# **Review** Article

# Multifunctional Role of ATM/Tel1 Kinase in Genome Stability: From the DNA Damage Response to Telomere Maintenance

Enea Gino Di Domenico,<sup>1</sup> Elena Romano,<sup>2</sup> Paola Del Porto,<sup>2</sup> and Fiorentina Ascenzioni<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Pasteur Institute-Cenci Bolognetti Foundation, Department of Biology and Biotechnology "Charles Darwin", Sapienza University of Rome, 00185 Rome, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology and Biotechnology "Charles Darwin", Sapienza University of Rome, 00185 Rome, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Enea Gino Di Domenico; enea.didomenico@uniromal.it

Received 20 March 2014; Revised 28 July 2014; Accepted 7 August 2014; Published 28 August 2014

Academic Editor: Manoor Prakash Hande

Copyright © 2014 Enea Gino Di Domenico et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The mammalian protein kinase ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) is a key regulator of the DNA double-strand-break response and belongs to the evolutionary conserved phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase-related protein kinases. ATM deficiency causes ataxia telangiectasia (AT), a genetic disorder that is characterized by premature aging, cerebellar neuropathy, immunodeficiency, and predisposition to cancer. AT cells show defects in the DNA damage-response pathway, cell-cycle control, and telomere maintenance and length regulation. Likewise, in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, haploid strains defective in the *TEL1* gene, the ATM ortholog, show chromosomal aberrations and short telomeres. In this review, we outline the complex role of ATM/Tel1 in maintaining genomic stability through its control of numerous aspects of cellular survival. In particular, we describe how ATM/Tel1 participates in the signal transduction pathways elicited by DNA damage and in telomere homeostasis and its importance as a barrier to cancer development.

#### 1. Introduction

Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) is a protein kinase member of the evolutionary conserved phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase- (PI3 K-) related kinase (PIKK) family [1, 2]. The PIKK family members are serine/threonine protein kinases (270–450 kDa) characterized by N-terminal HEAT repeat domains and C-terminal kinase domains [3]. ATM is a relatively large protein with a molecular weight of 350 kDa and consisting of 3056 amino acids [4]. The C-terminus kinase domain of ATM is flanked by two regions called FAT (FRAP, ATM, and TRRAP) and FATC (FAT C-terminus), which participate in the regulation of the kinase activity [5]. The rest of the protein contains HEAT repeats at the Nterminus that mediates protein and DNA interactions [6].

Patients with ATM deficiency are affected by the human autosomal recessive disorder ataxia telangiectasia (AT), a rare neurodegenerative disease that causes multiple stress symptoms, including cerebellar degeneration, increased incidence of cancer, growth retardation, immune deficiencies, and premature aging [7]. AT was first described in 1957, as a distinct disease that can occur early in childhood, with incidence varying from 1 out of 40,000 to 1 out of 100,000 new births and a carrier frequency that approximates 1% [8]. Several hundred ATM mutations have been identified in AT patients, most of which are heterozygous and inherit different AT mutations from each parent [9]. About 85% are null mutations that result in the production of truncated forms of the protein and complete inactivation of the gene function [10], while less than 15% are classified as missense mutations [11]. At the cellular level, ATM deficiency correlates with hypersensitivity to DNA-damaging agents. Accordingly, after DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), ATM/Tel1 and ATR/Mec1 (ataxia telangiectasia Rad-3-related/yeast ortholog Mec1), which are categorized as DNA-damage checkpoints, become active and start the signal transduction pathways that block the cell cycle and repair the DNA damage or eventually activate the cell death program. Thus, as a consequence of dysfunctional ATM signaling, different effects have been reported, such as reduced phosphorylation levels of DNA

damage response (DDR) targets [12], failure to arrest the cell cycle, and reduced efficiency of DNA damage repair [13–16]. Additionally, telomere associations are frequently observed in cells derived from individuals with AT [17, 18], and cells expressing dominant negative ATM variants show accelerated telomere shortening [19, 20].

TEL1 (telomere maintenance 1), the Saccharomyces cerevisiae ortholog of human ATM, was identified in a screen for genes that affect telomere length [21]. TEL1 encodes a very large (322 kDa) protein that shares 45% amino-acid identity in the kinase domain and 21% amino-acid identity in the rest of the protein with the human ATM gene [22, 23]. Similar to ATM and together with MEC1, TEL1 is a key regulator of the checkpoint response to DSBs. Additionally, yeast cells lacking Tell have short but stable telomeres that consist of about 50 bp telomeric repeats, which corresponds to a sevenfold reduction to that reported in wild-type strains [21]. According to the prevailing model, the major role of Tell is the promotion of preferential lengthening of short telomeres. However a number of experimental observations do not fit with this theory, which suggests that Tell has a more complex role in telomere maintenance [24–26].

Despite the differences between humans and budding yeast, what emerges is that ATM/Tell is a key element in the detection and signaling of intrachromosomal DSBs and in the maintenance of telomere metabolism. In this review, we discuss the dual role of ATM/Tell in the sophisticated surveillance mechanisms at DSBs and in telomere regulation, to highlight the overall importance of its dual nature in genome stability and long-term cell survival.

## 2. Activation of ATM/Tel1 in Response to DNA Damage

The DDR comprises different surveillance mechanisms that guarantee genome stability and cell survival in response to DNA damage. The generation of simultaneous breakage of the two complementary DNA strands prompts activation of DSB repair mechanisms, delay or arrest of cell-cycle progression, and eventually programmed cell death [27]. All eukaryotes, from human to yeast, have evolved conserved mechanisms to protect the genome from DSBs, which mainly relies on the PIKK members ATM/Tel1 and ATR/Mec1. In vertebrates there is a third member of the PIKK family called DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit (DNA-PKcs) that has a direct role in DNA DSB repair and DNA damage signalling. However, DNA-PKcs results are to be dispensable in most eukaryotes and it has no homologue in S. cerevisiae or Saccharomyces pombe [28]. In vertebrates DNA-PKcs functions together with the Ku heterodimer as a DNA end-bridging factor and in association with the MRN complex tether the DNA ends of DSB together [29-31]. In S. cerevisiae, the MRX complex appears to have the bridging activity role alone, which obviates in this way the role of DNA-PKcs [32, 33].

Erroneously, Mec1 was long considered the primary sensor of DNA damage, as its loss results in severe sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents, while the absence of Tell does

not significantly affect cell survival under these conditions [22, 23, 34]. However, mecl, tell double mutants reveal an increased sensitivity to genotoxic agents with respect to the single mutants [23, 35-37], which suggests that Tell has a key role in the DSBs response and acts on a different epistasis group with respect to Mecl. Misinterpretation of data obtained with mec1 or tell mutants and analysis of sensitivity to genotoxic agents can be explained by the ability of yeast tell mutants to rapidly convert DNA damage into substrates that preferentially activate the Mecl kinase. Indeed, ATM/Tell and ATR/Mecl respond to specific DNA damage. While ATM/Tell is activated by blunt end or minimally resected DSBs, DNA lesions that lead to extended resection and generation of long replication protein A- (RPA-) coated single-strand (ss) DNA activate ATR/Mec1. This DNA damage specificity appears to be conserved in human and yeast.

Two major pathways are involved in the repair of the DSBs: nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) and homologous recombination (HR). NHEJ is active throughout the cell cycle and relegates broken ends without the need of extensive processing [38]. NHEJ is efficient in the repair of the damage, but it can cause mutations at the joining sites. On the contrary HR, is more accurate and requires the presence of long and undamaged 3'-ssDNA to repair the broken ends, typically the sister chromatid. Consequently, HR is limited to S/G2 phase [39]. ATM/Tel1 and ATR/Mec1 regulate the DNA damage signalling response. In particular ATM is activated by DSBs, while ATR is activated at single-strand regions of DNA via a process that involves ATRIP, RPA, and the presence of long stretch of ssDNA. In both human cells and S. cerevisiae, ATM/Tell is recruited at blunt or minimally resected DNA ends by the MRN/MRX complex [37, 40]; therefore, cells that experience DNA damage in G1 are prevented from entering S-phase by the G1/S checkpoint signalling cascade that is dependent on the activity of ATM [41]. In S phase, ATR can be activated by replication fork stalling/collapse [42]. In G2 phase, DSBs can be resected via an ATM-dependent process generating ssDNA that can activate ATR following RPA association [43]. RPA complex binds to the ssDNA tails and recruits the ATR/Mec1 checkpoint kinase. Therefore, the resection process during DSB represents a central event not only to drive the DSB repair by NHEJ or HR, but also to trigger the specific ATM/Tell or ATR/Mecl-checkpoint response.

The first evidence of the primary role of ATM in DDR came from the study of AT patients. Since 1967, it has been reported that AT patients show an unexpected hypersensitivity to ionizing radiation [44], and cells from these patients exhibit pronounced sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents, failure of checkpoint signaling, imperfect DSB repair, or variable defects in apoptosis [14, 16, 45]. In cells, under physiological conditions, ATM is present as inactive dimers or higher-order multimer [46]. After DNA damage, ATM is converted into partially active monomers (Figure 1), which requires the autophosphorylation on S1981 and its interaction with MRN at the DSBs [46–49]. Despite the fact that ATM autophosphorylation of S1981 represents a marker of activation the real contribution of S1981 phosphorylation in ATM activation remains unclear. *In vitro* experiments suggest



FIGURE 1: Description of the relevant proteins recruited to DNA double-strand break. In undamaged cells, ATM is an inactive multimer. After DSBs, ATM is recruited to the site of damage by the MRN complex, triggering its autophosphorylation, monomerization, and subsequent activation. Adjacent to the site of damage, the first target of ATM is the histone H2AX, followed by the phosphorylation of MDC1 and the recruitment of the ubiquitin ligase RNF8. RNF8 binding causes H2AX ubiquitylation, facilitating the association of BRCA1 and, ultimately 53BP1, that is required for ATM retention at the site of damage.

that ATM monomerization by MRN does not require ATM S1981 autophosphorylation [50]. Mouse models bearing an ATM-S1987A mutation (equivalent of the human S1981A), expressed on an  $Atm^{-/-}$  background, or S1987A mutation with two additional autophosphorylation site mutations (corresponding to human S367A and S1893A) showed no defects in ATM activation [51–53].

Other phosphorylation sites, identified by mass spectrometry in cells exposed to ionizing radiations (S367, S1893, and S2996) [54], appear to be involved in ATM activation, as suggested by the finding that the S1981 mutant (S1981A) can still form monomers [50]. In postmitotic neurons, ATM is phosphorylated at S794 by cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (CDK5), followed by the autophosphorylation of S1981 [55]. Acetylation of ATM by the acetyltransferase Tip60 is required for complete activation of ATM [56, 57]. Overall, the precise mechanisms involved in ATM activation remain to be fully elucidated. It has been observed that dysfunction in any components of MRN complex prevents ATM activation [40, 48–50] whereas ATM recruitment to DSBs relies on the interaction with the NBS1 [40, 46, 48, 50], and its retention appears to be dependent on Mre11 [58]. ATM activation is inhibited in the presence of DSBs induced by  $H_2O_2$  as oxidation blocks the ability of MRN to bind to DNA. Nevertheless, the addition of  $H_2O_2$  to purified dimeric ATM *in vitro* stimulates its activity on a p53 substrate even in the absence of MRN. These results suggest that ATM can be activated directly by oxidative stress through an MRN/DSB-independent mechanism [59, 60].

