



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Datasets on factors influencing trading on pedestrian bridges along Ikorodu road, Lagos, Nigeria



Olabisi O. Ajakaiye^a, Hamed A. Afolabi^a,
 Adedotun O. Akinola^b, Hilary I. Okagbue^{c,*},
 Omoniye O. Olagunju^{b,*}, Olufumilayo O. Adetoro^{b,*}

^a Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Yaba College of Technology, Lagos, Nigeria

^b Department of Architecture, Covenant University, Canaanland, Ota, Nigeria

^c Department of Mathematics, Covenant University, Canaanland, Ota, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 May 2018

Received in revised form

4 June 2018

Accepted 18 June 2018

Available online 22 June 2018

Keywords:

Likert scale

Survey analytics

Pedestrian bridges

Lagos

Transportation

Environment

ABSTRACT

The survey data was obtained from a study that investigated factors responsible for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridges along Ikorodu road, Lagos state, Nigeria. Survey research was adopted for this investigation while data were primarily sourced. The sample frame adopted for this study was the average total number of people using the pedestrian bridges per day along Ikorodu road was estimated as 240,380, while the sample size was 384, based on Cochran's sample size formula. The convenience, non-probability sampling technique was used for the survey. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics (frequency tables) and inferential statistics techniques (factor analysis for data reduction and categorization, communalities of variables and KMO) while Likert scale was used as a means of measurement. The datasets can be considered in the commerce and environmental policies of Lagos State and Nigeria with a view to recommending policies that will encourage easy movement of people and the effective uses of the transport facilities.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: bisijakaiye@gmail.com (O.O. Ajakaiye), bolaji.alogba@yahoo.com (H.A. Afolabi), adedotun.akinola@covenantuniversity.edu.ng (A.O. Akinola), hilary.okagbue@covenantuniversity.edu.ng (H.I. Okagbue), omoniyi.olagunju@covenantuniversity.edu.ng (O.O. Olagunju), olufunmilayo.sonola@covenantuniversity.edu.ng (O.O. Adetoro).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.06.055>

2352-3409/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Specifications Table

Subject area	Environmental Science
More specific subject area	Transportation Management
Type of data	Tables and Figures
How data was acquired	Field Survey in some selected pedestrian bridges along Ikorodu road, Lagos, Nigeria
Data format	Raw and analyzed
Experimental factors	Simple percentages and level of agreed index (LAI) were used as analytical tool of the generated data. Factor analysis was used in determining the factors influencing the patronage of the traders on pedestrian bridges. Likert scale also ranked factors using the Sum of weighted values (SWV).
Experimental features	The key method used in data collection - structured questionnaire designed in Likert scale, the questionnaire was designed in such a way that it helped to collate basic information from the respondents. A population size of two hundred and forty thousand three hundred and eighty (240,380) was selected, and a total sample size of 384 respondents was used in data generation, with questionnaire distributed to pedestrian bridge users. Variables pertaining to the above listed targets. 14 samples were excluded because of non-response.
Data source location	Ikorodu road, Lagos, Nigeria
Data accessibility	All the data are in this data article

Value of the data

- The data can be used to review of Lagos State transportation, commerce, environmental policies.
- The dataset can also be for safety and precautionary measures on pedestrian bridges in Lagos and other major cities across Nigeria.
- The data can be used for educational and research purposes.
- The questionnaire for this survey can be adopted and modified to include subjects not included in this article.

1. Data

The data in this article was obtained from a field survey aimed at the determination of perceived factors that influence pedestrian patronage of traders on pedestrian bridges along Ikorodu road in Lagos, Nigeria. Trading on the pedestrian bridges is a subset of the phenomenon known as “street trading” or street hawking”. The pedestrian bridges are constructed on major expressways to ease transportation. Over the years, the pedestrian bridges have become a place where business transactions are conducted between traders and pedestrians, even though that street trading is outlawed in the Lagos metropolis. The data collected on the factors that encourage such business transactions are presented in this article. The socio-demographics of the respondents are presented in [Tables 1–6](#).

