REVIEW

The association between the NAT2 genetic polymorphisms and risk of DILI during anti-TB treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Correspondence Jan-Willem C. Alffenaar, PhD, PharmD, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Hanzeplein 1, 9714GZ Groningen, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31503614035; Fax: +31503614087; E-mail: j.w.c.alffenaar@umcg.nl

Received 14 February 2018; Revised 11 July 2018; Accepted 20 July 2018

Min Zhang^{1,2,*}, Shuqiang Wang^{2,3,*}, Bob Wilffert^{2,4} \bigcirc , Rongsheng Tong^{1,5}, Dick van Soolingen^{6,7}, Susan van den Hof⁸ and Jan-Willem Alffenaar² \bigcirc

¹Department of Pharmacy, Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences & Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, Chengdu, China, ²University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Groningen, The Netherlands, ³Department of Infectious Diseases, Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences & Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, Chengdu, China, ⁴Department of Pharmacotherapy, -Epidemiology, & -Economics, Groningen Research Institute of Pharmacy, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ⁵Personalized Drug Therapy Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, ⁶Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands, ⁷Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, and ⁸KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation, The Hague, The Netherlands

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Keywords antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury, meta-analysis, NAT2, polymorphism

AIMS

The aim of this study is to evaluate the potential association between *N*-acetyltransferase type 2 (*NAT2*) polymorphisms and drug-induced liver injury during anti-TB treatment (AT-DILI).

METHODS

We conducted a systematic review and performed a meta-analysis to clarify the role of *NAT2* polymorphism in AT-DILI. PubMed, Medline and EMBASE databases were searched for studies published in English to December 31, 2017, on the association between the *NAT2* polymorphism and AT-DILI risk. Outcomes were pooled with random-effects meta-analysis. Details were registered in the PROSPERO register (number: CRD42016051722).

RESULTS

Thirty-seven studies involving 1527 cases and 7184 controls were included in this meta-analysis. The overall odds ratio (OR) of AT-DILI associated with *NAT2* slow acetylator phenotype was 3.15 (95% CI 2.58–3.84, $l^2 = 51.3\%$, P = 0.000). The OR varied between different ethnic populations, ranging from 6.42 (95% CI 2.41–17.10, $l^2 = 2.3\%$) for the West Asian population to 2.32 (95% CI 0.58–9.24, $l^2 = 80.3\%$) for the European population. Within the slow *NAT2* genotype, variation was also observed; *NAT2*6/*7* was associated with the highest risk of AT-DILI (OR = 1.68, 95% CI 1.09–2.59) compared to the other slow *NAT2* acetylators combined.

CONCLUSIONS

NAT2 slow acetylation was observed to increase the risk of AT-DILI in tuberculosis patients. Our results support the hypothesis that the slow *NAT2* genotype is a risk factor for AT-DILI.

© 2018 The Authors. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Pharmacological Society.

DOI:10.1111/bcp.13722

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.



WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ABOUT THIS SUBJECT

• Although a number of previous studies have evaluated the potential association between *N*-acetyltransferase type 2 (*NAT2*) polymorphisms and drug-induced liver injury during anti-TB treatment (AT-DILI), the results were inconsistent.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- We conducted a systematic review and performed a meta-analysis to clarify the role of *NAT2* polymorphism in AT-DILI. Subgroup analyses were performed by: (i) region of origin, (ii) study type, and (iii) genotyping. We evaluated the risk for specific slow *NAT2* acetylators and susceptibility to AT-DILI.
- *NAT2* slow-acetylator alleles were associated with a higher risk of AT-DILI, especially in West Asian TB patient populations, but not in European and African populations.
- Within the slow NAT2 acetylators, the risk was highest for NAT2*6/*7 and relatively lowest for NAT2*5/*6.

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a major global public health problem. In 2015, there were an estimated 10.4 million new (incident) TB cases worldwide [1]. The first-line multidrug combined therapy (isoniazid, rifampicin, ethambutol and pyrazinamide) is known to commonly lead to adverse drug reactions (ADRs) such as hepatotoxicity, gastrointestinal disorders, allergic reactions, arthralgia and neurological disorders [2, 3], the most common ADR during anti-TB treatment leading to drug discontinuation in 11% of patients [4]. Isoniazid is a key drug in anti-TB therapy but is also the key drug responsible for the occurrence of drug-induced liver injury during anti-TB treatment (AT-DILI). ADRs occur in 5-33% of all patients receiving oral isoniazid treatment at 300 mg once daily [5]. The metabolic intermediates of isoniazid appear to be the cause of hepatotoxicity [6]. In the liver, isoniazid is first metabolized into acetyl-isoniazid via N-acetyltransferase [7]. Isoniazid hydrazine and acetyl-hydrazine are two metabolites of isoniazid, which are primarily involved in the mechanism of isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity [8-10]. Figure 1 shows the metabolic pathway of isoniazid.

The first genetic variation in drug response ever discovered was the *N*-acetylation of isoniazid [7]. This variation was later found to be induced mainly by the polymorphisms in *N*-acetyltransferase 2 coding gene (*NAT2*), and a number of previous studies have assessed the association between *NAT2* gene polymorphism and the AT-DILI. The results of the studies were inconsistent, mainly due to limited power, Therefore, personalized dosing has not yet been introduced in programmatic anti-TB treatment. However, considering the potential impact of *NAT2*-guided dosing on the occurrence of AT-DILI, we aimed to systematically review and meta-analyse all published studies designed to assess the presence and strength of the postulated genetic associations between the *NAT2* polymorphisms and susceptibility to AT-DILI.

Methods

Literature search strategy

The details of the systematic review and meta-analysis were registered in the PROSPERO register (registration number CRD42016051722).

Two authors (M.Z. and S.W.) independently searched the PubMed, Medline and EMBASE databases for studies on the association of *NAT2* polymorphisms with risk of DILI up to 31 December 2017 using the search words: ('antituberculosis' or 'anti tuberculosis' or 'tuberculosis') and ('genetic polymorphism*' or 'polymorphism*') and ('adverse drug reaction*' or



Figure 1

Pathways of metabolism of isoniazid

'adverse effect*' or 'adverse event*' or 'drug reaction*' or 'drug damage' or 'drug injur*' or 'drug-induced'). The search was conducted on human subjects and published in English, having no restrictions on sample size or population. The reference lists from the retrieved documents were also scanned. Through the quick reading of the title and abstract, any clearly irrelevant studies, editorials and review articles were excluded. A flow diagram summarizing the study selection process is shown in Figure 2.

NAT2 activity is divided into three main categories as slow, intermediate and rapid acetylation, with some studies combining intermediate and rapid acetylation. In this review, individuals homozygous for slow *NAT2* acetylator alleles (*NAT2*5/*5*, *NAT2*5/*6*, *NAT2*5/*7*, *NAT2*6/*6*, *NAT2*6/*7*, *NAT2*7/*7*) were classified as slow acetylator phenotype; individuals homozygous for rapid *NAT2* acetylator alleles (*NAT2*4*, *NAT2*11A*, *NAT2*12A*, *NAT2*12B*, *NAT2*12C*, *NAT2*13*) were classified as rapid acetylator phenotype; heterozygous individuals (one rapid and one slow *NAT2* allele) were classified as intermediate acetylator phenotypes [11–13]. The rapid acetylator phenotype and intermediate acetylator phenotype in this review.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Eligible studies met the following inclusion criteria: They must (i) have evaluated the association between the *NAT2*



genetic polymorphisms and risk of anti-tuberculosis druginduced DILI in humans with either case–control (including nested case–control) or prospective designs, (ii) be original papers containing independent data, (iii) have included sufficient data to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Studies were excluded if they met the following predetermined criteria: (i) overlapping studies, (ii) review articles, (iii) studies without complete genetic distribution data for the DILI and non-DILI groups, (iv) Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment (NOS) <4, (v) controls were patients without TB, (vi) not published in English.

