1	
2	A conserved protein tyrosine phosphatase, PTPN-22, functions in diverse developmental
3	processes in <i>C. elegans</i>
4	
5	Shaonil Binti, Adison G. Linder, Philip T. Edeen, and David S. Fay *
6	
7	Department of Molecular Biology, College of Agriculture, Life Sciences and Natural Resources,
8	University of Wyoming
9	1000 E. University Ave., Laramie, Wyoming
10	*Corresponding author
11	

12 ABSTRACT

Protein tyrosine phosphatases non-receptor type (PTPNs) have been studied extensively in the 13 14 context of the adaptive immune system; however, their roles beyond immunoregulation are 15 less well explored. Here we identify novel functions for the conserved *C. elegans* phosphatase PTPN-22, establishing its role in nematode molting, cell adhesion, and cytoskeletal regulation. 16 17 Through a non-biased genetic screen, we found that loss of PTPN-22 phosphatase activity suppressed molting defects caused by loss-of-function mutations in the conserved NIMA-18 19 related kinases NEKL-2 (human NEK8/NEK9) and NEKL-3 (human NEK6/NEK7), which act at the 20 interface of membrane trafficking and actin regulation. To better understand the functions of 21 PTPN-22, we carried out proximity labeling studies to identify candidate interactors of PTPN-22 22 during development. Through this approach we identified the CDC42 guanine-nucleotide 23 exchange factor DNBP-1 (human DNMBP) as an in vivo partner of PTPN-22. Consistent with this 24 interaction, loss of DNBP-1 also suppressed *nekl*-associated molting defects. Genetic analysis, 25 co-localization studies, and proximity labeling revealed roles for PTPN-22 in several epidermal 26 adhesion complexes, including C. elegans hemidesmosomes, suggesting that PTPN-22 plays a 27 broad role in maintaining the structural integrity of tissues. Localization and proximity labeling 28 also implicated PTPN-22 in functions connected to nucleocytoplasmic transport and mRNA 29 regulation, particularly within the germline, as nearly one-third of proteins identified by PTPN-30 22 proximity labeling are known P granule components. Collectively, these studies highlight the 31 utility of combined genetic and proteomic approaches for identifying novel gene functions.

32

33

34 INTRODUCTION

35	Reversible tyrosine phosphorylation is a key mechanism for regulating diverse cellular
36	processes including differentiation, proliferation, apoptosis, metabolism, and signal
37	transduction. The steady-state level of tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins is regulated by the
38	coordinated effects of protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs) and protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs).
39	Of the 518 protein kinases in humans, 90 (17%) are classified as PTKs, whereas of the 200
40	protein phosphatases, 108 (54%) are classified as PTPs (1–3). Despite their greater abundance,
41	PTPs have garnered less attention from researchers than PTKs. Nevertheless, it is known that
42	dysfunction of PTPs can disrupt the homeostasis of tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins,
43	contributing to a spectrum of disorders including cancers and neuronal and autoimmune
44	diseases (4–9). Notably, among the 237 protein phosphatases in <i>C. elegans</i> , 94 are presumed to
45	be PTPs and over 50% of <i>C. elegans</i> phosphatases have human orthologs (10,11).
46	
47	Protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type (PTPN) is a subset of the PTP family comprising
48	17 protein tyrosine phosphatases in humans. One well-studied member of this family, PTPN22,
49	has been investigated primarily in cells of hematopoietic origin including lymphocytes,
50	monocytes, natural killer cells, and platelets (12–17). Correspondingly, one of the most well-
51	characterized functions of PTPN22 is the suppression of T-cell activation. In conjunction with C-
52	terminal Src tyrosine kinase (CSK), PTPN22 is responsible for dephosphorylating T-cell signaling
53	receptors including LCK, FYN, CD3ζ, and ZAP-70 (18,19). Moreover, a missense polymorphism in
54	PTPN22, which leads to a R620W substitution in the C terminus of PTPN22, is a common risk
55	factor for multiple autoimmune diseases including type I diabetes mellitus, systemic lupus

56	erythematosus, and rheumatoid arthritis (20–24). PTPN22 expression is also detected in
57	epithelial, endothelial, muscle, and nervous tissue, suggesting additional roles for this
58	phosphatase, although it has been less well studied in these contexts (15–17,25,26).
59	
60	PTPs also contribute to the formation and maintenance of adhesion complexes in human cells.
61	Several members of the PTPN family including PTPN11, PTP-PEST (PTPN12), and PTPN22 are
62	recognized as key regulators of integrin function. Integrins are transmembrane $lphaeta$
63	heterodimeric receptors that connect the extracellular matrix to the actin cytoskeleton, and
64	their activation is regulated by phosphorylation to control cell movement and adhesion (27–
65	30). In human T cells, PTPN22 inhibits signaling by integrin subunit alpha L (ITGAL), which is also
66	known as integrin lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1 (LFA-1), and loss of PTPN22
67	function results in increased ITGAL–mediated cell adhesion (31). In PTPN22 ^{-/-} mice, regulatory T
68	cells also exhibit an increase in ITGAL-dependent adhesion, and these mice show an increase in
69	platelet-specific $\alpha_{\mbox{\tiny IIb}}\beta_3$ integrin activation along with increased platelet spreading and
70	aggregation (32,33). Together these findings implicate PTPN22 in cell adhesion, although
71	analogous functions for PTPN22 beyond the immune system remain largely unexplored.
72	
73	As described below, we identified the <i>C. elegans</i> ortholog of PTPN22 in a genetic screen for
74	genes that affect the C. elegans molting process. Molting is an essential developmental process
75	in nematodes and other members of the ecdysozoan group, allowing for organismal growth and
76	adaptation to new environments (34). Molting occurs at the termination of each of the four
77	larval stages (L1–L4), wherein a new cuticle—an apical extracellular matrix surrounding the

78	epidermis—is synthesized and the old cuticle is released. Many different types of proteins have
79	roles in the molting process including signal transducers, transcriptional regulators, structural
80	components and modifiers of the cuticle, cell-ECM adhesion complexes, and proteins that
81	affect membrane trafficking (34–36). Previous work in our laboratory identified two conserved
82	NIMA-related kinases, NEKL-2 (human NEK8/NEK9) and NEKL-3 (human NEK6/NEK7)
83	(collectively referred to as the NEKLs)—along with their three ankyrin repeat binding partners,
84	MLT-2 (human ANKS6), MLT-3 (human ANKS3), and MLT-4 (human INVS) (collectively referred
85	to as the MLTs)—as being required for molting (37,38).
86	
87	Ongoing research continues to uncover the precise mechanisms by which NEKLs and MLTs
88	affect the molting process in <i>C. elegans</i> . Most notably, we have shown that NEKLs and their
89	human counterparts regulate several aspects of membrane trafficking (39,40). Further insights
90	into the functions of NEKLs came from the isolation of genetic suppressors of <i>nekl</i> molting
91	defects, which include core components of the endocytic machinery and several closely
92	associated factors that regulate actin filamentation, including the Rho-family GTPase CDC-42
93	and its effector SID-3, which is the ortholog of human TNK2 (tyrosine kinase non receptor 2;
94	also known as ACK1, activated CDC42-associated kinase 1) (40,41). In this study, we identified
95	mutations affecting PTPN-22, which we showed binds to the Rho-guanine nucleotide exchange
96	factor (GEF) DNBP-1, loss of which also suppressed nekl-associated molting defects. Using
97	genetic, proteomic, and cell biological approaches, we have implicated PTPN-22 in a range of
98	functions including effects on epidermal adhesion complexes, cytoskeletal proteins, and

- 99 potential germline expression functions, thereby expanding substantially on the known
- 100 functions of PTPN22 family members.
- 101

102 **RESULTS**

103 Loss of PTPN-22 suppresses molting defects in *nekl* mutants

- 104 We previously showed that *nekl-2* and *nekl-3* are required for molting in *C. elegans*, as strong
- 105 loss-of-function mutations in either gene cause molting defects in early larval development
- 106 (37,38). In contrast, the weak loss-of-function mutations *nekl-2(fd81)* and *nekl-3(gk894345)* do
- 107 not exhibit phenotypes individually, but when combined they lead to ~98% of double-mutant
- larvae arresting at the L2/L3 molt (Fig 1A and Fig 1B) (37). *nekl-2(fd81); nekl-3(gk894345)*
- 109 homozygotes (hereafter referred to as *nekl-2; nekl-3*) can be maintained in the presence of an
- 110 extrachromosomal array (*fdEx286*) that contains wild-type copies of *nekl-3* along with a broadly
- 111 expressed GFP reporter (SUR-5::GFP; Fig 1A) (37).
- 112

113 To identify proteins that functionally interact with NEKL kinases, we carried out a forward

114 genetic screen to identify suppressors of molting defects in *nekl-2*; *nekl-3* mutants (42). From

- this screen we identified allele *fd269*, which led to ~50% of *nekl-2; fd269; nekl-3* mutants
- 116 reaching adulthood (Fig 1B). Using whole-genome sequencing together with the Sibling
- 117 Subtraction Method (42), we identified an insertion in the sixth exon of *ptpn-22* (Y41D4A.5)
- that led to a frameshift after D238 of PTPN-22 followed by subsequent stop codons (Fig 1D; S1
- 119 File). To determine if the alteration in *ptpn-22* led to *nekl-2*; *nekl-3* suppression, we used CRISPR
- 120 methods to generate a frameshift mutation after L231 (*fd331*) (Fig 1D; S1 File). Likewise, CRISPR





122 Fig 1. Loss of *ptpn-22* can suppress *nekl*-associated molting defects.

123 (A) Merged fluorescence and DIC images of *nekl-2(fd91)*; *nekl-3(gk894345)* worms in the presence (top)

and absence (bottom) of the extrachromosomal array (*fdEx286*), which contains wild-type *nekl-3* and

125 SUR-5::GFP. Note the molting defective *nekl-2; nekl-3* double mutant in the lower panel, which exhibits a

126 mid-body constriction due to a failure to shed its old cuticle. (B,C) Bar graphs indicating the percentage

127 of worms that developed into viable adults for the indicated genotypes including *ptpn-22* genetic

128 mutations and *ptpn-22(RNAi)*. (D) Diagram highlighting the structural features of human PTPN22 and *C*.

129 *elegans* PTPN-22 proteins including the catalytic domains (orange), active sites (turquoise), interdomain

130 (light green; PTPN22 only), and proline-rich regions (PR.1–3; blue). Amino acid sequences of the proline-

rich domains are also provided. Also indicated are the locations and effects of *ptpn-22* alleles shown in Fig 1B. (E) Bright-field images of a wild-type worm and mutant worm carrying the *ptpn-2(S33Stop)*

133 mutation. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Fisher's exact test was used to calculate p-

values; ****p < 0.0001. Raw data are available in the S7 File. The sequences for *ptpn-22* alleles can be

- 135 found in the S1 File. aa, amino acid.
- 136

targeting the N terminus of PTPN-22 yielded a 27-bp insertion in the second exon of PTPN-22,
leading to premature stop codons after position T32 (*fd390*) (Fig 1D; S1 File). Notably, both
mutations induced suppression of *nekl-2*; *nekl-3* mutants, albeit at slightly lower levels than *fd269* (Fig 1B).

141

142 Additionally, RNA interference (RNAi) of ptpn-22 using dsRNA injection methods led to ~50% 143 suppression of *nekl-2*; *nekl-3* lethality, further indicating that loss of PTPN-22 function was 144 responsible for the suppression of nekl-2; nekl-3 molting defects (Fig 1C). However, whereas 145 ptpn-22(RNAi) effectively suppressed the moderate loss-of-function allele of nekl-2, fd91 (Y84L, 146 G87A), it failed to suppress molting defects associated with a moderate loss-of-function allele 147 of nekl-3, sv3 (P194L) (Fig 1C). Furthermore, another CRISPR-generated mutation that led to a 148 stop codon after T32 (fd388) failed to suppress molting defects in a null allele of nekl-2 (gk839) 149 (Fig 1C). Collectively, our data indicate that loss of *ptpn-22* can suppress weak and some 150 moderate loss-of-function nekl mutations but not strong loss-of-function mutations, a profile 151 exhibited by several other previously described *nekl* suppressors (40,43,44). 152 153 Human PTPN22 is an 807-amino-acid (aa) protein containing a tyrosine phosphatase catalytic 154 domain at the N terminus followed by an interdomain and several C-terminal proline-rich 155 motifs (P1–P4) (Fig 1D) (45). As compared to its human ortholog, C. elegans PTPN-22 is

156 relatively small (469 aa) and contains a single proline-rich region near its N terminus, followed

157 by the catalytic domain and two additional proline-rich regions in its C terminus (Fig 1D).

