

Reactive Capture and Conversion of CO₂ into Hydrogen over Bifunctional Structured Ce1−*x***Co***x***NiO3/Ca Perovskite-Type Oxide Monoliths**

Khaled [Baamran,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Khaled+Baamran"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Shane [Lawson,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Shane+Lawson"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Ali A. [Rownaghi,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Ali+A.+Rownaghi"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-11-0) and [Fateme](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Fateme+Rezaei"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Rezaei[*](#page-11-0)

ABSTRACT: Carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) technologies are pivotal for transitioning to a net-zero economy by 2050. In particular, conversion of captured $CO₂$ to marketable chemicals and fuels appears to be a sustainable approach to not only curb greenhouse emissions but also transform wastes like $CO₂$ into useful products through storage of renewable energy in chemical bonds. Bifunctional materials (BFMs) composed of adsorbents and catalysts have shown promise in reactive capture and conversion of $CO₂$ at high temperatures. In this study, we extend the application of 3D printing technology to formulate a novel set of BFMs composed of CaO and $Ce_{1-x}Co_xNiO₃$ perovskite-type oxide catalysts for the dual-purpose use of capturing

 $_{\rm co}$ CO ain

 $CO₂$ and reforming CH₄ for H₂ production. Three honeycomb monoliths composed of equal amounts of adsorbent and catalyst constituents with varied Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratios were 3D printed to assess the role of cobalt on catalytic properties and overall performance. The samples were vigorously characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD), energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), N_2 physisorption, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), H2-TPR, *in situ* CO2 adsorption/desorption XRD, and NH3-TPD. Results showed that the Ce_{1–*x*}Co_{*x*} ratios—*x* = 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75—did not affect crystallinity, texture, or metal dispersion. However, a higher cobalt content reduced reducibility, CO₂ adsorption/desorption reversibility, and oxygen species availability. Assessing the structured BFM monoliths via combined CO₂ capture and CH₄ reforming in the temperature range 500-700 °C revealed that such differences in physiochemical properties lowered H₂ and CO yields at higher cobalt loading, leading to best catalytic performance in $Ce_{0.75}Co_{0.25}NiO_3/Ca$ sample that achieved 77% CO_2 conversion, 94% CH_4 conversion, 61% H_2 yield, and 2.30 H_2/CO ratio at 700 $^{\circ}$ C. The stability of this BFM was assessed across five adsorption/reaction cycles, showing only marginal losses in the H₂/CO yield. Thus, these findings successfully expand the use of 3D printing to unexplored perovskite-based BFMs and demonstrate an important proof-of-concept for their use in combined $CO₂$ capture and utilization in H₂ production processes.

KEYWORDS: *reactive capture of CO2, bifunctional materials, structured monolith, methane dry reforming, hydrogen production*

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-recognized that anthropogenic $CO₂$ emissions are contributing greatly to climate change, and significant efforts are underway to curtail such emissions and to limit the global temperature rise to [1](#page-11-0).5 °C by 2050.^{1-[3](#page-11-0)} Unfortunately, capturing and storing $CO₂$ is still unattractive from an industrial point-of-view, as this greenhouse contaminant is usually mitigated by amine scrubbing or by reaction with CaO at high temperatures to form $CaCO₃^{4,5}$ $CaCO₃^{4,5}$ $CaCO₃^{4,5}$ Both of these processes have drawn substantial criticisms as they constantly consume chemical resources without any true revenue stream, since the market price of $CO₂$ is only \$20/t, which is lower than the energy costs associated with either of these mitigation strategies.^{[6](#page-11-0)} Hence, more energy-efficient and affordable methods of handling $CO₂$ emissions are required for longterm economic sustainability.

In recent years, a shift in perspective on $CO₂$ has started to occur, as the greenhouse gas is being viewed less as a harmful contaminant and more as a potential feedstock for catalytic processes.^{7,8} For example, we have previously demonstrated $CO₂$ as an oxidizing agent in processes such as oxidative dehydrogenation of propane $(ODHP)^{9,10}$ $(ODHP)^{9,10}$ $(ODHP)^{9,10}$ $(ODHP)^{9,10}$ $(ODHP)^{9,10}$ or ethane $(ODHE).$ ^{[11](#page-12-0)} Similarly, Sutthiumporn et al.^{[12](#page-12-0)} demonstrated $CO₂$ as a potential feedstock to generate $H₂$ over perovskite catalysts by way of dry methane reforming (DMR). The use of $CO₂$ in $H₂$ production is a particularly interesting route, since this process generates both H_2 and CO, otherwise known as syngas.^{[13](#page-12-0),[14](#page-12-0)} Syngas synthesis is particularly noteworthy since its constituents can act as building blocks for other processes in Fischer−Tropsch syntheses, which include potential liquid fuel sources such as methanol, thereby allowing the greenhouse gas to be used cyclically in a marketable manner, while addressing

Received: September 18, 2023 Revised: November 19, 2023 Accepted: November 22, 2023 Published: December 13, 2023

its poor economic value and providing a pathway toward mitigation[.15,16](#page-12-0) Nevertheless, the thermodynamic stability of $CO₂$ is quite high, implying that development of efficient catalytic materials for its transformation into syngas is paramount.^{17,[18](#page-12-0)}

Generally speaking, the thermodynamic stability of $CO₂$ forces its conversion into syngas to be performed at high temperatures. For instance, Mette et al. 19 demonstrated dry reforming of methane at high temperatures (800−900 °C) for efficient conversion of $CO₂$ into syngas. Accordingly, these extreme reaction conditions incur high energy costs-which ultimately incur a $CO₂$ penalty unless the system is powered by renewables—leading to the benefits of $CO₂$ repurposing being offset by these intrinsic disadvantages. Recently, a subclass of catalytic materials, consisting of mixed metal oxide phases and capable of acting as both acidic and basic catalysts, has gained significant attention for its potential in $CO₂$ conversion via DMR reaction.[20](#page-12-0)[−][22](#page-12-0) This is mainly because they enable conversion into syngas at considerably lower temperatures. The key advantage of these materials lies in their mixed active sites, which facilitate multiple reaction pathways to occur at the same time. This approach is more entropically favorable compared to single-path conversion, particularly in terms of producing thermodynamically stable species.^{[23](#page-12-0)} For example, Sastre at al.^{[24](#page-12-0)} demonstrated $La_{1-x}Sr_xFeO_3$ perovskite-type catalysts for methane reforming with CO_2 -splitting reactions through varying the ratio of Sr/La (A-site), and reported that the Sr phase leads to promoting the surface oxygen species whereas the La phase concurrently leads to leveling off this property when the Sr/La ratio is sufficiently low. As another benefit, such synergism allows both the oxidation and reduction reactions to progress in a manner that avoid carbon deposition (coking), thus providing exceptional on-stream stability.^{[25](#page-12-0)−[28](#page-12-0)} In another example,^{[12](#page-12-0)} the effectiveness of $La_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}Ni_{0.8}M_{0.2}O₃$ catalysts (M = Bi, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe) for $CO₂-DMR$ was demonstrated and it was shown that substituting Fe for Ni (B-site) enhances catalytic stability by (i) strengthening metal−support interactions to hinder Ni agglomeration and (ii) increasing the lattice oxygen availability in the $La_2O_2CO_3$ intermediate phase to block coke formation pathways.^{[12](#page-12-0)} Of course, these are just a handful of examples with many more being present in the literature $-$ ^{[18,29](#page-12-0),30}so the benefit of combining active sites in catalysts is quite clear for $CO₂$ -utilizing applications.

This being stated, $CO₂$ capture and its subsequent utilization are often relegated to being performed in multiple beds, as the former step generally occurs at far lower temperatures than the later step. 5 As a byproduct of this thermal gradient, whose energy input incurs $CO₂$ penalties unless powered by a renewable or nuclear source, $CO₂$ conversion rates tend to be undesirably low. Indeed, such penalties are exacerbated when considering factors of steam heating, column cooling, and overall process control.[31](#page-12-0)−[33](#page-12-0) Because of such issues, there have been significant efforts put forth to allow $CO₂$ capture and subsequent utilization to occur in a singular bed since this would eliminate (or minimize) the thermal swing, thus lowering total energy consumption and enhancing overall process throughput. The culmination of such efforts has led to the development of so-called "bifunctional materials" (BFMs), which are composed of (i) a catalyst phase and (ii) a hightemperature adsorbent phase (CaO). Such BFMs have been employed in a myriad of lab-scale proof-of-concept demonstrations with highly promising results, including dry methane reforming,^{[34](#page-12-0)} ODHE,^{35,[36](#page-12-0)} ODHP,^{[9,10](#page-12-0)} and more.^{[37](#page-12-0)–[41](#page-13-0)}

Even in such instances, an underlying deficit remains, which should be considered for scaling these materials. Namely, the current focus on BFM materials has focused solely on synthesizing powdered catalysts, with no significant effort being allocated toward structuring the composite. Granted, the latter aspect cannot be implemented without the former, but such BFMs must be structured to prevent powder attrition and to reduce column restrictions. We have recently shown that BFMs—heterogeneous catalysts—can be easily processed into honeycomb contactors via binding with a ceramic binder (i.e., bentonite clay), followed by 3D printing and calcination.^{[42](#page-13-0)-[46](#page-13-0)} In such processes, the premade catalyst is combined with $CaCO₃$, which is phase transitioned into CaO by calcination to generate the adsorbent phase. A successful proof-of-concept� which could reasonably be transitioned to scalable production via conventional ram- or screw-extrusion techniques-3D printing BFMs by this manner has been shown to generate favorable reaction kinetics due to the open honeycomb design, as well as high cyclic stability and good retention of catalyst physiochemical properties (surface area, redox properties, crystallinity, etc.). 47 However, the application of this technology has been limited solely to that in our earlier works, which were focused entirely on alkane dehydrogenation. It can be reasonably expected that implementing $CO₂$ capture and conversion at an industrial scale will require diversification across various reactions, considering the broad scope of commodity chemical refining. Therefore, it is important to extend this technique to previously unexplored areas to further cement the promise of structured BFM honeycomb monoliths.