Table 1
Sex of the respondents.

Sex	Frequency	Percentage
Male	170	45.9
Female	200	54.1
Total	370	100

Table 2
Age of the respondents.

Ages (yrs)	Frequency	Percentage
10–20	44	11.9
21–40	264	72.8
41–60	62	15.3
61 and above	0	0
Total	370	100

Table 3
Marital status of the respondents.

Marital status	Frequency	Percentage
Single	148	40.0
Married	214	57.8
Divorced	8	2.2
Widow/widower	0	0
Total	370	100

Table 4
Religion of the respondents.

Religion	Frequency	Percentage
Christianity	208	56.2
Islam	162	43.8
African tradition	0	0
Total	370	100

Table 5
Level of education attained by the respondents.

Educational background	Frequency	Percentage
Primary	95	25.7
Secondary	150	40.5
BSc/ HND	113	30.6
Informal training	12	3.2
Total	370	100

Table 6
Level of monthly Income (Nigerian Naira) of the respondents.

Monthly income	Frequency	Percentage
Below #10,000	84	22.7
#11,000 - #20,000	88	23.8
#21,000 - #30,000	92	24.9
#31,000 and above	106	28.6
Total	370	100

Subsequently, several aspects of trading on pedestrian bridges or similar phenomena can be explored. Some of them are outlined: child trading on pedestrian bridges, incidence of robbery on pedestrian bridges, epidemiology of injuries that occurred on pedestrian bridges, the menace of alms begging on pedestrian bridges, prostitution on pedestrian bridges, the economic benefits of trading

on pedestrian bridges, poverty, unemployment and illiteracy as predictors of trading on pedestrian bridges and others. Some of these have been researched as street trading or street hawking [1–10]. Trading on the pedestrian bridges and street trading in general are part of social problems facing the Lagos metropolis. Others are transportation using bus rapid transit [11], crime [12], gambling [13], housing, construction and estate management [14–18], power outages [19,20], water, sanitation, waste management and hygienic issues [21–24], prostitution, sexual violence, HIV incidence and drug abuse [25–28] and unemployment [29]. In addition, other statistical analysis can be applied such as in [30–40].

In summary, data revealed that young adults (21–40 years), female and married persons were the people mostly patronizing the traders on the surveyed bridges.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

The study area (pedestrian bridges along Ikorodu road, Lagos, Nigeria) was chosen because the road linked to several cities in the metropolis and pedestrian bridges located there often experience heavy pedestrian movement. Also the bridges are the only means of crossing from one part of the expressway to another since pedestrian crossing on the expressway is outlawed. The traders often use the avenue of heavy movement of people on the pedestrian bridges to display their wares and solicit sales from the people. On the other hand, disable people are often seen on the bridges begging for alms.

The sample frame adopted for this study was the average total number of people using the pedestrian bridges per day along Ikorodu road was estimated as 240,380, while the sample size was 384, based on Cochran's sample size formula.

The convenience sampling which is a non-probability sampling technique was adopted for the survey because most of the respondents were interviewed by circumstantial-convenience. This sampling technique was very beneficial because the survey was done in the evening when people are returning from work, schools, markets, offices or shops. The morning was not used because the pedestrian are rushing to work and may not have time to complete the questionnaires.

Factor analysis was used to analyze the data. Results of factor analysis for pedestrians' perceived factors of pedestrian bridge trading patronage revealed a K.M.O. value of 0.618 with Bartlett's test significance level of 0.000 presented in Table 7. The result of tests implies that the data is suitable for factor analysis.

Likert scale as seen in the questionnaire which can be assessed as Supplementary Data 1 in a 5-point scale namely: 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = moderately agree, 4 = agree and 5 = strongly agree. Likert scale ranked the perceived factors responsible for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridges using the sum of weighted values (SWV) and average weighted values (AWV). These are shown in Table 8. The factors can be arranged in descending or ascending order in order to fully understand the data, facilitate comparison between the factors or to roughly determine the factors that contributed minimally to the overall average value. The average level of agreed index of the factors responsible for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridge was 2.90 AWV out of an achievable 5. Hence, the factors were moderately agreed.

