

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Inosine: a broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory against SARS-CoV-2 infection-induced acute lung injury via suppressing TBK1 phosphorylation

Ningning Wang, Entao Li, Huifang Deng, Lanxin Yue, Lei Zhou, Rina Su, Baokun He, Chengcai Lai, Gaofu Li, Yuwei Gao, Wei Zhou, Yue Gao

PII: S2095-1779(22)00098-3

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpha.2022.10.002

Reference: JPHA 741

To appear in: Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis

Received Date: 28 June 2022

Revised Date: 14 October 2022

Accepted Date: 17 October 2022

Please cite this article as: N. Wang, E. Li, H. Deng, L. Yue, L. Zhou, R. Su, B. He, C. Lai, G. Li, Y. Gao, W. Zhou, Y. Gao, Inosine: a broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory against SARS-CoV-2 infection-induced acute lung injury via suppressing TBK1 phosphorylation, *Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpha.2022.10.002.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Xi'an Jiaotong University.



Inosine: a broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory against SARS-CoV-2 infection-induced acute lung injury via suppressing TBK1 phosphorylation

Ningning Wang ^{a, b, 1}, Entao Li ^{c, 1}, Huifang Deng ^{a, 1}, Lanxin Yue ^a, Lei Zhou ^a, Rina Su ^{c, d}, Baokun He ^e, Chengcai Lai ^a, Gaofu Li ^a, Yuwei Gao ^{c, *}, Wei Zhou ^{a, **}, Yue Gao ^{a, b, ***}

^a Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine, Beijing, 100850, China.

^b Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin, 301617, China.

^c Changchun Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Changchun, 130122, China.

^d College of Veterinary Medicine, Jilin Agricultural University, Jilin, 130022, China. ^e Department of Gastroenterology, Shanghai General Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200080, China.

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author: Yuwei Gao, E-mail: <u>yuwei0901@outlook.com</u>, Changchun Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Changchun, 130122, China.
**Corresponding author: Wei Zhou, E-mail: <u>zhouweisyl802@163.com</u>, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine, Beijing, 100850, China.
***Corresponding author: Yue Gao, E-mail: <u>gaoyue@bmi.ac.cn</u>, Department of Pharmaceutical

Sciences, Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine, Beijing, 100850, China.



Image: Constrained and the second and th

1 Inosine: a broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory against SARS-CoV-2 infection-

2 induced acute lung injury via suppressing TBK1 phosphorylation

3 Abstract

SARS-CoV-2-induced cytokine storms constitute the primary cause of COVID-19 4 progression, severity, criticality, and death. Glucocorticoid and anti-cytokine therapies 5 6 have been frequently administered to treat COVID-19 but have had limited clinical 7 efficacy in severe and critical cases. Nevertheless, the weaknesses of these treatment modalities have prompted the development of anti-inflammatory therapy against this 8 infection. We found that the broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory agent inosine 9 downregulated proinflammatory IL-6, upregulated anti-inflammatory IL-10, and 10 ameliorated acute inflammatory lung injury caused by multiple infectious agents. 11 Inosine significantly improved survival in mice infected with SARS-CoV-2. It 12 indirectly impeded TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) phosphorylation by binding 13 stimulator of interferon genes (STING) and glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK3β), 14 inhibited the activation and nuclear translocation of the downstream transcription 15 16 factors IRF3 and NF-kB, and downregulated IL-6 in the sera and lung tissues of mice infected with lipopolysaccharide (LPS), H1N1, or SARS-CoV-2. Thus, inosine 17 administration is feasible for clinical anti-inflammatory therapy against severe and 18 critical COVID-19. Moreover, targeting TBK1 is a promising strategy for inhibiting 19 cytokine storms and mitigating acute inflammatory lung injury induced by SARS-CoV-20 2 and other infectious agents. 21

- 22 Keywords: Cytokine storm, Interleukin 6, Inosine, SARS-CoV-2, TANK-binding
- 23 kinase 1 (TBK1)

24

Journal Prevention

25 **1. Introduction**

The coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic is caused by severe acute 26 27 respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection and has rapidly led to a global health crisis [1]. By the end of 2021, over 250 million people worldwide were 28 diagnosed with COVID-19. Ten to fifteen percent of these cases progressed to severe 29 or critical status and over five million people died [2, 3]. Newly developed antiviral 30 drugs have demonstrated a certain degree of efficacy against mild COVID-19 [4]. Cases 31 that progressed to severe or critical status were characterized by a pulmonary 32 33 hyperinflammatory state known as a cytokine storm [5]. In these cases, only anticlinically beneficial 34 inflammatory therapy is potentially [6]. Though immunosuppressive glucocorticoids reduced the requirement for mechanical 35 36 ventilation, they did not significantly lower mortality in severe and critical cases [7, 8]. In fact, they sometimes worsened the condition by delaying viral clearance [9]. The 37 ideal therapeutic agent for severe or critical COVID-19 controls cytokine release and 38 39 prevents overactivation of the immune response.

40 Cytokine storms cause life-threatening systemic inflammation characterized by 41 immune cell hyperactivation and the release of proinflammatory cytokines including 42 interleukin-6 (IL-6), interferons, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) [10]. Our 43 previous study and several recent articles indicated that a sharp increase in pleiotropic 44 cytokine IL-6 content was associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), 45 sepsis, and even death in patients with COVID-19 [11, 12]. IL-6 receptor antagonists 46 have been widely administered in human clinical trials. However, IL-6 signaling

blockade provided no broad-based survival benefit in COVID-19 therapy. A possible 47 explanation is that IL-6 is essential for activating innate/adaptive immunity and 48 49 facilitating efficient pathogen clearance [13, 14]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a transcriptional regulation strategy wherein the SARS-CoV-2-induced rise in IL-6 50 51 content is controlled and the anti-inflammatory response initiated by IL-6 is partially 52 conserved.

Viral genes are detected by classical Toll-like receptors (TLRs) as well as other 53 specific pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that initiate the host innate immune 54 55 response. Viral RNA is detected by retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs) while viral DNA is sensed by cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) [15]. In turn, 56 RIG-I and cGAS activate particular signaling cascades and induce IL-6 and other 57 58 inflammatory cytokines via mitochondrial antiviral signaling (MAVS) protein and stimulator of interferon genes (STING), respectively [16, 17]. The RNA virus SARS-59 CoV-2 can evade RLR sensors and inhibit the MAVS downstream adaptor [18]. By 60 61 contrast, host self-DNA in the cytoplasms of SARS-CoV-2-induced syncytia triggers the antiviral innate immune response via the cGAS-STING pathway [15], activates 62 interferon regulatory factor (IRF3) and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB), induces 63 interferons, and upregulates proinflammatory cytokines [19]. Glycogen synthase 64 65 kinase-3-beta (GSK3β) is activated in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells and is required for viral replication [20]. Activated GSK3β phosphorylates NF-κB and provokes systemic 66 67 inflammation [21]. Hence, multiple signaling pathway-induced NF-KB responses may control IL-6 transcription and release in patients with COVID-19. Recent evidence 68

demonstrated that TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) is a vital factor in the foregoing 69 70 innate immune signaling pathways and mediates upstream stimuli and downstream NF-71 κB or IRF3 signaling [22]. For these reasons, suppressing TBK1 activation could 72 modulate the host innate immune response following SARS-CoV-2 infection. 73 Inosine is a type of purine nucleoside. It is a deamination metabolite of adenosine and is also formed by binding hypoxanthine with ribose. Endogenous inosine is a key 74 intermediate in purine biosynthesis and regulates RNA replication and translation [23]. 75 Exogenous inosine supplementation protects against lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced 76 77 acute lung injury and endotoxin-induced septic shock by suppressing the release of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-1 β , TNF- α , and so on [24, 25]. Inosine may also 78 modulate Th1 cell differentiation and/or activation and improve immunotherapy 79 80 efficacy via the T cell-specific adenosine A2A receptor (A2AR) and STING signaling pathways [26, 27]. Inosine was detected in certain Chinese material medica and medical 81 formulae such as Lumbricus terrestris and Pinellia ternata (Thunb.) that are 82 83 administered for the treatment of pneumonia and COVID-19 [28, 29]. Hence, inosine

84 might control SARS-CoV-2-induced cytokine storms.