Motivated by this need, we embarked on a study of 3Dprinted BFMs composed of CaO adsorbent and Ce1[−]*x*Co*x*NiO3 perovskite-type oxide catalysts for the process of capturing $CO₂$ and utilizing in $H₂$ production via DMR process. The Ce_{1−*x*}Co_xNiO₃ catalysts were first synthesized using a previously reported method in the literature,^{[12](#page-12-0)} which used citric acid as a complexing agent and involved substituting the A-site (Ce) with B-site (Co) in the perovskite structure $CeNiO₃$. This method resulted in the growth of different phases such as $NiCo₂O₄$, NiO, and $CeO₂$ metal oxides, alongside the CeNiO₃ perovskite. The CeNiO₃/NiCo₂O₄/ $NiO/CeO₂$ catalysts were synthesized through a one-step procedure and then combined with CaO adsorbent during the process of 3D printing for fabrication of BFM monoliths. For this purpose, the Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratio was systematically varied to assess its impact on the performance of BFMs in $CO₂$ capture and utilization during DMR reaction, across a range of adsorption-reaction temperatures. *In situ* X-ray diffraction experiments were conducted to examine the reversibility of CO₂ adsorption–desorption runs at high temperatures. The materials in this study-which to our knowledge were the first structured $CeNiO₃/NiCo₂O₄/NiO/CeO₂/CaO BFMs—dem$ onstrated promising performance in the DMR reaction as well as exceptional on-stream stability. Such efforts enable new and exciting pathways through which to utilize $CO₂$, thus bringing capture/conversion technology one step closer to utilization at-scale.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials

The following chemicals were used for BFMs synthesis without further purification: nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate (Ni $(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$) (99%), cerium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (Ce $(NO₃)₃·6H₂O)$ (99%), cobalt(II) acetate tetrahydrate $((CH_3COO), Co·4H_2O)$ (99%), citric acid (ACS reagent, >99.5%), calcium carbonate $(CaCO₃)$ (99%), bentonite clay (99%), and methylcellulose (99%). All these materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The ultrahigh purity (UHP) gases used in this study were obtained from Airgas.

2.2. Synthesis of Catalysts

Ce1[−]*x*Co*x*NiO3 perovskite-type oxide catalysts were prepared using a one-step, sol−gel synthesis method, with citric acid serving as the complexing agent.^{12,[48](#page-13-0),[49](#page-13-0)} The gram quantities of the precursors used to synthesize the materials are summarized in Table 1, where the metal ratio of Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* was varied to subtitute the A-site (Ce) in CeNiO₃. Here *x* represents the mass (g) of Co precursor ($x = 0.25$, 0.50, 0.75). It should be noted that the mass of nickel precursor and citric acid were kept constant at 1.75 and 2.75 g, respectively, throughout the catalyst synthesis process.[12](#page-12-0) For simplicity, the synthesized catalysts with the following Ce_{1-x}Co_x ratios of $Ce_{0.75}Co_{0.25}$, $Ce_{0.50}Co_{0.50}$, and $Ce_{0.25}Co_{0.75}$ were labeled as CCN-1, CCN-2, and CCN-3, respectively, as noted in Table 1. To formulate the materials, the desired amounts of metal precursors and citric acid were mixed in 50 mL of DI water. The solution was stirred at 450 rpm at 150 °C until a viscous gel was formed, a process that took approximately 50−60 min. Once a gel-like solution was obtained, it was transferred to a convection oven and dried at 120 °C for 12 h. The powder was then ground with a mortar and pestle and calcined in air at 720 °C (ramp of 10 °C/min) for 5 h. The resulting powder was cooled to room temperature and again ground to make a homogeneous powder, and then it was sieved through a 0.135 mm mesh.

2.3. Structured BFM Monoliths Formulation

The sieved catalyst powders were converted into printable inks using the ratios reported in Table 2 and 3D-printed into BFM honeycomb

Table 2. Paste Composition of 3D-Printed-Structured BFM Monoliths

BFM monolith	catalyst $(wt \%)$	adsorbent (wt %)	bentonite (wt %)	methylcellulose $(wt \%)$
$CCN-1/Ca$	45	45		
$CCN-2/Ca$	45	45		3
$CCN-3/Ca$	45	45		

monoliths using the processes outlined in our earlier works.^{[50](#page-13-0)−[53](#page-13-0)} Briefly, the sieved catalyst powders were mixed with $CaCO₃$, bentonite, and methylcellulose using ∼20 mL of DI as a suspension solvent. The ink was sonicated for 30 min after combining all components and was allowed to roll at 60 rpm for 48 h ($T = 25$ °C) to achieve homogeneity and generate binding. The obtained slurry was then densified at 50 °C under immersion mixing at 600 rpm until a printable rheology was achieved. A printable rheology is defined here as being shear thinning, self-standing, and retaining its solvent. The inks were printed using our established hydraulic printing setup,^{[52](#page-13-0)} dried at 25° C in the fume hood for 12 h to prevent cracking, and finally calcined in air at 700 °C (ramp = 10 °C/min) for 5 h. The BFM monoliths were denoted as CCN-1/Ca, CCN-2/Ca, and CCN-

3/Ca, where Ca represents the adsorbent phase CaO. An example of one of the printed honeycombs is shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) 1. As evident, this process generated an open cell structure with ∼200 cells per square inch (cpsi) cell density and ∼1 cm outer diameter.

2.4. Structured BFM Monoliths Characterization

The textural properties of the monoliths were assessed by using N_2 physisorption on a Micromeritics (3Flex) gas analyzer at 77 K. The samples were degassed at 350 $^{\circ}$ C for 6 h on a Micromeritics Smart VacPrep instrument before analysis. The pore size distribution (PSD) and surface area were approximated from the N_2 physisorption isotherms via the nonlocal density functional theory (NLDFT) and Brunauer−Emmett−Teller (BET) methods, respectively. The elemental dispersions of the various metal oxides and the surface topography were assessed via field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; Quanta 600F ESEM) with a Bruker Quantax energy-dispersive spectrometer (EDS). The oxidation states were evaluated via X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on a Thermo Scientific Nexsa 128 channel XPS system. The scan conditions for each component are summarized in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S1 of the [Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) [Information.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf)

The crystallinities of the synthesized catalysts and ground honeycombs were assessed via a PANalytical X'Pert multipurpose X-ray diffractometer with a scan step size of 0.026°/step at a rate of $3^{\circ}/$ min from $5^{\circ} \leq 2\theta \leq 90^{\circ}$. The reducibility of the materials was assessed on 3Flex via temperature-programmed reduction in hydrogen $(H_2$ -TPR), whereas the catalytic acidities were assessed via temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia (NH3-TPD). Both processes were carried out on 3Flex using the pretreatment and ramp conditions outlined in our earlier work, 11 and the catalytic acidic densities were calculated following a method reported in our prior works.^{[54,55](#page-13-0)}

2.5. *In Situ* **XRD Measurements**

To better understand the changes in the chemical structure of BFM materials during CO2 adsorption−desorption at high temperatures, *in situ* X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted on a PANalytical X'Pert multipurpose XRD with a scan step size of 0.026°/step at a rate of $13^{\circ}/$ min from $5^{\circ} \leq 2\theta \leq 70^{\circ}$. Prior to runs, the samples were heated to 700 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min under 200 mL/min of N_2 . After isothermal treatment for 1 h, the system was cooled under N_2 until it reached 600 °C, at which point the gaseous feed was changed to 200 mL/min of $CO₂$. Adsorption was allowed to progress for 60 min, where XRD scans were recorded every 5 min. The desorption behavior was then subsequently collected. In this step, the feed was changed back to 200 mL/min of N_2 and the system was heated at 10 $\rm{°C/min}$ to the target temperatures of 600, 650, or 700 $\rm{°C}$. It should be noted here that the adsorption temperature was held constant across runs, but the desorption temperature was varied to assess the effect of thermal activation on BFM crystallinity. A fresh sample was used in each run to avoid any discrepancy, which may have occurred in the crystallinity as a result of sample recycling.

2.6. *In Situ* **CO2 Capture and Utilization Experiments**

The combined $CO₂$ capture-conversion experiments were performed using the system detailed in our prior work.^{[11](#page-12-0)} The effluent concentration profiles for all components were monitored and recorded using a MKS II mass spectrometer, and the product distribution was assessed using correlations detailed in our prior works and reported in the Supporting Information, [eqs](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S1-S7.^{[35,36](#page-12-0)} In a typical experiment, 0.5 g of BFM was placed in the middle of a stainless-steel reactor with dimensions of l.14 cm inner diameter and 22.8 cm height. The BFM was packed into two layers of quartz wool

Figure 1. SEM micrographs of (a) the top view of the BFM monolith and (b) corresponding channel dimensions.

Figure 2. (a) SEM and (b−f) EDS mapping images of the CCN-1/Ca BFM monolith (fresh sample).

to prevent gas bypass. The samples were first pretreated by heating at 10 °C/min to 700 °C for 1 h under 30 mL/min flow rate of Ar. The bed was cooled to the adsorption temperature (600 $^{\circ}$ C), wherein 25 mL/min of 10% CO_2/Ar was flown into the reactor until saturation of $CO₂$ concentration was observed. After the $CO₂$ concentration plateaued, the flow of 10% CO_2/Ar was terminated, and a 25 mL/min flow of $CH₄/Ar$ was flowed into the system. The bed was then heated or cooled at a rate of 100 °C/min to the target temperature, which varied across experiments from 500, 600, 650, and 700 °C. The reaction was then allowed to progress until the effluent $CO₂$ reached zero. A Q500 thermalgravimetric analyzer (TGA) from TA Instruments was used for coke formation analysis after the adsorption-reaction experiments. Therein, the surface of spent samples was heated through flowing 40 mL/min of N_2 at 900 °C using a 25 °C/min ramp. The coke species were then identified using a combination of Raman spectrometry on a *μ*-Raman spectrometer (ARAMIS; HORIBA Jobin Yvon Inc., Edison, NJ) using an He−Ne laser (632.8 nm) as well as by traditional Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometry using a Nicolet Nexus 470 spectrometer. The two characterizations showed similar functional groups, so only the conventional FTIR is included in the main body.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Characterization of BFM Monoliths

The sample morphologies and elemental dispersions were assessed with a combination of SEM and EDS. The topographies and elemental dispersions for CCN-2/Ca and CCN-3/Ca were nearly identical to those shown in Figure 2,

so they are contained in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S1 and S2, Supporting Information. Looking at the elemental dispersions, it was observed that all four components—namely, Ca (Figure 2c), Ni (Figure 2d), Ce (Figure 2e), and Co (Figure 2f)-were all uniformly dispersed throughout the backbone of the material. Given that these dispersions were consistent in the other samples, it was first concluded that changing the cobalt concentration did not substantially influence the other metallic dispersions, as shown in Figure 2d−f. These findings indicate that metallic components were successfully incorporated into the catalyst phases. However, mixing the adsorbent (CaO) with the catalyst resulted in a combination of segregated and direct contacts between the adsorbent and catalyst phases. This arrangement, especially the direct contact between phases, enabled the developed BFMs to function in a truly bifunctional manner. The performance of catalytic materials largely depends on maintaining effective contact between the metal components. Similarly, the efficacy of BFMs is contingent upon the degree of mixing between the adsorbent and catalyst phases. The presence of direct contact between the adsorptive and catalytic phases, which is crucial for the effectiveness of these BFMs, is evident here. Figure 2 supports this, suggesting that the materials are likely to act as bifunctional materials despite some segregation between phases. The presence of direct contact between the adsorbent and catalyst is key to the rapid migration of $CO₂$ from the adsorbent to the catalyst

Figure 3. XRD analysis of (a) bare catalysts and (b) BFM monoliths.

during the desorption step, which is essential for an efficient $CO₂$ reaction.