The NBS1 component of the MRN complex contains a PIKK carboxyl-terminal motif that interacts with ATM, thus promoting recruitment and activation of ATM, which in turn activates the signaling cascade involved in the DNA repair [48]. *In vitro* experiments have shown that ATM activation is achieved when Nbs1 forms a complex with Mre11 and Rad50 and not by itself [50]. Together with the findings that, in the absence of MRN, ATM does not respond to DSBs, this suggests that the MRN complex acts as a central coordinator of DDR. Indeed, MRN physically localizes to the DSB site immediately after the damage and promotes end resection [61–63]. One of the first events following DNA breakage is end resection, which leads to ssDNA generation. MRE11 together with CtIP carries out limited resection of DSBs, which is subsequently extended by the activity of nucleases

H. sapiens	S. cerevisiae	Description
ATM	Tel1	Protein kinase- (PIKK-) DNA damage response and telomere length regulation
ATR	Mecl	Protein kinase- (PIKK-) DNA damage response and telomere length regulation
MRE11-RAD50-NBS1	Mrell-Rad50-Xrs2	DSB sensing, nuclease
CHK2	Rad53	DNA damage response protein kinase; checkpoint effector
CHK1	Chk1	Protein kinase; checkpoint effector; mediates cell-cycle arrest
CtIP	Sae2	Endonuclease
EXO1	Exo1	5'-3' Exonuclease
BLM	Sgs1	DNA helicase
DNA2	Dna2	ATP-dependent nuclease and helicase
RAD9-RAD1-HUS1	Ddc1-Rad17-Mec3	Checkpoint clamp (9-1-1 complex)
53BP1; BRCA1; MDC1	Rad9	DNA damage-dependent checkpoint protein

TABLE 1: Components of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae DNA damage response pathway and their orthologs in Homo sapiens.

and helicases such as EXO1, BLM, and DNA2 [64]. This occurs via two pathways: in one, the Bloom helicase (BLM) and the ssDNA helicase/nuclease DNA2 physically interact and promote 5'-3' DNA resection, a process that is stimulated by RPA. In a second pathway, BLM, MRN, and RPA promote recruitment of the exonuclease EXO1 to the broken ends and stimulate resection [65].

When ATM is activated by MRN, its phosphorylation level oscillates during DSBs repair, due to the activity of phosphatases [66]. Studies carried out with human cell lines have revealed that the protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) can constitutively dephosphorylate ATM, thus acting as a negative regulator of the DSB repair process [67], although it has been shown that inhibition of PP2A activity can cause defective DNA damage repair [68-70]. One possible explanation for this discrepancy relies on the presence of several distinct PP2As, which directly dephosphorylates ATM at various sites (S367, S1893, and 1981), thus modulating its retention at DSB sites [71]. Other phosphatases are also involved in ATM regulation, including protein phosphatase 5 (PP5), the interaction which with ATM increases after ionizing radiations exposure [72, 73], and the wild-type p53-induced phosphatase 1 (WIP1), which specifically dephosphorylates the ATM S1981 residue [74].

Some experimental evidence has suggested that efficient DSB repair also requires chromatin remodeling, which is triggered by ATM-dependent phosphorylation on S139 of the histone H2A variant ( $\gamma$ -H2AX). This type of histone modification spreads over about 2 Mb surrounding a break [75, 76]. Additionally, chromatin relaxation in the area surrounding DNA damage [77] potentiates the ATM signaling and radioresistance [78], as demonstrated by using histone deacetylase inhibitors and chromatin-modifying agents, such as chloroquine or osmotic shock [46]. Moreover, it has been suggested that, by regulation of the level of acetylation of Lys 14 of histone H3 (H3K14) before and after DSBs, the nucleosome-binding protein HMGN1 optimizes activation of ATM [79]. On the other hand, DNA repair in heterochromatic regions is facilitated by ATM-mediated transient chromatin relaxation, through phosphorylation of KRAB-associated protein 1 (KAP1) at residue S824 [80, 81].

Accordingly, depletion of KAP1 rescues the radiosensitivity of cells treated with ATM inhibitors [82].

The first model that described the role of ATM in DDR was proposed on the basis of experimental data obtained in S. cerevisiae and demonstrated that Xrs2 (homolog of NBS1) interacts with Tell through its C-terminal region, thus providing the molecular basis of MRX-dependent recruitment of Tell to DSBs [40]. In contrast with ATM, activation of Tell has not been extensively studied as it was long considered to be redundant with Mec1. Indeed, Tell mutants do not exhibit increased sensitivity to genotoxic agents and Tell phosphorylates some of the Mecl substrates only in the absence of Mec1 [35, 37] and it can activate the DDR independently of Mec1 only in the presence of multiple DNA breaks [37]. In S phase, when Tell is deleted and, in the presence of a Dna2 mutant, the phosphorylation of Rad53 and Mrc1 is partially reduced [83], the apparent minor role of Tell in the DDR may be somewhat explained by the ability of S. cerevisiae to efficiently convert DSB ends into ssDNA that activate Mec1 kinase activity.

In *S. cerevisiae*, similar to mammalian cells, ssDNA production at DSBs results from a two-phase process. In the early step of resection, the MRX complex and Sae2 (ortholog of human CtIP) endonuclease create short 3' overhangs [84, 85]. Subsequently, two alternative pathways extend the ssDNA region: one depends on the Sgs1 helicase (ortholog of human BLM) and the conserved helicase/nuclease Dna2, while the other relies on the Exo1 nuclease [85–88].

Experimental evidence has also suggested that Sae2 is directly implicated in the activation of Tell-mediated checkpoint signaling [89, 90]. Indeed, in cells lacking Sae2 and in the presence the genotoxic agents such as methyl methane sulfonate, Tell-mediated Rad53 activation is potentiated, and this process requires MRX activity [90]. Additionally, Sae2 deletion stimulates the Tell-dependent checkpoint activation in response to DSBs, by delay of MRX delocalization from damaged sites [89]. This suggests that unprocessed DNA damage accumulates in *sae2* mutants, and when the resection cannot proceed, MRX remains stably associated to the site of damage, and Xrs2 subunit stimulates Tell activation, which in turn recruits Rad9 and initiates the downstream checkpoint kinase cascade [40, 90, 91] (Table 1). Globally, these data suggest a unified model of ATM/Tell activation where the MRN/MRX complex is the sensor of DSBs and initiates processing of the broken ends, which in turn regulates the recruitment of the ATM/Tell checkpoint kinase through binding with the NBS1/Xrs2 subunit, which leads to activation of the specific downstream targets [48, 92, 93].

# 3. ATM-Tel1 Checkpoint Signaling Cascade in Response to DSBs

Proteomic studies have described nearly a thousand of potential substrates for ATM/Tel1 and ATR/Mec1, which have revealed a complex cellular response to DNA damage and cell-cycle control [94-99]. ATM/Tell and DNA-PKcs respond primarily to DSBs that are involved in the nonhomologous end-joining pathway of DSB repair, whereas ATR/Mec1, which shares with ATM substrates in the DSB response pathway, regulates checkpoint activation after different types of DNA damage such as UV radiations and stalled replication forks. After DSBs, MRN/MRX, ATM/Tell, and DNA2/Sae2 promote DSB resection, to generate the initial 3' ssDNA tails that are bound by RPA. The appearance of RPA-coated ssDNA promotes the recruitment of ATR/Mec1, which is mediated by Ddc2 (hATRIP), and which leads to localization of the Mec1-Ddc2 complex (ATR-ATRIP in human) at the site of damage. Additionally, the heterotrimeric checkpoint clamp 9-1-1 (RAD9-RAD1-HUS1 in human; Ddc1-Rad17-Mec3 in S. cerevisiae) is recruited independent of ATR-ATRIP/Mec1-Ddc2 [100, 101] and is required for ATR/Mecl-dependent G1 and G2 signaling, although it is dispensable for the Sphase control [102, 103]. Recruitment of the checkpoint clamp 9-1-1 appears to be also regulated by the DNA structure, as RPA restricts its loading to 5' ssDNA/dsDNA junctions [104]. The 9-1-1 complex promotes ATR/Mec1-dependent phosphorylation of its targets, including Rad9 in yeast [105]. Once recruited, Rad9 is hyperphosphorylated and acts as a molecular adaptor that brings Rad53 into close proximity to Mec1 at sites of DNA damage, to facilitate Mec1-dependent Rad53 phosphorylation [105]. In addition it has proposed Rad9 can directly activate Rad53 increasing the local Rad53 concentration and prompting its autophosphorylation and catalytic activation [106].

Although ATR/Mecl appears to be the major checkpoint regulator, in *S. cerevisiae* the role of Tell becomes evident following generation of multiple DSBs and in the absence of Mecl [37, 90]. Accordingly, while seven HO-induced DSBs can trigger Rad53 phosphorylation and cell-cycle arrest, a single break was not sufficient to activate this response [37].

The Tell and Mecl kinases are also important in the DDR and checkpoint signaling, through their modification and the remodeling activities of chromatin elements, including histones. H2A histone phosphorylation on S129 ( $\gamma$ -H2A) mediated by the Tell and Mecl kinase activities is required for cell-cycle arrest in response to DNA damage during G1/S transition and to facilitate the accessibility of DNA to repair factors [107, 108].

In G1-arrested yeast cells, H2A phosphorylation depends on Tell, which appears to be necessary and sufficient to modify the region surrounding the site of damage [109, 110]. Subsequently, as end-resection proceeds and long stretches of ssDNA accumulate, Mec1-depedent H2A phosphorylation spreads from the site of damage for about 50 kb [109, 111].

Similarly, in mammalian cells, DSBs rapidly lead to ATMand ATR-dependent phosphorylation of histone H2AX, a variant of histone H2A, on serine 139 ( $\gamma$ H2AX).  $\gamma$ H2AX appears within several minutes after ionizing radiation and spreads along the site of damage for megabases [76, 112]. The increased density of  $\gamma$ H2AX promotes the accessibility and anchoring of other DDR proteins, such as MDC1, through its BRCT domain, which in turn promotes ATM accumulation to the sites of DNA damage [113, 114].

This sequence of events is important for the retention of ATM at DSBs (Figure 1), thus facilitating further ATMdependent phosphorylation of H2AX and amplification of the signal [58, 76, 115]. In addition, DNA damage promotes direct ATM-dependent phosphorylation of MDC1 at the T98 site, which triggers its oligomerization and accumulation at the DSB region [116]. Once MDC1 is activated, it can recruit other proteins to DSBs, such as the RING-finger ubiquitin ligases RNF8 and RNF168 [117–120]. In particular, RNF8 promotes the  $\gamma$ H2AX histone ubiquitylation that is required for recruitment of additional DSB regulators, such as p53 binding protein 1 (53BP1) and breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein (BRCA1), both of which are also substrates for ATM-dependent phosphorylation [120].

#### 4. Cell-Cycle Checkpoints

The G1 checkpoint promotes cell-cycle arrest before the cells become irreversibly committed to the next cycle. In *S. cerevisiae*, Rad53-dependent checkpoint signaling inhibits transcription of the G1/S cyclins (Cln1, Cln2), thus inhibiting cell-cycle progression. In vertebrate, a two-step model has been proposed to explain the robust G1 checkpoint activation (Figure 2). First, ATM-dependent phosphorylation of CHK2 promotes not only CHK2 autophosphorylation, but also phosphorylation of the phosphatase CDC25A, which targets it to the proteasome. Consequently, loss of CDC25A prevents activation of the CDK2-cyclinE complex, which is required for entry into S phase. A second response depends on the tumor suppressor p53 [112].

In normal unstressed cells, p53 is a short-lived protein and its degradation is promoted by the *MDM2* (mouse double minute) gene [121, 122]. After DNA damage, ATM and CHK2 phosphorylate p53 (S15 and S20), thus reducing its ability to bind MDM2 and contributing to its stabilization [12, 41, 123, 124]. Additionally, ATM can directly phosphorylate MDM2 at S395, which leads to a reduction in MDM2 activity [125]. MDM2 is stabilized by DAXX (death domain-associated protein), although, in response to DSBs, the ATM-dependent phosphorylation of DAXX weakens the MDM2-DAXX interaction, which facilitates p53 activation [126]. Together, these mechanisms lead to stabilization and nuclear accumulation of p53, which in turn promotes transcriptional activation of the



FIGURE 2: Summary of the ATM signaling network. Schematic representation of ATM signaling pathways as reported in the text.

CDK inhibitor p21. p21 inhibits CDK2-cyclinE activity and causes cell-cycle arrest at the G1/S transition [127–129].

