**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 



# Internal fixation of acetabular quadrilateral plate fractures in elderly patients: Could the fracture reduction quality affect their functional recovery?

Antonello Panella<sup>1</sup> · Giuseppe Solarino<sup>1</sup> · Giovanni Vicenti<sup>1</sup> · Davide Bizzoca<sup>1</sup> · Marco Baglioni<sup>1</sup> · Francesco Fortunato<sup>1</sup> · Francesco Maruccia<sup>1</sup> · Angela Notarnicola<sup>1</sup> · Andrea Piazzolla<sup>1</sup> · Raffaele Pascarella<sup>2</sup> · Alberto Belluati<sup>3</sup> · Biagio Moretti<sup>1</sup>

Received: 30 May 2020 / Accepted: 10 August 2020 / Published online: 9 September 2020 © The Author(s) 2020

#### Abstract

**Background** Osteoporotic acetabular fractures frequently involve the quadrilateral plate (QP), a flat and thin bone constituting the medial wall of the acetabulum. This study aims to assess the impact of the quality of osteoporotic QP fractures reduction on the patients' functional recovery, at 24 months follow-up.

**Methods** Patients referring with osteoporotic QP fractures to our Level I trauma centre were prospectively recruited. Inclusion criteria: patients aged 60 years old or older; osteoporosis, defined as Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) T-score  $\leq -2.5$ ; acute acetabular fracture; anatomic or good fracture reduction according to Matta on postoperative CT. Exclusion criteria: moderate cognitive impairment (defined as Mini-Mental State Examination < 19); a history of malignant neoplasm; concomitant fractures in other sites; traumatic head injury; lower limb joint prostheses; patient not able to walk independently before trauma; poor fracture reduction, according to Matta, on postoperative CT. All the QP fractures were surgically managed. After surgery, the reduction of each QP fracture was classified as anatomical (displacement 0–1 mm), good (displacement 2–3 mm) and poor (displacement > 3 mm) on postoperative CT. Based on this classification: patients with a poor fracture reduction were excluded from this study, patients with an anatomical reduction were recruited in Group-A and patients with a good reduction in Group-B. All the patients underwent a clinical and radiographic 24-months follow-up. **Results** 68 patients (males 38; females 30; mean age 68.6 years old; range 60–79) were finally included in in the study. No cases of open fractures or concomitant pelvic ring fractures were observed. Based on the post-operative CT, 39 patients showed an anatomic fracture reduction (Group-A) while the remaining 29 patients revealed a good fracture reduction (Group-B). Complication rates and mean clinical scores showed no significant differences between groups, at 24-months follow-up. **Conclusions** In this study, the functional recovery at 24 months follow-up showed no significant differences in elderly patients with QP fracture undergoing anatomical reconstruction (displacement 0-1 mm) compared to patients receiving a good QP fracture reconstruction (displacement  $\leq 3$  mm).

**Keywords** Quadrilateral plate  $\cdot$  Acetabular fracture  $\cdot$  Quality of reduction  $\cdot$  Elderly fracture  $\cdot$  Functional recovery  $\cdot$  WOMAC  $\cdot$  Harris hip score (HHS)

Giovanni Vicenti dott.gvicenti@gmail.com

- Orthopaedic and Trauma Unit, Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Neuroscience and Sense Organs, School of Medicine, University of Bari "Aldo Moro", AOU Consorziale "Policlinico", Piazza Giulio Cesare 11, 70100 Bari, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, Ospedali Riuniti, Ancona, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, Ravenna, Italy

# Introduction

Acetabular fractures, with a reported annual incidence of 3 new cases over 100,000 inhabitants, are rare but challenging injuries for orthopedic surgeons [1, 2].

This kind of fractures commonly results from high-energy trauma, i.e. motor vehicle accidents, pedestrian accidents, sports injuries, and falls from a height [1]. In recent years, however, an increased incidence of acetabular fractures caused by low-energy trauma has been reported, especially in older adults with osteoporotic bone [3, 4].

Osteoporotic acetabular fractures frequently involve the quadrilateral plate (QP), a flat and thin bone constituting the medial wall of the acetabulum [5]. Isolated fractures of the quadrilateral plate are rare, thus QP injuries are generally associated with more complex fractures rimes, including both columns, anterior column, posterior hemi-transverse, posterior column, "T-shapes" or transverse fractures [6]. QP dislocation with medial femoral head migration could be also observed.