Figure 3a,b display the crystallinities of the pristine catalysts and 3D-printed monoliths, respectively. The Rietveld Refinement (RIR) quantitative analysis is also provided for CCN-3/ Ca in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S3 for reference. Examining the pristine catalysts first, a series of diffraction peaks were observed at $2\theta = 28.7^{\circ}$, 33.1°, 43.2°, 47.4°, 56.3°, 62.8°, 69.41°, 76.6°, and 79.1°, which corresponded the crystal planes of (111), (002), (221), (040), (321), (331), (242), (412), and (161) in CeNiO₃ perovskite, respectively. Although the perovskite phase of $CeNiO₃$ was not explicitly identified by the RIR, possibly due to overlapping reflections, the observed diffraction peaks matched those reported in the literature for $CeNiO₃$, suggesting the presence of the perovskite phase.^{[77](#page-14-0)} Additionally, several diffractive peaks were observed for CeO₂ at 2θ = 28.56°, 33.08°, 47.47°, 56.3°, 69.40°, 76.6°, and 79.07°, corresponding to the crystal planes of (111), (200), (220), (311) , (400) , (331) , and (420) , respectively.^{[56](#page-13-0)} Diffractive peaks for NiO were observed at $2\theta = 37.1^{\circ}$, 43.1°, and 62.4°, which corresponded to the (111), (200), and (220) planes, respectively.[57](#page-13-0),[58](#page-13-0) Finally, the peaks appeared at 2*θ* of 31.1°, 36.6°, 59°, and 65.1° were correlated to the crystal planes of (220), (311), (511), and (440) in $NiCo₂O₄$ (nickel cobaltite), respectively.[59](#page-13-0),[60](#page-13-0) From Figure 3a, it can be deduced that substituting the A-site in CeNiO3 with various Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratios resulted in the formation of different phases alongside the CeNiO₃ perovskite, namely NiCo₂O₄, NiO, and CeO₂ metal oxides.

After the printing process, new diffraction peaks emerged at $2\theta = 32.1^{\circ}$, 37.1°, and 53.9° for CaO^{[61](#page-13-0)} and $2\theta = 18.1^{\circ}$ and 33.8° for Ca(OH)₂. Other minor peaks detected at $2\theta = 27.1$ ° and 50.8°, were attributed to bentonite clay and $CaCO₃$, respectively.[62](#page-13-0)[−][64](#page-13-0) Importantly, the 3D printing process did not alter the crystallinity of the formed phases, as evidenced by the consistent diffraction patterns observed in Figure 3b. From these observations, we can reasonably conclude that the BFMs were mainly mixed-metal oxides comprising $CeNiO₃$ perovskite, NiCo₂O₄, NiO, CeO₂, and CaO, with a few residuals resulting from the synthesis process.

Moreover, the crystalline structures remained consistent across different Ce1[−]*x*/Co*^x* ratios. Nevertheless, the peak at 2*θ* = 28.2° for CeO₂ was more pronounced in the Ce_{0.75}Co_{0.25} ratio compared to other ratios, which can be attributed to the increased content of Ce. Similarly, the peak at $2\theta = 36.6^{\circ}$ was

more prominent in $Ce_{0.25}Co_{0.75}$, due to the increased Co content. The minor peaks at $2\theta = 31.5^{\circ}$ and 65.1° were observed in $Ce_{0.25}Co_{0.75}$ but were barely noticeable/or disappeared in $Ce_{0.50}Co_{0.50}$ and $Ce_{0.75}Co_{0.25}$, due to the lower Co content. In summary, the XRD results suggested that the 3D printing process had a minimal impact on the crystallinity of the catalyst and any significant changes in catalytic activity are likely to be primarily driven by redox properties and reactive oxygen species, resulting from the varying Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratios, rather than by alterations in the crystalline structure.

The N_2 physisorption isotherms and PSD profiles of the BFMs are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S4a,b, respectively, and the corresponding textural properties are provided in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S2. Looking first at the adsorption isotherms, the samples all displayed Type II hybridized with type IV isotherms corresponding to nonporous behavior, albeit with some mesoporosity behavior as defined by the IUPAC classifica-tion.^{[65](#page-13-0)} Indeed, the PSD profiles corroborate the mesoporosity of the materials, as the pores all existed between 3 and 10 nm, except for a narrow pore size of less than 2.5 nm. However, it should be noted that the pore volumes for all three BFMs were low overall. The mesoporosity shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S4b can be attributed to the adsorbent and binder precursors used during the synthesis of the materials. These results were not all that surprising, given that metal oxide catalysts and CaO possess low porosity, aside from a few specific instances with higher surface area.^{66,67} This conclusion is further supported by the textural properties in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S2, where it was observed that all three monoliths had virtually identical pore volumes and surface areas. It should further be noted that the Ce_{1−*x*}Co_{*x*} ratio again had no influence on the properties of the BFMs, as the changes in the surface area and pore volume were within the confines of accepted instrumental error. This behavior agreed with the XRD patterns in Figure 3 as well as by the elemental dispersions in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2 and [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S1 and S2, Supporting Information, which both indicated that the metallic fractions had minimal influence on the characteristic properties of the BFMs. Therefore, the differences in material activity for combined $CO₂$ capture and utilization in DMR were further anticipated to be heavily driven by changes in coke formation behavior and redox properties, rather than by any deviations in crystallinity, textural properties, or elemental dispersion.

3.2. Acidity and Redox Properties of 3D-Printed BFMs

The catalytic acidities of both the bare catalysts and the 3Dprinted BFM monoliths were assessed using $NH₃-TPD$, with

Figure 4. NH_3 -TPD profiles of (a) bare catalysts and (b) BFM monoliths.

results depicted in Figure 4 and quantified acidities summarized in Table 3. Initial observations of the bare

catalysts (Figure 4a) revealed desorption peaks at *T* = 225 and 500−800 °C, corresponding to weak and strong acid sites, respectively. Notably, the intensity of these peaks was not influenced by the cobalt content, implying that cobalt loading has a little effect on catalytic acidity. Nevertheless, as Table 3 indicates, the quantity of acid sites correlates directly with the Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratio; acidity decreases in the order of CCN-1/Ca > CCN-2/Ca > CCN-3/Ca. Given that metal oxides do not neatly fit into the conventional Lewis/Brønsted acidic-basic site concepts, as is the case with other materials like zeolites that have well-defined structures and typically contain only one or two types of metal ions, these findings suggest a more complex surface chemistry. The observed acidic behavior in this case might be attributable to defects, oxygen vacancies, metal ion states, and the interactions among Ce, Ni, and Co cations in the mixed oxide structure. While cerium is generally more acidic than cobalt, this results in an increased acid density overall, but within a similar temperature range. Such complexity could enhance the adsorption and activation of oxygen-containing species during redox reactions.^{[68,69](#page-13-0)}

Concerning the acidity of the 3D-printed monoliths, several key observations were made. First, similar ammonia desorption temperatures, as shown in Figure 4b, suggest that the bonding strength of the acid sites remained unaffected by the 3D printing process. Moreover, the ratio of strong to weak acid sites in these monoliths closely mirrored that found in the assynthesized catalyst powders, maintaining an approximate 1:1 ratio between weak and strong acid sites. However, the overall number of acid sites did decrease postprinting, a predictable outcome given that neither CaO nor bentonite clay possesses acidic properties. Therefore, dilution of the catalyst phases with the nonacidic binder and adsorbent material naturally led to a reduction in total acidity per unit weight. From these observations, two significant conclusions can be drawn: (i) the catalytic acidity is directly proportional to the Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratio

Figure 5. H₂-TPR profiles of (a) as-synthesized catalysts and (b) BFM monoliths.

Figure 6. High-resolution XPS spectra of structured BFM monoliths (a) Ni 2p, (b) Co 2p, (c) Ce 3d, and (d) O 1s.

with expected higher reducibility due to complex surface chemistry attributable to defects, metal ion states, and the interactions among metal cations, and (ii) the 3D printing process itself does not alter the intrinsic acidity of the catalyst, except for the anticipated decrease resulting from dilution with the binder and adsorbent. Considering that the performance in DMR process is largely dictated by the reducibility of the catalysts and the availability of active surface oxygen species,^{70−[72](#page-13-0)} the samples with a $Ce_{0.75}Co_{0.25}$ ratio are expected to deliver optimal capture and conversion efficiency due to their anticipated high reducibility.

To assess the influence of the Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratio on reducibility, the redox properties of the materials were evaluated using H_2 -TPR in the temperature range of 200−800 °C, as shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 5. In the pristine catalysts [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 5a), a singular, broad peak was observed between 300 and 550 °C. This peak, resulting from the overlap of reductions from chemisorbed oxygen species and interactive metal species, shifted to higher temperatures with increasing cerium concentrations. These H_2 -TPR results confirm the complexity of the surface chemistry, as evidenced by this broad peak. 26 The reduction peak in the catalysts is primarily due to the reduction of oxygen species chemisorbed onto oxygen vacancies. Co and Ni species tend to reduce at lower temperature compared to Ce species, as indicated by the shift of the reduction peak to a higher temperature in $Ce_{0.75}Co_{0.25}$. This notation is in agreement with the literature, $26,73,74$ $26,73,74$ $26,73,74$ where it has been observed that ceria species typically cause reductions to occur at higher temperatures compared to cobalt and nickel. Therefore, we can reasonably conclude that the reduction of cobalt and nickel in the $NiCo₂O₄$ and NiO phases occurs at lower temperatures than that of cerium in $CeO₂$, and the overall reduction process is influenced by the chemisorbed oxygen species resulting from the interactive species of Co, Ni, and Ce.