Data extraction and assessment of study quality

The data extracted independently by the two reviewers included: name of first author, publication year, country or region of origin, study type, demographic data of age and gender, setting (clinic), stage of treatment, duration of follow-up, matching factors, treatment regimen, detailed definition of DILI, measurement method for DILI, genotyping method and genotype distribution in cases and controls. The eligibility/exclusion criteria mentioned above were used to assess the quality of the included studies, and study quality was assessed according to Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment [14]. These items included: (i) selection of study subjects, (ii) comparability of cases and



Figure 2 Flowchart for identification of studies in the meta-analysis



controls on the basis of the design or analysis, (iii) assessment exposure or outcome studies with a score \geq 4 estimated by the NOS were considered to be of high quality and were retained in the analysis. If any discrepancy occurred, the data were rechecked, and a third author was invited to give a final decision.

Statistical analysis

The NAT2 genotypes were analysed based on the genetic model of proposed risk (rapid and intermediate acetylation phenotype vs. slow acetylation phenotype) for the NAT2 polymorphisms. All of the statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 14.2 (Stata, College Station, TX, USA) and SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS, USA). Based on complete distribution data on NAT2 polymorphism in cases and controls, the pooled ORs and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated and displayed as forest plots to assess the strength of association between NAT2 genetic polymorphisms and susceptibility to AT-DILI in TB patients. In this analysis, pre-stated ethnic subgroup analyses were performed to examine differences in the association between NAT2 genotype distribution and AT-DILI risk. Subgroup analyses were performed by: (i) region of origin (East Asia, South Asia, Southeast Asia, West Asia, Africa, Europe, South and North America); (ii) study type (case-control study, nested case-control study, cross-sectional cohort studies, prospective cohort study); and (iii) genotyping (sequencing, HRM, RFLP, Tagman, SNP stream). Random effects or fixed effects models were used depending on the heterogeneity among studies. Heterogeneity was assessed using the standard Q-statistic test, where $I^2 > 50\%$ was considered to be evidence of heterogeneity. Among all qualified studies related to NAT2 gene, we drew up the summary effects again after removing the study with the widest 95% confidence interval (CI). We also conducted a sensitivity analysis to assess the stability of the results by applying the leave-one-out method, that is repeating the meta-analysis, each time omitting one of the studies. Publication bias was assessed using Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test. A P-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Identification and characteristics of the included studies

Using our electronic database searches, we identified 58 articles describing the strength of the postulated genetic associations between the *NAT2* polymorphisms and susceptibility to AT-DILI. A total of 37 case–control or prospective cohort design studies with 1527 AT-DILI cases and 7184 controls without AT-DILI were included in the meta-analysis. The main characteristics of the 37 studies are shown in Table 1. The studies by An *et al.* [15], Rana *et al.* [16] and Rana *et al.* [17] were excluded due to overlap with their other studies (we therefore selected the later publication to analyse the distribution of the *NAT2* genotype); three studies, by Guaoua *et al.* [18], Ng [19] and Mishra *et al.* [20], were excluded as controls were not TB patients but healthy people; the studies by

Roy *et al.* [21] and Cavaco *et al.* [22] were excluded due to the absence of complete *NAT2* polymorphism distribution data. The study by Ohno *et al.* [23] was excluded due to the absence of slow acetylators.

Quantitative synthesis

Pooling all 37 studies in the meta-analysis, comparing the slow to the non-slow *NAT2* acetylators (i.e., intermediate *NAT2* acetylators and fast acetylators), the overall OR for the association with AT-DILI was 3.15 (95% CI 2.58–3.84, P < 0.005, Figure 3) using a random effects model ($I^2 = 51.3\%$).

Subgroup analyses of the *NAT2* polymorphism were performed. First, a subgroup analysis for region of origin was performed (Figure 3). In descending effect size, the ORs for slow *NAT2* genotype associated with the risk of AT-DILI were statistically significant for West Asia 6.42 (95% CI 2.41–17.10), South Asia 3.05 (95% CI 2.20–4.24), South America 3.01 (95% CI 2.29–3.96), and East Asia 2.98 (95% CI 2.03–4.37), but not for North America 2.02 (95% CI 0.82–4.96) (one study only), Africa 2.40 (95% CI 0.78–7.36) and Europe 2.32 (95% CI 0.58–9.24).

Secondly, a subgroup analysis was performed across study designs (Figure 4). Of the 37 studies, 19 were case–control studies, seven were nested case–control studies, five were cross-sectional cohort studies, five were prospective cohort studies, and one was a retrospective cohort study. The subgroups all showed positive effects sizes, ranging from 1.90 (94% CI 1.40–2.58) for cross-sectional cohort studies to 4.00 (95% CI 3.11–5.14) for case–control studies.

Subgroup analysis for different methods of genotyping was performed (Figure 5). Of the 37 studies, 15 used sequencing, 18 used RFLP, two used Taqman, one used HRM, one used SNP stream. The subgroups all showed positive effects sizes, ranging from 2.06 (95% CI 0.93–4.57) for Taqman to 8.82 (95% CI 3.26–23.89) for HRM (one study only).

This meta-analysis also evaluated the risk for specific slow *NAT2* acetylators and susceptibility to AT-DILI. There were statistically significant associations between *NAT2*5/*5*, *NAT2*5/*6*, *NAT2*5/*7*, *NAT2*6/*6*, *NAT2*6/*7*, *NAT2*7/*7* and the risk of AT-DILI. Within the slow *NAT2* acetylators, we found a relatively lower risk of AT-DILI with *NAT2*5/*6*. The ORs for *NAT2*5/*6* slow *NAT2* acetylators compared with other slow *NAT2* acetylators combined was 0.43 (95% CI 0.27–0.68) (Figure 6) using a fixed effects model ($I^2 = 12.8\%$, P = 0.328). In contrast, *NAT2*6/*7* was associated with a relative increased risk of AT-DILI compared to the other slow *NAT2* acetylators combined (OR = 1.68, 95% CI 1.09–2.59) using a fixed effects model ($I^2 = 44.0\%$, P = 0.075) (Figure 7).