Although acetabular QP fractures represent a heterogeneous group of injuries, they mainly affect elderly patients with comparable functional requests, therefore they should be managed following the same surgical principles [7–14].

The management of acetabular fractures has radically changed in the last six decades. Until the beginning of the 1960s, most acetabular fractures were conservatively managed. In 1964, however, the principles of acetabular surgery were first described by Robert Judet and Emile Letournel, thus revolutionizing the treatment of this kind of injury [15].

Currently, the majority of authors agree the open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) of acetabular fractures should allow early mobilization, a fast pain resolution, and an anatomic reconstruction of the hip, to prevent hip posttraumatic osteoarthritis [8, 16, 17].

It is important to note that osteoporotic acetabular fractures might be managed following different surgical principles, compared to the high-energy fractures observed in young patients. Moreover, it could be difficult to achieve the anatomical reduction and stable fixation of osteoporotic QP fractures, because of the QP location in the true pelvis and juxta-articular position, the frequent fracture comminution and the poor bone quality [18, 19].

Therefore, the definition of QP fractures reduction criteria could be useful in the surgical management of these osteoporotic fractures, to limit surgical timing and perioperative complications.

This study aims to assess the impact of the quality of osteoporotic QP fractures reduction on the patients' functional recovery at 24 months follow-up.

#### Materials and methods

#### Patients selection, surgical treatment, and aftercare

Patients referring to our Level I trauma centre, between January 2010 and January 2017, with osteoporotic acetabular fractures, involving the quadrilateral plate, were prospectively recruited. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Local Ethical Committee (Prot. n. 5556/2018), as per the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki, and all the patients gave informed consent before enrollment in the study.

Inclusion criteria: patients aged 60 years old or older; osteoporosis, defined as Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) T-score  $\leq$  -2.5; acute acetabular fracture; anatomic or good fracture reduction according to Matta on postoperative CT.

Exclusion criteria: moderate cognitive impairment (defined as Mini-Mental State Examination < 19); a history of malignant neoplasm; concomitant fractures in other sites; traumatic head injury; lower limb joint prostheses; patient not able to walk independently before trauma; poor fracture reduction, according to Matta, on postoperative CT.

Patient demographics -including age, sex, BMI-, traumatic mechanism, and fracture type according to Judet-Letournel classification [15] were recorded at recruitment. Before surgery, patients were treated with bed rest; transskeletal traction was used in the presence of hip instability. All the QP fractures were surgically managed within 10 days after trauma. All the patients underwent preoperative and postoperative pelvis CT scan. The DXA evaluation was performed before hospital discharge.

#### Surgical procedure

All the surgical procedures were performed by the same experienced pelvic surgeon (A. Pan.) and the same anesthesiologic team. The surgical approach choice (i.e. ilioinguinal approach, modified Stoppa approach or Kocher-Langenbeck approach) and the ORIF constructs depended on the specific fracture pattern.

The ilioinguinal approach was performed with the patient in a supine position on a radiolucent table; the greater trochanter of the affected side was put at the table edge and a bump was placed under the ipsilateral buttock. The ipsilateral hip and knee were flexed, to relax the iliopsoas and the neurovascular structures.

The modified Stoppa approach was performed with the patient in a supine position on a radiolucent table. The ipsilateral limb was draped free into the surgical field and hip and knee were flexed, to relax the iliopsoas tendon and the femoral neurovascular bundle.

The Kocher-Langenbeck approach was performed with the patient in a prone position on a radiolucent table. The knee was flexed at  $90^{\circ}$  and the hip extended, to reduce the intraoperative tension on the sciatic nerve.

After surgery, the patients observed bed rest for twenty days, then partial weight-bearing was prescribed for the following 8 weeks.

