L1 Nerve Root Ganglioneuroma: Surgical Tip on excising this Well-Differentiated and Rarely Appreciated Tumor

Nitesh Kumar Rathi¹, A C Senthil Kumar², Shri Vishnu¹, Munis Ashraf¹

Learning Point of the Article:

Removal of transverse process at L2 and L3 can provide access to the anterior structures, thereby limiting the need for dual exposure for resection of the mass.

Abstract

Introduction: Ganglioneuroma (GN) is a rare benign tumor of neural crest origin mostly found in the abdomen, but may occasionally present at sites including the cervical, lumbar, or sacral spine. GN of lumbar spine is a rare occurrence.

Case Report: A 52-year-old man presented with GN in the L1 nerve root, who underwent successful resection of the tumor and stabilization of spine using a single posterior approach.

Conclusion: GN should be considered as a differential in any case of paraspinal mass. A high index of clinical suspicion and correlation with radiological findings is necessary in differentiating a large benign tumor from a malignant growth. Complete surgical excision is the treatment of choice; however, the approach to the mass is dictated by the tumor size and location.

Keywords: Spinal tumor, ganglioneuroma, single approach, stabilization.

Introduction

Ganglioneuroma (GN) is a rare benign tumor which originates from neural crest cells of sympathetic ganglia or adrenal medulla [1], and it usually presents in the retroperitoneum (mostly in the presacral space), posterior mediastinum, or adrenal gland, and occasionally in unusual locations [2]. We report a giant dumbbell-shaped GN of L1 extending into the retroperitoneum which was resected en mass posteriorly without the need for an additional retroperitoneal exposure.

Case Presenation

A 52-year-old male, tailor by profession, presented with mid/low back ache for the past 5 months. The patient had no history of trauma or lifting weights. The patient had dull aching pain which aggravated on exertion and forward flexion. There were no

history of fever, loss of weight, or appetite. The patient had no motor or sensory deficits. There was no radiating pain, symptoms did not settle after trying analgesics and physiotherapy. On admission, X-ray was taken and showed scalloping of the L1 vertebral body, increased canal diameter, and thinning of L1 pedicle. Computed tomography (CT) lumbosacral spine showed extramedullary mass measuring $5.6 \times$ 5.9×6.9 (AP × TR × CC) epicentered at the level of L1-2 right foraminal level extending laterally to lie within right psoas muscle. Magnetic resonance imaging LS spine with contrast showed an extramedullary T1 isointense, T2 heterogeneously hyperintense lesion measuring $5.6 \times 5.9 \times 6.9$ epicenter at the level of L1-L2 right foraminal level extending laterally to lie within psoas muscle, and anteriorly causing indentation of posterior aspect of the right kidney. Lesion appears as dumbbell shaped and causes neural foraminal widening (19 mm), mild

	Author's Photo Gallery			
Access this article online	R	Q		
Website: www.jocr.co.in	Dr. Nitesh Kumar Rathi	Dr. A C Senthil Kumar	Dr. Shri Vishnu	Dr. Munis Ashraf
DOI: 10.13107/jocr.2022.v12.i07.2902	¹ Department of Orthopaedics, Saveetha Medical College and Hospitals, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India, ² Department of Surgical Oncology, Saveetha Medical College and Hospitals, Thandalam, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. Address of Correspondence: Dr. Munis Ashraf, Department of Orthopaedics, Saveetha Medical College and Hospitals, Thandalam, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India E-mail: munis6@gmail.com			
S	Submitted: 04/02/2022; Review: 19/04/202	22; Accepted: June 2022; P	ublished: July 2022	

DOI:10.13107/jocr.2022.v12.i07.2902

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncsa/4.0/, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms









Figure 1: X-ray LS spine AP and lateral.

Figure 2: CT axial view.

Figure 3: CT coronal view.

displacement of the cord posterolaterally. Post-contrast images show heterogeneous contrast enhancement with nonenhancing necrotic components (Fig. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5). A CT-guided biopsy was taken to confirm the diagnosis.

Surgical Technique

The initial plan was a two staged procedure with stabilization of spine posteriorly and then to dissect the tumor in the retroperitoneal space through retroperitoneal approach in the left lateral position. Under GA, the patient in prone position, midline incision was made. Soft-tissue dissection done. Paraspinal muscles elevated subperiosteally. Three pedicle screws applied on left D12, L1, L2; in D12 and L2 on the right side. Right L1 hemi-laminectomy was performed and right L1 nerve root ligated and sacrificed near the dura. To access the full extent of the tumor in the retroperitoneal space, transverse processes of L2 and L3 on the right side broken with thumb pressure alone. This helped to reach the most anterolateral corner of the tumor, by blunt finger dissection (Fig. 6). At this juncture, we realized that the tumor was accessible from the posterior approach itself and hence avoided the additional exposure to reach the retroperitoneum. Intraoperatively, tumor of size $9 \times 6 \times 5$ cm arising from the right L1 nerve root visualized. Tumor was found to be scalloping and compressing L1 right pedicle and vertebral body (Fig. 7). Tumor excised en masse by marginal resection and the sample was sent for histopathology examination. Contoured connecting rods applied bilaterally and nuts tightened. Bone grafts placed lateral to rods (Fig. 8 and 9).

