


ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Chronic nicotine exposure attenuates the effects of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on anxiety-related behavior and social interaction in adult male and female rats

Laurie A. Manwell  | Tanya Miladinovic | Elana Raaphorst | Shadna Rana | Sarah Malecki | Paul E. Mallet

Department of Psychology, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON, Canada

Correspondence

Laurie A. Manwell, Department of Psychology, Wilfrid Laurier University, 75 University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON N2L3C5, Canada.
Emails: lmanwell@wlu.ca; lauriemanwell@gmail.com

Funding information

This work was performed with grants to PEM from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and Wilfrid Laurier University.

Abstract

Introduction: Anxiogenic and anxiolytic effects of cannabinoids are mediated by different mechanisms, including neural signaling via cannabinoid receptors (CBRs) and nicotinic cholinergic receptors (nAChRs). This study examined the effects of prior nicotine (the psychoactive component in tobacco) exposure on behavioral sensitivity to delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC; the psychoactive component of cannabis) challenge in animals.

Methods: Male and female adult Sprague-Dawley rats ($N = 96$) were injected daily with nicotine (1 mg/kg, i.p.) or vehicle for 14 days, followed by a 14-day drug-free period. On test day, rats were injected with THC (0.5, 2.0, or 5.0 mg/kg, i.p.) or vehicle and anxiety-related behavior was assessed in the emergence (EM), elevated plus maze (EPM), and social interaction (SI) tests.

Results: Chronic nicotine pretreatment attenuated some of the anxiogenic effects induced by THC challenge which can be summarized as follows: (a) THC dose-dependently affected locomotor activity, exploratory behavior, and social interaction in the EM, EPM, and SI tests of unconditioned anxiety; (b) these effects of acute THC challenge were greater in females compared with males except for grooming a conspecific; (c) prior nicotine exposure attenuated the effects of acute THC challenge for locomotor activity in the EPM test; and (d) prior nicotine exposure attenuated the effects of THC challenge for direct but not indirect physical interaction in the SI tests.

Conclusions: The ability of nicotine prior exposure to produce long-lasting changes that alter the effects of acute THC administration suggests that chronic nicotine may induce neuroplastic changes that influence the subsequent response to novel THC exposure.

KEYWORDS

anxiety-related behavior, cross-sensitization, delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol, drug abuse, nicotine, social interaction

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. *Brain and Behavior* published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The burden of disease attributable to substance use and the rates of comorbid tobacco and cannabis use are increasing, particularly for adolescents and young adults (Becker, Schaub, Gmel, & Haug, 2015; Degenhardt, Stockings, Patton, Hall, & Lynskey, 2016; Keyes, Hamilton, & Kandel, 2016; Patton, Coffey, Carlin, Sawyer, & Lynskey, 2005; Ramo, Liu, & Prochaska, 2012; Rubinstein, Rait, & Prochaska, 2014; Subramaniam, McGlade, & Yurgelun-Todd, 2016). Tobacco use is the primary preventable cause of death with an estimated mortality rate of 5 to 6 million people per year globally (World Health Organization [WHO], 2008, 2009, 2013). Cannabis is the most widely used illicit drug with cannabis use disorders becoming increasingly prevalent (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [UNODC], 2015). Tobacco and cannabis use are highly comorbid, at rates of up to 90% in some studies, which has important implications for physical and psychosocial health (Agrawal, Budney, & Lynskey, 2012; Rabin & George, 2015). For example, the co-occurrence of cannabis use disorder and nicotine dependence is associated with higher rates of psychiatric disorders, particularly anxiety disorders, bipolar disorder, and antisocial and schizotypal personality disorders (Peters, Schwartz, Wang, O'Grady, & Blanco, 2014). Daily or almost daily cannabis use is associated with anxiety disorders, particularly social anxiety (Feingold, Weiser, Rehm, & Lev-Ran, 2016). Although tobacco and cannabis, and their respective psychoactive constituents, nicotine and tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), are reported to have anxiety-alleviating effects, research in humans and animals also demonstrates their anxiogenic effects depending on the dose, timing, and route of administration and whether exposure is acute or chronic (Irvine, Cheeta, & File, 1999; Manwell, Charchoglyan, et al., 2014; Manwell, Ford, Ford, Matthews, Heipel, & Mallet, 2014; Manwell & Mallet, 2015; Morissette, Tull, Gulliver, Kamholz, & Zimering, 2007; Parrott, 1995; Pomerleau, Turk, & Fertig, 1984; Schramm-Sapyta et al., 2007; Sethi et al., 1986; West & Hajek, 1997). Moreover, some of the adverse effects of THC, including intoxication, anxiety, and psychotic symptoms, are reduced by cannabidiol (CBD) also present in cannabis in varying amounts (Osborne, Solowij, & Weston-Green, 2017; Solowij et al., 2019). A recent US national survey of tobacco use indicated that, although rates have decreased in the past decade, they remained constant for adults with one or more chronic health conditions and were greater in people with mental health comorbidities, specifically anxiety, depression, and substance abuse disorders (Stanton et al., 2016). The prevalence of comorbid tobacco and cannabis use is also greater in adolescents and young adults with mental illness and generally greater in males than in females (Hammerslag & Gulley, 2016; Ramo et al., 2012).

Epidemiological studies suggest that prior tobacco use increases the risk of cannabis use, but a causal relationship has not been well established. Evidence shows that illicit drug use (i.e., cannabis, cocaine, and heroin) is often preceded by tobacco and alcohol use, which supports the well-known gateway hypothesis of drug use; however, cannabis use is a strong predictor for the onset and lifetime use of tobacco use, which supports the reverse gateway hypothesis (Agrawal et al., 2012; Becker et al., 2015; Fergusson,

Boden, & Horwood, 2006; Kandel & Kandel, 2015; Keyes et al., 2016; Subramaniam et al., 2016; Wagner & Anthony, 2002). Thus, several neurobiological mechanisms for comorbid tobacco and cannabis have been proposed to explain the association, for example, synergistic mechanisms, involving central nervous system nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) and cannabinoid receptors (CBRs), and compensatory mechanisms, involving attenuation of withdrawal symptoms (Rabin & George, 2015; Subramaniam et al., 2016). Both of these mechanisms involve changes in the opposing physiological processes of reward and aversion learning which are mediated by neural circuits in the brain's mesolimbic dopaminergic system (MDS; Kandel & Kandel, 2015; Scherma et al., 2016). After nicotine exposure, neurons in the MDS become sensitized to the effects of other drugs, particularly THC and cocaine (Kandel & Kandel, 2015; Rabin & George, 2015; Subramaniam et al., 2016). Nicotine's effects on the endocannabinoid system include the release of endocannabinoids in the MDS, affecting dopamine levels and thus the rewarding effects of nicotine (González et al., 2002; Scherma et al., 2008); these effects can be blocked by administration of CBR antagonists, such as SR141716 (Cheer et al., 2007; Scherma et al., 2008). CBRs are also involved in the stress response: THC dose-dependently elevates levels of stress hormones (e.g., corticosterone and adrenocorticotrophic hormone [ACTH]; Schramm-Sapyta et al., 2007), and downregulation of CBR-mediated signaling induced by chronic stress is associated with impairments in behavioral flexibility and may play a role in repetitive behaviors notably observed in anxiety-related neuropsychiatric disorders (Hill et al., 2005).

Animal models are advantageous in studying the role of nicotine and other drugs in the development of anxiety-related disorders and impaired social behaviors, although more research is necessary to demonstrate the mechanisms involved (Le Foll, Ng, Di Ciano, & Trigo, 2015). Behavioral measures established to model unconditioned anxiety include the light-dark emergence test (EM), the elevated plus-maze (EPM) test, and the social interaction (SI) test (Arrant, Schramm-Sapyta, & Kuhn, 2013; Crawley, 1985; File, Cheeta, & Kenny, 2000; Le Foll et al., 2015; Pellow, Chopin, File, & Briley, 1985). The emergence test assesses competing approach-avoidance motivations and clinically effective anxiolytics significantly increase exploration of the open-lit compartment whereas anxiogenics reduce exploration (Arrant et al., 2013; Chauloff, Durand, & Mormede, 1997; Crawley, 1985; Merlo Pich & Samanin, 1989). The EPM test also assesses approach-avoidance conflict and clinically effective anxiolytic drugs, such as benzodiazepines (i.e., diazepam and chlor-diazepam) and barbiturates (i.e., phenobarbitone), typically increase exploration of open arms without increasing exploration of closed arms (Montgomery, 1955; Pellow et al., 1985). In contrast, drugs with anxiogenic effects in humans (i.e., amphetamine, caffeine, yohimbine, and pentylenetetrazole) reduce the number of open arm entries and exploration time (Pellow et al., 1985). In comparison with animals confined to the closed arm, animals confined to the open arms show markedly elevated plasma corticosterone levels and more anxiety-like behaviors (i.e., increased immobility, freezing behavior, and defecation; Pellow et al., 1985). The SI test assesses generalized anxiety

behaviors in a social context measured by behaviors toward a conspecific, such as sniffing, following, grooming, and aggression; anxiolytic effects are generally inferred from increased social interaction, particularly in the absence of changes in locomotor activity reflecting nonspecific effects of a drug (File et al., 2000; Le Foll et al., 2015).