Here, we found that inosine functioned as a broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory agent. It attenuated acute lung inflammatory injury triggered by various stimuli and markedly improved survival in mice infected with SARS-CoV-2. Inosine demonstrated strong efficacy against cytokine storms as it inhibited innate immune signalingmediated TBK1 phosphorylation by multiple routes. The findings of this work showed that inosine could serve as an adjuvant for the treatment of severe or critical COVID- 91 19 and suggested that TBK1 is a potential therapeutic target.

92 2. Materials and Methods

93 *2.1 Cell lines and viruses*

All cell lines used in the present study were purchased from the National 94 Infrastructure of Cell Line Resource (Beijing, China). Mouse leukemic 95 monocyte/macrophages (RAW264.7) and human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) 96 cells were maintained in a humidified incubator (37 °C, 5% CO₂) in Dulbecco's 97 modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, 98 99 USA) containing 10% (V/V) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Tianhang Biotechnology, Hangzhou, China) and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin) 100 (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA). Influenza A (H1N1) virus 101 102 Beijing/501/2009 strain (BJ501) was isolated from a patient in Beijing confirmed to be infected in 2009. The genome sequence of this strain appears in the GenBank database 103 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) under accession No. GQ223415. The mouse-104 105 adapted SARS-CoV-2 C57MA14 strain was isolated from a COVID-19 patient in 106 Wuhan, China and obtained by continuous passage in C57BL/6N mice. The genome sequence of this strain appears in the GenBank database under accession No. 107 OL913104.1. All experiments involving live H1N1 virus and SARS-CoV-2 were 108 109 performed in a biosafety level three (BSL-3) laboratory at the Changchun Veterinary Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences and in strict 110 111 accordance with biosafety standard operating procedures.

112 *2.2 Reagents and methods*

113	Lipopolysaccharide (LPS; purity \geq 98%) and dexamethasone sodium phosphate
114	(DXM; purity \geq 99%) were obtained from Solarbio Science & Technology (Beijing,
115	China). Poly(I:C) (purity \geq 99%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis,
116	MO, USA). High-quality specific agonists or antagonists of STING, TBK1, GSK3 β ,
117	and A2AR were purchased from Topscience Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Primary anti-
118	A2AR antibody was obtained from Proteintech Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). Anti-
119	phospho-GSK3 β (p-GSK3 β), anti-GSK3 β , anti-phospho-STING (p-STING), anti-
120	STING, anti-phospho-TBK1 (p-TBK1), anti-TBK1, anti-phospho-IRF3 (p-IRF3), anti-
121	IRF3, anti-phospho-NF-κB (p-NF-κB), anti-NF-κB, anti-IL-6, anti-GAPDH, and anti-
122	rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary
123	antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA, USA).
124	2.3 Biosafety facility and ethics statement

All work involving live SARS-CoV-2 viruses was conducted in a BSL-3 laboratory at the Changchun Veterinary Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. The BALB/c mice were housed and maintained in accordance with the Guidelines for the Welfare and Ethics of Laboratory Animals of China. All animal studies were approved by the Animal Welfare and Ethics Committee of the Changchun Veterinary Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences under approval No. IACUC-AMMS-11-2020-020.

132 *2.4 Mouse husbandry and treatment*

C57BL/6N mice aged 7–10 weeks and weighing 20–22 g and BALB/c mice aged
9 months and weighing 25–30 g were obtained from the Beijing Charles River

135	Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The mice were maintained under specific
136	pathogen-free (SPF) conditions and ~12 h light:12 h dark cycles. The SARS-CoV-2
137	challenge was performed on BALB/c mice. They were intranasally infected with 50 μL
138	of a 10 ³ median tissue culture infective dose (TCID ₅₀) SARS-CoV-2 strain C57MA14.
139	The C57BL/6N mice were exposed to H1N1 virus or LPS by intranasal inoculation or
140	intratracheal instillation, respectively. Mice exposed to SARS-CoV-2, H1N1 virus, or
141	LPS were randomly assigned either to an inosine treatment group or a vehicle group.
142	The animals in the control group were administered equivalent volumes of sterile saline
143	solution by intranasal inoculation or intratracheal instillation. Mouse survival and body
144	weight changes were monitored daily. All experimental protocols were approved by the
145	Ethics Committee of Animal Experiments of the Beijing Institute of Radiation
146	Medicine under approval No. IACUC-DWZX-2020-762.
147	2.5 Viral RNA extraction and RT-qPCR
148	The mouse tissues were mixed with DMEM and homogenized (homogenizer,
149	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) for 5 min. The suspension was centrifuged at 12,000 g
150	and 4 °C for 10 min and the supernatant was conserved. The RNA viruses were
151	extracted with a QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Dusseldorf, Germany). The
152	viral RNA was quantified by RT-qPCR with Premix Ex Taq (Takara, Beijing, China)

153 targeting the SARS-CoV-2 *N* gene. The primer and probe sequences were as follows:

154 NF (5'-GGGGGAACTTCTCCTGCTAGAAT-3'); NR (5'-

155 CAGACATTTTGCTCTCAAGCTG-3'); and NP (5'-FAM-

156 TTGCTGCTGCTTGACAGATT-TAMRA-3').

157 2.6 SARS-CoV-2 load quantification by TCID₅₀

The supernatants of the lung and turbinate bone tissue homogenates were diluted with DMEM and applied to Vero E6 cells cultured on 96-well plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). The Vero E6 cells were incubated for 1 h and the DMEM was replenished. After 72 h incubation, the TCID₅₀ was determined based on the cytopathic effect.

163 2.7 Serum cytokine/chemokine measurements

Mice were euthanized at 1 day or 3 day post-infection/treatment and their sera were collected. Serum cytokines were measured with a ProcartaPlex Mouse Cytokine Panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The assays were conducted in 96-well filter plates and in a flow-based instrument (Luminex[®] 200TM; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Serum IL-6 levels were confirmed with a Sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Meimian industrial Co., Ltd, Yancheng, China). All data were calculated by deducting the background value.

171 *2.8 Histological analysis and immunofluorescence*

Mice were sacrificed at 1 day or 3 day post-infection/treatment and their lungs were harvested and fixed in 10% (*V/V*) neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, cut into 3.5-µm sections (Leica, Weztlar, Germany), and subjected to hematoxylineosin (H&E) staining. For the lung tissue immunofluorescence assay, the sections were sealed with bovine serum albumin (BSA) at 25 °C for 30 min and incubated at 4 °C overnight with the indicated primary antibodies. The slides were then incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) anti-rabbit secondary antibody and 4`,6-diamidino-

2-phenylindole (DAPI) containing anti-fluorescence quenching agent. The lung 179 sections were then visualized under a whole-slide imaging microscope fitted with 180 Pannoramic Scanner software (Pannoramic DESK, Budapest, Hungary). RAW264.7 181 cells were fixed with immunostaining fixing solution (Beyotime Biotechnology, 182 Shanghai, China) and blocked with immunostaining blocking buffer (Beyotime 183 Biotechnology). The corresponding primary antibody was incubated at 4 °C overnight. 184 The cells were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with 185 fluorescently-coupled secondary antibodies and DAPI. Fluorescence images were 186 187 visualized under a confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM; Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). 188

189 *2.9 Western blot*

190 Proteins were extracted from the cells or lung tissue with cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer and quantified by bicinchoninic acid 191 (BCA) assay. The proteins were separated on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-192 193 polyacrylamide gels (Epizyme Biomedical Technology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) and 194 transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The latter were sealed with 5% skim milk and successively 195 incubated with the indicated primary and secondary antibodies. The bands were 196 197 incubated with SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Detection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and visualized with ImageQuant LAS 500 (GE Healthcare BioSciences 198 199 AB, Chicago, IL, USA).