Histopathological examination revealed a well-circumscribed capsulated neoplasm composed of cells with scant to moderate eosinophilic cytoplasm and uniform elongated spindled wavy nuclei arranged in fascicles. In few areas, the cells are separated by abundant myxoid and hyalinized stroma. Presence of scattered lymphocytes and few mast cells and occasional foamy macrophages were noted. One area in the periphery shows scattered mature ganglion cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and uniform round nuclei. The features were suggestive of GN. The post-operative period was uneventful and was mobilized from post-operative day 1. At the end of 6month follow-up, he is pain free and was able to return to his job and performs his activities of daily living independently.

Discussion

GN is a rare, benign, slow-growing, and well-differentiated tumor composed of ganglion cells and Schwann cells [3]. GNs are a class of peripheral neuroblastic tumors that include three subgroups depending on cellular and extracellular differentiation: Neuroblastomas (most immature, undifferentiated, and with the most malignant potential), ganglioneuroblastomas (intermediate malignant potential), and GNs (fully differentiated, most benign) [4]. Central nervous system GNs are less prevalent and most often occurs in children and young adults [5]. The treatment of choice is surgical resection. Adjuvant systemic chemotherapy and radiotherapy have limited roles due to their benign nature [6]. The long-term prognosis is excellent regardless of tumor location as long as total tumor excision is performed. The







identification of tumor mass.



Figure 8: Post-operative radiograph depicting the posterior stabilization L1-L3.

surgical approach to the lesion needs to be planned well, dual exposures can be minimized, because of the high morbidity associated with it [7]. Anterior approach has a high risk of injury to the renal vasculature and vena cava. This case report demonstrates the avoidance of a second approach to access the anterolateral aspect of tumor using a posterior exposure to stabilize as well as resect the tumor which was facilitated by removal of L2 and L3 transverse process. The local recurrence has been reported after surgical resection, so regular radiologic follow-up with neurologic examination and radiologic evaluation is necessary even after complete excision [4, 8, 9].



Figure 9: Pre-operative and post-operative MRI axial images.

Conclusion

This report describes a rare case of right L1 nerve root GN of the lumbar spine with retroperitoneal extension. GNs should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a paraspinal mass. Surgical resection is the treatment of choice. Careful surgical planning can reduce the morbidity associated with radical surgical procedures.

Clinical Message

Removal of transverse process at L2 and L3 can provide access to anterior structure, thereby limiting the need for dual exposure for resection of the mass.

Declaration of patient consent: The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient has given the consent for his/ her images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patient understands that his/ her names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Conflict of interest: Nil Source of support: None

References

1. Geoerger B, Hero B, Harms D, Grebe J, Schiedhauer K, Berthold F. Metabolic activity and clinical features of primary ganglioneuromas. Cancer 2001;91:1905-13.

2. Cugati G, Singh M, Pande A, Ramamurthi R, Balasubramanyam M, Sethi SK, et al. Primary spinal epidural lymphomas. J Craniovertebr Jun Spine 2011;2:3-11.

3. Jeong M, Lee S, Joo KB, Jang KS, Bae J. Ganglioneuroma of lumbar nerve root: A case report. J Korean Soc Radiol 2013;68:153-6.

4. Sun WS, Jung YT, Kim SC, Sim JH. A dumbbellshaped thoraco-lumbar extradural ganglioneuroma: Case report. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 2002;32:481-4.

5. Pang BC, Tchoyoson Lim CC, Tan KK. Giant spinal

Conflict of Interest: Nil Source of Support: Nil

Content: The authors confirm that informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report

ganglioneuroma. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12:967-72.

6. Shephard RH, Sutton D. Dumb-bell ganglioneuromata of the spine with a report of four cases. Br J Surg 1958;45:305-17.

7. Ikard RW. Methods and complications of anterior exposure of the thoracic and lumbar spine. Arch Surg 2006;141:1025-34.

8. Alimoglu O, Caliskan M, Acar A, Hasbahceci M, Canbak T, Bas G. Laparoscopic excision of a retroperitoneal ganglioneuroma. JSLS 2012;16:668-70.

9. Huang Y, Liu L, Li Q, Zhang S. Giant ganglioneuroma of thoracic spine: A case report and review of literature. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 2017;60:371-4.

How to Cite this Article

Rathi NK, Kumar ACS, Vishnu S, Ashraf M. L1 Nerve Root Ganglioneuroma: Surgical Tip on excising this Well-Differentiated and Rarely Appreciated Tumor. Journal of Orthopaedic Case Reports 2022 July;12(7):27-29.