Sensitivity analyses and publication bias

The sensitivity analysis was conducted via sequential analysis after omitting one study at a time to assess the effects of individual studies on the overall meta-analysis estimate. This analysis shows that the results of the meta-analysis are statistically robust as the ORs for the overall association of slow acetylators on AT-DILI remained significant and ranged from 3.03 to 3.25 using random effects models. Heterogeneity was



Table 1

Studies investigating the association between the NAT2 polymorphisms and AT-DILI risk

| Genotype/ | | | | NOS | | Sampl | e size | Slow a | cetylators |
|-------------------------|------|-------------|------------------------------|-------|------------|-------|---------|--------|------------|
| Author | Year | Country | Study | score | Genotyping | Case | Control | Case | Control |
| NAT2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Chan [44] | 2017 | Singapore | Case-control study | 6 | Sequencing | 24 | 79 | 18 | 17 |
| Wattanapokayakit [45] | 2016 | Thailand | Case-control study | 5 | Sequencing | 53 | 85 | 39 | 21 |
| Mushiroda [46] | 2016 | Japan | Case-control study | 6 | Sequencing | 73 | 293 | 13 | 14 |
| Yuliwulandari [47] | 2016 | Indonesia | Case-control study | 5 | Sequencing | 50 | 191 | 32 | 65 |
| Wang [48] | 2015 | China | Cross-sectional cohort study | 7 | Sequencing | 70 | 285 | 23 | 62 |
| Ho [49] | 2013 | China | Nested case-control study | 6 | Sequencing | 19 | 329 | 12 | 67 |
| Lv [24] | 2012 | China | Nested case-control study | 6 | RFLP | 89 | 356 | 18 | 74 |
| Ben Mahmoud [50] | 2012 | Tunisia | Nested case-control study | 6 | RFLP | 14 | 52 | 11 | 22 |
| Rana [16] | 2012 | Indian | Case-control study | 6 | RFLP | 50 | 201 | 19 | 30 |
| Leiro-Fernandez [51] | 2011 | Spain. | Nested case-control study | 7 | RFLP | 50 | 67 | 36 | 44 |
| Sistanizad [52] | 2011 | Iran | Cross-sectional cohort study | 6 | RFLP | 14 | 36 | 9 | 11 |
| Khalili [53] | 2011 | Iran | Case-control study | 6 | RFLP | 14 | 36 | 9 | 5 |
| Bozok [54] | 2008 | Turkey | Case-control study | 6 | HRM | 30 | 70 | 23 | 19 |
| Higuchi [30] | 2008 | Japan | Nested case-control study | 6 | RFLP | 18 | 82 | 6 | 4 |
| Possuelo [55] | 2008 | Brazil | Prospective cohort study | 8 | Sequencing | 14 | 240 | 9 | 60 |
| Shimizu [56] | 2005 | Japan | Case-control study | 5 | RFLP | 10 | 32 | 4 | 1 |
| Huang [31] | 2002 | China | Nested case-control study | 6 | RFLP | 33 | 191 | 14 | 39 |
| NAT2, CYP2E1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Rana [17] | 2014 | India | Prospective cohort study | 7 | RFLP | 55 | 245 | 21 | 36 |
| Chamorro [57] | 2013 | Argentina. | Cross-sectional cohort study | 6 | RFLP | 47 | 128 | 28 | 48 |
| Gupta [58] | 2013 | India | Nested case-control study | 7 | RFLP | 50 | 165 | 28 | 63 |
| Santos [59] | 2013 | Brazil | Case-control study | 6 | Sequencing | 18 | 252 | 11 | 75 |
| An [60] | 2012 | China | Case-control study | 6 | Sequencing | 101 | 107 | 40 | 13 |
| Bose [61] | 2011 | India | Case-control study | 7 | RFLP | 41 | 177 | 29 | 79 |
| Lee [62] | 2010 | China | Case-control study | 7 | Taqman | 45 | 95 | 21 | 20 |
| Yamada [63] | 2009 | Canada | Case-control study | 5 | Sequencing | 23 | 147 | 14 | 64 |
| Cho [64] | 2007 | Korean | Case-control study | 6 | Sequencing | 18 | 114 | 7 | 12 |
| Vuilleumier [65] | 2006 | Switzerland | Case-control study | 7 | RFLP | 8 | 81 | 3 | 32 |
| NAT2, CYP2E1, GST | | | | | | | | | |
| Chamorro [66] | 2017 | Argentina | Prospective cohort study | 6 | RFLP | 96 | 249 | 64 | 102 |
| Heinrich [67] | 2016 | Brazil | Cross-sectional cohort study | 7 | RFLP | 20 | 88 | 15 | 44 |
| Singla [68] | 2014 | India | Case-control study | 6 | RFLP | 17 | 391 | 15 | 213 |
| Xiang [69] | 2014 | China | Cross-sectional cohort study | 6 | Taqman | 71 | 1614 | 28 | 501 |
| Costa [70] | 2012 | Brazil | Prospective cohort study | 5 | Sequencing | 54 | 75 | 22 | 13 |
| Teixeira [29] | 2011 | Brazil | Case-control study | 6 | Sequencing | 26 | 141 | 18 | 64 |
| Sotsuka [71] | 2011 | Japan | Case-control study | 6 | RFLP | 52 | 92 | 8 | 5 |
| NAT2, CYP2E1, CYP3A4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Zaverucha-do-Valle [72] | 2014 | Brazil | Retrospective cohort study | 7 | Sequencing | 52 | 79 | 37 | 36 |

(continues)

Table 1

BICI

(Continued)

| Genotype/ | | | | NOS | | Sample size | | Slow acetylators | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Author | Year | Country | Study | score | Genotyping | Case | Control | Case | Control |
| NAT2, CYP2B6, CYP3A5, ABC | B1, UGT2 | 2B7, SLCO1B1 | | | | | | | |
| Yimer [28] | 2011 | Ethiopian | Prospective cohort study | 5 | Sequencing | 41 | 160 | 31 | 107 |
| NAT2, CYP2E1, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6 | | | | | | | | | |
| Kim [73] | 2009 | Korean | Case-control study | 6 | SNP stream | 67 | 159 | 21 | 28 |

specifically decreased ($l^2 = 41.3\%$), when the study by Lv *et al*. [24] was removed.

A funnel plot of these 37 studies suggested a possibility of the preferential publication of positive findings (Figure 8). The Egger test provided evidence that there was no smallstudy publication bias among the studies included (P < 0.001). The Begg's test gave the same result.

Discussion

This meta-analysis examined well-characterized polymorphisms of *NAT2* gene in the relationship to AT-DILI susceptibility. It determined that *NAT2* slow-acetylator alleles were associated with a higher risk of AT-DILI, especially in West Asian TB patient populations. Significant results were also found in South Asian, East Asian and American populations, but not in European and African populations.

The previous meta-analyses [25–27] did not include data from the African population which has the largest incidence of TB in the world. Compared with the previous metaanalyses, the present study is much larger, with more than one-and-a-half to two times as many cases. It also adjusts the classification used in the study by Yimer *et al.* [28], which categorized Ethiopian patients together with European patients. In contrast to our meta-analysis, the previous meta-analysis did not include data from Indonesian populations which has the fifth largest incidence of TB in the world. Therefore, this meta-analysis is more comprehensive and powerful, especially because it contains Asian countries listed in the top 30 TB "high burden countries" in the 2016 latest global TB report [1].

We performed a subgroup analysis for different study designs and methods of genotyping to investigate whether the *NAT2* gene polymorphism was associated differently with AT-DILI risk when using different designs and genotyping methods. Our results on the role of the polymorphism of *NAT2* in different ethnicities were consistent across study design and genotyping method. Furthermore, we evaluated the risk for specific slow *NAT2* acetylators and susceptibility to AT-DILI, which previous meta-analyses never reported.

It came to our attention that although association of *NAT2* slow acetylators with AT-DILI was not observed for Europeans and Africans, it was observed in the Brazilian study of Teixeira [29], which is interesting as the Brazilian

population includes contributions from Africans, Europeans and Amerindians in its heritage. Considering the ethnic diversity of the Brazilian population, a more consistent comparison of the results found among these populations would be of importance and could contribute even more to the definition of such association in different populations. At present, there is still a lack of research data on different groups of people in Brazil, and such research should be encouraged in the future.