200 2.10 Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay

201	The TBK1 cDNA sequence was cloned into a pCDNA3.1 expression plasmid and
202	fused with HA-tag at the C-terminal. HEK293 cells were transfected with 2 μ g TBK1-
203	HA expression plasmid using Lipofectamine TM 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA)
204	according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cells were collected and resuspended
205	in lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. The lysates were mixed
206	with rabbit monoclonal anti-HA at 4 °C overnight to form the immune complex.
207	Prewashed Pierce TM classic magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) were added
208	to the lysate samples and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 h. The
209	beads were harvested on a magnetic rack, washed thrice with cold rinse buffer, and
210	eluted with eluent. The proteins were eluted from the beads by boiling with loading
211	buffers and then subjected to immunoblot analysis.

212 2.11 Biotin-tagged inosine synthesis

To identify binding between inosine and its targets, the former was labeled with 213 biotin at its hydroxyl moiety and biotinylated inosine (Bio-inosine) was synthesized. 214 One equivalent biotin was dissolved in dry dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 1.2 eq 215 N, N, N, N-tetramethyl-O-(N-succinimidyl)uronium tetrafluoroborate (TSTU) and 0.1 216 mL triethylamine were added, and the reaction was conducted at room temperature for 217 4 h. Then diethyl ether was added to precipitate the biotin succinimidyl ester (SE). Then 218 219 1 eq biotin SE was dissolved in 2 mL N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and dripped into DMF containing 1 eq inosine through a constant-pressure dropping funnel for 4 h. The 220 reaction continued for another 4 h and the solvent was evaporated at low pressure to 221 222 prepare the liquid phase for purification. Bio-inosine structure and purity were

determined by nuclear magnetic resonance (Bruker AVANCE NEO, karlsruhe,

223

242

224Germany) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry-ion trap-time-of-flight225(LC/MS-IT/TOF; Shimadzu, Japan) (Figs. S4A and S4B). The inosine successfully226bound the biotin and the target compound was relatively pure.2272.12 Biotin pulldown assay228Streptavidin isolated from Streptomyces avidinii was covalently bound to agarose229to perform pull-down experiments. Five hundred microliters of 500 μ M Bio-inosine or230biotin was added to 60 μ L streptavidin-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and231incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose232beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated233at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled234in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels.2352.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation236Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software237(https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in238the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K239and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the240(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard).241(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard).		
 (LC/MS-IT/TOF; Shimadzu, Japan) (Figs. S4A and S4B). The inosine successfully bound the biotin and the target compound was relatively pure. 2.12 Biotin pulldown assay Streptavidin isolated from Streptomyces avidinii was covalently bound to agarose to perform pull-down experiments. Five hundred microliters of 500 µM Bio-inosine or biotin was added to 60 µL streptavidin-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. 2.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software (https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation-wizard). The 	224	Germany) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry-ion trap-time-of-flight
226bound the biotin and the target compound was relatively pure.2272.12 Biotin pulldown assay228Streptavidin isolated from Streptomyces avidinii was covalently bound to agarose229to perform pull-down experiments. Five hundred microliters of 500 μ M Bio-inosine or230biotin was added to 60 μ L streptavidin-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and231incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose232beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated233at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled234in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels.2352.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation236Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software237(https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in238the docking studies included human GSK3 β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K239and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the240recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard241(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard).	225	(LC/MS-IT/TOF; Shimadzu, Japan) (Figs. S4A and S4B). The inosine successfully
2272.12 Biotin pulldown assay228Streptavidin isolated from Streptomyces avidinii was covalently bound to agarose229to perform pull-down experiments. Five hundred microliters of 500 μ M Bio-inosine or230biotin was added to 60 μ L streptavidin-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and231incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose232beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated233at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled234in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels.2352.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation236Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software237(https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in238the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K239and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the240recommendedprocedure in241(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard).The	226	bound the biotin and the target compound was relatively pure.
228 Streptavidin isolated from <i>Streptomyces avidinii</i> was covalently bound to agarose 229 to perform pull-down experiments. Five hundred microliters of 500 μ M Bio-inosine or 230 biotin was added to 60 μ L streptavidin-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and 231 incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose 232 beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated 233 at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled 234 in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. 235 <i>2.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation</i> 236 Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software 237 (https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in 238 the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K 239 and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the 240 recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard 241 (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The	227	2.12 Biotin pulldown assay
229to perform pull-down experiments. Five hundred microliters of 500 μM Bio-inosine or230biotin was added to 60 μL streptavidin-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and231incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose232beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated233at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled234in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels.2352.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation236Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software237(https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in238the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K239and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the240recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard241(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard).	228	Streptavidin isolated from Streptomyces avidinii was covalently bound to agarose
230biotin was added to 60 μL streptavidin-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and231incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose232beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated233at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled234in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels.2352.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation236Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software237(https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in238the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K239and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the240recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard241(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard).	229	to perform pull-down experiments. Five hundred microliters of 500 μM Bio-inosine or
231 incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose 232 beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated 233 at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled 234 in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. 235 2.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation 236 Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software 237 (https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in 238 the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K 239 and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the 240 recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard 241 (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The	230	biotin was added to 60 μL streptavidin-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and
232beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated233at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled234in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels.2352.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation236Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software237(https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in238the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K239and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the240recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard241(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard).	231	incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose
233at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled234in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels.2352.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation236Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software237(https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in238the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K239and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the240recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard241(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard).	232	beads containing Bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated
 in 2× loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. 2.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software (https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The 	233	at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled
 235 2.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation 236 Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software 237 (https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in 238 the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K 239 and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the 240 recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard 241 (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The 	234	in $2 \times$ loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels.
Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software (https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The	235	2.13 Computational docking and molecular simulation
237 (https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in 238 the docking studies included human GSK3 β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K 239 and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the 240 recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard 241 (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The	236	Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software
 the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The 	237	(https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in
and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The	238	the docking studies included human GSK3 β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K
240recommendedprocedureintheProteinPreparationWizard241(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard).The	239	and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the
241 (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The	240	recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard
	241	(https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The

each protein structure and the atomic charges were assigned based on the OPLS3e force
field. Residues within 20 Å of the active ligand binding sites in the crystal structure

12

ligands and solvent molecules were removed. Polar hydrogen atoms were added for

245	were defined a	is the bindi	ng sites at whic	h the dock	ing grids v	were created.	The ligand
246	structures	were	prepared	with	the	LigPrep	module
247	(https://www.s	schrodinger	.com/products/l	igprep) to c	lefine the	protonation st	ate and the
248	atomic charges	s (reference	$pH = 7.0 \pm 2.0$; OPLS3e 1	force field). The native	ligand was
249	removed and d	locking was	s conducted in s	tandard do	cking mod	le with the rec	ceptor. The
250	default input p	parameters v	were (a) no sca	ling factor	for the Vo	W radii of th	e nonpolar
251	protein atoms,	and (b) 0.8	scaling factor f	or the nonp	olar ligan	d atoms. Both	were used
252	in all computa	tions. Inosi	ne was docked	and scored	d in Glide	standard pred	cision (SP)
253	mode and the	optimal i	nosine pose w	as selected	with Gl	ide Score. Tl	he binding
254	interaction mo	odes of ino	sine with hum	an GSK3β	and STI	NG were ana	lyzed with
255	PyMOL (https	://pymol.or	g/2/).				

