# Pharmacogenomic strategy for individualizing antidepressant therapy

Keh-Ming Lin, MD, MPH; Roy H. Perlis, MD; Yu-Jui Yvonne Wan, PhD



Despite remarkable progress, pharmacotherapy in general, including that for the treatment of depressive conditions, has often ignored the magnitude and clinical significance of the huge interindividual variations in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, resulting in poor compliance, suboptimal therapeutic effects, and treatment resistance. Advances in pharmacogenomics and computer modeling technologies hold promise for achieving the goals of "individualized" ("personalized") medicine. However, the challenges for realizing such goals remain substantial. These include the packaging and interpretation of genotyping results, changes in medical practice (innovation diffusion), and infrastructural, financing, ethical, and organizational issues related to the use of new information.

© 2008, LLS SAS

Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2008;10:401-408.

**Keywords:** pharmacogenomic; individualized medicine; antidepressant; treatment response; personalized medicine; pharmacogenetic; psychopharmacology

Author affiliations: Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Research, National Health Research Institutes (NHRI), Taipei, Taiwan; Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Science, Stanford, California, USA (Keh-Ming Lin); Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA (Roy H. Perlis); Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology & Therapeutics, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA (Yu-Jui Yvonne Wan)

espite mounting evidence to the contrary, current pharmacological practices largely ignore or minimize individual and cross-group variations, which often are extremely sizable. Textbooks and package inserts provided by pharmaceutical companies give a fairly narrow range for dosing recommendations. Consequently, medications prescribed in the clinical setting are way too little for some, and grossly excessive for others. There are also currently no rational guidelines for choosing one class or type of medication over the other (eg, selective serotonin uptake inhibitors [SSRIs] vs others). This approach of "one size fits all" is often the reason for poor treatment response, noncompliance, severe adverse effects, unnecessary hospitalization, and even mortality. Pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics (PG) hold great potential for addressing these issues. In fact, while the field continues to progress with lightning speed, with much more valuable information still forthcoming, a great deal is already known about factors governing both the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of many drugs, and the technology is largely there to put these into clinical use.

A number of major obstacles are likely responsible for this apparent discrepancy between the progress of PG on the one hand, and its clinical application on the other. These include (i) feasibility of incorporating PG input into clinical decision-making, which might be termed clinical pharmacogenomics (CPG), and the impact of such an approach on clinical outcome; (ii) complexity and apparent "overabundance" of PG information vis-à-vis drug response; (iii) inherent "inertia" hindering the "diffusion of innovation," and the need for incorporating PG approaches into medical education; (iv) problems related to the "economy of

Address for correspondence: Keh-Ming Lin, MD, MPH, Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, 75 Alta Road, Stanford, CA 94305-8710, USA (e-mail: linkehming@gmail.com)

#### Selected abbreviations and acronyms

**AD** antidepressant

CYP cytochrome P-450 enzyme

PG pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics SSRI selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor

scale;" and financial support for new approaches.

In the following article, we will briefly review the literature suggesting that CPG is feasible and clinically relevant, and that depressed subjects treated with the CPG approach will show significantly fewer side effects (greater tolerability), greater treatment adherence, better clinical outcome, and a lower rate of relapse. Such data should be encouraging for medical educators and policymakers in moving forward with the broad adaptation of CPG as part of the standard of care, and the realization of the goals of what have been generally called "individualized" or "personalized" medicine.

### The prevalence and impact of clinical depression

Extensive clinical and epidemiological data, accumulated over the past several decades, consistently indicate that clinically significant depression is a highly prevalent condition. Using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview, a revised structured clinical interview instrument derived from the Diagnostic Interview Schedule, the National Comorbidity Study found that up to 25% of the general population in the US are at risk of developing DSM-III-R-defined major depression at least once in their lifetime. Utilizing similarly sophisticated research designs and assessment instruments, a number of well-designed studies also have been conducted in other countries, ranging from France to Korea. Together, these studies convincingly demonstrate that depression is a worldwide phenomenon, and is a serious public health problem in any society.