The S phase of the cell cycle is regulated by two checkpoints: one that signals DNA damage (intra-S) and a second that is activated by replication stress (replication checkpoint). In the presence of DSBs, ATM-dependent signaling is also involved in the regulation of the intra-S phase checkpoint through the activation of many downstream kinases. These include CHK1 and CHK2, which phosphorylate CDC25A, to cause inhibition of CDK2 activity and cell-cycle arrest [130]. Another mechanism involved in intra-S checkpoints consists of the direct phosphorylation of CHK2 (T68) by ATM, which can facilitate CHK2 interactions with other proteins, such as BRCA1 and 53BP1 [131] (Figure 2). The ATM-dependent phosphorylation of CHK2 in S phase triggers the subsequent phosphorylation of the phosphatase CDC25A. Once phosphorylated, CDC25A undergoes degradation, which prevents CDC45 from loading onto replication origins, which is required for the initiation of DNA replication [112]. Another pathway depends on ATM, NBS1, BRCA1, and SMC1, which mediate ATM-dependent phosphorylation of the SMC1 and SMC3 subunits of the cohesion complex, and leads to chromosome repair and cell survival [132-135]. Overall, although the exact mechanism leading to activation of intra-S checkpoint signaling remains to be elucidated, ATM/Tell and ATR/Mec1 signaling following DNA damage modulate the rate of DNA synthesis and recombinational repair.

The G2/M checkpoint prevents cell entry into mitosis when DNA damage persists. In most vertebrates, this is accomplished by the inhibition of CDK activity, which is regulated by phosphorylation of a conserved tyrosine residue. In contrast, in yeast, this checkpoint acts through inhibition of metaphase to anaphase transition. Rad53 and Chk1 arrest the entry into anaphase, in part through inhibition of Pds1 degradation, while, in a parallel pathway, Rad53 prevents exit from mitosis by the maintenance of high levels of CDK activity [136, 137].

#### 5. ATM/Tel1 and Telomere-Length Regulation

Telomeric DNA in most eukaryotes consists of variable numbers of G-rich repetitive elements ( $TG_{1-3}$  in *S. cerevisiae* and  $T_2AG_3$  in vertebrates), which end with a 3' single-stranded overhang (G-tail) (Figure 3). The addition of telomeric repeats relies on the activity of the telomerase enzyme [138], a specialized reverse transcriptase that compensates for the erosion that results from the inability of the semiconservative DNA replication machinery to fully replicate chromosome ends [139, 140].

In human, telomerase comprises the catalytic component hTERT, the human telomerase RNA (hTR or hTERC), and dyskerin (DKC1) [141, 142]. Similarly, the yeast telomerase comprises the catalytic subunit Est2, the RNA component TLC1, and two additional proteins Est1 and Est3, which provide essential functions for telomere replication and stability/capping [143–145].

Telomerase recruitment to telomeres appears to be regulated by other proteins that can bind directly or indirectly to telomeric DNA and ensure telomere capping (Figure 3). The capping complex, called shelterin in mammals [146], has a fundamental role in telomere homeostasis, as it provides protection against an incorrect DNA-damage response or inadvertent activation of ATM/Tell and ATR/Mecl signaling [147, 148], as well as allowing telomerase-mediated telomere lengthening. Generally speaking, the capping complex guarantees that only critically short telomeres are subjected



FIGURE 3: Telomere structure in human and *S. cerevisiae*. Human telomeres consist of kilobases of TTAGGG repeats, ending with a 3' overhang, G-rich strand. The shelterin complex includes six proteins: TRF1 and TRF2, which bind directly the double-stranded telomeric DNA and are held together by TIN2, RAP1 that interacts with TRF2, POT1 that associates with telomeric ssDNA, and TPP1. These factors mediate the generation of higher-order structure at chromosome ends (T-loop) by invasion of the single-stranded G-overhang into the double-stranded telomeric sequence is bound by Rap1, which regulates telomere length together with Rif1 and Rif2. Cdc13 Ten1 and Stn1 bind to the single strand overhang. In both human and *S. cerevisiae*, the heterodimeric Ku complex (Ku70/80) interacts with the terminal part of the telomere, providing a protective role. The heterotrimeric complex MRX/MRN (MRE11/Mre11, RAD50/Rad50, and NBS1/Xrs2) promotes ATM/Tel1 recruitment, with a central role in telomere capping and length regulation.

to lengthening, whereas average size telomeres are protected from DNA modifying enzymes (telomerase, exonucleases) and do not elicit DDR. Telomere capping proteins in budding yeast comprise the CST complex (Cdc13-Stn1-Ten1), which binds to ssDNA, Ku (Yku70–Yku80), and Rap1-Rif1-Rif2. Similarly, in mammalian cells, the shelterin complex is composed of TIN2, TRF1, TRF2, TPP1, POT1, and RAP1, which provide higher-order DNA structures, the T-loop of which might participate in telomere protection [146, 149, 150].

In mammals and in yeast, ATM/Tell deficiency correlates with telomeres shorter than wild-type cells, which reveals a role in telomere-length regulation [19, 21, 22, 151], possibly in directing/limiting telomere lengthening to the shortest telomeres. According to this view, preferential lengthening of the shortest telomeres by telomerase has been shown [152–154]. However, while in *S. cerevisiae* telomerase recruitment to short telomeres appears to be Tell dependent, in mammalian cells, ATM is dispensable for the preferential association of telomerase at eroded telomeres [155].

5.1. Tell in S. cerevisiae Telomere-Length Regulation. TEL1 was originally identified in a screen for genes that affect telomere length in S. cerevisiae [21]. In budding yeast, TEL1 deletion results in dramatic telomere shortening and activation of telomere recombination events [156]. Cells lacking Tel1, as well as *tel1* kinase-dead mutants, have very short, but stable, telomeres, with a length of 50 bp to 100 bp [21]. This suggests that the regulatory role of Tel1 relies on its kinase domain [157, 158]. Also, the second checkpoint kinase, Mec1, appears to have a role in telomere length regulation. Although *mec1* mutants do not show telomere-length variations with respect

to wild-type cells, double *tel1*, *mec1* mutants show progressive telomere attrition and cell senescence reminiscent of telomerase-minus cells [24, 159, 160]. Telomere attrition in the double kinase-deleted cells for *tel1*, *mec1* can be overcome by forcing telomerase loading to telomeres using Est2-Cdc13 fusion, which suggests that Tel1 and Mec1 operate in two different epistasis groups to regulate telomerase recruitment to telomeres [161]. Accordingly, the telomerase activity in mutant cells that lack both Tel1 and Mec1 is indistinguishable from that in wild-type cell [161, 162].

In wild-type cells, Tell binding to telomeres appears to be low and limited to the late S-G2 phase of the cell cycle [93]. However, when telomeres are artificially shortened, Tell binding increases throughout the cell cycle and remains high for at least two consecutive cycles, which suggests preferential binding of short telomeres [93, 163, 164]. Binding of Tell to telomeres requires the MRX complex, and in particular, the interaction with the carboxyl terminus of the Xrs2 subunit of the MRX complex is responsible for MRX recruitment/loading [93]. However, MRX localization is reduced in cells that lack Tell [165], which suggests a feedback loop operated by Tell on MRX recruitment to telomeres. Of note, disruption of the MRX complex due to rad50 deletion induces telomere shortening similar to tell or tell, rad50 double mutants, which confirms that Tell and MRX work in the same pathway of telomere-length regulation [166].

Live-cell imaging has revealed that yeast telomerase stably associates with a few telomeres only in late S phase of the cell cycle and that, in addition to Tell and MRX, this association requires the Cdcl3 and Rifl/2 proteins. In particular, it was shown that, in cells that lack Tell, the clustering of the telomerase RNA component (TLC1) at telomere is disrupted [167]. Additional evidence has shown that Tell and the MRX complex preferentially bind short telomeres, which in turn become the substrate for telomerase-mediated telomere lengthening [93, 163, 164, 168]. Therefore, cells that lack Tell have short telomeres, due to the reduced frequency of EST1 and EST2 telomerase subunit recruitment and TLC1 RNA clustering at telomeres [167, 169]. Moreover, Tell directly phosphorylates Cdc13, which mediates telomerase recruitment through interaction with the telomeric G-tails and the Est1 subunits of telomerase [170].

The preferential targeting of Tell and MRX to short telomeres depends on the Rap1-Rif2 complex (Figure 3). According to the counting model, as telomeres get shorter, the number of Rap1-Rif2 molecules decreases [171, 172] and elicits the signal for MRX and Tell and ultimately telomerase recruitment. In support of this model, it has been reported that the preferential binding of Tell to short telomeres is lost when Rif2 is mutated and that Rif2 directly interacts with MRX [165, 168, 173]. Nevertheless, by artificially altering the sequence of the yeast telomeres in such a way that Rap1 binding is lost, though slightly shorter, the telomeres are stably maintained in dividing cells, and TEL1 deletion affects their length similarly to wild-type cells [174-176]. This suggests that there is a Rap1-independent mechanism of telomere regulation [177]. Interestingly, in these strains, the roles of Tel1 in G-tail processing and preferential binding to short telomeres are maintained [166, 178].

Mainly based on the observations that Tell phosphorylates the telomerase recruitment domain of Cdc13 [170] and associates to telomeres in a length-dependent manner, the most commonly accepted model of Tell activity proposes that Tell preferentially binds short telomeres and promotes the recruitment of the telomerase enzyme. Thus at a cellular level, Tell restricts lengthening to the shortest telomeres. However, some data are in contrast with this interpretation. The preferential elongation of short telomeres still occurs at native telomeres in tell mutants [25]; additionally, cell senescence in telomerase-minus cells is attenuated in the absence of Tell. These findings suggest an alternative model by which the reduced telomere shortening in these tell mutants, the telomerase-minus cells, is due to reduced telomere resection, which in turn delays the onset of critically short telomeres leading to senescence [26, 179]. Therefore it remains uncertain if Tell directly phosphorylates specific targets at telomeres, to promote telomerase recruitment, or if it indirectly stimulates the G-tail lengthening that provides a favorable substrate for telomerase association [26].

5.2. ATM in Mammalian Telomere-Length Regulation. In budding yeast, Tell is crucial for telomerase recruitment to short telomeres, while ATM appears to be dispensable for this function in human [155]. Nevertheless, mammalian telomerase maintains an apparent selective preference for critically short telomeres [153, 180, 181]. A lot of evidence has strongly suggested that ATM participates in telomere maintenance, which includes the finding that primary and immortalized AT cells show accelerated telomere shortening, chromosome fusions, premature aging, and a senescent phenotype [17, 19, 182]. Double deficiency for ATM and telomerase in mice (ATM<sup>-/-</sup> TER<sup>-/-</sup>) induces more rapid telomere erosion and genome instability [183]. Moreover, the simultaneous knockout of ATM and TER leads to a higher rate of germ-cell death and chromosomal fusions, relative to mice with a single gene mutation. This appears to suggest that ATM deficiency results in more prominent telomeric dysfunction [184].

It has been shown that ATM influences the fraction of telomeres that are attached to the nuclear matrix [182], as shown by the finding that a higher percentage of telomeric DNA (80%) is anchored to the nuclear matrix in ATM-deficient cells, with respect to the wild-type cells (50%) [182]. These data might correlate to the higher rate of telomere erosion and to telomere fusions observed in AT cells.

Overall, ATM-deficient cells appear to have some dysfunctions that are typical of uncapped telomeres, which suggests that ATM acts in concert with the shelterin complex (Figure 3), to guarantee full telomere protection. Accordingly, it has been shown that telomere fusions result from ATMdependent activation of the DDR, in mouse embryonic fibroblasts conditionally deleted for the shelterin component TRF2. This outcome, together with other studies carried out in human cell lines, suggests that ATM activity at telomeres is repressed by TRF2 [185, 186]. Indeed, the overexpression of TRF2 causes inhibition of the ATM-mediated response to DNA damages after exposure to ionizing radiation and abrogates cell-cycle arrest by the reduction of p53 activation. ATM inhibition mediated by TRF2 requires direct interactions between the two proteins (the region of ATM containing the S1981 site), which blocks ATM activation [187]. As TRF2 is abundant at telomeres, the inhibition of ATM might prevent recognition of telomeres as a site of DNA damage without affecting the surveillance of internal chromosome breakage [187].

