

Article

Investigation of Global Trends of Pollutants in Marine Ecosystems around Barrang Caddi Island, Spermonde Archipelago Cluster: An Ecological Approach

Ismail Marzuki ^{1,*}, Early Septiningsih ², Ernawati Syahrudin Kaseng ², Herlinah Herlinah ², Andi Sahrijanna ², Sahabuddin Sahabuddin ², Ruzkiah Asaf ², Admi Athirah ², Bambang Heri Isnawan ³, Gatot Supangkat Samidjo ³, Faizal Rumagia ⁴, Emmy Hamidah ⁵, Idum Satia Santi ⁶ and Khairun Nisaa ⁷

- ¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Fajar University, Makassar 90231, South Sulawesi, Indonesia
- ² Research Institute for Coastal Aquaculture and Fisheries Extension, Maros 90512, South Sulawesi, Indonesia; earlyseptiningsih@gmail.com (E.S.); ernawatisyahrudin71@gmail.com (E.S.K.); hjompa@yahoo.com (H.H.); idsarsompa@gmail.com (A.S.); s.abud_din@yahoo.co.id (S.S.); qiaasaf@gmail.com (R.A.); m.athirah@gmail.com (A.A.)
- ³ Department of Agrotechnology, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Bantul 55183, DI Yogyakarta, Indonesia; bambanghi@umy.ac.id (B.H.I.); supangkat@umy.ac.id (G.S.S.)
- ⁴ Study Program of Fisheries Resource Utilization, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Khairun University, Ternate 97719, North Maluku, Indonesia; faizal.rumagia@unkhair.ac.id
- ⁵ Department of Agrotechnology, Universitas Islam Darul 'Ulum, Lamongan 62253, Jawa Timur, Indonesia; emmyhamidah@unisda.ac.id
- ⁶ Department of Agrotechnology, Institut Pertanian Stiper, Yogyakarta 55283, DI Yogyakarta, Indonesia; idum@instiperjogja.ac.id
- ⁷ National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Jakarta 10340, DKI, Indonesia; nisauicha27@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: ismailmz@unifa.ac.id



Citation: Marzuki, I.; Septiningsih, E.; Kaseng, E.S.; Herlinah, H.; Sahrijanna, A.; Sahabuddin, S.; Asaf, R.; Athirah, A.; Isnawan, B.H.; Samidjo, G.S.; et al. Investigation of Global Trends of Pollutants in Marine Ecosystems around Barrang Caddi Island, Spermonde Archipelago Cluster: An Ecological Approach. *Toxics* **2022**, *10*, 301. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics10060301>

Academic Editors: François Gagné, Stefano Magni and Valerio Matozzo

Received: 2 May 2022

Accepted: 27 May 2022

Published: 1 June 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: High-quality marine ecosystems are free from global trending pollutants' (GTP) contaminants. Accuracy and caution are needed during the exploitation of marine resources during marine tourism to prevent future ecological hazards that cause chain effects on aquatic ecosystems and humans. This article identifies exposure to GTP: microplastic (MP); polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH); pesticide residue (PR); heavy metal (HM); and medical waste (MW), in marine ecosystems in the marine tourism area (MTA) area and Barrang Caddi Island (BCI) waters. A combination of qualitative and quantitative analysis methods were used with analytical instruments and mathematical formulas. The search results show the average total abundance of MPs in seawater (5.47 units/m³) and fish samples (7.03 units/m³), as well as in the sediment and sponge samples (8.18 units/m³) and (8.32 units/m³). Based on an analysis of the polymer structure, it was identified that the dominant light group was MPs: polyethylene (PE); polypropylene (PP); polystyrene (PS); followed by polyamide-nylon (PA); and polycarbonate (PC). Several PAH pollutants were identified in the samples. In particular, naphthalene (NL) types were the most common pollutants in all of the samples, followed by pyrene (PN), and azulene (AZ). Pb⁺² and Cu⁺² pollutants around BCI were successfully calculated, showing average concentrations in seawater of 0.164 ± 0.0002 mg/L and 0.293 ± 0.0007 mg/L, respectively, while in fish, the concentrations were 1.811 ± 0.0002 µg/g and 4.372 ± 0.0003 µg/g, respectively. Based on these findings, the BCI area is not recommended as a marine tourism destination.

Keywords: pollutants; microplastics; heavy metals; polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; pesticide residues; medical waste

1. Introduction

Marine ecosystems are formed by two main components: biotic (organic) and abiotic (inorganic) elements [1–3]. The seascape is vast and is influenced by many factors, causing

the characteristics of the sea area to vary [4,5]. Each marine area has different characteristics and ecosystems, such as deep-sea, poles, beaches, coasts, coral reefs, and tides [6]. The types and amounts of materials in marine ecosystems are not limited, and comprise both those that are naturally occurring and those that are produced due to human activities and dynamics [7,8]. Most human waste material can occur in all of the regions of the earth. Due to the influences of ecology and gravity, garbage has the potential to end up in the sea, either intentionally or due to negligence in managing the marine environment [9–11].

Human waste materials that enter marine waters are classified as marine debris and generally contain hazardous and toxic components, such as heavy metals (HM), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon components (PAH), microplastics (MP), pesticide residues (PR), medical waste (MW), and radioactive waste. They can be categorized as global trending pollutants (GTP) [12–20]. The term global trending pollutants was introduced to the world community to describe at least five types of pollutants (MP, PAH, PR, MW, and HM), which are pollutant materials that have become global environmental issues to date, especially for marine ecosystems [14,18,21–24].

The handling of GTP as toxic components is a problem that has occurred for a long time, with impacts including contributions to the triggering of global warming and climate change; thus, they have become a severe problem for many countries in terms of handling and managing them [25–28]. Many countries have difficulty handling these GTP, especially developing countries with relatively low economic levels. The concern of the world's population about the massive quantity of GTP is indicated by the issuance of G20 recommendations for developed countries to reduce global carbon production [2,29]. Whether we realize it or not, these global trending pollutants' inputs enter the ocean continuously and are difficult to prevent, and it is feared that the toxic components in the waste have the potential to cause anthropogenic hazards in the oceans [3,5,30].

The interactions among materials in the sea occur continuously and produce various types of new compounds, due to the natural ability of the sea to maintain the sustainability of life in the ocean [31,32]. Photodegradation due to sunlight, interaction by ocean currents, mobilization of materials due to differences in the waters, effects of salinity, consequences arising from temperature differences, and collisions between materials due to tidal movements in coastal areas are natural processes of the sea that occur continuously [17]. The occurrence of these events in marine ecosystems enriches the production of components with diverse marine biological and non-biological materials [33–37].

The effect of applying polymer technology to the production of various types of industrial equipment, household appliances, and plastic-based packaging was previously predicted to produce components that are harmful to human health and the environment, such as microplastics. However, it cannot be avoided due to the rapid flow of human needs [18,19,38,39]. Pesticide residue pollutants, the birth of synthetic products for activities to accelerate and increase agricultural production, and fulfilment of the need for treatment with various types of synthetic drugs and medical equipment were studied before. These factors have the potential to cause negative impacts, but cannot be suppressed due to the swift pace of demand [40–42]. Estimates of the emergence of PAH-type components in petroleum processing and fossil combustion, heavy metal contaminants in mineral processing, and the introduction of various metal-based equipment were all previously predicted. However, this cannot counter the solid demand of meeting human needs, particularly in terms of preserving life [43,44].

The distribution of GTP is almost even among many countries, and their presence is especially significant in marine and coastal areas. GTP have toxic and carcinogenic properties, are generally difficult to decompose, have accumulative properties, and can combine and even become assimilated into the processes of the objects, especially their metabolic processes [45,46]. The particle size of MP is minimal (<5 nm), allowing it to contribute to the cell division of living things, not least in marine ecosystems, such as fish and other marine biota and various marine biological components, which, at the end

of the biogeochemical cycle, have the potential to become materials that accumulate in human foodstuffs [47,48].

GTP are pollutants that are very difficult to decompose through biodegradation, bio-adsorption, and bioreduction methods, including destruction methods. The treatment approach using bioremediation methods for global trending pollutants is challenging to conduct on a large scale with a technological approach [49,50]. Bioremediation microorganisms can be used, where the natural aid of biological materials, such as bio-adsorbent plants, is employed. However, they certainly cannot balance the speed of increase in the volume of pollutants entering the environment, when operating at a limited rate, and especially in the most vulnerable marine ecosystems [51]. This level of pollution could become a severe threat to the Earth's population, especially in marine areas and ecosystems, which could act as giant containers for almost all types of waste that are left over from human activities and dynamic natural processes [52,53].

The phenomenon of tourism activities that make marine ecosystem objects into marine tourism areas is occurring in many countries, including Indonesia [54]. Exploiting marine areas as tourist areas by presenting a variety of marine beauty characteristics, both on the surface and deep in the sea, is tempting because many people are interested in them [55]. Barrang Caddi Island (BCI) is one of the five islands included in the Marine Tourism Area (MTA), in addition to Samalona Island, Kodingareng Keke, Barrang Lompo, and Langkai. These islands are designated as an MTA that are managed by the local government of Makassar City, South Sulawesi [56]. The islands in the MTA, especially BCI, are included in a national marine conservation park and are part of the Spermonde Archipelago Cluster, which is world famous among marine sponge observers, because it is home to tens of thousands of species and marine sponge populations. The Spermonde Archipelago Cluster is known worldwide as a sponge study and research laboratory [57,58].

Barrang Caddi Island (BCI) in the south is directly adjacent to Makassar City. Along the coastline, there are the Soekarno Hatta seaports and fish landing ports. This coastal area operates two regional and two industrial hospitals and several large and medium sized hotels [54,55]. The beach is also full of marine tourism objects, which are visited by many domestic and foreign tourists. All forms of operations and services around BCI have the potential to produce waste containing GTP group contaminants. This contradicts the island's status as a marine tourism area and the viability of the various types of sponge living around it [59,60].