Table 7
KMO and Bartlett's Test.

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy		0.618
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	9010.849
	Degrees of freedom	806
	Significance	0.000

Table 8

Factors responsible for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridges using sum and average weighted values.

FACTORS	OPINION					SWV	AWV
	1	2	3	4	5		
Marketable	4	8	108	304	1250	1674	4.52
Reachable	24	120	252	320	610	1326	3.58
Not stressful	40	104	222	480	420	1266	3.42
Time	8	140	300	600	210	1258	3.4
Satisfactory	40	120	252	400	430	1242	3.36
Distance	8	144	582	304	100	1138	3.08
Availability	50	120	330	440	200	1140	3.08
Safety	48	128	354	384	220	1134	3.06
Attractiveness	48	152	384	280	240	1104	2.98
Convenience	48	168	288	488	100	1092	2.95
Durable	32	180	450	280	140	1082	2.92
Accessibility	62	120	456	328	70	1036	2.8
Handiness	72	120	414	320	100	1026	2.77
Competitive	70	224	240	280	190	1004	2.71
Reliable	88	184	240	248	240	1000	2.7
New items	44	272	252	408	20	996	2.69
Proximity	80	140	396	256	120	992	2.68
Valuable items	76	248	240	200	200	964	2.61
Effectiveness	70	296	222	200	140	928	2.51
Quality of product	132	160	258	176	140	866	2.34
Cost	160	120	210	200	150	840	2.27
Conducive	140	148	270	192	90	840	2.27
Comfortable	152	240	210	112	–	714	1.93

Table 9

Communalities of variables using principal component analysis as extraction method.

Factors	Initial	Extraction
Quality of product	1	0.853
Proximity	1	0.836
Safety	1	0.835
New items	1	0.832
Effectiveness	1	0.831
Valuable items	1	0.827
Conducive	1	0.819
Satisfactory	1	0.797
Convenience	1	0.791
Durable	1	0.783
Competitive	1	0.78
Time	1	0.772
Reachable	1	0.766
Availability	1	0.762
Accessibility	1	0.757
Marketable	1	0.728
Cost	1	0.718
Attractiveness	1	0.689
Reliable	1	0.684
Distance	1	0.639
Handiness	1	0.637
Comfortable	1	0.632
Not stressful	1	0.604

Table 10

Total Variance Explained of the factors influencing responsible for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridge.

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.818	12.251	12.251	2.818	12.251	12.251	2.025	8.804	8.804
2	2.230	9.697	21.948	2.230	9.697	21.948	1.979	8.604	17.408
3	2.099	9.125	31.074	2.099	9.125	31.074	1.846	8.024	25.432
4	1.910	8.303	39.377	1.910	8.303	39.377	1.805	7.848	33.281
5	1.720	7.478	46.855	1.720	7.478	46.855	1.744	7.583	40.864
6	1.590	6.913	53.768	1.590	6.913	53.768	1.661	7.223	48.087
7	1.489	6.473	60.241	1.489	6.473	60.241	1.640	7.131	55.218
8	1.312	5.702	65.943	1.312	5.702	65.943	1.588	6.903	62.120
9	1.159	5.038	70.981	1.159	5.038	70.981	1.549	6.734	68.855
10	1.048	4.558	75.539	1.048	4.558	75.539	1.537	6.684	75.539
11	.951	4.134	79.673						
12	.824	3.582	83.256						
13	.790	3.437	86.692						
14	.623	2.709	89.401						
15	.520	2.259	91.661						
16	.484	2.106	93.766						
17	.425	1.846	95.612						
18	.285	1.237	96.850						
19	.241	1.047	97.896						
20	.154	.671	98.567						
21	.147	.637	99.205						
22	.116	.505	99.710						
23	.067	.290	100.000						

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Communality values revealed “not stressful” (60.4%) as the least while “quality of product” (85.3%) had the highest value. Factor analysis finally revealed convenience and effectiveness as factors responsible for pedestrian bridge trading patronage, as perceived by the pedestrians. This can be seen in [Table 9](#) and the factors are arranged in descending order. The result was obtained using the principal component analysis as the extraction method.

