites should be approached with caution because of the small sample sizes available in the published studies. Finally, the statistical problems of multiple testing in such comprehensive meta-analyses involving a very large number of statistical tests should be considered. Examination of Tables 2 and 3 shows that the total number of statistical tests performed was 165. However, we attempted to approach this issue rationally by only correcting for the number of genetic models tested through dividing the conventional cut-off P-value of 0.05 by the number of genetic models tested (five models). Hence, a cut-off P-value of 0.01 was considered. We did not correct for the number of cancer sites investigated, as we believe each cancer site should be tested independently, similarly to conducting individual meta-analyses involving single cancer sites. Although there has been some debate about the topic of correcting for multiple testing, we agree about the notion that exaggeration in correcting for multiple testing is as likely to harm scientific evidence as the lack of it, as it may negate true positive associations (Perneger, 1998; Krawczak et al, 2001).

CONCLUSION

The present comprehensive meta-analysis suggests the *NQO1* C609T polymorphism as an important genetic factor in the overall risk for developing cancer, especially in Caucasian populations. More case–control association studies are needed to support this finding in individual cancer sites and in various ethnic groups.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Professor Ted Hupp of the Edinburgh Cancer Research Center UK at the University of Edinburgh for his critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Akkiz H, Bayram S, Bekar A, Akgollu E, Ulger Y, Kaya BY, Sandikci M, Ozdil B (2010) No association of NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) C609T polymorphism and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma development in Turkish subjects. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 11(4): 1051–1058.
- Al-Dayel F, Al-Rasheed M, Ibrahim M, Bu R, Bavi P, Abubaker J, Al-Jomah N, Mohamed GH, Moorji A, Uddin S, Siraj AK, Al-Kuraya K (2008) Polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes CYP1A1, GSTT and GSTP contribute to the development of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma risk in the Saudi Arabian population. *Leuk Lymphoma* 49(1): 122–129.
- Alexandrie AK, Nyberg F, Warholm M, Rannug A (2004) Influence of CYP1A1, GSTM1, GSTT1, and NQO1 genotypes and cumulative smoking

dose on lung cancer risk in a Swedish population. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **13**(6): 908–914.

Asher G, Lotem J, Kama R, Sachs L, Shaul Y (2002a) NQO1 stabilizes p53 through a distinct pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**(5): 3099–3104.

Asher G, Lotem J, Sachs L, Kahana C, Shaul Y (2002b) Mdm-2 and ubiquitin-independent p53 proteasomal degradation regulated by NQO1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**(20): 13125–13130.

Aston CE, Ralph DA, Lalo DP, Manjeshwar S, Gramling BA, DeFreese DC, West AD, Branam DE, Thompson LF, Craft MA, Mitchell DS, Shimasaki CD, Mulvihill JJ, Jupe ER (2005) Oligogenic combinations associated with breast cancer risk in women under 53 years of age. *Hum Genet* 116(3): 208–221.

Bartsch H, Malaveille C, Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Hautefeuille A, Boyle P (1998) Genetic polymorphism of N-acetyltransferases, glutathione S-transferase M1 and NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase in relation to malignant and benign pancreatic disease risk. The International Pancreatic Disease Study Group. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 7(3): 215–223.

Begleiter A, Hewitt D, Gibson SB, Johnston JB (2009) Investigation of an NQO1 polymorphism as a possible risk and prognostic factor for chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Leuk Res* **33**(1): 74–81.

Begleiter A, Hewitt D, Maksymiuk AW, Ross DA, Bird RP (2006) A NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 polymorphism is a risk factor for human colon cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 15(12): 2422–2426.

Begleiter A, Norman A, Leitao D, Cabral T, Hewitt D, Pan S, Grandis JR, Siegfried JM, El-Sayed S, Sutherland D, Ross DA, Kerr PD (2005) Role of NQO1 polymorphisms as risk factors for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Oral Oncol 41(9): 927–933.

Benhamou AV, Bouchardy Christine, Mitrunen Katja, Dayer Pierre, Hirvonen Ari, Simone (2001) Role of NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase polymorphism at codon 187 in susceptibility to lung, laryngeal and oral/pharyngeal cancers. *Biomarkers* 6(6): 440–447.