Research in this area is exciting and essential. We present an update on the data and information related to GTP contaminants in a review of the seawater, sediments, fish, and sponges, as well as the dual role of BCI as part of an MTA and the living area of various types of sponge [14–17]. The data presented are expected to act as a reference for the management of BCI as part of the MTA to prevent marine tourism activities from adding to the burden of island sustainability for marine life by ensuring that the balance of marine ecosystems is maintained and, most importantly, that the sustainability of the sponge population is maintained.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Equipment

Sediment samples, seawater, sponges, and demersal fish were the main materials. Other materials included H₂O₂ p.a., 30%, Fe(II) 0.05 M, N-hexane p.a., ethanol p.a., standard analysis of PAH (anthracene) 1000 mg/L (Supelco[®]), Na₂SO₄, H₂SO₄ p.a., NaCl p.a., HCl p.a., NaOH p.a., HNO₃ p.a., HClO₄ p.a., Pb(NO₃)₂ p.a. (standard solution of Pb analysis 1.000 mg/L), and CuSO₄ (standard solution of Cu 1000 mg/L), which were all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA. Equipment used included the Fourier Transform Infra-red (FTIR) Shimadzu IR Prestige-21, Shimadzu Europa GmbH, Duisburg, Germany, a Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometer (GC/MS) from Agilent Technologies 7890A, Santa Clara, CA, USA (the operating conditions for GC/MS max. temperature 350 °C, the increase in temperature of 10 °C, every 5 min, pressure 18.406 psi), a Helium gas carrier, a speed

of 150 mL/min, capillary column (Agilent 19019S-436HP-5 ms, Santa Clara, CA, USA), (dimensions of 60 m × 250 µm × 0.25 µm, the pressure 18,406 psi, separation 26,128 cm/sec, a retention time of max. 30 min) [12,44], an Atomic absorption spectroscope (AAS) (variant type AA240FS), a Muffle Furnace (type Thermolyne F6010, Shanghai, China), Portable Water (Quality AZ 8361, AZ Instrument Corp., Taichung, Taiwan), a set of glassware (Pyrex), a plankton net (mesh size 0.4 mm), stainless-steel mesh filters (5 mm and 0.3 mm), a sieve shaker, a density separator, and a vacuum pump [33,36,48].

2.2. Sampling

The total sample was 36 packages, each consisting of three sample packages of each type (sediment, seawater, sponges, and fish). The samples were obtained around the BCI waters at three different sampling points (Figure 1) [3,21,61–63]. Physical characteristics were observed at each station, such as the sampling coordinates, pH, salinity, electrical conductivity (EC), total dissolved solids (TDS), and others (Table 1). For the fish and sponge samples, a morphological analysis was also carried out to determine the species in each sample. Sampling was carried out following standard sampling methods. Each sample was put in a box in the laboratory for immediate preparation until it was ready to be analyzed using the appropriate instrument [64,65].




Figure 1. Map of Barrang Caddi Island (BCI). The red dots indicate the sampling stations (STs).

Sediment, sponge, seawater, and fish samples were obtained from three different sampling stations around BCI. This island is included in the Marine Tourism Area of Makassar City [1,5] The distance between BCI and the coast of Makassar City is ±7.5 km. The small red circles (Figure 1) are non-sampling areas, referred to as stations, which are coded ST 1, ST 2, and ST 3 [22,24].

According to the data (Table 1), the physical characteristics of the sampling stations are similar to those of other marine areas around them, especially in the Spermonde Islands cluster [24,33]. The data show that the seawater shows no physical signs that the area is exposed to the contaminants that fall into the GTP category.

Table 1. Physical characteristics of the seawater sampling stations in the waters around BCI.

Station Sampling	Coordinate	Depth MSL (m)	Distance from the Beach (m)	pH	Temperature (°C)	EC (ds/m)	TDS (mg/L)
ST 1	5°5'12.48216" S 119°19'0.16536" E	5	±300	7.32	29.8	14.91	7.56
ST 2	5°5'70.1664" S 119°19'14.20716" E	6	±350	7.30	30.4	16.69	8.12
ST 3	4°46'01664" S 119°19'12.58932" E	8	±370	7.31	29.9	16.33	8.22

2.3. Sample Preparation

Samples were prepared according to the type of analysis conducted, based on the target data. Sample preparation was carried out to determine the abundance of microplastics by filtering using a plankton net (adjusted for the samples of fish, sponges, and sediments). The samples were dissolved and the organic matter and the microplastic particles were separated [66]. The total abundance of microplastics was calculated in each sample [19,28]. The microplastic polymer structure was analyzed using microplastic particles collected based on differences in density, put in a cuvette, and then run using FTIR [67,68]. This instrument can produce a chromatogram as a spectrum in the form of a wavenumber that can be converted into the chemical structure with functional groups. Based on the functional groups, the type of MP can be predicted. Seven types of MP pollutants may be present in a sample, among which the most common are polypropylene, polyethylene, polystyrene, and polycarbonate [69,70].

Sample preparation for the analysis of the abundance and types of PAH was completed using an extraction ethanol, where the ethanol was used to extract all chemical components in the sample. Then extraction with N-hexane as a solvent was executed to separate the nonpolar components (PAH) [71]. The N-hexane extraction was run using GC/MS [72]. The visible chromatograms were analyzed to determine the abundance and types of PAH components present. Sample preparation for the analysis of the concentrations of Pb⁺² and Cu⁺² contaminants in the sample was carried out with the dry destruction method for the sediment, fish, and sponge samples, while the alkaline digestion method was used for seawater samples [73–75]. Each sample was dissolved with a concentrated acid solvent. Samples were injected into the AAS [44,45,55,76].

2.4. Sample Measurement

There were five types of pollutant in the GTP category. However, in the analysis of each sample, the identification of microplastics, PAH, and heavy metal contaminants was prioritized, while the identification of pesticide residues and medical waste was not prioritized to limit the publication's scope. However, these two types of contaminants can be viewed, together with PAH, based on GC/MS chromatogram data:

- The analysis of microplastic components, especially the total abundance of microplastics was completed using 30% H₂O₂ solvent. Measurement of the total abundance of microplastics was carried out in triplicate. The polymer structure was analyzed as the main characteristic of each microplastic, using FTIR combined with GC/MS chromatogram data [26,76,77];
- The types and abundance of the PAH contaminants were analyzed based on GC/MS chromatogram data. Information on the pesticide residue contaminants and media waste can also be obtained from the GC/MS chromatogram [24,76,77]. However, the determination of components was more specific, because in general, pesticide residues and several types of medical waste have chemical structures with reactive groups that should be identified using a combination of pyrolysis-GC/MS [54,78,79];
- Determination of the concentration of heavy metal contaminants, particularly exposure to Lead (Pb) and Copper (Cu) ions, was conducted for each sample according to

AAS absorption at the maximum wavelength (Pb: λ_{max} . 228.9 nm and Cu: λ_{max} . 324.7 nm) [33,34,80]. The method for determining the pollutant concentrations of Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} first makes a calibration curve to make ten series of Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} standard solutions whose estimated sample concentrations fall within the range of the standard solution, then the absorption of each concentration is measured. Then, the standard deviation and slope determination calculations are carried out. The concentration of each sample (Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2}) was calculated based on the absorption obtained from AAS after being plotted into the regression equation [22,33,80]. Determination of pollutant concentrations of Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} carried out measurements of three replications for each type of sample obtained at three different sampling points. The data from the measurement results were calculated on average and summarized in a table. The quality of seawater according to the quality standard for pollutants Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} is a maximum of 0.05 mg/L. The quality standard for fish and other non-spongy biota is a maximum of 0.008 mg/L [55,76,80–82]. The maximum limit is not specified for sponges because they are included in the category of biota that are not eaten. The maximum limit of Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} for sediment is 0.10 $\mu\text{g/g}$. The quality of seawater and fish in BCI is determined by comparing the average pollutant concentration calculated compared to the standard for seawater and fish [4,10,59,83].

2.5. Data Presentation and Analysis

The presentation of analytical data as quantitative data was completed, using the appropriate equation. Data on the total abundance of MP, Pb, and Cu ion contaminant concentrations are displayed. The qualitative analysis data are presented based on the chromatogram results [26,28,84]. The polymer structure types of microplastic contaminants were analyzed using the FTIR chromatogram reading data, while data on PAH exposure types, including the pesticide residues and medical waste contaminants in each sample, were analyzed using GC/MS chromatography [85–87].

3. Results

Five types of pollutant fall into the GTP group, and the target of the GTP contaminant analysis was samples of sediment, sponge, seawater, and fish obtained from BCI (Makassar City MTA). The priority for the analysis was MP-type pollutants, of which the main hydrocarbon components are PAHs and heavy metal pollutants (HM). Pesticide residue pollutants and medical waste are not detailed, because of concerns that the data obtained are not significant due to the limitations of the analytical instrument as, ideally, a combined pyrolysis-GC/MS analysis tool should be used to analyze such substances [86,87].

3.1. Microplastic Pollutant Analysis

A specific morphological analysis of fish and sponge samples is essential because each type of marine biota has a different lifestyle and habitat, so the response to GTP contaminants or contaminant species (MP, PAH, PR, MW, and HM) can vary. The results of the morphological analysis of fish and sponge samples obtained at each station are presented in full in Table 2.

Table 2. Fish and sponge morphology based on the sampling point.

Station Sampling	Sponges	Fishes
ST 1	<i>Cribrochalina olemda</i>	<i>Chrysiptera Unimaculata</i>
ST 2	<i>Clathria Reinwardtii</i>	<i>Ambhygphidodon Curacao</i>
ST 3	<i>Clathria</i> sp.	<i>Scolopsis Brenatus</i>

The grouping of samples by pairing sediment and sponge, and seawater and fish to present the analysis results was based on the similarity of the media. The growth media and the habitat of sponges are more dominant in sediment, while the habitat and growth of

fish are highly dependent on water. Under these conditions, the type of MP pollutant in fish bodies is estimated to be similar to the types of MP in water, in the bodies of sponges, and in sediments [21,22].