Approximately 15% of the people who suffer from major depression eventually end their lives with suicide,<sup>4</sup> making suicide one of the ten major causes of death in many countries in recent years. Recent studies have also demonstrated that depression is frequently associated with significant morbidity, mortality, and functional impairment, and often incurs substantial financial costs to society comparable to, or exceeding, many other relatively common medical problems such as hypertension or diabetes.<sup>5</sup> In addition, recent studies have shown that depression is a major risk factor for other life-threatening medical conditions, such as heart

attacks, stroke, and cancers.<sup>6,7</sup> Furthermore, although acute depressive episodes are often time-limited, longitudinal follow-up studies conducted in recent years revealed that relapse often occurs, rendering the long-term outcome of such a condition far more ominous. Remission is often incomplete; many continue to suffer from subsyndromal depressive conditions, which also have been shown to be associated with significant functional disability.<sup>8,9</sup>

#### Current status of antidepressant treatment: success and limitations

Since the 1950s, a large number of antidepressants (ADs) have been developed, each with proven efficacy in welldesigned, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trials. Starting with the classical tricyclic antidepressants and monoamine oxidase inhibitors, now clinicians also have at their disposal a large array of newer antidepressants, including the SSRIs and the serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), as well as a number of other "novel" antidepressants. These compounds, each with its unique profile, together afford clinicians powerful tools in their attempts to bring patients back from the brink of despair. At the same time, the multiplicity and complexity presented by these diverse agents represent a puzzling challenge for clinicians both young and seasoned. Despite decades of research, it remains unclear why, despite their proven efficacy (with proven superiority compared with placebos), a relatively large proportion of the patients fail to respond to these agents, and why different patients might respond to different agents. In other words, there is at present no reliable method for clinicians to predict, prior to the initiation of treatment, which of the several dozens of ADs might be the best for any particular patient. This plight is further worsened by the fact that there is a significant lag time, up to 4 to 6 weeks, before the full benefit of the medication can be determined. Thus, for each "failed" treatment, substantive and perhaps critical time is lost, which might lead to dire consequence including further deterioration, dropping out, and a further increase of the risk for mortality.

Similarly, clinicians currently have little means for determining the optimal starting dose of any of the ADs being prescribed. This is so despite the fact that huge interindividual variations (up to 100 times) have been demonstrated for most, if not all, ADs (and most of the other medications). For a substantive proportion of the patients, the "standard" initial doses (as suggested in package

inserts and in textbooks) represent only a small fraction of the optimal dose needed for therapeutic response, for others, such doses lead to severe side effects. The titration is essentially "trial and error," time-consuming, and contributes further to the delay in treatment response and recovery. Although the determination of the concentration of drugs and their metabolites in bodily fluids (typically plasma or serum) could be useful in this regard, it is usually not available in clinical settings (it may not be feasible to have "blood level" measurements of various ADs available on a routine basis), and is typically done at steady-state, requiring patients to be on a particular medication for at an extended period of time before the measurement (single dose kinetics is even harder to do and more difficult to interpret in the clinical settings).

Thus, although ADs are efficacious, neither their choice nor the dosing strategy are based on rational principles, leading to substantial "false starts," delay in response, diminished medication adherence, "under- or overtreatment," iatrogenic problems, morbidity, and even mortality.

#### The promise of pharmacogenetics/ pharmacogenomics

In such a context, it may be particularly surprising that knowledge derived from the field of pharmacogenetics/pharmacogenomics has not yet made inroads into enhancing clinicians' ability to "individualize" or "personalize" pharmacotherapy. Evolving over the past half century, the field of pharmacogenetics has provided the basis for our understanding of many "idiosyncratic" drug reactions. In recent years, it elucidated much of the genetic basis of individual variations in pharmacokinetics (especially genes determining drug metabolism) and pharmacodynamics (therapeutic target responses). Their relevance for ADs is summarized below.

# Genes encoding enzymes and other protein products responsible for the fate and disposition of psychotropics (pharmacokinetics)

As is true with many other pharmacological agents, the biotransformation of practically all ADs are primarily mediated by a group of enzymes called cytochrome P-450 enzymes (CYPs) including CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP3A, and CYP1A2. Huge individual variations in the activities of these enzymes have long been demonstrated, much of

which have been accounted for with specific allelic variations in the genes encoding these enzymes. For example, CYP2D6 allelic profiles determine whether a particular individual is a poor metabolizer (those with defective genes encoding no enzyme; approximately 2% in Han Chinese and 7% in Caucasians), intermediate metabolizer (those with "less effective" gene; approximately 50% in East Asians), extensive metabolizer (those with "wildtype" alleles; approximately 47% in East Asians) and ultrarapid metabolizer (those with gene duplication or multiplication; about 1% in East Asians and Northern Europeans, but up to 7% in Spaniards and up to 30% in Arabs and Ethiopians). 10 Studies involving desipramine and venlafaxine clearly indicate that these CYP2D6 polymorphisms are mainly responsible for the pharmacokinetics, dosing, and side-effect profiles of these CYP2D6 substrates.<sup>11,12</sup> Similarly, specific allelic alterations also have been demonstrated to determine CYP2C19 enzyme activities, and consequently the dosing and side effect profiles of medications metabolized by this enzyme. In addition, the activity of some of these CYPs also could be significantly altered by exposure to environmental agents, whose mechanisms also have been elucidated. For example, the induction effect of St John's wort (and other natural substances) on CYP3A4 is now known to be mediated via the steroid and xenobiotic receptor [SXR], and the induction of CYP1A2 by constituents of cigarettes is mediated through the activation of the Ah receptor.<sup>13</sup>