ATM interacts also with TRF1, another element of the shelterin complex, through a domain that is different from that used to contact TRF2 [187-189]. How ATM is involved in telomere-length regulation is suggested by experiments performed in human fibroblastoma cells and in primary fibroblasts expressing telomerase. In these cells, ATM inhibition results in reduction of phosphorylated TRF1 and a consequent increase in TRF1 association to telomeres, which leads to telomere shortening. Moreover, the increased association of TRF1 at telomeres depends on the MRN complex, as it is abrogated in cells lacking MRE11 or NBS1. These data suggest a model by which MRN is required to promote ATM-dependent TRF1 phosphorylation and its subsequent release from telomeres, thus promoting telomerase recruitment [190]. According to this view, MRN deficiency, induced by RNA interference, caused G-tail shortening in telomerase-positive cells but not in telomerase-negative cells. This suggests that the resection activity of the MRN complex is somehow connected to telomerase recruitment and/or activity [191]. The most reliable explanation is that MRN and ATM cooperate to regulate telomere resection and capping, so that optimal G-tails for telomerase recruitment are produced.

This is confirmed by specific diseases that are linked to single mutations in the genes that compose the MRX complex, the symptoms of which resemble those of AT patients. Mutations in the *NBS1* gene result in a rare autosomal recessive disorder called Nijmegen breakage syndrome (NBS). In the absence of NBS1, phosphorylation of ATM is incomplete, and this speeds up telomere shortening, defective activation of the apoptotic pathway, and accumulation of chromosomal instability [192, 193]. Additionally, mutations affecting one of the other two members of the MRN complex, MRE11 and RAD50, have been linked to the onset of ataxiatelangiectasia-like disorders [194].

Thus, the emerging picture is that ATM has a complex role also at mammalian telomeres, through interactions with the shelterin proteins TRF1 and TRF2 and with the MRN complex, to ensure telomere protection and length regulation. In particular, telomerase recruitment and the telomerase-mediated telomere elongation pathway resemble the telomere regulation process that is controlled by Tell kinase in budding yeast, which indicates the presence of an evolutionary conserved mechanism [190].

#### 6. ATM Deficiency in Cancer Predisposition

Even before the cloning of the ATM gene, it was evident that AT patients were affected by a high incidence of cancer, in particular thymus, breast cancer, lymphoma, and leukaemia [195]. The higher incidence in the development of leukaemia and lymphoma, described in AT patients, has been related to the decreased ability of AT cells to correctly control the DSBs that physiologically occurs during the maturation of the immune system [196]. Indeed, the DDR represents a central event of the V(D)J recombination. This is a programmed DNA rearrangement process that occurs during the early development of lymphocytes and that allows the assembly of highly diversified antigen receptors essential to functional lymphocytes. Therefore, ATM deficiency affects the V(D)J recombination-induced DSBs preventing the production of antigen receptors, compromising T- and B-cell developments and causing severe immune deficiencies. This is also confirmed by experiment in Atm<sup>-/-</sup> mice that develop lymphoma and leukaemia within the first three months of life and die of malignant thymic lymphoma by 4-5 months of age [183, 197].

In 1987, Swift et al. reported that the incidence of breast cancer was significantly higher in female relatives of patients affected by an autosomal recessive condition of AT [198]. However, many studies in the following years failed to convincingly associate ATM with breast cancer [199–201]. Only recently did an extensive study of gene mutational screening in patients affected by non-BRCA1/BRCA2 familial breast cancer clearly categorize ATM as a breast cancer gene [202].

By now, many ATM mutations have been reported to increase cancer predisposition, including truncation and missense mutations [201, 203–206]. This phenomenon is clearly related to the multiple roles of ATM in DDR, including the control and signaling of DNA lesions, which results from different stimuli, such as endogenous oxidative DNA damage, mutagens, breaks occurring at meiosis, and gene rearrangements [207]. ATM provides strong tumor suppressive effects by activation of cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in cancer cells via the interaction with p53 [208]. Phosphorylation of deleted in breast cancer 1 (DBC1) by ATM inhibits SIRT1 deacetylase (one of seven mammalian orthologs of the yeast protein silent information regulator 2, Sir2), a regulator of p53. Conversely, depletion of DBC1 increases SIRT1 activity, which in turn promotes deacetylation of p53, thus providing protection from apoptosis [209] (Figure 2).

It has been reported that ATM can act as a tumor suppressor in liver cancer, by directly phosphorylating Tax1 binding protein 2 (TAX1BP2), a cyclin-dependent kinase 2-regulated tumor suppressor. TAX1BP2 phosphorylation stabilizes this protein and activates the p38 MAPK/p53/p21 pathway [210]. Cancer predisposition among AT carriers has revealed that the high rate of malignancy, in particular in breast cancer, is frequently associated with ATM heterozygosity [211, 212]. It has been estimated that heterozygotes, with ATM mutations that are present in as many as 1% of the total AT population are exposed to an associated risk of the development of breast cancer that is three-to-five-fold greater than the rest of the people [213, 214]. ATM heterozygous mutations have been identified by genome-wide sequencing analysis in the germline of nearly 170 patients with history of pancreatic cancer posing ATM as a new potential target gene for predisposition of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma [215]. From the Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer (COSMIC) it emerged that, from 8901 samples of all cancer types catalogued, with some tissue-dependent variations, 5% have ATM mutations and this data might underestimate the real impact of ATM aberrations in cancer [216]. A detailed analysis of the data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) consortium set for glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), the most common and lethal primary central nervous system tumor in adults, shows that 3.2% of tumours have somatic mutations in ATR, ATM, or CHK1 [217]. The tumour sequencing project (TSP), a large-scale exon-directed sequencing experiment to classify recurring somatic mutations in lung adenocarcinoma, found that 7% of 188 lung adenocarcinoma patients analysed harboured mutations in ATM [218]. The TSP identified 10 missense mutations, 2 frameshift deletions, a splice site mutation, and a nonsense mutation, consistent with loss of function.

The large body of literature produced over the years has reported that ATM variants can have different and frequently opposing effects in cancer predisposition, which causes a multitude of phenotypes.

When Renwick et al. categorized patients with family histories of breast cancer, it emerged that known AT-causing variants were associated with only a moderate increase in breast cancer predisposition [202]. However, in this study, the distinction between the effects of different types of ATM mutations was not considered. In 1999 Gatti et al. hypothesized that AT heterozygous carriers, which have one truncated version of the protein, behave differently from those with a missense mutation that might act as a dominant negative, which confers particularly high risk of breast cancer [219]. Hence, AT carriers with truncating mutations fail to produce any ATM protein, and carriers have almost the wildtype phenotype, relying only on the activity of the functional ATM allele. On the contrary, some missense mutations produce abnormal proteins that are present at normal levels inside cells. The molecules with missense mutation compete with the normal ones for the target substrates, thus acting as dominant negatives. This condition can explain why, in many cases, AT heterozygous with ATM in missense mutations is associated with a high risk of cancer incidence with respect to heterozygous with a truncated version of ATM [220].

The impact of ATM missense mutations also came from the study of patients affected by sporadic human tumors. These somatic mutations were largely missense, and in many cases, ATM behaved like a tumor suppressor [221]. Interestingly, according to the tumor suppressor activity, ATM was downregulated in 55% of 119 patients with breast cancer, compared with adjacent normal breast tissues [222]. It has been reported that the microRNA miR18a can impair DDR through downregulation of ATM expression [223]. Additionally, aberrant overexpression of miR421 influences ATM posttranscriptional downregulation [224, 225] and this is associated with poor prognosis in sporadic breast cancer [226]. Reduced ATM mRNA abundance significantly correlates with aberrant methylation of the ATM promoter, which suggests that epigenetic silencing of ATM expression can occur in breast cancer. However, a precise correlation between ATM methylation and its expression is still debated [227, 228]. Low expression of ATM observed in breast cancer tissue was frequently related to the accumulation of high rates of DNA mutations and to tumor progression; however, ATM expression is a complex process, and breast cancer onset can be influenced by several mechanisms. Indeed, other data do not support the suppressor role of ATM as no defective expression of ATM has been observed in sporadic breast cancers [229]. Paradoxically, upregulation of ATM in prostate and pancreatic cancer cells has been frequently reported, linking this condition to those cells that have somehow escaped cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis [230, 231]. Increased ATM expression can also be associated with a more efficient DNA damage response, as the oncogenic activation can cause replication stress. This condition also correlates with an increment in chemoresistance and radioresistance that promote the survival and invasive behavior of metastatic cells [232].

Overall, these observations reveal the complex architecture that characterizes the activity of ATM in the DNAdamage response, the maintenance of genetic stability, and cell-cycle regulation, and how this multifunctional activity correlates with genetic predisposition or sporadic onset of cancer.

### **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Istituto Pasteur-Fondazione Cenci Bolognetti and Sapienza University of Rome. Enea Gino Di Domenico has a Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Regione Lazio and Istituto Pasteur-Fondazione Cenci Bolognetti. This paper is funded for open access charge by the Istituto Pasteur-Fondazione Cenci Bolognetti.

#### References

- V. A. Zakian, "ATM-related genes: what do they tell us about functions of the human gene?" *Cell*, vol. 82, no. 5, pp. 685–687, 1995.
- [2] Y. Shiloh, "ATM and related protein kinases: safeguarding genome integrity," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 155– 168, 2003.
- [3] H. Lempiäinen and T. D. Halazonetis, "Emerging common themes in regulation of PIKKs and PI3Ks," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 28, no. 20, pp. 3067–3073, 2009.
- [4] S. Bhatti, S. Kozlov, A. A. Farooqi, A. Naqi, M. Lavin, and K. K. Khanna, "ATM protein kinase: the linchpin of cellular defenses to stress," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 68, no. 18, pp. 2977–3006, 2011.
- [5] R. Bosotti, A. Isacchi, and E. L. L. Sonnhammer, "FAT: a novel domain in PIK-related kinases," *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 225–227, 2000.
- [6] J. Perry and N. Kleckner, "The ATRs, ATMs, and TORs are giant HEAT repeat proteins," *Cell*, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 151–155, 2003.
- [7] G. Rotman and Y. Shiloh, "ATM: from gene to function," Human Molecular Genetics, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 1555–1563, 1998.
- [8] M. Swift, D. Morrell, E. Cromartie, A. R. Chamberlin, M. H. Skolnick, and D. T. Bishop, "The incidence and gene frequency of Ataxia-telangiectasia in the United States," *American Journal* of Human Genetics, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 573–583, 1986.
- [9] P. Concannon and R. A. Gatti, "Diversity of ATM gene mutations detected in patients with ataxia-telangiectasia," *Human Mutation*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 100–107, 1997.
- [10] N. D. Lakin, P. Weber, T. Stankovic, S. T. Rottinghaus, A. M. R. Taylor, and S. P. Jackson, "Analysis of the ATM protein in wildtype and ataxia telangiectasia cells," *Oncogene*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 2707–2716, 1996.
- [11] M. F. Lavin and S. Kozlov, "ATM activation and DNA damage response," *Cell Cycle*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 931–942, 2007.
- [12] M. B. Kastan, "Our cells get stressed too! Implications for human disease," *Blood Cells, Molecules, and Diseases*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 148–150, 2007.
- [13] R. B. Painter and B. R. Young, "Radiosensitivity in ataxiatelangiectasia: a new explanation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 77, no. 12, pp. 7315–7317, 1980.
- [14] M. B. Kastan, Q. Zhan, W. S. El-Deiry et al., "A mammalian cell cycle checkpoint pathway utilizing p53 and GADD45 is defective in ataxia-telangiectasia," *Cell*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 587– 597, 1992.
- [15] G. Rotman and Y. Shiloh, "ATM: a mediator of multiple responses to genotoxic stress," *Oncogene*, vol. 18, no. 45, pp. 6135–6144, 1999.
- [16] M. Kühne, E. Riballo, N. Rief, K. Rothkamm, P. A. Jeggo, and M. Löbrich, "A double-strand break repair defect in ATM-deficient cells contributes to radiosensitivity," *Cancer Research*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 500–508, 2004.
- [17] T. K. Pandita, S. Pathak, and C. R. Geard, "Chromosome end associations, telomeres and telomerase activity in ataxia telangiectasia cells," *Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 86–93, 1995.