Benson AM (1993) Conversion of 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide (4NQO) to 4-hydroxyaminoquinoline 1-oxide by a dicumarol-resistant hepatic 4NQO nitroreductase in rats and mice. *Biochem Pharmacol* **46**(7): 1217–1221.

Bianchet MA, Faig M, Amzel LM (2004) Structure and mechanism of NAD[P]H:quinone acceptor oxidoreductases (NQO). *Methods Enzymol* 382: 144–174.

Bray F, Jemal A, Grey N, Ferlay J, Forman D (2012) Global cancer transitions according to the Human Development Index (2008-2030): a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 13(8): 790–801.

Broberg K, Bjork J, Paulsson K, Hoglund M, Albin M (2005) Constitutional short telomeres are strong genetic susceptibility markers for bladder cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 26(7): 1263–1271.

Chan EC, Lam SY, Fu KH, Kwong YL (2005) Polymorphisms of the GSTM1, GSTP1, MPO, XRCC1, and NQO1 genes in Chinese patients with nonsmall cell lung cancers: relationship with aberrant promoter methylation of the CDKN2A and RARB genes. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* **162**(1): 10–20.

Chen H, Lum A, Seifried A, Wilkens LR, Le Marchand L (1999) Association of the NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 609C->T polymorphism with a decreased lung cancer risk. *Cancer Res* **59**(13:): 3045–3048.

Chen S, Knox R, Lewis AD, Friedlos F, Workman P, Deng PS, Fung M, Ebenstein D, Wu K, Tsai TM (1995) Catalytic properties of NAD(P)H:quinone acceptor oxidoreductase: study involving mouse, rat, human, and mouse-rat chimeric enzymes. *Mol Pharmacol* 47(5): 934–939.

Choi JY, Lee KM, Cho SH, Kim SW, Choi HY, Lee SY, Im HJ, Yoon KJ, Choi H, Choi I, Hirvonen A, Hayes RB, Kang D (2003) CYP2E1 and NQO1 genotypes, smoking and bladder cancer. *Pharmacogenetics* 13(6): 349–355.

Cochran WG. The combination of estimates from different experiments (1954) *Biometrics* **10**: 101–129.

Cote ML, Yoo W, Wenzlaff AS, Prysak GM, Santer SK, Claeys GB, Van Dyke AL, Land SJ, Schwartz AG (2009) Tobacco and estrogen metabolic polymorphisms and risk of non-small cell lung cancer in women. *Carcinogenesis* **30**(4): 626–635.

di Martino E, Hardie LJ, Wild CP, Gong YY, Olliver JR, Gough MD, Bird NC (2007) The NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase I C609T polymorphism modifies the risk of Barrett esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma. *Genet Med* **9**(6): 341–347.

Dinkova-Kostova AT, Talalay P (2010) NAD(P)H:quinone acceptor oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1), a multifunctional antioxidant enzyme and exceptionally versatile cytoprotector. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **501**(1): 116–123. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* **315**(7109): 629–634.

Ergen HA, Gormus U, Narter F, Zeybek U, Bulgurcuoglu S, Isbir T (2007) Investigation of NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) C609T polymorphism in prostate cancer. *Anticancer Res* 27(6B): 4107–4110.

Goode EL, White KL, Vierkant RA, Phelan CM, Cunningham JM, Schildkraut JM, Berchuck A, Larson MC, Fridley BL, Olson JE, Webb PM, Chen X, Beesley J, Chenevix-Trench G, Sellers TA (2011) Xenobiotic-Metabolizing gene polymorphisms and ovarian cancer risk. *Mol Carcinog* 50(5): 397–402.

Gra OA, Glotov AS, Nikitin EA, Glotov OS, Kuznetsova VE, Chudinov AV, Sudarikov AB, Nasedkina TV (2008) Polymorphisms in xenobioticmetabolizing genes and the risk of chronic lymphocytic leukemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in adult Russian patients. *Am J Hematol* 83(4): 279–287.

Gray N, Zaridze D, Robertson C, Krivosheeva L, Sigacheva N, Boyle P (2000) Variation within global cigarette brands in tar, nicotine, and certain nitrosamines: analytic study. *Tob Control* **9**(3): 351.

Guo S, Gao M, Li X, Li Y, Chu S, Zhu D, Niu W (2012) Lack of association between NADPH quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) gene C609T polymorphism and lung cancer: a case-control study and a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 7(10): e47939.