In [Figure](#page-5-0) 5b, the reduction temperature remained roughly consistent across all three Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratios with slight shift in the temperature range for the broad reduction peak and emergence of a peak at higher temperature (∼600 °C). This behavior could be attributed to variations in reducibility, resulting from the addition of binder and adsorbent, which might lead to segregated reductions of metal species at 600 °C. This is likely the case for Ce species, as was clearly observed in the $Ce_{0.75}Co_{0.25}$ ratio. Therefore, when correlating [Figure](#page-5-0) 5 with prior characterizations, it appears that BFM's catalytic performance would be primarily influenced by changes in redox properties and secondarily by acidic features. Given that

Figure 7. In situ XRD spectra for CO₂ adsorption (left) and desorption (right) of (a, b) CCN-1/Ca, (c, d) CCN-2/Ca, and (e, f) CCN-3/Ca BFM monoliths.

methane reforming reactions occur at higher temperatures, above 500 °C, the best overall performance is anticipated for the CCN-1/Ca BFMs, owing to their enhanced reducibility at elevated temperatures.

The XPS analysis was conducted to determine the influence of Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratio on the orbital spins of the BFMs, as depicted in [Figure](#page-6-0) 6. For the Ni 2p orbital ([Figure](#page-6-0) 6a), peaks corresponding to Ni 2p_{3/2} at 854.9 eV and Ni 2p_{1/2} at 872.6 eV were identified. Additionally, two satellite peaks at 861.2 and 882.3 eV suggest the presence of Ni^{2+} and Ni^{3+} species, respectively.^{[21](#page-12-0),[75](#page-14-0)} Turning to the cerium spectra ([Figure](#page-6-0) 6c), we observed spikes that correspond to the binding energies of Ce^{3+} $3d_{3/2}$ and Ce^{4+} $3d_{5/2}$, along with satellite signals. Specifically, Ce³⁺ showed binding energies at 916.6, 907.1, and 900.7 eV, while Ce⁴⁺ had binding energies at 897.9, 888.3, and 882.4 eV.^{[74](#page-13-0)[,76,77](#page-14-0)} Next, the cobalt spectra ([Figure](#page-6-0) 6b) featured peaks at 796.1 eV (Co $2p_{1/2}$) and 780.6 eV (Co

 $2p_{3/2}$), which were attributed to Co^{3+} and Co^{2+} , respectively.^{[76](#page-14-0)} Importantly, the intensity and number of deconvoluted peaks in the Ni 2p orbital increased upon increasing Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratio. Such effects suggest that increasing the cobalt content negatively impacts the catalyst's electron structure, particularly in dry reforming processes where nickel species are instrumental in facilitating the breaking of C−H bonds. This agrees with prior work by Liang et al., who found that electronrich Ni sites enhance CH_4 conversion in DMR process.⁷⁸

The Ce_{1−*x*}Co_{*x*} ratio also significantly influenced the ceria ([Figure](#page-6-0) 6c) and oxygen ([Figure](#page-6-0) 6d) spectra. For instance, a $Ce_{0.75}Co_{0.25}$ ratio resulted in more intense peaks in the Ce 3d orbital (890−920 eV), implying a likely decrease in methane reforming behavior with reduced Ce 3d orbital intensity, given that ceria imparts active surface oxygen.^{[71](#page-13-0),[79](#page-14-0)} Similarly, increasing the cobalt concentration led to a shift toward lower binding energies for oxygen orbitals, which are known to

Figure 8. (a) TGA CO₂ adsorption capacities at 600 °C as well as corresponding (b) CO₂ and CH₄ conversions, (c) H₂ and CO yields, and (d) H₂/CO ratio with an adsorption temperature of 600 °C and reaction temperatures from 500 to 700 °C for 3D-printed BFM monoliths.

play a significant role in the methane reforming product distribution. It can be reasonably induced that Ce increases the number of oxygen vacancies on the surface of the catalyst. This is because Ce has a higher oxidation state than Co; therefore, it can donate electrons to the material. These electrons can create oxygen vacancies by reducing lattice oxygen ions to oxygen atoms, as evidenced on the reduced binding energy of O_{latt}. The increased number of oxygen vacancies provides more sites for oxygen adsorption, which leads to an increase in the O_{ads}/O_{latt} ratio. Co, on the other hand, could promote the formation of surface Co−O bonds. This is because Co has a strong affinity for oxygen, which can form Co−O bonds with oxygen atoms on the surface. These Co−O bonds compete with oxygen adsorption sites, which leads to a decrease in the amount of adsorbed oxygen on the surface of the catalyst. This, in turn, leads to a decrease in the O_{ads}/O_{latt} ratio.^{[80](#page-14-0)}

A peak at 533.10 eV indicates the presence of carbonates (ca. CO_3^2 ²⁻),⁸¹ likely residual from $CaCO_3$ during synthesis of BFMs. The presence of these carbonates was confirmed by C s1 shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 5S. The high-resolution C 1s spectra, presented in [Figure](#page-5-0) 5S, reveal two distinct peaks with binding energies at 284.4 and 289.3 eV. The peak at 284.4 eV is associated with carbons originating from the instrumentation, while the peak at 289.3 eV is indicative of carbonate species (ca. CO_3^2 ⁻). Given these insights, it can be inferred that the BFMs still retain carbon species after synthesis.

3.3. *In Situ* **XRD Assessment of CO2 Adsorption/Desorption**

The effect of adsorption/desorption conditions on BFM crystallinity was assessed via *in situ* XRD across the various compositions, as shown in [Figure](#page-7-0) 7. First looking at the changes in crystallinity during adsorption, it should be noted that several new peaks appeared at $2\theta = 29^\circ$, 36° , 43° , and 48° within 15 min in CCN-1/Ca ([Figure](#page-7-0) 7a), CCN-2/Ca [\(Figure](#page-7-0) [7](#page-7-0)c), and CCN-1/Ca ([Figure](#page-7-0) 7e), respectively, which signifies the formation of $CaCO_3$. ^{[61](#page-13-0),[66](#page-13-0)} Such effects indicated that the Ce1[−]*x*Co*^x* ratio did not detrimentally affect the crystalline structure, but it did influence the ability of the BFMs to tether CO₂ addition onto CaO through changing the required energy for $CO₂$ and CaO interactions. However, it should also be noted that the CCN-3/Ca sample did show a complete loss of its plane at $2\theta = 32.4^{\circ}$, whereas the other samples all retained the secondary index to some degree. Given that this peak corresponds to the (111) plane in CaO, its complete obstruction in CCN-3/Ca signified that the sample had a lower thermal barrier to form CaCO₃ ($2\theta = 29^\circ$). Such higher thermodynamic favorability should cause the adsorption to be less reversible.^{[82](#page-14-0)} Indeed, the diffractive indices during desorption confirmed this behavior, as CCN-3/Ca only reformed the CaO crystalline plane at $T = 700 \degree C$ [\(Figure](#page-7-0) [7](#page-7-0)f), whereas CCN-2/Ca and CCN-1/Ca exhibited stronger peak reformation of $2\theta = 32.4^\circ$ at 650 °C ([Figure](#page-7-0) 7b,d). This lower temperature regeneration—which, again, is associated with weaker CaO and $CO₂$ complexing—could be reasonably

Figure 9. FTIR analysis of (a) bare catalysts and (b) BFM monoliths as well as TGA profiles of (c) CCN-1/Ca, (d) CCN-2/Ca, and (e) CCN-3/ Ca samples.

expected to allow $CO₂$ to be more readily accessible during the reaction step, thus enhancing its conversion and providing a more favorable pathway toward utilization. As such, [Figure](#page-7-0) 7 likely indicated that the best overall BFM performance would be observed in the CCN-1/Ca sample, which was in line with its assessment of chemical properties and was confirmed by the adsorption/reaction experiments in the next section.

3.4. Direct Synthesis of H₂ from CO₂ and CH₄ over **Structured BFM Monoliths**

The synthesized structured BFM monoliths were assessed for combined CO_2 capture and conversion via the CO_2 -DMR reaction at an isothermal adsorption-reaction temperature of 600 °C. The adsorption/reaction profiles and performances of the as-synthesized catalysts are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S6, Supporting

Information for reference. Looking first at the measured $CO₂$ adsorption capacities ([Figure](#page-8-0) 8a), the quantity of $CO₂$ adsorbed was within an acceptable error margin across the three structured BFMs (2.72−2.91 mmol/g), indicating that the composition of the catalyst phase did not generate any synergistic attraction between $CO₂$ and CaO. As such, the $CO₂$ adsorption capacity was not considered to be a limiting factor for catalyst performance given its similarity across the three BFMs. Differences in catalytic performance can be attributed to variations in the chemical properties of the catalysts. Specifically, two key factors were identified: first, the reducibility of the catalyst, as illustrated in [Figure](#page-5-0) 5b; and second, the facility of surface electron transfers, which is influenced by the availability of different oxygen species

Figure 10. (a, b) Cyclic experiments (adsorption-reaction) of the CCN-1/Ca monolith at 600−700 °C.

([Figure](#page-6-0) 6d). These characteristics are crucial for enhancing the efficiency of redox reactions during the reaction process. On this basis, the degrees of $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ conversion ([Figure](#page-8-0) 8b) as a function of temperature and catalyst composition were considered as the first metric of performance. It was evident that the conversion of both reactants rose with the ceria loading regardless of the temperature, thus cementing that the reducibility and oxygen availability drive dry methane reforming across the BFMs. On the other hand, it should be noted that both $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ conversions were increased as a function of temperature. Considering the known reaction mechanisms (eqs S9−[S13\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) these behaviors were taken to signify a thermodynamic shift toward H_2 synthesis from CH_4 mainly by reforming and cracking reactions. This behavior was reflected by the H_2/CO ratio ([Figure](#page-8-0) 8d) in all three samples; however, the H_2 yield continued to increase for CCN-1/Ca above the 600 °C threshold. The latter effect seems to be a unique property of the CCN-1/Ca BFM, likely being caused by its high catalytic reducibility and lower binding strength with $CO₂$, since these aspects of the BFM are known to drive H_2 production from CH_4 reforming.^{[12](#page-12-0)} However, the higher H_2 /CO ratio and lower CO₂ conversion at 500 °C, as shown in [Figure](#page-8-0) 8d, could suggest greater $CO₂$ retention within the adsorbent phase or a less favorable conversion of $CO₂$ to CO via the reverse water−gas shift (RWGS) and DMR reactions (eqs $S9$ and $S12$).^{[46](#page-13-0)} These reactions are generally more prominent at higher temperatures. If that is the case, the methane cracking pathway (eq [S12\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) leading to direct hydrogen production might be the primary contributor to the observed $H₂$ levels, particularly in CCN-1/Ca. However, as discussed in the subsequent section on carbon analysis, coke formation was minimal. This trend is likely related to the availability of reactive oxygen species, which are known to enhance catalyst activity at lower energy thresholds, thereby promoting CH₄ reforming and increasing H_2 yield.^{[12](#page-12-0),[72](#page-13-0)[,83,84](#page-14-0)} In summary, our study highlights the exceptional performance of CCN-1/Ca, particularly at an optimal temperature of 700 °C. This bifunctional material outperforms its counterparts in the existing literature, $21,23,85$ $21,23,85$ $21,23,85$ $21,23,85$ as evidenced by the data presented in [Figure](#page-8-0) 8. Our results thus present a promising approach for manufacturing mixed metal oxide BFMs, specifically for applications in CO_2 capture and H_2 production.