Evidence suggests the EM, EPM, and SI measures reflect different states of anxiety, which are potentially mediated by different neural mechanisms (Cheeta, Kenny, & File, 2000; File, 1992; File et al., 2000; File, Gonzalez, & Andrews, 1996; Genn, Tucci, Marco, Viveros, & File, 2004). By itself, nicotine induces anxiolytic or anxiogenic effects depending upon the dose and testing conditions (File, Cheeta, Irvine, Tucci, & Akthar, 2002; File, Kenny, & Ouagazzal, 1998; Irvine et al., 1999). Studies in male rodents show that acute or chronic exposure to high doses of cannabinoids tends to increase anxiogenic behaviors on these three tests, whereas low doses have anxiolytic effects (Berrendero & Maldonado, 2002; Genn, Tucci, Marco, Viveros, & File, 2003; Genn et al., 2004; Marco et al., 2004; Onaivi, Green, & Martin, 1990; O'Shea, McGregor, & Mallet, 2006; O'Shea, Singh, McGregor, & Mallet, 2004; Schramm-Sapyta et al., 2007; Valjent, Mitchell, Besson, Caboche, & Maldonado, 2002). When coadministered, acute nicotine potentiates some of the cannabinoid-induced responses on these measures (Valjent et al., 2002). Prior nicotine exposure also potentiates the aversive effects of high doses of THC, but not the rewarding effects of low doses of THC in place conditioning (Le Foll, Wiggins, & Goldberg, 2006), and is known to promote cross-sensitization to other drugs including cocaine (Collins & Izenwasser, 2004; McQuown, Belluzzi, & Leslie, 2007), amphetamine (Collins, Montano, & Izenwasser, 2004), and morphine (Shippenberg, Heidbreder, & Lefevour, 1996). Several lines of evidence suggest that the anxiogenic and anxiolytic effects of cannabinoids are mediated by different mechanisms, including neural signaling via CBRs, nAChRs, serotonin (5-HT_{1A}) receptors, and opioid receptors (Berrendero & Maldonado, 2002; Marco et al., 2004; Valjent et al., 2002). Taken together, these findings suggest a physiological interaction between nicotine and THC in anxiety-related behaviors.

Given this interaction, the objective of the current experiment was to determine whether chronic nicotine exposure induces neuroplastic changes, which in turn alter the acute effect of THC on anxiety. The present study was designed to examine the effects of prior chronic nicotine exposure on acute THC challenge in male and female adult rats. We hypothesized that the aversive effects of acute THC exposure would be attenuated (i.e., less anxiogenic) in male and female rats.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Animals

Experimentally naïve adult CD IGS rats ($N = 96$ Charles River, QC, Canada) were used. At the beginning of the experiment, adult male ($n = 50$) and female ($n = 46$) rats weighed 225–250 g. Rats were fed standard rat chow (Harlan 8460) and water ad libitum and pair-housed with a same-sex, treatment-matched partner in standard

plastic shoebox cages ($45 \times 25 \times 20$ cm) in a colony room maintained at 21–22°C on a 12-hr reverse light–dark cycle with light onset at 19:00 hr. All testing was conducted during the dark cycle. Experimental procedures followed Canadian Council on Animal Care Guidelines and were approved by the Wilfrid Laurier University Animal Care Committee. Rats were acclimatized to the colony room and handling procedures prior to experimentation.

2.2 | Drugs

Nicotine ((-)-nicotine tartrate salt; Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in 0.9% NaCl, and the pH was adjusted to 7.0–7.4 with 0.1 M NaOH. Nicotine was administered (i.p.) at a dose of 1 mg/kg in a volume of 1 ml/kg body weight. This dose of nicotine was chosen to be within the range known to induce lasting changes in cannabinoid receptor density and activity (e.g., Werling, Collins Reed, Wade, & Izenwasser, 2009). Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC; THC Pharm GmbH) was dissolved in ethanol, mixed with a small quantity of TWEEN 80 (such that the final vehicle contained 1% TWEEN 80), and the ethanol was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen gas. THC was then suspended in 0.9% NaCl and injected (i.p.) in doses of 0.5, 2, or 5 mg/kg in a volume of 1 ml/kg. These multiple doses of THC for an acute challenge were chosen based upon previous studies showing the minimum doses for observable effects (e.g., locomotor, anxiety-like behavior, conditioned preference, or aversion) up to doses that are less than those that begin to produce sedation effects (e.g., catalepsy; Le Foll et al., 2006; Schramm-Sapyta et al., 2007; Werling et al., 2009).

2.3 | Behavioral testing apparatus

2.3.1 | Emergence test

The emergence test was conducted in a dimly lit room illuminated by one 13 W compact fluorescent red lamp (5 Lux at apparatus level) within an apparatus consisting of a $120 \times 120 \times 45$ cm white melamine arena with a black acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) floor and a $40 \times 24 \times 17$ cm black melamine hide box. Rats were placed in the hide box at the beginning of the test period. Activity was recorded by a video camera mounted 225 cm above the apparatus, using the ANY-maze video tracking software (Stoeling Co., 2010). Scored behaviors included latency to emerge from the hide box (s), time spent in the open field (s), and time mobile (s) to determine whether any changes in hide box latency may be related to altered locomotion.

2.3.2 | Elevated plus-maze test

The EPM test consisted of two open (52×12 cm) and two closed ($52 \times 12 \times 40$ cm high) ultra-high-molecular-weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) arms arranged in a cross-elevated position, 53 cm above the room floor. The maze floor was constructed of black ABS. This task was conducted in a dimly lit room illuminated by one 13 W compact fluorescent red lamp (5 Lux at apparatus level) and activity was

recorded by a camera mounted 140 cm above the apparatus, using ANY maze. Scored behaviors included number of entries to open and closed arms, time spent in open arms (s), and time mobile (s) which was used to quantify locomotor activity.

2.3.3 | Social interaction test

The social interaction test was conducted in a room dimly illuminated by white lights (37 Lux at apparatus level) and performed in an experimental chamber (61 × 26 × 40 cm) made of clear acrylic sides and top, and a black ABS floor. Animals were placed in the apparatus for 10 min with a treatment-matched unfamiliar conspecific of approximately the same body weight. Activity was recorded by a video camera positioned 75 cm in front of the apparatus. An observer blind to group allocations manually scored trials using ODLog software (Macropod Software, www.macropodsoftware.com). Scored behaviors included time (s) spent sniffing, following, and grooming a conspecific, and time spent rearing as a measure of general locomotor activity.

2.4 | Procedure

Rats ($n = 12$ per treatment group) were handled for seven consecutive days before the start of the experiment, after which half of the rats received chronic injections of nicotine and half received vehicle every 24 hr for 14 days. Following a two-week washout period to ensure that tolerance and withdrawal effects would be reduced or absent (e.g., Irvine et al., 1999), rats were further divided into THC challenge groups that received either THC (0.5, 2.0, or 5.0 mg/kg, i.p.) or vehicle (1 ml/kg, i.p.) on test day (counterbalanced across nicotine pretreatment groups and males and females; see Table 1). Previous studies have shown that chronic nicotine exposure has lasting effects when rats are later challenged with an acute dose of THC (e.g., Werling et al., 2009). Rats were injected with THC or its vehicle, immediately returned to their home cage, and placed in either the emergence test or EPM 30 min later. Each rat was tested in the emergence test and EPM for 5 min per test. The order in which emergence and EPM testing were conducted was counterbalanced across groups. Following both tests, rats were placed in the social interaction test with an unfamiliar conspecific of the same sex and from the same treatment group for 10 min.

2.5 | Data analysis

Data for all behavioral measures of the emergence test (time spent in open field, latency to exit the hide box, percentage of time spent mobile in the open field, mean locomotor speed, and open field entries), EPM test (number of open arm entries, time spent in open arms, time spent in closed arms, number of entries to closed arms, total time mobile, and mean locomotor speed), and social interaction test (sniffing, following, and grooming the other rat, and time spent rearing) were analyzed using three-way $2 \times 2 \times 4$ ANOVAs with sex (male vs. female), drug pretreatment condition (vehicle vs. 1.0 mg/kg nicotine), and acute drug challenge condition (vehicle vs. 0.5 mg/kg THC,

TABLE 1 Assignment of adult male and female rats to chronic nicotine pretreatment (1.0 mg/kg) and acute THC challenge conditions (0, 0.5, 2.0, and 5.0 mg/kg)

Nicotine pretreatment - THC challenge	Male	Female	Total
Veh-Veh	7	5	12
Veh-0.5 THC	6	6	12
Veh-2.0 THC	6	6	12
Veh-5.0 THC	6	6	12
Nic-0.5 THC	6	6	12
Nic-0.5 THC	6	6	12
Nic-2.0 THC	6	6	12
Nic-5.0 THC	7	5	12
Total	50	46	96

2.0 mg/kg THC, 5.0 mg/kg THC) as independent variables. Results were followed with one-way ANOVAs and post hoc Dunnett's t (2-sided) or Bonferroni's tests where warranted. For data with unequal variances (i.e., Levene's test significant) and/or sample sizes, Welch's F (WF) and Games-Howell or Hochberg's GT2 post hoc tests were used where warranted (i.e., Field, 2009). The significance level was set at $p < .05$.

3 | RESULTS

Results of the behavioral analyses with means (M) and standard errors (SE) are presented in Figures 1–2, 3–4, and 5–6 for the EM, EPM, and SI tests, respectively. There were no interaction or main effects of counterbalancing order for the EM, EPM, and SI tests (all p 's n.s.; see Table 2).

3.1 | Emergence test

3.1.1 | Time spent in open field

Analysis of the time (s) spent in the open field showed a significant interaction between Sex × THC challenge [$F(3,80) = 16.33, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.380$] and significant main effects of Sex [$F(1,80) = 24.19, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.232$] and THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 23.39, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.467$] such that time in the open field was decreased for (a) female rats given the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg), (b) females compared with males, and (c) all rats given the highest dose of THC (5.0 mg/kg).

3.1.2 | Latency to emerge from the hide box

Analysis of the time (s) to emerge from the hide box showed only a significant main effect of THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 9.86, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.270$] such that (a) latency to emerge from the hide box was increased for rats given only the highest dose of THC (5.0 mg/kg).