158 Sequence alignment between PTPN-22 and orthologs in other organisms indicates conservation

159	primarily within the catalytic domain (S1 Fig); PTPN-22 is 38% identical to human PTPN22 and
160	59% similar in this region. Transgenic animals carrying only the <i>ptpn-22(S33Stop)</i> mutation
161	exhibit a superficially wild-type phenotype (Fig 1E), indicating that PTPN-22 is not essential
162	under normal growth conditions. To determine if loss of PTPN-22 catalytic activity is critical for
163	its suppression of <i>nekl</i> molting defects, we mutated the active site cysteine residue (C306),
164	equivalent to C227 in human PTPN22, using CRISPR/Cas9 methods (18,46). We observed that
165	ptpn-22(C306S) suppressed nekl-2; nekl-3 molting defects by ~50% (Fig 1B), indicating that it is
166	the loss of PTPN-22 phosphatase activity that leads to the suppression of <i>nekl-2</i> ; <i>nekl-3</i> molting
167	defects.
168	
169	Proximity labeling identifies candidate in vivo PTPN-22 interactors
170	Although mammalian members of the PTPN22 family have been studied extensively in the
171	context of the adaptive immune system, those findings did not suggest an obvious mechanism
172	by which C. elegans PTPN-22 might functionally interact with NEKL proteins in the context of
173	
	molting. We therefore took a non-biased approach to identify potential partners and targets of
174	molting. We therefore took a non-biased approach to identify potential partners and targets of <i>C. elegans</i> PTPN-22 by mapping its in vivo (proximate) interactome using biotin-based proximity
174 175	molting. We therefore took a non-biased approach to identify potential partners and targets of <i>C. elegans</i> PTPN-22 by mapping its in vivo (proximate) interactome using biotin-based proximity labeling methods. In proximity labeling, a protein of interest is fused to an <i>Escherichia coli</i> –
174 175 176	molting. We therefore took a non-biased approach to identify potential partners and targets of <i>C. elegans</i> PTPN-22 by mapping its in vivo (proximate) interactome using biotin-based proximity labeling methods. In proximity labeling, a protein of interest is fused to an <i>Escherichia coli</i> -derived biotin ligase, BirA, and the resulting fusion protein is expressed in cells using either a
174 175 176 177	molting. We therefore took a non-biased approach to identify potential partners and targets of <i>C. elegans</i> PTPN-22 by mapping its in vivo (proximate) interactome using biotin-based proximity labeling methods. In proximity labeling, a protein of interest is fused to an <i>Escherichia coli</i> – derived biotin ligase, BirA, and the resulting fusion protein is expressed in cells using either a native or heterologous promoter. In the presence of ATP, BirA converts non-reactive biotin into
174 175 176 177 178	molting. We therefore took a non-biased approach to identify potential partners and targets of <i>C. elegans</i> PTPN-22 by mapping its in vivo (proximate) interactome using biotin-based proximity labeling methods. In proximity labeling, a protein of interest is fused to an <i>Escherichia coli</i> – derived biotin ligase, BirA, and the resulting fusion protein is expressed in cells using either a native or heterologous promoter. In the presence of ATP, BirA converts non-reactive biotin into biotinoyl-5'-adenylate, which reacts with lysine residues on nearby (in proximity) proteins to
174 175 176 177 178 179	molting. We therefore took a non-biased approach to identify potential partners and targets of <i>C. elegans</i> PTPN-22 by mapping its in vivo (proximate) interactome using biotin-based proximity labeling methods. In proximity labeling, a protein of interest is fused to an <i>Escherichia coli</i> derived biotin ligase, BirA, and the resulting fusion protein is expressed in cells using either a native or heterologous promoter. In the presence of ATP, BirA converts non-reactive biotin into biotinoyl-5'-adenylate, which reacts with lysine residues on nearby (in proximity) proteins to form covalent linkages to biotin (Fig 2A) (47,48). For our analysis, we used the non-specific



183 Fig 2. TurboID-based proximity labeling identifies the PTPN-22 interactome.

184 (A) Schematic illustrating the proximity labeling study. The C terminus of PTPN-22 was fused to TurboID::3×-FLAG, leading to the biotinylation of proximal proteins. Proximal proteins are depicted in 185 186 blue, with the resulting biotin modification highlighted in red, whereas proteins located outside the 187 TurboID labeling radius (~10 nm) are represented in gray. PTPN-22::TurboID or N2 control animals were 188 cultured on plates, and subsequent protein extraction was carried out. Biotinylated proteins were pulled 189 down using streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (orange), whereas non-biotinylated proteins were 190 removed through washing steps. Enriched biotinylated proteins were subjected to on-bead digestion, 191 followed by Data-Independent Acquisition (DIA) LC-MS/MS analysis. (B) Western blot (WB; left) shows 192 the input fractions of representative N2 and PTPN-22::TurboID samples probed with streptavidin-HRP. 193 Note additional bands in the PTPN-22::TurboID lysate versus the N2 control. The expression of PTPN-194 22::TurboID was visualized through an anti-FLAG western blot; antibodies against β -actin were used as a 195 loading control. The pull-down fraction (IP, right) shows N2 and PTPN-22::TurboID samples probed with 196 streptavidin-HRP after enriching for biotinylated proteins using streptavidin-coated beads. (C) Volcano 197 plot highlighting proteins enriched (>2-fold and p-value <0.05) in PTPN-22::TurboID samples (red) versus 198 N2 (blue). (D) Dot plots show the enrichment of PTPN-22 in PTPN-22::TurboID samples; error bars 199 represent standard deviation. (E) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was performed using ShinyGO 0.80, 200 and the top 19 biological pathways based on fold enrichment are shown (114). (F) Venn diagram shows 201 the overlap of enriched proteins between PTPN-22::TurboID samples and P granule proteins (S2 File) (G) 202 The dot plot shows the brood size of individual worms in the indicated backgrounds 203

204	which was fused to the C terminus of PTPN-22 (referred to hereafter as PTPN-22::TurboID)
205	using CRISPR-based genome editing (49). As our control, we used N2 worms, which lack
206	TurboID but contain an endogenous specific biotinylation activity that primarily targets four
207	known carboxylases (MCCC-1, PCCA-1, PYC-1, and POD-2) (49–53).
208	
209	Four replicates were carried out for both experimental (PTPN-22::TurboID) and control (N2)
210	strains using mixed-stage worm populations. Crude lysates containing biotinylated proteins
211	were subjected to pull-down using streptavidin-conjugated beads followed by washing (Fig 2A).
212	As anticipated, both crude lysates and the corresponding streptavidin-purified samples from N2
213	and PTPN-22::TurboID exhibited several prominent bands after western blotting that
214	corresponded to the endogenously biotinylated carboxylases (Fig 2B). Encouragingly, PTPN-
215	22::TurboID samples also contained numerous additional biotin-positive bands in both input
216	and pull-down fractions, confirming the functionality of the fused TurboID enzyme (Fig 2B).
217	Purified samples were subjected to on-bead trypsin digestion followed by liquid
218	chromatography-tandem mass spectroscopy (LC-MS/MS) analysis using an established data-
219	independent acquisition pipeline.
220	
221	We found 112 proteins that were enriched ≥2-fold in the PTPN-22::TurboID samples relative to
222	N2, that were detected in all four PTPN-22::TurboID replicates, and that had adjusted p-values
223	of <0.05 (Fig 2C; S2 File; Sheet, "Fold Change >2.0"). Conversely, only five proteins were
224	enriched in N2 versus PTPN-22::TurboID samples. Moreover, PTPN-22 was the second most

highly enriched protein in the PTPN-22::TurboID samples (~28-fold) (Fig 2D). In addition, 67

226	proteins were detected in at least three of the four PTPN-22::TurboID replicates but were
227	undetected in all four N2 control samples (S2 File; Sheet, "ND Control"), leading to a total of
228	179 proteins that were designated as enriched in PTPN-22:TurboID samples versus N2 (S2 File;
229	Sheet, "Combined Shortlist"). Estimates suggest that the four biotinylated carboxylases
230	collectively accounted for $^{22\%}$ of the proteins identified by LC-MS/MS in the N2 samples and
231	~13% in the PTPN-22::TurboID samples, consistent with the enrichment of biotinylated proteins
232	by the purification process and with the abundance of biotinylated carboxylases in our western
233	blots relative to PTPN-22::TurboID–specific bands (Fig 2B). These findings further suggest that
234	the presence of the endogenously biotinylated carboxylases did not substantially affect the
235	sensitivity of our approach with respect to detecting PTPN-22::TurboID targets.
236	
237	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non-
237 238	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non- biotinylated proteins to beads and because of non-specific (promiscuous) biotinylation by the
237 238 239	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non- biotinylated proteins to beads and because of non-specific (promiscuous) biotinylation by the TurboID-tagged proteins themselves. Examples of the latter may occur in cases where non-
237 238 239 240	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non- biotinylated proteins to beads and because of non-specific (promiscuous) biotinylation by the TurboID-tagged proteins themselves. Examples of the latter may occur in cases where non- specific protein targets are highly abundant, localized within the same compartment(s) as the
237 238 239 240 241	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non- biotinylated proteins to beads and because of non-specific (promiscuous) biotinylation by the TurboID-tagged proteins themselves. Examples of the latter may occur in cases where non- specific protein targets are highly abundant, localized within the same compartment(s) as the protein of interest, and because they are susceptible to biotinylation, such as proteins with
237 238 239 240 241 242	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non-biotinylated proteins to beads and because of non-specific (promiscuous) biotinylation by theTurboID-tagged proteins themselves. Examples of the latter may occur in cases where non-specific protein targets are highly abundant, localized within the same compartment(s) as theprotein of interest, and because they are susceptible to biotinylation, such as proteins withnumerous surface-exposed lysine residues (54,55). To address this issue, we cross-referenced
237 238 239 240 241 242 243	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non- biotinylated proteins to beads and because of non-specific (promiscuous) biotinylation by the TurboID-tagged proteins themselves. Examples of the latter may occur in cases where non- specific protein targets are highly abundant, localized within the same compartment(s) as the protein of interest, and because they are susceptible to biotinylation, such as proteins with numerous surface-exposed lysine residues (54,55). To address this issue, we cross-referenced our shortlist of 179 PTPN-22::TurboID hits with data from a previous study by Artan et al. (52) in
237 238 239 240 241 242 243 243	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non- biotinylated proteins to beads and because of non-specific (promiscuous) biotinylation by the TurboID-tagged proteins themselves. Examples of the latter may occur in cases where non- specific protein targets are highly abundant, localized within the same compartment(s) as the protein of interest, and because they are susceptible to biotinylation, such as proteins with numerous surface-exposed lysine residues (54,55). To address this issue, we cross-referenced our shortlist of 179 PTPN-22::TurboID hits with data from a previous study by Artan et al. (52) in which a non-localized GFP::TurboID was expressed at high levels in four different tissues of the
237 238 239 240 241 242 243 243 244 245	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non- biotinylated proteins to beads and because of non-specific (promiscuous) biotinylation by the TurboID-tagged proteins themselves. Examples of the latter may occur in cases where non- specific protein targets are highly abundant, localized within the same compartment(s) as the protein of interest, and because they are susceptible to biotinylation, such as proteins with numerous surface-exposed lysine residues (54,55). To address this issue, we cross-referenced our shortlist of 179 PTPN-22::TurboID hits with data from a previous study by Artan et al. (52) in which a non-localized GFP::TurboID was expressed at high levels in four different tissues of the worm (intestine, hypodermis, neurons, and muscle). More specifically, we identified 435
237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246	False positives in proximity labeling can occur because of non-specific binding by non- biotinylated proteins to beads and because of non-specific (promiscuous) biotinylation by the TurboID-tagged proteins themselves. Examples of the latter may occur in cases where non- specific protein targets are highly abundant, localized within the same compartment(s) as the protein of interest, and because they are susceptible to biotinylation, such as proteins with numerous surface-exposed lysine residues (54,55). To address this issue, we cross-referenced our shortlist of 179 PTPN-22::TurboID hits with data from a previous study by Artan et al. (52) in which a non-localized GFP::TurboID was expressed at high levels in four different tissues of the worm (intestine, hypodermis, neurons, and muscle). More specifically, we identified 435 proteins that were among the top 200 most abundant proteins in at least one of the four

248 Top 200 four tissues"). Among the 112 proteins showing >2-fold enrichment in the PTPN-249 22:TurboID study, 62 were also present in the highly enriched dataset; of the 67 proteins in the 250 "ND Control" dataset, 14 were present in the highly enriched dataset (S2 File, "Artan Overlap"). 251 Overall, our analysis suggests that although some of the proteins identified by PTPN-252 22::TurboID likely represent non-specific targets, many others may correspond to authentic 253 PTPN-22 proximate proteins. 254 255 Gene ontology analysis of the 179 PTPN-22::TurboID–associated proteins indicated statistically 256 significant enrichment of proteins acting within various molecular and cellular structures 257 including the actin cytoskeleton, germline P granules, the NatC/N-terminal protein 258 acetyltransferase complex, and the spliceosomal small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP) 259 complex, among others (S3 File). Enriched biological processes implicated pathways associated 260 with germline functions, protein transport, mRNA processing and regulation, and several 261 signaling pathways (Fig 2E; S3 File). Strikingly, of the 179 proximate interactors identified by 262 PTPN-22::TurboID, 56 (31%) are reported to be components of germline P granules (Fig 2F; S2 263 File: Sheet, "Overlap with P granules"), RNP condensates that serve as critical regulators of 264 germline gene expression. Notably, we observed expression of PTPN-22::EGFP in the 265 perinuclear region of germline cells in a punctate pattern similar to that reported for P granule 266 proteins (S2 Fig). Consistent with a potential role in the germline, *ptpn-22* mutants had 267 diminished brood sizes relative to wild type (Fig 2G). Collectively, our proximity labeling findings 268 implicate PTPN-22 in a diverse range of molecular and tissue-specific functions. This is 269 consistent with the observed expression of PTPN-22::EGFP and PTPN-22::mScarlet in multiple

tissues (S2 Fig and see below) and with RNA expression data available on WormBase, indicating
that PTPN-22 is expressed in multiple tissues throughout development (56).

