LETTER TO EDITOR

WILEY

Integratome analysis of adipose tissues reveals abnormal epigenetic regulation of adipogenesis, inflammation, and insulin signaling in obese individuals with type 2 diabetes

Dear Editor,

Obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D) often but, not invariably, coexist. We examined the transcriptomes and methylomes of subcutaneous adipose (SAT) and visceral adipose tissues (VAT) samples from 25 obese individuals (36% men; mean±standard deviation (SD) body mass index: 39.1 \pm 4.6 kg/m²; age: 38.6 \pm 11.8 years; 12 had T2D; Table S1) with or without T2D during metabolic surgery. By integrating these datasets with public tissue-specific regulatory networks, we revealed perturbations in adipogenesis, inflammatory, and insulin signaling pathways in obese individuals with T2D with validation using multiple external databases.

The whole transcriptome profiles identified only a few differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in T2D, particularly in T2D-SAT (Figure [1A;](#page-1-0) Table S4). This finding accorded with previous reports showing only modest differences in gene expression between T2D and control subjects^{[1](#page-5-0)}. Other studies also identified DEGs implicated in glucose and insulin metabolism mainly in VAT compared with $SAT²$. These low levels of expression emphasize the need to use an integrated approach to identify these complex gene networks. Despite these differences in DEG in SAT and VAT, enrichment analysis indicated dysregulation of cell metabolism and inflammation in both SAT and VAT in obese individuals with T2D (Figure [1B,C\)](#page-1-0).

Global methylation levels, as determined by their relative distances to CpG islands, transcription start sites (TSSs), histone marks, enhancer regions, and other annotations, were similar between VAT and SAT in both T2D and control individuals (Figure S2A-E). However, transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) in T2D-VAT showed a differential distribution of methylation compared to T2D-SAT (Figure [2A,B\)](#page-2-0). Additionally, 19 and 31 differentially methylated regions (DMRs) were detected in T2D-SAT and T2D-VAT, respectively (Figure [2C;](#page-2-0) Table S5). We discovered a novel hypomethylated region in the promoter of *LCLAT1* in both T2D-VAT and T2D-SAT samples (Figure [2D\)](#page-2-0). According to ENCODE data and ChromHMM analysis, this hypomethylated region could facilitate transcription factor (TF) binding and activate gene expression. We also found hypermethylation spanning the 5'UTR of *HOXA3* specific to T2D-SAT, accompanied by a depletion of its coding mRNA levels in individuals with T2D (Figure [2E\)](#page-2-0).

Simply integrating DEGs with DMRs cannot fully elucidate the complex biological networks implicated in T2D and obesity. Thus, we used tissue-specific regulatory networks to discover epigenetically dysregulated gene modules in adipose tissues and their associations with T2D (Supplemental materials). We detected three modules in T2D-SAT and five modules in T2D-VAT by integrating transcriptomes, methylomes, and tissue-specific regulatory networks (Figure [3A, 3C,](#page-3-0) [3E;](#page-3-0) Figure S3A-F). There were 19 genes common to the T2D-SAT and T2D-VAT modules, with an enriched functional annotation of transcriptional regulation (Figure [3B\)](#page-3-0). These findings suggested dysregulated biological pathways shared by SAT and VAT in obese individuals with T2D.

Among the 82 T2D-VAT module genes, some were implicated in circadian rhythm, disruption of which could contribute to the development of $T2D³$, while many others were known genes associated with T2D. For example, slight downregulation of *TFEB* might result in reduced adipogenesis known to be associated with an increased risk of $T2D⁴$.

Interestingly, we identified a *HOX* gene-enriched module in T2D-SAT (Figure [3C-D,](#page-3-0) Supplemental materials). The distribution and pattern of *HOX* genes differed between the upper and lower body which might explain the different prognostic significance of VAT (predominate in the upper body) and SAT (predominate in the lower body) 5 . Given the association of VAT with cardiovascular disease and T2D risk, and the protective effects of $SAT⁶$, the identification of *HOX* genes as a major linking biomarker

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2021} The Authors. *Clinical and Translational Medicine* published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Shanghai Institute of Clinical Bioinformatics

FIGURE 1 Whole transcriptome profiling reveals dysregulated cell metabolism and increased inflammation in obese individuals with T2D. (A) Number of DEGs (FDR<0.1) in the T2D versus control groups in SAT and VAT. (B) Number of upregulated genes in SAT and VAT. Enriched KEGG pathways (FDR<0.1) of upregulated genes in T2D in VAT. (C) Number of downregulated genes in SAT and VAT. Enriched KEGG pathways (FDR<0.1) of downregulated genes in T2D in SAT and VAT.

provides new insights regarding the causal role of adipogenesis in T2D.