The total variance explained is presented in [Table 10](#). As shown in [Table 10](#), all factors that are with Eigen value that are above 1 were extracted and represented under the column extraction sums of square loading. The findings reveal that 10 unconfirmed factors and suggested that there was a cumulative total of 75.54% with the variance of 4.56% and 6.68% at and after extraction which was confirmed after rotational extraction.

There are various factors responsible for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridge but most reason why the pedestrians patronize the bridge is because of their level of quality, convenience and effectiveness according to the result given by the rotated component matrix as shown in [Table 11](#). Furthermore, component transformation matrix was presented in [Table 12](#) while the summary of the data analysis can be visually seen in [Fig. 1](#). The figure is restricted to first three components with the highest Eigenvalues. However, after various investigations that have been carried out and analyzed, the result of findings shows that there is significant relationship between the socio-economic characteristics of the people using the pedestrian bridge and the factor responsible for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridge. The raw data (set of responses) can be assessed as [Supplementary Data 2](#).

Table 11

Rotated Component Matrix of Factors for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridge.

	Component									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Cost	.651	-.211	.009	.431	.147	.009	.158	.102	.009	.085
Distance	.027	.037	.077	-.056	.777	.038	.043	.055	.070	.109
Time	.024	-.007	-.069	-.010	.025	.083	.127	.861	.003	.044
Availability	.057	.205	-.163	-.054	-.133	-.023	.780	-.009	.105	-.222
Quality of product	-.848	-.099	-.061	.156	.191	.120	.013	.110	-.183	.023
Accessibility	-.065	-.068	-.186	.782	-.215	.121	-.121	.074	.019	-.144
Safety	-.042	-.033	-.054	-.886	-.130	-.040	-.086	.035	-.075	-.106
Convenience	.621	-.304	-.119	.020	.210	.227	-.436	.000	.010	-.114
Effectiveness	.162	.331	.715	.130	.233	-.065	.152	.062	-.278	-.065
Handiness	-.460	.261	.200	.105	-.265	.259	-.062	.298	.078	.267
Not stressful	-.038	.342	.086	-.118	.071	-.624	-.089	.005	-.245	.050
Conducive	-.085	.117	.011	.130	.275	.252	.019	-.133	.763	-.206
Reachable	.285	.196	-.318	.107	.023	.078	-.218	.191	.054	-.664
Satisfactory	-.133	.817	-.046	-.104	-.037	.231	-.031	.066	-.020	.198
Durable	.080	.064	-.493	.048	.584	-.016	-.410	-.024	-.123	-.055
Competitive	-.094	-.022	.813	-.175	-.044	.144	-.162	-.061	.163	.005
Valuable items	-.047	.808	.169	.049	.079	-.216	.176	-.117	.095	-.187
Attrctiveness	-.074	-.166	.172	.131	.400	.003	.613	.209	-.028	.170
Reliable	-.071	.175	.197	.098	.122	.754	-.088	.026	-.081	-.010
Proximity	.084	.173	-.225	.059	.157	-.042	-.253	.088	-.020	.804
New items	-.211	-.130	.183	.196	.400	-.365	-.076	.616	.099	-.103
Comfortable	.126	-.080	.074	.080	.158	-.442	.097	-.450	.279	.296
Marketable	.215	-.032	.026	.002	-.130	-.144	.070	.099	.786	.097

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Rotation converged in 24 iterations.

Table 12

Component transformation matrix of Factors for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridge.