272

required specifically for molting in the hyp7 epidermal syncytium (37–39). To identify PTPN-22
associated proteins that act within hyp7, we also carried out proximity labeling studies, using
three technical replicates, in which PTPN-22::TurboID was expressed under the control of a
strong hyp7-specific promoter (Y37A1B.5; P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID) via a multicopy array (S3A
Fig). We validated the functionality of this transgene through western blotting and, as
expected, observed increased levels of biotinylated proteins versus N2 control worms (S3A Fig
280 Using the above LC-MS/MS pipeline, we identified 246 proteins that were enriched ≥2-fold in
281 P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID versus N2 samples, were present in all three P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID
replicates, and had adjusted p-values of <0.05; three additional proteins were present in all
three P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID samples but were undetected in all three N2 controls (S3B Fig; S
File). PTPN-22 was the seventh most enriched protein in the dataset (~24-fold; S3C Fig) and, as
expected, P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID hits exhibited minimal overlap with germline-associated
proteins such as P granule components (S3D Fig; S4 File). Similar to our findings for PTPN-
287 22::TurboID, 62/249 proteins enriched in the P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID dataset overlapped with
the list of 435 highly abundant biotinylated proteins (S4 File).
289

290 Unlike the PTPN-22::TurboID dataset, however, the volcano plot for P_{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID 291 indicated substantially reduced specificity, given that 193 proteins were upregulated \geq 2-fold (p

292	<0.05) in N2 versus P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID samples (compare Fig 2C with S3B Fig). Consistent
293	with this, the four endogenous carboxylases accounted for only ~3% of total identified proteins
294	in the P_{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID samples and ~13% in the corresponding N2 controls, suggesting
295	a somewhat higher incidence of non-specific binding by non-biotinylated proteins to the
296	streptavidin beads. Other differences between the two PTPN-22 proximity labeling experiments
297	may be due to differences in expression levels and tissues and to additional differences in the
298	protocols used to enrich for the biotinylated proteins (see Materials and Methods).
299	
300	An analysis of enriched molecular/cellular GO terms in the P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID dataset
301	included proteins linked to the actin cytoskeleton, cytoskeletal fibers, and several trafficking
302	compartments (S5 File). Although only 29 proteins overlapped between the PTPN-22::TurboID
303	and P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID shortlists (S3E Fig), these included the actin-capping proteins CAP-
304	1 and CAP-2, the latter of which genetically interacts strongly with <i>ptpn-22</i> (S4 File; Sheet,
305	"Overlap"; and see below). Collectively, our proximity labeling studies provide a foundation for
306	uncovering previously unknown molecular and cellular functions and partners of the PTPN-22
307	family of proteins within the context of an intact developing organism.
308	
309	DNBP-1 associates with PTPN-22 and is a suppressor of <i>nekl</i> molting defects
310	Given that we identified <i>ptpn-22</i> as a suppressor of <i>nekl</i> molting defects, we were interested in
311	identifying proteins that could functionally connect PTPN-22 to the NEKL–MLT pathway.
312	Interestingly, cross-referencing our list of candidate PTPN-22 interactors with protein-protein
313	interaction data for PTPN-22 available on WormBase (57) yielded a single hit, DNBP-1 (dynamin

314	binding protein 1), which was enriched ~3.3-fold in all four PTPN-22::TurboID samples (adjusted
315	p < 0.0001) (Fig 3A). A prior yeast two-hybrid screen of worm Src homology-3 (SH3) domains
316	identified a high-confidence interaction between PTPN-22 and the third SH3 domain of DNBP-1
317	(58). In addition, that screen identified interactions between the first and second SH3 domains
318	of DNBP-1 with DYN-1 (dynamin), an interaction that is conserved in humans (58–60). We also
319	note that DNPB-1 was slightly enriched (~1.5-fold) in all three P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID samples,
320	although this result was not statistically significant (S4 File; Sheet, "Raw Data").
321	
322	DNBP-1 is an ortholog of human DNMBP/Tuba, which functions as guanine exchange factor
323	(GEF; i.e., activator) for the Rho-family GTPase CDC-42. Given that we previously showed that
324	loss of function in CDC-42 and its effector SID-3/TNK2 can suppress nekl-2; nekl-3 molting
325	defects and that CDC-42 becomes hyperactivated in <i>nekl</i> mutants, we hypothesized that the
326	loss of a CDC-42 activator might similarly alleviate molting defects in <i>nekl</i> mutants (41). To test
327	this, we generated two CRISPR-based loss-of-function alleles of <i>dnbp-1</i> . <i>dnbp-1(fd385)</i>
328	introduces a 66-bp insertion into the sixteenth exon of <i>dnbp-1</i> , leading to 13 new amino acids
329	after K913, followed by multiple stop codons (S1 File). <i>dnbp-1(fd386)</i> contains a 59-bp deletion
330	in exon 16, which leads to a frameshift that introduces seven novel amino acids after I915
331	followed by multiple stop codons (S1 File). Both CRISPR alleles of <i>dnbp-1</i> led to ~20%
332	suppression of molting defects in nekl-2; nekl-3 mutants (Fig 3B). RNAi-mediated knockdown of
333	DNBP-1 using dsRNA injection methods led to ~40% suppression of molting defects in <i>nekl-2</i> ;
334	nekl-3 mutants (Fig 3C), confirming that it is loss of DNBP-1 activity that confers genetic
335	suppression. The observed difference in suppression levels between the <i>dnbp-1</i> genetic



337 Fig 3. DNBP-1 associates with PTPN-22, and its loss suppresses nekl molting defects.

338 (A) Dot plot showing DNBP-1 enrichment in all four PTPN-22::TurboID samples; error bars represent

339 standard deviation. (B, C) Bar graphs show the percentage of worms that developed without molting

340 defects in different nekl mutants achieved by reducing DNBP-1 activity through either loss-of-function 341 mutations (B) or RNAi (C). Fisher's exact test was used to calculate p-values; ****p < 0.0001 and ***p < 342 0.001. (D) Schematic of DNBP-1A isoform showing structural domains. (E) One of the best predicted 343 models by AlphaFold-multimer showing the predicted binding interaction between PTPN-22 (in blue) 344 and the SH3.3 domain of DNBP-1 (in pink) as displayed in ribbon format. The PTPN-22 proline-rich region 345 is highlighted in green with prolines shown. Colored lines indicate predicted interactions between PTPN-346 22 and DNBP-1 within 6 Å. Predicted aligned error (PAE) plots of two of the highest-scoring models 347 (rank 1 and rank 2) of PTPN-22 with the SH3.3 domain of DNBP-1. Yellow arrows indicate the region 348 corresponding to the predicted interaction; green arrow indicates the PTPN-22 proline-rich region. ipTM 349 scores for 10 different models (two seeds with six recycles) generated by AlphaFold-multimer were 350 plotted for the indicated domains of DNBP-1 with full-length wild-type PTPN-22 or PTPN-22 containing a 351 mutated PR.1 domain (PR.1 mut). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals; ****p < 0.0001 based 352 on a t test. (F) Confocal images showing transgenic animals expressing CRISPR-generated PTPN-22::EGFP 353 (green) and DNBP-1::mScarlet (magenta) in the region of the apical epidermis including inset 354 (highlighted in vellow box.) The orange arrow indicates an example overlap (white) between PTPN-355 22::EGFP and DNBP-1::mScarlet. Sequences for *dnbp-1* mutant alleles can be found in the S1 File. Raw 356 data are available in the S7 File. 357

358

359

mutants and *dnbp-1(RNAi)* may be due in part to a pronounced reduction in fitness caused by
the *dnbp-1* deletion mutation. We note that *dnbp-1(RNAi)* failed to suppress molting defects in
moderate loss-of-function alleles of *nekl-2*(Y84L, G87A) and *nekl-3*(P194L) (Fig 3C). Together
these results identify *dnbp-1* as a novel suppressor of *nekl-2*; *nekl-3* mutants and underscore
the value of proximity labeling for identifying functionally relevant partners for proteins of
interest.

366

367 We next took an in silico approach (AlphaFold-multimer; ColabFold) to better understand how 368 DNBP-1 and PTPN-22 might physically interact (61,62). The predicted structure of DNBP-1A 369 isoform (DNBP-1.a) reveals two closely spaced SH3 domains at its N terminus, a Dbl-homology 370 (DH) domain, a membrane-binding BAR domain, and a third SH3 domain at its C terminus (Fig 371 3D). As SH3 domains recognize proline-rich motifs, we used AlphaFold-multimer to predict 372 interactions between DNBP-1 and PTPN-22 using full-length PTPN-22 and individual SH3 373 domains of DNBP-1 (SH3.1, SH3.2, and SH3.3). According to AlphaFold-multimer predictions, all 374 10 models suggested strong interactions between the N-terminal proline-rich region (aa 26–35; 375 PPPPLPTSNP) of PTPN-22 and SH3.3 of DNBP-1 as evidenced by a mean ipTM (for interface 376 predicted Template Modeling) score of 0.79 (Standard deviation, 0.062; range, 0.71–0.86) (Fig. 377 3E). In contrast, the predicted models suggested a weak interaction or no interactions between 378 the SH3.1 and SH3.2 domains of DNBP-1 with PTPN-22. Notably, these findings aligned closely 379 with the yeast two-hybrid screen, which previously identified a physical association specifically 380 between the SH3.3 domain of DNBP-1 and PTPN-22 (58). Along these lines, both yeast two-381 hybrid experiments and protein modeling predicted an interaction between dynamin (DYN-1)

382 and the SH3.1 domain of DNBP-1 (S4A Fig) (58). We note that the failure of AlphaFold-multimer 383 to predict an interaction between full-length DNBP-1 and PTPN-22 is not unexpected given the 384 relative lack of confident structural predictions outside of the three SH3 domains (Fig 3E). 385 386 We further carried out co-localization experiments with transgenic animals that expressed 387 CRISPR (endogenously) tagged PTPN-22::EGFP and DNBP-1::mScarlet. In the epidermis, PTPN-388 22::EGFP displayed both diffuse and punctate modes of expression, as well as a stripe-like 389 assembly pattern along the portion of the apical epidermal surface that overlies the body wall 390 muscles (Fig 3F). Consistent with an interaction between PTPN-22 and DNBP-1, we observed 391 some correlation and overlap between the stripe patterns detected using PTPN-22::EGFP and 392 the punctate structures identified with DNBP-1::mScarlet (Fig 3F and S4B Fig). Nevertheless, 393 relatively weak expression of PTPN-22::EGFP in other regions of the epidermis made it difficult 394 to infer the extent of co-localization between these proteins (Fig 3F). We also note that DNBP-395 1::mScarlet exhibited a pattern of mid- to large-sized puncta throughout the epidermis, which 396 are reminiscent of endocytic compartments that co-localize with NEKL kinases as well as with 397 epidermal CDC-42 (Fig 3F and S4B Fig) (39,41). 398

Given indications of a functional interaction between PTPN-22 and DNBP-1, we tested for
genetic interactions between PTPN-22 and DNBP-1 by assaying for potential enhancement of *nekl-2; nekl-3* suppression when both PTPN-22 and DNBP-1 were simultaneously inhibited. Our
results suggested a significant increase in the percentage of suppressed *nekl-2; nekl-3* worms
when both PTPN-22 and DNBP-1 were inhibited as compared with the loss of single proteins

404	including putative null mutations (S4C Fig). Overall, our genetic results suggest that PTPN-22
405	and DNBP-1 are unlikely to be fully dependent on each other for their activities and also suggest
406	that PTPN-22 may affect molting through one or more additional targets. Consistent with at
407	least some functional independence, alteration of the N-terminal proline-rich domain of PTPN-
408	22 (PR.1; $P_{26}PPPLPTSNP_{35}$ to AAAALATSNA, referred to as PR.1 mut), which is predicted to
409	disrupt binding to the SH3.3 domain of DNBP-1, did not lead to strong suppression of <i>nekl-2;</i>
410	nekl-3 mutants (Fig 3E and S4D Fig). Interestingly, although AlphaFold-multimer predicts that
411	the $P_{26}PPPLPTSNP_{35}$ to AAAALATSNA alteration would preclude the binding of the DNBP-1
412	SH3.3 domain to this sequence, it also predicts that in the absence of the N-terminal proline
413	rich domain, SH3.3 may instead bind to a C-terminal proline rich region in PTPN-22 (PR.2),
414	thereby possibly preserving a functional interaction (Fig 3E; S4E Fig). Collectively, our results
415	indicate a physical and functional connection between DNBP-1 and PTPN-22, although the
416	regulatory and functional consequences of this interaction remain to be determined.
417	
418	PTPN-22 participates in cell attachment and cytoskeletal regulation
419	Endogenously tagged PTPN-22::EGFP exhibited an intermittent stripe-like pattern at the apical
420	surface of hyp7 in the region that overlies body wall muscles (Fig 3F, Fig 4B, and S2 Fig; S1
421	Movie and S2 Movie). This expression pattern is characteristic of protein components that act
422	in epidermal–ECM adhesive complexes termed <i>C. elegans</i> hemidesmosomes (CeHDs). CeHDs
423	function to connect body wall muscles to the external cuticle, allowing for movement and
424	embryonic morphogenesis (63–65). Two epidermal CeHD components related to human
425	Matrilins, MUP-4 and MUA-3, are transmembrane proteins positioned at the apical end of

426 Fig 4



427 Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHD proteins.

428 (A) Cartoon illustration depicting apical (MUA-3, MUP-4, and VAB-10A) and basal (LET-805 and VAB-10A)

429 CeHD structural components within the epidermis. Intermediate filaments (IFs) connecting the

430 complexes are indicated by red lines. The relative sizes of the different layers are not drawn to scale.