In this *HOX* gene-enriched T2D-SAT module, we identified multiple genes with differential expression and methylation, with several examples highlighted (Figure [3D\)](#page-3-0). Consistent with the previous studies, $\frac{7}{1}$ we found downregulated trends of *HOXD9* and *MEOX2*, and upregulation of *PRRX1*. Along with *APCDD1* and *SPI1, PRRX1* could inhibit PPAR*γ*-mediated adipocyte differentiation and adipogenesis. On the other hand, cooperative expression of *HOXD9*, *MME*, *SPI*, and *TLR4* might impair insulin signaling and secretion accompanied by obesity-induced inflammatory responses $8,9$. Using EpiMap¹⁰, the rs34872471 genetic signal overlapped with the adipose tissue-specific enhancer region nearest to the *TCF7L2* promoter in T2D patients (Figure [3C\)](#page-3-0). Other genes in the module were potentially novel T2D markers, such as*ASPA*, an interactor of *TCF7L2*, which was hypermethylated with downregulation in T2D-SAT. The novel T2D-SAT-specific 5'UTR of *HOXA3* hyper-

methylated region (Figure [2E\)](#page-2-0) was related to all *HOXA3* regulated genes (Figure [3A\)](#page-3-0), supporting their roles in the epigenetic regulation in T2D. Taken together, this *HOX* gene-enriched module may participate in inhibiting PPAR*γ*-mediated adipocyte differentiation and adipogenesis, and impairing insulin signaling and secretion accompanied by obesity-induced inflammatory responses.

In another T2D-SAT-specific module (Figure [3E-F\)](#page-3-0), we identified novel T2D biomarkers. The expression levels of *ACACB*, *ELF1*, *IL1RL1*, and *SPI1* were confirmed by qPCR validation in additional T2D-SAT samples (Figure S4). *ELF1*, *IL1RL1, NR3C1*, and *TFCP2* were known to reduce adipocyte differentiation which can lead to abnormal glucose metabolism and inflammation, while *APBB1IP* and *FBN1* were predicted to be involved in these biological pathways. Taken together, this module might provide a novel epigenetic pathway regulating insulin signaling through adipocyte differentiation and inflammatory responses in obese patients with T2D.

FIGURE 2 Whole methylome profiling of TFBSs and DMRs in VAT and SAT in obese individuals with T2D (A) Number of TFs that bind to TFBSs with differential methylation (FDR<0.1). (B) Venn diagram showing the number of TFs that bind to TFBSs with similar, divergent, or tissue-specific differential methylation in SAT and VAT. (C) Heat-map of the methylation levels of five common DMRs in SAT and VAT, 14 SAT-specific DMRs, and 24 VAT-specific DMRs. Rows and columns represent methylation probes and samples, respectively. (D) Methylation levels and histone modifications of *LCLAT1*. Novel hypomethylated regions in the promoter (TSS1500) region of *LCLAT1* in SAT and VAT. Histone modifications in the hypomethylated regions of *LCLAT1* were determined using ENCODE data. (E) Methylation levels and expression of *HOXA3* in SAT. Novel tissue-specific hypermethylated regions in the 5'UTR of *HOXA3* in SAT. Boxplot showing FPKM of *HOXA3* in T2D-SAT and control-SAT. Colors represent disease groups (T2D; control).

FIGURE 3 Tissue-specific functional epigenetic network-based analysis of SAT and VAT. (A) Overview of the three and five functional epigenetic modules identified in SAT and VAT, respectively. The significance of the colors of the nodes and edges are described in the legend. The 19 genes in the grey frame are the genes shared between SAT and VAT. Dashed lines represented regulatory interactions between HOXA3-related genes and module genes in the SAT-specific network. (B) Enriched GO biological processes (FDR<0.1) of the 19 shared genes, including transcriptional regulation-related biological processes. (C) Homeobox gene family-enriched module in SAT (Module 2). Genes in orange represent the homeobox gene family genes. Edge widths represent the average statistic of the genes comprising the edge. The core of the node represents the differential DNA methylation statistics. The border of the node represents the differential gene expression statistics. Regulatory direction is not shown. (D) Literature-based interpretation of how *HOX* genes and their targets identified in SAT module 2 can lead to dysfunction of adipogenesis and adipocyte differentiation, thereby activating obesity-induced inflammatory response and inhibiting insulin signaling. Edge and node colors are explained in the legend. (E) Tissue-specific functional epigenetic module 1 in SAT. (F) Literature-based interpretation (solid line) of genes in the tissue-specific SAT module that lead to obesity-induced inflammatory responses and the inference (dashed line) of the module function.

FIGURE 4 (A) Summary of the 161 module- and DMR-identified biomarkers in T2D and control SAT and VAT. DEGs represent marginal DEGs. T2D biomarkers are those differentially expressed or methylated genes in T2D. Control biomarkers are those that interacted with the T2D biomarkers, but no differential expression or methylation in T2D. (B) Datasets used for external validation of module genes and DMRs in this study (i.e., comorbidity, druggability, eQTL, trans-ethnic GWAS, TFBSs, TFs, and T2Di datasets).