Although less well documented, a number of genes other than the CYPs also influence the process of pharmacokinetics, and thus are likely to also affect the dosing and side-effect profiles of ADs. These include genes encoding transferases, such as glutathione-S-transferase (GST) and UDP-glucurunosyltransferases (UGTs), which are responsible for drug conjugation; multidrug-resistance gene (MDR1) encoding the P-glycoprotein responsible for exporting lipophilic compounds to the extracellular space (and thus reducing drug absorption in the gut as well as inhibiting their crossing the blood-brain barrier)<sup>14,15</sup>; and, orosomucoid 1 and 2 (ORM1 and ORM2) encoding the alpha-1-acid glycoproteins responsible for most of the often extensive binding of psychotropics to plasma proteins.<sup>16,17</sup> (*Table I*)

#### Genes encoding therapeutic targets of ADs (pharmacodynamics)

A number of monoamine neurotransmitter systems,

including serotonin (5-HT), norepinephrine (NE), and dopamine (DA), may all play crucial roles in mediating vulnerability to depressive disorders. 18-20 Moreover, most of the commonly prescribed antidepressants are believed to exert their effects at least in part through the modulation of either the 5-HT or the NE systems. or both. 19,20 As the proximal site of action of many antidepressants in clinical use, the genes of the 5-HT, NE, and DA systems therefore represent attractive functional candidates in exploring antidepressant response. Each of these systems is influenced by three types of gene products: (i) those involved in biosynthesis and catabolism of the monoamines; (ii) the receptors mediating their effects; and (iii) the specific transporters which remove them from the synapses.<sup>18</sup> Although a large number of studies have been conducted examining the association between many of these genes and antidepressant response as well as risk for mood and associated disorders, results have often been inconsistent. Of these, however, the serotonin transporter (SERT or 5-HTT) appears most promising. As the target of SSRIs, 5-HTT clearly plays a crucial role in determining patients' response to these antidepressants, and thus it is reasonable to speculate that functional genetic polymorphism(s) should bear clinical relevance. This indeed appears to be the case with the 5-HTT genelinked polymorphic region (5-HTTLPR), a 44 base-pair insertion/deletion in the promoter region, which significantly influences the basal transcriptional activity of 5-HTT,<sup>21</sup> resulting in differential 5-HTT expression and 5-HT cellular uptake.<sup>22</sup> Hariri et al<sup>23</sup> reported that subjects

who are homozygotic for the *l* allele for 5-HTTLPR showed less fear and anxiety-related behaviors and exhibited less amygdala neuronal activity as assessed by functional magnetic resonance imaging in response to fearful stimuli. In congruence with this, a large number of studies have suggested association between this polymorphism and anxiety, depression and suicide risks. The relationship between 5-HTTLPR polymorphisms and antidepressant response has been intriguing. Seven of nine studies,<sup>24-32</sup> including one from Taiwan,<sup>24</sup> showed that the 5-HTTLPR *l* allele is associated with better or more rapid SSRI response. Two recent studies also implicate the 5-HTTLPR *s* allele in SSRI-emergent adverse effects.<sup>33,34</sup>

Other genes that have been the target of similar investigations include serotonin<sub>2A</sub> receptor (5-HT2A), <sup>35-38</sup> dopamine transporter (DATI), <sup>39-46</sup> dopamine D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub> receptor (DRD2, DRD3, DRD4), norepinephrine transporter (NET), adrenalin<sub>2A</sub> receptor (ADRA2A), <sup>47-50</sup> beta adrenalin receptor (betaARs), <sup>51</sup> Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT), <sup>52</sup> monoamine oxidase (MAO), <sup>53-55</sup> tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH), <sup>27-56,57</sup> G-protein beta3-subunit (Gbeta3), <sup>58</sup> apolipoprotein E epsilon4<sup>59</sup> and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). <sup>60</sup>  $(Table\ II)$ 