#### Table 1 Main data of the study

	Group-A (Anatomical reduction)	Group-B (Good reduction)
No of patients	39	29
Age		
Mean $\pm$ SD	$67.52 \pm 5.88$	$64.43 \pm 8.24$
Range	60–79	62–77
Gender		
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	21 (53.85%)	18 (62.07%)
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	18 (46.15%)	11 (37.93%)
BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		
Mean $\pm$ SD	$28.3 \pm 1.76$	$27.6 \pm 1.48$
Side		
Left, <i>n</i> (%)	25 (64.1%)	17 (58.62%)
Right, <i>n</i> (%)	14 (35.9%)	12 (41.83%)
Mechanism of injury		
Motor vehicle accident, $n$ (%)	9 (23.08%)	7 (24.14%)
Pedestrian accident, n (%)	3 (7.39%)	2 (6.9%)
Fall from a height, n (%)	8 (20.5%)	5 (17.24%)
Simple fall, n (%)	19 (48.72%)	15 (51.72%)
Judet-Letorunel classification		
Quadrilateral plate alone, n (%)	1 (2.56%)	_
Anterior column, n (%)	3 (7.69%)	4 (13.8%)
Both columns, $n$ (%)	5 (12.8%)	3 (10.34%)
Hemi-transverse, n (%)	4 (10.26%)	3 (10.34%)
Transverse, n (%)	75 (12.8%)	3 (10.34%)
Transverse + Anterior wall, $n$ (%)	8 (20.5%)	5 (17.24%)
Hemitransverse + Anterior column, n (%)	7 (17.95%)	5 (17.24%)
Transverse + Anterior column, $n$ (%)	6 (15.44%)	6 (20.7%)
Surgical approach		
Ilioinguinal	10 (25.64%)	10 (34.48%)
Ilioinguinal + modified Stoppa	24 (61.54%)	16 (55.18%)
Ilioinguinal + Kocher-Langenbeck	5 (12.8%)	3 (10.34%)
DXA T-score		
Mean ± SD	$-3.6 \pm 0.8$	$-3.75 \pm 0.74$

1629

#### Post-operative CT evaluation

Two orthopedic surgeons, with more than 5 years of experience in hip and pelvis surgery and not involved in the surgical procedure, evaluated the quality of QP fracture reduction and the joint congruence on post-operative CT, using Matta's criteria [20].

The reduction of each QP fracture was classified as anatomical (displacement 0-1 mm), good (displacement 2-3 mm), and poor (displacement > 3 mm). Based on this classification, patients with a poor fracture reduction were excluded from this study, patients with an anatomical reduction were recruited in Group-A and patients with a good reduction in Group-B. All the patients underwent a clinical and radiographic 24-months follow-up.

## Clinical and radiological assessment at follow-up

Patients underwent a clinical and radiological follow-up at two-, six-, twelve-, eighteen, and twenty-four months postoperatively. Complications and reoperations were recorded.

Clinical evaluation was performed using the following validated scores: Harris Hip Score (HHS), modified Merle D'Aubigné-Postel Score (MMDAPS) [21] and the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) [22].

At each follow-up, all the patients underwent a radiographic evaluation of the pelvis, including anteroposterior view and Judet views (iliac oblique and obturator views). On each X-ray, the following elements were evaluated: the quality of fracture reduction; the fracture healing process; the

	Group-A(Anatomicalreduction)	Group-B(Goodreduction)	р
Early complications			
Lateral femoral cutaneous nerve injury, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (5.13%)	1 (3.45%)	0.065
Intra-operative vascular injuries, n (%)	1 (2.56%)	1 (3.45%)	0.093
Pulmonary embolism, n (%)	1 (2.56%)	1 (3.45%)	0.093
Surgical Site Infections, n (%)	_	_	_
Late complications			
Heterotopic ossification			
Broker I, $n$ (%)	16 (41.03%)	12 (41.38%)	0.643
Broker II, $n$ (%)	7 (17.95%)	5 (17.24%)	0.532
Broker III, $n$ (%)	3 (7.69%)	2 (6.9%)	0.087
Avascular femoral head necrosis			
Ficat 0, <i>n</i> (%)	7 (17.95%)	5 (17.24%)	0.532
Ficat I, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (7.69%)	2 (6.9%)	0.087
Ficat IIA, n (%)	4 (10.26%)	3 (10.34%)	0.84
Ficat IIB, n (%)	3 (7.69%)	2 (6.9%)	0.087
Hip Osteoarthritis (Matta)			
Excellent, $n$ (%)	8 (20.5%)	6 (20.68%)	0.865
Good, <i>n</i> (%)	17 (43.6%)	12 (41.37%)	0.0922
Sufficient, n (%)	13 (33.34%)	10 (34.5%)	0.211
Poor, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (2.56%)	1 (3.45%)	0.093

**Table 2** Late complications observed during the 24 months follow-up: comparison between groups (Chi-square test; statistical significance was set at p < 0.05)

\**p* < 0.05

presence of heterotopic calcifications (classified according to Broker [24]) and the presence of femoral head avascular necrosis (classified according to Ficat and Arlet [26]).