431 Muscle cells attach to the basal lamina (extracellular matrix, ECM) separating the muscle and epidermis

via α and β integrins (PAT-2 and PAT-3, respectively). (B) Co-localization in transgenic worms expressing
 endogenously tagged PTPN-22::mScarlet and GFP-tagged CeHD proteins (IFB-1A::GFP, MUP-4::GFP, LET-

endogenously tagged PTPN-22::mScarlet and GFP-tagged CeHD proteins (IFB-1A::GFP, MUP-4::GFP, LET 805::GFP, and VAB-10A::GFP). Note that PTPN-22::mScarlet; IFB-1A::GFP and PTPN-22::mScarlet; MUP-

435 4::GFP transgenic worms exhibited a rolling (twisted) phenotype because of the presence of dominant

436 *rol-6* (su1006) transgene in these backgrounds (see S6 File). (C–F) RNAi feeding knockdown (KD) of *mup*-

437 4 (C), *let-805* (D), *vab-10* (E), and *mua-3* (F) was carried out in wild-type and *ptpn-22(S33Stop)* worms

438 using the indicated dilution series. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Fisher's exact test was

439 used to calculate p-values; ****p < 0.0001; *p < 0.05. (G) Dot plots show the enrichment of CeHD

440 proteins in the P_{hyp7}::PTPN-22::TurboID samples. Error bars represent standard deviation. Raw data are

- 441 available in the S7 File.
- 442
- 443

444	CeHDs and interact with the cuticular matrix (66,67). Another epidermal transmembrane CeHD
445	component, LET-805, is related to human Tenascins and localizes to the basal end of CeHDs
446	where it interacts with the basement membrane that overlies muscle cells (68). Muscle cells, in
447	turn, bind to the overlying basement membrane through integrins (PAT-2 and PAT-3) (69–71).
448	Both MUP-4–MUA-3 and LET-805 bind to VAB-10, a dystonin family member, which in turn
449	associates with intermediate filaments (IFs), which physically link the apical and basal
450	complexes (Fig 4A) (66–68,72–75). Interestingly, P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID proximity labeling
451	studies indicated enrichment of several CeHD proteins including, LET-805 (~2.6-fold), VAB-10
452	(~5.6-fold), PAT-12 (~6.0-fold), and IFB-1 (~3.4-fold) (Fig 4G; S4 File).
453	
454	To determine if PTPN-22 co-localizes with CeHDs, we generated a strain expressing
455	endogenously tagged PTPN-22::mScarlet, which resulted in an expression pattern that closely
456	resembled that of PTPN-22::EGFP (Fig 3F and Fig 4B). We then tested for co-localization with
457	(non-CRISPR) GFP-tagged markers of CeHDs including MUP-4::GFP (apical CeHD), VAB-10A::GFP
458	(apical and basal CeHD), IFB-1::GFP (intermediate filament), and LET-805 (basal CeHD). Our co-
459	localization studies showed substantial overlap and/or adjacent localization between PTPN-
460	22::mScarlet stripes and puncta with MUP-4::GFP, VAB-10A::GFP, IFB-1::GFP, and LET-805 (Fig
461	4B). However, the resolution of confocal microscopy was insufficient to determine the precise
462	location of PTPN-22 in relation to apical or basal CeHD components.
463	
464	To determine if PTPN-22 affects the function of CeHDs, we carried out partial/weak RNAi

465 knockdown of several CeHD components in wild type and *ptpn-22* mutants and assayed for

466 developmental defects. Specifically, we used RNAi feeding in conjunction with bacterial dilution 467 to achieve different levels of target knockdown (see Materials and Methods) and looked for 468 increased RNAi sensitivity in *ptpn-22* mutants. We note that knockdown of CeHD proteins can 469 lead to either detachment of the cuticle from the epidermis or detachment of the muscle from 470 the epidermis, both of which lead to larval arrest and lethality (65–68,72–76). We observed 471 that loss of function of *ptpn-22* significantly enhanced larval lethality caused by *mup-4(RNAi)* at 472 multiple dilutions (Fig 4C). Likewise, *ptpn-22* was more sensitive to *let-805(RNAi)* at all dilutions, 473 leading to an ~3-fold increase in larval lethality at 1:6 and 1:8 dilutions (Fig 4D). In the case of 474 vab-10(RNAi), we observed significant embryonic lethality at all dilutions, although a substantial 475 increase in embryonic arrest was observed for ptpn-22 at 1:8 (~16-fold) and 1:10 (~5-fold) 476 dilutions versus N2 (Fig 4E). Finally, we observed a small but statistically significant increase in 477 larval lethality of ptpn-22 treated with (non-diluted) mua-3(RNAi) versus N2 (Fig 4F). Together, 478 our genetic and localization data suggest that PTPN-22 plays a positive role in the function of C. 479 elegans hemidesmosomes. Nevertheless, we failed to detect gross changes in the localization 480 pattern of CeHD components (MUP-4, IFB-1A, and LET-805) in ptpn-22 null mutants (S5A Fig), 481 consistent with the viability and normal morphology of *ptpn-22* mutants. As such, PTPN-22 482 could play a role in fine-tuning CeHD function, assembly, or stability. 483

Given that CeHDs are extensively remodeled during molting cycles, we were curious if loss of *ptpn-22* might contribute to *nekl* molting suppression in part through its effects on CeHDs in
addition to its implicated interactions with DNBP-1. As a test for this, we carried out partial
RNAi knockdown of the hemidesmosomal proteins *mup-4* and *let-805* in *nekl-2; nekl-3* worms

but failed to observe any mitigation of the molting-defective phenotype (S5B Fig). These results
suggest that the role of PTPN-22 at CeHDs may be distinct from functions linked to the NEKL–
MLT pathway.

491

492	Previous studies have indicated the involvement of mammalian PTPN22 in suppressing T
493	lymphocyte-specific integrin activation to regulate integrin-mediated cell adhesion (31,33).
494	Correspondingly, P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID proximity labeling studies showed enrichment of the
495	α subunit of integrin PAT-2/ITGA2B/ITGA5 (~5-fold) and epithelial junction protein DLG-1/DLG1
496	(~4.3-fold) (Fig 5A and S6A Fig; S4 File) (71,77). To explore a potential role for <i>C. elegans</i> PTPN-
497	22 in integrin function and other adherens junctions beyond CeHDs, we conducted RNAi
498	enhancement tests to assess ptpn-22 genetic interactions with pat-2 and dlg-1. ptpn-
499	22(S33Stop) worms had significantly higher paralysis rates when subjected to various dilutions
500	of <i>pat-2(RNAi)</i> feeding treatment as compared with wild-type worms (Fig 5B and Fig 5C).
501	Interestingly, the combination of <i>ptpn-22</i> loss of function and <i>pat-2(RNAi)</i> also resulted in a
502	significant decrease in the size of the worms, as assessed by body length measurements (Fig
503	5C). Additionally, upon <i>dlg-1(RNAi)</i> treatment, <i>ptpn-22(S33Stop)</i> worms showed enhanced
504	embryonic lethality (S6B Fig and S6C Fig). Taken together, these findings suggest that PTPN-22
505	plays roles in multiple adhesive structures in the epidermis and, potentially, in the muscle.
506	
507	Our proximity labeling data also detected enrichment of multiple actin regulatory proteins
508	including the conserved actin-capping proteins CAP-1/CAPZA1/2, CAP-2/CAPZB, and GSNL-
509	1/CAPG. CAP-1 and CAP-2 were among the 29 proteins that exhibited enrichment in both PTPN-

510 Fig 5



511 Fig 5. PTPN-22 interactions with cell attachment and actin regulatory proteins.

512 (A) Dot plots showing the enrichment of proteins in the PTPN-22::TurboID and P_{hyp7}::PTPN-22::TurboID

513 samples. Error bars represent standard deviation. (B) Bright-field images of wild-type and *ptpn*-

514 *22(S33Stop)* worms on control (empty vector) or *pat-2* or *cap-2* RNAi feeding plates. Blue arrows

515 indicate paralyzed adults; green arrows indicate arrested L1 larvae. (C) Bar graphs show the percentage

- of paralyzed worms in the indicated RNAi feeding experiments. Fisher's exact test was used to calculate
- 517 p-values. Dot plot shows body length measurement of individual worms of the indicated backgrounds on
- 518 control (empty vector) and *pat-2* RNAi feeding plates. Statistical significance was determined using a
- 519 two-tailed, unpaired t-test. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. (D) Bar graphs show the

520 percentage of embryonic lethality in the indicated RNAi feeding experiments. Fisher's exact test was

used to calculate p-values. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. ****p < 0.0001. Raw data are
 available in the S7 File.

523

524	22::TurboID samples (~4-fold and ~3-fold, respectively) and P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID samples
525	(~6-fold and ~7-fold, respectively) (Fig 5A and S3E Fig). GSNL-1 was enriched ~3.2-fold in the
526	P _{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID samples (S6A Fig). Actin-capping proteins maintain the ratio between
527	globular monomeric actin (G-actin) and filamentous actin (F-actin) by capping the growing end
528	of actin fibers and have roles in embryonic development and tissue morphogenesis (78–83).
529	Using our RNAi enhancement approach, we observed that <i>ptpn-22(S33Stop)</i> mutants showed
530	higher embryonic lethality after <i>cap-2(RNAi)</i> than wild-type worms (Fig 5B and Fig 5D). In
531	addition, hatched ptpn-2(S33Stop); cap-2(RNAi) worms exhibited uniform early larval arrest,
532	which was not observed in wild-type worms treated with <i>cap-2(RNAi)</i> (Fig 5B). In contrast, no
533	observable phenotypic defects were detected in either wild-type or ptpn-22(S33Stop) worms
534	when subjected to gsnl-1(RNAi) treatment by feeding (S6D Fig). Together, these results
535	implicate PTPN-22 in the regulation of cell adhesion and the actin cytoskeleton during
536	development.
537	

538 **DISCUSSION**

In this study we identified PTPN-22, a conserved tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type, as an effector of *C. elegans* molting. Specifically, loss of PTPN-22 catalytic activity alleviated molting defects in *nekl* mutant backgrounds with partial-to-moderate loss of function. An appealing model is that the NEKLs and PTPN-22 may exert opposing effects on one or more common substrates or may act on distinct components within a discrete complex or pathway. Along those lines, we previously identified a mutation affecting PAA-1/PPP2R1, a conserved serine/threonine PP2A phosphatase subunit, as a suppressor of *nekl* defects (42). Nevertheless,

whereas PP2A could directly reverse NEKL-mediated phosphorylation at serines and threonines,
PTPN-22 is predicted to target specifically phosphotyrosines and may thus oppose NEKL

548 functions more indirectly.

549

550 We previously showed that NEKL-2 and NEKL-3 have overlapping but distinct roles in several 551 steps of membrane trafficking including cargo uptake from apical and basolateral membranes 552 along with transit through the endosomal system (39,40). Additionally, we showed that the 553 NEKLs inhibit actin filamentation, co-localize at endosomes with CDC-42, and negatively 554 regulate CDC-42 activity (41). CDC42 is a well-studied member of the Rho family of GTPases 555 that promotes actin polymerization within a variety of cellular contexts including multiple roles 556 within the membrane trafficking system. Effectors of CDC42 include conserved members of the 557 WASP and TOCA family of proteins, which together with the Arp2/3 complex promote the 558 extension and branching of actin filaments (84–88). CDC42 activity is itself tightly controlled by upstream regulators including RhoGEFs, such as DNBP-1 family proteins, as well as GTPase-559 560 activating proteins (GAPs). DNMBP, the human ortholog of DNBP-1, regulates actin assembly by serving as a scaffold for CDC42 and WASP family proteins and also binds to dynamin, a 561 562 membrane-remodeling enzyme that promotes vesicle fission (59,60,89). Our findings from 563 proximity labeling, molecular modeling, and subcellular localization studies, in conjunction with 564 previously published yeast two-hybrid interaction data, suggest that PTPN-22 may be an 565 accessory component or regulator of this complex (58). Such a model is consistent with our 566 current and previously published genetic data showing that inhibition of PTPN-22, DNBP-1,

567	CDC-42, and SID-3/ TNK2/ACK1 can suppress <i>nekl</i> molting defects. Nevertheless, the precise
568	role of PTPN-22 in this context remains to be determined.

569

570 Further examples of the potential involvement of PTPN-22 in actin regulation come from our

571 proximity labeling experiments, which suggested an interaction between PTPN-22 and several

actin capping proteins (CAP-1, CAP-2, and GSNL-1), each of which blocks actin filament

573 elongation. Additionally, our genetic experiments revealed a robust genetic interaction

574 between *cap-2* and *ptpn-22*, as loss of function of both proteins led to a significant increase in

575 embryonic lethality and developmental arrest at early larval stages. Together these findings

576 further implicate PTPN-22 in the regulation of actin polymerization.