Two parameters were used to analyze the MP pollution in the sample: the total MP abundance (Figures 2 and 3), and the MP type (Figures 4 and 5).




Figure 2. Total abundance of microplastics (MP) in the sediment and sponge samples based on the sampling points.




Figure 3. Total abundance of microplastics (MP) in seawater and fish samples based on the sampling points.




Figure 4. Identification of the type of MP pollutant in the sample based on the FTIR chromatogram. (A) Sediment samples; (B) sponge sample.




Figure 5. Identification of the type of MP pollutant in each sample based on the FTIR chromatogram. (A) Marine water samples; (B) fish samples.

The total MP abundance in the sediment samples was similar to the total MP abundance in the sponge samples (Figure 2). These results illustrate that MP exposure in sediment samples is relatively consistent with that in the sponge samples and is generally thought to be dominated by fiber and fragment types [18,26]. This is based on the difference in density between the two types of MP, which have higher specific gravity than water and film-type MP, so the two types of MP accumulate in greater concentrations on the bottom of the water [27,64]. The relatively settled lifestyle of sponges and their method of obtaining food nutrients by sucking particles from the mud and removing the dregs (filter feeder) are solid arguments for why the total abundance of MP in sediments is similar to that in sponges [26,27,39].

Total MP abundance in fish samples was higher than the total MP abundance in seawater samples. This occurred at all three sampling points (Figure 3). This result is entirely rational, as fish mobility is wider, so the presence of fish is not expected to always be in the zone of seawater exposed to MP [38,66]. The type of MP that fish samples are exposed to is also thought to be dominated by film-type MP, which have a lower density than water, meaning that they float on the surface zone, and are prone to being swallowed by fish, as the fish consider them to be food. The difference in the total MP abundance of fish samples between stations 1, 2, and 3 (Figure 3) is thought to be influenced by the different fish samples at each station (Table 2). The average total abundance of MP in the samples was 8.18 units/m³ in sediment, 8.32 units/m³ in sponge, 5.47 units/m³ in seawater, and 7.03 units/m³ in fish [19,22,28].

The type of MP pollutant was based on the polymer structure thought to be present in each analyzed sample. This was determined by analyzing the spectrum read by the FTIR chromatogram. The FTIR spectrum (Figures 4 and 5) shown for each type of sample is that obtained from station 1 (ST 1), which was considered representative of the samples collected at ST 2 and ST 3 [28,39].

The analysis of the MP pollutants was completed with an FTIR chromatogram and was based on the unique monomer structures of functional groups in each type of MP. Seven types of MP are commonly found in marine ecosystems [18,70]. Their respective monomers are as follows: polypropylene (PP) with the molecular formula (C₃H₆)_n; polyethylene (PE), a monomer of ethylene (C₂H₄)_n; polystyrene (PS) (C₈H₈)_n; polycarbonate (PC), which has a carbonyl functional group (O-(C=O)-O)_n; polyamide-nylon (PA), with the monomer formula NH₂(CH₂)₆.NHCO(CH₂)₄COOH; polyvinyl chloride (PVC) with unique components, such as chloride (C₂H₃Cl)_n; and acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS), which is composed of three monomers with the formula (C₈H₈)_x(C₄H₆)_y(C₃H₃N)_z [18,19,26,27,38,64]. The results of the MP-type analysis based on the FTIR spectrum are displayed for each sample obtained at ST 1 (Figure 4). The MP, PP, and PS types existed in all of the samples. The ABS type was found in sediment, sponge, and seawater samples, while PVC was identified only in sea-water samples [19,38].

The types of MP identified in the seawater and fish samples did not differ significantly from the types of MP identified in the sediment and sponge samples (Figure 5). All results

for the identification of MP species, based on the polymer structures found in the samples obtained at the three stations (ST 1, 2 and 3) and shown on the FTIR chromatogram, are presented more fully in Table 3.

Table 3. Type and distribution of MP pollutants in each sample.

Station Sampling	Sample Type			
	Sediment	Sponges	Marine Water	Fishes
ST 1	PA, PC, PE, PP PS, ABS	PA, PE, PP, PS, ABS	PC, PE, PP, PS, PVC, ABS	PA, PE, PC, PP, PS
ST 2	PA, PC, PE, PP, PS	PA, PC, PE, PP, PS	PC, PE, PP, PS, ABS	PA, PC, PE, PP, PS
ST 3	PA, PC, PE, PP, PS, PVC	PA, PC, PE, PS, PVC	PA, PC, PP, PS, PVC	PA, PC, PE, PS, PVC

Note: PP = polypropylene; PE = polyethylene; PS = polystyrene; PC = polycarbonate; PA = polyamide nylon; PVC = polyvinyl chloride; ABS = acrylonitrile butadiene styrene.

In terms of the types of MP identified in each sample at the sampling points (ST 1–3) (Table 3), the pollutant MP type PA was identified in all of the samples, except for the seawater samples (ST 1 and 2). The PC type was seen in most of the samples, except for the sponge and seawater samples (ST 1) [64,68]. The PE pollutants were also recorded in most of the samples, except for the seawater samples (ST 3). PP contaminants were not found in the sponge or fish samples (ST 3), while PS was found in all of the samples at each station. PVC-type pollutants were identified in all of the sample types obtained from ST 3, and ABS-type pollutants were identified in sediment, sponge, and seawater samples from ST 1 only [66,68].

3.2. PAH Pollutant Analysis

The identification of hydrocarbon pollutants, especially the PAH type, and other hydrocarbon components in the samples was completed using the GC/MS chromatogram data. The parameters used to determine the presence of PAH-type pollutants in the samples were based on several recorded chromatogram data units, namely, the peak number, retention time, peak height as a manifestation of the component abundance, the content in percentage units in relation to the total PAH, and the component name.

According to the source (ST 1–3), each sample's complete details regarding the PAH-type hydrocarbon pollutants are presented in tabular form (Tables 4–6). As shown in Tables 4–6, the peak numbers are not sequential, and it is known that each peak is identical to one component [88,89]. Some peak numbers are not included in the table, due to several different reasons: first, the peak that appears is not a PAH component. Second, it is a PAH component, but the level of similarity with the comparison component (library instrument) does not reach the minimum standard of 85% [44,54,73]. Third, the components that appear are PAH derivatives. The PAH data from the four samples obtained from ST 1 (Table 4) indicate that the component is naphthalene (NL). The abundance of PAH components in the samples occurred in the following order: NL >> BZ >AZ >PR >PH. The peak numbers in the recorded GS/MS chromatograms are sequential, according to the number of components [9,74]. However, some peak numbers are not included in the data (Table 1), indicating that the peak number is not considered to be a PAH component or that it may be a derivative of a type of PAH, but the level of similarity with the standard library on the instrument does not reach 85% [88,90,91].

Table 4. Types and abundance of PAH in samples collected from ST 1 based on the GC/MS chromatogram.

Sample Type	Peak Number	Retention Time	Peak Height	Quality (%)	Abundance (%)	Compound Name
Sediment	4	9.167	2,027,937	91	66,386	NL
	6	15.550	68,138	90	2.324	AZ
	9	17.788	26,924	85	0.786	PH
	12	19.023	31,894	87	1.278	PN
Sponge	2	9.168	2,565,156	91	76.539	NL
	6	19.023	28,386	93	2.410	PN
	7	26.736	62,698	87	11.672	BZ
Sea water	1	9.168	1,581,333	91	78.456	NL
	3	15.550	87,843	91	3.045	AZ
Fish	2	9.167	2,134,672	91	74.456	NL
	6	26.736	54,789	88	8.192	BZ

Note: the compounds included are those with a level of similarity (quality) reaching $\geq 85\%$. NL = Naphthalene group; AZ = Azulene class; PH = Phenyl group; PN = Pyrene group; BZ = Benzene group.

The results of the identification of the PAH pollutant samples obtained from ST 2 were similar to those collected from ST 1. The PAH pollutant types, NL and PN, were found in all of the samples. The abundance of components in each sample was in the following order: NL >> PN > PD. The AZ, BZ, and PH were only identified in the sediment samples, and PT was only found in the sponge samples (Table 5).

Table 5. Types and abundance of compound hydrocarbon and PAH in samples at ST 2 station based on GC/MS chromatogram.

Sample Type	Peak Number	Retention Time	Peak Height	Quality (%)	Abundance (%)	Compound Name
Sediment	1	9.168	1,125,323	91	84.221	NL
	2	13.205	20,184	96	1.186	PD
Sediment	3	15.549	54,212	85	3.643	AZ
	4	17.788	18,406	85	1.369	PH
	6	19.023	62,311	89	3.409	PN
	8	26.735	71,925	86	5.357	BZ
Sponge	3	9.167	3,219,575	91	86.046	NL
	4	13.206	44,603	97	0.978	PD
	6	16.283	14,355	86	0.696	PT
	8	19.023	31,674	87	1.312	PN
Sea water	3	9.168	860,498	91	63.945	NL
	4	13.205	20,327	96	1.204	PD
	9	19.023	138,767	96	10.165	PN
Fish	2	9.168	4,322,267	87	89.859	NL
	4	19.023	12,458	92	3.146	PN

Note: the compounds included are those that have a level of similarity (quality) reaching $\geq 85\%$. NL = naphthalene group; AZ = Azulene class; PT = phenanthrene; PN = Pyrene group; BZ = Benzene group; PH = Phenyl group; PD = Pentadecane.

The results of the analysis of PAH pollutants obtained from ST 3 (Table 6) are similar to those for the samples from ST 1 and 2. The NL and PR were found in the four sample types, while the PT types were identified in the sediment, sponge, and water samples. AZ PAH pollutants were identified in the sediment and sponge samples, while BZ was only found in the sediment samples (Table 6) [54,79]. The abundance of components was, in order, NL >> PN > PT. Comparing the variation of PAH components identified at all sampling stations (Tables 4–6), the types of PAH in the sediment samples were more varied, followed

by the sponge samples, and then the seawater and fish samples [14,86,87]. The types of PAH pollutants identified in each sample showed that the PAH contained in the sediment samples were similar to those found in the sponge samples, while the seawater samples showed similar results to the fish samples [92,93]. This result is quite rational if we consider that sponges tend to settle at the bottom of the water (sediment), and the dominant habitat of fish is the water.