The pelvis X-rays performed at the 24 months follow-up were also checked for hip osteoarthritis according to Matta's criteria [20].

# **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed by two authors (A.N., M.B.,) using STATA/MP 14 for Windows (StataCorp LP, College Station, USA). All the data were described as mean, median, and standard deviation.

Categorical variables were evaluated as absolute frequencies and proportions. The proportions in the two groups were compared using the chi-square test. Continuous variables were described as means; differences between the two groups were evaluated using the Wilcoxon-Mann–Whitney test for independent samples. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# Results

The main data of the study are summarized in Table 1. 72 patients were originally included in the study, but 4 patients out of 72 were lost to follow-up (drop-out 5.56%). Therefore, 68 patients (males 38; females 30; mean age 68.6 years old; range 60–79) were finally included in the study. No cases of open fractures or concomitant pelvic ring fractures were found.

Based on the post-operative CT scans, 39 patients showed an anatomic fracture reduction (Group-A) while the remaining 29 patients revealed a good fracture reduction (Group-B).

Depending on the specific fracture patterns (Table 1), different ORIF constructs were used: in 22 patients out of 68 (32.36%) a reconstruction plate of the anterior column alone was used; in 30 patients out of 68 (44.12%) a QP plating was performed in addition to the anterior column plating; in 8 patients out of 68 (11.76%), affected by both columns fracture, an ORIF of either anterior and posterior columns was

 Table 3
 Clinical scores at 24 months follow-up: comparison between groups (Wilcoxon-Mann–Whitney test for independent samples)

	Group-A	Group-B	р
HHS	85.7 ± 9.3	85.8 ± 9.6	0.96
MMDAPS	$15.6 \pm 1.6$	$15.8 \pm 1.3$	0.69
WOMAC	$14.2 \pm 6.1$	$12.3 \pm 5.1$	0.34

Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05

performed; in 8 patients of 68 (11.76%), an infrapectineal plate was used in addition to an anterior column reconstruction plate.

The complications observed during the 24 months followup are summarized in Table 2. No significant differences between groups were observed (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the clinical scores recorded in both groups at 24 months follow-up. No significant differences were depicted (Table 3).

## Discussion

In recent years, an increased prevalence of acetabular fractures has been observed in the elderly, because of the general population aging [21].

Osteoporotic acetabular fractures commonly involve the QP and should be managed following different surgical principles, compared to traumatic acetabular fractures [3]. Hence, low-energy trauma, poor bone quality, the subsequent limited opportunities for screw purchase, relatively low functional demand and recovery expectation are the main features differentiating osteoporotic acetabular fractures from the traumatic ones [3].

Anatomical reduction and stable fixation play a key role in the management of articular fractures, to restore the joint congruity, thus reducing the risk of post-traumatic osteoarthritis [22]. In the surgical management of acetabular fractures, Letournel and Matta have shown the anatomical reduction of the fracture is one of the leading factors influencing the final clinical outcome [23]. Hence, a poor fracture reduction, i.e. a displacement greater than 3 mm, is currently considered a negative prognostic factor for the final functional outcome [23].

Nonetheless, none of the previous studies, to the best of the authors' knowledge, has focused on the definition of osteoporotic QP fractures reduction criteria.

In this prospective study, 68 patients with osteoporotic QP fractures were included to assess if the quality of QP fracture reduction could influence the patients' functional recovery at 24 months follow-up. The recruited patients, based on the postoperative pelvis CT, were divided into two groups: 39 patients with an anatomical reduction of the QP

fracture (displacement 0–1 mm) were recruited in Group-A, whereas the remaining 29 patients, showing a good fracture reduction (displacement 2–3 mm) were recruited in Group-B.

We used postoperative pelvis CT to assess the fracture reduction quality since, as suggested by Matta et al. in 1996 [24] and subsequently confirmed by Moed et al. [25], plain radiographs are not enough accurate in demonstrating articular incongruities.