#### From pharmacogenomics to individualized medicine

The remarkable advances as described above notwithstanding, the goal of achieving "individualized medicine" remains elusive. Although part of this apparent lack of

				Public Database SNPs		
Gene	Gene	Chromosomal	Size (bp)*	# SNPs	Mean distance between	
	name	location			SNPs (kb)	
Cytochrome P450 1A2	CYP1A2	15q24.1	7776	28	0.6	
Cytochrome P450 2C19C	CYP2C19	10q23.33	90209	31	3.2	
Cytochrome P450 2D6	CYP2D6	22q13.1	14797	125	0.1	
Cytochrome P450 3A4	CYP3A4	7q22.1	27205	66	0.7	
Cytochrome P450 3A5	CYP3A5	7q22.1	31790	15	2.7	
Constitutive androstane receptor	CAR, NR1I3	1q21.3	8,511	28	0.3	
Steroid and xenobiotic recepter	SXR, NR1I2	3q12-q13.3	38,001	69	0.6	
Orosomucoid 1	ORM1	9q32	3422	70	0.3	
Orosomucoid 2	ORM2	9q32	3230	73	0.3	
Multiple drug resistance 1	MDR1	7q21.1	209390	202	1.0	
UDP-glycosyltransferase	UGT2B7	4q13.2	16451	0	0	
UDP-glycosyltransferase	UGT2B15	4q13.2	23987	46	0.9	

Table I. Candidate genes and corresponding single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) densities (pharmacokinetics).

progress in the clinical application of pharmacogenomics may be attributable to existing gaps in the knowledge base, there is a general belief that the field has progressed to a point that sufficient information has already been accumulated that is clinically applicable. Factors impeding the progress in this direction have to do with infrastructure as well as data showing efficacy and cost-effectiveness of the pharmacogenomic approach.

#### **Development of pharmacogenomic panel(s)**

Although for some drug-metabolizing enzymes, such as CYP2D6 and CYP2C19, allelic variations could lead to dramatic functional and health consequences, in the majority of the "candidate genes" for antidepressant response, the influence is partial and may be cumulative. This means that many genes may influence treatment response, but each with only a small effect. This is especially true with genes encoding potential therapeutic targets. Although this has been the consensus in the field for a number of years, the extant pharmacogenetic literature is predominantly based on single genotype or a combination of only a few genotypes. In order for pharmacogenetic data to be clinically useful,

multiple relevant genotypes need to be tested simultaneously, and the results need to be available for clinicians in a timely manner (preferably within 24 hours), such that the data could be included in the clinical decisions made prior to the initiation of pharmacotherapy. With the advent of high-throughput genotyping technologies, this is no longer out of reach. Thus, the next generation of pharmacogenomic research should include the development of specific pharmacogenomic panel(s) for different disease categories and treatment methods.

#### Developing user-friendly tools for interpreting pharmacogenomic results

Since for any disease/treatment category, such a panel will likely include a large number of "candidate genes," whose function likely is influenced by multiple alleles, the results of the panel will be exceedingly complex and may not be easily interpretable by typical clinicians, much less readily incorporated into the clinical decision making process. To solve such a problem, a number of modeling programs have been developed. Of these, the most promising appears to be the neural network model

				Public Database SNPs		
Gene	Gene	Chromosomal	Size (bp)*	# SNPs*	Mean distance between	
	name	location			SNPs (kb)*	
5-HT genes						
* Serotonin <sub>1A</sub> receptor	HTR1A	5q12.3	1269	12	0.8	
* Serotonin <sub>2A</sub> receptor	HTR2A	13q14.2	62661	121	0.6	
* Serotonin <sub>2C</sub> receptor	HTR2C	Xq24	326074	147	2.3	
* Serotonin transporter	HTT SLC6A4	17q11.2	24118	33	1.1	
* Tryptophan hydroxylase	TPH	11p15.1	19772	53	0.8	
NE/DA genes						
* Monoamine oxidase A	MAOA	X-p11.3	70206	51	1.7	
* Catechol-O-methyl transferase	COMT	22q11.21	27135	91	0.4	
* Adrenergic alpha <sub>2A</sub> receptor	ADRA2A	10q25.2	3650	22	0.9	
* Norepinephrine transporter	NET1 SLC6A2	16q12.2	46031	122	0.5	
* Dopamine D2 receptor iso I/s	DRD2	11q23.2	65577	98	0.8	
* Dopamine <sub>D3</sub> receptor iso a-d	DRD3	3q13.31	50200	73	0.9	
* Dopamine <sub>D4</sub> receptor	DRD4	11p15.5	3400	20	0.6	
* Dopamine <sub>D5</sub> receptor	DRD5	4p16.1	2032	48	0.4	
* Dopamine transporter	DAT SLC6A3	5p15.33	52637	337	0.2	
Other novel loci (example)						
* Brain-derived neurotrophic factor	BDNF	11p14.1	42903	30	2.0	

