

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Journal Pre-proof

Cirrhosis is an independent predictor for COVID-19 mortality: A meta-analysis of confounding cofactors-controlled data

Ying Wang, Mengke Hu, Haiyan Yang

PII: S0168-8278(22)03122-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.09.015

Reference: JHEPAT 8892

To appear in: Journal of Hepatology

Received Date: 23 August 2022

Revised Date: 13 September 2022

Accepted Date: 19 September 2022

Please cite this article as: Wang Y, Hu M, Yang H, Cirrhosis is an independent predictor for COVID-19 mortality: A meta-analysis of confounding cofactors-controlled data, *Journal of Hepatology* (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.09.015.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



Cirrhosis is an independent predictor for COVID-19 mortality: A meta-analysis of confounding cofactors-controlled data

Ying Wang, Mengke Hu, Haiyan Yang*

¹Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China

*Corresponding author: Haiyan Yang, Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, No. 100 of Science Avenue, Zhengzhou 450001, China. Phone: 86-371-67781248; Fax: 86-371-67781248. E-mail address: <u>yhy@zzu.edu.cn</u>.

Running title: cirrhosis and COVID-19 mortality

Key words: Liver cirrhosis, coronavirus disease 2019, fatal outcome, meta-analysis

Word count: 799

Number of Figures: 1

Number of references: 10

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Xueya Han, Shuwen Li, Ruiying Zhang, Jiahao Ren, Hongjie Hou, Peihua Zhang, Yang Li, Jian Wu, Xuan Liang, Wenwei Xiao, Jie Xu and Li Shi (All are from Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Zhengzhou University) for their kind help in searching articles and collecting data, and valuable suggestions for analyzing data.

Author contributions: Haiyan Yang conceptualized the study. Ying Wang and Mengke Hu performed literature search and data extraction. Ying Wang analyzed the data. Ying Wang and Mengke Hu wrote the manuscript. All the authors approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests: All authors report that they have no potential conflicts of interest.

Data availability statement: The data that support the findings of this study are included in this article and available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

2

To the Editor,

We read with great interest the excellent paper by Marjot et al titled "Outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with chronic liver disease: An international registry study" [1]. In this paper, the authors found cirrhosis was significantly associated with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) mortality in the multivariable analysis. This is an interesting study. To our knowledge, some other studies reported cirrhosis was not significantly associated with the risk for COVID-19 mortality in the multivariable analysis [2-4]. This suggested that the association between cirrhosis and COVID-19 mortality remained to be conclusive. Therefore, we performed this meta-analysis to clarify the association between cirrhosis and COVID-19 mortality based on confounding cofactors-controlled effect estimates.

A systematic search was performed in PubMed, Web of Science, EMBASE, Springer Link, Wiley Library, Elsevier ScienceDirect and Cochrane Library to identify all relevant studies as of August 12, 2022. The search terms were: "coronavirus disease 2019", "COVID-19", "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2", "SARS-CoV-2", "mortality", "cirrhosis" and "liver cirrhosis". We included the articles reporting the confounding cofactors-controlled effect estimates on the association between cirrhosis and COVID-19 mortality. We excluded preprints, reviews, duplications, errata, case reports and studies reporting the confounding cofactors-uncontrolled effect estimates. We also examined the reference lists of reviews and retrieved original literature to identify all relevant articles. Two authors independently performed literature search and data extraction. Any discrepancy was

Journal Pre-proof

resolved by consulting the third author. This meta-analysis was reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) guidelines [5].