577

578 PTPN-22 proximity labeling studies also identified several CeHD components (IFB-1, PAT-12, 579 VAB-10, and LET-805), a finding strongly supported by the observed co-localization between 580 PTPN-22 and IFB-1A, MUP-4, VAB-10, and LET-805. These results, together with RNAi 581 enhancement studies showing that *ptpn-22* mutants are hypersensitive to partial knockdown of 582 CeHD proteins, suggest a role for PTPN-22 in positively regulating the function of CeHD 583 proteins. Additionally, proximity labeling and genetic interaction studies implicated a 584 connection between PTPN-22 and PAT-2, a component of the integrin-attachment complex, 585 and DLG-1, an epithelial junctional protein (65,71,77). As noted in the results, however, our 586 proximity labeling studies are likely to contain at least some false (non-specific) positives, which 587 could include highly abundant proteins such as those acting within cell adhesion complexes. 588 Nevertheless, by coupling proximity labeling to localization data and functional/genetic studies,

589 our combined results indicate functions for PTPN-22 in the regulation of cell attachments and 590 serve as a basis for future studies. Moreover, previous work on human PTPN22 suggests that 591 this could be a conserved function given that mammalian PTPN22 co-localizes with a T cell-592 specific integrin, regulates its activity, and affects lymphocyte adhesion (31). 593 594 Proximity labeling and PTPN-22 expression studies also implicated PTPN-22 in germline 595 functions through an apparent connection to P granules. P granules are well-studied RNP-based 596 membraneless organelles located in the perinuclear region of germline nuclei, where they act 597 to restrict mRNA cytoplasmic import and protein translation (90–93). Most notably, nearly one-598 third of the 179 proteins identified by PTPN-22::TurboID are known P granule components (S2 599 File; Sheet "Overlap with P granules"). Our results also indicated significant enrichment of 600 nuclear transport proteins, particularly nuclear pore complex components (NPP-1, NPP-4, NPP-601 9, and NPP-14) as well as importins (IMA-2 and IMA-3), in the PTPN-22::TurboID samples (S2 602 File). P granules are located directly adjacent to nuclear pore complexes, thereby enabling the 603 rapid sequestration of mRNAs as they pass through nuclear pores (90,94). Additionally, it is 604 possible that an interaction between PTPN-22, nuclear pore complex proteins, and importins 605 could occur in other tissues, as we observed expression of PTPN-22 in nuclear compartments of 606 other cell types including the vulva, pharynx, intestine, and seam cells. Previous studies on the 607 subcellular localization of human PTPN22 demonstrated expression within the cytoplasm and 608 nucleus of macrophages and reported distinct functional roles for nuclear and cytoplasmic 609 PTPN22 (95). Nonetheless, the functions of PTPN22 in nuclear and perinuclear compartments 610 are poorly understood.

611

612	In summary, our research highlights the value of combining the complementary approaches of
613	forward genetics and proximity labeling to gain novel insights into gene functions. More
614	specifically, our studies substantially expand upon the known functions of PTPN22 family
615	members beyond the adaptive immune system, including evidence for new molecular, cellular,
616	and developmental functions.
617	
618	MATERIALS AND METHODS
619	Strain maintenance
620	C. elegans strains were maintained using established protocols and cultured at 22°C, unless
621	otherwise indicated. The strains used in this study are listed in the S6 File.
622	
623	Genome editing
624	Established CRISPR/Cas9 approaches were used for genome editing in <i>C. elegans</i> (96). Ape,
625	CHOPCHOP, and CRISPRcruncher were used for designing guide RNAs and repair templates (97–
626	101).
627	
628	RNAi
629	dsRNAs corresponding to <i>ptpn-22</i> and <i>dnbp-1</i> were generated according to standard protocols.
630	(40,102). dsRNA at a concentration of 500–800 ng/ μ L was injected into the gonads of day-1
631	adult worms. The RNAi feeding protocol was adapted from the procedure outlined by Conte et
632	al. (103). Briefly, six L4-stage worms were transferred to experimental RNAi plates and

633	transferred every 24 hours for two more times onto fresh RNAi plates. Phenotypic defects were
634	assessed ~72 hours after their initial placement. To quantify embryonic lethality, eggs were
635	allowed to hatch for 24 hours, after which dead embryos were counted. For the genes mup-4,
636	let-805, vab-10, and pat-2 (as shown in Fig 4 and Fig 5), the penetrance of the RNAi phenotypes
637	was reduced by diluting the bacterial culture with the control (empty vector) RNAi bacterial
638	culture at different ratios. The RNAi clones used were obtained from the Ahringer library (104).
639	

640 Pulldown of biotinylated proteins

641 The protocol for the pulldown of biotinylated proteins was adapted from the protocol 642 described by Sanchez et. al. (105). Briefly, mixed-stage worms were cultured at 22°C (except for 643 S3 Fig, where worms were cultured at 25°C). Subsequently, the worms were washed off the 644 plates with ddH₂O and washed multiple times with ddH₂O to eliminate bacteria. Excess water 645 was carefully removed. One volume of RIPA lysis buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors 646 (Thermo Fisher, Cat# 78442) was added to the worm pellet. The pellet was then homogenized 647 using a handheld homogenizer (Huanyu MT-13K-L) for 4 minutes until complete lysis was achieved, and intact worms were no longer visible. The lysate was then centrifuged twice at 648 649 $14,000 \times q$ for 8 minutes, and the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube after each spin. 650 Streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher, Cat# 65001) were equilibrated by washing 651 them twice with RIPA lysis buffer. The lysate was added to the beads and gently rotated on a 652 spinning wheel at room temperature for 1 hour (except for S3 Fig, where the lysate was mixed with beads at 4°C for 16 hours). The beads were separated from the lysate using a magnetic 653 654 stand. The beads were then washed with five buffers to eliminate non-specifically bound

655	proteins as follows: 1× wash with cold RIPA lysis buffer, 1× wash with cold 1 M KCl, 1× wash
656	with cold 0.1 M Na ₂ CO ₃ , 1× wash with cold 2 M urea in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 3× washes with
657	cold RIPA lysis buffer, and 5× washes with cold 1× PBS. The beads were snap-frozen and stored
658	at –80°C until ready for on-bead digestion.
659	
660	Western blot analysis
661	Worms were lysed in RIPA buffer containing Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) 50 mM, NaCl 150 mM, SDS 0.2%,
662	Sodium deoxycholate 0.5%, Triton X-100 1%, and Halt Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor
663	Single-Use Cocktail 1× (Thermo Fisher, Cat# 78442). Proteins from the lysates were separated
664	by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose filters, and immunoblotted with antibodies using
665	standard methods. Horseradish peroxidase(HRP)-conjugated streptavidin (streptavidin-HRP;
666	Cat# 3999S) and HRP-conjugated rabbit monoclonal antibody against β -actin (Cat# 5125S) were
667	obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. HRP-conjugated mouse monoclonal antibody against
668	FLAG was obtained from GenScript (Cat# A01428).
669	
670	To detect biotinylated proteins by western blot methods, 10 μl of beads were mixed with 3×
671	Laemmli SDS sample buffer and 2 mM biotin, and then boiled at 95°C for 10 minutes. Beads
672	were pelleted using a magnetic stand and the supernatant was collected for subsequent use in
673	western blot analysis.
674	

675 Mass spectrometry data analysis

676 Protein samples were reduced, alkylated, and digested on-bead using filter-aided sample 677 preparation with sequencing-grade modified porcine trypsin (Promega) (106). Briefly, tryptic 678 peptides were then separated by reversed-phase XSelect CSH C18 2.5-um resin (Waters) on an 679 in-line 150 x 0.075–mm column using an UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano system (Thermo Fisher). 680 Peptides were eluted using a 60-minute gradient of buffer A/buffer B from 98:2 to 65:35. Eluted 681 peptides were ionized by electrospray (2.2 kV) followed by mass spectrometric analysis on an 682 Orbitrap Exploris 480 mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher). After data acquisition, data were 683 searched using an empirically corrected library against the UniProt Caenorhabditis elegans 684 database and a quantitative analysis was performed to obtain a comprehensive proteomic 685 profile. Spectronaut (Biognosys version 18.5) was used for database search using the directDIA 686 method (Fig 2). Notably, for S3 Fig, proteins were identified and quantified using EncyclopeDIA 687 (107) and visualized with Scaffold DIA (Proteome Software, Portland, Oregon, USA) using a 1% 688 false discovery threshold at both the protein and peptide level. Protein MS2 exclusive intensity 689 values were assessed for quality using ProteiNorm (108). The data were normalized using VSN 690 (Fig 2) (109) or cyclic loess (S3 Fig) (110), and were analyzed using proteoDA (111) to perform 691 statistical analysis using Linear Models for Microarray Data (limma) with empirical Bayes 692 (eBayes) smoothing to the standard errors (110–112).

693

To estimate the proportion of the four biotinylated carboxylases (MCCC-1, PCCA-1, PYC-1, and POD-2) in the MS samples we first averaged their individual abundance from the technical replicates (e.g., a1+a2+a3+a4/4=aa), then converted these values from log2 scale (2^aa), and then summed these four values (carboxylase-total). Likewise, we summed the values for all

698	detected proteins in the sample (all-total). Lastly, we determined the percentage of the
699	carboxylases in the total sample [(carboxylase-total/all-total)*100].
700	
701	Image acquisition and image processing
702	All confocal images were acquired using an Olympus IX83 inverted microscope with a Yokogawa
703	spinning-disc confocal head. z-Stack images were acquired using a 100×, 1.35 N.A. silicone oil
704	objective. cellSense 3.3 software (Olympus Corporation) was used for image acquisition.
705	
706	For colocalization studies, the raw z-stack images were deconvoluted using the Wiener
707	deconvolution algorithm (cellSense 3.3 software). The desired z-plane was extracted from the
708	deconvoluted z-stack images for further analysis.
709	
710	Statistics
711	All statistical tests were performed using software from GraphPad Prism following standard
712	procedures (113).
713	
714	FIGURE LEGENDS
715	Fig 1. Loss of <i>ptpn-22</i> can suppress <i>nekl</i> -associated molting defects.
716	(A) Merged fluorescence and DIC images of <i>nekl-2(fd91); nekl-3(gk894345)</i> worms in the
717	presence (top) and absence (bottom) of the extrachromosomal array (fdEx286), which contains
718	wild-type <i>nekl-3</i> and SUR-5::GFP. Note the molting defective <i>nekl-2; nekl-3</i> double mutant in the
719	lower panel, which exhibits a mid-body constriction due to a failure to shed its old cuticle. (B,C)

720	Bar graphs indicating the percentage of worms that developed into viable adults for the
721	indicated genotypes including <i>ptpn-22</i> genetic mutations and <i>ptpn-22(RNAi)</i> . (D) Diagram
722	highlighting the structural features of human PTPN22 and C. elegans PTPN-22 proteins including
723	the catalytic domains (orange), active sites (turquoise), interdomain (light green; PTPN22 only),
724	and proline-rich regions (PR.1–3; blue). Amino acid sequences of the proline-rich domains are
725	also provided. Also indicated are the locations and effects of <i>ptpn-22</i> alleles shown in Fig 1B. (E)
726	Bright-field images of a wild-type worm and mutant worm carrying the <i>ptpn-2(S33Stop)</i>
727	mutation. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Fisher's exact test was used to
728	calculate p-values; ****p < 0.0001. Raw data are available in the S7 File. The sequences for
729	ptpn-22 alleles can be found in the S1 File. aa, amino acid.
730	
731	Fig 2. TurbolD-based proximity labeling identifies the PTPN-22 interactome.

Fig 2. TurbolD-based proximity labeling identifies the PTPN-22 interactome. 131

732 (A) Schematic illustrating the proximity labeling study. The C terminus of PTPN-22 was fused to TurboID::3×-FLAG, leading to the biotinylation of proximal proteins. Proximal proteins are 733 734 depicted in blue, with the resulting biotin modification highlighted in red, whereas proteins 735 located outside the TurboID labeling radius (~10 nm) are represented in gray. PTPN-22::TurboID 736 or N2 control animals were cultured on plates, and subsequent protein extraction was carried 737 out. Biotinylated proteins were pulled down using streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (orange), 738 whereas non-biotinylated proteins were removed through washing steps. Enriched biotinylated 739 proteins were subjected to on-bead digestion, followed by Data-Independent Acquisition (DIA) 740 LC-MS/MS analysis. (B) Western blot (WB; left) shows the input fractions of representative N2 741 and PTPN-22::TurboID samples probed with streptavidin-HRP. Note additional bands in the

742	PTPN-22::TurboID lysate versus the N2 control. The expression of PTPN-22::TurboID was
743	visualized through an anti-FLAG western blot; antibodies against eta -actin were used as a loading
744	control. The pull-down fraction (IP, right) shows N2 and PTPN-22::TurboID samples probed with
745	streptavidin-HRP after enriching for biotinylated proteins using streptavidin-coated beads. (C)
746	Volcano plot highlighting proteins enriched (>2-fold and p-value <0.05) in PTPN-22::TurboID
747	samples (red) versus N2 (blue). (D) Dot plots show the enrichment of PTPN-22 in PTPN-
748	22::TurboID samples; error bars represent standard deviation. (E) KEGG pathway enrichment
749	analysis was performed using ShinyGO 0.80, and the top 19 biological pathways based on fold
750	enrichment are shown (114). (F) Venn diagram shows the overlap of enriched proteins between
751	PTPN-22::TurboID samples and P granule proteins (S2 File) (G) The dot plot shows the brood
752	size of individual worms in the indicated backgrounds.
753	
754	Fig 3. DNBP-1 associates with PTPN-22, and its loss suppresses <i>nekl</i> molting defects.
755	(A) Dot plot showing DNBP-1 enrichment in all four PTPN-22::TurboID samples; error bars
756	represent standard deviation. (B, C) Bar graphs show the percentage of worms that developed
757	without molting defects in different nekl mutants achieved by reducing DNBP-1 activity through
758	either loss-of-function mutations (B) or RNAi (C). Fisher's exact test was used to calculate p-
759	values; ****p < 0.0001 and ***p < 0.001. (D) Schematic of DNBP-1A isoform showing structural
760	domains. (E) One of the best predicted models by AlphaFold-multimer showing the predicted

- binding interaction between PTPN-22 (in blue) and the SH3.3 domain of DNBP-1 (in pink) as
- 762 displayed in ribbon format. The PTPN-22 proline-rich region is highlighted in green with prolines
- 763 shown. Colored lines indicate predicted interactions between PTPN-22 and DNBP-1 within 6 Å.