The surface vibrational modes of the catalysts were assessed before and after the reaction through FTIR, as illustrated in [Figure](#page-9-0) 9. Distinct vibrational modes were observed after

reaction at wavelengths of 1500 and 980 cm^{-1} in all three samples, irrespective of the Ce_{1−*x*}Co_{*x*} ratio. These bands are associated with the formation of various carbonates on the surface of the catalysts. To further investigate this, TGA experiments were conducted on fresh BFM samples, and the results are presented in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S7a−c. Peaks appearing between 600 and 800 °C can be attributed to the release of carbon species during sample annealing. These peaks also suggest coke formation if spent samples are analyzed. Thus, this observation supports the previous notion that BFMs were not fully regenerated from carbonates during their synthesis. This conclusion is consistent with the XRD results that showed residual calcium carbonates, and the XPS results for C 1s, which also showed peaks corresponding to carbonate species like CO_3^2 ⁻. [Figure](#page-7-0) 7f reveals that the sample containing the highest amount of cobalt tends to retain more carbonates.

Comparing the TGA profiles of the spent samples with those of fresh materials, similar peaks were still evident ([Figure](#page-9-0) 9c,d). This indicates that the carbonates released during thermal degradation are residuals from the CaO precursor $(CaCO₃)$, rather than from coke formation during the reaction. This is further evidenced by the similarity in the observed quantities of carbonates in both fresh and spent samples (allowing for some experimental variations). However, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf) S8 shows a more intense carbon peak after one adsorption-reaction cycle, whichcould be attributed to residual carbonates with a minimal contribution from coking mechanisms. The $Ce_{0.75}Co_{0.25}$ and $Ce_{0.50}Co_{0.50}$ samples also displayed an additional vibrational mode at 950 cm[−]¹ , which was assigned to Si−OH/O[−] stretching vibrations.^{[86](#page-14-0)−[88](#page-14-0)} As per the literature,^{[89](#page-14-0)} this peak could stem from the formation of nickel phyllosilicates, which was attributed to binding between the nickel and bentonite clay. Based on the reactionary results shown in [Figure](#page-8-0) 8, and the spectra shown in [Figure](#page-9-0) 9it can be reasonably claimed that such carbonate retention might lead to lower activity for the samples with higher cobalt loading. In this way, it was therefore concluded from [Figure](#page-9-0) 9a−e that�in addition to differences in activity stemming from the catalytic reducibility and oxygen availability that facilitate the redox (reduction−oxidation) reactions—the enhanced performance of CCN-1 can be attributed to, at least in part, retained carbonates over the cobalt phase, such as in CCN-3/Ca.

The best performance was observed in the CCN-1 sample, with its best overall balance of performance heuristics being observed at $T = 700 \degree C$, so the cyclic stability of this BFM was

assessed over five adsorption-reaction cycles, as shown in [Figure](#page-10-0) 10. Evidently, the performance of the sample equilibrated in the third cycle where the $CO₂$ adsorption capacity became constant. Across the entirety of the five cycles, however, it should be noted that the H_2 yield and selectivityas well as the CH_4 and CO_2 conversions—remained almost perfectly constant ([Figure](#page-10-0) 10b). The major alteration in the performance was a reduction in the level of $CO₂$ adsorption, as illustrated in [Figure](#page-10-0) 10a. Given that this was the only variable showing a change, it suggests that the active sites for $CO₂$ adsorption were gradually compromised through repeated adsorption-reaction cycles, leading to a decreased level of capture over time. Importantly, this reduction in $CO₂$ adsorption did not substantially affect either the product yield or reactant conversion. This finding reaffirms the role of developing mixed metal oxide catalysts, which maintain a high density of surface electrons due to their metal reducibility and reactive oxygen species. As a result, minimal coke formation occurs, ensuring catalytic stability throughout multiple cycles. Such stability is imperative to the use of BFMs in industrial applications, and the stability of CCN-1/Ca with a 2.30 $H_2/$ CO ratio at 700 °C—as well as its exceptionally high H_2 yield compared to other comparable literary sources, which range in value from 30 to 65% ^{[90](#page-14-0)−[92](#page-14-0)} cement 3D printing as a facile means of generating structured honeycomb contactors.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a series of BFMs composed of CaO adsorbent and Ce1[−]*x*Co*x*NiO3 perovskite-type oxide catalysts were structured via 3D printing and assessed for the first time for combined $CO₂$ capture and methane reforming to produce $H₂$. Characterizing the samples revealed that the cobalt content did not impact metallic dispersion, textural properties, or crystallinity but substantially affected redox properties, $CO₂$ and CaO binding strength, and oxygen species availability. To be specific, increasing the cobalt loading relative to ceria reduced the BFMs' reducibility and $CO₂$ adsorption/ desorption reversibility. These effects translated to a reduced $H₂$ yield at higher cobalt loading upon assessing the samples for $CO₂$ capture and utilization at all three temperatures, thus revealing the best performance in the CCN-1/Ca sample that achieved 77% CO_2 conversion, 94% CH_4 conversion, 61% H_2 yield, and 2.30 H_2/CO ratio. This sample was thusly selected for cyclic assessment at a reaction temperature of 700 °C and showed minimal variability across five adsorption/reaction runs. In this context, the developed BFM monoliths demonstrated herein serve as a promising means through which mixed metal oxide BFMs can be manufactured, since these materials were both 3D-printed for the first time and also demonstrated stable cyclic performance for combined $CO₂$ capture and H₂ production.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

s Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553?goto=supporting-info)

> Estimation of adsorption-reaction parameters, reaction pathways, SEM and EDS mapping images of BFM monoliths, Rietveld refinement analysis (RIR) results using XRD, BET surface area and PSD profiles of BFM monoliths, high-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s for structured BFM monoliths, direct synthesis of hydrogen

from $CO₂$ and methane over structured BFM monoliths at isothermal adsorption-reaction conditions, and TGA profiles and Raman spectra of fresh and spent BFM monoliths [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553/suppl_file/au3c00553_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors

- Ali A. Rownaghi − *National Energy Technology Laboratory, United States Department of Energy, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15236, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5228-5624) [0001-5228-5624](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5228-5624); Email: ali.rownaghi@netl.doe.gov
- Fateme Rezaei − *Linda and Bipin Doshi Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri 65409-1230, United States; Department of Chemical, Environmental and Materials Engineering, University of Miami, Miami, Florida 33124, United States;* orcid.org/0000-0002-4214-4235; Email: fxr357@miami.edu

Authors

- Khaled Baamran − *Linda and Bipin Doshi Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri 65409-1230, United States*
- Shane Lawson − *Linda and Bipin Doshi Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri 65409-1230, United States*

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacsau.3c00553?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors acknowledge National Science Foundation internship program (NSF CBET-2316143) and the Electron Microscopy Core (EMC) at the University of Missouri Columbia for performing the SEM and EDS characterizations. The authors also acknowledge the materials research center (MRC) at Missouri University of Science and Technology for performing the XRD and XPS characterizations.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Chauvy, R.; Meunier, N.; Thomas, D.; De Weireld, G. [Selecting](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.11.096) Emerging CO₂ [Utilization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.11.096) Products for Short-to Mid-Term Deploy[ment.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.11.096) *Appl. Energy* 2019, *236*, 662−680.

(2) Fawzy, S.; Osman, A. I.; Doran, J.; Rooney, D. W. [Strategies](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01059-w) for [Mitigation](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01059-w) of Climate Change: A Review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 2020, *18*, 2069−2094.

(3) Anika, O. C.; Nnabuife, S. G.; Bello, A.; Okoroafor, R. E.; Kuang, B.; Villa, R. Prospects of Low and [Zero-Carbon](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccst.2022.100072) Renewable Fuels in 1.5-Degree Net Zero Emission [Actualisation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccst.2022.100072) by 2050: A Critical [Review.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccst.2022.100072) *Carbon Capture*. *Science & Technology* 2022, *5*, No. 100072. (4) Mac Dowell, N.; Fennell, P. S.; Shah, N.; Maitland, G. C. [The](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3231) Role of CO₂ Capture and [Utilization](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3231) in Mitigating Climate Change. *Nat. Clim Chang* 2017, *7* (4), 243−249.

(5) Al-Mamoori, A.; Krishnamurthy, A.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Rezaei, F. Carbon Capture and [Utilization](https://doi.org/10.1002/ente.201600747) Update. *Energy Technology* 2017, *5* (6), 834−849.

(6) Bertram, C.; Luderer, G.; Creutzig, F.; Bauer, N.; Ueckerdt, F.; Malik, A.; Edenhofer, O. [COVID-19-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-00987-x) Low Power Demand

and Market Forces Starkly Reduce CO₂ [Emissions.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-00987-x) *Nat. Clim Chang* 2021, *11* (3), 193−196.

(7) Xing, F.; Nakaya, Y.; Yasumura, S.; Shimizu, K.; Furukawa, S. Ternary Platinum−Cobalt−Indium [Nanoalloy](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-021-00730-x) on Ceria as a Highly Efficient Catalyst for the Oxidative [Dehydrogenation](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-021-00730-x) of Propane [Using](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-021-00730-x) CO2. *Nat. Catal* 2022, *5* (1), 55−65.

(8) Vogt, C.; Monai, M.; Kramer, G. J.; Weckhuysen, B. M. [The](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0244-4) Renaissance of the Sabatier Reaction and Its [Applications](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0244-4) on Earth and in [Space.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0244-4) *Nat. Catal* 2019, *2* (3), 188−197.