- [18] T. K. Pandita, E. J. Hall, T. K. Hei et al., "Chromosome end-to-end associations and telomerase activity during cancer progression in human cells after treatment with α-particles simulating radon progeny," *Oncogene*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 1423– 1430, 1996.
- [19] J. A. Metcalfe, J. Parkhill, L. Campbell et al., "Accelerated telomere shortening in ataxia telangiectasia," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 350–353, 1996.
- [20] K.-K. Wong, R. S. Maser, R. M. Bachoo et al., "Telomere dysfunction and Atm deficiency compromises organ homeostasis and accelerates ageing," *Nature*, vol. 421, no. 6923, pp. 643–648, 2003.
- [21] A. J. Lustig and T. D. Petes, "Identification of yeast mutants with altered telomere structure," *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 1398– 1402, 1986.
- [22] P. W. Greenwell, S. L. Kronmal, S. E. Porter, J. Gassenhuber, B. Obermaier, and T. D. Petes, "TEL1, a gene involved in controlling telomere length in S. cerevisiae, is homologous to the human ataxia telangiectasia gene," *Cell*, vol. 82, no. 5, pp. 823–829, 1995.
- [23] D. M. Morrow, D. A. Tagle, Y. Shiloh, F. S. Collins, and P. Hieter, "TEL1, an *S. cerevisiae* homolog of the human gene mutated in ataxia telangiectasia, is functionally related to the yeast checkpoint gene MEC1," *Cell*, vol. 82, no. 5, pp. 831–840, 1995.
- [24] K. B. Ritchie, J. C. Mallory, and T. D. Petes, "Interactions of TLC1 (which encodes the RNA subunit of telomerase), TEL1, and MEC1 in regulating telomere length in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 6065–6075, 1999.
- [25] M. Arnerić and J. Lingner, "Tell kinase and subtelomere-bound Tbf1 mediate preferential elongation of short telomeres by telomerase in yeast," *EMBO Reports*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 1080–1085, 2007.
- [26] H. Gao, T. B. Toro, M. Paschini, B. Braunstein-Ballew, R. B. Cervantes, and V. Lundblad, "Telomerase recruitment in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is not dependent on Tell-mediated phosphorylation of Cdc13," *Genetics*, vol. 186, no. 4, pp. 1147–1159, 2010.
- [27] B.-B. S. Zhou and S. J. Elledge, "The DNA damage response: putting checkpoints in perspective," *Nature*, vol. 408, no. 6811, pp. 433–439, 2000.
- [28] J. Yang, Y. Yu, H. E. Hamrick, and P. J. Duerksen-Hughes, "ATM, ATR and DNA-PK: initiators of the cellular genotoxic stress responses," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1571–1580, 2003.
- [29] T. M. Gottlieb and S. P. Jackson, "The DNA-dependent protein kinase: requirement for DNA ends and association with Ku antigen," *Cell*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 131–142, 1993.
- [30] E. Weterings, N. S. Verkaik, H. T. Brüggenwirth, J. H. J. Hoeijmakers, and D. C. van Gent, "The role of DNA dependent protein kinase in synapsis of DNA ends," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 31, no. 24, pp. 7238–7246, 2003.
- [31] L. Spagnolo, A. Rivera-Calzada, L. H. Pearl, and O. Llorca, "Three-dimensional structure of the human DNA- PKcs /Ku70/ Ku80 complex assembled on DNA and its implications for DNA DSB repair," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 511–519, 2006.
- [32] L. Chen, K. Trujillo, W. Ramos, P. Sung, and A. E. Tomkinson, "Promotion of Dnl4-Catalyzed DNA end-joining by the Rad50/Mre11/Xrs2 and Hdf1/Hdf2 complexes," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1105–1115, 2001.

- [33] K. Lammens, D. J. Bemeleit, C. Möckel et al., "The Mre11:Rad50 structure shows an ATP-dependent molecular clamp in DNA double-strand break repair," *Cell*, vol. 145, no. 1, pp. 54–66, 2011.
- [34] T. A. Weinert, G. L. Kiser, and L. H. Hartwell, "Mitotic checkpoint genes in budding yeast and the dependence of mitosis on DNA replication and repair," *Genes and Development*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 652–665, 1994.
- [35] Y. Sanchez, B. A. Desany, W. J. Jones, Q. Liu, B. Wang, and S. J. Elledge, "Regulation of RAD53 by the ATM-like kinases MEC1 and TEL1 in yeast cell cycle checkpoint pathways," *Science*, vol. 271, no. 5247, pp. 357–360, 1996.
- [36] J. E. Vialard, C. S. Gilbert, C. M. Green, and N. F. Lowndes, "The budding yeast Rad9 checkpoint protein is subjected to Mec1/Tel1-dependent hyperphosphorylation and interacts with Rad53 after DNA damage," *The EMBO Journal*, vol. 17, no. 19, pp. 5679–5688, 1998.
- [37] D. Mantiero, M. Clerici, G. Lucchini, and M. P. Longhese, "Dual role for Saccharomyces cerevisiae Tell in the checkpoint response to double-strand breaks," *The EMBO Reports*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 380–387, 2007.
- [38] M. R. Lieber, "The mechanism of double-strand DNA break repair by the nonhomologous DNA end-joining pathway," *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, vol. 79, pp. 181–211, 2010.
- [39] W.-D. Heyer, K. T. Ehmsen, and J. Liu, "Regulation of homologous recombination in eukaryotes," *Annual Review of Genetics*, vol. 44, pp. 113–139, 2010.
- [40] D. Nakada, K. Matsumoto, and K. Sugimoto, "ATM-related Tell associates with double-strand breaks through an Xrs2dependent mechanism," *Genes and Development*, vol. 17, no. 16, pp. 1957–1962, 2003.
- [41] S. Banin, L. Moyal, S.-Y. Shieh et al., "Enhanced phosphorylation of p53 by ATM in response to DNA damage," *Science*, vol. 281, no. 5383, pp. 1674–1677, 1998.
- [42] A. M. Friedel, B. L. Pike, and S. M. Gasser, "ATR/Mec1: coordinating fork stability and repair," *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 237–244, 2009.
- [43] A. Jazayeri, J. Falck, C. Lukas et al., "ATM- and cell cycledependent regulation of ATR in response to DNA doublestrand breaks," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 37–45, 2006.
- [44] S. P. Gotoff, E. Amirmokri, and E. J. Liebner, "Ataxia telangiectasia. Neoplasia, untoward response to x-irradiation, and tuberous sclerosis," *The American Journal of Diseases of Children*, vol. 114, no. 6, pp. 617–625, 1967.
- [45] A. M. R. Taylor, D. G. Harnden, C. F. Arlett et al., "Ataxia telangiectasia: a human mutation with abnormal radiation sensitivity," *Nature*, vol. 258, no. 5534, pp. 427–429, 1975.
- [46] C. J. Bakkenist and M. B. Kastan, "DNA damage activates ATM through intermolecular autophosphorylation and dimer dissociation," *Nature*, vol. 421, no. 6922, pp. 499–506, 2003.
- [47] J.-H. Lee and T. T. Paull, "Direct activation of the ATM protein kinase by the Mre11/Rad50/Nbs1 complex," *Science*, vol. 304, no. 5667, pp. 93–96, 2004.
- [48] J. Falck, J. Coates, and S. P. Jackson, "Conserved modes of recruitment of ATM, ATR and DNA-PKcs to sites of DNA damage," *Nature*, vol. 434, no. 7033, pp. 605–611, 2005.
- [49] Z. You, C. Chahwan, J. Bailis, T. Hunter, and P. Russell, "ATM activation and its recruitment to damaged DNA require binding to the C terminus of Nbs1," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 25, no. 13, pp. 5363–5379, 2005.
- [50] J.-H. Lee and T. T. Paull, "ATM activation by DNA doublestrand breaks through the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 complex," *Science*, vol. 308, no. 5721, pp. 551–554, 2005.

- [51] M. Pellegrini, A. Celeste, S. Difilippantonio et al., "Autophosphorylation at serine 1987 is dispensable for murine Atm activation *in vivo*," *Nature*, vol. 443, no. 7108, pp. 222–225, 2006.
- [52] J. A. Daniel, M. Pellegrini, J.-H. Lee, T. T. Paull, L. Feigenbaum, and A. Nussenzweig, "Multiple autophosphorylation sites are dispensable for murine ATM activation *in vivo*," *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 183, no. 5, pp. 777–783, 2008.
- [53] K. Yamamoto, Y. Wang, W. Jiang et al., "Kinase-dead ATM protein causes genomic instability and early embryonic lethality in mice," *The Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 198, no. 3, pp. 305–313, 2012.
- [54] S. V. Kozlov, M. E. Graham, C. Peng, P. Chen, P. J. Robinson, and M. F. Lavin, "Involvement of novel autophosphorylation sites in ATM activation," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 25, no. 15, pp. 3504–3514, 2006.
- [55] B. Tian, Q. Yang, and Z. Mao, "Phosphorylation of ATM by Cdk5 mediates DNA damage signalling and regulates neuronal death," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 211–218, 2009.
- [56] Y. Sun, X. Jiang, S. Chen, N. Fernandes, and B. D. Price, "A role for the Tip60 histone acetyltransferase in the acetylation and activation of ATM," *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 102, no. 37, pp. 13182–13187, 2005.
- [57] Y. Sun, Y. Xu, K. Roy, and B. D. Price, "DNA damage-induced acetylation of lysine 3016 of ATM activates ATM kinase activity," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 27, no. 24, pp. 8502–8509, 2007.
- [58] S. So, A. J. Davis, and D. J. Chen, "Autophosphorylation at serine 1981 stabilizes ATM at DNA damage sites," *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 187, no. 7, pp. 977–990, 2009.
- [59] Z. Guo, S. Kozlov, M. F. Lavin, M. D. Person, and T. T. Paull, "ATM activation by oxidative stress," *Science*, vol. 330, no. 6003, pp. 517–521, 2010.
- [60] Z. Guo, R. Deshpande, and T. T. Paull, "ATM activation in the presence of oxidative stress," *Cell Cycle*, vol. 9, no. 24, pp. 4805– 4811, 2010.
- [61] O. K. Mirzoeva and J. H. J. Petrini, "DNA damage-dependent nuclear dynamics of the Mre11 complex," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 281–288, 2001.
- [62] M. Lisby, J. H. Barlow, R. C. Burgess, and R. Rothstein, "Choreography of the DNA damage response: spatiotemporal relationships among checkpoint and repair proteins," *Cell*, vol. 118, no. 6, pp. 699–713, 2004.
- [63] E. Berkovich, R. J. Monnat Jr., and M. B. Kastan, "Roles of ATM and NBS1 in chromatin structure modulation and DNA doublestrand break repair," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 683– 690, 2007.
- [64] E. P. Mimitou and L. S. Symington, "DNA end resection: many nucleases make light work," *DNA Repair*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 983– 995, 2009.
- [65] A. V. Nimonkar, J. Genschel, E. Kinoshita et al., "BLM-DNA2-RPA-MRN and EXOI-BLM-RPA-MRN constitute two DNA end resection machineries for human DNA break repair," *Genes* and Development, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 350–362, 2011.
- [66] E. Batchelor, A. Loewer, C. S. Mock, and G. Lahav, "Stimulusdependent dynamics of p53 in single cells," *Molecular Systems Biology*, vol. 7, article 488, 2011.
- [67] A. K. Freeman and A. N. A. Monteiro, "Phosphatases in the cellular response to DNA damage," *Cell Communication and Signaling*, vol. 8, article 27, 2010.