FIGURE 1 Light-dark emergence tests show anxiogenic effects of acute THC exposure. (Top panel) Time in open field (s). (Middle panel) Latency to emerge from the hide box (s). (Bottom panel) Time mobile (s). Behavioral data (means ± SE) for eight experimental conditions (n = 12/group; Veh-Veh, Veh-0.5THC, Veh-2.0THC, Veh-5.0THC, Nic-Veh, Nic-0.5THC, Nic-2.0THC, and Nic-5.0THC) in male and female adult rats. ANOVAs and Dunnett's *t* test (2-sided): **p* < .05 and ***p* < .01 and ****p* < .001 compared to vehicle (Veh-Veh)




FIGURE 2 Light-dark emergence tests show anxiogenic effects of acute THC exposure. (Top panel) Mean locomotor speed (distance traveled (m)/time (s)). (Bottom panel) Open field entries. Behavioral data (means \pm SE) for eight experimental conditions ($n = 12$ /group; Veh-Veh, Veh-0.5THC, Veh-2.0THC, Veh-5.0THC, Nic-Veh, Nic-0.5THC, Nic-2.0THC, and Nic-5.0THC) in male and female adult rats. ANOVAs and Dunnett's *t* test (2-sided): * $p < .05$ and ** $p < .01$ and *** $p < .001$ compared to vehicle (Veh-Veh)

3.1.3 | Time mobile

Analysis of the total time mobile (s) showed that there were no significant differences across sex or treatment groups.

3.1.4 | Mean locomotor speed

Analysis of the mean locomotor speed (distance traveled (m)/time (s)) showed a significant interaction between Sex \times THC challenge [$F(3,80) = 11.853$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.308$] and significant main effects of Sex [$F(1,80) = 16.18$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.168$] and THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 16.03$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.375$] such that locomotor speed was decreased for (a) female rats given the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg), (b) females compared with males, and (c) all rats given the highest dose of THC (5.0 mg/kg).

3.1.5 | Open field entries

Analysis of the number of open field entries showed main effects of Sex [$F(1,80) = 4.96$, $p < .05$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.058$] and THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 25.60$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.490$] such that open field entries were decreased for (a) males compared with females and (b) all rats given the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg).

3.2 | Elevated plus maze

3.2.1 | Number of open arm entries

Analysis of the number of open arm entries showed a significant main effect of THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 13.88$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.342$] such that the number of open arm entries was decreased for (a) all rats given the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg).




FIGURE 3 Elevated plus-maze tests show anxiogenic effects of acute THC exposure. (Top panel) Number of open arm entries. (Middle panel) Number of closed arm entries. (Bottom panel) Time spent in open arms. Behavioral data (means \pm SE) for the elevated plus-maze test for eight experimental conditions ($n = 12$ /group; Veh-Veh, Veh-0.5THC, Veh-2.0THC, Veh-5.0THC, Nic-Veh, Nic-0.5THC, Nic-2.0THC, and Nic-5.0THC) in male and female adult rats. ANOVAs and Dunnett's t test (2-sided): * $p < .05$ and ** $p < .01$ and *** $p < .001$ compared to vehicle (Veh-Veh)




FIGURE 4 Elevated plus-maze tests show anxiogenic and locomotor effects of acute THC exposure attenuated by prior nicotine exposure. (Top panel) Time spent in closed arms (s). (Middle panel) Time mobile (s). (Bottom panel) Mean locomotor speed (m/s). Behavioral data (means \pm SE) for the light-dark emergence tests for eight experimental conditions ($n = 12$ /group; Veh-Veh, Veh-0.5THC, Veh-2.0THC, Veh-5.0THC, Nic-Veh, Nic-0.5THC, Nic-2.0THC, and Nic-5.0THC) in male and female adult rats. ANOVAs and Dunnett's t test (2-sided): * $p < .05$ and ** $p < .01$ and *** $p < .001$ compared to vehicle (Veh-Veh)




FIGURE 5 Social interaction tests show the effects of acute THC exposure and prior nicotine exposure on female rats. (Top panel) Time spent sniffing conspecific. (Bottom) Time spent following conspecific (s). Behavioral data (means \pm SE) for the social interaction tests for eight experimental conditions ($n = 12$ /group; Veh-Veh, Veh-0.5THC, Veh-2.0THC, Veh-5.0THC, Nic-Veh, Nic-0.5THC, Nic-2.0THC, and Nic-5.0THC) in male and female adult rats. ANOVAs and Dunnett's t test (2-sided): * $p < .05$ and ** $p < .01$ and *** $p < .001$ compared to vehicle (Veh-Veh)

3.2.2 | Number of entries to closed arms

Analysis of the number of closed arm entries did not reveal any significant effects.

3.2.3 | Time spent in open arms

Analysis of the time spent in the open arms (s) main effects of Sex [$F(1,80) = 6.35, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.074$] and THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 4.88, p < .01, \eta_p^2 = 0.155$] such that time spent in the open arms was decreased for (a) females compared with males, and (b) rats given the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg).

3.2.4 | Time spent in closed arms

Analysis of the time spent in the closed arms (s) showed a significant interaction between Nicotine pretreatment \times THC challenge

[$F(3,80) = 3.00, p < 0.05, \eta_p^2 = 0.101$] and main effects of Nicotine pretreatment [$F(1,80) = 3.80, p < 0.05, \eta_p^2 = 0.045$] and THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 2.80, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.095$] such that time spent in the closed arms was increased for (a) rats given the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg) and (b) previous nicotine exposure abolished this effect of THC in all rats.

3.2.5 | Time mobile

Analysis of the time mobile (s) showed a significant interaction between Nicotine pretreatment \times THC challenge [$F(3,80) = 4.54, p < .01, \eta_p^2 = 0.145$] significant main effect THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 11.02, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.292$] such that the time mobile was (a) decreased for rats given the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg) and (b) this effect was attenuated for




FIGURE 6 Social interaction tests show the effects of acute THC exposure and prior nicotine exposure on male and female Rats. (Top panel) Time spent grooming conspecific. (Bottom) Time spent rearing (s). Behavioral data (means \pm SE) for the social interactions tests for eight experimental conditions ($n = 12$ /group; Veh-Veh, Veh-0.5THC, Veh-2.0THC, Veh-5.0THC, Nic-Veh, Nic-0.5THC, Nic-2.0THC, and Nic-5.0THC) in male and female adult rats. ANOVAs and Dunnett's t test (2-sided): * $p < .05$ and ** $p < .01$ and *** $p < .001$ compared to vehicle (Veh-Veh)

rats preexposed to nicotine and given the middle dose of THC (2.0 mg/kg).

3.2.6 | Mean locomotor speed

Analysis of the mean locomotor speed (distance traveled (m)/time (s)) showed a significant interaction between Sex \times THC challenge [$F(3,80) = 5.15, p < .01, \eta_p^2 = 0.162$], a significant interaction between Nicotine pretreatment \times THC challenge [$F(3,80) = 3.53, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.117$], and a significant main effect of THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 18.01, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.403$] such that locomotor speed was decreased for (a) female rats given the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg) and (b) all rats given the highest dose of THC (5.0 mg/kg), and (c) nicotine preexposure attenuated this effect for rats given the middle dose of THC (2.0 mg/kg).

3.3 | Social interaction test

3.3.1 | Time spent sniffing conspecific

Analysis of the time (s) spent sniffing a conspecific showed significant interaction between Nicotine pretreatment \times THC challenge [$F(3,80) = 3.740, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.123$], between Sex \times Nicotine pretreatment [$F(3,80) = 4.881, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.058$], and between Sex \times THC challenge [$F(3,80) = 3.55, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.118$], and a significant main effect of THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 8.80, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.248$] such that time spent sniffing was decreased for (a) female rats preexposed to vehicle and the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg), and (b) female rats preexposed to nicotine, and (c) all rats preexposed to vehicle and the two highest doses of THC (2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg).

TABLE 2 Analysis of potential order effects for EM, EPM, and SI tests

		Order	Order × Sex	Order × Treatment	Order × Sex × Treatment
Emergence tests	Time in open field	$F(1,67) = 0.839, p = .363$	$F(1,67) = 0.664, p = .418$	$F(6,67) = 0.896, p = .503$	$F(5,67) = 1.31, p = .270$
	Latency to emerge	$F(1,67) = 0.741, p = .391$	$F(1,67) = 0.030, p = .863$	$F(6,67) = 1.98, p = .081$	$F(5,67) = 0.138, p = .983$
	Time mobile	$F(1,67) = 0.502, p = .481$	$F(1,67) = 0.030, p = .863$	$F(6,67) = 1.31, p = .266$	$F(5,67) = 0.300, p = .911$
	Mean locomotor speed	$F(1,67) = 0.091, p = .764$	$F(1,67) = 0.387, p = .536$	$F(6,67) = 1.39, p = .229$	$F(5,67) = 0.392, p = .853$
	Open field entries	$F(1,67) = 0.163, p = .688$	$F(1,67) = 1.93, p = .170$	$F(6,67) = 1.11, p = .383$	$F(5,67) = 0.939, p = .462$
Elevated plus maze	Number open arm entries	$F(1,67) = 0.738, p = .393$	$F(1,67) = 1.02, p = .316$	$F(6,67) = 0.841, p = .543$	$F(5,67) = 0.374, p = .865$
	Number closed arm entries	$F(1,67) = 0.546, p = .463$	$F(1,67) = 0.014, p = .905$	$F(6,67) = 0.760, p = .604$	$F(5,67) = 0.837, p = .528$
	Time spent in open arms	$F(1,67) = 0.221, p = .640$	$F(1,67) = 0.002, p = .961$	$F(6,67) = 0.421, p = .863$	$F(5,67) = 1.2, p = .313$
	Time spent in closed arms	$F(1,67) = 0.084, p = .773$	$F(1,67) = 2.87, p = .094$	$F(6,67) = 0.872, p = .520$	$F(5,67) = 2.20, p = .064$
	Time mobile	$F(1,67) = 3.10, p = .082$	$F(1,67) = 0.525, p = .471$	$F(6,67) = 0.421, p = .862$	$F(5,67) = 0.420, p = .833$
	Mean locomotor speed	$F(1,67) = 2.10, p = .152$	$F(1,67) = 0.798, p = .375$	$F(6,67) = 1.05, p = .401$	$F(5,67) = 0.505, p = .772$
Social interaction test	Sniffing conspecific	$F(1,67) = 0.083, p = .775$	$F(1,67) = 0.699, p = .406$	$F(6,67) = 0.825, p = .555$	$F(5,67) = 1.22, p = .310$
	Following conspecific	$F(1,67) = 4.40, p = .083$	$F(1,67) = 0.934, p = .337$	$F(6,67) = 0.882, p = .513$	$F(5,67) = 0.573, p = .721$
	Grooming conspecific	$F(1,67) = 0.090, p = .765$	$F(1,67) = 1.46, p = .231$	$F(6,67) = 0.713, p = .640$	$F(5,67) = 1.01, p = .419$
	Rearing (self)	$F(1,67) = 0.057, p = .813$	$F(1,67) = 0.124, p = .726$	$F(6,67) = 1.12, p = .361$	$F(5,67) = 0.163, p = .975$