256 2.14 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). The data were means \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a least significant difference (LSD) post-hoc test compared multiple treatment means. A log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was used to determine mouse survival rates. *P* < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

```
262 3. Results
```

3.1 Inosine alleviated LPS- and H1N1 virus-induced acute inflammatory lung injury by

264 *regulating cytokine secretion*

We used LPS and H1N1 virus to establish acute inflammatory lung injury models in mice and evaluate the anti-inflammatory effect of inosine. H&E staining revealed

obvious inflammatory cell infiltration and patchy hemorrhage in the alveolar cavity and 267 the pulmonary interstitium at 24 h post LPS treatment (Fig. 1A). These signs were 268 269 accompanied by sharp increases in serum IL-6 and IFN- γ levels and remarkable changes in bodyweight and wet-to-dry lung weight ratio (W/D) (Figs. 1B, S1A and 270 271 S1B). Elevated IL-6 was detected in both the LPS-infected lung tissue and the 272 RAW246.7 cells (Figs. 1C and D). Both DXM and the 300 mg/kg inosine treatment inhibited LPS-induced increases in serum IL-6 and IFN- γ (Fig. 1B), lowered the IL-6 273 content in LPS-infected mouse lung tissue (Fig. 1C) and mononuclear macrophages 274 275 (Fig. 1D), and ameliorated LPS-induced lung tissue damage (Fig. 1A). Inosine significantly upregulated serum IL-10 content in the mouse model (Fig. 1B). The 900 276 mg/kg inosine treatment attenuated H1N1-induced serum IL-6 upregulation and acute 277 278 lung injury in mice within the first 24 h post-infection (Figs. 1E and F). Inosine had a weaker antagonistic effect than DXM against H1N1-induced histopathology but 279 exerted no adverse effect on mouse body weight (Fig. S1C). The preceding results 280 281 suggest that inosine has broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory efficacy in COVID-19 therapy by controlling the cytokine storm. 282

283 *3.2 Inosine improved survival in SARS-CoV-2-infected mice by alleviating* 284 *inflammatory injury rather than through antiviral activity*

We performed in vivo antiviral assays to determine whether inosine alleviates SARS-CoV-2-induced acute lung injury. The mice were orally administered single daily inosine doses at 24 h before C57MA14 infection and were sacrificed at 1 day, 3 day or 14 day post infection (dpi) (Fig. 2A). Inosine did not lower SARS-CoV-2 copies

289	and titers in infected mouse lung and nasal turbinate tissues at 1 dpi and at 3 dpi (Fig.
290	2B and Fig. S2A). However, inosine treatment substantially lowered serum IL-6 and
291	IFN-γ and raised serum IL-10 and IL-22 at 1 dpi or 3 dpi (Fig. 2C and Fig. S2B). Inosine
292	ameliorated the pathological changes in the lungs and maintained normal pulmonary
293	structure at the early stages of infection (Fig. 2D). After 14 day, all the mice in the
294	SARS-CoV-2 group had died (Fig. 2E). However, daily oral inosine administration
295	impeded weight loss starting at 9 dpi (Fig. 2F) and dramatically improved survival in
296	mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 2E). Inosine also prevented SARS-CoV-2
297	infection from inducing inflammatory lesions in the lungs of the mice still surviving at
298	14 dpi (Fig. S2C). Inosine also inhibited pulmonary IL-6 and mononuclear macrophage
299	activation induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection (Figs. 2G and H). Hence, inosine might
300	have improved survival in SARS-CoV-2-infected mice by regulating cytokine release.
301	3.3 STING and GSK3 β signaling participated in the acute inflammatory response
302	induced by various infectious agents

303 We then sought to identify the innate immune signaling involved in the acute inflammatory response induced various infectious agents. The in vitro experiments 304 showed that LPS and SARS-CoV-2 activated cGAS-STING and GSK3β signaling. 305 Both infectious agents increased STING and GSK3^β phosphorylation at Ser365 and 306 Ser9, respectively (Fig. 3A). By contrast, Poly(I:C) only induced GSK3β 307 phosphorylation and had no apparent influence on the cGAS-STING pathway (Fig. 3A). 308 LPS, Poly(I:C), and SARS-CoV-2 activated the proinflammatory nuclear factors NF-309 κB and IRF3 (Fig. 3A). STING inhibitor (H151) or GSK3β inhibitor (TWS119) co-310

311	administration noticeably reduced NF-kB and IRF3 phosphorylation in RAW246.7
312	cells infected with LPS, Poly(I:C), or SARS-CoV-2 (Figs. 3B and C). Lung tissues
313	infected with LPS, BJ501, and C57MA14 presented with enhanced STING and GSK3 β
314	signaling (Fig. 3D). H151 inhibited STING activation, NF-KB phosphorylation at
315	Ser536, IRF3 phosphorylation at Ser396, and IL-6 expression in LPS-infected lungs
316	(Fig. 3E). It also lowered serum IL-6 and protected the lungs against inflammatory
317	injury at 1 dpi (Figs. 3F and G). Thus, STING and GSK3 β might play crucial roles in
318	the acute inflammatory response triggered by LPS, Poly(I:C), and SARS-CoV-2.

- 319 *3.4 The inhibition of TBK1 phosphorylation also attenuated IL-6 upregulation induced*
- 320 by LPS, Poly(I:C), and SARS-CoV-2

TBK1 is essential for activating NF- κ B and releasing IL-6 downstream [22]. 321 322 TBK1 phosphorylation at Ser172 was detected in lung tissues and cells infected with LPS, Poly(I:C), or SARS-CoV-2 (Figs. 4A and B). After GSK8612 (TBK1 inhibitor) 323 suppressed TBK1 phosphorylation, IRF3 and NF-kB phosphorylation and IL-6 324 325 expression also decreased in RAW246.7 cells infected with LPS, Poly(I:C), or SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 4C). In vivo experiments disclosed that GSK8612 lowered serum IL-6 326 levels (Fig. S3A) and attenuated acute inflammatory lung injury in LPS- and H1N1-327 infected mice (Fig. S3B). GSK8612 significantly inhibited LPS- and H1N10-induced 328 329 TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation (Fig. S3C). Similarly, inosine suppressed TBK1, IRF3, and NF-kB phosphorylation promoted by LPS, SARS-CoV-2, or Poly(I:C) 330 331 infection (Figs. 4D and E and Fig. S3D). The inhibition of TBK1 phosphorylation by inosine drastically reduced the levels and nuclear translocation of phosphorylated IRF3 332

and NF-κB (Fig. 4F and Fig. S3E). However, inosine did not hinder binding between
TBK1 and IRF3 in HEK-293 cells overexpressing the former (Fig. 4G). Therefore,
TBK1 phosphorylation is strongly associated with the proinflammatory response and
IL-6 upregulation promoted by the foregoing infectious agents and is a potential inosine
target.

338 *3.5 STING and GSK3β promoted TBK1 phosphorylation and IL-6 expression*

To determine whether innate immune signaling is implicated in TBK1 339 phosphorylation and IL-6 upregulation, we inhibited STING or GSK3β in mononuclear 340 341 macrophages infected with LPS, Poly(I:C), or SARS-CoV-2. H151 and TWS119 significantly inhibited LPS- and SARS-CoV-2-induced TBK1 phosphorylation as well 342 as IL-6 expression in infected cells (Figs. 5A and B). TWS119 negated Poly(I:C)-343 344 induced increases in TBK1 phosphorylation and cytoplasmic IL-6 content (Fig. 5B). In vitro and in vivo experiments revealed that inosine inhibited STING and GSK3β 345 phosphorylation induced by various infectious agents (Figs. 5C and D). A_{2A}R mediates 346 347 the anti-inflammatory action of inosine by downregulating IL-6. Nevertheless, the 348 A2AR agonist regadenoson could not oppose IL-6 upregulation in infected RAW246.7 cells (Fig. 5E). STING, GSK3β, and TBK1 evoke acute inflammatory responses to LPS, 349 Poly(I:C), or SARS-CoV-2 infection. The preceding results imply that inosine may 350 351 target STING and GSK3^β. As both of these are upstream TBK1 regulators, inosine indirectly suppresses TBK1 phosphorylation and IL-6 upregulation induced by multiple 352 353 stimuli.