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the association between specific slow *NAT2* acetylators and the susceptibility to AT-DILI. Previous studies only showed that the *NAT2*6* allele significantly predicts predisposition to AT-DILI in Taiwanese, Japanese and Chinese individuals [24, 30, 31]. Of the 37 studies included in our meta-analysis, nine investigated the association between slow *NAT2* acetylators and susceptibility to AT-DILI and when combined, showed a relatively higher risk of AT-DILI with *NAT2*6/*7*, which is in accordance with previous studies in Taiwanese, Japanese and Chinese populations.

The World Health Organization reported that over 95% of TB deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries. Six countries account for 60% of the total, with India leading the count, followed by Indonesia, China, Nigeria, Pakistan and South Africa [1]. In Figure 3, we can see that two-thirds of included studies were conducted in East Asian, South Asian and Southeast Asian populations, from India, Indonesia, China, Taiwan, Iran, Japan and Korea. The pharmacokinetic profiles of INH and its metabolites differ significantly between individuals. Patients can be categorized according to their number of functional NAT2 alleles into slow, intermediate and fast acetylator phenotypes. Therefore, it should be feasible and would be useful to help guide programmatic TB drug therapy through pharmacogenomics, to reduce the occurrence of ADRs in individual patients.

To provide a rational dosing design to balance the inherent trade-off between treatment efficacy and toxicity in INH-based chemotherapy, it should be considered that there are several polymorphisms in *NAT2* leading to altered catalytic activities for INH acetylation [32–35]. Some authors suggested that an adaptation of administered INH dosages according to patient acetylator status may benefit patients [36–38]. In one clinical trial an INH QD dose of 5 mg kg⁻¹ of body weight was modified to doses of 2.5 mg kg⁻¹ for slow acetylators, 5 mg kg⁻¹ for intermediate acetylators and



| Study D | OR (95% CI) | % Weight |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Southeast Asian | | |
| Chan [44] | • 10.94 (3.76, 31.85) | 2.15 |
| Vattanapokayakit [45] | . • 8.49 (3.87, 18.61) | 3.02 |
| uliwulandari [47] | • 3.45 (1.80, 6.60) | 3.55 |
| ubtotal (I-squared = 57.6%, <i>P</i> = 0.094) | 6.34 (3.07, 13.08) | 8.73 |
| ast Asian | | |
| lushiroda [46] | 4.32 (1.93, 9.66) | 2.95 |
| /ang [48] | 1.76 (0.99, 3.12) | 3.89 |
| 0 [49] | 6.70 (2.54, 17.68) | 2.42 |
| ([24] | 0.97 (0.54, 1.72) | 3.87 |
| iauchi [30] | 9.75 (2.40, 39.68) | 1.48 |
| himizu [56] | 20.67 (1.95, 218, 71) | 0.63 |
| uang [31] | | 3.06 |
| n [60] | | 3 33 |
| | 2 28 (1 52, 7.06) | 3.00 |
| 66 [02] | 5.20 (1.33, 7.00) | 3.09 |
| 10 [04] | 5.41 (1.76, 16.59) | 2.02 |
| | 1.45 (0.89, 2.36) | 4.27 |
| otsuka [/1] | 3.16 (0.98, 10.24) | 1.90 |
| ım [73] | 2.14 (1.11, 4.12) | 3.52 |
| ubtotal (I-squared = 65.7%, P = 0.000) | 2.98 (2.03, 4.37) | 36.43 |
| frica | | |
| 1ahmoud [50] | 5.00 (1.25, 20.08) | 1.50 |
| (imer [28] | 1.54 (0.70, 3.37) | 3.02 |
| ubtotal (I-squared = 52.5%, <i>P</i> = 0.147) | 2.40 (0.78, 7.36) | 4.52 |
| outh Asian | | |
| Rana [16] | • 3.49 (1.75, 6.97) | 3.39 |
| Rana [17] | • 3.59 (1.87, 6.86) | 3.56 |
| Supta [58] | ◆ <u>1</u> 2.06 (1.09, 3.91) | 3.59 |
| lose [61] | 3.00 (1.44, 6.25) | 3.21 |
| Singla [68] | 6.27 (1.41, 27.78) | 1.35 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, <i>P</i> = 0.604) | 3.05 (2.20, 4.24) | 15.10 |
| urope | | |
| eiro-Fernandez [51] | • 1.34 (0.61, 2.98) | 2.98 |
| Bozok Cetintaş [54] | ● 8.82 (3.26, 23.89) | 2.34 |
| /uilleumier [65] | • 0.92 (0.21, 4.11) | 1.34 |
| ubtotal (I-squared = 80.3%, <i>P</i> = 0.006) | 2.32 (0.58, 9.24) | 6.66 |
| Vest Asian | | |
| istanizad [52] | 4.09 (1.11, 15.06) | 1.65 |
| | 11.16 (2.63, 47.33) | 1.42 |
| ubtotal (1-squared = 2.3% , $P = 0.312$) | 6.42 (2.41, 17.10) | 3.06 |
| outh America | | 2.00 |
| ossuelo [55] | 5.40 (1./4, 16./4) | 2.00 |
| namorro [5/] | 2.46 (1.24, 4.87) | 3.41 |
| | 3.71 (1.38, 9.93) | 2.37 |
| namorro [66] | 2.88 (1.76, 4.72) | 4.24 |
| leinrich [67] | • 3.00 (1.00, 8.97) | 2.09 |
| osta [70] | ● 3.28 (1.46, 7.35) | 2.94 |
| eixeira [29] | • 2.71 (1.10, 6.63) | 2.64 |
| averucha-do-Valle [72] | 2.95 (1.40, 6.21) | 3.17 |
| ubtotal (I-squared = $0.0\%, P = 0.976$) | 3.01 (2.29, 3.96) | 22.86 |
| orth America | | , a prostration of |
| 'amada [63] | 2.02 (0.82, 4.96) | 2.63 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = $.\%, P = .)$ | 2.02 (0.82, 4.96) | 2.63 |
| verall (I-squared = 51.3%, P = 0.000) | 3.15 (2.58, 3.84) | 100.00 |
| | | |

Figure 3

Forest plot of the association of the *NAT2* polymorphism with risk of AT-DILI (subgroup analyses were performed by region of origin). For each effect measure, the forest plot indicates the pooled treatment effect estimate with its 95% CI, the weight measure and the l^2 heterogeneity measure among the studies included. CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio

BJCP

| Study D | OR (95% CI) | Weight |
|--|------------------------------|--------|
| ase-control study | | |
| Chan [44] | | 2 15 |
| Nattananokavakit [45] | 8 49 (3 87 18 61) | 3.02 |
| Ausbiroda [46] | | 2.02 |
| (uliuulandari [47] | 4.52 (1.95, 5.00) | 2.55 |
| | 3.45 (1.60, 6.60) | 3.55 |
| Kana [16] | 3.49 (1.75, 6.97) | 3.39 |
| (nalili [53] | • 11.16 (2.63, 47.33) | 1.42 |
| Bozok Cetintaş [54] | • 8.82 (3.26, 23.89) | 2.34 |
| Shimizu [56] | 20.67 (1.95, 218.71) | 0.63 |
| Santos [59] | • 3.71 (1.38, 9.93) | 2.37 |
| An [60] | 4.74 (2.35, 9.58) | 3.33 |
| Bose [61] | ♦ 3.00 (1.44, 6.25) | 3.21 |
| lee [62] | • 3.28 (1.53, 7.06) | 3.09 |
| /amada [63] | ◆ <u>1</u> 2.02 (0.82, 4.96) | 2.63 |
| Cho [64] | • 5.41 (1.76, 16.59) | 2.02 |
| /uilleumier [65] | 0.92 (0.21, 4.11) | 1.34 |
| Singla [68] | 6.27 (1.41, 27.78) | 1.35 |
| Feixeira [29] | • 2.71 (1.10, 6.63) | 2.64 |
| Sotsuka [71] | ♦ 3.16 (0.98, 10.24) | 1.90 |
| (im [73] | 2.14 (1.11, 4.12) | 3.52 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 29.4%, <i>P</i> = 0.112) | 4.00 (3.11, 5.14) | 46.88 |
| cross-sectional cohort study | | |
| Vang [48] | ♦ 1.76 (0.99, 3.12) | 3.89 |
| Sistanizad [52] | 4.09 (1.11, 15.06) | 1.65 |
| Chamorro [57] | ♦ 2.46 (1.24, 4.87) | 3.41 |
| Heinrich [67] | ♦ 3.00 (1.00, 8.97) | 2.09 |
| Kiang [69] | 1.45 (0.89, 2.36) | 4.27 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, <i>P</i> = 0.432) | 1.90 (1.40, 2.58) | 15.30 |
| nested case-control study | _ | |
| Ho [49] | ♦ 6.70 (2.54, 17.68) | 2.42 |
| .v [24] | • 0.97 (0.54, 1.72) | 3.87 |
| Ben Mahmoud [50] | 5.00 (1.25, 20.08) | 1.50 |
| eiro-Fernandez [51] | ♦ 1.34 (0.61, 2.98) | 2.98 |
| Higuchi [30] | 9.75 (2.40, 39.68) | 1.48 |
| Huang [31] | ♦ 2.87 (1.32, 6.23) | 3.06 |
| Gupta [58] | 2.06 (1.09, 3.91) | 3.59 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 70.6%, P = 0.002) | 2.65 (1.46, 4.82) | 18.89 |
| prospective cohort study | | |
| Possuelo [55] | 5.40 (1.74, 16.74) | 2.00 |
| Rana [17] | 3.59 (1.87, 6.86) | 3.56 |
| Chamorro [66] | ♦ 2.88 (1.76, 4.72) | 4.24 |
| Costa [70] | 3.28 (1.46, 7.35) | 2.94 |
| (imer [28] | 1.54 (0.70, 3.37) | 3.02 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 4.5%, P = 0.381) | 2.94 (2.13, 4.04) | 15.76 |
| etrospective cohort study | | |
| Zaverucha-do-Valle [72] | 2.95 (1.40, 6.21) | 3.17 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = $.\%, P = .)$ | 2.95 (1.40, 6.21) | 3.17 |
| Overall (I-squared = 51.3%, P = 0.000) | 3.15 (2.58, 3.84) | 100.00 |
| NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis | | |

Figure 4

Forest plot of the association of the *NAT2* polymorphism with risk of AT-DILI (subgroup analyses were performed by type of study). For each effect measure, the forest plot indicates the pooled treatment effect estimate with its 95% CI, the weight measure and the l^2 heterogeneity measure among the studies included. CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio

Slow NAT2 genotype is a risk factor for AT-DILI

| ۰. | 1 | 2 | | - |
|----|----|---|---|---|
| 57 | | | | h |
| - | Į, | - | 1 | |

| D | OR (95% CI) | Weight |
|---|---|--------|
| | | |
| | | 0.45 |
| Chan [44] | | 2.15 |
| Vattanapokayakit [45] | 8.49 (3.87, 18.61) | 3.02 |
| lusniroda [46] | 4.32 (1.93, 9.66) | 2.95 |
| uliwulandari [47] | • 3.45 (1.80, 6.60) | 3.55 |
| /ang [48] | 1.76 (0.99, 3.12) | 3.89 |
| o [49] | 6.70 (2.54, 17.68) | 2.42 |
| ossuelo [55] | 5.40 (1.74, 16.74) | 2.00 |
| antos [59] | ◆ 3.71 (1.38, 9.93) | 2.37 |
| n [60] | 4.74 (2.35, 9.58) | 3.33 |
| amada [63] | 2.02 (0.82, 4.96) | 2.63 |
| ho [64] | 5.41 (1.76, 16.59) | 2.02 |
| osta [70] | • 3.28 (1.46, 7.35) | 2.94 |
| eixeira [29] | ♦2.71 (1.10, 6.63) | 2.64 |
| averucha-do-Valle [72] | • 2.95 (1.40, 6.21) | 3.17 |
| imer [28] | 1.54 (0.70, 3.37) | 3.02 |
| ubtotal (I-squared = 43.8%, P = 0.036) | 3 .66 (2.75, 4.87) | 42.12 |
| FLP | | |
| v [24] | 0.97 (0.54, 1.72) | 3.87 |
| Ben Mahmoud [50] | 5.00 (1.25, 20.08) | 1.50 |
| Rana [16] | ♦ 3.49 (1.75, 6.97) | 3.39 |
| eiro-Fernandez [51] | 1.34 (0.61, 2.98) | 2.98 |
| istanizad [52] | 4.09 (1.11, 15.06) | 1.65 |
| (halili [53] | 11.16 (2.63, 47.33) | 1.42 |
| łiguchi [30] | 9.75 (2.40, 39.68) | 1.48 |
| Shimizu [56] | 20.67 (1.95, 218.71) | 0.63 |
| luang [31] | ♦ 2.87 (1.32, 6.23) | 3.06 |
| Rana [17] | ♦ 3.59 (1.87, 6.86) | 3.56 |
| Chamorro [57] | ♦ 2.46 (1.24, 4.87) | 3.41 |
| Supta [58] | 2.06 (1.09, 3.91) | 3.59 |
| lose [61] | ♦ 3.00 (1.44, 6.25) | 3.21 |
| /uilleumier [65] | 0.92 (0.21, 4.11) | 1.34 |
| chamorro [66] | ◆ 2 88 (1 76, 4 72) | 4 24 |
| leinrich [6] | 3 00 (1 00, 8 97) | 2.09 |
| ingla [68] | 6 27 (1 41 27 78) | 1 35 |
| Sotsuka [71] | 3 16 (0.98, 10.24) | 1.00 |
| Subtotal (Leavared = 45.9% $P = 0.018$) | 2 83 (2 12 3 77) | 44.66 |
| | 2.00 (2.12, 0.11) | 44.00 |
| IRM | 8 82 (3 26 23 80) | 2 34 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = .%, P = .) | 8.82 (3.26, 23.89) | 2.34 |
| aoman | | |
| ee [62] | ▲ 3 28 (1 53 7 06) | 3.09 |
| (iang [69] | 1 45 (0.89 2 36) | 4 27 |
| Subtotal (Lequared = 68.0% P = 0.077) | 2 06 (0 03 / 57) | 7 36 |
| (-5)(20,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, | 2.00 (0.83, 4.97) | 1.00 |
| NP stream | | |
| im [73] | 2.14 (1.11, 4.12) | 3.52 |
| ubtotal (I-squared = $.\%, P = .)$ | 2.14 (1.11, 4.12) | 3.52 |
| verall (I-squared = 51.3%, P = 0.000) | 3.15 (2.58, 3.84) | 100.00 |
| OTE: Weights are from random effects analysis | | |
| | 1 | |

Figure 5

Forest plot of the association of the *NAT2* polymorphism with risk of AT-DILI (subgroup analyses were performed by method of genotyping). For each effect measure, the forest plot indicates the pooled treatment effect estimate with its 95% CI, the weight measure and the l^2 heterogeneity measure among the studies included. CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio

7.5 mg kg⁻¹ for fast acetylators, resulting in reduced adverse effects in fast acetylators while maintaining overall treatment efficacy in all acetylator phenotypes [37].