(9) Lawson, S.; Baamran, K.; Newport, K.; Garcia, E.; Jacobs, G.; Rezaei, F.; Rownaghi, A. A. [Adsorption-Enhanced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c04455?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Bifunctional Catalysts for In Situ $CO₂$ Capture and [Utilization](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c04455?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Propylene Production: A [Proof-Of-Concept](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c04455?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Study. *ACS Catal.* 2022, *12* (22), 14264−14279.

(10) Lawson, S.; Baamran, K.; Newport, K.; Alghamadi, T.; Jacobs, G.; Rezaei, F.; Rownaghi, A. A. [Integrated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120907) Direct Air Capture and Oxidative [Dehydrogenation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120907) of Propane with $CO₂$ at Isothermal [Conditions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120907) *Appl. Catal., B* 2022, *303*, No. 120907.

(11) Baamran, K.; Lawson, S.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Rezaei, F. [Process](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.134706) Evaluation and Kinetic Analysis of [3D-Printed](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.134706) Monoliths Comprised of CaO and Cr/H-ZSM-5 in Combined CO_2 Capture-C₂H₆ Oxidative [Dehydrogenation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.134706) to C2H4. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 2022, *435*, No. 134706.

(12) Sutthiumporn, K.; Maneerung, T.; Kathiraser, Y.; Kawi, S. CO₂ [Dry-Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.04.059) of Methane over $La_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}Ni_{0.8}M_{0.2}O_3$ Perovskite (M= Bi, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe): Roles of Lattice Oxygen on C−H [Activation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.04.059) and Carbon [Suppression.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.04.059) *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2012, *37* (15), 11195−11207.

(13) Bhavani, A. G.; Kim, W. Y.; Lee, J. S. Barium [Substituted](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs400245m?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Lanthanum](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs400245m?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Manganite Perovskite for $CO₂$ Reforming of Methane. *ACS Catal.* 2013, *3* (7), 1537−1544.

(14) Bhattar, S.; Abedin, M. A.; Kanitkar, S.; Spivey, J. J. A [Review](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2020.10.041) on Dry [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2020.10.041) of Methane over Perovskite Derived Catalysts. *Catal. Today* 2021, *365*, 2−23.

(15) Pan, X.; Jiao, F.; Miao, D.; Bao, X. Oxide−[Zeolite-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c01012?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Composite](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c01012?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Catalyst Concept That Enables Syngas Chemistry beyond Fischer−Tropsch [Synthesis.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c01012?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 2021, *121* (11), 6588−6609. (16) Jiao, F.; Pan, X.; Gong, K.; Chen, Y.; Li, G.; Bao, X. [Shape-](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201801397)Selective Zeolites Promote Ethylene [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201801397) from Syngas via a Ketene [Intermediate.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201801397) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2018, *57* (17), 4692− 4696.

(17) Marcinkowski, M. D.; Darby, M. T.; Liu, J.; Wimble, J. M.; Lucci, F. R.; Lee, S.; Michaelides, A.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M.; Stamatakis, M.; Sykes, E. C. H. Pt/Cu [Single-Atom](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2915) Alloys as Coke-Resistant Catalysts for Efficient C−H [Activation.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2915) *Nat. Chem.* 2018, *10* (3), 325−332.

(18) Zhu, Q.; Zhou, H.; Wang, L.; Wang, L.; Wang, C.; Wang, H.; Fang, W.; He, M.; Wu, Q.; Xiao, F.-S. Enhanced CO₂ [Utilization](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-022-00870-8) in Dry Reforming of Methane Achieved through [Nickel-Mediated](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-022-00870-8) [Hydrogen](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-022-00870-8) Spillover in Zeolite Crystals. *Nat. Catal.* 2022, *5*, 1030− 1037.

(19) Mette, K.; Kühl, S.; Düdder, H.; Kähler, K.; Tarasov, A.; Muhler, M.; Behrens, M. Stable [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201300699) of Ni Catalysts in the Dry Reforming of Methane at High [Temperatures](https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201300699) for the Efficient [Conversion](https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201300699) of CO₂ into Syngas. *ChemCatChem.* **2014**, 6 (1), 100− 104.

(20) Xia, X.; Chang, W.; Cheng, S.; Huang, C.; Hu, Y.; Xu, W.; Zhang, L.; Jiang, B.; Sun, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Wang, X. [Oxygen](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c00920?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Activity Tuning via FeO₆ [Octahedral](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c00920?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Tilting in Perovskite Ferrites for Chemical Looping Dry [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c00920?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Methane. *ACS Catal.* 2022, *12*, 7326−7335.

(21) Rabelo-Neto, R. C.; Sales, H. B. E.; Inocêncio, C. V. M.; Varga, E.; Oszko, A.; Erdohelyi, A.; Noronha, F. B.; Mattos, L. V. CO₂ Reforming of Methane over Supported $LaNiO₃$ [Perovskite-Type](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.09.022) [Oxides.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.09.022) *Appl. Catal., B* 2018, *221*, 349−361.

(22) Chang, H.; Bjørgum, E.; Mihai, O.; Yang, J.; Lein, H. L.; Grande, T.; Raaen, S.; Zhu, Y.-A.; Holmen, A.; Chen, D. [Effects](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.9b05154?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Oxygen Mobility in La−Fe-Based [Perovskites](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.9b05154?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) on the Catalytic

Activity and Selectivity of Methane [Oxidation.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.9b05154?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Catal.* 2020, *10* (6), 3707−3719.

(23) Bian, Z.; Wang, Z.; Jiang, B.; Hongmanorom, P.; Zhong, W.; Kawi, S. A Review on Perovskite Catalysts for [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110291) of Methane to Hydrogen [Production.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110291) *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2020, *134*, No. 110291.

(24) Sastre, D.; Serrano, D. P.; Pizarro, P.; Coronado, J. M. Chemical Insights on the Activity of La_{1-X}Sr_xFeO₃ [Perovskites](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2019.02.013) for Chemical Looping [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2019.02.013) of Methane Coupled with $CO₂$ -[Splitting.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2019.02.013) *J. CO2 Util.* 2019, *31*, 16−26.

(25) Li, H.; Hao, C.; Tian, J.; Wang, S.; Zhao, C. [Ultra-Durable](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.checat.2022.05.005) Ni-Ir/MgAl₂O₄ Catalysts for Dry Reforming of Methane Enabled by Dynamic Balance between Carbon Deposition and [Elimination.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.checat.2022.05.005) *Chem. Catalysis* 2022, *2* (7), 1748−1763.

(26) Long, D. B.; Hau, B. T.; Thuy Van, N. T.; Anh, N. P.; Gia-Thien Ho, T.; Anh, H. C.; Phuong, P. H.; Huy, C. D.; Tri, N.; Loc, L. C. High Active and [Coke-Resistant](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsamd.2023.100610) CeNiO₃-Based Catalyst for Methane [Bi-Reforming.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsamd.2023.100610) *Journal of Science: Advanced Materials and Devices* 2023, *8* (3), No. 100610.

(27) Saeed Baamran, K.; Tahir, M. [Thermodynamic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.10.099) Investigation and [Experimental](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.10.099) Analysis on Phenol Steam Reforming towards Enhanced H₂ Production over Structured Ni/ZnTiO₃ [Nanocatalyst.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.10.099) *Energy Convers Manag* 2019, *180*, 796−810.

(28) Baamran, K. S.; Tahir, M. [Ni-Embedded](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.112064) TiO_2 -ZnTi O_3 Reducible Perovskite [Composite](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.112064) with Synergistic Effect of Metal/ Support towards Enhanced H_2 [Production](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.112064) via Phenol Steam [Reforming.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.112064) *Energy Convers. Manage.* 2019, *200*, No. 112064.

(29) Huang, C.; Wu, J.; Chen, Y.-T.; Tian, M.; Rykov, A. I.; Hou, B.; Lin, J.; Chang, C.-R.; Pan, X.; Wang, J.; Wang, A; Wang, X. In [Situ](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-018-0050-y) Encapsulation of Iron(0) for Solar [Thermochemical](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-018-0050-y) Syngas [Production](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-018-0050-y) over Iron-Based Perovskite Material. *Commun. Chem.* 2018, *1* (1), 55.

(30) Wang, Y.; Chen, X.; Shi, H.; Lercher, J. A. Catalytic [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-023-00922-7) of Methane with H_2S via [Dynamically](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-023-00922-7) Stabilized Sulfur on Transition Metal Oxides and [Sulfides.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-023-00922-7) *Nat. Catal.* 2023, *6*, 204−214.

(31) Chai, S. Y. W.; Ngu, L. H.; How, B. S. Review of [Carbon](https://doi.org/10.1002/ghg.2151) Capture [Absorbents](https://doi.org/10.1002/ghg.2151) for CO₂ Utilization. *Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology* 2022, *12* (3), 394−427.

(32) Ghoniem, A. F. Needs, [Resources](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2010.02.006) and Climate Change: Clean and Efficient Conversion [Technologies.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2010.02.006) *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* 2011, *37* (1), 15−51.

(33) Mikhelkis, L.; Govindarajan, V. [Techno-Economic](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155922) and Partial [Environmental](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155922) Analysis of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) and Carbon Capture, [Utilization,](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155922) and Storage (CCU/S): Case Study from Proposed Waste-Fed [District-Heating](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155922) Incinerator in Sweden. *Sustainability* 2020, *12* (15), 5922.

(34) Duyar, M. S.; Trevino, M. A. A.; Farrauto, R. J. Dual [Function](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2014.12.025) Materials for $CO₂$ Capture and [Conversion](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2014.12.025) Using Renewable H₂. *Appl. Catal., B* 2015, *168*, 370−376.

(35) Magzoub, F.; Li, X.; Al-Darwish, J.; Rezaei, F.; Rownaghi, A. A. [3D-printed](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.01.008) ZSM-5 monoliths with metal dopants for methanol [conversion](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.01.008) in the presence and absence of carbon dioxide. *Appl. Catal., B* 2019, *245*, 486−495.

(36) Magzoub, F.; Li, X.; Al-Darwish, J.; Rezaei, F.; Rownaghi, A. A. Directly Printed [Oxide/ZSM-5](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c04279?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Bifunctional Catalysts for Methanol Conversion to Dimethyl Ether with Exceptional Stability, [Conversion,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c04279?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and [Selectivity.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c04279?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Energy Fuels* 2021, *35* (3), 2619−2629.