The surgical approach choice (i.e. ilioinguinal approach, modified Stoppa approach or Kocher-Langenbeck approach) depended on the specific fracture pattern, according to the literature [7, 26–28]. The ilioinguinal approach alone was performed in 20 patients out of 68 (29.41%), ilioinguinal approach and concomitant Kocher-Langenbeck approach were performed in 8 patients out of 68 (11.76%) while ilioinguinal approach and concomitant modified Stoppa approach were performed in 40 patients out of 68 (58.82%).

The use of a modified Stoppa approach in the ORIF of QP fractures was suggested by Cole et al. [26], to obtain a better intrapelvic view. These authors evaluated 55 patients undergoing ORIF for QP fractures and, at a mean follow-up of 17.7 months, an excellent modified Merle D'Aubigné-Postel score was recorded in 47% of cases and an excellent Matta radiographic score was observed in 64% of cases. More recently, similar results have been reported by Yang et al. [27] and Laflamme et al. [7] in patients with QP fractures undergoing open reduction and internal fixation.

Interestingly, in the present study, early and late complications rates, as well as the mean clinical scores recorded at 24 months follow-up showed no significant differences between groups. Hence, a postoperative fracture displacement  $\leq 3$  mm, assessed on CT, could guarantee a good clinical outcome at 24 months follow-up, in elderly patients with QP fractures.

Acute total hip arthroplasty (THA) has been also proposed in the management of osteoporotic acetabular fractures [28–30]. Although aseptic acetabular loosening and THA dislocation have been reported in patients undergoing acute THA for acetabular fractures, Trabecular Metal (TM) revision acetabular shells have recently shown promising results, since they have revealed effective in enhancing bone ingrowth and fixation and have provided good clinical outcomes [30].

This study, on the other hand, supports the ORIF of osteoporotic QP fractures. Moreover, in the present study, a postoperative fracture displacement  $\leq 3$  mm provided the same clinical results as the anatomical reduction. This is a relevant finding since QP fractures are high-demanding injuries and the anatomical reduction of these fractures is often difficult to be reached. Consequently, this study might positively influence our daily clinical practice, thus, reducing surgical



Fig. 1 A 61 years old man reported, in a car accident, a left hemitransverse + anterior column acetabular fracture. a Preoperative X-rays: AP view and Judet views. b Preoperative CT. c Postoperative X-rays. d X rays at 24 months follow-up

timing and, hopefully, the incidence of intraoperative and postoperative complications.

The main strengths of our study are the relatively long follow-up and the conspicuous patients' sample, consistent with the frequency of osteoporotic QP fractures.

However, the limits of the present study could not be overcome, including the heterogeneity of the surgical approaches performed and the different plating constructs employed. Furthermore, the lack of pelvis CT scans performed during the different follow-ups is another limitation of the present study.

# Conclusions

In this prospective case series, the functional recovery at 24-months follow-up showed no significant differences in patients with osteoporotic QP fractures receiving an anatomical reduction (displacement 0-1 mm) compared with patients receiving good a fracture reduction (displacement  $\leq 3$  mm). Therefore, the anatomical reduction is not strictly needed in the open reduction and internal fixation of osteoporotic QP fractures (Fig. 1).

**Funding** Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** All authors declare no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

**Ethics approval** This study was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki. The Local Ethical Committee provided ethical approval for the study (Prot. n. 5556/2018).

Informed consent All the patients gave written informed consent.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