In the past five years, personalized dosing therapy based on drug metabolizing enzymes and transporter genomes has become one of the focuses of personalized medicine. If the



Figure 6

Forest plot of the association of the NAT2*5/*6 slow NAT2 acetylators compared with other slow NAT2 acetylators combined with risk of AT-DILI. For each effect measure, the forest plot indicates the pooled treatment effect estimate with its 95% CI, the I^2 heterogeneity measure among the studies included. CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio

association between the genetic polymorphisms and risk of AT-DILI is determined, maybe a personalized clinical drugdosage model can be developed for the treatment of tuberculosis taking into account other well-known factors that influence drug exposure [39, 40]. The personalized clinical drug-dosage model is especially important for the population of South and East Asia with high incidence of AT-DILI. It could effectively reduce the incidence of ADRs in the treatment of tuberculosis, especially for the treatment interruption caused by AT-DILI. For the high-burden TB countries, reducing the incidence of ADRs may be cost-effective because the cost of treating AT-DILI is often higher than the treatment of TB [1]. The WHO "End TB Strategy", approved by the World Health Assembly in 2014, calls for a 90% reduction in TB deaths and an 80% reduction in the TB incidence rate by 2030, compared with 2015 [41]. This clinical model of tuberculosis drug therapy could play a role in the realization of this goal.

Although we included a large number of studies with a considerable overall sample size and performed subgroup

analyses to explore differences in effects of the NAT2 polymorphisms on AT-DILI risk, several potential limitations should be taken into consideration when interpreting our results. Firstly, the NAT2 polymorphism has a higher minor allele frequency in different populations, so the lack of information about polymorphism distributions in the target populations does not allow us to estimate the attributable fraction of NAT2 polymorphisms on AT-DILI occurrence. Secondly, the lack of information on other potential causative/protective factors, in particular age, sex, dietary habits, nutrition status, body mass index (BMI), drinking and smoking habits, were available for only a limited number of the studies and, as such, we were not able to adjust effect sizes. Thirdly, not all studies provided information on the definitions applied for AT-DILI and hepatotoxicity. Lastly, only some studies provided information on synergism of the TB drugs used and the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test, which may have impacted the effect size, and simultaneously hindered an adequate exploration of a potential source of heterogeneity. Despite these limitations, our review and meta-

BJCF



Figure 7

Forest plot of the association of the NAT2*6/*7 slow NAT2 acetylators compared with other slow NAT2 acetylators combined with risk of AT-DILI. For each effect measure, the forest plot indicates the pooled treatment effect estimate with its 95% CI, the I^2 heterogeneity measure among the studies included. CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio



Figure 8

Begg's funnel plot to detect publication bias for the *NAT2* polymorphism

analysis provides important new information that is statistically robust in sensitivity analyses and has yielded relevant and reliable results.

Conclusion

In summary, this meta-analysis not only demonstrated that the *NAT2* slow acetylation phenotype was significantly associated with increased risk of AT-DILI depending on the population studied, it also suggests that there is variation within the slow *NAT2* acetylator group: the risk was highest for *NAT2*6/*7* and relatively lowest for *NAT2*5/*6*. In March 2016, the United States Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium updated 33 pharmacogenomic drug application guidelines, 25 of which relate to drug metabolism and transport. *NAT2* has not yet been included in these guidelines but, based on our results, may have a place in future updates. Considering the complex mechanisms involved in the development of AT-DILI, and limitations of the available



M. Zhang et al.

observational studies on the impact of *NAT2* polymorphisms, we recommend a randomized controlled trial be designed with adequate sample size to assess the true effect of *NAT2*. Also evaluating gene-to-gene interactions (between human genetic polymorphisms and risk of AT-DILI, such as *CYP2E1*, *GST*, *CYP3A4*, *CYP2C19*) should be encouraged. Additional evidence from such well-designed trials would support guideline development and would aid development of a clinical tool for INH dosage adjustment based on genetic and clinical risk factors, in order to reduce hepatoxicity and improve TB treatment outcomes.

Nomenclature of targets and ligands

Key protein targets and ligands in this article are hyperlinked to corresponding entries in http://www. guidetopharmacology.org, the common portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY [42], and are permanently archived in the Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18 [43].

Competing Interests

There are no competing interests to declare.

M.Z. is supported in part by funding from the China National Key Specialty Construction Project of clinical pharmacy (award number 30305030698).

References

- 1 World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2016.
- 2 World Health Organization. Guidelines for treatment of tuberculosis. Geneva, Switzerland:World Health Organization, 2010.
- **3** Yee D, Valiquette C, Pelletier M, Parisien I, Rocher I, Menzies D. Incidence of serious side effects from first-line antituberculosis drugs among patients treated for active tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003; 167: 1472–7.
- **4** Ramappa V, Aithal GP. Hepatotoxicity related to anti-tuberculosis drugs: mechanisms and management. J Clin Exp Hepatol 2013; 3: 37–49.
- 5 Saukkonen JJ, Cohn DL, Jasmer RM, Schenker S, Jereb JA, Nolan CM, *et al*. An official ATS statement: hepatotoxicity of antituberculosis therapy. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006; 174: 935–52.
- **6** Heinrich MM, Zembrzuski VM, Ota MM, Sacchi FP, Teixeira RL, Cabello Acero PH, *et al.* Factors associated with anti-TB druginduced hepatotoxicity and genetic polymorphisms in indigenous and non-indigenous populations in Brazil. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2016; 101: 15–24.
- **7** Mitchell JR, Zimmerman HJ, Ishak KG, Thorgeirsson UP, Timbrell JA, Snodgrass WR, *et al.* Isoniazid liver injury: clinical spectrum, pathology, and probable pathogenesis. Ann Intern Med 1976; 84: 181–92.
- 8 Perwitasari DA, Atthobari J, Wilffert B. Pharmacogenetics of isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity. Drug Metab Rev 2015; 47: 222–8.