Table 6. Types and abundance of PAH in samples obtained from ST 3 based on the GC/MS chromatogram.

Sample Type	Peak Number	Retention Time	Peak Height	Quality (%)	Abundance (%)	Compound Name
Sediment	2	9.168	1,222,751	91	82.356	NL
	4	15.549	88,566	90	3.087	AZ
	5	16.283	22,123	86	1.126	PT
	7	19.023	99,864	88	4.106	PN
	9	26.734	67,854	86	2.123	BZ
Sponge	2	9.167	2,423,789	91	78.127	NL
	4	15.550	65,832	85	3.983	AZ
	6	16.283	16,732	86	0.883	PT
	8	19.023	34,376	87	1.515	PN
Sea water	2	9.168	1,222,751	91	82.356	NL
	4	16.283	21,874	86	1.083	PT
	6	19.023	64,357	88	2.982	PN
Fish	3	9.168	1,111,244	91	64.362	NL
	5	19.023	13,318	87	0.734	PN

Note: the compounds included are those that have a level of similarity (quality) reaching $\geq 85\%$. NL = Naphthalene group; AZ = Azulene class; PT = phenanthrene; PN = Pyrene group; BZ = Benzene group.

3.3. Pesticide Residue Pollutant Analysis and Medical Waste

It is suspected that pesticide residue and medical waste are contaminating the marine waters around BCI. This conclusion is based on the GC/MS chromatogram, which identified several types of organic compounds containing acidic groups (phenol, arsenous acid, sulfurous acid), carboxylic acid (hexadecenoic, benzoic acid), halogen groups (chloridanthene, fluoranthene, blomidanthene), phosphate, cyclopentasiloxane, thiophene, silicic acid, benzo[h]quinolone, and cyclotrisiloxane [16,40,42]. These compounds are thought to be derived from pesticide residues and medical waste and then change during their stay in the aquatic environment. This assumption was confirmed by the GC/MS chromatogram [77,94,95].

The components of pesticide residue and medical waste are not presented significantly in tabular form. The abundance of chromatogram peaks is due to the similarity or suitability of components with references in the tool library, which is less than 85% [12,26,96]. Determination of a component based on the GS/MS measurement is considered valid if it has a similarity level or quality of 80%, as was completed for the hydrocarbon and PAH components [14,17,42,48,97]. Ideally, for the analysis of pesticide residue and medical waste in samples obtained from marine ecosystems, such as from fish, sponge, seawater, and sediment, the preparation of these samples should be carried out using a combination of pyrolysis-GC/MS instruments [46,48,98,99].

3.4. Heavy Metal Pollutant Analysis

Many heavy metal pollutants are thought to enter the marine ecosystem. However, only Lead (Pb) and Copper (Cu) ions were identified in this research. Observations made in the Makassar City MTA area concluded that these two types of pollutants are the most significant components of pollution in marine areas, especially around BCI in the Spermonde Islands group [11,13,36,100].

Based on the quality standard according to KLH RI Num. 51/2004, the average concentration of the heavy metals Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} in seawater should be a maximum of 0.05 mg/L [4,10,33,62]. Comparing the concentrations identified in the results (Table 7) with the seawater quality standards showed that the pollutant concentrations of Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} around BCI were 20 times and 25 times higher than the required quality standards, respectively [44,48,62,100]. According to the Director General of BPOM RI No. 03725/B/SK/VII/89, the maximum threshold value of heavy metal contamination in fish for pollutants Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} = 0.008 $\mu\text{g/g}$ [33,55,82,101]

Table 7. Heavy metal pollutant (HM) concentration analysis.

Type of Pollutant	Sampling Station	Average Concentration of Pollutants Replication ($n = 3$)			
		Sediment ($\mu\text{g/g}$)	Sponge ($\mu\text{g/g}$)	Sea Water (mg/L)	Fish ($\mu\text{g/kg}$)
Lead ion (Pb^{+2})	ST 1	4.041 \pm 0.0004	3.871 \pm 0.0003	0.104 \pm 0.0002	2.452 \pm 0.0003
	ST 2	4.676 \pm 0.0003	3.725 \pm 0.0002	0.251 \pm 0.0002	1.656 \pm 0.0001
	ST 3	4.643 \pm 0.0005	3.813 \pm 0.0004	0.137 \pm 0.0005	1.326 \pm 0.0004
	Average:	4.453 \pm 0.0003	3.803 \pm 0.0003	0.164 \pm 0.0001	1.811 \pm 0.0002
Copper ion (Cu^{+2})	ST 1	9.279 \pm 0.0001	6.166 \pm 0.0002	0.319 \pm 0.0002	4.822 \pm 0.0001
	ST 2	8.843 \pm 0.0001	5.567 \pm 0.0003	0.286 \pm 0.0003	4.474 \pm 0.0002
	ST 3	7.920 \pm 0.0002	5.474 \pm 0.0002	0.275 \pm 0.0004	3.821 \pm 0.0004
	Average:	8.681 \pm 0.0004	5.735 \pm 0.0007	0.293 \pm 0.0007	4.372 \pm 0.0003

The data on the average concentrations of the two heavy metal pollutants (Table 7) show that exposure to Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} is still below the threshold value. However, using the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) standard, with a smaller tolerance value than the maximum threshold, it was concluded that the two pollutants found in fish around BCI exceeded the standard [10,55,76,102,103]. Based on the data, Table 7 shows that all types of samples (fish, sponges, seawater, and sediments) obtained in the waters around BCI have exceeded the maximum threshold value specified [4,10,48,104]. This is understandable and quite rational because consumption patterns, activities, and dynamics in each type of marine fish vary greatly. Fish samples were obtained for analysis from different stations (Table 2). The type of fish sampled at ST 1 (*Chrysiptera unimaculata*) differed from the fish samples from ST 2 and ST 3 [62,105,106].

4. Discussion

Physical observation of the waters around BCI did not find plastic waste, media waste, or oil spills. The physical characteristics of Barrang Caddi waters (EC, TDS, pH, and temperature) and other parameters (Table 1) were similar to those of surrounding marine waters [107]. It is known that the contaminants in the GTP group are invisible. Demographically, the distance between BCI and Makassar City is ± 11.5 km, the land area is $\pm 45,000$ m^2 , and the population is ± 3000 people (612 families) (Figure 1). The residents generally make their livelihoods through the fishing industry, and some have vegetable gardens and undergo traditional household processing, generally burning [108,109]. Such conditions have ecological relationships and the potential to contribute to exposure to GTP contaminants [110].

The morphology of the fish and sponges differed at each station (Table 2). In marine ecosystems, fish interact with seawater as a growth medium throughout their lives, and the dominant sponges interact more with sediment (Figures 2–5). These factors cause differences in life patterns, the dynamics that occur between types of fish and sponges, and differences in the nutritional patterns of the two types of marine biota (fish and sponges) in terms of their growth media, their level of preference for types of nutrients, and their limit of tolerance to GTP contaminants [111,112].

The results for the total abundance of MP for each type of sample analyzed (Figures 2 and 3) showed that the waters around BCI are exposed to MP pollutants [18]. The type of MP contaminant contained in each sample type was analyzed further in terms of the density (film, fiber, fragment). The suspected types of MP based on polymer structures were distinguished by measurement and analysis, using the difference spectrum seen on the FTIR chromatogram (Figures 4 and 5) [38,77]. These observations show that the marine ecosystem around BCI waters is exposed to almost all types of MP contaminants [34,113]. The dominant MP found in all samples was the mild MP group (PE, PP, PS), followed by the heavy group (PA and PC), while few of the PVC and ABS types were found (Table 3) [26,42]. The data illustrate that the dominant source of MP contaminants is community activities, including industry, services, and household activities [87]. This type of MP is widely used in packaging applications, such as in the manufacture of plastic bags, clothes, ropes, coloring, and recycling containers, as well as various types of plastic caps, bottles, disposable tableware, and optical discs (CDs). Almost all of the uses of this type of MP are part of the daily activities of society and industry [114,115].

The other GTP contaminants analyzed were hydrocarbon components, especially PAHs. Research was carried out on all types of samples from three different stations (Tables 4–6), and eight types of PAH were identified. In terms of types of low-toxic PAH, the NL type was identified in all samples. NL is a simple aromatic hydrocarbon [9,38,116]. Naphthalene-based products, such as camphor, are thought to come from household activities, for example, in products used to eradicate insects and absorb odors in bathroom toilets. Another source can be the distillation of coal tar. The nature of NL is volatile and carcinogenic [14,117].

Pyrene is a second order PAH that was identified in several samples. PN is a group of highly toxic and carcinogenic PAHs. The presence of PR in the sample is thought to have come from the transportation activities of petroleum carriers. This assumption was reinforced by the identification of the PAH type AZ, as the PAH type is a component of petroleum as are some of its derivative products, including those from coal processing [9,84,118]. This allegation is quite reasonable, because BCI operates three ports: a container port, a passenger port, and a fish-landing port. Other PAHs, such as PT, PH, and BZ, are derivative products resulting from chemical reactions, such as oxidation reactions that produce these derivatives or community waste burning activities [71,119].

Pesticide residues and medical waste are strongly suspected to be pollutants in the marine ecosystem around BCI. This assumption is based on GC/MS chromatogram peaks of varying abundances and the recorded GC/MS chromatogram peaks identified with PR and MW components and observed in the PAH chromatogram data (Tables 4–6), where the peak numbers not listed in the table are the peaks of the PR component or residual MW [73,109,116]. This assumption is based on the fact that two hospitals operate around the coast of Makassar City, which is adjacent to BCI [51,120]. The presence of the pollutant types PR and MW in samples of fish, seawater, sponges, and sediments around the marine waters of BCI could also have come from the activities of the people who inhabit BCI or be due to biological activities, where these pollutants undergo dynamic activities and eventually empty into the sea [24,55,96,110].