3.3.2 | Time spent following conspecific

Analysis of the time (s) spent following a conspecific showed a significant interaction between Sex × THC challenge [$F(3,80) = 7.30, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.215$] and significant main effects of Sex [$F(1,80) = 6.05, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.070$] and THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 5.54, p < .01, \eta_p^2 = 0.172$] such that time spent following the conspecific was decreased for (a) female rats given the highest dose of THC (5.0 mg/kg) and (b) females than males.

3.3.3 | Time spent grooming conspecific

Analysis of the time (s) spent grooming a conspecific showed a significant interaction between Sex × Nicotine pretreatment × THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 2.72, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.093$], between Sex × Nicotine pretreatment [$F(3,80) = 25.75, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.2443$], and a significant main effect of THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 84.13, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.759$] such that time spent grooming the conspecific was increased for (a) males preexposed to vehicle and given the two lowest doses of THC (0.5 and 2.0 mg/kg), (b) males preexposed to nicotine and given the

lowest dose of THC (0.5 mg/kg), (c) females preexposed to vehicle and given the lowest dose of THC (0.5 mg/kg), and (d) females preexposed to nicotine and given the two lowest doses of THC (0.5 and 2.0 mg/kg).

3.3.4 | Time spent rearing

Analysis of the time (s) spent rearing showed significant main effects of Sex [$F(1,80) = 5.54, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.065$] and THC challenge [$F(1,80) = 7.13, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.211$] such that time spent rearing was decreased for (a) males compared with females and (b) rats given the lowest dose of THC (0.5 mg/kg).

4 | DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrates that nicotine reduced sensitivity to some of the anxiogenic effects of THC. These results show that acute THC induced anxiety-like behavior in adult rats, which was generally greater in females than in males. Prior chronic nicotine

exposure attenuated some of the anxiogenic effects of acute THC without producing lasting effects on its own. Dose- and task-specific effects can be summarized as follows: (a) THC dose-dependently affected locomotor activity, exploratory behavior, and social interaction in the EM, EPM, and SI tests of unconditioned anxiety; (b) these effects of acute THC challenge were greater in females compared with males except for grooming a conspecific; (c) prior nicotine exposure attenuated the effects of acute THC challenge for locomotor activity in the EPM test; and (d) prior nicotine exposure attenuated the effects of THC challenge for direct but not indirect physical interaction in the SI tests. This evidence of nicotine's potential to attenuate some of the aversive effects of THC provides support for the hypothesis of a functional link between the cholinergic and cannabinoid systems that may underlie increased risk of cannabis use arising from prior tobacco use.

4.1 | Novelty of anxiety-related behavioral findings

Results of the current study add to the body of research demonstrating the broad anxiogenic effects of high doses of THC, which are influenced by sex, and present new findings demonstrating that nicotine has long-lasting effects on the endocannabinoid system that moderate a range of anxiety-related behaviors in male and female rats. Results of the EM, EPM, and SI tests demonstrated that prior exposure to chronic nicotine alone did not affect anxiety-related behaviors but did attenuate some of the anxiogenic behaviors that were dose-dependently induced by acute THC in both male and female adult rats.

First, the EM and EPM test results are highly consistent with previous studies demonstrating anxiogenic effects of THC at similar doses in male rodents (Schramm-Sapyta et al., 2007; Valjent et al., 2002). Specifically, acute THC dose-dependently produces anxiogenic responses in both the EM and EPM tests with some locomotor-suppressing effects in male rats (0.5 to 2.5 mg/kg; Schramm-Sapyta et al., 2007) and in the EM test in male mice (5 mg/kg; Valjent et al., 2002). In the EPM test, the CB1 receptor agonist CP 55,940 produces anxiogenic and anxiolytic responses at high and low doses, respectively, in male rats (Marco et al., 2004).

Second, the SI test results are also consistent with previous findings that acute exposure to CB1 receptor agonists reduces social interaction in male rats, including THC (Malone, Jongejan, & Taylor, 2009; van Ree, Niesink, & Nir, 1984), CP 55,940 (Genn et al., 2004), and WIN 55212-2 (Trezza & Vanderschuren, 2008a, 2008b) even inducing conditioned anxiety in subsequent drug-free tests (Genn et al., 2004). Chronic exposure to CB1 agonists also reduces social interaction in drug-free tests in male adolescent and adult rats (O'Shea et al., 2006) and female adolescent rats but not female adult rats (O'Shea et al., 2004). Grooming behavior (of a conspecific) was the only measure in the current study to suggest an anxiolytic effect of low dose THC; however, the effect was moderated by both sex and nicotine exposure. Self-grooming and social-grooming behaviors recruit neighboring, but functionally dissociable, inhibitory and excitatory neurons in the medial

amygdala that act antagonistically (Hong, Kim, & Anderson, 2014; Kalueff et al., 2016), controlling the induction and suppression of social and asocial behaviors (Hong et al., 2014). Opposing behaviors could be triggered by activation of CB1 receptors via THC, in neighboring amygdala neurons, potentially explaining the simultaneous occurrence of decreased sniffing, following, and rearing, but increased grooming behaviors in the present study. Similarly, rearing is considered a measure of exploratory and/or escape behavior in rats (Lever, Burton, & O'Keefe, 2006) and could be mediated by similar mechanisms in the amygdala (Hong et al., 2014), as suggested by research showing increased dopaminergic activity is associated with decreased rearing induced by THC (Hernández-Tristán, Arévalo, Canals, & Leret, 2000).

Third, measures of locomotor activity in the EM, EPM, and SI tests showed that THC had no effect, or a locomotor-suppressing effect, with some sex differences and interaction with nicotine observed. Previous studies show locomotor-suppressing effects of THC in rats (Allen, McGregor, Hunt, Singh, & Mallet, 2003; McGregor, Arnold, Weber, Topple, & Hunt, 1998; Schramm-Sapyta et al., 2007; Tseng & Craft, 2001) which are greater in adults than adolescents (Schramm-Sapyta et al., 2007) and in females than males (Tseng & Craft, 2001). Nicotine has been reported to potentiate various effects of high doses of THC (e.g., hypothermia, hypolocomotion, antinociception, tolerance, and precipitated withdrawal) and low doses of THC (e.g., anxiolytic responses and conditioned place preference) in male mice (Valjent et al., 2002). Although it is possible the reduction in anxiety-like behaviors could be a by-product of a reduced ability or desire to move, this is unlikely because overall mobility was not generally affected or it was attenuated by prior nicotine exposure.

Thus, the present study extends previous findings in adult male rats and mice to demonstrate that THC also induces anxiogenic behaviors in adult female rats, which is greater than in males, and the anxiogenic effects of THC are lessened by prior nicotine exposure for both male and female rats, although females are also more sensitive to the effects of nicotine. The present results are also consistent with previous findings that other behavioral effects induced by THC (e.g., antinociception and catalepsy) are greater in female than in male rats (Tseng & Craft, 2001), that prior nicotine exposure can alter other behavioral effects induced by THC (Trauth, Seidler, & Slotkin, 2000), and that sensitization to nicotine varies depending upon sex and age in rats (Collins & Izenwasser, 2004; Collins, Montano, et al., 2004; Faraday, Elliott, & Grunberg, 2001; Schochet, Kelley, & Landry, 2004). The mediation of THC-induced effects by sex and prior nicotine exposure in the current study is also consistent with reports in animals and in humans (Fattore, Altea, & Fratta, 2008; Subramaniam et al., 2016), for example, strong associations between anxiety and reduced social functioning in cannabis users (Feingold et al., 2016), greater risk of anxiety-related disorders in younger female cannabis users (Patton et al., 2002), and higher rates of anxiety-related disorders in individuals reporting cannabis and nicotine dependence (Peters et al., 2014).