764	Predicted aligned error (PAE) plots of two of the highest-scoring models (rank_1 and rank_2) of
765	PTPN-22 with the SH3.3 domain of DNBP-1. Yellow arrows indicate the region corresponding to
766	the predicted interaction; green arrow indicates the PTPN-22 proline-rich region. ipTM scores
767	for 10 different models (two seeds with six recycles) generated by AlphaFold-multimer were
768	plotted for the indicated domains of DNBP-1 with full-length wild-type PTPN-22 or PTPN-22
769	containing a mutated PR.1 domain (PR.1 mut). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals;
770	<pre>****p < 0.0001 based on a t test. (F) Confocal images showing transgenic animals expressing</pre>
771	CRISPR-generated PTPN-22::EGFP (green) and DNBP-1::mScarlet (magenta) in the region of the
772	apical epidermis including inset (highlighted in yellow box.) The orange arrow indicates an
773	example overlap (white) between PTPN-22::EGFP and DNBP-1::mScarlet. Sequences for dnbp-1
774	mutant alleles can be found in the S1 File. Raw data are available in the S7 File.
775	
775 776	Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHD
775 776 777	Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHD proteins.
775 776 777 778	Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHD proteins. (A) Cartoon illustration depicting apical (MUA-3, MUP-4, and VAB-10A) and basal (LET-805 and
775 776 777 778 779	Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHD proteins. (A) Cartoon illustration depicting apical (MUA-3, MUP-4, and VAB-10A) and basal (LET-805 and VAB-10A) CeHD structural components within the epidermis. Intermediate filaments (IFs)
775 776 777 778 779 780	Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHD proteins. (A) Cartoon illustration depicting apical (MUA-3, MUP-4, and VAB-10A) and basal (LET-805 and VAB-10A) CeHD structural components within the epidermis. Intermediate filaments (IFs) connecting the complexes are indicated by red lines. The relative sizes of the different layers are
775 776 777 778 779 780 781	Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHD proteins. (A) Cartoon illustration depicting apical (MUA-3, MUP-4, and VAB-10A) and basal (LET-805 and VAB-10A) CeHD structural components within the epidermis. Intermediate filaments (IFs) connecting the complexes are indicated by red lines. The relative sizes of the different layers are not drawn to scale. Muscle cells attach to the basal lamina (extracellular matrix, ECM)
775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782	Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHD proteins. (A) Cartoon illustration depicting apical (MUA-3, MUP-4, and VAB-10A) and basal (LET-805 and VAB-10A) CeHD structural components within the epidermis. Intermediate filaments (IFs) connecting the complexes are indicated by red lines. The relative sizes of the different layers are not drawn to scale. Muscle cells attach to the basal lamina (extracellular matrix, ECM) separating the muscle and epidermis via α and β integrins (PAT-2 and PAT-3, respectively). (B)
775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783	Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHDproteins.(A) Cartoon illustration depicting apical (MUA-3, MUP-4, and VAB-10A) and basal (LET-805 andVAB-10A) CeHD structural components within the epidermis. Intermediate filaments (IFs)connecting the complexes are indicated by red lines. The relative sizes of the different layers arenot drawn to scale. Muscle cells attach to the basal lamina (extracellular matrix, ECM)separating the muscle and epidermis via α and β integrins (PAT-2 and PAT-3, respectively). (B)Co-localization in transgenic worms expressing endogenously tagged PTPN-22::mScarlet and
775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784	Fig 4. PTPN-22 is localized to hemidesmosomes and shows a genetic interaction with CeHD proteins. (A) Cartoon illustration depicting apical (MUA-3, MUP-4, and VAB-10A) and basal (LET-805 and VAB-10A) CeHD structural components within the epidermis. Intermediate filaments (IFs) connecting the complexes are indicated by red lines. The relative sizes of the different layers are not drawn to scale. Muscle cells attach to the basal lamina (extracellular matrix, ECM) separating the muscle and epidermis via α and β integrins (PAT-2 and PAT-3, respectively). (B) Co-localization in transgenic worms expressing endogenously tagged PTPN-22::mScarlet and GFP-tagged CeHD proteins (IFB-1A::GFP, MUP-4::GFP, LET-805::GFP, and VAB-10A::GFP). Note

786	exhibited a rolling (twisted) phenotype because of the presence of dominant <i>rol-6</i> (su1006)
787	transgene in these backgrounds (see S6 File). (C–F) RNAi feeding knockdown (KD) of mup-4 (C),
788	let-805 (D), vab-10 (E), and mua-3 (F) was carried out in wild-type and ptpn-22(S33Stop) worms
789	using the indicated dilution series. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Fisher's exact
790	test was used to calculate p-values; ****p < 0.0001; *p < 0.05. (G) Dot plots show the
791	enrichment of CeHD proteins in the P_{hyp7} ::PTPN-22::TurboID samples. Error bars represent
792	standard deviation. Raw data are available in the S7 File.
793	
794	Fig 5. PTPN-22 interactions with cell attachment and actin regulatory proteins.
795	(A) Dot plots showing the enrichment of proteins in the PTPN-22::TurboID and P_{hyp7} ::PTPN-
796	22::TurboID samples. Error bars represent standard deviation. (B) Bright-field images of wild-
797	type and <i>ptpn-22(S33Stop)</i> worms on control (empty vector) or <i>pat-2</i> or <i>cap-2</i> RNAi feeding
798	plates. Blue arrows indicate paralyzed adults; green arrows indicate arrested L1 larvae. (C) Bar
799	graphs show the percentage of paralyzed worms in the indicated RNAi feeding experiments.
800	Fisher's exact test was used to calculate p-values. Dot plot shows body length measurement of
801	individual worms of the indicated backgrounds on control (empty vector) and pat-2 RNAi
802	feeding plates. Statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed, unpaired t-test. Error
803	bars represent 95% confidence intervals. (D) Bar graphs show the percentage of embryonic
804	lethality in the indicated RNAi feeding experiments. Fisher's exact test was used to calculate p-
805	values. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. ****p < 0.0001. Raw data are available in
806	the S7 File.

807

808 Fig S1. Multiple sequence alignment of *C. elegans* PTPN-22 and its orthologs in other

- 809 organisms.
- 810 Jalview was used to visualize multi-sequence alignments among *C. elegans* PTPN-22, human
- 811 PTPN12 and PTPN22, mouse PTPN22 and PTPN18, chicken PTPN12 and PTPN22, and zebrafish
- 812 PTPN12 and PTPN22. Conserved residues, based on sequence homology, are highlighted in
- 813 purple. A consensus sequence is provided below the sequence alignments.
- 814
- 815 Fig S2. PTPN-22 expression during development.

816 (A) A confocal microscopy image of the anterior body of a day-1 adult worm expressing PTPN-

817 22::GFP. White arrows show examples of PTPN-22::EGFP in pharyngeal cells; white arrowheads

- 818 show its expression in nuclear compartments of pharyngeal cells; yellow arrows indicate
- 819 autofluorescence of the cuticle. (B) An L2 larva expressing PTPN-22::EGFP. White arrowheads
- 820 indicate seams cells, which show expression in their cytoplasm and nuclei; red arrows indicate

821 nerve cord cells; yellow arrows indicate autofluorescence of the cuticle. (C) Expression of PTPN-

822 22::EGFP in the germline of an L4-stage worm including nuclear and perinuclear expression as

- 823 indicated with red arrowheads; blue arrowhead indicates a distal tip cell; blue bracket indicates
- spermatheca; yellow arrows indicate autofluorescence of cuticle. Yellow box corresponds to the
- 825 enlarged inset, which shows expression of PTPN-22::EGFP in the perinuclear region of germline
- 826 nuclei. (D) Ubiquitous nuclear and cytoplasmic expression of PTPN-22::mScarlet in early
- 827 embryonic cells (left), with the DIC (middle) and merged (right) images. (E) Nuclear and
- 828 cytoplasmic expression of PTPN-22::mScarlet in vulval cells in an L4-stage worm (white arrows)
- 829 with the DIC (middle) and merged (right) images. The green arrows show expression in

- 830 intestinal nuclei; blue arrows show gut granule autofluorescence; white bracket indicates
- 831 proximal somatic gonad cells.
- 832
- 833 Fig S3. Results of P_{hyp7}::PTPN-22::TurboID studies.
- 834 (A) Schematic showing relevant portion of the expression construct used to drive PTPN-
- 835 22::TurboID in the major hyp7 epidermal syncytium. A *ptpn-22* cDNA was fused to coding
- 836 sequences for TurboID and a 3×FLAG tag (both placed at the C terminus) and expressed under
- the control of a hyp7-specific (Y37A1B.5) promoter. Western blot images of representative N2
- and P_{hyp7}::PTPN-22::TurboID samples show the biotinylated proteins in the input and pulldown
- 839 (IP) fraction after blotting with streptavidin-HRP. The lower two blots on the left show
- 840 P_{hyp7}::PTPN-22::TurboID expression based on an antibody against FLAG (upper) and a loading
- source with an antibody against β -actin (lower). (B) The volcano plot shows the enrichment of
- proteins after LC-MS/MS analysis in the P_{hyp7}::PTPN-22::TurboID samples (red) and in the N2
- 843 samples (blue). (C) The dot plot shows normalized intensity values of ectopically expressed
- 844 PTPN-22cd::TurboID::3×FLAG versus the N2 control (three replicates each). Error bars represent
- standard deviation. (D, E) Venn diagram shows the overlap of enriched proteins between
- 846 P_{hyp7}::PTPN-22::TurboID samples and P granule proteins (D) and the overlap of enriched
- 847 proteins between PTPN-22::TurboID samples and Phyp7::PTPN-22::TurboID samples (E) (see S4
- 848 File). cd, cDNA; STV, streptavidin.
- 849
- 850 Fig S4. DNBP-1 interactions and expression.

851	(A) ipTM scores for five different models generated using AlphaFold-multimer, each of which
852	was used to determine predicted binding between the three SH3 domains (SH3.1, SH3.2, and
853	SH3.3) of DNBP-1 and two isoforms of DYN-1 (DYN-1.a and DYN-1.b). Error bars represent
854	standard deviation. PAE plots of the best models for DYN-1.a and DYN-1b interactions with
855	DNBP-1(SH3.1) are shown with green arrows indicating the predicted proline-rich region of
856	interaction at the C terminus of DYN-1.a and DYN-1.b. (B) Representative confocal images of
857	day-1 adult worms expressing DNBP-1::mScarlet in the anterior and posterior side of the
858	epidermis. (C,D) Bar graph showing the percentage of suppressed worms in the indicated
859	backgrounds. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Fisher's exact test was used to
860	calculate p-values; ****p < 0.0001; ns, not significant. (E) PAE plots showing the two highest-
861	scoring Alphafold2 multimer interactions models (rank_1 and rank_2) of PTPN-22(PR.1mut)
862	with the SH3.3 domain of DNBP-1. Yellow arrows indicate the predicted interacting region. Raw
863	data are available in S7 File.

864

Fig S5. CeHD protein expression in wild type and *ptpn-22* mutants and genetic interactions of
 CeHD proteins with *nekl-2; nekl-3* mutants.

(A) Confocal microscopy images of day-1 adults of the indicated backgrounds expressing MUP4::GFP, IFB1A::GFP, and LET-805::GFP. Note that no gross differences in the localization of CeHD
proteins were detected. (B) RNAi-suppression experiments were carried out with wild-type and *nekl-2; nekl-3* mutants after partial knockdown of *mup-4* and *let-805* using RNAi feeding at
different dilutions (see Materials and Methods). Note that no reduction in the percentage of

- 872 nekl-2; nekl-3 arrest was observed. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Fisher's exact
- test was used to calculate p-values; ns, not significant. Raw data are available in the S7 File.
- 874

875 Fig S6. PTPN-22 interaction with PAT-2 and actin-capping proteins.

- 876 (A) Dot plots show the enrichment of the indicated proteins in the N2 and P_{hyp7}::PTPN-
- 877 22::TurboID samples. (B) Bright-field images of wild-type and *ptpn-22(S33Stop)* worms on
- 878 control (empty vector) or *dlg-1* RNAi feeding plates. Red arrow shows the presence of dead
- eggs on the *dlg-1(RNAi)* plate. (C, D) Bar graphs show the percentage of embryonic lethality (C)
- and viable adults (D) of the indicated backgrounds in control (empty vector) and gsnl-1 RNAi
- 881 feeding plates. Raw data are available in S7 File.
- 882
- 883

884 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 885 We thank Amy Fluet for editing this manuscript. This work was supported by R35 GM136236
- and by an Institutional Development Award (IDeA) from the National Institute of General
- 887 Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (2P20GM103432). We also thank
- 888 Stephanie Byrum and the IDeA National Resource for Quantitative Proteomics (NIH/NIGMS
- grant- R24GM137786) for proteomic analyses and helpful discussions.
- 890

891 **REFERENCES**

Manning G, Whyte DB, Martinez R, Hunter T, Sudarsanam S. The protein kinase

complement of the human genome. Science [Internet]. 2002 Dec 6;298(5600):1912–34.

892

893

1.