Heterogeneity was assessed by using the I^2 statistic and Cochran's Q test. The pooled effects and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated by a random-effect model. Publication bias was evaluated by Begg's test. Sensitivity analysis, subgroup analysis and meta-regression were also performed. All statistical analyses were conducted by Stata 11.2 software. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

We included twenty-nine articles with 6,872,587 COVID-19 patients. Our meta-analysis indicated that COVID-19 patients with cirrhosis had a significantly increased risk for mortality in comparison to those without cirrhosis based on confounding cofactors-controlled effect estimates (pooled effect = 1.64, 95% CI: 1.37-1.96; Figure 1A). Sensitivity analysis indicated our results were robust (Figure 1B). We observed consistent results in the subgroup analyses by age (pooled effect = 2.10, 95% CI: 1.47-2.99 for age < 60, and pooled effect = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.17-1.50 for age \geq 60), proportion of males (pooled effect = 2.00, 95% CI: 1.32-3.04 for proportion of males < 50%, and pooled effect = 1.52, 95% CI: 1.29-1.80 for proportion of males \geq 50%), sample size (pooled effect = 1.86, 95% CI: 1.26-2.75 for < 3000 cases, and pooled effect = 1.57, 95% CI: 1.24-1.99 for \geq 3000 cases), study design (pooled effect = 1.46, 95% CI: 1.25-1.71 for retrospective study, and pooled effect = 1.89, 95% CI: 1.32-2.69 for prospective study) and setting (pooled effect = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.23-1.64 for studies with all patients, and pooled effect = 1.76, 95% CI:

Journal Pre-proo

1.27-2.45 for studies with hospitalized patients). Meta-regression indicated that no tested factors contributed to heterogeneity (age, P = 0.068; proportion of males, P = 0.093; sample size, P = 0.459; study design, P = 0.676; setting, P = 0.217). Begg's test indicated that there was no publication bias in this meta-analysis (P = 0.138).

Cirrhosis is the end stage of many chronic liver diseases [6]. Immune dysfunction associated with liver cirrhosis and fragile physiological buffering may increase susceptibility to severe COVID-19, meanwhile, SARS-CoV-2 infection can precipitate new or worsening acute hepatic decompensation and acute-on-chronic liver failure in patients with cirrhosis, leading to adverse outcomes [7]. This is consistent with our study that cirrhosis was an independent predictor of COVID-19 mortality. But this is a very superficial view, as other factors [8-10] will certainly play a role. The stage of cirrhosis (e.g., CHILD-Pugh, model for end-stage liver disease (MELD)) is as important as the ear of the pandemic itself (the impact of the different SARS-CoV-2 variants and the impact of vaccination also play a role). Unfortunately, of the majority of studies we included, only five studies described the stage of cirrhosis at baseline by different methods such as Child-Pugh score, MELD score, and chronic liver failure organ failure (CLIF-OF) score, etc. Few included studies addressed the effects of different SARS-CoV-2 variants and vaccination on the association between cirrhosis and COVID-19 mortality. Thus, the limited data prevented us from getting further results.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis based on confounding cofactors-controlled data indicated that cirrhosis was an independent predictor for COVID-19 mortality. The analysis confirmed what the recent EASL position paper noted [7]. Further well-designed studies based on prospective study estimates are warranted to confirm our findings. We hope that the data of this quantitative meta-analysis will contribute to more accurate elaboration and substantiation of the study provided by Marjot et al

[1].

References

[1] Marjot T, Moon AM, Cook JA, Abd-Elsalam S, Aloman C, Armstrong MJ, et al. Outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with chronic liver disease: An international registry study. Journal of hepatology 2021;74:567-577.

[2] Bushman D, Davidson A, Pathela P, Greene SK, Weiss D, Reddy V, et al. Risk Factors for Death Among Hospitalized Patients Aged 21-64 Years Diagnosed with COVID-19-New York City, March 13-April 9, 2020. Journal of racial and ethnic health disparities 2022;9:1584-1599.

[3] Calderon-Parra J, Cuervas-Mons V, Moreno-Torres V, Rubio-Rivas M, Blas PA, Pinilla-Llorente B, et al. Influence of chronic use of corticosteroids and calcineurin inhibitors on COVID-19 clinical outcomes: analysis of a nationwide registry. International journal of infectious diseases : IJID : official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases 2022;116:51-58.