4.2 | Potential mechanisms involved

Several potential neurobiological mechanisms may underlie nicotine's mediation of different types of THC-induced anxiogenic behaviors in male and female rats. First, nicotine and THC administered alone induce similar pharmacological effects (Ahsan et al., 2014; Howlett et al., 1990; Jackson et al., 2010; Justinová, Goldberg, Heishman, & Tanda, 2005; Lichtman, Cook, & Martin, 1996; Sañudo-Peña, Romero, Seale, Fernandez-Ruiz, & Walker, 2000; Scherma et al., 2016) which are dose-dependent and biphasic, typically producing anxiolytic effects at low doses and anxiogenic effects at high doses (Brioni et al., 1994; Cheeta, Irvine, Kenny, & File, 2001; Olausson, Akesson, Engel, & Söderpalm, 2001; Ouagazzal, Kenny, & File, 1999; Patel & Hillard, 2006; Viveros, Marco, & File, 2005). Second, when coadministered, nicotine mediates THC-induced behavioral effects, which are potentiated (Balerio, Aso, Berrendero, Murta, & Maldonado, 2004; Balerio, Aso, & Maldonado, 2006; Le Foll et al., 2006; Pryor, Larsen, Husain, & Braude, 1978; Scherma et al., 2012, 2016; Valjent et al., 2002) or attenuated (Le Foll et al., 2006), even when administered at subthreshold levels (Valjent et al., 2002). Acute nicotine potentiates a range of stress-related responses induced by THC, including unconditioned anxiogenic and anxiolytic responses, conditioned place preference, antinociception, hypolocomotion, and hypothermia, which involve activation of neural circuits in the MDS, including the amygdala and prefrontal cortex (Valjent et al., 2002), brain regions involved in emotional regulation, and expressing high densities of CBRs and nAChRs (Viveros et al., 2005; Watkins, Koob, & Markou, 2000). Third, the degree of nAChR activation by nicotine appears to affect downstream regulation of neurotransmitters in the MDS differently (Watkins et al., 2000). Acute nicotine briefly stimulates nAChRs (Corringer et al., 1998), activating dopaminergic and serotonergic neurons (Bang & Commons, 2011; Nisell, Nomikos, & Svensson, 1994), after which nAChRs become transiently desensitized (Corringer et al., 1998). Consequently, chronic nicotine upregulates nAChRs (Wonnacott, 1997) across several brain regions (Collins, Wade, Ledon, & Izenwasser, 2004; Doura, Gold, Keller, & Perry, 2008; Slotkin, Cousins, & Seidler, 2004; Trauth, Seidler, McCook, & Slotkin, 1999) leading to increased dopamine (Carboni, Bortone, Giua, & Chiara, 2000) and AEA and 2-AG levels in the brain (González et al., 2002; Scherma et al., 2008). However, age of first exposure to nicotine greatly affects the distribution and density of nAChRs (Doura et al., 2008). Specifically, nAChR subtype $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ receptors are expressed more abundantly in drug-naïve adolescent rats than in adult rats (Doura et al., 2008) and chronic nicotine exposure upregulates nAChRs in greater numbers across more brain regions in adult rats than in adolescent rats (Collins, Wade, et al., 2004; Doura et al., 2008; Slotkin et al., 2004; Trauth et al., 1999). Fourth, during nicotine abstinence, there is a period of recovery of nAChR function (Dani & Heineman, 1996; Koob, Sanna, & Bloom, 1998) that coincides with the somatic and motivational effects of withdrawal, which peak at 10–16 hr and return to baseline around 96 hr after the last nicotine exposure (Shoaib & Bizarro, 2005). Symptoms of nicotine withdrawal are associated with decreased

dopaminergic function in the MDS, particularly the amygdala, and thus, it is hypothesized that protracted abstinence involves neuroadaptation of dopaminergic function in the amygdala that affects the stress response, mood, and anxiety levels, essentially creating a new “hedonic set point” (Koob, 1996; Koob & Le Moal, 1997; Watkins et al., 2000) and potentially contributing to greater tolerance of the anxiogenic effects induced by high doses of THC (Valjent et al., 2002). THC is known to activate the HPA axis, increasing stress hormone levels and prolonging their circulation in the bloodstream (Patel, Cravatt, & Hillard, 2005; Schramm-Sapota et al., 2007), which likely contributes to its anxiogenic effects at high doses. Thus, neuroadaptation of dopaminergic function in the MDS, particularly in the amygdala, after chronic nicotine exposure, may have led to a diminished stress response to high doses of THC that induced anxiogenic effects in male and female rats in the current experiment. Fifth, sex-related differences in anxiogenic responses observed in the current study may be related to hormonal levels in female rats; higher levels of oestradiol in cycling female rats are associated with decreased CB1 receptor densities in the prefrontal cortex and amygdala and reduced motor activity and impaired social interaction (Castelli et al., 2014). Sex differences are also found in the effects of chronic nicotine on different types of locomotor activity, such as horizontal versus vertical locomotor activity, and in the moderating effects of stress (Faraday, O'Donoghue, & Grunberg, 2003). These findings are consistent with some of the sex differences reported in the association between comorbid THC and nicotine use and anxiety-related disorders in humans (Hammerslag & Gulley, 2016; Ramo et al., 2012).

4.3 | Implications and future research

Increasing trends worldwide toward cannabis legalization are associated with higher rates of tobacco and cannabis co-use, and co-use is a significant predictor of nicotine dependence for adolescents and adults (Wang, Ramo, Lisha, & Cataldo, 2016). This increase coincides with chronic cannabis users reporting smoking cannabis primarily to relieve symptoms of both physical conditions (e.g., sleep disturbances, pain, and concentration problems) and psychological conditions (e.g., anxiety, stress, and depression) rather than for merely recreational use (Bottorff, Johnson, Moffatt, & Mulvogue, 2009; Hyman & Sinha, 2009; Temple, Driver, & Brown, 2014). Addressing comorbid drug use and psychiatric symptoms requires a better understanding of the biological mechanisms that link the cannabinoid and cholinergic systems in the brain, specifically areas of structural and functional overlap between their neurotransmitters and receptors in mediating the rewarding and aversive effects of nicotine and THC. The present study's results provide additional support for theories of tobacco and cannabis co-use focusing on compensatory effects, specifically, that nicotine and THC attenuate each other's negative effects and aversive states (reviewed in Rabin & George, 2015). For example, tobacco and cannabis can be used to mitigate each other's withdrawal symptoms (e.g., dysphoria, cravings, irritability, and sleep disturbances) and cognitive

and affective impairments (e.g., THC can produce both euphoria and paranoia and impairs learning and memory; nicotine increases arousal and improves concentration and cognition; Rabin & George, 2015). Pharmacological treatments targeting the cannabinoid and/or cholinergic systems may prove beneficial in weakening the psychological and neurobiological associations between tobacco and cannabis and thus reducing their co-use.

Future research could focus on other common mechanisms underlying tobacco and cannabis use, including conventional routes of administration (via smoking), similar environmental influences, particularly social stressors, and shared genetic factors (Agrawal et al., 2012; Rabin & George, 2015). For example, future studies could compare the effects of tobacco smoke and cannabis smoke on anxiety-related behavior and social interaction adult and adolescent rats. Indeed, in a limited number of studies, extracts from abused drugs (e.g., THC from marijuana, salvinorin A from dried *Salvia* leaves, and toluene from industrial chemicals) have been shown to produce different effects on behavior and memory depending upon the route of administration, specifically whether they are inhaled or injected (Benignus, Muller, Barton, & Bittkofer, 1984; Fa et al., 2000; Manwell, Charchoglyan, et al., 2014; Manwell, Ford, et al., 2014; Manwell & Mallet, 2015; Manwell et al., 2015; Naef, Russman, Petersen-Felix, & Brenneisen, 2004; Niyuhire, Varvel, Martin, & Lichtman, 2007; Perit et al., 2012). Future studies could also evaluate the potential of other agents to attenuate the anxiety- and abuse-related behavioral effects of both nicotine and THC, including cannabidiol, a major nonpsychoactive constituent of marijuana (Viveros et al., 2005), fatty acid amide (FAAH) inhibitors such as URB597 that prevent the degradation of natural endocannabinoids (Scherma et al., 2012), and D3 antagonists that target dopaminergic neurons activated by nAChR and CBR signaling (Le Foll, Goldberg, & Sokoloff, 2005; Le Foll, Schwartz, & Sokoloff, 2000; Le Foll, Sokoloff, Stark, & Goldberg, 2005; Pak et al., 2006).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

The current study demonstrates that there are important differences in THC-induced anxiety-related behavior that are sex- and dose-dependent and attenuated by prior nicotine exposure. Our results provide evidence in support of a broad anxiogenic profile for high doses of THC in male rodents, extend those findings to show similar but augmented responses in female rodents, and present new data demonstrating that these behavioral responses can be modified by prior exposure to nicotine. The ability of nicotine preexposure to produce long-lasting changes that alter the effects of acute THC administration suggests that chronic nicotine may induce neuroplastic changes that contribute to both anxiety-related disorders and cannabis use. These findings contribute to the existing literature on functional interactions between the cholinergic and endocannabinoid systems in the MDS and help explain the strong association between comorbid nicotine and cannabis use and increased risk of stress- and anxiety-related disorders in epidemiological studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants to PEM from the Natural Sciences and Engineering and Research Council of Canada, and Wilfrid Laurier University. We are grateful to our animal care staff Kelley Putzu, Kristin Lukashal, and Tammy Buitenhuis, to Drs. Elham Satvat and Shadna Rana for technical assistance, and to Drs. Diano Marrone, Rudy Eikelboom, and Cheryl Limebeer for their comments on an earlier draft of the studies reported here.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PEM designed the project, provided the laboratory and resources, and supervised the entire project. TM, ER, and SM conducted the experiments and collected the data. LAM analyzed and interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. LAM is the guarantor of the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Laurie A. Manwell  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0528-6365>