**Table II.** Candidate genes and corresponding single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) densities (pharmacodynamics/signaling). 5-HT, serotonin; NE, norepinephrine; DA, dopamine

or neural fuzzy model. Using such a model, relevant genetic data as well as clinical, sociodemographic, and lifestyle variables (past medication response history, concurrent use of other medications, dietary practices, and exposure to other drug-inducing or inhibiting agents, such as cigarette smoking) could be simultaneously incorporated into the estimations for the probability of efficacy and dosing strategy for different medications. Further, a unique feature of such a model is that it is "trainable," in that as additional relevant data become available, they could be readily incorporated to improve the prediction model.

### Pilot intervention project for clinical pharmacogenomics

Once established, such a therapeutic management system (pharmacogenomic panel and the interpreting tool) should then be examined in a series of studies to systematically examine its feasibility, acceptability, effectiveness and ultimately cost-effectiveness. Randomized controlled trials could be designed with consenting subjects randomly assigned to experimental (pharmacogenomically informed) and control (decision based on best current practice guidelines).

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Zhao S, et al. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the United States. Results from the National Comorbidity Survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1994;51:8-19.
- 2. Weissman MM, Bland RC, Canino GJ, et al. Cross-national epidemiology of major depression and bipolar disorder. *JAMA*. 1996;276:293-299.
- 3. Desjarlais R, Eisenberg L, Good B, Kleinman A. World Mental Health: Problems and Priorities in Developing Countries. New York, NY: Oxford University Press US; 1995.
- **4.** Guze SB, Robins ELI. Suicide and primary affective disorders. *Br J Psychiatry*. **1970**;117:437.
- 5. Wells KB, Sturm RS, Sherbourne CD, Meredith LS. Caring for Depression: a RAND Study: Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1996.
- 6. Penninx BWJH, Beekman ATF, Honig A, et al. Depression and cardiac mortality: results from a community-based longitudinal study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2001;58:221-227.
- 7. May M, McCarron P, Stansfeld S, et al. Does psychological distress predict the risk of ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack? The Caerphilly Study. *Stroke*. 2002;33:7-12.
- **8.** Judd LL, Martin PP, Wells KB, Rapaport MH. Socioeconomic burden of subsyndromal depressive symptoms and major depression inasample of the general population. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1996;153:1411-1417.
- 9. Sherbourne CD, Wells KB, Hays RD. Subthreshold depression and depressive disorder: clinical characteristics of general medical and mental health specialty outpatients. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1994;1:1-2.
- Lundqvist E, Johansson I, Ingelman-Sundberg M. Genetic mechanisms for duplication and multiduplication of the human CYPZD6 gene and methods for detection of duplicated CYP2D6 genes. Gene. 1999;226:327-338

#### **Conclusion**

In the past decade, the field of pharmacogenomics has exploded, resulting in a huge body of literature pointing to its promising and imminent clinical application and the realization of the goal of individualizing medical care. That this has not yet taken place is in all likelihood much less related to the incompleteness of information, but to the absence of infrastructure such as the management system discussed above, and consequently the kind of intervention studies examining the clinical utility and cost effectiveness of such an approach. While the more traditional association studies are still needed to further expand our knowledge base, it is also timely that the field starts to explore ways to package knowledge that is already available, and examine their clinical application in well-designed studies. This represents an initial effort in this direction, with the goal of enhancing efficacy, reducing iatrogenic casualties, relieving untoward effects and suffering secondary to delayed treatment response, and ultimately, saving of medical care costs. This may lead to a major breakthrough in understanding with potential for radically changing the way medicine is practiced.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Chun-Yu Chen, MS, for his assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