Hamajima N, Matsuo K, Iwata H, Shinoda M, Yamamura Y, Kato T, Hatooka S, Mitsudomi T, Suyama M, Kagami Y, Ogura M, Ando M, Sugimura Y, Tajima K (2002) NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) C609T polymorphism and the risk of eight cancers for Japanese. *Int J Clin Oncol* 7(2): 103–108.

Harth V, Donat S, Ko Y, Abel J, Vetter H, Bruning T (2000) NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1 codon 609 polymorphism and its association to colorectal cancer. *Arch Toxicol* **73**(10-11): 528–531.

Harth V, Schafer M, Abel J, Maintz L, Neuhaus T, Besuden M, Primke R, Wilkesmann A, Thier R, Vetter H, Ko YD, Bruning T, Bolt HM, Ickstadt K (2008) Head and neck squamous-cell cancer and its association with polymorphic enzymes of xenobiotic metabolism and repair. J Toxicol Environ Health A 71(13-14): 887–897.

Hecht SS (1999) Tobacco smoke carcinogens and lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 91(14): 1194–1210.

Hengstler JG, Arand M, Herrero ME, Oesch F (1998) Polymorphisms of N-acetyltransferases, glutathione S-transferases, microsomal epoxide hydrolase and sulfotransferases: influence on cancer susceptibility. *Recent Results Cancer Res* 154: 47–85.

Hishida A, Terakura S, Emi N, Yamamoto K, Murata M, Nishio K, Sekido Y, Niwa T, Hamajima N, Naoe T (2005) GSTT1 and GSTM1 deletions, NQO1 C609T polymorphism and risk of chronic myelogenous leukemia in Japanese. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 6(3): 251–255.

Hlavata I, Vrana D, Smerhovsky Z, Pardini B, Naccarati A, Vodicka P, Novotny J, Mohelnikova-Duchonova B, Soucek P (2010) Association between exposure-relevant polymorphisms in CYP1B1, EPHX1, NQO1, GSTM1, GSTP1 and GSTT1 and risk of colorectal cancer in a Czech population. Oncol Rep 24(5): 1347–1353.

Hoffmann D, Rivenson A, Hecht SS (1996) The biological significance of tobacco-specific N-nitrosamines: smoking and adenocarcinoma of the lung. Crit Rev Toxicol 26(2): 199–211.

Hong CC, Ambrosone CB, Ahn J, Choi JY, McCullough ML, Stevens VL, Rodriguez C, Thun MJ, Calle EE (2007) Genetic variability in iron-related oxidative stress pathways (Nrf2, NQ01, NOS3, and HO-1), iron intake, and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 16(9): 1784–1794.

Hung RJ, Boffetta P, Brennan P, Malaveille C, Gelatti U, Placidi D, Carta A, Hautefeuille A, Porru S (2004) Genetic polymorphisms of MPO, COMT, MnSOD, NQO1, interactions with environmental exposures and bladder cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 25(6): 973–978.

Iyanagi T, Yamazaki I (1970) One-electron-transfer reactions in biochemical systems. V. Difference in the mechanism of quinone reduction by the NADH dehydrogenase and the NAD(P)H dehydrogenase (DT-diaphorase). *Biochim Biophys Acta* 216(2): 282–294.

Kang SH, Kim TY, Kim HY, Yoon JH, Cho HI, Yoon SS, Kang DH, Suh CW, Lee JH, Lee DS (2008) Protective role of CYP1A1*2A in the development of multiple myeloma. *Acta Haematol* 119(1): 60–64.

Kim HN, Kim NY, Yu L, Kim YK, Lee IK, Yang DH, Lee JJ, Shin MH, Park KS, Choi JS, Kim HJ (2009) Polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing genes and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Am J Hematol* 84(12): 821–825.