Component	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	-.606	.510	.442	-.217	-.139	.006	.268	.073	-.114	.143
2	-.221	-.048	.059	.619	.425	.300	.035	.535	.011	.061
3	.364	.058	.400	.156	.311	-.446	.490	-.114	.354	.076
4	-.083	-.125	-.053	-.249	.489	-.438	-.260	.077	-.424	.479
5	.261	.798	-.193	.016	.348	.058	-.286	-.084	-.006	-.209
6	.136	-.109	.566	-.090	.142	.482	-.422	-.305	.183	.289
7	.247	-.152	.377	-.390	.159	.088	.048	.390	-.332	-.569
8	-.254	-.087	-.236	-.505	.291	.047	-.023	.234	.688	-.051
9	.463	.198	-.025	-.145	-.376	.079	.012	.576	.075	.490
10	-.132	.018	.285	.217	-.269	-.518	-.596	.226	.246	-.216

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.




Fig. 1. Component plot in rotated space of factors for the patronage of the traders on the pedestrian bridge.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Covenant University Centre for Research, Innovation and Development (CUCRID) for funding this research work and also grateful to Yaba College of Technology, Lagos, Nigeria for making this data available.

Transparency document. Supporting information

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.06.055>.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.06.055>.

References

- [1] I.O. Olurinola, T.O. Fadayomi, E. Amoo, O.A. Ola-David, Internal migration and welfare of street traders in the urban informal economy of Nigeria. *Vision 2020: Sustainable Growth, Economic Development, and Global Competitiveness* - in: Proceedings of the 23rd International Business Information Management Association Conference, IBIMA1, pp. 2862–2884, 2014.
- [2] E.O. Amoo, O.A. Ola-David, I.O. Olurinola, T.O. Fadayomi, Female youth in street trading: Implications for sexual harassment in HIV/AIDS risky environment. *Vision 2020: Sustainable Growth, Economic Development, and Global Competitiveness* - in: Proceedings of the 23rd International Business Information Management Association Conference, IBIMA1, pp. 3176–3186, 2014.
- [3] P.A. Edewor, Homeless children and youths in Lagos, Nigeria: their characteristics, street life and sexual behaviour, *Mediterr. J. Social. Sci.* 5 (1) (2014) 537–545.
- [4] P. Adzande, T.T. Gyuse, Territoriality and safety in urban residential neighborhoods in Nigeria, *J. Urban.* 10 (3) (2017) 333–355.
- [5] F. Ikioda, The impact of road construction on market and street trading in Lagos, *J. Transp. Geogr.* 55 (2016) 175–181.