- [68] D. Chowdhury, M.-C. Keogh, H. Ishii, C. L. Peterson, S. Buratowski, and J. Lieberman, "γ-H2AX dephosphorylation by protein phosphatase 2A facilitates DNA double-strand break repair," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 801–809, 2005.
- [69] A. Lankoff, J. Bialczyk, D. Dziga et al., "The repair of gammaradiation-induced DNA damage is inhibited by microcystin-LR, the PP1 and PP2A phosphatase inhibitor," *Mutagenesis*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 83–90, 2006.
- [70] J. Lu, J. S. Kovach, F. Johnson et al., "Inhibition of serine/threonine phosphatase PP2A enhances cancer chemotherapy by blocking DNA damage induced defense mechanisms," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 106, no. 34, pp. 11697–11702, 2009.
- [71] P. Kalev, M. Simicek, I. Vazquez et al., "Loss of PPP2R2A inhibits homologous recombination DNA repair and predicts tumor sensitivity to PARP inhibition," *Cancer Research*, vol. 72, no. 24, pp. 6414–6424, 2012.
- [72] A. Ali, J. Zhang, S. Bao et al., "Requirement of protein phosphatase 5 in DNA-damage-induced ATM activation," *Genes and Development*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 249–254, 2004.
- [73] A. A. Goodarzi and S. P. Lees-Miller, "Biochemical characterization of the ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM) protein from human cells," *DNA Repair*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 753–767, 2004.
- [74] S. Shreeram, O. N. Demidov, W. K. Hee et al., "Wip1 phosphatase modulates ATM-dependent signaling pathways," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 757–764, 2006.
- [75] E. P. Rogakou, D. R. Pilch, A. H. Orr, V. S. Ivanova, and W. M. Bonner, "DNA double-stranded breaks induce histone H2AX phosphorylation on serine 139," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 273, no. 10, pp. 5858–5868, 1998.
- [76] E. P. Rogakou, C. Boon, C. Redon, and W. M. Bonner, "Megabase chromatin domains involved in DNA double-strand breaks in vivo," *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 146, no. 5, pp. 905– 916, 1999.
- [77] M. Kruhlak, E. E. Crouch, M. Orlov et al., "The ATM repair pathway inhibits RNA polymerase I transcription in response to chromosome breaks," *Nature*, vol. 447, no. 7145, pp. 730–734, 2007.
- [78] M. Murga, I. Jaco, Y. Fan et al., "Global chromatin compaction limits the strength of the DNA damage response," *The Journal* of Cell Biology, vol. 178, no. 7, pp. 1101–1108, 2007.
- [79] Y.-C. Kim, G. Gerlitz, T. Furusawa et al., "Activation of ATM depends on chromatin interactions occurring before induction of DNA damage," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 92–96, 2009.
- [80] Y. Ziv, D. Bielopolski, Y. Galanty et al., "Chromatin relaxation in response to DNA double-strand breaks is modulated by a novel ATM-and KAP-1 dependent pathway," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 870–876, 2006.
- [81] R. Kepkay, K. M. Attwood, Y. Ziv, Y. Shiloh, and G. Dellaire, "KAP1 depletion increases PML nuclear body number in concert with ultrastructural changes in chromatin," *Cell Cycle*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 308–322, 2011.
- [82] A. A. Goodarzi, A. T. Noon, and P. A. Jeggo, "The impact of heterochromatin on DSB repair," *Biochemical Society Transactions*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 569–576, 2009.
- [83] S. Kumar and P. M. Burgers, "Lagging strand maturation factor Dna2 is a component of the replication checkpoint initiation machinery," *Genes and Development*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 313–321, 2013.

- [84] E. L. Ivanov, N. Sugawara, C. I. White, F. Fabre, and J. E. Haber, "Mutations in XRS2 and RAD50 delay but do not prevent mating-type switching in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 3414–3425, 1994.
- [85] E. P. Mimitou and L. S. Symington, "Sae2, Exo1 and Sgs1 collaborate in DNA double-strand break processing," *Nature*, vol. 455, no. 7214, pp. 770–774, 2008.
- [86] S. Gravel, J. R. Chapman, C. Magill, and S. P. Jackson, "DNA helicases Sgs1 and BLM promote DNA double-strand break resection," *Genes and Development*, vol. 22, no. 20, pp. 2767– 2772, 2008.
- [87] B. M. Lengsfeld, A. J. Rattray, V. Bhaskara, R. Ghirlando, and T. T. Paull, "Sae2 is an endonuclease that processes hairpin DNA cooperatively with the Mre11/Rad50/Xrs2 complex," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 638–651, 2007.
- [88] Z. Zhu, W.-H. Chung, E. Y. Shim, S. E. Lee, and G. Ira, "Sgs1 helicase and two nucleases Dna2 and Exo1 resect DNA doublestrand break ends," *Cell*, vol. 134, no. 6, pp. 981–994, 2008.
- [89] M. Clerici, D. Mantiero, G. Lucchini, and M. P. Longhese, "The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Sae2 protein negatively regulates DNA damage checkpoint signalling," *The EMBO Reports*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 212–218, 2006.
- [90] T. Usui, H. Ogawa, and J. H. J. Petrini, "A DNA damage response pathway controlled by Tell and the Mrell complex," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 1255–1266, 2001.
- [91] K. Fukunaga, Y. Kwon, P. Sung, and K. Sugimoto, "Activation of protein kinase tell through recognition of protein-bound DNA ends," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 1959– 1971, 2011.
- [92] J.-H. Lee and T. T. Paull, "Activation and regulation of ATM kinase activity in response to DNA double-strand breaks," *Oncogene*, vol. 26, no. 56, pp. 7741–7748, 2007.
- [93] M. Sabourin, C. T. Tuzon, and V. A. Zakian, "Telomerase and Tellp preferentially associate with short telomeres in S. *cerevisiae*," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 550–561, 2007.
- [94] S. Matsuoka, B. A. Ballif, A. Smogorzewska et al., "ATM and ATR substrate analysis reveals extensive protein networks responsive to DNA damage," *Science*, vol. 316, no. 5828, pp. 1160–1166, 2007.
- [95] R. Linding, L. J. Jensen, G. J. Ostheimer et al., "Systematic discovery of in vivo phosphorylation networks," *Cell*, vol. 129, no. 7, pp. 1415–1426, 2007.
- [96] M. B. Smolka, C. P. Albuquerque, S.-H. Chen, and H. Zhou, "Proteome-wide identification of in vivo targets of DNA damage checkpoint kinases," *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 104, no. 25, pp. 10364–10369, 2007.
- [97] A. Bensimon, A. Schmidt, Y. Ziv et al., "ATM-dependent and -independent dynamics of the nuclear phosphoproteome after DNA damage," *Science Signaling*, vol. 3, no. 151, article rs3, 2010.
- [98] S.-H. Chen, C. P. Albuquerque, J. Liang, R. T. Suhandynata, and H. Zhou, "A proteome-wide analysis of kinase-substrate network in the DNA damage response," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 285, no. 17, pp. 12803–12812, 2010.
- [99] S. Choi, R. Srivas, K. Y. Fu et al., "Quantitative proteomics reveal ATM kinase-dependent exchange in DNA damage response complexes," *Journal of Proteome Research*, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 4983–4991, 2012.
- [100] M. Giannattasio, F. Lazzaro, W. Siede, E. Nunes, P. Plevani, and M. Muzi-Falconi, "DNA decay and limited Rad53 activation after liquid holding of UV-treated nucleotide excision repair

deficient *S. cerevisiae* cells," *DNA Repair*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 1591–1599, 2004.

- [101] M. Sabourin and V. A. Zakian, "ATM-like kinases and regulation of telomerase: lessons from yeast and mammals," *Trends in Cell Biology*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 337–346, 2008.
- [102] A. Pellicioli, C. Lucca, G. Liberi et al., "Activation of Rad53 kinase in response to DNA damage and its effect in modulating phosphorylation of the lagging strand DNA polymerase," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 18, no. 22, pp. 6561–6572, 1999.
- [103] V. M. Navadgi-Patil and P. M. Burgers, "Cell-cycle-specific activators of the Mecl/ATR checkpoint kinase," *Biochemical Society Transactions*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 600–605, 2011.
- [104] J. Majka, S. K. Binz, M. S. Wold, and P. M. J. Burgers, "Replication protein A directs loading of the DNA damage checkpoint clamp to 5*1*-DNA junctions," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 281, no. 38, pp. 27855–27861, 2006.
- [105] K. Finn, N. F. Lowndes, and M. Grenon, "Eukaryotic DNA damage checkpoint activation in response to double-strand breaks," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 1447–1473, 2012.
- [106] F. D. Sweeney, F. Yang, A. Chi, J. Shabanowitz, D. F. Hunt, and D. Durocher, "Saccharomyces cerevisiae Rad9 acts as a Mec1 adaptor to allow Rad53 activation," Current Biology, vol. 15, no. 15, pp. 1364–1375, 2005.
- [107] J. A. Downs, M. C. Nussenzweig, and A. Nussenzweig, "Chromatin dynamics and the preservation of genetic information," *Nature*, vol. 447, no. 7147, pp. 951–958, 2007.
- [108] H. Van Attikum, O. Fritsch, B. Hohn, and S. M. Gasser, "Recruitment of the INO80 complex by H2A phosphorylation links ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling with DNA doublestrand break repair," *Cell*, vol. 119, no. 6, pp. 777–788, 2004.
- [109] R. Shroff, A. Arbel-Eden, D. Pilch et al., "Distribution and dynamics of chromatin modification induced by a defined DNA double-strand break," *Current Biology*, vol. 14, no. 19, pp. 1703– 1711, 2004.
- [110] G. Ira, A. Pellicioll, A. Balijja et al., "DNA end resection, homologous recombination and DNA damage checkpoint activation require CDK1," *Nature*, vol. 431, no. 7011, pp. 1011–1017, 2004.
- [111] J.-A. Kim, M. Kruhlak, F. Dotiwala, A. Nussenzweig, and J. E. Haber, "Heterochromatin is refractory to γ-H2AX modification in yeast and mammals," *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 178, no. 2, pp. 209–218, 2007.
- [112] F. A. Derheimer and M. B. Kastan, "Multiple roles of ATM in monitoring and maintaining DNA integrity," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 584, no. 17, pp. 3675–3681, 2010.
- [113] V. Savic, B. Yin, N. L. Maas et al., "Formation of dynamic γ-H2AX domains along broken DNA strands is distinctly regulated by ATM and MDC1 and dependent upon H2AX densities in chromatin," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 298– 310, 2009.
- [114] B. Yin, B.-S. Lee, K. S. Yang-Iott, B. P. Sleckman, and C. H. Bassing, "Redundant and nonredundant functions of ATM and H2AX in  $\alpha\beta$  T-lineage lymphocytes," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 189, no. 3, pp. 1372–1379, 2012.
- [115] M. Stucki and S. P. Jackson, "γH2AX and MDCI: anchoring the DNA-damage-response machinery to broken chromosomes," DNA Repair, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 534–543, 2006.
- [116] K. Luo, J. Yuans, and Z. Lous, "Oligomerization of MDC1 protein is important for proper DNA damage response," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 286, no. 32, pp. 28192–28199, 2011.