- 11. DeVane CL. Pharmacogenetics and drug metabolism of newer antidepressant agents. J Clin Psychiatry. 1994;55(suppl):38-45; discussion 46-37.
- **12.** Lessard E, Yessine MA, Hamelin BA, O'Hara G, LeBlanc J, Turgeon J. Influence of CYP2D6 activity on the disposition and cardiovascular toxicity of the antidepressant agent venlafaxine in humans. *Pharmacogenetics*. 1999;9:435-443.
- **13**. Harper PA, Wong JMY, Lam MSM, Okey AB. Polymorphisms in the human AH receptor. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*. **2002**;141:161-187.
- **14.** Brinkmann U, Eichelbaum M. Polymorphisms in the ABC drug transporter gene MDR1. *Pharmacogenomics J.* **2001**;1:59-64.
- 15. Saito S, Iida A, Sekine A, et al. Three hundred twenty-six genetic variations in genes encoding nine members of ATP-binding cassette, subfamily B (ABCB/MDR/TAP), in the Japanese population. *J Hum Genet*. 2002:47:38-50
- **16.** Baumann P, Eap CB, Muller WE, Tillement JP. Alpha-Acid Glycoprotein: Genetics, Biochemistry, Physiological Functions and Pharmacology. New York, NY: Alan R. Liss, Inc; 1989.
- 17. Duché JC, Urien S, Simon N, Malaurie E, Monnet I, Barr J. Expression of the genetic variants of human alpha-1-acid glycoprotein in cancer. *Clin Biochem.* 2000;33:197-202.
- **18.** Barker EL, Blakely RD. Norepinephrine and serotonin transporters: molecular targets of antidepressant drugs. In: Bloom FE, Kupfer DJ, eds. *Psychopharmacology: the Fourth Generation of Progress*. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1995:321-333.
- **19.** Nelson JC. A review of the efficacy of serotonergic and noradrenergic reuptake inhibitors for treatment of major depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1999;46:1301-1308.
- **20.** Schatzberg AF, Schildkraut JJ. Recent studies on norepinephrine systems in mood disorders. In: Bloom FE, Kupfer DJ, eds. *Psychopharmacology: the Fourth Generation of Progress*. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1995:911-920.

#### Estrategia farmacogenómica para la terapia antidepresiva personalizada

A pesar del notable progreso de la farmacoterapia en general, incluyendo el tratamiento de la depresión, a menudo se ha ignorado la magnitud v el significado clínico de las enormes variaciones inter-individuales en la farmacocinética y farmacodinámica, que favorecen una pobre adherencia, efectos terapéuticos sub-óptimos y resistencia al tratamiento. Han sido significativos los avances recientes en el campo de la farmacogenómica. Las actividades de las principales enzimas del citocromo P-450, incluyendo CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP3A4 y CYP1A2 han demostrado que predicen las concentraciones séricas de antidepresivos. la dosificación, los efectos secundarios v tanto las interacciones fármaco-fármaco como fármaco-hierba, y se han identificado los polimorfismos genéticos responsables de la expresión diferencial de estos genes. Asimismo, los polimorfismos genéticos de las proteínas responsables de mediar las respuestas de los antidepresivos, como el polimorfismo de la región promotora del transportador de serotonina (5-HTTLPR), han demostrado que determinan tanto las respuestas terapéuticas como la propensión a los efectos secundarios. Tales avances, apovados por las tecnologías de modelos computacionales, mantienen las promesas de alcanzar los objetivos de la medicina "individualizada" ("personalizada"). Sin embargo, los desafíos para obtener tales objetivos siguen siendo importantes. Estos incluyen la presentación e interpretación de los resultados de la genotipificación, los cambios en la práctica médica (difusión de la innovación), temas de infraestructura, financieros, éticos y organizacionales relacionados con el empleo de nueva información.

## Stratégie pharmacogénomique pour l'individualisation du traitement antidépresseur

Malgré des progrès remarquables, la pharmacothérapie en général, dont celle des états dépressifs, a souvent ignoré l'importance et la signification clinique des importantesvariations interindividuelles de la pharmacocinétique et de la pharmacodynamique, conduisant à une observance médiocre, à des effets thérapeutiques sous-optimaux et à une résistance au traitement. Les récents progrès dans le domaine de la pharmacogénomique ont été essentiels. Les activités des principales enzymes du cytochrome P-450, dont les CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP3A4 et CYP1A2, ont été montrées susceptibles de prédire les concentrations sériques, le dosage, les effets indésirables et les interactions médicament-médicament comme médicament-plantes (phytothérapie) des antidépresseurs, et des polymorphismes génétiques responsables de l'expression différentielle de ces gènes ont été identifiés. De même, des polymorphismes génétiques des protéines responsables de la médiation de l'effet des antidépresseurs, tel que le polymorphisme de la région promotrice du transporteur de la sérotonine (5-HTTLPR), pourraient déterminer la réponse au traitement ainsi que la propension aux effets indésirables. De telles avancées, soutenues par la modélisation informatique, laissent envisager la possibilité d'atteindrele but d'une médecine « individualisée » (« personnalisée »). Il reste cependant un nombre de défis importants avant d'atteindre ce but : présentation et interprétation des résultats des génotypages, changements dans la pratique médicale (diffusion de l'innovation), problèmes d'infrastructure, de financement, d'éthique et d'organisation liés à l'utilisation d'une nouvelle information.