Heavy metal (HM) pollutants, especially Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} , were identified in all of the samples obtained from the three different stations (Table 7). These results indicate that the concentrations are within the tolerable concentration limits for marine biota and are not dangerous according to Indonesian KLH standards, but they are in the worrying category based on ANZECC standards [12,62]. Pb^{+2} and Cu^{+2} pollutants in marine ecosystems around BCI are also thought to be due to natural and anthropogenic activities [20,121,122].

The concentration of all types of GTP identified in the waters around BCI is at alert status, not only in terms of the quality and sustainability of the marine ecosystem around BCI but also for the people who inhabit the island and the tourists visiting the island. In addition, the survival of sponges is important, as they are known to have primary and

secondary metabolic contents that are used as raw materials for medicinal products, food concentrates, and beauty products [62,83,111,123].

It is estimated that exposure to contaminants from the GTP group around BCI will increase in the future due to the island's status as part of the Marine Tourism Area (MTA), meaning that it is visited by many tourists, which is seen as a potential factor contributing to the pollutant component, especially the pollutant types MP and PAH [65,69,80]. BCI is adjacent to the city of Makassar, which has a beach length of ± 7.5 km. Anthropogenic sources of pollutants are thought to heavily contribute to this sector. Along the coast, there are hotels, hospitals, industrial operations, culinary areas, and residential housing [1,11,40]. The area is very densely populated, and community activities occur every day for almost 24 h per day [7,10,80]. This situation is thought to be the main factor causing exposure to MP pollutants of the MW type. The vicinity of BCI is also adjacent to the Soekarno-Hatta Port, a fish-landing port. Thus, there are many transportation activities for various types of ships. This significantly contributes to exposure to pollutants, especially pollutants of the PAH, HM, and MP types [13,46,101].

We recommend that the Makassar City MTA manager should use this data as material for consideration in MTA operations, so that the managed marine tourism does not cause increases in pollutants from the GTP category [3,47]. It is expected that the tourist area could be improved by increasing the population of marine biota, especially sponges, through the transplant method, as sponges are known as biota with functions, such as biodegradation, bio-absorption, and the bioremediation of several types of pollutants including PAHs, microplastics, and heavy metals [20,121]. Sponges can be used as bioindicators and for the biomonitoring of PAH and HM contamination [44,73,122]. Efforts should be made along the shoreline of BCI, and it is recommended that plants that have a biofilter function against pollutants, such as mangroves, should be planted [124]. Makassar City MTA managers are also advised to carry out socialization, education, and advocacy with the community to make them aware of the effects of waste, so that their household waste can be appropriately managed and not enter sea waters.

5. Conclusions

This article reviewed microplastic pollutants, PAHs, pesticide residue, heavy metals, and medical waste, which are known as global trending pollutants (GTP) and are present in marine ecosystems around BCI's MTA waters. The average total MP abundance was found to be 5.47 units/m³ in seawater samples, 7.03 units/m³ in fish, 8.18 units/m³ in sediment samples, and 8.32 units/m³ in sponges. Seven types of MP were identified in the BCI aquatic ecosystem, dominated by the mild categories of MP, PE, PP, and PS, followed by PA and PC. The marine ecosystem around BCI was found to be contaminated with PAH-type pollutants, especially the NL type, which was found in all samples, followed by PN and AZ. BCI waters are also suspected of being exposed to pesticide residue and medical waste. The heavy metal pollutants Pb⁺² and Cu⁺² were also identified in the marine waters around BCI, especially in fish and seawater samples. The average concentrations of Pb⁺² and Cu⁺² in seawater were 0.164 ± 0.0001 mg/L and 0.293 ± 0.0007 mg/L, while the average concentrations of these two types of heavy metal pollutants were 1.811 ± 0.0002 µg/g and 4.372 ± 0.0003 µg/g, respectively.