- [6] M.Y. Ijadunola, T.O. Ojo, A. Babatunde, G.J. Olatunji, G.K. Owolabi, I.A. Adewale, I.S. Friday, Street hawking among in-school adolescents in a south-western town in Nigeria: pattern, determinants and effects on school performance, *Int. J. Adol. Med. Health* 27 (1) (2015) 41–48.
- [7] A.H. Balarabe, A.O. Mahmoud, C.O. Omolase, M. Nasiru, Exploring the options for alternative means of livelihood for blind street beggars in Sokoto, Nigeria, *Niger. J. Clin. Prac.* 17 (5) (2014) 637–640.
- [8] O.M. Dada, A sociological investigation of the determinant factors and the effects of child street hawking in Nigeria: agege, Lagos State under survey, *Int. J. Asian Soc. Sci.* 3 (1) (2013) 114–137.
- [9] N. Akpan, A.J. Oluwabamide, The menace of child abuse in Nigeria: a case study of street hawking in Uyo, Akwa Ibom state, *J. Social. Sci.* 24 (3) (2010) 189–192.
- [10] J.I. Ikechebelu, G.O. Udigwe, C.C. Ezechukwu, A.G. Ndinechi, Sexual abuse among juvenile female street hawkers in Anambra State, Nigeria, *Afr. J. Reprod. Health* 12 (2) (2008) 111–119.
- [11] H.I. Okagbue, M.O. Adamu, S.A. Iyase, E.A. Owoloko, On the motivations and challenges faced by commuters using BRT in Lagos, Nigeria, *Social. Sci.* 10 (6) (2015) 696–701.
- [12] J.O. Aransiola, C. Zarowsky, Street children, human trafficking and human security in Nigeria: competing discourses of vulnerability and danger, *Etude De. La. Popul. Afr.* 27 (2) (2014) 398–410.
- [13] S. Heap, "Their Days are Spent in Gambling and Loafing, Pimping for Prostitutes, and Picking Pockets": male Juvenile Delinquents on Lagos Island, 1920s–1960s, *J. Fam. Hist.* 35 (1) (2010) 48–70.
- [14] C.O. Iroham, H.I. Okagbue, O.A. Ogunkoya, J.D. Owolabi, Survey data on factors affecting negotiation of professional fees between Estate Valuers and their clients when the mortgage is financed by bank loan: a case study of mortgage valuations in Ikeja, Lagos State, Nigeria, *Data Brief* 12 (2017) 447–452.
- [15] L.M. Amusan, A. Afolabi, R. Ojelabi, I. Omuh, H.I. Okagbue, Data exploration on factors that influences construction cost and time performance on construction project sites, *Data Brief* 17 (2018) 1320–1325.
- [16] A.O. Afolabi, R.A. Ojelabi, P.F. Tunji-Olayeni, O.I. Fagbenle, T.O. Mosaku, Survey datasets on women participation in green jobs in the construction industry, *Data Brief* 17 (2018) 856–862.
- [17] R.A. Ojelabi, A.O. Afolabi, O.O. Oyeyipo, P.F. Tunji-Olayeni, B.A. Adewale, Data exploration of social client relationship management (CRM 2.0) adoption in the Nigerian Construction Business, *Data Brief* 18 (2018) 1471–1476.
- [18] P.F. Tunji-Olayeni, A.O. Afolabi, O.I. Okpalamoka, Survey dataset on occupational hazards on construction sites, *Data Brief* 18 (2018) 1365–1371.
- [19] H.A. Koleoso, M.M. Omirin, Y.A. Adewunmi, Performance measurement scale for facilities management service in Lagos-Nigeria, *J. Facil. Manag.* 15 (2) (2017) 128–152.
- [20] S.O. Oyedepo, R.O. Fagbenle, S.S. Adefila, S.A. Adavbiele, Performance evaluation and economic analysis of a gas turbine power plant in Nigeria, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 79 (2014) 431–440.
- [21] D.O. Omole, J.M. Ndambuki, Sustainable living in Africa: case of water, sanitation, air pollution and energy, *Sustainability* 6 (8) (2014) 5187–5202.
- [22] D.O. Omole, J.M. Ndambuki, K. Balogun, Consumption of sachet water in Nigeria: quality, public health and economic perspectives, *Afr. J. Sci. Technol. Innov. Dev.* 7 (1) (2015) 45–51.
- [23] B. Babalobi, Water, sanitation and hygiene practices among primary-school children in Lagos: a case study of the Makoko slum community, *Water Int.* 38 (7) (2013) 921–929.