- **21.** Lesch KP, Bengel D, Heils A, et al. Association of anxiety-related traits with a polymorphism in the serotonin transporter gene regulatory region. *Science*. 1996;274:1527-1531.
- **22.** Greenberg BD, McMahon FJ, Murphy DL. Serotonin transporter candidate gene studies in affective disorders and personality: promises and potential pitfalls. *Mol Psychiatry*. 1998;3:186-189.
- 23. Hariri AR, Mattay VS, Tessitore A, et al. Serotonin transporter genetic variation and the response of the human amygdala. *Science*. 2002;297:400-403.
- **24.** Yu YW, Tsai SJ, Chen TJ, Lin CH, Hong CJ. Association study of the serotonin transporter promoter polymorphism and symptomatology and antidepressant response in major depressive disorders. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2002;7:1115-1119.
- **25.** Smeraldi E, Zanardi R, Benedetti F, Di Bella D, Perez J, Catalano M. Polymorphism within the promoter of the serotonin transporter gene and antidepressant efficacy of fluvoxamine. *Mol Psychiatry*. 1998;3:508-511.
- **26.** Pollock BG, Ferrell RE, Mulsant BH, et al. Allelic variation in the serotonin transporter promoter affects onset of paroxetine treatment response in late-life depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. **2000**;23:587-590.
- **27.** Serretti A, Zanardi R, Rossini D, Cusin C, Lilli R, Smeraldi E. Influence of tryptophan hydroxylase and serotonin transporter genes on fluvoxamine antidepressant activity. *Mol Psychiatry*. **2001**;6:586-592.
- **28.** Rausch JL, Johnson ME, Fei YJ, et al. Initial conditions of serotonin transporter kinetics and genotype: influence on SSRI treatment trial outcome. *Biol Psychiatry*. **2002**;51:723-732.

- **29**. Zanardi R, Serretti A, Rossini D, et al. Factors affecting fluvoxamine antidepressant activity: influence of pindolol and 5-HTTLPR in delusional and nondelusional depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001;50:323-330.
- **30.** Kim DK, Lim SW, Lee S, et al. Serotonin transporter gene polymorphism and antidepressant response. *NeuroReport*. **2000**;11:215.
- **31.** Yoshida K, Ito K, Sato K, et al. Influence of the serotonin transporter gene-linked polymorphic region on the antidepressant response to fluvoxamine in Japanese depressed patients. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2002;26:383-386.
- **32.** Durham LK, Webb SM, Milos PM, Clary CM, Seymour AB. The serotonin transporter polymorphism, 5HTTLPR, is associated with a faster response time to sertraline in an elderly population with major depressive disorder. *Psychopharmacology*. **2004**;174:525-529.
- **33.** Mundo E, Walker M, Cate T, Macciardi F, Kennedy JL. The role of serotonin transporter protein gene in antidepressant-induced mania in bipolar disorder: preliminary findings. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. **2001**;58:539-544.
- **34.** Perlis RH, Wan YY, Smoller JW, et al. Serotonin transporter polymorphisms and adverse effects with fluoxetine treatment. Paper presented at: American College of Neuropsychopharmacology. San Juan, Puerto Rico; 2002
- **35.** Massat I, Souery D, Lipp O, et al. A European multicenter association study of HTR2A receptor polymorphism in bipolar affective disorder. *Am J Med Genet.* 2000;96:136-140.
- **36.** Serretti A, Lilli R, Lorenzi C, Smeraldi E. No association between serotonin-2A receptor gene polymorphism and psychotic symptomatology of mood disorders. *Psychiatry Res.* 1999;86:203-209.
- **37.** Bondy B, Spaeth M, Offenbaecher M, et al. The T102C Polymorphism of the 5-HT2A-receptor gene in fibromyalgia. *Neurobiol Dis.* 1999;6:433-439
- **38.** Du L, Bakish D, Lapierre YD, Ravindran AV, Hrdina PD. Association of polymorphism of serotonin 2A receptor gene with suicidal ideation in major depressive disorder. *Am J Med Genet*. **2000**;96:56-60.
- **39.** Tiihonen J, Kuikka J, Bergstrom K, et al. Altered striatal dopamine reuptake site densities in habitually violent and non-violent alcoholics. *Nat Med.* **1995**;1:654-657.
- **40.** Vandenbergh DJ, Persico AM, Hawkins AL, et al. Human dopamine transporter gene(DAT1) maps to chromosome 5p15.3 and displays a VNTR. *Genomics*. 1992;14:1104-1106.
- **41.** Muramatsu T, Higuchi S. Dopamine transporter gene polymorphism and alcoholism. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* **1995**;211:28-32.
- **42.** Parsian A, Zhang ZH. Human dopamine transporter gene polymorphism (VNTR) and alcoholism. *Am J Med Genet*. 1997;74:480-482.
- **43.** Sander T, Harms H, Podschus J, et al. Allelic association of a dopamine transporter gene polymorphism in alcohol dependence with withdrawal seizures or delirium. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1997;41:299-304.
- **44.** Inada T, Sugita T, Dobashi I, et al. Dopamine transporter gene polymorphism and psychiatric symptoms seen in schizophrenic patients at their first episode. *Am J Med Genet*. **1996**;67:406-408.