- Krawczak M, Boehringer S, Epplen J (2001) Correcting for multiple testing in genetic association studies: the legend lives on. *Hum Genet* 109(5): 566–567.
- Kuehl BL, Paterson JW, Peacock JW, Paterson MC, Rauth AM (1995) Presence of a heterozygous substitution and its relationship to DT-diaphorase activity. Br J Cancer 72(3): 555–561.
- Lajin B, Alhaj Sakur A, Alachkar A (2013) Association between polymorphisms in apoptotic genes and susceptibility for developing breast cancer in Syrian women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **138**(2): 611–619.
- Lan Q, Mumford JL, Shen M, Demarini DM, Bonner MR, He X, Yeager M, Welch R, Chanock S, Tian L, Chapman RS, Zheng T, Keohavong P, Caporaso N, Rothman N (2004) Oxidative damage-related genes AKR1C3 and OGG1 modulate risks for lung cancer due to exposure to PAH-rich coal combustion emissions. *Carcinogenesis* 25(11): 2177–2181.
- Lewis SJ, Cherry NM, Niven RM, Barber PV, Povey AC (2001) Polymorphisms in the NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase gene and small cell lung cancer risk in a UK population. *Lung Cancer* **34**(2): 177–183.
- Li G, Liu Z, Sturgis EM, Chamberlain RM, Spitz MR, Wei Q (2005) CYP2E1 G1532C, NQO1 Pro187Ser, and CYP1B1 Val432Leu polymorphisms are not associated with risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14(4): 1034–1036.
- Lin P, Hsueh YM, Ko JL, Liang YF, Tsai KJ, Chen CY (2003) Analysis of NQO1, GSTP1, and MnSOD genetic polymorphisms on lung cancer risk in Taiwan. *Lung Cancer* 40(2): 123–129.
- Lin Y, Lu X, Shao M, Liang Y, Li Y (2000) NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoredictase gene polymorphism and susceptibility of lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 6: 1826–1832.
- Lincz LF, Scorgie FE, Robertson R, Enno A (2007) Genetic variations in benzene metabolism and susceptibility to multiple myeloma. *Leuk Res* 31(6): 759–763.
- Liu F, Luo L, Wei Y, Wang W, Li B, Yan L, Wen T (2013) A functional NQO1 609C>T polymorphism and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in a Chinese population. *Tumour Biol* 34(1): 47–53.
- Liu Y, Zhang D (2011) The NQO1 C609T polymorphism and risk of lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* **12**(11): 3091–3095.
- Longuemaux S, Delomenie C, Gallou C, Mejean A, Vincent-Viry M, Bouvier R, Droz D, Krishnamoorthy R, Galteau MM, Junien C, Beroud C, Dupret JM (1999) Candidate genetic modifiers of individual susceptibility to renal cell carcinoma: a study of polymorphic human xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes. *Cancer Res* 59(12): 2903–2908.
- Maggini V, Buda G, Galimberti S, Martino A, Orciuolo E, Morabito F, Bono R, Barale R, Petrini M, Rossi AM (2008) Lack of association of NQO1 and GSTP1 polymorphisms with multiple myeloma risk. *Leuk Res* **32**(6): 988–990.
- Malik MA, Zargar SA, Mittal B (2011) Role of NQO1 609C>T and NQO2-3423G>A polymorphisms in susceptibility to gastric cancer in Kashmir valley. *DNA Cell Biol* **30**(5): 297–303.
- Malik MA, Zargar SA, Mittal B (2012) Role of NQO1 609C>T and NQO2 3423G>A gene polymorphisms in esophageal cancer risk in Kashmir valley and meta analysis. *Mol Biol Rep* **39**(9): 9095–9104.
- Mandal RK, Nissar K, Mittal RD (2012) Genetic variants in metabolizing genes NQO1, NQO2, MTHFR and risk of prostate cancer: a study from North India. *Mol Biol Rep* **39**(12): 11145–11152.
- Marjani HA, Biramijamal F, Rakhshani N, Hossein-Nezhad A, Malekzadeh R (2010) Investigation of NQO1 genetic polymorphism, NQO1 gene expression and PAH-DNA adducts in ESCC. A case-control study from Iran. *Genet Mol Res* **9**(1): 239–249.
- Menzel HJ, Sarmanova J, Soucek P, Berberich R, Grunewald K, Haun M, Kraft HG (2004) Association of NQO1 polymorphism with spontaneous breast cancer in two independent populations. *Br J Cancer* **90**(10): 1989–1994.
- Minelli C, Thompson JR, Abrams KR, Thakkinstian A, Attia J (2009) The quality of meta-analyses of genetic association studies: a review with recommendations. *Am J Epidemiol* **170**(11): 1333–1343.
- Mohelnikova-Duchonova B, Marsakova L, Vrana D, Holcatova I, Ryska M, Smerhovsky Z, Slamova A, Schejbalova M, Soucek P (2011) Superoxide dismutase and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate: quinone oxidoreductase polymorphisms and pancreatic cancer risk. *Pancreas* 40(1): 72–78.
- Moore LE, Wiencke JK, Bates MN, Zheng S, Rey OA, Smith AH (2004) Investigation of genetic polymorphisms and smoking in a bladder cancer case-control study in Argentina. *Cancer Lett* 211(2): 199–207.