- **9** Roy PD, Majumder M, Roy B. Pharmacogenomics of anti-TB drugs-related hepatotoxicity. Pharmacogenomics 2008; 9: 311–21.
- **10** Huang YS. Genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes and the susceptibility to antituberculosis druginduced liver injury. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2007; 3: 1–8.
- **11** Garcia-Closas M, Malats N, Silverman D, Dosemeci M, Kogevinas M, Hein DW, *et al.* NAT2 slow acetylation, GSTM1 null genotype, and risk of bladder cancer: results from the Spanish Bladder Cancer Study and meta-analyses. Lancet 2005; 366: 649–59.
- **12** Hein DW, Doll MA, Fretland AJ, Leff MA, Webb SJ, Xiao GH, *et al.* Molecular genetics and epidemiology of the NAT1 and NAT2 acetylation polymorphisms. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2000; 9: 29–42.
- **13** Ruiz JD, Martinez C, Anderson K, Gross M, Lang NP, Garcia-Martin E, *et al*. The differential effect of NAT2 variant alleles permits refinement in phenotype inference and identifies a very slow acetylation genotype. PLoS One 2012; 7: e44629.
- 14 Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses [online]. Available at http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/ oxford.asp (last accessed 16 August 2018).
- **15** An HR, Wu XQ, Wang ZY, Liang Y, Zhang JX. The associations of polymorphism of N-acetyltransferase 2 gene is associated with antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in tuberculosis patients. Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi 2011; 45: 36–40 (in Chinese).
- **16** Rana SV, Ola RP, Sharma SK, Arora SK, Sinha SK, Pandhi P, *et al.* Comparison between acetylator phenotype and genotype polymorphism of n-acetyltransferase-2 in tuberculosis patients. Hepatol Int 2012; 6: 397–402.
- 17 Rana SV, Sharma SK, Ola RP, Kamboj JK, Malik A, Morya RK, et al. N-acetyltransferase 2, cytochrome P4502E1 and glutathione S-transferase genotypes in antitubercular treatment-induced hepatotoxicity in north Indians. J Clin Pharm Ther 2014; 39: 91–6.
- 18 Guaoua S, Ratbi I, El Bouazzi O, Hammi S, Tebaa A, Bourkadi JE, et al. NAT2 genotypes in Moroccan patients with hepatotoxicity due to antituberculosis drugs. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 2016; 20: 680–4.
- **19** Ng CS, Hasnat A, Al Maruf A, Ahmed MU, Pirmohamed M, Day CP, *et al*. N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2) genotype as a risk factor for development of drug-induced liver injury relating to antituberculosis drug treatment in a mixed-ethnicity patient group. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2014; 70: 1079–86.
- **20** Mishra S, Daschakraborty S, Shukla P, Kapoor P, Aggarwal R. Nacetyltransferase and cytochrome P450 2E1 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to antituberculosis drug hepatotoxicity in an Indian population. Natl Med J India 2013; 26: 260–5.
- **21** Roy B, Chowdhury A, Kundu S, Santra A, Dey B, Chakraborty M, *et al*. Increased risk of antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in individuals with glutathione S-transferase M1 'null' mutation. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2001; 16: 1033–7.
- **22** Cavaco I, Reis R, Gil JP, Ribeiro V. CYP3A4*1B and NAT2*14 alleles in a native African population. Clin Chem Lab Med 2003; 41: 606–9.
- **23** Ohno M, Yamaguchi I, Yamamoto I, Fukuda T, Yokota S, Maekura R, *et al.* Slow N-acetyltransferase 2 genotype affects the incidence



of isoniazid and rifampicin-induced hepatotoxicity. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2000; 4: 256–61.

- **24** Lv X, Tang S, Xia Y, Zhang Y, Wu S, Yang Z, *et al.* NAT2 genetic polymorphisms and anti-tuberculosis drug induced hepatotoxicity in Chinese community population. Ann Hepatol 2012; 11: 700–7.
- 25 Du H, Chen X, Fang Y, Yan O, Xu H, Li L, et al. Slow Nacetyltransferase 2 genotype contributes to anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity: a meta-analysis. Mol Biol Rep 2013; 40: 3591–6.
- **26** Shi J, Xie M, Wang J, Xu Y, Liu X. Susceptibility of Nacetyltransferase 2 slow acetylators to antituberculosis druginduced liver injury: a meta-analysis. Pharmacogenomics 2015; 16: 2083–97.
- 27 Cordes H, Thiel C, Aschmann HE, Baier V, Blank LM, Kuepfer L. A physiologically based pharmacokinetic model of isoniazid and its application in individualizing tuberculosis chemotherapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2016; 60: 6134–45.
- **28** Yimer G, Ueda N, Habtewold A, Amogne W, Suda A, Riedel KD, *et al.* Pharmacogenetic & pharmacokinetic biomarker for efavirenz based ARV and rifampicin based anti-TB drug induced liver injury in TB-HIV infected patients. PLoS One 2011; 6: e27810.
- **29** Teixeira RL, Morato RG, Cabello PH, Muniz LM, Moreira Ada S, Kritski AL, *et al.* Genetic polymorphisms of NAT2, CYP2E1 and GST enzymes and the occurrence of antituberculosis drug-induced hepatitis in Brazilian TB patients. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2011; 106: 716–24.
- **30** Higuchi N, Tahara N, Yanagihara K, Fukushima K, Suyama N, Inoue Y, *et al*. NAT2 6A, a haplotype of the N-acetyltransferase 2 gene, is an important biomarker for risk of anti-tuberculosis druginduced hepatotoxicity in Japanese patients with tuberculosis. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13: 6003–8.
- **31** Huang YS, Chern HD, Su WJ, Wu JC, Lai SL, Yang SY, *et al.* Polymorphism of the N-acetyltransferase 2 gene as a susceptibility risk factor for antituberculosis drug-induced hepatitis. Hepatology 2002; 35: 883–9.
- **32** Kilbane AJ, Petroff T, Weber WW. Kinetics of acetyl CoA: arylamine N-acetyltransferase from rapid and slow acetylator human liver. Drug Metab Dispos 1991; 19: 503–7.
- **33** Hickman D, Palamanda JR, Unadkat JD, Sim E. Enzyme kinetic properties of human recombinant arylamine N-acetyltransferase 2 allotypic variants expressed in Escherichia coli. Biochem Pharmacol 1995; 50: 697–703.
- **34** Lee JH, Chung JG, Lai JM, Levy GN, Weber WW. Kinetics of arylamine N-acetyltransferase in tissues from human breast cancer. Cancer Lett 1997; 111: 39–50.
- **35** Walraven JM, Zang Y, Trent JO, Hein DW. Structure/function evaluations of single nucleotide polymorphisms in human Nacetyltransferase 2. Curr Drug Metab 2008; 9: 471–86.
- **36** Kinzig-Schippers M, Tomalik-Scharte D, Jetter A, Scheidel B, Jakob V, Rodamer M, *et al.* Should we use N-acetyltransferase type 2 genotyping to personalize isoniazid doses? Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005; 49: 1733–8.
- **37** Azuma J, Ohno M, Kubota R, Yokota S, Nagai T, Tsuyuguchi K, *et al*. NAT2 genotype guided regimen reduces isoniazid-induced liver injury and early treatment failure in the 6-month four-drug standard treatment of tuberculosis: a randomized controlled trial for pharmacogenetics-based therapy. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2013; 69: 1091–101.