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, A., Budney, A. J., & Lynskey, M. T. (2012). The co-occurring use and misuse of cannabis and tobacco: A review. *Addiction, 107*, 1221–1233.
- Ahsan, H. M., de la Pena, J. B., Botanas, C. J., Kim, H. J., Yu, G. Y., & Cheong, J. H. (2014). Conditioned place preference and self-administration induced by nicotine in adolescent and adult rats. *Biomolecules and Therapeutics, 22*, 460–466. <https://doi.org/10.4062/biomolther.2014.056>
- Allen, K., McGregor, I., Hunt, G., Singh, M., & Mallet, P. (2003). Regional differences in naloxone modulation of $\Delta 9$ -THC induced Fos expression in rat brain. *Neuropharmacology, 44*, 264–274.
- Arrant, A. A., Schramm-Sapyta, N. L., & Kuhn, C. M. (2013). Use of the light/dark test for anxiety in adult and adolescent male rats. *Behavioural Brain Research, 256*, 119–127.
- Balerio, G. N., Aso, E., Berrendero, F., Murta, P., & Maldonado, R. (2004). Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol decreases somatic and motivational manifestations of nicotine withdrawal in mice. *European Journal of Neuroscience, 20*, 2737–2748.
- Balerio, G. N., Aso, E., & Maldonado, R. (2006). Role of the cannabinoid system in the effects induced by nicotine on anxiety-like behaviour in mice. *Psychopharmacology, 184*, 504–513.
- Bang, S. J., & Commons, K. G. (2011). Age-dependent effects of initial exposure to nicotine on serotonin neurons. *Neuroscience, 179*, 1–8.
- Becker, J., Schaub, M. P., Gmel, G., & Haug, S. (2015). Cannabis use and other predictors of the onset of daily cigarette use in young men:

- What matters most? Results from a longitudinal study. *BioMed Central Health*, 15, 843–852.
- Benignus, V. A., Muller, K. E., Barton, C. N., & Bittikofer, J. A. (1984). Toluene blood level following subcutaneous injection of toluene in the rat. *Environmental Research*, 33, 441–453.
- Berrendero, F., & Maldonado, R. (2002). Involvement of the opioid system in the anxiolytic-like effects induced by Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Psychopharmacology*, 163, 111–117.
- Bottorff, J. L., Johnson, J. L., Moffatt, B. M., & Mulvogue, T. (2009). Relief-oriented use of marijuana by teens. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*, 4, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1747-597X-4-7>
- Brioni, J. D., O'Neill, A. B., Kim, D. J., Buckley, M. J., Decker, M. W., & Arneric, S. P. (1994). Anxiolytic-like effects of the novel cholinergic channel activator ABT-418. *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 271(1), 353–361.
- Carboni, E., Bortone, L., Giua, C., & Di Chiara, G. (2000). Dissociation of physical abstinence signs from changes in extracellular dopamine in the nucleus accumbens and in the prefrontal cortex of nicotine dependent rats. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 58, 93–102.
- Castelli, M. P., Fadda, P., Casu, A., Spano, M. S., Casti, A., Fratta, W., & Fattore, L. (2014). Male and female rats differ in brain and cannabinoid CB1 receptor density and function and in behavioral traits predisposing to drug addiction: Effect of ovarian hormones. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 20, 1–14.
- Chauloff, F., Durand, M., & Mormede, P. (1997). Anxiety- and activity-related effects of diazepam and chlordiazepoxide in the rat light/dark and dark/light test. *Behavioral Brain Research*, 85, 27–35.
- Cheer, J. F., Wassum, K. M., Sombers, L. A., Heien, M. L. A. V., Ariansen, J. L., Aragona, B. J., ... Wightman, R. M. (2007). Phasic dopamine release evoked by abused substances requires cannabinoid receptor activation. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27, 791–795.
- Cheeta, S., Irvine, E. E., Kenny, P. J., & File, S. E. (2001). The dorsal raphe nucleus is a crucial structure mediating nicotine's anxiolytic effects and the development of tolerance and withdrawal responses. *Psychopharmacology*, 155(1), 78–85. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002130100681>
- Cheeta, S., Kenny, P. J., & File, S. E. (2000). Hippocampal and septal injections of nicotine and 8-OH-DPAT distinguish among different animal tests of anxiety. *Progress in Neuropsychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 24, 1053–1067.
- Collins, S. L., & Izenwasser, S. (2004). Chronic nicotine differentially alters cocaine-induced locomotor activity in adolescent vs. adult male and female rats. *Neuropharmacology*, 46, 349–362.
- Collins, S. L., Montano, R., & Izenwasser, S. (2004). Nicotine treatment produces persistent increases amphetamine-stimulated locomotor activity in periadolescent male but not female adult male rats. *Developmental Brain Research*, 153, 175–187.
- Collins, S. L., Wade, D., Ledon, J., & Izenwasser, S. (2004). Neurochemical alterations produced by daily nicotine exposure in periadolescent vs. adult male rats. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 502, 75–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2004.08.039>
- Corringer, P. J., Bertrand, S., Bohler, S., Edelstein, S. J., Changeux, J. P., & Bertrand, D. (1998). Critical elements determining diversity in agonist binding and desensitization on neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 18, 648–657.
- Crawley, J. N. (1985). Exploratory behavior models of anxiety in mice. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 9, 37–44.
- Dani, J. A., & Heineman, S. (1996). Molecular and cellular aspects of nicotine abuse. *Neuron*, 16, 905–908.
- Degenhardt, L., Stockings, E., Patton, G., Hall, W. D., & Lynskey, M. (2016). Series: Substance use in young people 1. The increasing global health priority of substance use in young people. *Lancet Psychiatry*, 3, 251–264.
- Doura, M. B., Gold, A., Keller, A. B., & Perry, D. C. (2008). Adult and periadolescent rats differ in expression of nicotinic cholinergic receptor subtypes and in the response of these subtypes of chronic nicotine exposure. *Brain Research*, 1215, 40–52.
- Fá, M., Carcangiu, G., Passino, N., Ghiglieri, V., & Gessa, G. L. & Mereu, G. (2000). Cigarette smoke inhalation stimulates dopaminergic neurons in rats. *NeuroReport: Neuropharmacology and Neurotoxicology*, 11, 3637–3639.
- Faraday, M. M., Elliott, B. M., & Grunberg, N. E. (2001). Adult versus adolescent rats differ in biobehavioral responses to chronic nicotine administration. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior*, 70, 475–489.
- Faraday, M. M., O'Donoghue, V. A., & Grunberg, N. E. (2003). Effects of nicotine and stress on locomotion in Sprague-Dawley and Long-Evans male and female rats. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behaviour*, 73, 325–333.
- Fattore, L., Altea, S., & Fratta, W. (2008). Sex differences in drug addiction: A review of animal and human studies. *Women's Health*, 4, 51–65.
- Feingold, D., Weiser, M., Rehm, J., & Lev-Ran, S. (2016). The association between cannabis use and anxiety disorders: Results from a population-based representative sample. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, 26, 493–505.
- Fergusson, D. M., Boden, J. M., & Horwood, L. J. (2006). Cannabis use and other illicit drug use: Testing the cannabis gateway hypothesis. *Addiction*, 101, 556–569.
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*, 3rd ed. California, CA: Sage Publications Ltd.
- File, S. E. (1992). Behavioural detection of anxiolytic action. In J. M. Elliot, D. J. Heal, & C. A. Marsden (Eds.), *Experimental approaches to anxiety and depression* (pp. 25–44). New York, NY: Wiley.
- File, S. E., Cheeta, S., Irvine, E. E., Tucci, S., & Akthar, M. (2002). Conditioned anxiety to nicotine. *Psychopharmacology*, 164, 308–317.
- File, S. E., Cheeta, S., & Kenny, P. J. (2000). Neurobiological mechanisms by which nicotine mediates different types of anxiety. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 393, 231–236.
- File, S. E., Gonzalez, L. E., & Andrews, N. (1996). Comparative study of pre- and post-synaptic 5-HT1A receptor modulation of anxiety in two ethological animal tests. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 16, 4810–4815.
- File, S. E., Kenny, P. J., & Ouagazzal, A. M. (1998). Bimodal modulation by nicotine of anxiety in the social interaction test: Role of the dorsal hippocampus. *Behavioral Neuroscience*, 112, 1423–1429.
- Genn, R. E., Tucci, S., Marco, E., Viveros, M. P., & File, S. E. (2003). Anxiolytic and anxiogenic effects of the cannabinoid agonist CP 55,940 in animal tests of anxiety. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 17, A27.
- Genn, R. F., Tucci, S., Marco, E. M., Viveros, M. P., & File, S. E. (2004). Unconditioned and conditioned anxiogenic effects of the cannabinoid receptor agonist CP 55, 940 in the social interaction test. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior*, 77, 567–573.
- González, S., Cascio, M. G., Fernández-Ruiz, J., Fezza, F., DiMarzo, V., & Ramos, J. A. (2002). Changes in endocannabinoid contents in the brain of rats chronically exposed to nicotine, ethanol or cocaine. *Brain Research*, 954, 73–81.
- Hammerslag, L. R., & Gulley, J. M. (2016). Sex differences in behavior and neural development and their role in adolescent vulnerability to substance use. *Behavioral Brain Research*, 298, 15–26.
- Hernández-Tristán, R., Arévalo, C., Canals, S., & Leret, M. L. (2000). The effects of acute treatment with Δ^9 -THC on exploratory behaviour and memory in the rat. *Journal of Physiology and Biochemistry*, 56, 17–24.
- Hill, M. N., Patel, S., Carrier, E. J., Radmacher, D. J., Ormerod, B. K., Hillard, C. J., & Gorzalka, B. B. (2005). Downregulation of endocannabinoid signaling in the hippocampus following chronic unpredictable stress. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 30, 508–515.
- Hong, W., Kim, D.-W., & Anderson, D. J. (2014). Antagonistic control of social versus repetitive self-grooming behaviors by separable amygdala neuronal subsets. *Cell*, 158, 1348–1361.