The five types of pollutants identified in the marine ecosystem around BCI illustrate that this MTA is not conducive to being a recommended marine tourism destination. It is estimated that the concentrations of these pollutants will increase, given the status of BCI as one of the islands in the MTA area. If the BCI is maintained as a marine tourism area, it is feared that its ecosystem will enter an ecological hazard state, which will have a chain effect, not only on the aquatic ecosystem around the BCI but also on the sponge populations and fish, leaving them unfit for consumption and subsequently causing health problems for the community.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.M., E.S., E.S.K., A.A. and K.N.; methodology, I.M., H.H., R.A. and A.A.; software, I.M. and A.S.; validation, I.M., S.S. and B.H.I.; formal analysis, I.M., F.R. and E.H.; investigations, I.M., R.A. and I.S.S.; resources, I.M., G.S.S. and R.A.; data curation, E.S.; writing—original draft preparation, I.M., I.S.S. and K.N.; writing—review and editing, K.N., E.S.K. and F.R.; visualization, H.H. and A.S.; supervision, S.S., I.S.S. and E.H.; project administration, I.M., A.A.; funding acquisition, I.M., B.H.I. and G.S.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received external funding from the “Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education/National Research and Technology Agency, who granted funds in 2021 under the applied research scheme, according to Master Contract numbers 315/E4.1/AK.04.PT/2021” and 1868/E4/AK.04/2021, dated 7 June 2021”. Support was also received from Fajar University under the Work Implementation Order, contract Number: 29/AI/LPPM-UNIFA/IV/2021, dated 19 May 2021.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: We thank Hasanuddin Biochemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry for their support through the use of their facilities. Makassar Plantation Product Industry Center (BBIHP) is a partner for this research. We acknowledge the research collaboration with the Research Institute for Coastal Aquaculture and Fisheries Extension, Maros, and BRIN as parties, including several individual partners from several campuses.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Bergmann, M.; Gutow, L.; Klages, M. Marine anthropogenic litter. In *Marine Anthropogenic Litter*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland; Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA, 2015; pp. 1–447. [CrossRef]
2. Del-Mondo, G.; Peng, P.; Gensel, J.; Claramunt, C.; Lu, F. Leveraging spatio-temporal graphs and knowledge graphs: Perspectives in the field of maritime transportation. *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.* **2021**, *10*, 541. [CrossRef]
3. Fauzi, A.I.; Sakti, A.D.; Robbani, B.F.; Ristiyani, M.; Agustin, R.T.; Yati, E.; Nuha, M.U.; Anika, N.; Putra, R.; Siregar, D.I.; et al. Assessing potential climatic and human pressures in Indonesian coastal ecosystems using a spatial data-driven approach. *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.* **2021**, *10*, 778. [CrossRef]
4. Garba, F.; Ogidiaka, E.; Akamagwuna, F.C.; Nwaka, K.H.; Edegbene, A.O. Deteriorating water quality state on the structural assemblage of aquatic insects in a North-Western Nigerian River. *Water Sci.* **2022**, *36*, 22–31. [CrossRef]
5. Mustafa, A.; Paena, M.; Athirah, A.; Ratnawati, E.; Asaf, R.; Suwoyo, H.S.; Sahabuddin, S.; Hendrajat, E.A.; Kamaruddin, K.; Septiningsih, E.; et al. Temporal and Spatial Analysis of Coastal Water Quality to Support Application of Whiteleg Shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* Intensive Pond Technology. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 2659. [CrossRef]
6. Rakib, M.R.J.; Jolly, Y.N.; Dioses-Salinas, D.C.; Pizarro-Ortega, C.I.; De-la-Torre, G.E.; Khandaker, M.U.; Alsubaie, A.; Almalki, A.S.A.; Bradley, D.A. Macroalgae in biomonitoring of metal pollution in the Bay of Bengal coastal waters of Cox’s Bazar and surrounding areas. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 20999. [CrossRef]
7. Busuricu, F.; Schroder, V.; Margaritti, D.; Anghel, A.H.; Tomos, S. Nutritional quality of some non-alcoholic beverages from the Romanian market. *Tech. Biochem.* **2022**, *3*, 1–6. [CrossRef]
8. Das, A.K.; Islam, M.N.; Billah, M.M.; Sarker, A. COVID-19 pandemic and healthcare solid waste management strategy—A mini-review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *778*, 146220. [CrossRef]
9. Freeman, C.J.; Easson, C.G.; Fiore, C.L.; Thacker, R.W. Sponge–Microbe Interactions on Coral Reefs: Multiple Evolutionary Solutions to a Complex Environment. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 705053. [CrossRef]
10. Marzuki, I.; Gusty, S.; Armus, R.; Sapar, A.; Asaf, R.; Athirah, A.; Jaya, J. Secondary Metabolite Analysis and Anti-Bacteria and Fungal Activities of Marine Sponge Methanol Extract Based on Coral Cover. In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Basic Sciences, Maluku, Indonesia, 4–5 November 2020; Volume 2360, pp. 1–9. [CrossRef]
11. Selvin, J.; Shanmugha, P.S.; Seghal, K.G.; Thangavelu, T.; Sapna, B.N. Sponge-associated marine bacteria as indicators of heavy metal pollution. *Microbiol. Res.* **2009**, *164*, 352–363. [CrossRef]
12. Kui, M.; Wu, L.; Fam, H. Heavy metal ions affecting the removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by fungi with heavy-metal resistance. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *98*, 9817–9827. [CrossRef]
13. Marzuki, I. The Bio-adsorption Pattern Bacteria Symbiont Sponge Marine Against Contaminants Chromium and Manganese in The Waste Modification of Laboratory Scale. *Indones. Chim. Acta* **2020**, *13*, 1–9. Available online: <http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/ica/article/view/9972> (accessed on 1 January 2022). [CrossRef]
14. Fu, W.; Xu, M.; Sun, K.; Cao, L.H.; Dai, C.; Jia, Y. Biodegradation of phenanthrene by endophytic fungus *Phomopsis liquidambari* in vitro and in vivo. *Chemosphere* **2018**, *203*, 160–169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Imachi, H.; Aoi, K.; Tasum, E.; Saito, Y.; Yamanaka, Y.; Saito, Y.; Takashi, Y.; Tomaru, H.; Takeuchi, R.; Morono, Y.; et al. Cultivation of methanogenic community from subseafloor sediments using a continuous-flow bioreactor. *ISME J.* **2011**, *5*, 1913–1925. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Gao, J.; Luo, Y.; Li, Q.; Zhang, H.; Wu, L.; Song, J.; Qian, W.; Christie, P.; Chen, S. Distribution patterns of polychlorinated biphenyls in soils collected from Zhejiang province, east China. *Environ. Geochem. Health.* **2006**, *28*, 79–87. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Essumang, D.K.; Togoh, G.K.; Chokky, L. Pesticide residues in the water and Fish (lagoon tilapia) samples from lagoons in Ghana. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.* **2009**, *23*, 19–27. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Alava, J.J.; Tirapé, A.; Mc-Mullen, K.; Uyaguari, M.; Domínguez, G.A. Microplastics and Macroplastic Debris as Potential Physical Vectors of SARS-CoV-2: A Hypothetical Overview with Implications for Public Health. *Microplastics* **2022**, *1*, 10. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Baalkhuyur, F.M.; Bin-Dohaish, E.-J.A.; Elhalwagy, M.E.A.; Alikunhi, N.M.; AlSuwailam, A.M.; Rostad, A.; Coker, D.J.; Berumen, M.L.; Duarte, C.M. Microplastic in the gastrointestinal tract of fishes along the Saudi Arabian Red Sea coast. *Mar. Pol. Bull.* **2018**, *131*, 407–415. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Marzuki, I.; Ahmad, R.; Kamaruddin, M.; Asaf, R.; Armus, R.; Siswanti, I. Performance of cultured marine sponges-symbiotic bacteria as a heavy metal bio-adsorption. *Biodiversitas* **2021**, *22*, 5536–5543. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Abass, O.K.; Zhuo, M.; Zhang, K. Concomitant degradation of complex organics and metals recovery from fracking wastewater: Roles of nano zerovalent iron. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *328*, 159–171. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Sobota, M.; Swi, M. Marine Waste—Sources, Fate, Risks, Challenges and Research Needs. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Heal.* **2021**, *18*, 433. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Chulalaksananukul, S.; Gadd, G.M.; Sangvanich, P.; Sihanonth, P.; Piapukiew, J.; Vangnai, A.S. Biodegradation of benzo(a)pyrene by a newly isolated *Fusarium* sp. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2006**, *262*, 99–106. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Akoto, O.; Azuure, A.A.; Adotey, K.D. Pesticide residues in water, sediment and fish from Tono Reservoir and their health risk implications. *Springer Plus* **2016**, *5*, 1849. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Alghazeer, R.; Azwai, S.; Garbaj, A.M.; Amr, A.; Elghmasi, S.; Sidati, M.; Yudiati, E.; Kubbat, M.G.; Eskandrani, A.A.; Shamlan, G.; et al. Alkaloids Rich Extracts from Brown Algae Against Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria by Distinctive Mode of Action. *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.* **2022**, *47*, 179–188. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Castelluccio, S.; Alvim, C.B.; Bes-Piá, M.A.; Mendoza-Roca, J.A.; Fiore, S. Assessment of Microplastics Distribution in a Biological Wastewater Treatment. *Microplastics* **2022**, *1*, 9. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Fitri, S.; Patria, M.P. Microplastic contamination on *Anadara granosa* Linnaeus 1758 in Pangkal Babu mangrove forest area, Tanjung Jabung Barat district, Jambi. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2019**, *1282*, 012109. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Gomiero, A.; Øysæd, K.B.; Agustsson, T.; van Hoytema, N.; van Thiel, T.; Grati, F. First record of characterization, concentration and distribution of microplastics in coastal sediments of an urban fjord in south west Norway using a thermal degradation method. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *227*, 705–714. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. El-Naggar, N.A.; Moawad, M.N.; Ahmed, E.F. Toxic phenolic compounds in the Egyptian coastal waters of Alexandria: Spatial distribution, source identification, and ecological risk assessment. *Water Sci.* **2022**, *36*, 32–40. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Medaura, M.C.; Guivernau, M.; Moreno-Ventas, X.; Prenafeta-Boldú, F.X.; Viñas, M. Bioaugmentation of Native Fungi, an Efficient Strategy for the Bioremediation of an Aged Industrially Polluted Soil With Heavy Hydrocarbons. *Front. Microbiol.* **2021**, *12*, 1–18. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Cui, X.; Tian, J.; Yu, Y.; Chand, A.; Zhang, S.; Meng, Q.; Li, X.; Wang, S. Multifunctional graphene-based composite sponge. *Sensors* **2020**, *20*, 329. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Keller, C.T.; Jousset, A.; Van, O.L.; Van, E.J.D.; Costa, R. The freshwater sponge *Ephydatia fluviatilis* harbours diverse *Pseudomonas* species (Gammaproteobacteria, Pseudomonadales) with broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e88429. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Dural, M.; Göksu, M.Z.L.; Özak, A.A. Investigation of heavy metal levels in economically important fish species captured from the Tuzla lagoon. *Food Chem.* **2007**, *102*, 415–421. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Pratiwi, N.T.M.; Wulandari, D.Y.; Iswantari, A. Horizontal Distribution of Zooplankton in Tangerang Coastal Waters, Indonesia. *Procedia Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *33*, 470–477. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Bart, M.C.; Hudspith, M.; Rapp, H.T.; Verdonschot, P.F.M.; de Goeij, M.J. A Deep-Sea Sponge Loop? Sponges Transfer Dissolved and Particulate Organic Carbon and Nitrogen to Associated Fauna. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 229. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Tepe, Y.; Türkmen, M.; Türkmen, A. Assessment of heavy metals in two commercial fish species of four Turkish seas. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **2008**, *146*, 277–284. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Ye, J.; Song, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhong, Y. Assessment of medical waste generation, associated environmental impact, and management issues after the outbreak of COVID-19: A case study of the Hubei Province in China. *PLoS ONE* **2022**, *17*, e0259207. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Anbumani, S.; Kakkar, P. Ecotoxicological effects of microplastics on biota: A review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2018**, *25*, 14373–14396. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Gad, A.K.; Midway, S.R. Relationship of Microplastics to Body Size for Two Estuarine Fishes. *Microplastics* **2022**, *1*, 14. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Brodie, J.; Landos, M. Pesticides in Queensland and Great Barrier Reef Waterways-Potential Impacts on Aquatic Ecosystems and The Failure of National Management. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2019**, *230*, 106447. [[CrossRef](#)]