- Nisa H, Kono S, Yin G, Toyomura K, Nagano J, Mibu R, Tanaka M, Kakeji Y, Maehara Y, Okamura T, Ikejiri K, Futami K, Maekawa T, Yasunami Y, Takenaka K, Ichimiya H, Terasaka R (2010) Cigarette smoking, genetic polymorphisms and colorectal cancer risk: the Fukuoka Colorectal Cancer Study. BMC Cancer 10: 274.
- Nishino K, Sekine M, Kodama S, Sudo N, Aoki Y, Seki N, Tanaka K (2008) Cigarette smoking and glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphism associated with risk for uterine cervical cancer. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 34(6): 994–1001.
- Niwa Y, Hirose K, Nakanishi T, Nawa A, Kuzuya K, Tajima K, Hamajima N (2005) Association of the NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase C609T polymorphism and the risk of cervical cancer in Japanese subjects. *Gynecol Oncol* **96**(2): 423–429.

Ohgaki H, Hasegawa H, Kato T, Suenaga M, Sato S, Takayama S, Sugimura T (1985) Carcinogenicities in mice and rats of IQ, MeIQ, and MeIQx. *Princess Takamatsu Symp* **16**: 97–105.

- Olson SH, Carlson MD, Ostrer H, Harlap S, Stone A, Winters M, Ambrosone CB (2004) Genetic variants in SOD2, MPO, and NQO1, and risk of ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* **93**(3): 615–620.
- Ouerhani S, Cherif N, Bahri I, Safra I, Menif S, Abbes S (2013) Genetic polymorphisms of NQO1, CYP1A1 and TPMT and susceptibility to acute lymphoblastic leukemia in a Tunisian population. *Mol Biol Rep* **40**(2): 1307–1314.
- Pandith AA, Khan NP, Shah ZA, Shah AM, Wani SM, Siddiqi MA (2011) Association of bladder cancer risk with an NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase polymorphism in an ethnic Kashmiri population. *Biochem Genet* 49(7-8): 417–426.
- Park SJ, Zhao H, Spitz MR, Grossman HB, Wu X (2003) An association between NQO1 genetic polymorphism and risk of bladder cancer. *Mutat Res* 536(1-2): 131–137.
- Perneger TV (1998) What's wrong with Bonferroni adjustments. BMJ 316(7139): 1236–1238.
- Persson I, Johansson I, Lou YC, Yue QY, Duan LS, Bertilsson L, Ingelman-Sundberg M (1999) Genetic polymorphism of xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes among Chinese lung cancer patients. *Int J Cancer* 81(3): 325–329.
- Petitti DB (1994) Meta-Analysis, Decision Analysis, and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. Oxford University Press: New York.
- Ross D, Kepa JK, Winski SL, Beall HD, Anwar A, Siegel D (2000) NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1): chemoprotection, bioactivation, gene regulation and genetic polymorphisms. *Chem Biol Interact* 129(1-2): 77–97.
- Sachse C, Smith G, Wilkie MJ, Barrett JH, Waxman R, Sullivan F, Forman D, Bishop DT, Wolf CR (2002) A pharmacogenetic study to investigate the role of dietary carcinogens in the etiology of colorectal cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 23(11): 1839–1849.
- Saldivar SJ, Wang Y, Zhao H, Shao L, Lin J, Spitz MR, Wu X (2005) An association between a NQO1 genetic polymorphism and risk of lung cancer. *Mutat Res* 582(1-2): 71–78.
- Sameer AS, Shah ZA, Syeed N, Rasool R, Afroze D, Siddiqi MA (2010) NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) Pro187Ser polymorphism and colorectal cancer predisposition in the ethnic Kashmiri population. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* **11**(1): 209–213.
- Sanyal S, Festa F, Sakano S, Zhang Z, Steineck G, Norming U, Wijkstrom H, Larsson P, Kumar R, Hemminki K (2004) Polymorphisms in DNA repair and metabolic genes in bladder cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 25(5): 729–734.
- Sarbia M, Bitzer M, Siegel D, Ross D, Schulz WA, Zotz RB, Kiel S, Geddert H, Kandemir Y, Walter A, Willers R, Gabbert HE (2003) Association between NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQ01) inactivating C609T polymorphism and adenocarcinoma of the upper gastrointestinal tract. *Int J Cancer* 107(3): 381–386.
- Sarmanova J, Susova S, Gut I, Mrhalova M, Kodet R, Adamek J, Roth Z, Soucek P (2004) Breast cancer: role of polymorphisms in biotransformation enzymes. *Eur J Hum Genet* 12(10): 848–854.
- Schulz WA, Krummeck A, Rosinger I, Eickelmann P, Neuhaus C, Ebert T, Schmitz-Drager BJ, Sies H (1997) Increased frequency of a null-allele for NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase in patients with urological malignancies. *Pharmacogenetics* 7(3): 235–239.
- Seedhouse C, Bainton R, Lewis M, Harding A, Russell N, Das-Gupta E (2002) The genotype distribution of the XRCC1 gene indicates a role for base excision repair in the development of therapy-related acute myeloblastic leukemia. *Blood* **100**(10): 3761–3766.