- Howlett, A. C., Bidaut-Russell, M., Devane, W. A., Melvin, L. S., Johnson, M. R., & Herkenham, M. (1990). The cannabinoid receptor: Biochemical, anatomical and behavioral characterization. *Trends in Neuroscience*, 13, 420–423.
- Hyman, S. M., & Sinha, R. (2009). Stress-related factors in cannabis use and misuse: Implications for prevention and treatment. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 36, 400–413.
- Irvine, E. E., Cheeta, S., & File, S. E. (1999). Time-course of changes in the social interaction test of anxiety following acute and chronic administration of nicotine. *Behavioral Pharmacology*, 10, 691–697.
- Jackson, K. J., Marks, M. J., Vann, R. E., Chen, X., Gamage, T. F., Warner, J. A., & Damaj, M. I. (2010). Role of alpha5 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in pharmacological and behavioral effects of nicotine in mice. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 334, 137–146.
- Justinová, Z., Goldberg, S. R., Heishman, S. J., & Tanda, G. (2005). Self-administration of cannabinoids by experimental animals and human marijuana smokers. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior*, 81, 285–299.
- Kaluff, A. V., Stewart, A. M., Song, C., Berridge, K. C., Graybiel, A. M., & Fentress, J. C. (2016). Neurobiology of rodent self-grooming and its value for translational neuroscience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17, 45–59.
- Kandel, D., & Kandel, F. (2015). The Gateway Hypothesis of substance abuse: Development, biological and societal perspectives. *Acta Paediatrica*, 104, 130–137.
- Keyes, K. M., Hamilton, A., & Kandel, D. B. (2016). Birth cohorts analysis of adolescence cigarette smoking and subsequent marijuana and cocaine use. *American Journal of Public Health*, 106, 1143–1149.
- Koob, G. F. (1996). Drug addiction: The yin and yang of hedonic homeostasis. *Neuron*, 16, 893–896.
- Koob, G. F., & Le Moal, M. (1997). Drug abuse: Hedonic homeostatic dysregulation. *Science*, 278, 52–58.
- Koob, G. F., Sanna, P. P., & Bloom, F. E. (1998). Neuroscience of addiction. *Neuron*, 21, 467–476.
- Le Foll, B., Goldberg, S. R., & Sokoloff, P. (2005). Dopamine D3 receptor and drug dependence: Effect on reward or beyond? *Neuropharmacology*, 49, 525–541.
- Le Foll, B., Ng, E., Di Ciano, P., & Trigo, J. M. (2015). Psychiatric disorders as vulnerability factors for nicotine addiction: What have we learned from animal models? In D. J. K. Balfour, & M. R. Munafò (Eds.), *The neuropharmacology of nicotine dependence, current topics in behavioral neurosciences* (vol. 24, pp. 155–169). New York, NY: Springer.
- Le Foll, B., Schwartz, J.-C., & Sokoloff, P. (2000). Dopamine D3 receptor agents as potential new medications for drug addiction. *European Psychiatry*, 15, 140–146.
- Le Foll, B., Sokoloff, P., Stark, H., & Goldberg, S. R. (2005). Dopamine D3 ligands block nicotine-induced conditioned place preferences through a mechanism that does not involve discriminative-stimulus or antidepressant-like effects. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 30, 720–730.
- Le Foll, B., Wiggins, M., & Goldberg, S. R. (2006). Nicotine pre-exposure does not potentiate the locomotor or rewarding effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in rats. *Behavioural Pharmacology*, 17, 195–199.
- Lever, C., Burton, S., & O'Keefe, J. (2006). Rearing on hind legs, environmental novelty, and the hippocampal formation. *Reviews in the Neurosciences*, 17, 111–133.
- Lichtman, A. H., Cook, S. A., & Martin, B. R. (1996). Investigation of brain sites mediating cannabinoid-induced antinociception in rats: Evidence supporting periaqueductal gray involvement. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 267, 585–593.
- Malone, D. T., Jongejan, D., & Taylor, D. A. (2009). Cannabidiol reverses the reduction in social interaction produced by low dose Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in rats. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior*, 93, 91–96.
- Manwell, L. A., Charchoglyan, A., Brewer, D., Matthews, B. A., Heipel, H., & Mallet, P. E. (2014). A vapourized Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -THC) delivery system part I: Development and validation of a pulmonary cannabinoid route of exposure for experimental pharmacology studies in rodents. *Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods*, 70, 120–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vascn.2014.06.006>
- Manwell, L. A., Ford, B., Matthews, B. A., Heipel, H., & Mallet, P. E. (2014). A vapourized Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -THC) delivery system part II: Comparison of behavioural effects of pulmonary versus parenteral cannabinoid exposure in rodents. *Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods*, 70, 112–119.
- Manwell, L. A., & Mallet, P. E. (2015). Comparative effects of pulmonary and parenteral Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol exposure on extinction of opiate-induced conditioned aversion in rats. *Psychopharmacology*, 232, 1655–1665.
- Manwell, L. A., Renda, B., McKay, M. N., Dunn, M. B. F., Senthinathan, G., Mallet, P. E., & McKay, B. E. (2015). Effects of κ -opioid receptor agonists on anxiety-like behaviour and neural activation in rats: Comparison of inhaled Salvia smoke versus injected Salvinorin A and U-50,488H. *Poster at the 35th Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuroscience (SFN) Southern Ontario Neuroscience Association (SONA)*. Hamilton, Canada: McMaster University.
- Marco, E. M., Pérez-Alvarez, L., Borcel, E., Rubio, M., Guaza, C., Ambrosio, E., ... Viveros, M. P. (2004). Involvement of 5-HT1A receptors in behavioural effects of the cannabinoid receptor agonist CP 55,940 in male rats. *Behavioral Pharmacology*, 15, 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00008877-200402000-00003>
- McGregor, I. S., Arnold, J. C., Weber, M. F., Topples, A. N., & Hunt, G. E. (1998). A comparison of delta 9-THC and anandamide induced c-fos expression in the rat forebrain. *Brain Research*, 802, 19–26.
- McQuown, S. C., Belluzzi, J. D., & Leslie, F. M. (2007). Low dose nicotine treatment during adolescence increases subsequent cocaine reward. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 29, 66–73.
- Merlo Pich, E., & Samanin, R. (1989). A two-compartment exploratory model to study anxiolytic/anxiogenic effects of drugs in the rat. *Pharmacological Research*, 21, 595–602.
- Montgomery, K. C. (1955). The relation between fear induced by novel stimulation and exploratory behavior. *Journal of Comparative Physiological Psychology*, 48, 254–260.
- Morissette, S. B., Tull, M. T., Gulliver, S. B., Kamholz, B. W., & Zimering, R. T. (2007). Anxiety, anxiety disorders, tobacco use, and nicotine: A critical review of interrelationships. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133, 245–272.
- Naef, M., Russman, S., Petersen-Felix, S., & Brenneisen, R. (2004). Development and pharmacokinetic characterization of pulmonary and intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) in humans. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 1176–1184. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.20037>
- Nisell, M., Nomikos, G. G., & Svensson, T. H. (1994). Systemic nicotine induced dopamine release in the rat nucleus accumbens is regulated by nicotinic receptors in the ventral tegmental area. *Synapse (New York, N. Y.)*, 16, 36–44.
- Niyuhire, F., Varvel, S. A., Martin, B. R., & Lichtman, A. H. (2007). Exposure to marijuana smoke impairs memory retrieval in mice. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 322, 1067–1075.
- Olausson, P., Akesson, P., Engel, J. A., & Söderpalm, B. (2001). Effects of 5-HT1A and 5-HT2 receptor agonists on the behavioral and neurochemical consequences of repeated nicotine treatment. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 420(1), 45–54. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2999\(01\)00939-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2999(01)00939-6)
- Onaivi, E. S., Green, M. R., & Martin, B. R. (1990). Pharmacological characterization of cannabinoids in the elevated plus maze. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 253, 1002–1009.