41. Kotinagu, K.; Krishnaiah, N. Organochlorine and Organophosphorus Pesticide Residues in Todder and Milk Samples Along Musi River Belt, India. *Vet. World* **2015**, *8*, 545–550. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Polat, A.; Polat, S.; Simsek, A.; Kurt, T.T.; Ozyurt, G. Pesticide residues in muscles of some marine fish species and seaweeds of Iskenderun Bay (Northeastern Mediterranean), Turkey. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2018**, *25*, 3756–3764. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Cao, H.; Wang, C.; Liu, H.; Jia, W.; Sun, H. Enzyme activities during Benzo[a]pyrene degradation by the fungus *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* isolated from a polluted soil. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 865. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Gran, S.A.; Ramos, Z.J.; Fuentes, E.; Bravo, D.; Pérez, D.J.M. Effect of co-contamination by PAHs and heavy metals on bacterial communities of diesel contaminated soils of south shetland islands, antarctica. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 1749. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Zaimée, M.Z.A.; Sarjadi, M.S.; Rahman, M.L. Heavy metals removal from water by efficient adsorbents. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 2659. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Marzuki, I.; Sinardi, S.; Pratama, I.; Chaerul, M.; Paserangi, I.; Kamaruddin, M.; Asaf, R. Performance of sea sponges micro symbionts as a biomaterial in biodegradation naphthalene waste of modified. In Proceedings of the 5th International Seminar on Sustainable Urban Development, Jakarta, Indonesia, 10–11 October 2021; p. 012016. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Curchod, L.; Christelle, O.; Marion, J.; Christian, S.; Mohamed, A.D.; Martin, R.; Samuel, F. Temporal Variation of Pesticide Mixtures in Rivers of Three Agricultural Watersheds During a Major Drought in The Western Cape, South Africa. *Water Res.* **2020**, *10*, 100039. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Topal, T.; Onac, C. Determination of Heavy Metals and Pesticides in Different Types of Fish Samples Collected from Four Different Locations of Aegean and Marmara Sea. *J. Food Qual.* **2020**, *2020*, 12. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Alaboudi, A.A.; Ahmed, B.; Brodie, G. Annals of Agricultural Sciences Phytoremediation of Pb and Cd contaminated soils by using sun flower (*Helianthus annuus*) plant. *Ann. Agric. Sci.* **2018**, *63*, 123–127. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Marzuki, I.; Alwi, R.S.; Erniati; Mudyawati; Sinardi; Iryani, A.S. *Chitosan Performance of Shrimp Shells in The Biosorption Ion Metal of Cadmium, Lead and Nickel Based on Variations pH Interaction*; Proceeding in Advances in Engineering Research; Atlantik Press: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 6–11. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Liu, X.; Hu, X.; Cao, Y.; Jing, W.P.; Yu, H.J.; Guo, P.; Huang, L. Biodegradation of Phenanthrene and Heavy Metal Removal by Acid-Tolerant *Burkholderia fungorum* FM-2. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 408. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Baburam, C.; Feto, N.A. Mining of two novel aldehyde dehydrogenases (DHY-SC-VUT5 and DHY-G-VUT7) from metagenome of hydrocarbon contaminated soils. *BMC Biotechnol.* **2021**, *21*, 18. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Kamaruddin, M.; Marzuki, I.; Burhan, A.; Ahmad, R. Screening acetylcholinesterase inhibitors from marine-derived actinomycetes by simple chromatography. In Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Biotechnology and Food Sciences, Surabaya, Indonesia, 11 September 2020; p. 012011. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Marzuki, I.; Ali, M.Y.; Syarif, H.U.; Gusty, S.; Daris, L.; Nisaa, K. Investigation of Biodegradable Bacteria as Bio indicators of the Presence of PAHs Contaminants in Marine Waters in the Marine Tourism Area of Makassar City. In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Tropical Coastal Region Eco-Development 2020, Indonesia, 27–28 October 2020; p. 012006. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Marzuki, I.; Daris, L.; Nisaa, K.; Emelda, A. The power of biodegradation and bio-adsorption of bacteria symbiont sponges sea on waste contaminated of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and heavy metals. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Env. Sci.* **2020**, *584*, 012013. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Su, G.; Greaves, A.K.; Teclechiel, D.; Letcher, R.J. In Vitro Metabolism of Photolytic Breakdown Products of Tetradecabromo-1,4-diphenoxybenzene Flame Retardant in Herring Gull and Rat Liver Microsomal Assays. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, 8335–8343. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Marzuki, I.; Kamaruddin, M.; Ahmad, R. Identification of marine sponges-symbiotic bacteria and their application in degrading polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Biodiversitas* **2021**, *22*, 1481–1488. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Omoni, V.T.; Lag-Brotos, A.J.; Ibeto, C.N.; Semple, K.T. Effects of biological pre-treatment of lignocellulosic waste with white-rot fungi on the stimulation of 14C-phenanthrene catabolism in soils. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* **2021**, *165*, 105324. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Orani, A.M.; Barats, A.; Vassileva, E.; Thomas, O.P. Marine sponges as a powerful tool for trace elements biomonitoring studies in coastal environment. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2018**, *131*, 633–645. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Rua, C.P.J.; Oliveira, L.S.; De-Froes, A.; Tschoeke, D.A.; Soares, A.C.; Leomil, L.; Gregoracci, G.B.; Coutinho, R.; Hajdu, E.; Thompson, C.C.; et al. Microbial and Functional Biodiversity Patterns in Sponges that Accumulate Bromopyrrole Alkaloids Suggest Horizontal Gene Transfer of Halogenase Genes. *Microb. Ecol. J.* **2018**, *76*, 825–838. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
61. Marzuki, I.; Daris, L.; Yunus, S.; Riana, A.D. Selection and characterization of potential bacteria for polycyclic aromatic biodegradation of hydrocarbons in sea sponges from Spermonde Islands, Indonesia. *AACL Bioflux.* **2020**, *13*, 3493–3506.
62. Ziarati, P.; Ziarati, N.N.; Nazeri, S.; Saber, G.M. Phytoextraction of heavy metals by two sorghum spices in treated soil using black tea residue for cleaning-uo the contaminated soil. *Orient. J. Chem.* **2015**, *31*, 317–326. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Bibi, F.; Faheem, M.; Azhar, E.I.; Yasir, M.; Alvi, S.A.; Kamal, M.A.; Ikram, U.; Naseer, M.I. Bacteria From Marine Sponges: A Source of New Drugs. *Curr. Drug Metab.* **2016**, *17*, 1–6. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Ibrahim, Y.S.; Rathnam, R.; Anuar, S.T.; Khalik, W.M.A.W.M. Separation and characterization of microplastics in the Lates calcarifer from the soils of setiu Malaysia. *Malays. J. Anal. Sci.* **2017**, *21*, 1054–1064. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Marzuki, I.; Asaf, R.; Paena, M.; Athirah, A.; Nisaa, K.; Ahmad, R.; Kamaruddin, M. Anthracene and Pyrene Biodegradation Performance of Marine Sponge Symbiont Bacteria Consortium. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 6851. [[CrossRef](#)]

66. Jung, S.; Cho, S.-H.; Kim, K.-H.; Kwon, E.E. Progress in quantitative analysis of microplastics in the environment: A review. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2021**, *422*, 130154. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Löder, M.G.J.; Kuczera, M.; Mintenig, S.; Lorenz, C.; Gerdts, G. Focal plane array detector-based micro-Fourier-transform infrared imaging for the analysis of microplastics in environmental samples. *Environ. Chem.* **2015**, *12*, 563–581. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Roebroek, C.T.J.; Harrigan, S.; Van-Emmerik, T.H.M.; Baugh, C.; Eilander, D.; Prudhomme, C.; Pappenberger, F. Plastic in global rivers: Are floods making it worse? *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2021**, *16*, 025003. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Schwinghammer, L.; Krause, S.; Schaum, C. Determination of large microplastics: Wet-sieving of dewatered digested sludge, co-substrates, and compost. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *84*, 384–392. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
70. Onyena, A.P.; Aniche, D.C.; Ogbolu, B.O.; Rakib, M.R.J.; Uddin, J.; Walker, T.R. Governance Strategies for Mitigating Microplastic Pollution in the Marine Environment: A Review. *Microplastics* **2021**, *1*, 3. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Agrawal, N.; Verma, P.; Shahi, S.K. Degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (phenanthrene and pyrene) by the ligninolytic fungi *Ganoderma lucidum* isolated from the hardwood stump. *Bioresour. Bioprocess.* **2018**, *5*, 11. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Ahmad, M.; Wang, P.; Li, J.L.; Wang, R.; Duan, L.; Luo, X.; Irfan, M.; Peng, Z.; Yin, L.; Li, W.-J. Impacts of bio-stimulants on pyrene degradation, prokaryotic community compositions, and functions. *Environ. Pollut.* **2021**, *289*, 117863. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Atagana, H.I. Biodegradation of PAHs by fungi in contaminated-soil containing cadmium and nickel ions. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *8*, 5780–5789. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Bisht, S.; Pandey, P.; Bhargava, B.; Sharma, S.; Kumar, V.; Krishan, D. Bioremediation of polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) using rhizosphere technology. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* **2015**, *46*, 7–21. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Dotsenko, I.V.; Mikhailenko, A.V. Phytoplankton and Its Role in Accumulation of Microelements in Bottom Deposits of Azov Sea. *Sci. World J.* **2019**, *2019*, 7. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Selvam, S.; Jesuraja, K.; Venkatramanan, S.; Roy, P.D.; Kumari, P. J. Hazardous microplastic characteristics and its role as a vector of heavy metal in groundwater and surface water of coastal south India. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, *402*, 123786. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Dimitrov, N.; Kratofil Krehula, L.; Ptiček Siročić, A.; Hrnjak-Murgić, Z. Analysis of recycled PET bottles products by pyrolysis-gas chromatography. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2013**, *98*, 972–979. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Leila, M.; Moscariello, A. Depositional and petrophysical controls on the volumes of hydrocarbons trapped in the Messinian reservoirs, onshore Nile Delta. *Egypt Petroleum.* **2018**, *4*, 250–267. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Liu, S.H.; Zeng, G.M.; Niu, Q.Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, L.; Jiang, L.H.; Tan, X.-F.; Xu, P.; Zhang, C.; Cheng, M. Bioremediation mechanisms of combined pollution of PAHs and heavy metals by bacteria and fungi: A mini review. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2017**, *224*, 25–33. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
80. Skoog, D.A.; Holler, F.J.; Crouch, S.R. *Principles of Instrumental Analysis*, 7th ed.; Brooks/Cole, Ed.; Cengage Learning: Pacific Grove, CA, USA, 2021.
81. Bell, J.J.; Davy, S.K.; Jones, T.; Taylor, M.W.; Webster, N.S. Could some coral reefs become sponge reefs as our climate changes? *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2013**, *19*, 2613–2624. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
82. Gawad, A.S.S. Acute toxicity of some heavy metals to the fresh water snail, *Theodoxus niloticus* (Reeve, 1856). *Egypt J. Aquat. Res.* **2018**, *44*, 83–87. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Igiri, B.E.; Okoduwa, S.I.R.; Idoko, G.O.; Akabuogu, E.P.; Adeyi, A.O.; Ejiogu, I.K. Toxicity and Bioremediation of Heavy Metals Contaminated Ecosystem from Tannery Wastewater: A Review. *J. Toxicol.* **2018**, *2018*, 2568038. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Armus, R.; Selry, C.; Marzuki, I.; Hasan, H.; Syamsia; Sapar, A. Investigation of Potential Marine Bacterial Isolates in Biodegradation Methods on Hydrocarbon Contamination. In Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Engineering, Makassar, Indonesia, 5 October 2020. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Melawaty, L.; Noor, A.; Harlim, H.; de Voogd, N. Essential metal Zn in sponge *Callyspongia aerizusa* from Spermonde Archipelago. *Adv. Biol. Chem.* **2014**, *4*, 86–90. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Çoban-Yıldız, Y.; Chiavari, G.; Fabbri, D.; Gaines, A.F.; Galletti, G.; Tuğrul, S. The chemical composition of Black Sea suspended particulate organic matter: Pyrolysis-GC/MS as a complementary tool to traditional oceanographic analyses. *Mar. Chem.* **2000**, *69*, 55–67. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Hermabessiere, L.; Himber, C.; Boricaud, B.; Kazour, M.; Amara, R.; Cassone, A.-L.; Laurentie, M.; Paul-Pont, I.; Soudant, P.; Dehaut, A.; et al. Optimization, performance, and application of a pyrolysis-GC/MS method for the identification of microplastics. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2018**, *410*, 6663–6676. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Mao, J.; Guan, W. Fungal degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis* and its application in bioremediation of PAH-contaminated soil. *Acta Agric. Scand Sect. B Soil Plant Sci.* **2016**, *66*, 399–405. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Parhamfar, M.; Abtahi, H.; Godini, K.; Saeedi, R.; Sartaj, M.; Villaseñor, J.; Coulon, F.; Kumar, V.; Soltanighias, T.; Ghaznavi-Rad, E.; et al. Biodegradation of heavy oily sludge by a two-step inoculation composting process using synergistic effect of indigenous isolated bacteria. *Process Biochem.* **2020**, *91*, 223–230. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Roy, A.; Sar, P.; Sarkar, J.; Dutta, A.; Gupta, A.; Mohapatra, B.; Pal, S.; Kazy, S.K. Petroleum hydrocarbon rich oil refinery sludge of North-East India harbours anaerobic, fermentative, sulfate-reducing, syntrophic and methanogenic microbial populations. *BMC Microbiol.* **2018**, *18*, 151. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
91. Saraswath, A.; Hallberg, R. Degradation of pyrene by indigenous fungi from a former gasworks site. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2002**, *210*, 227–232. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