We identified 161 potential biomarkers in these networks and DMRs which were independently validated in at least one external database relevant to comorbidity, druggability, expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL), genome-wide association studies (GWAS), TFBSs, TFs, or the T2D integratome (T2Di) (Figure 4A-B; Table S6-S7). Of these 161 modular biomarkers enriched in multiple databases, 73.9% were validated in at least one external dataset and 48.4% were TFs. Amongst the biomarkers shared by both tissues, 7 were potential drug targets.

By integrating differential gene expression and methylation levels in SAT and VAT collected during metabolic surgery from obese T2D and non-T2D individuals with tissue-specific regulatory networks, we found multiple epigenetic regulatory networks in both SAT and VAT associated with obesity in T2D. These findings confirmed current knowledge regarding the pathophysiological roles of different adipose tissues in insulin resistance, inflammation, and development of T2D whilst revealing novel relationships not detectable by single-layered analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was partially supported by the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong General Research Fund (GRF) grant 14102014 to TFC, the Research Grants Council Themebased Research Scheme grant (T12-402/13N) and Research Impact Fund (R4012-18) to RCWM and JCNC.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

ACSY, JWL are co-founders of Codex Genetics Limited. NJ is working at Codex Genetics Limited. JCNC and RCWM are co-founders of GemVCare.

ETH ICAL APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The research protocol and informed consent forms were approved by the Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong-New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Ref no. CRE-2011.131) in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All individuals gave written informed consent.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TFC, JCNC, and RCWM conceived and directed the study. APSK and CCHL managed the clinical research ethics and patient consent. SKHW and EKWN performed the adipose tissue biopsies. HML and YH performed the experiments. NJ, ACSY, and JWL performed the bioinformatic analyses. NJ, TFC, and JCNC interpreted the data. NJ drafted the manuscript. TFC and JCNC revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

RNA sequencing data are deposited at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database with the accession number PRJNA679994. DNA methylation data are deposited at the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database with the accession number GSE162166.

> Nana Jin¹ Heung-Man Lee² \bullet Yong Hou² Allen C.S. $Yu¹$ Jing-Woei Li¹ Alice P.S. Kong $2,3,4$ Candice C.H. Lam5 Simon K.H. Wong⁵ Enders K.W. Ng⁵ Ronald C.W. $Ma^{2,3,4}$ Juliana C.N. Chan^{2,3,4} Ting-Fung Chan¹

¹ School of Life Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

² Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Prince of Wales Hospital, Hong Kong SAR, China

³ Hong Kong Institute of Diabetes and Obesity, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Prince of Wales Hospital, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR, China

⁴ Li Ka Shing Institute of Health Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Prince of Wales Hospital, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR, China

⁵ Department of Surgery, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Prince of Wales Hospital, Hong Kong SAR, China

Correspondence

Ting-Fung Chan, School of Life Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China. Email: tf.chan@cuhk.edu.hk Juliana C.N. Chan, Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Prince of Wales Hospital, Hong Kong SAR, China. Email: jchan@cuhk.edu.hk

ORCID

Heung-Man Lee <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5199-1778> *Ting-Fung Chan* [https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0489-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0489-3884) [3884](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0489-3884)

REFERENCES

- 1. Li JW, Lee H-M, Wang Y, et al. Interactome-transcriptome analysis discovers signatures complementary to GWAS Loci of Type 2 Diabetes. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:35228.
- 2. Samaras K, Botelho NK, Chisholm DJ, Lord RV. Subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue gene expression of serum adipokines that predict type 2 diabetes. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2010;18(5):884–9.
- 3. Kurose T, Yabe D, Inagaki N. Circadian rhythms and diabetes. *J Diabetes Investig*. 2011;2(3):176–7.
- 4. Salma N, Song JS, Kawakami A, et al. Tfe3 and Tfeb Transcriptionally Regulate Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor gamma2 Expression in Adipocytes and Mediate Adiponectin and Glucose Levels in Mice. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2017; 37(15).
- 5. Karpe F, Pinnick KE. Biology of upper-body and lower-body adipose tissue–link to whole-body phenotypes. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2015;11(2):90–100.
- 6. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ôunpuu S, et al. Obesity and the risk of myocardial infarction in 27,000 participants from 52 countries: a case-control study. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9497): 1640–9.
- 7. Adam MP, Hudgins L, Carey JC, et al. Preaxial hallucal polydactyly as a marker for diabetic embryopathy. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol*. 2009;85(1):13–9.

- 8. Wan Y, Saghatelian A, Chong LW, Zhang CL, Cravatt BF, Evans RM. Maternal PPAR gamma protects nursing neonates by suppressing the production of inflammatory milk. *Genes Dev*. 2007;21(15):1895–908.
- 9. Dispirito JR, Fang B, Wang F, Lazar MA. Pruning of the adipocyte peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma cistrome by hematopoietic master regulator PU.1. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2013;33(16):3354–64.
- 10. Carles AB, James BT, Park YP, Meuleman W, Kellis M. Regulatory genomic circuitry of human disease loci by integrative epigenomics. *Nature*. 2021;590(7845):300–307.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.