## References

- Yang Y, Yi M, Zou C et al (2018) Mapping of 238 quadrilateral plate fractures with three-dimensional computed tomography. Injury 49:1307–1312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2018.05.026
- Laird A, Keating JF (2005) Acetabular fractures. A 16-year prospective epidemiological study. J Bone Jt Surg Ser B 87:969–973. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.87B7.16017
- Peter RE (2015) Open reduction and internal fixation of osteoporotic acetabular fractures through the ilio-inguinal approach: use of buttress plates to control medial displacement of the quadrilateral surface. Injury 46:S2–S7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020 -1383(15)70003-3
- Vicenti G, Bizzoca D, Carrozzo M et al (2019) The ideal timing for nail dynamization in femoral shaft delayed union and nonunion. Int Orthop 43:217–222. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0026 4-018-4129-y
- Laflamme GY, Delisle J, Leduc S et al (2009) Isolated quadrilateral plate fracture: an unusual acetabular fracture. Can J Surg 52:E217–E219
- 6. Letournel E, Judet R (1993) Fractures of the Acetabulum. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg
- Laflamme GY, Hebert-Davies J, Rouleau D et al (2011) Internal fixation of osteopenic acetabular fractures involving the quadrilateral plate. Injury 42:1130–1134. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.INJUR Y.2010.11.060
- Toro JB, Hierholzer C, Helfet DL (2004) Acetabular fractures in the elderly. Bull Hosp Jt Dis 62:53–57
- Cornell CN (2005) Management of acetabular fractures in the elderly patient. HSS J 1:25–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1142 0-005-0101-7
- Ferguson TA, Patel R, Bhandari M et al (2010) Fractures of the acetabulum in patients aged 60 years and older. J Bone Joint Surg Br 92-B:250–257. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.92B2.22488
- Culemann U, Holstein JH, Köhler D et al (2010) Different stabilisation techniques for typical acetabular fractures in the elderly a biomechanical assessment. Injury 41:405–410. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.injury.2009.12.001
- Culemann U, Scola A, Tosounidis G et al (2010) Versorgungskonzept der Beckenringverletzung des alten Patienten. Unfallchirurg 113:258–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00113-010-1762-3
- Vanderschot P (2007) Treatment options of pelvic and acetabular fractures in patients with osteoporotic bone. Injury 38:497–508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2007.01.021
- Vicenti G, Carrozzo M, Caiaffa V et al (2019) The impact of the third fragment features on the healing of femoral shaft fractures managed with intramedullary nailing: a radiological study. Int Orthop 43:193–200. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-018-4214-2
- Judet R, Judet J, Letournel E (1964) Fractures of the acetabulum: classification and surgical approaches for open reduction. Preliminary report. J Bone Joint Surg Am 46:1615–1646

- Pennal GF, Davidson J, Garside H, et al (1980) Results of treatment of acetabular fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res 151:115–123
- 17. Strauss E (2004) Management of acetabular fractures in the elderly. Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis 62:47–47
- 18. White G, Kanakaris NK, Faour O et al (2013) Quadrilateral plate fractures of the acetabulum: an update. Injury 44:159–167
- De Vincentis A, Behr AU, Bellelli G et al (2020) Management of hip fracture in the older people: rationale and design of the Italian consensus on the orthogeriatric co-management. Aging Clin Exp Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-020-01574-4
- Verbeek DO, van der List JP, Helfet DL (2019) Computed tomography versus plain radiography assessment of acetabular fracture reduction is more predictive for native hip survivorship. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 139:1667–1672. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00402-019-03192-w
- Piazzolla A, Solarino G, Bizzoca D et al (2020) Capacitive coupling electric fields in the treatment of vertebral compression fractures. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 29:637–646
- Olson SA, Guilak F (2006) From articular fracture to posttraumatic arthritis: a black box that needs to be opened. J Orthop Trauma 20:661–662
- Ziran N, Soles GLS, Matta JM (2019) Outcomes after surgical treatment of acetabular fractures: a review. Patient Saf, Surg, p 13
- 24. Matta JM (1996) Fractures of the acetabulum: accuracy of reduction and clinical results in patients managed operatively within 3 weeks after the injury. J Bone Joint Surg Am 78:1632–1645
- Moed BR, Willson Carr SE, Gruson KI et al (2003) Computed tomographic assessment of fractures of the posterior wall of the acetabulum after operative treatment. J Bone Jt Surg Ser A 85:512–522. https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200303000-00018
- Cole JD, Bolhofner BR (1994) Acetabular fracture fixation via a modified Stoppa limited intrapelvic approach. Description of operative technique and preliminary treatment results. Clin Orthop Relat Res 305:112–23
- Yang Y, Yue J, Wen P (2015) Modified Stoppa approach with medial wall spring plate for involving quadrilateral of acetabulum fracture. Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi 29:270–274
- Tidermark J, Blomfeldt R, Ponzer S et al (2003) Primary total hip arthroplasty with a Burch-Schneider antiprotrusion cage and autologous bone grafting for acetabular fractures in elderly patients. J Orthop Trauma 17:193–197
- Mears DC, Velyvis JH (2002) Acute total hip arthroplasty for selected displaced acetabular fractures: two to twelve-year results. J Bone Joint Surg Am 84:1–9
- Volpin A, Konan S, Biz C, et al (2019) Reconstruction of failed acetabular component in the presence of severe acetabular bone loss: a systematic review. Musculoskelet Surg 103:1–13

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.