- [117] M. S. Y. Huen, R. Grant, I. Manke et al., "RNF8 transduces the DNA damage signal via histone ubiquitylation and checkpoint protein assembly," *Cell*, vol. 131, no. 5, pp. 901–914, 2007.
- [118] N. K. Kolas, J. R. Chapman, S. Nakada et al., "Orchestration of the DNA-damage response by the RNF8 ubiquitin ligase," *Science*, vol. 318, no. 5856, pp. 1637–1640, 2007.
- [119] N. Mailand, S. Bekker-Jensen, H. Faustrup et al., "RNF8 ubiquitylates histones at DNA double-strand breaks and promotes assembly of repair proteins," *Cell*, vol. 131, no. 5, pp. 887–900, 2007.
- [120] C. Doil, N. Mailand, S. Bekker-Jensen et al., "RNF168 binds and amplifies ubiquitin conjugates on damaged chromosomes to allow accumulation of repair protein," *Cell*, vol. 136, no. 3, pp. 435–446, 2009.
- [121] Y. Haupt, R. Maya, A. Kazaz, and M. Oren, "Mdm2 promotes the rapid degradation of p53," *Nature*, vol. 387, no. 6630, pp. 296– 299, 1997.
- [122] D. Michael and M. Oren, "The p53-Mdm2 module and the ubiquitin system," *Seminars in Cancer Biology*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 49–58, 2003.
- [123] S.-Y. Shieh, J. Ahn, K. Tamai, Y. Taya, and C. Prives, "The human homologs of checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Cds1 (Chk2) phosphorylate, p53 at multiple DNA damage-inducible sites," *Genes & Development*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 289–300, 2000.
- [124] C. Chao, D. Herr, J. Chun, and Y. Xu, "Ser18 and 23 phosphorylation is required for p53-dependent apoptosis and tumor suppression," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 2615–2622, 2006.
- [125] R. Maya, M. Balass, S.-T. Kim et al., "ATM-dependent phosphorylation of Mdm2 on serine 395: role in p53 activation by DNA damage," *Genes and Development*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 1067–1077, 2001.
- [126] J. Tang, T. Agrawal, Q. Cheng et al., "Phosphorylation of Daxx by ATM Contributes to DNA Damage-Induced p53 Activation," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 2, Article ID e55813, 2013.
- [127] A. Hirao, Y. Y. Kong, S. Matsuoka et al., "DNA damage-induced activation of p53 by the checkpoint kinase Chk2," *Science*, vol. 287, no. 5459, pp. 1824–1827, 2000.
- [128] B. Vogelstein, D. Lane, and A. J. Levine, "Surfing the p53 network," *Nature*, vol. 408, no. 6810, pp. 307–310, 2000.
- [129] I. Neganova, F. Vilella, S. P. Atkinson et al., "An important role for CDK2 in G1 to S checkpoint activation and DNA damage response in human embryonic stem cells," *Stem Cells*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 651–659, 2011.
- [130] J. Falck, J. H. J. Petrini, B. R. Williams, J. Lukas, and J. Bartek, "The DNA damage-dependent intra-S phase checkpoint is regulated by parallel pathways," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 290–294, 2002.
- [131] B. Wang, S. Matsuoka, P. B. Carpenter, and S. J. Elledge, "53BP1, a mediator of the DNA damage checkpoint," *Science*, vol. 298, no. 5597, pp. 1435–1438, 2002.
- [132] S.-T. Kim, B. Xu, and M. B. Kastan, "Involvement of the cohesin protein, Smc1, in Atm-dependent and independent responses to DNA damage," *Genes and Development*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 560– 570, 2002.
- [133] P. T. Yazdi, Y. Wang, S. Zhao, N. Patel, E. Y.-H. P. Lee, and J. Qin, "SMC1 is a downstream effector in the ATM/NBS1 branch of the human S-phase checkpoint," *Genes and Development*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 571–582, 2002.
- [134] R. Kitagawa, C. J. Bakkenist, P. J. McKinnon, and M. B. Kastan, "Phosphorylation of SMC1 is a critical downstream event in the ATM-NBS1-BRCA1 pathway," *Genes & Development*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 1423–1438, 2004.

- [135] H. Luo, Y. Li, J.-J. Mu et al., "Regulation of intra-S phase checkpoint by ionizing radiation (IR)-dependent and IR-independent phosphorylation of SMC3," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 283, no. 28, pp. 19176–19183, 2008.
- [136] Y. Sanchez, J. Bachant, H. Wang et al., "Control of the DNA damage checkpoint by Chk1 and Rad53 protein kinases through distinct mechanisms," *Science*, vol. 286, no. 5442, pp. 1166–1171, 1999.
- [137] R. Garg, S. Callens, D.-S. Lim, C. E. Canman, M. B. Kastan, and B. Xu, "Chromatin association of Rad17 is required for an ataxia telangiectasia and Rad-related kinase-mediated Sphase checkpoint in response to low-dose ultraviolet radiation," *Molecular Cancer Research*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 362–369, 2004.
- [138] C. W. Greider and E. H. Blackburn, "Identification of a specific telomere terminal transferase activity in tetrahymena extracts," *Cell*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 405–413, 1985.
- [139] J. D. Watson, "Origin of concatemeric T7 DNA," *Nature: New biology*, vol. 239, no. 94, pp. 197–201, 1972.
- [140] A. M. Olovnikov, "Principle of marginotomy in template synthesis of polynucleotides," *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, vol. 201, no. 6, pp. 1496–1499, 1971.
- [141] M. Meyerson, C. M. Counter, E. N. Eaton et al., "hEST2, the putative human telomerase catalytic subunit gene, is upregulated in tumor cells and during immortalization," *Cell*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 785–795, 1997.
- [142] S. B. Cohen, M. E. Graham, G. O. Lovrecz, N. Bache, P. J. Robinson, and R. R. Reddel, "Protein composition of catalytically active human telomerase from immortal cells," *Science*, vol. 315, no. 5820, pp. 1850–1853, 2007.
- [143] V. Lundblad and J. W. Szostak, "A mutant with a defect in telomere elongation leads to senescence in yeast," *Cell*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 633–643, 1989.
- [144] J. Prescott and E. H. Blackburn, "Functionally interacting telomerase RNAs in the yeast telomerase complex," *Genes and Development*, vol. 11, no. 21, pp. 2790–2800, 1997.
- [145] J. Lingner, T. R. Hughes, A. Shevchenko, M. Mann, V. Lundblad, and T. R. Cech, "Reverse transcriptase motifs in the catalytic subunit of telomerase," *Science*, vol. 276, no. 5312, pp. 561–567, 1997.
- [146] T. De Lange, "Telomere-related genome instability in cancer," *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology*, vol. 70, pp. 197–204, 2005.
- [147] A. Sfeir, S. T. Kosiyatrakul, D. Hockemeyer et al., "Mammalian telomeres resemble fragile sites and require TRF1 for efficient replication," *Cell*, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 90–103, 2009.
- [148] A. Sfeir and T. De Lange, "Removal of shelterin reveals the telomere end-protection problem," *Science*, vol. 336, no. 6081, pp. 593–597, 2012.
- [149] J. D. Griffith, L. Comeau, S. Rosenfield et al., "Mammalian telomeres end in a large duplex loop," *Cell*, vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 503–514, 1999.
- [150] R. M. Stansel, T. de Lange, and J. D. Griffith, "T-loop assembly in vitro involves binding of TRF2 near the 3' telomeric overhang," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 20, no. 19, pp. 5532–5540, 2001.
- [151] T. K. Pandita, "ATM function and telomere stability," Oncogene, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 611–618, 2002.
- [152] S. Marcand, V. Brevet, C. Mann, and E. Gilson, "Cell cycle restriction of telomere elongation," *Current Biology*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 487–490, 2000.
- [153] M. T. Hemann, M. A. Strong, L.-Y. Hao, and C. W. Greider, "The shortest telomere, not average telomere length, is critical for cell

viability and chromosome stability," *Cell*, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 67–77, 2001.

- [154] M. T. Teixeira, M. Arneric, P. Sperisen, and J. Lingner, "Telomere length homeostasis is achieved via a switch between telomerase- extendible and -nonextendible states," *Cell*, vol. 117, no. 3, pp. 323–335, 2004.
- [155] D. Feldser, M. A. Strong, and C. W. Greider, "Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (Atm) is not required for telomerase-mediated elongation of short telomeres," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 103, no. 7, pp. 2249–2251, 2006.
- [156] M. L. DuBois, Z. W. Haimberger, M. W. McIntosh, and D. E. Gottschling, "A quantitative assay for telomere protection in Saccharomyces cerevisiae," *Genetics*, vol. 161, no. 3, pp. 995–1013, 2002.
- [157] J. C. Mallory and T. D. Petes, "Protein kinase activity of Tellp and Meclp, two Saccharomyces cerevisiae proteins related to the human ATM protein kinase," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 97, no. 25, pp. 13749–13754, 2000.
- [158] Y. Ma and C. W. Greider, "Kinase-independent functions of TEL1 in telomere maintenance," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 29, no. 18, pp. 5193–5202, 2009.
- [159] M. S. Singer and D. E. Gottschling, "TLC1: template RNA component of Saccharomyces cerevisiae telomerase," *Science*, vol. 266, no. 5184, pp. 404–409, 1994.
- [160] T. S. Lendvay, D. K. Morris, J. Sah, B. Balasubramanian, and V. Lundblad, "Senescence mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae with a defect in telomere replication identify three additional EST genes," *Genetics*, vol. 144, no. 4, pp. 1399–1412, 1996.
- [161] Y. Tsukamoto, A. K. P. Taggart, and V. A. Zakian, "The role of the Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2 complex in telomerase-mediated lengthening of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* telomeres," *Current Biology*, vol. 11, no. 17, pp. 1328–1335, 2001.
- [162] S. W. L. Chan, J. Chang, J. Prescott, and E. H. Blackburn, "Altering telomere structure allows telomerase to act in yeast lacking ATM kinases," *Current Biology*, vol. 11, no. 16, pp. 1240– 1250, 2001.
- [163] R. E. Hector, R. L. Shtofman, A. Ray et al., "Tellp preferentially associates with short telomeres to stimulate their elongation," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 851–858, 2007.
- [164] A. Bianchi and D. Shore, "Increased association of telomerase with short telomeres in yeast," *Genes and Development*, vol. 21, no. 14, pp. 1726–1730, 2007.
- [165] Y. Hirano, K. Fukunaga, and K. Sugimoto, "Rif1 and Rif2 inhibit localization of tell to DNA ends," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 312–322, 2009.
- [166] E. G. Di Domenico, S. Mattarocci, G. Cimino-Reale et al., "Tell and Rad51 are involved in the maintenance of telomeres with capping deficiency," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 41, no. 13, pp. 6490–6500, 2013.
- [167] F. Gallardo, N. Laterreur, E. Cusanelli et al., "Live cell imaging of telomerase RNA dynamics reveals cell cycle-dependent clustering of telomerase at elongating telomeres," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 819–827, 2011.
- [168] J. S. McGee, J. A. Phillips, A. Chan, M. Sabourin, K. Paeschke, and V. A. Zakian, "Reduced Rif2 and lack of Mec1 target short telomeres for elongation rather than double-strand break repair," *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 1438–1445, 2010.

- [169] L. K. Goudsouzian, C. T. Tuzon, and V. A. Zakian, "S. cerevisiae Tellp and Mrel1p are required for normal levels of Est1p and Est2p telomere association," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 603–610, 2006.
- [170] S.-F. Tseng, J.-J. Lin, and S.-C. Teng, "The telomeraserecruitment domain of the telomere binding protein Cdc13 is regulated by Mec1p/Tel1p-dependent phosphorylation," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 34, no. 21, pp. 6327–6336, 2006.
- [171] G. Kyrion, K. A. Boakye, and A. J. Lustig, "C-terminal truncation of RAP1 results in the deregulation of telomere size, stability, and function in Saccharomvces cerevisiae," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 5159–5173, 1992.
- [172] S. Marcand, E. Gilson, and D. Shore, "A protein-counting mechanism for telomere length regulation in yeast," *Science*, vol. 275, no. 5302, pp. 986–990, 1997.
- [173] D. Bonetti, M. Clerici, S. Anbalagan, M. Martina, G. Lucchini, and M. P. Longhese, "Shelterin-like proteins and Yku inhibit nucleolytic processing of Saccharomyces cerevisiae telomeres," *PLoS Genetics*, vol. 6, no. 5, Article ID e1000966, 2010.
- [174] K. A. Henning, N. Moskowitz, M. A. Ashlock, and P. P. Liu, "Humanizing the yeast telomerase template," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 95, no. 10, pp. 5667–5671, 1998.
- [175] M. K. Alexander and V. A. Zakian, "Rap1p telomere association is not required for mitotic stability of a C3TA2 telomere in yeast," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 1688–1696, 2003.
- [176] E. G. di Domenico, C. Auriche, V. Viscardi, M. P. Longhese, E. Gilson, and F. Ascenzioni, "The Mec1p and Tel1p checkpoint kinases allow humanized yeast to tolerate chronic telomere dysfunctions by suppressing telomere fusions," *DNA Repair*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 209–218, 2009.
- [177] C. Auriche, E. G. Di Domenico, and F. Ascenzioni, "Budding yeast with human telomeres: a puzzling structure," *Biochimie*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 108–115, 2008.
- [178] V. Ribaud, C. Ribeyre, P. Damay, and D. Shore, "DNA-end capping by the budding yeast transcription factor and subtelomeric binding protein Tbf1," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 138–149, 2012.
- [179] K. B. Ritchie and T. D. Petes, "The Mre11p/Rad50p/Xrs2p complex and the Tellp function in a single pathway for telomere maintenance in yeast," *Genetics*, vol. 155, no. 1, pp. 475–479, 2000.
- [180] S. Steinert, J. W. Shay, and W. E. Wright, "Transient expression of human telomerase extends the life span of normal human fibroblasts," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 273, no. 3, pp. 1095–1098, 2000.
- [181] Y. Liu, H. Kha, M. Ungrin, M. O. Robinson, and L. Harrington, "Preferential maintenance of critically short telomeres in mammalian cells heterozygous for mTert," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 99, no. 6, pp. 3597–3602, 2002.
- [182] L. B. Smilenov, S. Dhar, and T. K. Pandita, "Altered telomere nuclear matrix interactions and nucleosomal periodicity in ataxia telangiectasia cells before and after ionizing radiation treatment," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 6963–6971, 1999.
- [183] C. Barlow, S. Hirotsune, R. Paylor et al., "Atm-deficient mice: a paradigm of ataxia telangiectasia," *Cell*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 159– 171, 1996.
- [184] L. Qi, M. A. Strong, B. O. Karim, M. Armanios, D. L. Huso, and C. W. Greider, "Short telomeres and ataxia-telangiectasia

mutated deficiency cooperatively increase telomere dysfunction and suppress tumorigenesis," *Cancer Research*, vol. 63, no. 23, pp. 8188–8196, 2003.