- **45**. Barr CL, Xu C, Kroft J, et al. Haplotype study of three polymorphisms at the dopamine transporter locus confirm linkage to attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001;49:333-339.
- **46.** Greenwood TA, Alexander M, Keck PE, et al. Evidence for linkage disequilibrium between the dopamine transporter and bipolar disorder. *Am J Med Genet.* **2001**;105:145-151.
- **47.** Schramm NL, McDonald MP, Limbird LE. The alpha(2a)-adrenergic receptor plays a protective role in mouse behavioral models of depression and anxiety. *J Neurosci.* **2001**;21:4875-4882.
- **48.** González-Maeso J, Rodríguez-Puertas R, Meana JJ, García-Sevilla JA, Guimón J. Neurotransmitter receptor-mediated activation of G-proteins in brains of suicide victims with mood disorders: selective supersensitivity of alpha 2A-adrenoceptors. *Mol Psychiatry*. **2002**;7:755-767.
- **49.** Schittecatte M, Dumont F, Machowski R, et al. Mirtazapine, but not fluvoxamine, normalizes the blunted REM sleep response to clonidine in depressed patients: implications for subsensitivity of alpha2-adrenergic receptors in depression. *Psychiatry Res.* 2002;109:1-8.
- **50.** Marazziti D, Baroni S, Masala I, et al. Correlation between platelet alpha-adrenoreceptors and symptom severity in major depression. *Neuropsychobiology*. **2001**;44:122-125.
- 51. Zill P, Baghai TC, Engel R, et al. Beta-1-adrenergic receptor gene in major depression: Influence on antidepressant treatment response. *Am J Med Genet*. 2003;120B:85-89.
- **52.** Szegedi A, Rujescu D, Tadic A, et al. The catechol-O-methyltransferase Val108//158Met polymorphism affects short-term treatment response to mirtazapine, but not to paroxetine in major depression. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2004:5:49-53.
- **53.** Sabol SZ, Hu S, Hamer D. A functional polymorphism in the monoamine oxidase A gene promoter. *Hum Genet.* 1998;103:273-279.
- **54.** Kunugi H, Ishida S, Kato T, et al. A functional polymorphism in the promoter region of monoamine oxidase-A gene and mood disorders. *Mol Psychiatry*. **1999**;4:393-395.
- **55.** Ibanez A, Perez de Castro I, Fernandez-Piqueras J, Saiz-Ruiz J. Association between the low-functional MAO-A gene promoter and pathological gambling. *Am J Med Genet*. **2000**;96:464-465.
- **56.** Bellivier F, Leboyer M, Courtet P, et al. Association between the tryptophan hydroxylase gene and manic-depressive illness. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1998;55:33-37.
- Nielsen DA, Virkkunen M, Lappalainen J, et al. A tryptophan hydroxylase gene marker for suicidality and alcoholism. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1998;55:593-602.
  Serretti A, Lorenzi C, Cusin C, et al. SSRIs antidepressant activity is influenced by G,3 variants variants. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2003;13:117-122.
- **59.** Murphy GM, Kremer C, Rodrigues H, Schatzberg AF. The apolipoprotein E e4 allele and antidepressant efficacy in cognitively intact elderly depressed patients. *Biol Psychiatry*. **2003**;54:665-673.
- Russo-Neustadt AA, Chen MJ. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and antidepressant activity. Curr Pharm Des. 2005;11:1495-1510.