894 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12471243 895 2. Aschner Y, Downey GP. The importance of tyrosine phosphorylation control of cellular 896 signaling pathways in respiratory disease: pY and pY not. Vol. 59, American Journal of 897 Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology. American Thoracic Society; 2018. p. 535–47. 898 3. Sacco F, Perfetto L, Castagnoli L, Cesareni G. The human phosphatase interactome: An 899 intricate family portrait. Vol. 586, FEBS Letters. 2012. p. 2732-9. 900 4. Zhao X, Xiong L, She L, Li L, Huang P, Liang G. The role and therapeutic implication of 901 protein tyrosine phosphatases in Alzheimer's disease. Vol. 151, Biomedicine and 902 Pharmacotherapy. Elsevier Masson s.r.l.; 2022. 903 5. Shu L, Du C, Zuo Y. Abnormal phosphorylation of protein tyrosine in neurodegenerative 904 diseases. Vol. 82, Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology. Oxford 905 University Press; 2023. p. 826–35. 906 6. Labbé DP, Hardy S, Tremblay ML. Protein tyrosine phosphatases in cancer: Friends and 907 foes! In: Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science. Elsevier B.V.; 2012. p. 908 253-306. 909 7. Sivaganesh V, Sivaganesh V, Scanlon C, Iskander A, Maher S, Lê T, et al. Protein tyrosine 910 phosphatases: Mechanisms in cancer. Vol. 22, International Journal of Molecular 911 Sciences. MDPI; 2021. 912 8. Vang T, Miletic A V., Arimura Y, Tautz L, Rickert RC, Mustelin T. Protein tyrosine 913 phosphatases in autoimmunity. Vol. 26, Annual Review of Immunology. 2008. p. 29–55. 914 9. Tonks NK. Protein tyrosine phosphatases: from genes, to function, to disease. Nat Rev 915 Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2006 Nov;7(11):833–46. Available from: 916 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17057753 917 Bye-A-Jee H, Zaru R, Magrane M, Orchard S. Caenorhabditis elegans phosphatase 10. 918 complexes in UniProtKB and Complex Portal. Vol. 287, FEBS Journal. Blackwell Publishing 919 Ltd; 2020. p. 2664–84. 920 Kim W, Underwood RS, Greenwald I, Shaye DD. Ortholist 2: A new comparative genomic 11. 921 analysis of human and caenorhabditis elegans genes. Genetics. 2018 Oct 1;210(2):445-922 61. 923 12. Cohen S, Dadi H, Shaoul E, Sharfe N, Roifman CM. Cloning and characterization of a 924 lymphoid-specific, inducible human protein tyrosine phosphatase, Lyp. Blood [Internet]. 925 1999 Mar 15;93(6):2013-24. Available from: 926 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10068674 927 13. Hill RJ, Zozulya S, Lu YL, Ward K, Gishizky M, Jallal B. The lymphoid protein tyrosine 928 phosphatase Lyp interacts with the adaptor molecule Grb2 and functions as a negative 929 regulator of T-cell activation. Vol. 30, Experimental Hematology. 2002. 930 Cloutier JF, Veillette A. Association of inhibitory tyrosine protein kinase p50csk with 14. 931 protein tyrosine phosphatase PEP in T cells and other hemopoietic cells. EMBO J 932 [Internet]. 1996 Sep 16;15(18):4909–18. Available from: 933 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8890164 934 15. Xue C, Jiang L, Zhou M, Long Q, Chen Y, Li X, et al. PCGA: a comprehensive web server for 935 phenotype-cell-gene association analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022 Jul 5;50(W1):W568-76. 936 16. Thul PJ, Akesson L, Wiking M, Mahdessian D, Geladaki A, Ait Blal H, et al. A subcellular 937 map of the human proteome. Science (1979). 2017 May 26;356(6340). 938 17. Uhlén M, Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, et al. Tissue-939 based map of the human proteome. Science (1979). 2015 Jan 23;347(6220). 940 Wu J, Katrekar A, Honigberg LA, Smith AM, Conn MT, Tang J, et al. Identification of 18. 941 substrates of human protein-tyrosine phosphatase PTPN22. Journal of Biological 942 Chemistry. 2006 Apr 21;281(16):11002-10. 943 Cloutier JF, Veillette A. Cooperative inhibition of T-cell antigen receptor signaling by a 19. 944 complex between a kinase and a phosphatase. J Exp Med [Internet]. 1999 Jan 945 4;189(1):111–21. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9874568 946 20. Zheng J, Ibrahim S, Petersen F, Yu X. Meta-analysis reveals an association of PTPN22 947 C1858T with autoimmune diseases, which depends on the localization of the affected 948 tissue. Genes Immun. 2012 Dec;13(8):641-52. 949 21. Bottini N, Musumeci L, Alonso A, Rahmouni S, Nika K, Rostamkhani M, et al. A functional 950 variant of lymphoid tyrosine phosphatase is associated with type I diabetes. Nat Genet. 951 2004 Apr;36(4):337-8. 952 22. Kyogoku C, Langefeld CD, Ortmann WA, Lee A, Selby S, Carlton VEH, et al. Genetic 953 association of the R620W polymorphism of protein tyrosine phosphatase PTPN22 with 954 human SLE. Am J Hum Genet [Internet]. 2004 Sep;75(3):504–7. Available from: 955 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15273934 956 23. Begovich AB, Carlton VEH, Honigberg LA, Schrodi SJ, Chokkalingam AP, Alexander HC, et 957 al. A missense single-nucleotide polymorphism in a gene encoding a protein tyrosine 958 phosphatase (PTPN22) is associated with rheumatoid arthritis. Am J Hum Genet 959 [Internet]. 2004 Aug;75(2):330–7. Available from: 960 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15208781 961 Anderson W, Barahmand-Pour-whitman F, Linsley PS, Cerosaletti K, Buckner JH, Rawlings 24. 962 DJ. PTPN22 R620W gene editing in T cells enhances low-avidity TCR responses. Elife. 963 2023;12. 964 25. Sjöstedt E, Zhong W, Fagerberg L, Karlsson M, Mitsios N, Adori C, et al. An atlas of the 965 protein-coding genes in the human, pig, and mouse brain. Science. 2020 Mar 966 6;367(6482). 967 26. Karlsson M, Zhang C, Méar L, Zhong W, Digre A, Katona B, et al. A single-cell type 968 transcriptomics map of human tissues. Sci Adv. 2021 Jul;7(31). 969 Sallee JL, Wittchen ES, Burridge K. Regulation of cell adhesion by protein-tyrosine 27. 970 phosphatases II. Cell-cell adhesion. Vol. 281, Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2006. p. 971 16189-92. 972 28. Burridge K, Sastry SK, Sallee JL. Regulation of cell adhesion by protein-tyrosine 973 phosphatases: I. Cell-matrix adhesion. Vol. 281, Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2006. p. 974 15593-6. 975 Young KA, Biggins L, Sharpe HJ. Protein tyrosine phosphatases in cell adhesion. Vol. 478, 29. 976 Biochemical Journal. Portland Press Ltd; 2021. p. 1061–83. 977 Gahmberg CG, Grönholm M. How integrin phosphorylations regulate cell adhesion and 30. 978 signaling. Vol. 47, Trends in Biochemical Sciences. Elsevier Ltd; 2022. p. 265–78.

979 31. Burn GL, Cornish GH, Potrzebowska K, Samuelsson M, Griffié J, Minoughan S, et al. 980 Superresolution imaging of the cytoplasmic phosphatase PTPN22 links integrin-mediated 981 T cell adhesion with autoimmunity. Sci Signal. 2016 Oct 4;9(448). 982 32. Wang X, Wei G, Ding Y, Gui X, Tong H, Xu X, et al. Protein tyrosine phosphatase PTPN22 983 negatively modulates platelet function and thrombus formation. Blood [Internet]. 2022 984 Sep 1 [cited 2023 Dec 6];140(9):1038–51. Available from: 985 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35767715 Brownlie RJ, Miosge LA, Vassilakos D, Svensson LM, Cope A, Zamoyska R. Lack of the 986 33. 987 phosphatase PTPN22 increases adhesion of murine regulatory T cells to improve their 988 immunosuppressive function. Sci Signal. 2012 Nov 27;5(252). 989 Lažetić V, Fay DS. Molting in C. elegans. Worm [Internet]. 2017 Jan 2;6(1):e1330246. 34. 990 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28702275 991 35. Miao R, Li M, Zhang Q, Yang C, Wang X. An ECM-to-Nucleus Signaling Pathway Activates 992 Lysosomes for C. elegans Larval Development. Dev Cell. 2020 Jan 6;52(1):21-37.e5. 993 36. Frand AR, Russel S, Ruvkun G. Functional genomic analysis of C. elegans molting. PLoS 994 Biol. 2005 Oct;3(10):e312. 995 37. Lažetić V, Fay DS. Conserved ankyrin repeat proteins and their NIMA kinase partners 996 regulate extracellular matrix remodeling and intracellular trafficking in Caenorhabditis 997 elegans. Genetics. 2017 Jan 1;205(1):273-93. 998 Yochem J, Lažetić V, Bell L, Chen L, Fay D. C. elegans NIMA-related kinases NEKL-2 and 38. 999 NEKL-3 are required for the completion of molting. Dev Biol. 2015 Feb 15;398(2):255–66. 1000 Joseph BB, Naslavsky N, Binti S, Conquest S, Robison L, Bai G, et al. Conserved NIMA 39. 1001 kinases regulate multiple steps of endocytic trafficking. PLoS Genet [Internet]. 2023 Apr 1002 1;19(4):e1010741. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37099601 1003 40. Joseph BB, Wang Y, Edeen P, Lazetic V, Grant BD, Fay DS. Control of clathrin-mediated 1004 endocytosis by NIMA family kinases. PLoS Genet. 2020;16(2). Lažetić V, Joseph BB, Bernazzani SM, Fay DS. Actin organization and endocytic trafficking 1005 41. 1006 are controlled by a network linking NIMA-related kinases to the CDC-42-SID-3/ACK1 1007 pathway. PLoS Genet. 2018 Apr 1;14(4). 1008 42. Joseph BB, Blouin NA, Fay DS. Use of a sibling subtraction method for identifying causal 1009 mutations in Caenorhabditis elegans by whole-genome sequencing. G3: Genes, 1010 Genomes, Genetics. 2018 Feb 1;8(2):669-78. 1011 43. Joseph BB, Edeen PT, Meadows S, Binti S, Fay DS. An unexpected role for the conserved 1012 ADAM-family metalloprotease ADM-2 in Caenorhabditis elegans molting. PLoS Genet. 1013 2022 May 31;18(5). 1014 44. Binti S, Melinda R V., Joseph BB, Edeen PT, Miller SD, Fay DS. A life cycle alteration can correct molting defects in Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol. 2022 Mar 1;483:143–56. 1015 1016 45. Bottini N, Peterson EJ. Tyrosine phosphatase PTPN22: Multifunctional regulator of 1017 immune signaling, development, and disease. Vol. 32, Annual Review of Immunology. 1018 Annual Reviews Inc.; 2014. p. 83–119. 1019 Flint AJ, Tiganis T, Barford D, Tonks NK. Development of "substrate-trapping" mutants to 46. 1020 identify physiological substrates of protein tyrosine phosphatases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S 1021 A [Internet]. 1997 Mar 4;94(5):1680–5. Available from: 1022 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9050838

1023	47.	Eisenberg MA, Prakash O, Hsiung SC. Purification and properties of the biotin repressor.
1024		A bifunctional protein. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1982;257(24):15167–73.
1025	48.	Barker DF, Campbell AM. Genetic and biochemical characterization of the birA gene and
1026		its product: evidence for a direct role of biotin holoenzyme synthetase in repression of
1027		the biotin operon in Escherichia coli. J Mol Biol [Internet]. 1981 Mar 15;146(4):469–92.
1028		Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6456358
1029	49.	Branon TC, Bosch JA, Sanchez AD, Udeshi ND, Svinkina T, Carr SA, et al. Efficient
1030		proximity labeling in living cells and organisms with TurboID. Nat Biotechnol. 2018 Oct
1031		1;36(9):880–98.
1032	50.	Price IF, Hertz HL, Pastore B, Wagner J, Tang W. Proximity labeling identifies LOTUS
1033		domain proteins that promote the formation of perinuclear germ granules in C. elegans.
1034		Elife [Internet]. 2021 Nov 3;10. Available from:
1035		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34730513
1036	51.	Holzer E, Rumpf-Kienzl C, Falk S, Dammermann A. A modified TurbolD approach
1037		identifies tissue-specific centriolar components in C. elegans. PLoS Genet. 2022 Apr
1038		20;18(4).
1039	52.	Artan M, Barratt S, Flynn SM, Begum F, Skehel M, Nicolas A, et al. Interactome analysis of
1040		Caenorhabditis elegans synapses by TurboID-based proximity labeling. Journal of
1041		Biological Chemistry. 2021 Sep 1;297(3).
1042	53.	Artan M, Hartl M, Chen W, de Bono M. Depletion of endogenously biotinylated
1043		carboxylases enhances the sensitivity of TurboID-mediated proximity labeling in
1044		Caenorhabditis elegans. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2022 Sep 1;298(9).
1045	54.	Bosch JA, Chen CL, Perrimon N. Proximity-dependent labeling methods for proteomic
1046		profiling in living cells: An update. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol. 2021 Jan;10(1):e392.
1047	55.	Moreira CM do N, Kelemen CD, Obado SO, Zahedifard F, Zhang N, Holetz FB, et al. Impact
1048		of inherent biases built into proteomic techniques: Proximity labeling and affinity capture
1049		compared. J Biol Chem. 2023 Jan;299(1):102726.
1050	56.	Davis P, Zarowiecki M, Arnaboldi V, Becerra A, Cain S, Chan J, et al. WormBase in 2022-
1051		data, processes, and tools for analyzing Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. 2022 Apr
1052		1;220(4).
1053	57.	Davis P, Zarowiecki M, Arnaboldi V, Becerra A, Cain S, Chan J, et al. WormBase in 2022—
1054		data, processes, and tools for analyzing Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. 2022 Apr
1055		4;220(4).
1056	58.	Xin X, Gfeller D, Cheng J, Tonikian R, Sun L, Guo A, et al. SH3 interactome conserves
1057		general function over specific form. Mol Syst Biol. 2013;9.
1058	59.	Cestra G, Kwiatkowski A, Salazar M, Gertler F, De Camilli P. Tuba, a GEF for CDC42, links
1059		dynamin to actin regulatory proteins. Methods Enzymol. 2005;404:537–45.
1060	60.	Salazar MA, Kwiatkowski A V., Pellegrini L, Cestra G, Butler MH, Rossman KL, et al. Tuba,
1061		a novel protein containing bin/amphiphysin/Rvs and Dbl homology domains, links
1062		dynamin to regulation of the actin cytoskeleton. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(49):49031–43.
1063	61.	Evans R, O'neill M, Pritzel A, Antropova N, Senior A, Green T, et al. Protein complex
1064		prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. 2022; Available from:
1065		https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.04.463034

106662.Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. ColabFold: making1067protein folding accessible to all. Nat Methods. 2022 Jun;19(6):679–82.