- [185] G. B. Celli and T. de Lange, "DNA processing is not required for ATM-mediated telomere damage response after TRF2 deletion," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 712–718, 2005.
- [186] J. Karlseder, D. Broccoli, D. Yumin, S. Hardy, and T. de Lange, "p53- and ATM-dependent apoptosis induced by telomeres lacking TRF2," *Science*, vol. 283, no. 5406, pp. 1321–1325, 1999.
- [187] J. Karlseder, K. Hoke, O. K. Mirzoeva et al., "The telomeric protein TRF2 binds the ATM Kinase and can inhibit the ATMdependent DNA damage response," *PLoS Biology*, vol. 2, no. 8, 2004.
- [188] S. Kishi, X. Z. Zhou, Y. Ziv et al., "Telomeric protein Pin2/TRF1 as an important ATM target in response to double strand DNA breaks," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, no. 31, pp. 29282–29291, 2001.
- [189] S. Kishi and K. P. Lu, "A critical role for Pin2/TRF1 in ATM-dependent regulation. Inhibition of Pin2/TRF1 function complements telomere shortening, radiosensitivity, and the G2/M checkpoint defect of ataxia-telangiectasia cells," *Journal* of Biological Chemistry, vol. 277, no. 9, pp. 7420–7429, 2002.
- [190] Y. Wu, S. Xiao, and X.-D. Zhu, "MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 and ATM function as co-mediators of TRF1 in telomere length control," *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 832– 840, 2007.
- [191] W. Chai, Q. Du, J. W. Shay, and W. E. Wright, "Human telomeres have different overhang sizes at leading versus lagging strands," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 427–435, 2006.
- [192] Y. Bai and J. P. Murnane, "Telomere instability in a human tumor cell line expressing NBS1 with mutations at sites phosphorylated by ATM," *Molecular Cancer Research*, vol. 1, no. 14, pp. 1058– 1069, 2003.
- [193] X.-D. Zhu, B. Küster, M. Mann, J. H. J. Petrini, and T. de Lange, "Cell-cycle-regulated association of RAD50/MRE11/NBS1 with TRF2 and human telomeres," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 347–352, 2000.
- [194] G. S. Stewart, R. S. Maser, T. Stankovic et al., "The DNA doublestrand break repair gene hMRE11 is mutated in individuals with an ataxia-telangiectasia-like disorder," *Cell*, vol. 99, no. 6, pp. 577–587, 1999.
- [195] K. Savitsky, A. Bau-Shira, S. Gilad et al., "A single ataxia telangiectasia gene with a product similar to Pl-3 kinase," *Science*, vol. 268, no. 5218, pp. 1749–1753, 1995.
- [196] I. R. Matei, C. J. Guidos, and J. S. Danska, "ATM-dependent DNA damage surveillance in T-cell development and leukemogenesis: the DSB connection," *Immunological Reviews*, vol. 209, pp. 142–158, 2006.
- [197] Y. Xu, T. Ashley, E. E. Brainerd, R. T. Bronson, M. S. Meyn, and D. Baltimore, "Targeted disruption of ATM leads to growth retardation, chromosomal fragmentation during meiosis, immune defects, and thymic lymphoma," *Genes and Development*, vol. 10, no. 19, pp. 2411–2422, 1996.
- [198] M. Swift, P. J. Reitnauer, D. Morrell, and C. L. Chase, "Breast and other cancers in families with ataxia-telangiectasia," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 316, no. 21, pp. 1289–1294, 1987.
- [199] M. G. FitzGerald, J. M. Bean, S. R. Hegde et al., "Heterozygous ATM mutations do not contribute to early onset of breast cancer," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 307–310, 1997.

- [200] A. Broeks, J. H. M. Urbanus, A. N. Floore et al., "ATMheterozygous germline mutations contribute to breast cancersusceptibility," *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 494–500, 2000.
- [201] S. S. Sommer, Z. Jiang, J. Feng et al., "ATM missense mutations are frequent inpatients with breast cancer," *Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics*, vol. 145, no. 2, pp. 115–120, 2003.
- [202] A. Renwick, D. Thompson, S. Seal et al., "ATM mutations that cause ataxia-telangiectasia are breast cancer susceptibility alleles," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 873–875, 2006.
- [203] L. Izatt, C. Vessey, S. V. Hodgson, and E. Solomon, "Rapid and efficient ATM mutation detection by fluorescent chemical cleavage of mismatch: identification of four novel mutations," *European Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 310–320, 1999.
- [204] S. N. Teraoka, K. E. Malone, D. R. Doody et al., "Increased frequency of ATM mutations in breast carcinoma patients with early onset disease and positive family history," *Cancer*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 479–487, 2001.
- [205] Y. R. Thorstenson, A. Roxas, R. Kroiss et al., "Contributions of ATM mutations to familial breast and ovarian cancer," *Cancer Research*, vol. 63, no. 12, pp. 3325–3333, 2003.
- [206] O. Fletcher, N. Johnson, I. dos Santos Silva et al., "Missense variants in ATM in 26,101 breast cancer cases and 29,842 controls," *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 2143–2151, 2010.
- [207] K. K. Khanna, "Cancer risk and the ATM gene: a continuing debate," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 92, no. 10, pp. 795–802, 2000.
- [208] M. F. Lavin and N. Gueven, "The complexity of p53 stabilization and activation," *Cell Death and Differentiation*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 941–950, 2006.
- [209] L. Zannini, G. Buscemi, J.-E. Kim, E. Fontanella, and D. Delia, "DBC1 phosphorylation by ATM/ATR inhibits SIRT1 deacetylase in response to DNA damage," *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 294–303, 2012.
- [210] W. L. Lai, W. Y. Hung, and Y. P. Ching, "The tumor suppressor, TAX1BP2, is a novel substrate of ATM kinase," Oncogene, 2013.
- [211] T. Stankovic, P. Weber, G. Stewart et al., "Inactivation of ataxia telanglectasia mutated gene in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia," *The Lancet*, vol. 353, no. 9146, pp. 26–29, 1999.
- [212] D. Stoppa-Lyonnet, J. Soulier, A. Laugé et al., "Inactivation of the ATM gene in T-cell prolymphocytic leukemias," *Blood*, vol. 91, no. 10, pp. 3920–3926, 1998.
- [213] D. Thompson, S. Duedal, J. Kirner et al., "Cancer risks and mortality in heterozygous ATM mutation carriers," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 97, no. 11, pp. 813–822, 2005.
- [214] L. L. Paglia, A. Laugé, J. Weber et al., "ATM germline mutations in women with familial breast cancer and a relative with haematological malignancy," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 119, no. 2, pp. 443–452, 2010.
- [215] N. J. Roberts, Y. Jiao, J. Yu et al., "ATM mutations in patients with hereditary pancreatic cancer," *Cancer Discovery*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 41–46, 2012.
- [216] S. Bamford, E. Dawson, S. Forbes et al., "The COSMIC (Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer) database and website," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 355–358, 2004.
- [217] M. Squatrito, C. W. Brennan, K. Helmy, J. T. Huse, J. H. Petrini, and E. C. Holland, "Loss of ATM/Chk2/p53 pathway components accelerates tumor development and contributes to radiation resistance in gliomas," *Cancer Cell*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 619–629, 2010.

- [218] L. Ding, G. Getz, D. A. Wheeler et al., "Somatic mutations affect key pathways in lung adenocarcinoma," *Nature*, vol. 455, no. 7216, pp. 1069–1075, 2008.
- [219] R. A. Gatti, A. Tward, and P. Concannon, "Cancer risk in ATM heterozygotes: a model of phenotypic and mechanistic differences between missense and truncating mutations," *Molecular Genetics and Metabolism*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 419–423, 1999.
- [220] T. Stankovic, A. M. J. Kidd, A. Sutcliffe et al., "ATM mutations and phenotypes in ataxia-telangiectasia families in the British Isles: expression of mutant ATM and the risk of leukemia, lymphoma, and breast cancer," *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 334–345, 1998.
- [221] T. Stankovic, G. S. Stewart, P. Byrd, C. Fegan, P. A. H. Moss, and A. M. R. Taylor, "ATM mutations in sporadic lymphoid tumours," *Leukemia & Lymphoma*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 1563–1571, 2002.
- [222] M. Salimi, H. Mozdarani, and K. Majidzadeh, "Expression pattern of ATM and cyclin D1 in ductal carcinoma, normal adjacent and normal breast tissues of Iranian breast cancer patients," *Medical Oncology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 1502–1509, 2012.
- [223] L. Song, C. Lin, Z. Wu et al., "miR-18a impairs DNA damage response through downregulation of ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) kinase," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 6, no. 9, Article ID e25454, 2011.
- [224] H. Hu, L. Du, G. Nagabayashi, R. C. Seeger, and R. A. Gatti, "ATM is down-regulated by N-Myc-regulated microRNA-421," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 1506–1511, 2010.
- [225] W. Y. Mansour, N. V. Bogdanova, U. Kasten-Pisula et al., "Aberrant overexpression of miR-421 downregulates ATM and leads to a pronounced DSB repair defect and clinical hypersensitivity in SKX squamous cell carcinoma," *Radiotherapy and Oncology*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 147–154, 2013.
- [226] R. C. Bueno, R. A. Canevari, R. A. Villacis et al., "ATM downregulation is associated with poor prognosis in sporadic breast carcinomas," *Annals of Oncology*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 69–75, 2014.
- [227] J. Prokopcova, Z. Kleibl, C. M. Banwell, and P. Pohlreich, "The role of ATM in breast cancer development," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 121–128, 2007.
- [228] L. Luo, F.-M. Lu, S. Hart et al., "Ataxia-telangiectasia and Tcell leukemias: no evidence for somatic ATM mutation in sporadic T-ALL or for hypermethylation of the ATM-NPAT/E14 bidirectional promoter in T-PLL," *Cancer Research*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 2293–2297, 1998.
- [229] S. Kovalev, A. Mateen, A. I. Zaika, B. J. O'Hea, and U. M. Moll, "Lack of defective expression of the ATM gene in sporadic breast cancer tissues and cell lines," *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 825–831, 2000.
- [230] K. Mahajan, D. Coppola, B. Rawal et al., "Ack1-mediated androgen receptor phosphorylation modulates radiation resistance in castration-resistant prostate cancer," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 287, no. 26, pp. 22112–22122, 2012.
- [231] S. Ripka, A. Neesse, J. Riedel et al., "CUX1: target of Akt signalling and mediator of resistance to apoptosis in pancreatic cancer," *Gut*, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. 1101–1110, 2010.
- [232] C. A. Cremona and A. Behrens, "ATM signalling and cancer," Oncogene, vol. 33, no. 26, pp. 3351–3360, 2013.