- [24] O.F. Kofoworola, Recovery and recycling practices in municipal solid waste management in Lagos, Nigeria, *Waste Manag.* 27 (9) (2007) 1139–1143.
- [25] O.O. Odukoya, A.O. Sekoni, A.T. Onajole, R.P. Upadhyay, Alcohol consumption and cigarette smoking pattern among brothelbased female sex workers in two local government areas in Lagos state, Nigeria, *Afr. Health Sci.* 13 (2) (2013) 490–497.
- [26] A. Ikpeazu, A. Momah-Haruna, B.M. Mari, L.H. Thompson, K. Ogungbemi, U. U. Daniel, , ...N. Njie, An appraisal of female sex work in Nigeria-implications for designing and scaling up HIV prevention programmes, *PLoS One* 9 (8) (2014) e103619.
- [27] S.A. Olofinbiyi, B.A. Olofinbiyi, J.L. Oyefara, Use of drugs and criminal behaviour among female adolescent prostitutes in Lagos metropolis, Nigeria, *Contemp. Social. Sci.* 11 (4) (2016) 347–361.
- [28] A. Gbemileke, O. Oladepo, A five-year analysis of police records on sexual-related offences in Lagos state, Nigeria, *Afr. J. Biomed. Res.* 18 (2) (2015) 109–121.
- [29] O. Akanle, J.O. Adesina, U.R. Nwaobiala, Turbulent but I must endure in silence: female breadwinners and survival in Southwestern Nigeria, *J. Asian Afr. Stud.*, *J. Asian Afr. Stud.* 53 (1) (2018) 98–114.
- [30] P.E. Oguntunde, H.I. Okagbue, P.I. Adamu, O.A. Oguntunde, S.J. Oluwatunde, A.A. Opanuga, Statistical analysis of bank deposits dataset, *Data Brief* 18 (2018) 864–872.
- [31] H.I. Okagbue, A.A. Atayero, M.O. Adamu, A.A. Opanuga, P.E. Oguntunde, S.A. Bishop, Dataset on statistical analysis of editorial board composition of Hindawi journals indexed in Emerging sources citation index, *Data Brief* 17 (2018) 1041–1055.
- [32] H.I. Okagbue, A.A. Opanuga, M.O. Adamu, P.O. Ugwoke, E.C.M. Obasi, G.A. Eze, Personal name in Igbo Culture: a dataset on randomly selected personal names and their statistical analysis, *Data Brief* 15 (2017) 72–80.
- [33] H.I. Okagbue, M.O. Adamu, P.E. Oguntunde, A.A. Opanuga, M.K. Rastogi, Exploration of UK Lotto results classified into two periods, *Data Brief* 14 (2017) 213–219.
- [34] S.A. Bishop, E.A. Owoloko, H.I. Okagbue, P.E. Oguntunde, O.A. Odetunmibi, A.A. Opanuga, Survey datasets on the externalizing behaviors of primary school pupils and secondary school students in some selected schools in Ogun State, Nigeria, *Data Brief* 13 (2017) 469–479.
- [35] H.I. Okagbue, A.A. Opanuga, P.E. Oguntunde, P.O. Ugwoke, Random number datasets generated from statistical analysis of randomly sampled GSM recharge cards, *Data Brief* 10 (2017) 269–276.
- [36] H.I. Okagbue, A.A. Atayero, M.O. Adamu, S.A. Bishop, P.E. Oguntunde, A.A. Opanuga, Exploration of editorial board composition, Citescore and percentiles of Hindawi journals indexed in Scopus, *Data Brief* 19 (2018) 743–752.

- [37] H.I. Okagbue, A.A. Atayero, M.O. Adamu, P.E. Oguntunde, A.A. Opanuga, S.A. Bishop, Dataset and analysis of editorial board composition of 165 Hindawi journals indexed and abstracted in PubMed based on affiliations, *Data Brief* 19 (2018) 520–525.
- [38] H.I. Okagbue, M.O. Adamu, P.E. Oguntunde, A.A. Opanuga, A.A. Adebisi, S.A. Bishop, Datasets on the statistical properties of the first 3000 squared positive integers, *Data Brief* 15 (2017) 459–468.
- [39] H.I. Okagbue, M.O. Adamu, P.E. Oguntunde, A.A. Opanuga, E.A. Owoloko, S.A. Bishop, Datasets on the statistical and algebraic properties of primitive Pythagorean triples, *Data Brief* 14 (2017) 686–694.
- [40] E.O. Ibem, E.B. Aduwo, U.O. Uwakonye, P.F. Tunji-Olayeni, E.A. Ayo-Vaughan, Survey data on e-Procurement adoption in the Nigerian building industry, *Data Brief* 18 (2018) 823–826.