[4] Castilla J, Guevara M, Miqueleiz A, Baigorria F, Ibero-Esparza C, Navascues A, et al. Risk Factors of Infection, Hospitalization and Death from SARS-CoV-2: A Population-Based Cohort Study. Journal of clinical medicine 2021;10.

[5] Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. PLoS medicine 2009;6:e1000100.

[6] Niehaus CE, Strunz B, Cornillet M, Falk CS, Schnieders A, Maasoumy B, et al. MAIT Cells Are Enriched and Highly Functional in Ascites of Patients With Decompensated Liver Cirrhosis. Hepatology 2020;72:1378-1393.

[7] Marjot T, Eberhardt CS, Boettler T, Belli LS, Berenguer M, Buti M, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on the liver and on the care of patients with chronic liver disease, hepatobiliary cancer, and liver transplantation: An updated EASL position paper. Journal of hepatology 2022.

[8] Krassenburg LAP, Maan R, Ramji A, Manns MP, Cornberg M, Wedemeyer H, et al. Clinical outcomes following DAA therapy in patients with HCV-related cirrhosis depend on disease severity. Journal of hepatology 2021;74:1053-1063.

[9] Cornberg M, Buti M, Eberhardt CS, Grossi PA, Shouval D. EASL position paper on the use of COVID-19 vaccines in patients with chronic liver diseases, hepatobiliary cancer and liver transplant recipients. Journal of hepatology 2021;74:944-951.

[10] Cornberg M, Eberhardt CS. Protected or not protected, that is the question - First data on COVID-19 vaccine responses in patients with NAFLD and liver transplant recipients. Journal of hepatology 2021;75:265-266.

Figure Legend

Journal Pre-proof

Figure 1: Forest plot presented the pooled effect size on the association between cirrhosis and COVID-19 mortality on the basis of confounding cofactors-controlled data (A), *indicates combined effects based on subgroups; Sensitivity analysis by omitting single study each time exhibited that our results were stable and robust (B).

Journal Preservoit

Journal Pi	re-proof	
Haase N	0.72 (0.15, 3.42)	1.07
Simon TG	0.81 (0.30, 2.17)	2.09
Bushman D 🗕 🛶	0.85 (0.33, 2.21)	2.20
Calderon–Parra J —	1.03 (0.62, 1.68)	4.00
Fung KW	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)	5.79
Afify S	◆ ! 1.10 (1.04, 1.28)	5.68
Palaiodimos L	◆ 1 .17 (0.76, 1.83)	4.29
Fuchs TA	◆ 1.23 (1.08, 1.39)	5.64
Seong GM ———	1.29 (0.27, 6.15)	1.07
Rastogi V	◆ 1.32 (1.16, 1.50)	5.63
Wilkinson LA	➡ 1.33 (1.05, 1.66)	5.30
Castilla J	1.37 (0.89, 2.11)	4.33
Lazcano U		5.52
Forlano R 🛛 🚽	1.47 (0.57, 3.90)	2.17
Kim D* —	1.48 (0.56, 3.88)	2.16
Clift AK*	1.53 (1.07, 2.17)	4.73
Ioannou GN	➡ 1.55 (1.16, 2.07)	5.03
Berenguer J	1.59 (1.03, 2.43)	4.35
Yip TC	2.36 (1.20, 4.63)	3.18
Estella A	2.37 (1.02, 5.53)	2.53
Stefan N	2.41 (0.97, 5.70)	2.40
Salacup G ——	2.61 (0.39, 17.43)	0.77
Lee YR	2.86 (1.04, 9.30)	1.83
Mendizabal M	3.10 (1.90, 4.80)	4.17
Ge J	 ◆ 3.31 (2.91, 3.77) 	5.63
Patel HK	3.45 (1.18, 10.05)	1.88
Piskac Zivkovic N	3.81 (2.08, 6.96)	3.49
Marjot T*	4.16 (1.71, 10.10)	2.39
Venturas J	13.60 (2.00, 109.00)	0.70
Overall (I-squared = 93.4%, p = 0.000)	 1.64 (1.37, 1.96)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.00917	1 1 109	

В