Siegel D, Anwar A, Winski SL, Kepa JK, Zolman KL, Ross D (2001) Rapid polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of a mutant form of NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1. *Mol Pharmacol* 59(2): 263–268.

Siegel D, Gustafson DL, Dehn DL, Han JY, Boonchoong P, Berliner LJ, Ross D (2004) NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1: role as a superoxide scavenger. *Mol Pharmacol* 65(5): 1238–1247.

Siegelmann-Danieli N, Ron I, Kaufman B, Uzieli B, Karminsky N, Inbar M (2002) [Hormone replacement therapy in breast cancer survivors: the Israeli Society for Clinical Oncology and Radiotherapy policy letter]. *Harefuah* 141(11): 994–6, 1008.

Singh V, Upadhyay G, Rastogi N, Singh K, Singh MP (2011) Polymorphism of xenobiotic-metabolizing genes and breast cancer susceptibility in North Indian women. *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers* 15(5): 343–349.

Siraj AK, Ibrahim M, Al-Rasheed M, Abubaker J, Bu R, Siddiqui SU, Al-Dayel F, Al-Sanea O, Al-Nuaim A, Uddin S, Al-Kuraya K (2008) Polymorphisms of selected xenobiotic genes contribute to the development of papillary thyroid cancer susceptibility in Middle Eastern population. *BMC Med Genet* 9: 61.

Sorensen M, Autrup H, Tjonneland A, Overvad K, Raaschou-Nielsen O (2005) Genetic polymorphisms in CYP1B1, GSTA1, NQO1 and NAT2 and the risk of lung cancer. *Cancer Lett* 221(2): 185–190.

Soucek P, Susova S, Mohelnikova-Duchonova B, Gromadzinska J, Moraviec-Sztandera A, Vodicka P, Vodickova L (2010) Polymorphisms in metabolizing enzymes and the risk of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in the Slavic population of the central Europe. *Neoplasma* 57(5): 415–421.

Steinbrecher A, Rohrmann S, Timofeeva M, Risch A, Jansen E, Linseisen J (2010) Dietary glucosinolate intake, polymorphisms in selected biotransformation enzymes, and risk of prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 19(1): 135–143.

Steiner M, Hillenbrand M, Borkowsi M, Seiter H, Schuff-Werner P (1999) 609 C -> T polymorphism in NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase gene in patients with prostatic adenocarcinoma or benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Cancer Lett* **135**(1): 67–71.

Stoehr CG, Nolte E, Wach S, Wieland WF, Hofstaedter F, Hartmann A, Stoehr R (2012) NAD(P)H:Quinone Oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) P187S Polymorphism and Prostate Cancer Risk in Caucasians. *Int J Mol Sci* 13(9): 10959–10969.

Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA, Thacker SB (2000) Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 283(15): 2008–2012.

Sunaga N, Kohno T, Yanagitani N, Sugimura H, Kunitoh H, Tamura T, Takei Y, Tsuchiya S, Saito R, Yokota J (2002) Contribution of the NQO1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms to lung adenocarcinoma susceptibility. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 11(8): 730–738.