354 3.6 Inosine inhibited TBK1 phosphorylation by interfering with STING and GSK3 β

355 *activation*

We performed a biotin pulldown assay and molecular docking to clarify the 356 mechanism by which inosine inhibits TBK1 phosphorylation. First, we introduced 357 long-chain biotin via esterification of the hydroxyl group to construct a biotinylated 358 359 inosine (Fig. 6A). It was confirmed that the latter also had anti-inflammatory efficacy as it suppressed proinflammatory signals (STING or GSK3β) and TBK1-mediated IL-360 6 expression in LPS- and Poly(I:C)-infected cells (Figs. S4C and D). Next, our 361 pulldown analysis with silver staining disclosed that, relative to biotin, Bio-inosine 362 363 significantly enhanced protein precipitation at 33-35 kDa and 40-55 kDa. However, unlabeled inosine at tenfold the Bio-inosine concentration competitively reduced the 364 precipitation of proteins targeted by Bio-inosine (Fig. 6B). Western blot demonstrated 365 366 that the precipitated protein bands included STING and GSK3β (Fig. 6C). Hence, binding occurred directly between inosine and these proteins. Flag-tagged STING and 367 GSK3β proteins were expressed in the HEK293 cells (Fig. S4E). Cell lysates were also 368 369 tested in the biotin pulldown assays with and without Bio-inosine. The latter 370 precipitated Flag-tagged STING and GSK3B. Tenfold excess unlabeled free inosine blocked binding between Bio-inosine and STING or GSK3β (Fig. 6D). We performing 371 a docking analysis to clarify the mode of inosine binding to STING or GSK3^β. Figures 372 373 6E and F show that inosine binds human STING in the pocket between the two chains along with the kinase binding domain of human GSK3 β . Van der Waals and π - π 374 375 stacking interactions formed between inosine and STING or GSK3β (Figs. 6E and F). The docking scores of inosine with human STING and GSK3 β were -6.77 kcal/mol 376

and -8.16 kcal/mol, respectively. The foregoing discoveries suggest that inosine directly targets STING and GSK3 β , inhibits their phosphorylation, and suppresses the TBK1-mediated proinflammatory response.

380 **4. Discussion**

Uncontrolled, hyperactivate inflammatory responses are believed to be the principal 381 triggers of SARS-CoV-2-induced acute lung injury and are closely associated with 382 COVID-19 severity and mortality. The present study identified inosine as a broad-383 spectrum anti-inflammatory that might effectively alleviate acute inflammatory lung 384 385 injury induced by multiple infectious agents. Inosine substantially improved survival in SARS-CoV-2-infected mice mainly by suppressing the release of IL-6 and other 386 proinflammatory cytokines. Inosine interacted with STING and GSK3^β, inhibited their 387 phosphorylation, significantly decreased TBK1 phosphorylation, suppressed the 388 proinflammatory nuclear factors NF-ĸB 389 and IRF3, and downregulated proinflammatory IL-6 in response to pathogen attack and invasion. This study 390 391 demonstrated the critical role of TBK1 in acute inflammatory lung injury evoked by 392 various infectious agents and indicated that inosine and other drugs targeting TBK1 are 393 potential therapeutic strategies against COVID-19.

Megakaryocytes and monocytes synthesize and secrete the proinflammatory cytokines, which are major clinical features of COVID-19. These cells and substances promote disease progression via acute inflammatory lung injury [30, 31]. SARS-CoV-2 and other infectious agents indeed trigger severe pulmonary inflammatory responses including the pathological features of pneumonia and cytokine storms [32, 33].

399	Effective cytokine storm management could improve outcomes and survival in patients
400	with COVID-19. Standard clinical anti-cytokine therapies include glucocorticoid and
401	cytokine antagonist administration. In contrast, inosine suppresses but does not abolish
402	the release of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 induced by SARS-CoV-2.
403	Nevertheless, it also upregulates the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10. Hence, it could
404	attenuate the cytokine response. For these reasons, inosine significantly improved
405	survival in SARS-CoV-2-infected mice even without directly inhibiting viral
406	replication. Inosine indistinguishably alleviated the lung tissue damage and lowered the
407	serum levels of IL-6. This factor is thought to be a major component of the cytokine
408	storm and is correlated with COVID-19 severity and mortality. In fact, inosine
409	administration might prevent pulmonary injuries resulting from acute inflammation
410	caused by multiple stimuli. Hence, inosine might deploy the same anti-cytokine storm
411	mechanisms including IL-6 inhibition against SARS-CoV-2, other viruses, and
412	bacterial pathogens.

Our study demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 activated the NF- κ B transcription 413 factor (TF) that induces cytokine genes in response to viral or bacterial infection. Early 414 in infection, phosphorylated NF-kB and its cascade co-activators induce IL-6 and TNF-415 a. Phosphorylation of the TF IRF3 was also detected in SARS-CoV-2-infected 416 macrophages and lungs. After IRF3 senses viral RNA and cytoplastic DNA, it induces 417 type I interferon (IFN) secretion [34, 35]. Nevertheless, neither the present nor previous 418 studies detected any significant elevation in the type I IFN response in severe SARS-419 CoV-2 infection (Fig. S2B). By contrast, SARS-CoV-2 infection remarkably 420

421	upregulated serum IFN- γ at 3 dpi. Therefore, IRF3 activation might contribute to IFN-
422	$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ induction. A recent study reported that IL-6 is a non-canonical interferon-stimulated
423	gene (ISG) responding to IFN signaling [36]. For this reason, IRF3 activation might be
424	implicated in SARS-CoV-2-induced IL-6 expression. SARS-CoV-2-elicited cytokine
425	release may be correlated with multiple cellular pathways or networks such as NF- κB
426	and IRF3 signaling. LPS, Poly(I:C), and H1N1 induced NF-KB and IRF3
427	phosphorylation which, in turn, first upregulated IL-6 and TNF- α and then IFN- γ . In
428	response to all infectious agents, inosine had excellent anti-cytokine efficacy as it
429	suppressed the release of IL-6 mainly by inhibiting NF-κB and IRF3 phosphorylation.
430	Purinergic receptors are expressed by a wide range of immune cells and might
431	have various anti-inflammatory effects [37]. A2AR mediates the anti-cytokine effects of
432	inosine in LPS-induced acute lung injury [38, 39]. However, selective A2A adenosine
433	receptor agonists did not abrogate elevated inflammation associated with transcription
434	signaling or IL-6 upregulation in response to multiple stimuli. Thus, the anti-
435	inflammatory effects of inosine are not A2AR-dependent. TBK1 is the gatekeeper of
436	bacterial and viral-induced inflammatory signaling crossroads [22, 40] and was
437	strongly phosphorylated in macrophages and lung tissues infected with LPS, Poly(I:C),
438	H1N1, or SARS-CoV-2. TBK1 phosphorylation is essential for the recruitment and
439	phosphorylation of the downstream TFs NF-κB and IFR3. TBK1 also promotes the
440	nuclear translocation of phosphor-NF- κ B and phosphor-IFR3 which, in turn, regulate
441	proinflammatory cytokine expression [22]. The inhibiting of TBK1 phosphorylation via
442	inosine or the administration of a selective TBK1 inhibitor significantly attenuated the

NF-κB and IRF3 responses and acute inflammatory pulmonary injury but allowed IL6 upregulation. In a TBK-1-dependent manner, inosine downregulated IL-6 and
improved the morphology and histology of lungs infected with SARS-CoV-2 and other
agents.