92. Shehu, U.; Ahmad, F.A.; Yusuf, F.; Muhammad, F.; Yakasai, H.M. Isolation and Identification of Anthracene Utilizing *Proteus vulgaris* from Oil Spill Contaminated Soil at NNPC Depot Kano State Nigeria. *J. Adv. Biol. Biotechnol.* **2021**, *24*, 46–53. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Souza, H.M.; de L-Barreto, L.R.; da Mota, A.J.; de Oliveira, L.A.; Barroso, H.; Zanotto, S.S.P. Tolerance to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by filamentous fungi isolated from contaminated sediment in the Amazon region. *Acta. Sci.-Biol. Sci.* **2017**, *39*, 481–488. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Govarthanam, M.; Fuzisawa, S.; Hosogai, T.; Chang, Y.C. Biodegradation of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons using the filamentous fungus *Penicillium* sp. CHY-2 and characterization of its manganese peroxidase activity. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 20716–20723. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Irfa'I, M.; Arifin, A.; Kriswandana, F.; Thohari, I. The Design of Medical Waste Treatment in Public Health Center (MWT-P) for Reducing Total Bacteria Count in Banjarbaru. *J. Kesehat. Lingkungan* **2020**, *12*, 254. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Mormede, S.; Davies, I.M. Polychlorobiphenyl and pesticide residues in monkfish *Lophius piscatorius* and black scabbard *Aphanopus carbo* from the Rockall Trough. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **2001**, *58*, 725–736. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Busch, K.; Wurz, E.; Rapp, H.T.; Bayer, K.; Franke, A.; Hentschel, U. Chloroflexi Dominate the Deep-Sea Golf Ball Sponges *Craniella zetlandica* and *Craniella infrequens* Throughout Different Life Stages. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2020**, *7*, 674. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Costa, G.; Violi, B.; Bavestrello, G.; Pansini, M.; Bertolino, M. *Aplysina aerophoba* (Nardo, 1833) (Porifera, Demospongiae): An unexpected miniaturised growth form from the tidal zone of Mediterranean caves: Morphology and DNA barcoding. *Eur. Zool. J.* **2020**, *87*, 73–81. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Landrigan, P.J.; Stegeman, J.J.; Fleming, L.E.; Allemand, D.; Anderson, D.M.; Backer, L.C.; Brucker-Davis, F.; Chevalier, N.; Corra, L.; Czerucka, D.; et al. Human health and ocean pollution. *Ann. Glob. Health* **2020**, *86*, 1–64. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
100. Mostafidi, M.; Shir Khan, F.; Zahedi, M.T.; Ziarati, P.; Hochwimmer, B. Risk Assessment of the Heavy Metals Contents in Ready-to-eat Vegetables in Distributed Brands at the Supply Level of Sales Centers in Tehran, Iran. *Res. Line (IJCSREM)* **2019**, *2*, 1–12. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Ziss, E.; Friesl-Hanl, W.; Noller, C.; Watzinger, A.; Hood-Nowotny, R. Heavy Metal City-Zen. Exploring the potential risk of heavy metal contamination of food crop plants in urban gardening contexts using a citizen science approach. *EGU Gen. Assem. Conf. Abstr.* **2020**, *15*, 8626. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Zhou, Y.; Xia, S.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, J.; Hermanowicz, S.W. Associated Adsorption Characteristics of Pb (II) and Zn (II) by a Novel Biosorbent Extracted from Waste-Activated Sludge. *Environ. Eng.* **2016**, *142*, 04016032. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Wu, C.; Liu, M.; Liu, X.; Wang, T.; Wang, L. Developing a new spectral index for detecting cadmium-induced stress in rice on a regional scale. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 4811. [[CrossRef](#)]
104. Wei, Y.; Chen, W.; Liu, C.; Wang, H. Facial synthesis of adsorbent from hemicelluloses for Cr(VI) adsorption. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 1443. [[CrossRef](#)]
105. Tenea, A.G.; Vasile, G.G.; Dinu, C.; Gheorgh, S.; Pascu, L.F.; Mureseanu, M.; Ene, C. Behavior of Cd accumulation in *sinapis alba* L. In the presence of essential elements (Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu, Ni). *Rev. Chim.* **2020**, *71*, 378–389. [[CrossRef](#)]
106. Qu, R.; Han, G.; Liu, M.; Li, X. The mercury behavior and contamination in soil profiles in mun river basin, Northeast Thailand. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 4131. [[CrossRef](#)]
107. Zou, X.; Liu, X.; Liu, M.; Liu, M.; Zhang, B. A framework for rice heavy metal stress monitoring based on phenological phase space and temporal profile analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 350. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Korytár, P.; Covaci, A.; De Boer, J.; Gelbin, A.; Brinkman, U.A.T. Retention-time database of 126 polybrominated diphenyl ether congeners and two Bromkal technical mixtures on seven capillary gas chromatographic columns. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1065*, 239–249. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Gomiero, A.; Øysæd, K.B.; Palmas, L.; Skogerbø, G. Application of GC/MS-pyrolysis to estimate the levels of microplastics in a drinking water supply system. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, *416*, 125708. [[CrossRef](#)]
110. Ping, N.-C. Organochlorine Pesticide Residues in Plankton, Rangsit Agricultural Area, Central Thailand W. *Bull. Env. Contam. Toxicol.* **2008**, *81*, 608–612. [[CrossRef](#)]
111. Zhang, Q.; Han, G.; Liu, M.; Li, X.; Wang, L.; Liang, B. Distribution and contamination assessment of soil heavy metals in the Jiulongjiang river catchment, southeast China. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 4674. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Fomina, M.; Charnock, J.M.; Hillier, S.; Alvarez, R.; Livens, F.; Gadd, G.M. Role of fungi in the biogeochemical fate of depleted uranium. *Curr. Biol.* **2008**, *18*, 375–377. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
113. Ohowa, B.; Kiteresi, L.I.; Wanjeri, V.W.; Mwamburi, S.M.; Tunje, S.L. Sponges as simple biomonitoring tools for trace element pollution in marine environments: Insights from a Kenyan study focused on the leaf sponge *Phyllospongia foliascens*. *Afr. J. Mar. Sci.* **2021**, *43*, 533–538. [[CrossRef](#)]
114. De-Vries, I.; Schreiber, S.; Boßmann, D.; Hellmann, Z.; Kopatz, J.; Neumann, H.; Beutel, S. Single-use membrane adsorbents for endotoxin removal and purification of endogenous polysialic acid from *Escherichia coli* K1. *Biotechnol. Rep.* **2018**, *17*, 110–116. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
115. Yang, Q.; Franco, C.M.M.; Lin, H.W.; Zhang, W. Untapped sponge microbiomes: Structure specificity at host order and family levels. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2019**, *95*, fuz136. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. Käßler, A.; Fischer, M.; Scholz-Böttcher, B.M.; Oberbeckmann, S.; Labrenz, M.; Fischer, D.; Eichhorn, K.-J.; Voit, B. Comparison of μ -ATR-FTIR spectroscopy and py-GCMS as identification tools for microplastic particles and fibers isolated from river sediments. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2018**, *410*, 5313–5327. [[CrossRef](#)]

117. Tسابوولا, A.; Papadakis, E.; Vryzas, Z.; Kotopoulou, A.; Kintzikoglou, K.; Papadopoulou, M.E. Assessment and Management of Pesticide Pollution at a River Basin Level Part II: Optimization of Pesticide Monitoring Networks on Surface Aquatic Ecosystems by Data Analysis Methods. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *653*, 1597–1611. [[CrossRef](#)]
118. Smith, M.; Love, D.C.; Rochman, C.M.; Neff, R.A. Microplastics in Seafood and the Implications for Human Health. *Curr. Environ. Heal Rep.* **2018**, *5*, 375–386. [[CrossRef](#)]
119. Li, Q.; Liu, J.; Gadd, G.M. Fungal bioremediation of soil co-contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons and toxic metals. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *104*, 8999–9008. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
120. Galitskaya, P.; Biktasheva, L.; Blagodatsky, S.; Selivanovskaya, S. Response of bacterial and fungal communities to high petroleum pollution in different soils. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 164. [[CrossRef](#)]
121. Marzuki, I.; Pratama, I.; Ismail, H.E.; Paserangi, I.; Kamaruddin, M.; Chaerul, M.; Ahmad, R. The Identification and Distribution Components of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Contaminants at the Port of Paotere, Makassar, South Sulawesi. In Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Biotech. and Food Sciences, Surabaya, Indonesia, 11 September 2020; p. 012017. [[CrossRef](#)]
122. Gawad, A.S.S. Concentrations of heavy metals in water, sediment and mollusk gastropod, *Lanistes carinatus* from Lake Manzala, Egypt. *Egypt J. Aquat. Res.* **2018**, *44*, 77–82. [[CrossRef](#)]
123. Shimoda, T.; Suryati, E.; Ahmad, T. Evaluation in a Shrimp Aquaculture System Using Mangroves, Oysters, and Seaweed as Biofilters Based on the Concentrations of Nutrients and Chlorophyll. *JARQ* **2006**, *40*, 189–193. [[CrossRef](#)]
124. Bayan, I.E.; Yulianda, F.; Setyobudiandi, I. Degradation analysis of mangrove ecological function as macrozoobenthos habitat and its management in the Angke Kapuk Coastal Area, Jakarta. *Biodiversitas (Bonorowo Wetl.)* **2016**, *6*, 1–11. [[CrossRef](#)]