Terry PD, Umbach DM, Taylor JA (2005) No association between SOD2 or NQO1 genotypes and risk of bladder cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14(3): 753–754.

Thompson SG, Sharp SJ (1999) Explaining heterogeneity in meta-analysis: a comparison of methods. *Stat Med* **18**(20): 2693–2708.

Umar M, Upadhyay R, Kumar S, Ghoshal UC, Mittal B (2012) Null association of NQO1 609C>T and NQO2 -3423G>A polymorphisms with susceptibility and prognosis of Esophageal cancer in north Indian population and meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol* **36**(6): e373–e379.

van der Logt EM, Bergevoet SM, Roelofs HM, Te Morsche RH, Dijk Y, Wobbes T, Nagengast FM, Peters WH (2006) Role of epoxide hydrolase, NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase, cytochrome P450 2E1 or alcohol dehydrogenase genotypes in susceptibility to colorectal cancer. *Mutat Res* **593**(1-2): 39–49.

von Rahden BH, Stein HJ, Langer R, von Weyhern CW, Schenk E, Doring C, Siewert JR, Hofler H, Sarbia M (2005) C609T polymorphism of the NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase I gene does not significantly affect susceptibility for esophageal adenocarcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 113(3): 506–508.

Voso MT, Fabiani E, D'Alo F, Guidi F, Di Ruscio A, Sica S, Pagano L, Greco M, Hohaus S, Leone G (2007) Increased risk of acute myeloid leukaemia due to polymorphisms in detoxification and DNA repair enzymes. *Ann Oncol* 18(9): 1523–1528.

Wang YH, Lee YH, Tseng PT, Shen CH, Chiou HY (2008) Human NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) and sulfotransferase 1A1 (SULT1A1) polymorphisms and urothelial cancer risk in Taiwan. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 134(2): 203–209.

Wignall SM, Gray NS, Chang YT, Juarez L, Jacob R, Burlingame A, Schultz PG, Heald R (2004) Identification of a novel protein regulating microtubule stability through a chemical approach. *Chem Biol* 11(1): 135–146.

Xu LL, Wain JC, Miller DP, Thurston SW, Su L, Lynch TJ, Christiani DC (2001) The NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 gene polymorphism and lung cancer: differential susceptibility based on smoking behavior. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **10**(4): 303–309.

Yang M, Choi Y, Hwangbo B, Lee JS (2007) Combined effects of genetic polymorphisms in six selected genes on lung cancer susceptibility. *Lung Cancer* 57(2): 135–142.

Yin L, Pu Y, Liu TY, Tung YH, Chen KW, Lin P (2001) Genetic polymorphisms of NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase, CYP1A1 and microsomal epoxide hydrolase and lung cancer risk in Nanjing, China. Lung Cancer 33(2-3): 133–141.

Yuan W, Xu L, Chen W, Wang L, Fu Z, Pang D, Li D (2011) Evidence on the association between NQO1 Pro187Ser polymorphism and breast cancer risk in the current studies: a meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 125(2): 467–472.

Zhang J, Schulz WA, Li Y, Wang R, Zotz R, Wen D, Siegel D, Ross D, Gabbert HE, Sarbia M (2003a) Association of NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) C609T polymorphism with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a German Caucasian and a northern Chinese population. *Carcinogenesis* 24(5): 905–909.

Zhang JH, Li Y, Wang R, Geddert H, Guo W, Wen DG, Chen ZF, Wei LZ, Kuang G, He M, Zhang LW, Wu ML, Wang SJ (2003b) NQO1 C609T polymorphism associated with esophageal cancer and gastric cardiac carcinoma in North China. World J Gastroenterol 9(7): 1390–1393.

Zheng S, Vuitton L, Sheyhidin I, Vuitton DA, Zhang Y, Lu X (2011) Northwestern China: a place to learn more on oesophageal cancer. Part two: gene alterations and polymorphisms. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 23(12): 1087–1099.

Zhou JY, Shi R, Yu HL, Zheng WL, Ma WL (2012) Association of NQO1 Pro187Ser polymorphism with the risks for colorectal cancer and colorectal adenoma: a meta-analysis. *Int J Colorectal Dis* **27**(8): 1123–1124.

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.