- 1068 63. Zhang H, Labouesse M. The making of hemidesmosome structures in vivo. Vol. 239,
 1069 Developmental Dynamics. 2010. p. 1465–76.
- 107064.Cox EA, Hardin J. Sticky worms: Adhesion complexes in C. elegans. J Cell Sci. 2004 Apr107115;117(10):1885–97.
- 107265.Pásti G, Labouesse M. Epithelial junctions, cytoskeleton, and polarity. WormBook : the1073online review of C. elegans biology. 2014. p. 1–35.
- Hong L, Elbl T, Ward J, Franzini-Armstrong C, Rybicka KK, Gatewood BK, et al. MUP-4 is a
 novel transmembrane protein with functions in epithelial cell adhesion in Caenorhabditis
 elegans. Journal of Cell Biology. 2001 Jul 23;154(2):403–14.
- 1077 67. Bercher M, Wahl J, Vogel BE, Lu C, Hedgecock EM, Hall DH, et al. mua-3, a gene required
 1078 for mechanical tissue integrity in Caenorhabditis elegans, encodes a novel
 1079 transmembrane protein of epithelial attachment complexes. Journal of Cell Biology. 2001
- 1080 Jul 23;154(2):415–26.
- 1081 68. Hresko MC, Schriefer LA, Shrimankar P, Waterston RH. Myotactin, a Novel Hypodermal
 1082 Protein Involved in Muscle–Cell Adhesion in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J Cell Biol [Internet].
 1083 1999 Aug 9;146(3):659–72. Available from:
- 1084https://rupress.org/jcb/article/146/3/659/43808/Myotactin-a-Novel-Hypodermal-1085Protein-Involved-in
- 108669.Gettner SN, Kenyon C, Reichardt LF. Characterization of beta pat-3 heterodimers, a1087family of essential integrin receptors in C. elegans. J Cell Biol. 1995 May;129(4):1127–41.
- 1088 70. Williams BD, Waterston RH. Genes critical for muscle development and function in
 1089 Caenorhabditis elegans identified through lethal mutations. J Cell Biol. 1994
 1090 Feb;124(4):475–90.
- 1091 71. Gieseler K, Qadota H, Benian GM. Development, structure, and maintenance of C.
 1092 elegans body wall muscle. WormBook. 2017 Apr 13;2017:1–59.
- 1093 72. Bosher JM, Hahn BS, Legouis R, Sookhareea S, Weimer RM, Gansmuller A, et al. The
 1094 Caenorhabditis elegans vab-10 spectraplakin isoforms protect the epidermis against
 1095 internal and external forces. Journal of Cell Biology. 2003 May 26;161(4):757–68.
- 1096 73. Karabinos A, Schmidt H, Harborth J, Schnabel R, Weber K. Essential roles for four
 1097 cytoplasmic intermediate filament proteins in Caenorhabditis elegans development. Proc
 1098 Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2001 Jul 3;98(14):7863–8. Available from:
 1099 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11427699
- 1100 74. Woo WM, Goncharov A, Jin Y, Chisholm AD. Intermediate filaments are required for C.
 1101 elegans epidermal elongation. Dev Biol. 2004 Mar 15;267(1):216–29.
- 1102 75. Karabinos A, Schulze E, Schünemann J, Parry DAD, Weber K. In vivo and in vitro evidence
 1103 that the four essential intermediate filament (IF) proteins A1, A2, A3 and B1 of the
 1104 nematode Caenorhabditis elegans form an obligate heteropolymeric IF system. J Mol
 1105 Biol. 2003 Oct 17;333(2):307–19.
- 1106 76. Hapiak V, Hresko MC, Schriefer LA, Saiyasisongkhram K, Bercher M, Plenefisch J. mua-6, a
 1107 gene required for tissue integrity in Caenorhabditis elegans, encodes a cytoplasmic
 1108 intermediate filament. Dev Biol. 2003 Nov 15;263(2):330–42.

1109 77. Firestein BL, Rongo C. DLG-1 Is a MAGUK Similar to SAP97 and Is Required for Adherens 1110 Junction Formation. Mol Biol Cell. 2001 Nov:12(11):3465–75. 1111 78. Peche V, Shekar S, Leichter M, Korte H, Schröder R, Schleicher M, et al. CAP2, cyclase-1112 associated protein 2, is a dual compartment protein. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 1113 2007 Oct;64(19-20):2702-15. Waddle JA, Cooper JA, Waterston RH. The alpha and beta subunits of nematode actin 1114 79. capping protein function in yeast. Mol Biol Cell [Internet]. 1993 Sep;4(9):907–17. 1115 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8257793 1116 1117 80. Edwards M, Zwolak A, Schafer DA, Sept D, Dominguez R, Cooper JA. Capping protein 1118 regulators fine-tune actin assembly dynamics. Vol. 15, Nature Reviews Molecular Cell 1119 Biology. Nature Publishing Group; 2014. p. 677–89. 1120 81. Klaavuniemi T, Yamashiro S, Ono S. Caenorhabditis elegans gelsolin-like protein 1 is a 1121 novel actin filament-severing protein with four gelsolin-like repeats. Journal of Biological 1122 Chemistry. 2008 Sep 19;283(38):26071-80. 1123 82. Ono S. Regulation of structure and function of sarcomeric actin filaments in striated 1124 muscle of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Anat Rec (Hoboken) [Internet]. 2014 1125 Sep;297(9):1548–59. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25125169 1126 83. Ray S, Agarwal P, Nitzan A, Nédélec F, Zaidel-Bar R. Actin-capping protein regulates 1127 actomyosin contractility to maintain germline architecture in C. elegans. Development 1128 (Cambridge). 2023 Mar 1;150(6). Ma L, Rohatgi R, Kirschner MW. The Arp2/3 complex mediates actin polymerization 1129 84. 1130 induced by the small GTP-binding protein Cdc42. Proceedings of the National Academy of 1131 Sciences. 1998 Dec 22;95(26):15362-7. 1132 85. Matas OB, Martínez-Menárguez JA, Egea G. Association of Cdc42/N-WASP/Arp2/3 1133 signaling pathway with Golgi membranes. Traffic. 2004 Nov;5(11):838-46. 1134 Rohatgi R, Ma L, Miki H, Lopez M, Kirchhausen T, Takenawa T, et al. The Interaction 86. 1135 between N-WASP and the Arp2/3 Complex Links Cdc42-Dependent Signals to Actin 1136 Assembly. Cell. 1999 Apr;97(2):221-31. 1137 87. Bu W, Lim KB, Yu YH, Chou AM, Sudhaharan T, Ahmed S. Cdc42 Interaction with N-WASP and Toca-1 Regulates Membrane Tubulation, Vesicle Formation and Vesicle Motility: 1138 Implications for Endocytosis. PLoS One. 2010 Aug 13;5(8):e12153. 1139 1140 88. Ho HYH, Rohatgi R, Lebensohn AM, Le Ma, Li J, Gygi SP, et al. Toca-1 mediates Cdc42-1141 dependent actin nucleation by activating the N-WASP-WIP complex. Cell. 2004 Jul 1142 23;118(2):203-16. 1143 89. Ferguson SM, De Camilli P. Dynamin, a membrane-remodelling GTPase. Nat Rev Mol Cell 1144 Biol. 2012 Jan 11;13(2):75-88. 1145 90. Phillips CM, Updike DL. Germ granules and gene regulation in the Caenorhabditis elegans 1146 germline. Genetics. 2022 Mar 3;220(3). 1147 Schisa JA, Pitt JN, Priess JR. Analysis of RNA associated with P granules in germ cells of C. 91. 1148 elegans adults. Development. 2001 Apr;128(8):1287–98. 1149 Brangwynne CP, Eckmann CR, Courson DS, Rybarska A, Hoege C, Gharakhani J, et al. 92. 1150 Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled 1151 dissolution/condensation. Science. 2009 Jun 26;324(5935):1729–32.

1152	93.	Sevdoux G. The P Granules of C. elegans: A Genetic Model for the Study of RNA-Protein
1153		Condensates. J Mol Biol. 2018 Nov 2:430(23):4702–10.
1154	94.	Sheth U. Pitt J. Dennis S. Priess JR. Perinuclear P granules are the principal sites of mRNA
1155		export in adult C. elegans germ cells. Development, 2010 Apr:137(8):1305–14.
1156	95	Chang HH, Miaw SC, Tseng W, Sun YW, Liu CC, Tsao HW, et al. PTPN22 Modulates
1157	55.	Macronhage Polarization and Suscentibility to Dextran Sulfate Sodium–Induced Colitis
1158		The Journal of Immunology 2013 Sen 1:191(5):2134–43
1159	96	Ghanta KS Ishidate T Mello CC Microiniection for precision genome editing in
1160	50.	Caenorhabditis elegans STAR Protoc 2021 Sen 17:2(3)
1161	97	Davis MW Jorgensen FM AnF A Plasmid Editor: A Freely Available DNA Manipulation
1162	57.	and Visualization Program Frontiers in Bioinformatics 2022.2
1163	98	Montague TG Cruz IM Gagnon IA Church GM Valen F CHOPCHOP: A CRISPR/Cas9 and
1164	50.	TALEN web tool for genome editing Nucleic Acids Res 2014 Jul 1:42(W1)
1165	۵۵	Fav SE Fav DS Chhatre VE CRISPReruncher: A tool for engineering restriction sites into
1166	<i>JJ</i> .	coding regions MicroPubl Biol [Internet] 2021 Jan 18:2021 Available from:
1167		http://www.nchi.nlm.nih.gov/nuhmed/33/190886
1168	100	Labur K Montague TG Gagnon IA Thyme SB Valen E CHOPCHOP v2: a web tool for the
1160	100.	next generation of CRISPR genome engineering. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jul
1170		$8 \cdot A / (W/1) \cdot W/272 = 6$
1170	101	Labur K Montague TG Krause M Torres Cleuren VN Tieldnes H Valen F CHOPCHOP v3:
1172	101.	Expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019 Jul
1172		
1174	102	Fire A XUS Montgomery MK Kostas SA Driver SF Mello CC Potent and specific genetic
1175	102.	interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorbabditis elegans. Nature, 1998 Feb
1176		19·391/6669)·806–11
1177	103	Conte D. MacNei I.T. Walhout AIM. Mello CC. RNA Interference in Caenorhabditis
1178	105.	elegans Curr Protoc Mol Biol 2015:2015:26 3 1-26 3 30
1179	104	Kamath RS Fraser AG Dong Y Poulin G Durbin R Gotta M et al Systematic functional
1180	101.	analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi Nature [Internet] 2003 Jan
1181		16:421/6920):231–7 Available from: http://www.nchi.nlm.nih.gov/nubmed/12529635
1182	105	Sanchez AD Feldman II. A proximity labeling protocol to probe proximity interactions in
1183	105.	C elegans STAR Protoc 2021 Dec $17.2(4)$
1184	106	Wiśniewski IR Zougman A Nagaraj N Mann M Universal sample preparation method
1185	100.	for proteome analysis Nat Methods 2009 May:6(5):359–62
1186	107	Searle BC Pino LK Egertson ID Ting VS Lawrence BT MacLean BX et al Chromatogram
1187	107.	libraries improve pentide detection and quantification by data independent acquisition
1188		mass spectrometry. Nat Commun. 2018 Dec 1:9(1)
1189	108	Graw S. Tang I. Zafar MK. Byrd AK. Bolden C. Peterson FC. et al. proteiNorm - A User-
1190	100.	Friendly Tool for Normalization and Analysis of TMT and Label-Free Protein
1191		Quantification ΔCS Omega 2020 Oct 13:5(40):25625–33
1197	109	Huber W von Heydebreck & Sültmann H Poustka & Vingron M Variance stabilization
1192	100.	applied to microarray data calibration and to the quantification of differential expression
119/		Bioinformatics 2002.18 Sunnl 1.596-104
TT)4		

1195 1196 1197	110.	Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015
1198 1199 1200	111.	Thurman TJ, Washam CL, Alkam D, Bird JT, Gies A, Dhusia K, et al. proteoDA: a package for quantitative proteomics. J Open Source Softw [Internet]. 2023 May 30;8(85):5184. Available from: https://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.05184
1201 1202 1203	112.	Alhamdoosh M, Ng M, Wilson NJ, Sheridan JM, Huynh H, Wilson MJ, et al. Combining multiple tools outperforms individual methods in gene set enrichment analyses. Bioinformatics. 2017 Feb 1;33(3):414–24.
1204 1205	113.	Fay DS, Gerow K. A biologist's guide to statistical thinking and analysis. WormBook. 2013 Jul 9:1–54.
1206 1207	114.	Ge SX, Jung D, Yao R. ShinyGO: a graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals and plants. Bioinformatics. 2020 Apr 15;36(8):2628–9.
1208		
1209		
1210		
1211		
1212		
1213		
1214		
1215		
1216		
1217		
1218		