The results of our results demonstrated that inosine did not interfere with TBK1 447 phosphorylation via direct binding. Rather, it suppressed STING and GSK3β 448 phosphorylation. STING and GSK3ß antagonists markedly reduced SARS-CoV-2- and 449 LPS-induced increases in TBK1 phosphorylation and IL-6 expression. STING is a 450 451 scaffold for the phosphorylation of TBK1 and itself [41]. Residues 1-341 formed dimers or orchestrated oligomers to trigger STING and TNK1 phosphorylation. The 452 STING dimers had α -type loops in the cytosolic ligand-binding domain of STING and 453 participated in the formation of tetramer interfaces for cyclic GMP-AMPP (cGAMP) 454 binding. Cyclic GMP-AMPP is an endogenous secondary messenger of cGAS signaling 455 that participates in STING phosphorylation and interferon production [41, 42]. Inosine 456 457 made no contact with the C-terminal residues of STING which are the TBK1 binding sites. However, inosine bound human STING residues in the pocket between the two 458 chains. One of these (Glu260) is adjacent to a tetramer interface site (Glu273) [41]. 459 Inosine may inhibit the phosphorylation of STING by interfering with its dimerization 460 or its interaction with cGAMP. Our in silico docking and biotin pulldown assays 461 confirmed that inosine directly interacts with GSK3β, Val70, and Val 135. The latter 462 463 residues are implicated in GSK3^β inhibition [43]. When viral infections are perceived, ubiquitinated tripartite motif 9 short isoforms (TRIM9s) bridge activated GSK3β and 464

TBK1 [35] and the latter is then autophosphorylated. STING and GSK3β play critical
roles in TBK1 phosphorylation while inosine indirectly suppresses TBK1 activation
induced by SARS-CoV-2 and other infectious agents.

468 **5.** Conclusions

The present study empirically demonstrated that inosine administration improved 469 survival in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection through its immunomodulatory but not 470 immunosuppressive efficacy against acute inflammatory lung injury. As presented in 471 Fig. 6G, inosine indirectly suppresses the phosphorylation of TBK1 at the crossroad of 472 multiple innate immune responses. In this manner, it hinders overactivation of 473 downstream cascade signaling and excessive proinflammatory cytokine release. Hence, 474 inosine is potentially an excellent broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory agent. Future 475 research should evaluate its clinical efficacy in the treatment of severe and critical 476 COVID-19. Furthermore, TBK1 is a putative therapeutic target in the attenuation of 477 cytokine storms and acute inflammatory lung injury induced by SARS-CoV-2 and other 478 infectious agents. 479

480 **CRediT author statement**

Ningning Wang: Methodology, Investigation, Visualization and Writing-original draft;
Entao Li: Methodology, Investigation and Writing-original draft; Huifang Deng:
Methodology and Writing-original draft; Lanxin Yue and Rina Su: Investigation; Lei
Zhou and Baokun He: Visualization; Chengcai Lai and Gaofu Li: Methodology;
Yuwei Gao: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision and Writing-

486	review & editing; Wei Zhou: Conceptualization, Visualization, Supervision, Writing-
487	original draft and Writing-review & editing; Yue Gao: Conceptualization, Funding
488	acquisition, Project administration, Supervision and Writing-review & editing
489	Declaration of competing interest
490	Yue Gao, Wei Zhou, Yuwei Gao, Ningning Wang and Entao Li report a pending
491	China patent application (No. 202111541575.0). Yue Gao, Wei Zhou, Yuwei Gao and
492	Ningning Wang are the inventors of a pending PTC patent application (No.
493	PCT/CN2022/078715) entitled "Application of inosine in the treatment for COVID-
494	19".

495 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CAST (No. 2021-QNRC1-03) and the National Key research and Development Program of China (No. 2020YFC0845400). We thank Prof. Hui P. Chen for help advice to experiments.

500 **References**

501	[1] A. Martinez Mesa, E. Cabrera Cesar, E. Martin-Montanez, et al., Acute Lung Injury
502	Biomarkers in the Prediction of COVID-19 Severity: Total Thiol, Ferritin and
503	Lactate Dehydrogenase, Antioxidants (Basel Switzerland). 10 (2021) 1221.
504	[2] A.M. Edwards, R.S. Baric, E.O. Saphire, et al., Stopping pandemics before they
505	start: Lessons learned from SARS-CoV-2, Science. 375 (2022) 1133-1139.
506	[3] W. Zhou, Y. Liu, D. Tian, et al., Potential benefits of precise corticosteroids therapy
507	for severe 2019-nCoV pneumonia, Signal Transduct Target Ther. 5 (2020) 18.
508	[4] R. Dal-Re, S.L. Becker, E. Bottieau, et al., Availability of oral antivirals against
509	SARS-CoV-2 infection and the requirement for an ethical prescribing approach,
510	Lancet Infect Dis. 22 (2022) e231–e238.
511	[5] R. Karki, B.R. Sharma, S. Tuladhar, et al., Synergism of TNF- α and IFN- γ Triggers
512	Inflammatory Cell Death, Tissue Damage, and Mortality in SARS-CoV-2
513	Infection and Cytokine Shock Syndromes, Cell. 184 (2021) 149-168.e17.
514	[6] R.Q. Cron, R. Caricchio, W.W. Chatham, Calming the cytokine storm in COVID-
515	19, Nat Med. 27 (2021) 1674–1675.

- 516 [7] P.F. Dequin, N. Heming, F. Meziani, et al., Effect of Hydrocortisone on 21-Day
- 517 Mortality or Respiratory Support Among Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19:
- 518 A Randomized Clinical Trial, JAMA. 324 (2020) 1298–1306.
- [8] B.M. Tomazini, I.S. Maia, A.B. Cavalcanti, et al., Effect of Dexamethasone on Days
- 520 Alive and Ventilator-Free in Patients With Moderate or Severe Acute Respiratory
- 521 Distress Syndrome and COVID-19: The CoDEX Randomized Clinical Trial,

- 522 JAMA. 324 (2020) 1307-1316.
- 523 [9] R. Huang, C. Zhu, W. Jian, et al., Corticosteroid therapy is associated with the delay
- of SARS-CoV-2 clearance in COVID-19 patients, Eur J Pharmacol. 889 (2020),
 173556.
- [10] D.C. Fajgenbaum, C.H. June, Cytokine Storm, New England Journal of Medicine.
 383 (2020) 2255-2273.
- 528 [11] W. Zhou, Y. Liu, B. Xu, et al., Early identification of patients with severe COVID-
- 529 19 at increased risk of in-hospital death: a multicenter case-control study in Wuhan,
- 530 J Thorac Dis. 13 (2021) 1380-1395.
- [12] L.Y.C. Chen, R.L. Hoiland, S. Stukas, et al., Confronting the controversy:
 interleukin-6 and the COVID-19 cytokine storm syndrome, European Respiratory
 Journal. 56 (2020), 2003006.
- 534 [13] O.J. McElvaney, G.F. Curley, S. Rose-John, et al., Interleukin-6: obstacles to
- targeting a complex cytokine in critical illness, Lancet Respir Med. 9 (2021) 643654.
- 537 [14] S.A. Jones, C.A. Hunter, Is IL-6 a key cytokine target for therapy in COVID-19?,
 538 Nat Rev Immunol. 21 (2021) 337-339.
- [15] Z. Zhou, X. Zhang, X. Lei, et al., Sensing of cytoplasmic chromatin by cGAS
 activates innate immune response in SARS-CoV-2 infection, Signal Transduct
 Target Ther. 6 (2021) 382.
- [16] N. Sugimoto, H. Mitoma, T. Kim, et al., Helicase proteins DHX29 and RIG-I
 cosense cytosolic nucleic acids in the human airway system, Proceedings of the