- Osborne, A. L., Solowij, N., & Weston-Green, K. (2017). A systematic review of the effect of cannabidiol on cognitive function: Relevance to schizophrenia. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, *72*, 310–324.
- O'Shea, M., McGregor, I. S., & Mallet, P. E. (2006). Repeated cannabinoid exposure during perinatal, adolescent or early adult ages produces similar long-lasting deficits in object recognition and reduced social interaction in rats. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, *20*, 611–621.
- O'Shea, M., Singh, M. E., McGregor, I. S., & Mallet, P. E. (2004). Chronic cannabinoid exposure produces lasting memory impairment and increased anxiety in adolescent but not adult rats. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, *18*, 502–508.
- Ouagazzal, A. M., Kenny, P. J., & File, S. E. (1999). Modulation of behaviour on trials 1 and 2 in the elevated plus-maze test of anxiety after systemic and hippocampal administration of nicotine. *Psychopharmacology*, *144*(1), 54–60. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002130050976>
- Pak, A. C., Ashby, C. R., Heidbreder, C. A., Pilla, M., Gilbert, J., Xi, Z. X., & Gardner, E. L. (2006). The selective dopamine D3 receptor antagonist SB-277011A reduces nicotine-enhanced brain reward and nicotine-paired environmental cue functions. *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology*, *9*, 585–602.
- Parrott, A. C. (1995). Stress modulation over the day in cigarette smokers. *Addiction*, *90*, 233–244. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1995.tb01041.x>
- Patel, S., Cravatt, B. F., & Hillard, C. J. (2005). Synergistic interactions between cannabinoids and environmental stress in the activation of the central amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *30*, 497–507. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300535>
- Patel, S., & Hillard, C. (2006). Pharmacological evaluation of cannabinoid receptor ligands in a mouse model of anxiety: Further evidence for an anxiolytic role for endogenous cannabinoid signaling. *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, *318*(1), 304–311. <https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.106.101287>
- Patton, G. C., Coffey, C., Carlin, J. B., Degenhardt, L., Lynskey, M., & Hall, W. (2002). Cannabis use and mental health in young people: Cohort study. *British Medical Journal*, *325*, 1195–1198.
- Patton, G. C., Coffey, C., Carlin, J. B., Sawyer, S. M., & Lynskey, M. (2005). Reverse gateways? Frequent cannabis use as a predictor of tobacco initiation and nicotine dependence. *Addiction*, *100*, 1518–1525.
- Pellow, S., Chopin, P., File, S. E., & Briley, M. (1985). Validation of open: Closed are entries in an elevated plus-maze as a measure of anxiety in the rat. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, *14*, 149–167.
- Perit, K. E., Gmaz, J. M., Browne, J. D. C., Matthews, B. A., Dunn, M. B. F., Yang, L., ... McKay, B. E. (2012). Distribution of c-Fos immunoreactivity in the rat brain following abuse-like toluene vapor inhalation. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, *34*, 37–46.
- Peters, E. N., Schwartz, R. P., Wang, S., O'Grady, K. E., & Blanco, C. (2014). Psychiatric, psychosocial, and physical health correlates of co-occurring cannabis use disorders and nicotine dependence. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *1*, 228–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.10.003>
- Pomerleau, O. F., Turk, D. C., & Fertig, J. B. (1984). The effects of cigarette smoking on pain and anxiety. *Addictive Behaviors*, *9*, 265–271.
- Pryor, G. T., Larsen, F. F., Husain, S., & Braude, M. C. (1978). Interactions of delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol with d-amphetamine, cocaine, and nicotine in rats. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior*, *8*(3), 295–318.
- Rabin, R. A., & George, T. P. (2015). A review of co-morbid tobacco and cannabis use disorders: Possible mechanisms to explain high rates of co-use. *The American Journal on Addictions*, *24*, 105–116.
- Ramo, D. E., Liu, H., & Prochaska, J. J. (2012). Tobacco and marijuana use among adolescents and young adults: A systematic review of their co-use. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *32*, 105–121.
- Rubinstein, M. L., Rait, M. A., & Prochaska, J. J. (2014). Frequent marijuana use is associated with greater nicotine addiction in adolescent smokers. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *141*, 159–162.
- Sañudo-Peña, M. C., Romero, J., Seale, G. E., Fernandez-Ruiz, J. J., & Walker, J. M. (2000). Activational role of cannabinoids on movement. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, *391*, 269–274.
- Scherma, M., Fadda, P., Le Foll, B., Forget, B., Fratta, W., Goldberg, S. R., & Tanda, G. (2008). The endocannabinoid system a new molecular target for the treatment of tobacco addiction. *CNS & Neurological Disorders - Drug Targets*, *7*, 468–481.
- Scherma, M., Justinová, Z., Zanettini, C., Panlilio, L. V., Mascia, P., Fadda, P., ... Goldberg, S. R. (2012). The anandamide transport inhibitor AM404 reduces the rewarding effects of nicotine and nicotine-induced dopamine elevations in the nucleus accumbens shell in rats. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, *165*, 2539–2548.
- Scherma, M., Muntoni, A. L., Melis, M., Fattore, L., Fadda, P., Fratta, W., & Pistis, M. (2016). Interactions between the endocannabinoid and nicotinic cholinergic systems: Preclinical evidence and therapeutic perspectives. *Psychopharmacology*, *233*, 1765–1777.
- Schochet, T. L., Kelley, A. E., & Landry, C. F. (2004). Differential behavioural effects of nicotine exposure in adolescent and adult rats. *Psychopharmacology*, *175*, 265–273.
- Schramm-Sapyta, N. L., Cha, Y. M., Chaudhry, S., Wilson, W. A., Schwartzwelder, H. S., & Kuhn, C. M. (2007). Differential anxiogenic, aversive, and locomotor effects of THC in adolescent and adult rats. *Psychopharmacology*, *191*, 867–877.
- Sethi, B. B., Trivedi, J. K., Kumar, P., Gulati, A., Agarwal, A. K., & Sethi, N. (1986). Antianxiety effect of cannabis: Involvement of central benzodiazepine receptors. *Biological Psychiatry*, *21*, 3–10.
- Shippenberg, T. S., Heidbreder, C., & Lefevour, A. (1996). Sensitization to the conditioned rewarding effects of morphine: Pharmacology and temporal characteristics. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, *299*, 33–39.
- Shoib, M., & Bizarro, L. (2005). Deficits in a sustained attention task following nicotine withdrawal in rats. *Psychopharmacology*, *178*, 211–222. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-004-2004-6>
- Slotkin, T. A., Cousins, M. M., & Seidler, F. J. (2004). Administration of nicotine to adolescent rats evokes regionally selective upregulation of CNS $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Brain Research*, *1030*, 159–163.
- Solowij, N., Broyd, S., Greenwood, L. M., van Hell, H., Martellozzo, D., Rueb, K., ... Croft, R. (2019). A randomized controlled trial of vaporized delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol alone and in combination in frequent and infrequent cannabis users: Acute intoxication effects. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, *269*, 17–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-019-00978-2>
- Stanton, C. A., Keith, D. R., Gaalema, D. E., Bunn, J. Y., Doogan, N. J., Redner, R., ... Higgins, S. T. (2016). Trends in tobacco use among US adults with chronic health conditions: National survey on drug use and health 2005–2013. *Preventive Medicine*, *92*, 160–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.04.008>
- Subramaniam, P., McGlade, E., & Yurgelun-Todd, D. (2016). Comorbid cannabis and tobacco use in adolescents and adults. *Current Addictions Reports*, *3*, 182–188.
- Temple, E. C., Driver, M., & Brown, R. F. (2014). Cannabis use and anxiety: Is stress the missing piece of the puzzle? *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *5*, 189. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2014.00168>
- Trauth, J. A., Seidler, F. J., McCook, E. C., & Slotkin, T. A. (1999). Adolescent nicotine exposure causes persistent upregulation of nicotinic cholinergic receptors in rat brain regions. *Brain Research*, *851*, 9–19.
- Trauth, J. A., Seidler, F. J., & Slotkin, T. A. (2000). Persistent and delayed behavioural changes after nicotine treatment in adolescent rats. *Brain Research*, *880*, 167–172.

- Trezza, V., & Vanderschuren, L. J. (2008a). Bidirectional cannabinoid modulation of social behavior in adolescent rats. *Psychopharmacology*, 197, 217–227. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-007-1025-3>
- Trezza, V., & Vanderschuren, L. J. (2008b). Cannabinoid and opioid modulation of social play behavior in adolescent rats: Differential behavioral mechanisms. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, 18, 519–530. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2008.03.001>
- Tseng, A. H., & Craft, R. M. (2001). Sex differences in antinociception and motoric effects of cannabinoids. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 430, 41–47.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) (2015). *World Drug Report*. Vienna. Retrieved from https://www.unodc.org/documents/wdr2015/World_Drug_Report_2015.pdf
- Valjent, E., Mitchell, J. M., Besson, M. J., Caboche, J., & Maldonado, R. (2002). Behavioural and biochemical evidence for interactions between Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol and nicotine. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 135, 564–578.
- van Ree, J. M., Niesink, R. J., & Nir, I. (1984). Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol but not cannabidiol reduces contact and aggressive behavior of rats tested in dyadic encounters. *Psychopharmacology*, 84, 561–565.
- Viveros, M., Marco, E. M., & File, S. E. (2005). Endocannabinoid system and stress and anxiety responses. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior*, 81(2), 331–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2005.01.029>
- Wagner, F. A., & Anthony, J. C. (2002). Into the world of illegal drug use: Exposure opportunity and other mechanisms linking the use of alcohol, tobacco, marijuana, and cocaine. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 155, 918–925.
- Wang, J. B., Ramo, D. E., Lisha, N. E., & Cataldo, J. K. (2016). Medical legalization and cigarette and marijuana co-use in adolescents and adults. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 166, 32–38.
- Watkins, S. S., Koob, G. F., & Markou, A. (2000). Neural mechanisms underlying nicotine addiction: Acute reinforcement and withdrawal. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, 2, 19–37.
- Werling, L. L., Collins Reed, S., Wade, D., & Izenwasser, S. (2009). Chronic nicotine alters cannabinoid-mediated locomotor activity and receptor density in periadolescent but not adult male rats. *International Journal of Developmental Neuroscience*, 27, 263–269.
- West, R., & Hajek, P. (1997). What happens to anxiety levels on giving up smoking? *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 1589–1592. <https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.154.11.1589>
- Wonnacott, S. (1997). Presynaptic nicotinic Ach receptors. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 20, 92–98.
- World Health Organization (2008). *WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic, 2008 – The MPOWER package*. Retrieved from www.who.int/tobacco/mpower/2008/en/
- World Health Organization (2009). *WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic, 2009: implementing smoke-free environments*. Retrieved from www.who.int/tobacco/mpower/2009/en/
- World Health Organization (2013). *WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic 2013: Enforcing bans on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship*. Retrieved from www.who.int/tobacco/global_report/2013/en/

How to cite this article: Manwell LA, Miladinovic T, Raaphorst E, Rana S, Malecki S, Mallet PE. Chronic nicotine exposure attenuates the effects of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on anxiety-related behavior and social interaction in adult male and female rats. *Brain Behav*. 2019;9:e01375. <https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.1375>