- **38** Ramachandran G, Swaminathan S. Role of pharmacogenomics in the treatment of tuberculosis: a review. Pharm Person Med 2012; 5: 89–98.
- **39** Hussain Z, Kar P, Husain SA. Antituberculosis drug-induced hepatitis: risk factors, prevention and management. Indian J Exp Biol 2003; 41: 1226–32.
- **40** Nahid P, Dorman SE, Alipanah N, Barry PM, Brozek JL, Cattamanchi A, *et al.* Official American Thoracic Society/ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Infectious Diseases Society of America clinical practice guidelines: treatment of drug-susceptible tuberculosis. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63: e147–95.
- **41** World Health Organization. Guidelines on the management of latent tuberculosis infection. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2015.
- **42** Harding SD, Sharman JL, Faccenda E, Southan C, Pawson AJ, Ireland S, *et al.* The IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY in 2018: updates and expansion to encompass the new guide to IMMUNOPHARMACOLOGY. Nucl Acids Res 2018; 46: D1091–106.
- **43** Alexander SPH, Kelly E, Marrion NV, Peters JA, Faccenda E, Harding SD, *et al*. The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/ 18: Transporters. Br J Pharmacol 2017; 174: S360–446.
- **44** Chan SL, Chua APG, Aminkeng F, Chee CBE, Jin S, Loh M, *et al.* Association and clinical utility of NAT2 in the prediction of isoniazid-induced liver injury in Singaporean patients. PLoS One 2017; 12: e0186200.
- **45** Wattanapokayakit S, Mushiroda T, Yanai H, Wichukchinda N, Chuchottawon C, Nedsuwan S, *et al*. NAT2 slow acetylator associated with anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury in Thai patients. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2016; 20: 1364–9.
- **46** Mushiroda T, Yanai H, Yoshiyama T, Sasaki Y, Okumura M, Ogata H, *et al*. Development of a prediction system for anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury in Japanese patients. Hum Genome Var 2016; 3: PMC4917605.
- **47** Yuliwulandari R, Susilowati RW, Wicaksono BD, Viyati K, Prayuni K, Razari I, *et al.* NAT2 variants are associated with drug-induced liver injury caused by anti-tuberculosis drugs in Indonesian patients with tuberculosis. J Hum Genet 2016; 61: 533–7.
- **48** Wang JY, Tsai CH, Lee YL, Lee LN, Hsu CL, Chang HC, *et al.* Gender-dimorphic impact of PXR genotype and haplotype on hepatotoxicity during antituberculosis treatment. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015; 94: e982.
- **49** Ho HT, Wang TH, Hsiong CH, Perng WC, Wang NC, Huang TY, *et al.* The NAT2 tag SNP rs1495741 correlates with the susceptibility of antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2013; 23: 200–7.
- **50** Ben Mahmoud L, Ghozzi H, Kamoun A, Hakim A, Hachicha H, Hammami S, *et al.* Polymorphism of the N-acetyltransferase 2 gene as a susceptibility risk factor for antituberculosis druginduced hepatotoxicity in Tunisian patients with tuberculosis. Pathol Biol (Paris) 2012; 60: 324–30.
- **51** Leiro-Fernandez V, Valverde D, Vazquez-Gallardo R, Botana-Rial M, Constenla L, Agundez JA, *et al*. N-acetyltransferase 2 polymorphisms and risk of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in Caucasians. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2011; 15: 1403–8.
- **52** Sistanizad M, Azizi E, Khalili H, Hajiabdolbaghi M, Gholami K, Mahjub R. Antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in Iranian tuberculosis patients: role of isoniazid metabolic polymorphism. Iran J Pharm Res 2011; 10: 633–9.

M. Zhang et al.

- 53 Khalili H, Fouladdel S, Sistanizad M, Hajiabdolbaghi M, Azizi E. Association of N-acetyltransferase-2 genotypes and antituberculosis induced liver injury; first case-controlled study from Iran. Curr Drug Saf 2011; 6: 17–22.
- 54 Bozok Cetintas V, Erer OF, Kosova B, Ozdemir I, Topcuoglu N, Aktogu S, et al. Determining the relation between Nacetyltransferase-2 acetylator phenotype and antituberculosis drug induced hepatitis by molecular biologic tests. Tuberk Toraks 2008; 56: 81–6.
- **55** Possuelo LG, Castelan JA, de Brito TC, Ribeiro AW, Cafrune PI, Picon PD, *et al.* Association of slow N-acetyltransferase 2 profile and anti-TB drug-induced hepatotoxicity in patients from southern Brazil. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2008; 64: 673–81.
- 56 Shimizu Y, Dobashi K, Mita Y, Endou K, Moriya S, Osano K, et al. DNA microarray genotyping of N-acetyltransferase 2 polymorphism using carbodiimide as the linker for assessment of isoniazid hepatotoxicity. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2006; 86: 374–81.
- **57** Chamorro JG, Castagnino JP, Musella RM, Nogueras M, Aranda FM, Frias A, *et al.* Sex, ethnicity, and slow acetylator profile are the major causes of hepatotoxicity induced by antituberculosis drugs. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; 28: 323–8.
- **58** Gupta VH, Amarapurkar DN, Singh M, Sasi P, Joshi JM, Baijal R, *et al*. Association of N-acetyltransferase 2 and cytochrome P450 2E1 gene polymorphisms with antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in western India. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; 28: 1368–74.
- **59** Santos NP, Callegari-Jacques SM, Ribeiro Dos Santos AK, Silva CA, Vallinoto AC, Fernandes DC, *et al*. N-acetyl transferase 2 and cytochrome P450 2E1 genes and isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity in Brazilian patients. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2013; 17: 499–504.
- **60** An HR, Wu XQ, Wang ZY, Zhang JX, Liang Y. NAT2 and CYP2E1 polymorphisms associated with antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in Chinese patients. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2012; 39: 535–43.
- **61** Bose PD, Sarma MP, Medhi S, Das BC, Husain SA, Kar P. Role of polymorphic N-acetyl transferase2 and cytochrome P4502E1 gene in antituberculosis treatment-induced hepatitis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011; 26: 312–8.
- **62** Lee S, Chung LS, Huang H, Chuang T, Liou Y, Wu LS. NAT2 and CYP2E1 polymorphisms and susceptibility to first-line anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatitis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2010; 14: 622–6.

- **63** Yamada S, Tang M, Richardson K, Halaschek-Wiener J, Chan M, Cook VJ, *et al.* Genetic variations of NAT2 and CYP2E1 and isoniazid hepatotoxicity in a diverse population. Pharmacogenomics 2009; 10: 1433–45.
- **64** Cho H, Koh W, Ryu Y, Ki C, Nam M, Kim J, *et al*. Genetic polymorphisms of NAT2 and CYP2E1 associated with antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in Korean patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. Tuberculosis 2007; 87: 551–6.
- **65** Vuilleumier N, Rossier MF, Chiappe A, Degoumois F, Dayer P, Mermillod B, *et al.* CYP2E1 genotype and isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity in patients treated for latent tuberculosis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2006; 62: 423–9.
- **66** Chamorro JG, Castagnino JP, Aidar O, Musella RM, Frías A, Visca M, *et al*. Effect of gene–gene and gene–environment interactions associated with antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2017; 27: 363–71.
- **67** Heinrich MM, Zembrzuski VM, Ota MM, Sacchi FP, Teixeira RLF, Cabello Acero PH, *et al.* Factors associated with anti-TB druginduced hepatotoxicity and genetic polymorphisms in indigenous and non-indigenous populations in Brazil. Tuberculosis 2016; 101: 15–24.
- **68** Singla N, Gupta D, Birbian N, Singh J. Association of NAT2, GST and CYP2E1 polymorphisms and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2014; 94: 293–8.
- **69** Xiang Y, Ma L, Wu W, Liu W, Li Y, Zhu X, *et al.* The incidence of liver injury in Uyghur patients treated for TB in Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region, China, and its association with hepatic enzyme polymorphisms NAT2, CYP2E1, GSTM1 and GSTT1. PLoS One 2014; 9: e85905.
- **70** Costa GN, Magno LA, Santana CV, Konstantinovas C, Saito ST, Machado M, *et al.* Genetic interaction between NAT2, GSTM1, GSTT1, CYP2E1, and environmental factors is associated with adverse reactions to anti-tuberculosis drugs. Mol Diagn Ther 2012; 16: 241–50.
- 71 Sotsuka T, Sasaki Y, Hirai S, Yamagishi F, Ueno K. Association of isoniazid-metabolizing enzyme genotypes and isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity in tuberculosis patients. In Vivo 2011; 25: 803–12.
- **72** Zaverucha-do-Valle C, Monteiro SP, El-Jaick KB, Rosadas LA, Costa MJ, Quintana MS, *et al.* The role of cigarette smoking and liver enzymes polymorphisms in anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in Brazilian patients. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2014; 94: 299–305.
- **73** Kim S, Kim S, Bahn J, Kim Y, Chang Y, Shin E, *et al*. Genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes and anti-TB drug-induced hepatitis. Pharmacogenomics 2009; 10: 1767–79.