(37) Arellano-Trevino, M. A.; Kanani, N.; Jeong-Potter, C. W.; Farrauto, R. J. [Bimetallic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.121953) Catalysts for $CO₂$ Capture and Hydrogenation at Simulated Flue Gas [Conditions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.121953) *Chemical Engineering Journal* 2019, *375*, No. 121953.

(38) Omodolor, I. S.; Otor, H. O.; Andonegui, J. A.; Allen, B. J.; Alba-Rubio, A. C. [Dual-Function](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.0c02218?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Materials for $CO₂$ Capture and [Conversion:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.0c02218?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) A Review. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2020, *59* (40), 17612− 17631.

(39) Sun, H.; Zhang, Y.; Guan, S.; Huang, J.; Wu, C. [Direct](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2020.02.001) and Highly Selective [Conversion](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2020.02.001) of Captured CO₂ into Methane through Integrated Carbon Capture and Utilization over Dual [Functional](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2020.02.001) [Materials.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2020.02.001) *J. CO2 Util.* 2020, *38*, 262−272.

(40) Guo, Y.; Wang, G.; Yu, J.; Huang, P.; Sun, J.; Wang, R.; Wang, T.; Zhao, C. Tailoring the [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122455) of Ni-CaO Dual Function Materials for Integrated $CO₂$ Capture and [Conversion](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122455) by Doping

[Transition](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122455) Metal Oxides. *Sep Purif Technol.* 2023, *305*, No. 122455. (41) Onrubia-Calvo, J. A.; Bermejo-López, A.; Pereda-Ayo, B.; González-Marcos, J. A.; González-Velasco, J. R. Ca [Doping](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122045) Effect on the Performance of La1[−]*X*Ca*x*[NiO3/CeO2-Derived](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122045) Dual Function Materials for CO₂ Capture and [Hydrogenation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122045) to Methane. Appl. *Catal., B* 2023, *321*, No. 122045.

(42) Gelles, T.; Lawson, S.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Rezaei, F. [Recent](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10450-019-00151-0) advances in development of amine [functionalized](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10450-019-00151-0) adsorbents for $CO₂$ [capture.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10450-019-00151-0) *Adsorption* 2020, *26*, 5−50.

(43) Lawson, S.; Li, X.; Thakkar, H.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Rezaei, F. Recent Advances in 3D Printing of [Structured](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00060?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Materials for Adsorption and Catalysis [Applications.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00060?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 2021, *121* (10), 6246−6291.

(44) Lawson, S.; Baamran, K.; Newport, K.; Rezaei, F.; Rownaghi, A. A. [Formulation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.133224) and Processing of Dual Functional Adsorbent/ Catalyst Structured Monoliths Using an Additively [Manufactured](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.133224) Contactor for Direct [Capture/Conversion](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.133224) of $CO₂$ with Cogeneration of [Ethylene.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.133224) *Chemical Engineering Journal* 2022, *431*, No. 133224.

(45) Lawson, S.; Baamran, K.; Newport, K.; Rezaei, F.; Rownaghi, A. Screening of [Adsorbent/Catalyst](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.1c17668?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Composite Monoliths for Carbon [Capture-Utilization](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.1c17668?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Ethylene Production. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2021, *13* (46), 55198−55207.

(46) Baamran, K.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Rezaei, F. Direct [Synthesis](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c05627?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Ethylene and Hydrogen from $CO₂$ and Ethane over a [Bifunctional](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c05627?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Structured CaO/Cr₂O₃-V₂O₅/ZSM-5 Adsorbent/Catalyst Monolith. *ACS Sustain Chem. Eng.* 2023, *11* (3), 1006−1018.

(47) Agbi, T.; Lo, W. S.; Baamran, K.; Ryu, T.; Cheung, C.; Rezaei, F.; Hermans, I. [3D-Printed](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-023-01819-2) Boron Nitride Catalytic Monoliths for Oxidative [Dehydrogenation](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-023-01819-2) of Propane. *Top Catal* 2023, *66*, 1152− 1160.

(48) Ding, H.; Xu, Y.; Luo, C.; Wang, Q.; Shen, C.; Xu, J.; Zhang, L. A Novel Composite Perovskite-Based Material for [Chemical-Looping](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.05.088) Steam Methane [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.05.088) to Hydrogen and Syngas. *Energy Convers Manag* 2018, *171*, 12−19.

(49) Choi, S. O.; Penninger, M.; Kim, C. H.; Schneider, W. F.; Thompson, L. T. Experimental and [Computational](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs400522r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Investigation of Effect of Sr on NO [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs400522r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Oxygen Exchange for La1[−]*^x* Sr*x*CoO3 [Perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs400522r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Catalysts. *ACS Catal.* 2013, *3* (12), 2719−2728.

(50) Thakkar, H.; Eastman, S.; Hajari, A.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Knox, J. C.; Rezaei, F. [3D-Printed](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b09647?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Zeolite Monoliths for $CO₂$ Removal from Enclosed [Environments.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b09647?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2016, *8* (41), 27753−27761.

(51) Lawson, S.; Rezaei, F. Effects of Process [Parameters](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c03443?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) on $CO₂/$ H2 Separation [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c03443?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of 3D-Printed MOF-74 Monoliths. *ACS Sustain Chem. Eng.* 2021, *9*, 10902−10912.

(52) Thakkar, H.; Lawson, S.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Rezaei, F. Development of 3D-Printed [Polymer-Zeolite](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.04.178) Composite Monoliths for Gas [Separation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.04.178) *Chemical Engineering Journal* 2018, *348*, 109−116.

(53) Newport, K.; Baamran, K.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Rezaei, F. [Magnetic-Field](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.2c02969?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Assisted Gas Desorption from $Fe₂O₃/Zeolite$ 13X Sorbent Monoliths for Biogas [Upgrading.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.2c02969?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2022, *61* (51), 18843−18853.

(54) Lawson, S.; Farsad, A.; Rezaei, F.; Ludlow, D.; Rownaghi, A. A. Direct Ink Writing of Metal [Oxide/H-ZSM-5](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c20752?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Catalysts for *n*-Hexane Cracking: A New Method of Additive [Manufacturing](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c20752?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with High Metal Oxide [Loading.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c20752?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2021, *13*, 781−794.

(55) Lawson, S.; Farsad, A.; Adebayo, B.; Newport, K.; Schueddig, K.; Lowrey, E.; Polo-Garzon, F.; Rezaei, F.; Rownaghi, A. A. A [Novel](https://doi.org/10.1002/adsu.202000257) Method of 3D Printing High-Loaded [Oxide/H-ZSM-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/adsu.202000257) Catalyst Monoliths for Carbon Dioxide [Reduction](https://doi.org/10.1002/adsu.202000257) in Tandem with Propane [Dehydrogenation.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adsu.202000257) *Adv. Sustain Syst* 2021, *5* (3), No. 2000257.

(56) Jayakumar, G.; Irudayaraj, A. A.; Raj, A. D. [Particle](https://doi.org/10.2412/mmse.3.4.481) Size Effect on the Properties of Cerium Oxide $(CeO₂)$ [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.2412/mmse.3.4.481) Synthesized by [Hydrothermal](https://doi.org/10.2412/mmse.3.4.481) Method. *Mech., Mater. Sci. Eng. J.* 2017, *9* (1), 1−6.

(57) Das, S.; Bhattar, S.; Liu, L.; Wang, Z.; Xi, S.; Spivey, J. J.; Kawi, S. Effect of Partial Fe [Substitution](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c01229?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in $La_{0.9}Sr_{0.1}NiO_3$ Perovskite-Derived Catalysts on the Reaction [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c01229?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Methane Dry [Reforming.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c01229?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Catal.* 2020, *10* (21), 12466−12486.

(58) Broda, M.; Kierzkowska, A. M.; Baudouin, D.; Imtiaz, Q.; Coperet, C.; Muller, C. R. [Sorbent-Enhanced](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs300247g?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Methane Reforming over a Ni−Ca-Based, [Bifunctional](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs300247g?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Catalyst Sorbent. *ACS Catal.* 2012, *2* (8), 1635−1646.

(59) Fang, Y.; Wang, X.; Chen, Y.; Dai, L. Ni $Co₂O₄$ [nanoparticles:](https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.A1900535) an efficient and magnetic catalyst for Knoevenagel [condensation.](https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.A1900535) *J. Zhejiang Univ., Sci., A* 2020, *21*, 74−84.

(60) Wang, T.; Qiu, L.; Li, H.; Zhang, C.; Sun, Y.; Xi, S.; Ge, J.; Xu, Z. J.; Wang, C. Facile Synthesis of Palladium [Incorporated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2021.10.018) $NiCo₂O₄$ Spinel for Low Temperature Methane [Combustion:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2021.10.018) Activate Lattice Oxygen to [Promote](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2021.10.018) Activity. *J. Catal.* 2021, *404*, 400−410.

(61) Alghamdi, T.; Baamran, K. S.; Okoronkwo, M. U.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Rezaei, F. [Metal-Doped](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c04385?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) K−Ca Double Salts with Improved Capture Performance and Stability for [High-Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c04385?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) $CO₂$ [Adsorption.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c04385?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Energy Fuels* 2021, *35* (5), 4258−4266.

(62) Kim, S.; Park, C. B. [Dopamine-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/la1027509?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Mineralization of Calcium Carbonate Vaterite [Microspheres.](https://doi.org/10.1021/la1027509?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Langmuir* 2010, *26* (18), 14730−14736.

(63) Achour, A.; Arman, A.; Islam, M.; Zavarian, A. A.; Basim Al-Zubaidi, A.; Szade, J. Synthesis and [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2017-11531-8) of Porous CaCO3 [Micro/Nano-Particles.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2017-11531-8) *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* 2017, *132* (6), 267. (64) de Oliveira, C. I. R.; Rocha, M. C. G.; Da Silva, A. L. N.; Bertolino, L. C. [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1590/0366-69132016623631970) of Bentonite Clays from Cubati, Paraiba [\(Northeast](https://doi.org/10.1590/0366-69132016623631970) of Brazil). *Cerâmica* 2016, *62*, 272−277.

(65) Ambroz, F.; Macdonald, T. J.; Martis, V.; Parkin, I. P. Evaluation of the BET Theory for the [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.201800173) of Meso and [Microporous](https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.201800173) MOFs. *Small Methods* 2018, *2* (11), No. 1800173.