- 544 National Academy of Sciences. 111 (2014) 7747-7752.
- 545 [17] Y. Yu, Y. Liu, W. An, et al., STING-mediated inflammation in Kupffer cells
- 546 contributes to progression of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, Journal of Clinical
 547 Investigation. 129 (2019) 546-555.
- 548 [18] A. Park, A. Iwasaki, Type I and Type III Interferons Induction, Signaling, Evasion,
- and Application to Combat COVID-19, Cell Host Microbe. 27 (2020) 870-878.
- 550 [19] S. Khan, M.S. Shafiei, C. Longoria, et al., SARS-CoV-2 spike protein induces
- 551 inflammation via TLR2-dependent activation of the NF-kappaB pathway, Elife.
- 552 10 (2021), 68563.
- 553 [20] A.K. Rana, S.N. Rahmatkar, A. Kumar, et al., Glycogen synthase kinase-3: A
- 554 putative target to combat severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-

555 CoV-2) pandemic, Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 58 (2021) 92-101.

556 [21] A. Marineau, K.A. Khan, M.J. Servant, Roles of GSK-3 and β -Catenin in Antiviral

557 Innate Immune Sensing of Nucleic Acids, Cells. 9 (2020) 897.

- 558 [22] S. Yum, M. Li, Y. Fang, et al., TBK1 recruitment to STING activates both IRF3
- and NF-κB that mediate immune defense against tumors and viral infections,
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 118 (2021), e2100225118.
- [23] S. Srinivasan, A.G. Torres, L. Ribas de Pouplana, Inosine in Biology and Disease,
 Genes (Basel). 12 (2021) 600.
- [24] L. Liaudet, J.G. Mabley, P. Pacher, et al., Inosine exerts a broad range of
 antiinflammatory effects in a murine model of acute lung injury, Ann Surg. 235
 (2002) 568-578.

566	[25] L. Liaudet, J.G. Mabley, F.G. Soriano, et al., Inosine reduces systemic
567	inflammation and improves survival in septic shock induced by cecal ligation and
568	puncture, Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 164 (2001) 1213-1220.
569	[26] L.P. Chen, Y.M. Cai, J.S. Li, Medication rules of famous veteran traditional
570	Chinese medicine doctor in treatment of chronic bronchitis based on implicit
571	structure model, Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi. 42 (2017) 1609-1616.
572	[27] C. Ding, Z. Song, A. Shen, et al., Small molecules targeting the innate immune
573	cGAS–STING–TBK1 signaling pathway, Acta Pharm Sin B. 10 (2020) 2272-2298.
574	[28] Y. Liu, W. Liu, Z. Liang, Endophytic bacteria from Pinellia ternata, a new source
575	of purine alkaloids and bacterial manure, Pharm Biol. 53 (2015) 1545-1548.
576	[29] M. Ogasawara, K. Yoshii, J. Wada, et al., Identification of guanine, guanosine, and
577	inosine for α -amylase inhibitors in the extracts of the earthworm Eisenia fetida and
578	characterization of their inhibitory activities against porcine pancreatic α -amylase,
579	Enzyme Microb Technol. 142 (2020), 109693.
580	[30] L. Zhu, P. Yang, Y. Zhao, et al., Single-Cell Sequencing of Peripheral Mononuclear
581	Cells Reveals Distinct Immune Response Landscapes of COVID-19 and Influenza
582	Patients, Immunity. 53 (2020) 685-696 e683.
583	[31] X. Ren, W. Wen, X. Fan, et al., COVID-19 immune features revealed by a large-
584	scale single-cell transcriptome atlas, Cell. 184 (2021) 1895-1913.e19.
585	[32] N.G. Ravindra, M.M. Alfajaro, V. Gasque, et al., Single-cell longitudinal analysis
586	of SARS-CoV-2 infection in human airway epithelium identifies target cells,

alterations in gene expression, and cell state changes, PLoS Biol. 19 (2021),

- 588 e3001143.
- [33] R.T. Huang, D. Wu, A. Meliton, et al., Experimental Lung Injury Reduces Krüppel-
- 590 like Factor 2 to Increase Endothelial Permeability via Regulation of RAPGEF3-
- 591 Rac1 Signaling, Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 195 (2017) 639-651.
- 592 [34] S. Liu, X. Cai, J. Wu, et al., Phosphorylation of innate immune adaptor proteins
- 593 MAVS, STING, and TRIF induces IRF3 activation, Science. 347 (2015), aaa2630.
- [35] D.B. Stetson, R. Medzhitov, Recognition of cytosolic DNA activates an IRF3dependent innate immune response, Immunity. 24 (2006) 93-103.
- [36] E.R. Sang, Y. Tian, L.C. Miller, et al., Epigenetic Evolution of ACE2 and IL-6
 Genes: Non-Canonical Interferon-Stimulated Genes Correlate to COVID-19
 Susceptibility in Vertebrates, Genes (Basel). 12 (2021) 154.
- 599 [37] F. Dos Anjos, J.L.B. Simoes, C.E. Assmann, et al., Potential Therapeutic Role of
- Purinergic Receptors in Cardiovascular Disease Mediated by SARS-CoV-2, J
 Immunol Res. 2020 (2020), 8632048.
- [38] M. Lovaszi, Z.H. Nemeth, W.C. Gause, et al., Inosine monophosphate and inosine
 differentially regulate endotoxemia and bacterial sepsis, The FASEB Journal. 35
 (2021), e21935.
- [39] G.R. Milne, T.M. Palmer, Anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects of
 the A2A adenosine receptor, The Scientific World Journal. 11 (2011) 320-339.
- [40] Y. Qin, Q. Liu, S. Tian, et al., TRIM9 short isoform preferentially promotes DNA
- and RNA virus-induced production of type I interferon by recruiting GSK3 β to
- 609 TBK1, Cell Res. 26 (2016) 613-628.

- [41] C. Zhang, G. Shang, X. Gui, et al., Structural basis of STING binding with and 610
- phosphorylation by TBK1, Nature. 567 (2019) 394-398. 611
- [42] D.L. Burdette, K.M. Monroe, K. Sotelo-Troha, et al., STING is a direct innate 612
- immune sensor of cyclic di-GMP, Nature. 478 (2011) 515-518. 613
- [43] D. Zhang, L. Liu, L. Pang, et al., Biological evaluation and energetic analyses of 614
- novel GSK-3β inhibitors, J Cell Biochem. 119 (2018) 3510-3518. 615

616 Figure captions

617	Fig. 1. Inosine alleviated LPS- and H1N1 virus-induced acute inflammatory lung injury.
618	(A) C57BL/6 mice were challenged with 5 mg/kg LPS and administered 300 mg/kg
619	inosine for 24 h. Lung tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin-eosin and injury
620	was examined ($n = 6$). Scale bar = 200 µm. (B) Mice were treated as described in (A).
621	Serum cytokine and chemokine levels were measured $(n = 6)$. (C) Mice were treated
622	with LPS and/or inosine for 24 h. IL-6 expression levels in lung tissue were detected
623	by western blot. (D) RAW264.7 cells were treated with LPS and inosine for 24 h. IL-6
624	expression in RAW264.7 cells were measured by western blot ($n = 3$). (E) Mice were
625	infected with BJ501 and treated with 900 mg/kg inosine for 24 h. Quantitative ELISA
626	of serum IL-6 ($n = 6$). (F) Mice were treated as described in (E). Pathological damage
627	to lung tissue was examined by H&E staining ($n = 6$). Scale bar = 200 µm. Ctrl: control
628	group; LPS: LPS-induced acute lung injury and no other treatment; LPS + Inosine:
629	LPS-induced acute lung injury followed by inosine treatment; LPS+DXM: LPS-
630	induced acute lung injury followed by dexamethasone treatment as positive control;
631	BJ501: H1N1-induced acute lung injury and no other treatment; BJ501+Inosine:
632	BJ501-induced acute lung injury followed by inosine treatment; BJ501+DXM: BJ501-
633	induced acute lung injury followed by dexamethasone treatment as positive control.
634	Data are means \pm SEM. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$ vs. control.
635	$^{\#}P < 0.05, ^{\#\#}P < 0.01, ^{\#\#\#}P < 0.001, ^{\#\#\#\#}P < 0.0001$ vs. LPS or BJ501 group.
636	Fig. 2. Inosine ameliorated SARS-CoV-2-induced acute lung injury. BALB/C mice

637 were intranasally infected with 0.1*LD₅₀ C57MA14 and administered oral inosine for

638	1 day, 3 days, or 14 days. (A) Schematic of C5/MA14 infection and oral mosine
639	administration started at 24 h before infection. Samples were harvested on indicated
640	days after inosine treatment. (B) Viral RNA loads in lung tissue were detected by RT-
641	qPCR and TCID ₅₀ . (C) Cytokines in mouse sera were quantified by mouse cytokine
642	panel ($n = 8-12$). (D) Pathological damage to lung tissue was examined by H&E
643	staining ($n = 6$). Scale bar = 200 µm. (E) Survival and (F) daily weight change in mice
644	infected with C57MA14 and treated with inosine for 14 days ($n = 20$). (G) IL-6
645	expression in mouse lung tissue evaluated by western blot. (H) C57MA14 (MOI = 0.1)
646	exposure 1 h before 500 μM inosine administration for 24 h. IL-6 expression in
647	RAW264.7 cells measured by western blot ($n = 3$). Ctrl: control group; C57MA14:
648	SARS-CoV-2-induced acute lung injury and no other treatment; C57MA14 + Inosine:
649	SARS-CoV-2-induced acute lung injury followed by inosine treatment. * $P < 0.05$, ** P
650	< 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001 vs. control. $*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.001, ****$
651	< 0.0001 vs. C57MA14 group.

Fig. 3. Infection activated STING and GSK3β signaling. (A) After 10 ng/mL LPS, 652 C57MA14 (MOI = 0.1), or 50 μ g/mL Poly(I:C) infection for 24 h, RAW264.7 cells 653 were collected and lysed with specified antibodies for western blot (n = 3). (B-C) 654 RAW264.7 cells were subjected to LPS or Poly(I:C) and treated with 10 µM H151 or 655 10 μM TWS119 for 24 h. NF-κB and IRF3 phosphorylation levels were measured by 656 immunoblot (n = 3). (D) Mice were challenged with 5 mg/kg LPS, 0.1*LD₅₀ BJ501, or 657 0.1*LD₅₀ C57MA14. Lung tissue sections were stained with anti-p-STING (red) and 658 anti-p-GSK3 β (green) antibodies. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) (n = 5). Scale 659

660	bar = 100 μ m. (E) Mice were stimulated with LPS and treated with 7 mg/kg H151 for
661	24 h. Lung tissue sections were subjected to immunofluorescence assay with anti-p-
662	STING (red), anti-IL-6 (green), anti-p-IRF3 (green), and anti-p-NF-κB (red) antibodies.
663	Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) ($n = 5$). Scale bar = 100 µm. (F) Mice were
664	treated as described in (D). Serum IL-6 in serum was measured by ELISA ($n = 5$). (G)
665	Mice were treated as described in (D). Lung tissue sections were subjected to H&E
666	staining ($n = 5$). Scale bar = 200 µm. p-GSK3 β : anti-phospho-GSK3 β ; p-STING: anti-
667	phospho-STING; p-IRF3: anti-phospho-IRF3, p-NF- κ B: anti-phospho-NF- κ B. *P <
668	0.05, ** $P < 0.01$ vs. control. # $P < 0.05$, ## $P < 0.01$ vs. LPS group.
669	Fig. 4. Inosine inhibited TBK1-mediated IL-6 production. (A) Western blot was used
670	to detect p-TBK1 expression in mouse lung tissues ($n = 3$). (B) RAW264.7 cells were
671	stimulated with 10 ng/mL LPS, C57MA14 (MOI = 0.1), or 50 μ g/mL Poly(I:C) for 24
672	h. p-TBK1 expression was measured by western blot ($n = 3$). (C) RAW264.7 cells were
673	exposed to LPS, Poly(I:C), or C57MA14 and treated with 10 μ M GSK8612 for 24 h.
674	TBK1, NF- κ B, and IRF3 phosphorylation levels were determined by immunoblot ($n =$
675	3). (D) RAW264.7 cells were challenged with LPS, C57MA14, or Poly(I:C) and treated
676	with 500 μM inosine for 24 h. Immunoblots of p-TBK1, p-NF- $\kappa B,$ and p-IRF3 in
677	RAW264.7 cells ($n = 3$). (E) Western blot was used to measure p-TBK1, p-IRF3, and
678	p-NF- κ B expression in mouse lung tissues ($n = 3$). (F) Confocal fluorescence images
679	of RAW264.7 cells infected with LPS or Poly(I:C) and treated with 500 μM inosine for
680	24 h ($n = 3$). Scale bar = 20 μ m. (G) HEK293 cells were transfected with plasmids
681	encoding HA-tagged TBK1. Cell lysates were precipitated with anti-HA antibody.

682	Immunoprecipitate was detected by western blot using specified antibodies ($n = 3$). p-
683	TBK1: anti-phospho-TBK1; HA: a protein tag based on human influenza virus
684	hemagglutinin antigen.

Fig. 5. STING and GSK3β promoted TBK1 phosphorylation and IL-6 expression. (A) 685 RAW264.7 cells were infected with 10 ng/mL LPS or C57MA14 (MOI = 0.1) and 686 treated with 1 μ M H151 for \leq 24 h. Western blot was used to measure p-TBK1 and IL-687 6 expression in cell lysates (n = 3). (B) RAW264.7 cells were stimulated with LPS, 688 C57MA14, or Poly(I:C) and treated with 10 µM TWS119 for 24 h. Representative 689 690 western blot of p-TBK1 and IL-6 in cells (n = 3). (C) RAW264.7 cells were subjected to LPS or C57MA14 and treated with 500 µM inosine for 24 h. Total proteins were 691 isolated and p-STING and p-GSK3 β levels were probed (n = 3). (D) Mice were 692 subjected to 5 mg/kg LPS or 0.1*LD50 C57MA14 infection and administered inosine 693 for 24 h. Lung tissue sections were analyzed by immunofluorescent p-STING (red) and 694 p-GSK3 β (green) co-staining. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) (n = 5). Scale bar 695 696 = 100 μ m. (E) RAW264.7 cells were infected with LPS, C57MA14, or Poly(I:C), 697 treated with regadenoson for 24 h, and subjected to western blot using indicated antibodies (n = 3). 698

Fig. 6. Inosine directly targeted STING and GSK3β. (A) Synthesis and structure of biotinylated inosine (Bio-inosine). (B-C) Bio-inosine or biotin was added to streptavidin-agarose beads and incubated. Lysates prepared from RAW264.7 were added to the streptavidin-agarose beads with Bio-inosine or biotin. Precipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE. Gel was stained with silver (B) and proteins were detected by

western blot as indicated (C). (D) STING and GSK3β plasmids were transfected into 704 HEK293 cells. After 24 h transfection, pulldown was performed using streptavidin-705 agarose beads and subjected to western blot. (E) Schematic diagram of binding between 706 inosine and STING protein. Inosine binds STING in pocket between two chains. 707 Protein is shown in gray cartoon. Ligand is represented by cyan stick. (F) Schematic 708 709 diagram of binding between inosine and GSK3ß protein. Inosine combined with GSK3β kinase binding domain. Protein is shown in gray cartoon. Ligand is represented 710 by cyan stick. (G) Schematic diagram of mechanism by which inosine regulates 711 cytokine release. 712

JournalPro













1. Inosine significantly improved the survival rate of SARS-CoV-2-infected mice.

Inosine obviously abrogated evoked excessive IL-6 expression but elevated IL-10 content, and eventually ameliorated acute inflammatory lung injury caused by multiple infectious agents.
 Inosine indirectly interfered with the phosphorylation of TBK1 via binding to STING and GSK3β, leading to remarkable decreases in IL-6 release in serum and lung tissue of mice infected with LPS, H1N1 or SARS-CoV-2.

Journal Pre-proof