(66) Al-Darwish, J.; Senter, M.; Lawson, S.; Rownaghi, A. A.; Rezaei, F. Ceria [nanostructured](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2019.06.013) catalysts for conversion of methanol and carbon dioxide to dimethyl [carbonate.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2019.06.013) *Energy & Catalysis Today* 2020, *350*, 120−126.

(67) Lanre, M. S.; Abasaeed, A. E.; Fakeeha, A. H.; Ibrahim, A. A.; Alquraini, A. A.; AlReshaidan, S. B.; Al-Fatesh, A. S. [Modification](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15103564) of CeNi_{0. 9} $Zr_{0.1}O_3$ Perovskite Catalyst by Partially [Substituting](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15103564) Yttrium with Zirconia in Dry [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15103564) of Methane. *Materials* 2022, *15* (10), 3564.

(68) Zhang, D.; Xie, H.; Chen, S.; Zhou, G. [Porous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.125105) CoCe Composite Catalyst Prepared by [Hydrothermal](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.125105) Assisted Soft Template Method for CH₄/CO₂ Dry [Reforming.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.125105) *Fuel* 2022, 327, No. 125105.

(69) Das, S.; Lim, K. H.; Gani, T. Z. H.; Aksari, S.; Kawi, S. [Bi-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122141)Functional CeO₂ Coated [NiCo-MgAl](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122141) Core-Shell Catalyst with High Activity and [Resistance](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122141) to Coke and H₂S Poisoning in Methane Dry [Reforming.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122141) *Appl. Catal., B* 2023, *323*, No. 122141.

(70) Lyu, Y.; Jocz, J.; Xu, R.; Stavitski, E.; Sievers, C. [Nickel](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c02426?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Speciation and Methane Dry Reforming [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c02426?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Ni/ Ce*x*Zr1[−]*x*O2 Prepared by Different Synthesis [Methods.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c02426?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Catal.* 2020, *10* (19), 11235−11252.

(71) Zhao, K.; Chen, J.; Li, H.; Zheng, A.; Huang, Z.; Wei, G.; Zhao, Z.; Wang, X. [Investigation](https://doi.org/10.1002/er.4736) of the Relationship between Electronic [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1002/er.4736) and Reactivity of 3DOM LaFe_{1−*X*}Co_xO₃ for Methane [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1002/er.4736) to Produce Syngas. *Int. J. Energy Res.* 2019, *43* (13), 7120−7134.

(72) Valderrama, G.; Kiennemann, A.; Goldwasser, M. R. [La-Sr-Ni-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.10.004)Co-O Based [Perovskite-Type](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.10.004) Solid Solutions as Catalyst Precursors in the CO2 [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.10.004) of Methane. *J. Power Sources* 2010, *195* (7), 1765−1771.

(73) Mallikarjun, G.; Sagar, T. V.; Swapna, S.; Raju, N.; Chandrashekar, P.; Lingaiah, N. Hydrogen Rich Syngas [Production](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2020.01.005) by [Bi-Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2020.01.005) of Methane with CO_2 over Ni Supported on CeO_2 -SrO Mixed Oxide [Catalysts.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2020.01.005) *Catal. Today* 2020, *356*, 597−603.

(74) Lima, S. M.; Assaf, J. M.; Peña, M. A.; Fierro, J. L. G. [Structural](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2006.06.010) Features of La1[−]*X*Ce*x*NiO3 Mixed Oxides and [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2006.06.010) for the Dry [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2006.06.010) of Methane. *Appl. Catal., A* 2006, *311*, 94−104.

(75) Xiong, J.; Yang, J.; Chi, X.; Wu, K.; Song, L.; Li, T.; Zhao, Y.; Huang, H.; Chen, P.; Wu, J.; Chen, L.; Fu, M.; Ye, D. [Pd-Promoted](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120201) $Co₂NiO₄$ with Lattice Co-O-Ni and Interfacial Pd-O [Activation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120201) for Highly Efficient Methane [Oxidation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120201) *Appl. Catal., B* 2021, *292*, No. 120201.

(76) Hu, Q.; Yue, B.; Shao, H.; Yang, F.; Wang, J.; Wang, Y.; Liu, J. Facile Syntheses of [Cerium-Based](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-020-04362-7) CeMO₃ ($M = Co$, Ni, Cu) Perovskite Nanomaterials for [High-Performance](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-020-04362-7) Supercapacitor [Electrodes.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-020-04362-7) *J. Mater. Sci.* 2020, *55* (20), 8421−8434.

(77) Maridevaru, M.; Aljafari, B.; Anandan, S. [Synergistic](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2NJ01127A) Impacts of Sonolysis Aided [Photocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2NJ01127A) Degradation of Water Pollutant over [Perovskite-Type](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2NJ01127A) CeNiO₃ Nanospheres. *New J. Chem.* 2022, 46 (21), 10117−10127.

(78) Liang, D.; Wang, Y.; Chen, M.; Xie, X.; Li, C.; Wang, J.; Yuan, L. Dry Reforming of Methane for Syngas Production over [Attapulgite-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122088)Derived MFI Zeolite [Encapsulated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122088) Bimetallic Ni-Co Catalysts. *Appl. Catal., B* 2023, *322*, No. 122088.

(79) Wang, N.; Shen, K.; Huang, L.; Yu, X.; Qian, W.; Chu, W. Facile Route for [Synthesizing](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs4003113?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ordered Mesoporous Ni−Ce−Al Oxide Materials and Their Catalytic [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs4003113?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) for Methane Dry [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs4003113?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) to Hydrogen and Syngas. *ACS Catal.* 2013, *3* (7), 1638−1651.

(80) Wang, Z.; Wu, P.; Zou, X.; Wang, S.; Du, L.; Ouyang, T.; Liu, Z. Q. Optimizing the [Oxygen-Catalytic](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202214275) Performance of Zn−Mn−Co Spinel by Regulating the Bond [Competition](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202214275) at Octahedral Sites. *Adv. Funct Mater.* 2023, *33* (16), No. 2214275.

(81) Huang, H.; Li, X.; Wang, J.; Dong, F.; Chu, P. K.; Zhang, T.; Zhang, Y. Anionic Group [Self-Doping](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b00444?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) as a Promising Strategy: Band-Gap Engineering and [Multi-Functional](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b00444?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Applications of High-[Performance](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b00444?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) CO_3^2 -Doped $Bi_2O_2CO_3$. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, 5 (7), 4094−4103.

(82) Krödel, M.; Landuyt, A.; Abdala, P. M.; Müller, C. R. Mechanistic [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202002078) of CaO-Based Sorbents for High-Temperature $CO₂$ Capture: Advanced [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202002078) and Pros[pects.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202002078) *ChemSusChem* 2020, *13* (23), 6259−6272.

(83) Zhao, K.; Shen, Y.; Huang, Z.; He, F.; Wei, G.; Zheng, A.; Li, H.; Zhao, Z. Different [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2016.11.016) Routes for Lattice Oxygen Recovery of [Double-Perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2016.11.016) Type Oxides LaSrFeCoO₆ as Oxygen Carriers for Chemical Looping Steam Methane [Reforming.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2016.11.016) *Journal of energy chemistry* 2017, *26* (3), 501−509.

(84) Li, R.-J.; Yu, C.-C.; Ji, W.-J.; Shen, S.-K. Methane [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2991(04)80051-X) to Synthesis Gas Using Lattice Oxygen in La_{1−X}Sr_xFeO₃ [Perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2991(04)80051-X) Oxides Instead of [Molecular](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2991(04)80051-X) Oxygen. *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 2004, *147*, 199−204.

(85) Wang, H.; Dong, X.; Zhao, T.; Yu, H.; Li, M. Dry [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.12.072) of Methane over [Bimetallic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.12.072) Ni-Co Catalyst Prepared from La (Co*x*Ni1-*x*)0.5Fe0. 5O3 [Perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.12.072) Precursor: Catalytic Activity and Coking [Resistance.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.12.072) *Appl. Catal., B* 2019, *245*, 302−313.

(86) Amin, M. H. [Relationship](https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10010051) between the Pore Structure of Mesoporous Silica Supports and the Activity of Nickel [Nanocatalysts](https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10010051) in the CO2 [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10010051) of Methane. *Catalysts* 2020, *10* (1), 51.

(87) Li, J. F.; Xia, C.; Au, C. T.; Liu, B. S. Y_2O_3 -Promoted NiO/ SBA-15 Catalysts Highly Active for CO₂/CH₄ [Reforming.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.05.021) Int. J. *Hydrogen Energy* 2014, *39* (21), 10927−10940.

(88) Lehmann, T.; Wolff, T.; Hamel, C.; Veit, P.; Garke, B.; Seidel-Morgenstern, A. [Physico-Chemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2011.11.006) Characterization of Ni/MCM-41 [Synthesized](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2011.11.006) by a Template Ion Exchange Approach. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2012, *151*, 113−125.

(89) Majewski, A. J.; Wood, J.; Bujalski, W. Nickel−Silica [Core@](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.09.017) Shell Catalyst for Methane [Reforming.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.09.017) *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2013, *38* (34), 14531−14541.

(90) Das, S.; Jangam, A.; Jayaprakash, S.; Xi, S.; Hidajat, K.; Tomishige, K.; Kawi, S. Role of Lattice Oxygen in Methane [Activation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.119998) on Ni-Phyllosilicate@Ce_{1-X}Zr_xO₂ Core-Shell Catalyst for Methane Dry Reforming: Zr Doping Effect, [Mechanism,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.119998) and Kinetic Study. *Appl. Catal., B* 2021, *290*, No. 119998.

(91) Al-Fatesh, A. S.; Chaudhary, M. L.; Fakeeha, A. H.; Ibrahim, A. A.; Al-Mubaddel, F.; Kasim, S. O.; Albaqmaa, Y. A.; Bagabas, A. A.; Patel, R.; Kumar, R. Role of Mixed Oxides in Hydrogen [Production](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9010157) through the Dry [Reforming](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9010157) of Methane over Nickel Catalysts [Supported](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9010157) on Modified *γ*-Al2O3. *Processes* 2021, *9* (1), 157.

(92) Patel, R.; Fakeeha, A. H.; Kasim, S. O.; Sofiu, M. L.; Ibrahim, A. A.; Abasaeed, A. E.; Kumar, R.; Al-Fatesh, A. S. [Optimizing](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2021.111676) Yttria-Zirconia [Proportions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2021.111676) in Ni Supported Catalyst System for H2 [Production](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2021.111676) through Dry Reforming of Methane. *Molecular Catalysis* 2021, *510*, No. 111676.